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When a black hole first forms, the properties of the emitted radiation as measured by observers near future null infinity are very close to the 1974 prediction of Hawking. However, deviations grow with time, and become of order unity after a time $t \sim M_0^{7/3}$, where $M_0$ is the initial mass in Planck units. After an evaporation time the corrections are large: the angular distribution of the emitted radiation is no longer dominated by low multipoles, with an exponential falloff at high multipoles. Instead, the radiation is redistributed as a power law spectrum over a broad range of angular scales, all the way down to the scale $\Delta \theta \sim 1/M_0$, beyond which there is exponential falloff. This effect is a quantum gravitational effect, whose origin is the spreading of the wavefunction of the black hole’s center of mass location caused by the kicks of the individual outgoing quanta, discovered by Page in 1980. The modified angular distribution of the Hawking radiation has an important consequence: the number of soft hair modes that can effectively interact with outgoing Hawking quanta increases from the handful of modes at low multipoles $l$, to a large number of modes, of order $\sim M_0^2$. We argue that this change unlocks the Hawking-Perry-Strominger mechanism for purifying the Hawking radiation.

\textbf{Introduction} – In the half century since its discovery, the Hawking evaporation of black holes and its associated conundrums have proved to be a fertile source of insights and progress in quantum gravity, from black hole thermodynamics to holography to links between quantum information and geometry [1–3]. At the same time, unresolved theoretical ten-
sions have led to repeated scrutiny of the robustness of Hawking’s predictions. An evaporating black hole is characterized by the small dimensionless parameter $1/M$, where $M$ is the mass in Planck units, and there are small corrections that are perturbative in $1/M$, as well as smaller corrections nonperturbative in $1/M$. Large corrections however have been elusive.

There is a subtlety in classifying the size of corrections to Hawking radiation, related to the fact that the number of relevant field modes $N \sim M^2$ is large, and fractional corrections to expected values may be small for certain classes of operators but large for other operators. Suppose we decompose the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of radiation states at future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$ as the product $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{H}_r$, where $\mathcal{H}_0$ is the Hilbert space of a certain set of $n$ modes with $n \leq N$. Given a correction $\Delta \rho$ to the density matrix $\rho$ on $\mathcal{H}$, we define

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \| \text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_r} \Delta \rho \|,$$

where $\| A \| = \text{tr} \sqrt{A^* A}$, which gives a measure of the size of the correction to the state when restricted to $\mathcal{H}_0$. There exist perturbations $\Delta \rho$ for which $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is small whenever $n \ll N$, but for which $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is nevertheless of order unity when $n \sim N$. Such corrections have long been anticipated for Hawking radiation, since an order-unity correction to an entanglement entropy is required\textsuperscript{1} for unitarity of the evaporation process [2, 3]. Indeed, recent calculations using Euclidean path integrals have explicitly shown that the time evolution of the entanglement entropy of the Hawking radiation and the black hole is consistent with unitarity [5–10]. Hence there are corrections to Hawking radiation that are of order unity, for operators that involve $\sim N$ modes, although the new computational techniques do not yet allow computation of the corrected state.

In this Letter we confine attention to operators that act on $n \ll N$ modes, for which the general expectation has been that corrections to Hawking radiation are small. We show that there are corrections at the level of individual modes that are of order unity, arising from quantum gravitational effects in the deep infrared. The mechanism is straightforward: secularly increasing fluctuations in the center of mass location of the black hole cause a change in the angular distribution of the radiation, with most of the power being redistributed to small angular scales. Although the modifications to the radiation do not directly impact the issue of how unitarity of the evaporation is achieved, we will argue that there is an important indirect effect.

In the remainder of the Letter, we first give a heuristic argument for the effect, then give a detailed derivation, and conclude with a discussion of some implications. Throughout we use Planck units with $G = h = c = 1$.

