Probing non-classical light fields with energetic witnesses in Waveguide Quantum Electro-Dynamics
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We analyze energy exchanges between a qubit and a resonant field propagating in a waveguide. The joint dynamics is analytically solved within a repeated interaction model. The work received by the qubit is defined as the unitary component of the field-induced energy change. Using the same definition for the field, we show that both work flows compensate each other. Focusing on the charging of a qubit battery by a pulse of light, we evidence that the work provided by a coherent field is an upper bound for the qubit ergotropy, while this bound can be violated by non-classical fields, e.g., a coherent superposition of zero- and single-photon states. Our results provide operational, energy-based witnesses to probe the non-classical nature of a light field.

Extending the thermodynamic framework to the quantum regime has exciting motivations, e.g., understanding how quantum resources like coherence and entanglement impact the performance of fundamental protocols like work extraction [1–3]. This poses the great challenge to propose operational definitions of work, that can give rise to such experimentally testable effects. For thermodynamic systems of the classical world, work features an entropy-preserving energy change [4]. In the quantum realm, such energy exchanges typically take place when a classical entity drives a closed system. However, analyzing the nature of energy exchanges among quantum systems, e.g., between a charger and a battery along a work extraction protocol, is still exploratory and proves to be a very active field of research nowadays [5]. As a distinctive feature, quantum chargers and batteries may get entangled along the charging protocol. Energy exchanges are thus irreducibly related to the appearance of some entanglement entropy, challenging the concept of work captured by classical intuitions. Most studies aimed at optimizing the charging/discharging process of the battery, focusing on the impact of quantum correlations [6, 7], coherence [8], battery’s inner structure [9–12], collective effects [9–11], and feedback control [12].

Waveguide quantum electrodynamics (WG-QED) provides an ideal scenery to investigate this new physics [13–16]. WG-QED features the fundamental interactions between a quantum emitter (e.g., a qubit) and the electromagnetic field inside a waveguide, and is explored on various experimental platforms, e.g., integrated photonics [17] and superconducting circuits [18]. From a thermodynamic perspective, WG-QED offers the utmost advantage that the light-matter system is isolated. Its dynamics is thus generated by some time-independent Hamiltonian, and was shown to be analytically solvable for any kind of input field [19–21]. Importantly, it allows exploring so-called “autonomous scenarios” where no external action is required, i.e., which do not involve any external source of energy [16]. This greatly simplifies the conceptual analysis, which remains restricted to characterizing the nature of energy exchanges between light and matter.

In this Letter, we exploit this conceptually simple framework to define work as the unitary, entropy-preserving component of the energy received by the light field (resp. the emitter). This definition matches formerly proposed approaches in the limit where the field becomes classical [14, 16, 22]. In the general case of a resonant input field of arbitrary statistics, a first noticeable result is that the two work flows compensate each other when the initial interaction energy is zero. This allows defining a unique work flow, which can be directly measured in the state of the field, after it has scattered off the qubit. When the WG is in the vacuum, we evidence measurable work exchanges of quantum nature along the spontaneous emission process.

We then analyze a simple “charging scenario” where the qubit (resp. a pulse of light) plays the role of a battery (resp. a charger). We study the behavior of the qubit ergotropy as a function of the light statistics. Our study reveals the existence of a bound relating ergotropy and work, that holds for coherent (e.g., classical) fields and gets violated for non-classical ones, e.g., superpositions of zero and one photon pulses. This bound
provides a new witness to probe the non-classicality of a light field, that is based on purely energetic and observable quantities. We finally evidence that coherent superpositions of zero and one photon states always provide more ergotropy to the qubit than the equivalent statistical mixture, providing a new “quantum energetic signature” [23].

Qubit and field observables.— We consider a qubit located at the position $x = 0$ of a one-dimensional waveguide (1DWG) (see Fig. 1). The total, time-independent Hamiltonian reads $H_{tot} = H_q + H_f + V$. We have introduced the bare qubit Hamiltonian $H_q = \hbar \omega_0 \sigma_z$, with $\sigma_- = |g\rangle\langle e|$, $\sigma_+ = |e\rangle\langle g|$, and $\sigma_z = |e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|$, where $|e\rangle$ (resp. $|g\rangle$) denotes the qubit excited (resp. ground) state. The 1DWG features a reservoir of electromagnetic modes with bare Hamiltonian $H_I = \sum_k \hbar \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k$. The operator $b_k^\dagger$ (resp. $b_k$) creates (annihilates) one photon of frequency $\omega_k \geq 0$ and momentum $k = \omega_k v^{-1} \geq 0$, where $v$ is the group velocity. The coupling Hamiltonian reads $V = \hbar g \sum_k (ib_k \sigma_+ + h.c.)$, where $h.c.$ stands for hermitian conjugate and $g$ is the coupling constant, assumed uniform over the modes. Throughout the paper, we shall use the interaction picture with respect to $H_f + H_q$.

We define the lowering operator at the position $x$ as [24]

$$a(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-i \omega_k (t-x/v)} b_k = a(0, t-x/v), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the mode density, taken as uniform. Equation (1) evidences that the field operators depend on the single variable $\tau = t - x/v$ and verify the bosonic commutation relations $[a(x, t), a^\dagger(x', t')] = [a_0(\tau), a^\dagger(\tau')] = \delta(\tau - \tau')$, with $\tau' = \tau' + x'/v$. Conversely, field and qubit states evolve under the action of the time-dependent coupling Hamiltonian in the interaction picture

$$V(t) = i\hbar \sqrt{g} \sigma_+(t)a(0, t) + h.c., \quad (2)$$

where $g = g^2 \mathcal{D}$ and $\sigma_+ (t) = e^{-i \omega_0 t} \sigma_+$.

Equations (1) and (2) suggest that the field-qubit dynamics can be modelled as a series of interactions between the qubit and the units of a conveyor belt, that are shuttled at the velocity $v$ (see Fig. 1). Our approach bears similarities with the so-called collisional or repeated interactions model [25], with the substantial difference that the dynamics is deduced from first principles and provides full access to the joint qubit-field state. In the absence of a scatterer (i.e. for $x < 0$ and $x > 0$), a wave packet propagates without deformation from left to right at the velocity $v$. It is thus sufficient to describe the field before and after the qubit through the input and output operators: $a_{in}(t) = \lim_{t \to -0} a(\epsilon, t)$ and $a_{out}(t) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} a(\epsilon, t)$. These operators satisfy [26]

$$a(0, t) = \frac{1}{2} [a_{in}(t) + a_{out}(t)]. \quad (3)$$

Solving the dynamical equations gives rise to the mean input-output relation (see Supplemental Material [27])

$$\langle a_{out}(t) \rangle = \langle a_{in}(t) \rangle - \sqrt{2} \langle \sigma_- \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Since