\textbf{Redistribution of power to small angular scales: brief heuristic argument} – As described by Page [11], the emission of Hawking radiation causes the uncertainty in the black hole’s center of mass to grow with time. This growth is easy to understand: each outgoing quantum carries off a momentum $\sim M^{-1}$ in a random direction, and the resulting perturbation to the velocity of the black hole is of order $\sim M^{-2}$. Over an evaporation time $\sim M^3$ this single kick yields a displacement of the center-of-mass position of the black hole of order $\sim M$. Over the course of the evaporation process we have $N \sim M^2$ such kicks that accumulate as a random walk, giving a total net uncertainty in the position of the black hole of order $\sim \sqrt{NM} \sim M^2$.

Now if a black hole displaced by $\sim M^2$ emits a single quantum in a wavepacket mode of duration $\sim M$, the energy flux at

\textsuperscript{1} The fact that $\| \Delta \rho \| = O(1)$ is required follows from the identity $|S(\rho + \Delta \rho) - S(\rho)| \leq \| \Delta \rho \| \log d + 1/e$, where $S$ is von Neumann entropy and $d$ is the dimension of the Hilbert space [4], together with $S(\rho + \Delta \rho) = 0$ and $S(\rho) \sim \log d \sim M^2$. 


future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$ is delayed by $\sim M^2$ on one side of $\mathcal{I}^+$ and advanced on the other. On a cut of fixed retarded time, the energy flux due to this quantum will be localized to a thin strip on the sphere of width $\Delta \theta \sim M/M^2 \sim 1/M$ (see Fig. 1), and so the power spectrum of the radiation as a function of angular scale will be peaked at angular scales $\sim 1/M$.

We define the field $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{I}^+$ by

$$
\Phi(u, r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{\varphi(u, \theta, \phi)}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right),
$$

and we denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert space of out states on $\mathcal{I}^+$ parameterized by $\varphi$. We denote by $M$, the initial mass of the black hole at $u = 0$, and by $M = M(u_1) < M$, the Bondi mass at some later retarded time $u_1$ with $u_1 \gg M$. We denote by $\rho_U$ the Hawking radiation state on $\mathcal{H}$ for an eternal black hole of mass $M$, i.e. the Unruh vacuum. In the standard calculation it is argued that this state provides a good approximation to the $n$-point functions on $\mathcal{I}^+$ of the state for the gravitational collapse spacetime, for retarded times $u$ with $|u - u_1|$ small compared to the evaporation time $M^3$.

For any density matrix $\rho$ on $\mathcal{H}$ we define the regularized two-point function

$$
G(u, \theta; u', \theta') = \text{tr} \left[ \rho \varphi(u, \theta) \varphi(u', \theta') \right]_{\text{out}} \langle \text{out} | \varphi(u, \theta) \varphi(u', \theta') | \text{out} \rangle,
$$

where $|\text{out}\rangle$ is the out vacuum and $\theta = (\theta, \phi)$. For stationary, spherically symmetric states we have $G = G(\Delta u, \gamma)$, where $\Delta u = u - u'$ and $\gamma$ is the angle between $\theta$ and $\theta'$. We define the Fourier transform $\tilde{G}(\omega, \gamma) = \int d\Delta u e^{i\omega\Delta u} G(\Delta u, \gamma)$, and decompose this in angular harmonics as

$$
\tilde{G}(\omega, \gamma) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2l + 1}{4\pi} P_l(\cos \gamma) S(\omega, l).
$$

The quantity $S(\omega, l)$ is related to the energy flux $\dot{E}$ to infinity per unit frequency $\omega$ in field multipoles\footnote{Note that there are two different methods of defining the angular spectrum of Hawking radiation. One can decompose the field into spherical harmonic modes, or decompose the stress energy tensor. We use the former definition. The two definitions are not equivalent since the stress energy tensor depends nonlinearly on the field. Nevertheless, the qualitative result that power is redistributed from large angular scales to small angular scales will clearly be be valid for both definitions.} of order $l$ by

$$
\left(\frac{d\dot{E}}{d\omega}\right)_l = \frac{2l + 1}{2\pi} \omega^2 S(\omega, l).
$$

We denote by $G_U$ the regularized two point function of the Unruh vacuum, for which the corresponding energy flux is

$$
\left(\frac{d\dot{E}}{d\omega}\right)_{l, U} = \frac{2l + 1}{2\pi} \omega |t_{\omega l}|^2 e^{\beta \omega} - 1.
$$

Here $t_{\omega l}$ is the transmission coefficient through the effective potential and $\beta = 8\pi M$ is the inverse temperature of the radiation. As is well known, most of the power in the spectrum (6) is concentrated at $l \sim O(1)$, with an exponential falloff at large $l$.

We now want to derive how the energy spectrum (6) as a function of frequency and angular scale is modified. The key

\begin{itemize}
\item Redistribution of power to small angular scales: derivation –
\end{itemize}

Although the mechanism that modifies the Hawking radiation is universal, for simplicity we will specialize here to a four dimensional Schwarzschild black hole coupled to a massless free scalar field $\Phi$. Near $\mathcal{I}^+$ we use retarded Bondi coordinates $(u, r, \theta, \phi)$. We resolve the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs (BMS) transformation freedom in these coordinates by choosing the canonical coordinates\footnote{See, for example, Sec. II.C of Ref. [12].} associated with the approximate stationary state of the black hole shortly after it is formed at $u = 0$ say, before it has time to emit appreciable amounts of Hawking radiation\footnote{Note that imposing this requirement in the region of $\mathcal{I}^+$ near $u = 0$ determines the coordinates everywhere on $\mathcal{I}^+$ because of the properties of the BMS group.}. This choice also determines a particular Poincaré subgroup of the BMS group.

FIG. 1. An illustration of the standard Unruh state of an evaporating non-spinning black hole, at a particular instant of retarded time at future null infinity, in a reference frame that is displaced from the black hole center of mass by several Schwarzschild radii. The quantity plotted is a typical realization of the Gaussian random process on the sphere whose two point function is given by taking the two point function of a scalar field in the Unruh state at future null infinity and subtracting the two point function of the out vacuum. Fluctuations in individual wavepacket modes give rise to fluctuations on the sphere that are confined to concentric thin strips, giving rise to a characteristic angular scale that is small compared to unity.
idea is to supplement the standard computation by including the evolution of a small number of relevant infrared gravitational degrees of freedom, specifically the BMS charges as computed on cuts \( u = \text{const} \) of \( \mathcal{J}^+ \). In the classical theory, the values of these charges determine the spacetime geometry when the black hole is stationary, and we assume that this is still true in the quantum theory when both the charges and geometry have quantum fluctuations. We focus in particular on the black hole’s center of mass \( \Delta \), encoded in the orbital angular momentum associated with the Poincaré subgroup of the BMS group discussed above.

The framework we use is anchored at \( \mathcal{J}^+ \), where the coordinate system \((u, \theta, \varphi)\) and out Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) for the scalar field are unaffected by the large quantum fluctuations of the gravitational charges and of the geometry in the interior. As described above, the fluctuations in \( \Delta \) grow with time due to repeated kicks from outgoing Hawking quanta. We divide \( \mathcal{J}^+ \) into an early portion \( \mathcal{J}^+_{\text{early}} \) with \( u < u_1 \), and a late portion \( \mathcal{J}^+_{\text{late}} \) with \( u > u_1 \). The Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) can be correspondingly factored\(^5\) into the tensor product \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{early}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{late}} \). The state of the center-of-mass at time \( u_1 \) is strongly correlated with the Hawking radiation on \( \mathcal{J}^+_{\text{early}} \) by momentum conservation for each emission event, and if we trace over \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{early}} \) we obtain a mixed state for the center-of-mass\(^6\). This state can be described in terms of its Wigner function \( \mathcal{W}(\Delta, p) \), a function of the three dimensional position \( \Delta \) and momentum \( p \) of the black hole. Denoting a position eigenstate by \( |\Delta\rangle \), the corresponding state is

\[
\int d^3\Delta \int d^3\xi \, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\Delta, \xi) \, |\Delta - \xi/2\rangle \langle \Delta + \xi/2|, \tag{7}
\]

where \( \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\Delta, \xi) = \int d^3p \exp[-ip \cdot \xi] \mathcal{W}(\Delta, p) \). Since the kicks from the individual outgoing quanta are uncorrelated, the Wigner function \( \mathcal{W} \) is very nearly Gaussian by the multivariate central limit theorem. Hence \( \tilde{\mathcal{W}} \) has the form

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\Delta, \xi) = \mathcal{N} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \varepsilon) \xi^2 \sigma_p^2 - i\varepsilon \frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma^2} \Delta \cdot \xi \right\}, \tag{8}
\]

where \( \mathcal{N} = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \sigma^3 \), the quantities \( \sigma^2 \) and \( \sigma_p^2 \) are the variances in position and momentum, and \( \varepsilon \) with \( |\varepsilon| \ll 1 \) is a correlation coefficient. The evolution of these parameters is studied in Ref. [19], which shows that \( \varepsilon = 0 \) of order unity and

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma^2_{\Delta} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
 c_0 M^2 (1 - M^2/M^2_\text{F})^3 & M_\text{F} - M \ll M_i, \\
 c_1 M^2 & \sqrt{M_\text{F}} \ll M \ll M_i
\end{array} \right., \\
\sigma_p^2 &= c_2 \ln(M_i/M) \quad \sqrt{M_\text{F}} \ll M,
\end{align*}
\tag{9}
\]

where \( c_0, c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are dimensionless constants of order unity.

We now turn to describing how the fluctuations in the center of mass of the black hole affect the Hawking radiation. In Minkowski spacetime we can define a displacement operator \( U_\Delta \) which displaces any state by an amount \( \Delta \), which acts on the field operator according to \( U_\Delta^* \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}) U_\Delta = \Phi(t, \mathbf{r} - \Delta) \). This operator extends naturally to the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) of out states on the black hole spacetime, where its action is defined by

\[
U_\Delta^* \varphi(u, \theta) U_\Delta = \varphi(u + n \cdot \Delta, \theta) \tag{10}
\]

with \( n \) the unit vector in the direction specified by \( \theta \). The Unruh state for a black hole displaced from the origin by an amount \( \Delta \) can be written as

\[
|\Delta\rangle \otimes \sum_j |\psi_j\rangle U_\Delta |\psi_j\rangle, \tag{11}
\]

where \( |\psi_j\rangle \) is a set of states on the future horizon and \( |\psi_j\rangle \) a set of states in \( \mathcal{H} \). Taking the trace over the horizon states gives for the corresponding Unruh state at \( \mathcal{J}^+ \)

\[
|\Delta\rangle \langle \Delta| \otimes U_\Delta \rho_U U_\Delta^*, \tag{12}
\]

where \( \rho_U = \sum_j c_j^2 |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j| \) with \( c_j^2 = \langle \chi_j | \psi_j \rangle \).

Suppose now that the state of the black hole’s center of mass were fixed and not evolving with time, given by Eq. (7) for the fixed values of the parameters \( \sigma^2_{\Delta}, \sigma_p^2 \) and \( \varepsilon \) evaluated at \( u = u_1 \). Then by linearity from Eqs. (7), (11) and (12) the corresponding out state would be

\[
\int d^3\Delta \int d^3\xi \, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\Delta, \xi) \, |\Delta - \xi/2\rangle \langle \Delta + \xi/2| \otimes U_\Delta \rho_U U_\Delta^*. \tag{13}
\]

Tracing over the center of mass Hilbert space gives the corrected version of the Unruh state

\[
\rho_{U, \text{corr}} = \int d^3\Delta \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\Delta, 0) \, U_\Delta \rho_U U_\Delta^*. \tag{14}
\]

Of course the state of the center of mass is evolving with time and not fixed. Nevertheless, the corrected Unruh state (14) should give a good approximation to the \( n \)-point functions on \( \mathcal{J}^+ \) of the field at retarded times \( u \) that satisfy two conditions:

- We have \( |u - u_1| \ll M^3 \), so the mass of the black hole as well as the state of the center-of-mass have not evolved significantly from their values at \( u = u_1 \).
- We have \( u - u_1 \gg \sigma^2 \sim M^2 \). This ensures that the displacements (10) in retarded time caused by the operators \( U_\Delta \) in Eq. (14) do not generate a dependence on degrees of freedom on \( \mathcal{J}^+_{\text{early}} \), which we have already traced over to compute the state (7).

We now turn to showing that the modifications inherent in the corrected Unruh state (14) are of order unity, for individual outgoing wavepacket modes at sufficiently late times. Combining Eqs. (3), (10) and (14) gives for the regularized two

---

\(^5\) This is not quite true, as there are also “edge modes” associated with the boundary \( u = u_1 \) [13–15], equivalent to soft hair [16, 17]. We neglect these modes here as they not relevant to the present discussion, but will return to them later in the paper.

\(^6\) One can think of this as the early Hawking radiation decohering the black hole location [18].
Using the approximate formula
\[ I = \int d^3\Delta \tilde{W}(\Delta,0) \times G_U(u+n \cdot \Delta, \theta, u'+n' \cdot \Delta, \theta'), \] (15)
using that the Wightman function in the second term in Eq. (3) is invariant under translations. The corresponding functions of frequency \( \omega \) and angle \( \gamma \) are related by
\[ G_{U,\text{corr}}(\omega, \gamma) = \int d^3\Delta \tilde{W}(\Delta,0)e^{-i\omega(n-n') \cdot \Delta}G_U(\omega, \gamma) \]
= \exp\left[-2\omega^2\sigma_\Delta^2 \sin^2(\gamma/2)\right]G_U(\omega, \gamma), \quad (16)
where we have used Eq. (8). Note that the transformation (16) preserves \( G(\omega,0) \) which is proportional to the total energy flux per unit frequency, summed over all multipole. Hence the transformation redistributes power over angular scales, but not from one frequency to another.

We next combine Eqs. (4), (5) and (16) to obtain for the spectrum of outgoing radiation
\[ \left( \frac{dE}{d\omega} \right)_{U,\text{corr},t} = (2l+1)\omega^2 \int_{-1}^{1} dmP_3(\mu)e^{-\omega^2\sigma_\Delta^2(1-\mu)}G_U(\omega, \gamma) \] (17)
where \( \mu = \cos \gamma \). We now specialize to frequencies of the order \( \omega \sim M^{-1} \), where most of the outgoing power is located. We thus exclude high frequencies \( \omega \gg M^{-1} \) where the power is exponentially suppressed, and low frequencies \( \omega \ll M^{-1} \) where it is power-law suppressed, from the spectrum (6). Since the function \( \omega G_U(\omega, \gamma) \) depends on \( \omega \) and \( M \) only through the combination \( \omega M \) [20], which is of order unity, for such frequencies \( G_U \) varies only on angular scales of order unity; there are no other dimensionless parameters on which the function depends. It follows that \( G_U \) has negligible variation over the range \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1/(\omega \sigma_\Delta) \sim M/M^2 \ll 1 \) that is not exponentially suppressed by the exponential factor in Eq. (17). Hence we can evaluate this function at \( \gamma = 0 \) and pull it outside the integral, and using Eqs. (4) and (5) we re-express it in terms of the total power per unit frequency \( dE/d\omega = \sum_i (dE_i/d\omega_i) \) in the Unruh state. We evaluate the remaining integral using the identity \( \int d\mu P_3(\mu)e^{i\mu} = \sqrt{2\pi i}a_{l+1/2}(a) \) which expresses it as terms of a modified Bessel function of the first kind [21]. The final result is
\[ \left( \frac{dE}{d\omega} \right)_{U,\text{corr},t} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( \frac{dE}{d\omega} \right)_{U} \frac{(2l+1)e^{-\omega^2\sigma_\Delta^2}}{\omega \sigma_\Delta} a_{l+1/2}(\omega^2 \sigma_\Delta^2). \quad (18) \]
Using the approximate formula \( a_{l+1/2}(a) = (2\pi a)^{-1/2}e^{a}[1 + O(\ell^2/a)] \) this simplifies to
\[ \left( \frac{dE}{d\omega} \right)_{U,\text{corr},t} = \left( \frac{dE}{d\omega} \right)_{U} \frac{(2l+1)}{2\omega^2 \sigma_\Delta^2} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{\ell^2}{\omega^2 \sigma_\Delta^2} \right) \right]. \quad (19) \]
This corresponds to a power-law spectrum for angular scales in the range \( 0 \leq \ell \ll l_{\text{crit}} \), with \( l_{\text{crit}} = \omega \sigma_\Delta \), with most of the power in the vicinity of \( l \sim l_{\text{crit}} \). At scales \( l \gg l_{\text{crit}} \) the spectrum falls off exponentially, from the upper bound \( l_{\text{crit}}(a) \leq (2\pi a)^{-1/2}e\exp[-l/(4 \sqrt{a})] \) for \( l \geq \sqrt{a} \gg 1 \).

We now consider the critical angular scale \( l_{\text{crit}} = \omega \sigma_\Delta \). At sufficiently late times \( u \geq M^2 \) we have \( \sigma_\Delta \sim M^2 \) from Eq. (9), and so the critical angular scale is \( l_{\text{crit}} \sim M_0^2/M \gg 1 \) using \( \omega \sim M^{-1} \), which reduces to \( \sim M \) if \( M \sim M_0 \). At early times we have from Eq. (9) and using \( \mu/M \sim 1 - M^2/M_0^2 \) that \( l_{\text{crit}} \sim u^{3/2}M_0^{-7/2} \), so the modification effect first becomes of order unity after an interval of retarded time \( u \sim M_0^{13/3} \).

**Discussion and conclusions:** We close with a number of comments. First, the modification to the Hawking radiation does not alter the amount of entanglement between modes inside the horizon and those outside, and so does not directly impact the unitarity of the evaporation process. The exterior modes that are relevant at late times depend, through the position of the black hole, on which early time exterior modes are occupied (the total number of relevant exterior modes has increased from \( \sim M_0^2 \) to \( \sim M^2 \)). This effect generates nontrivial mutual information [2] between early Hawking radiation and late Hawking radiation, but does not alter the total entanglement between interior and exterior modes.

Second, the corrected Unruh state (14) is not a Gaussian state, unlike the original Unruh state, although it is stationary and spherically symmetric. Thus it is not determined by the two-point function (18), although it is completely determined by the formulae (8) and (14).

Third, the general mechanism discussed here involving spatial translations clearly also applies to other generators of the BMS group. The black hole at late times determines a BMS frame which is related to the initial BMS frame by a transformation which includes a rotation, boost and supertranslation, and secularly growing quantum fluctuations in those transformations modify the outgoing Hawking radiation. However, in Ref. [19] we estimate that the typical boost velocity scale is \( \sim 1/M \), and that the lengthscale involved in the supertranslation fluctuations is \( \sim 1 \); so the corresponding modifications to the Hawking radiation are small.

Fourth, consider the result of interpreting the corrected Unruh state (14) on \( \mathcal{I}^+ \) in terms of a single semiclassical space-time with the black hole at the origin. An outgoing mode with \( l \sim M \) near \( \mathcal{I}^+ \) corresponds near the black hole to a large amplitude standing wave in a thin shell of width \( \sim 1 \) in the non-evanescent region between the horizon and potential barrier, which varies over transverse lengthscales along the horizon of order \( \sim 1 \). This Planckian behavior of the extrapolated corrected Unruh state illustrates the potential pitfalls of thinking...

---

7 For \( l \gg 1 \) Eq. (19) can be more simply derived by approximating the sphere as a plane and replacing the transform (17) with a two dimensional Fourier transform.

8 The effect of boosts is also suppressed by the fact that the operator describing the evolution of the quantum field is approximately diagonal on a position basis for the black hole center of mass, but not on a momentum basis. This is why boost fluctuations are not present in Eq. (14), although they are present in the Wigner function \( \mathcal{W} \).
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A difficulty with this proposal has been that only low \(l\)
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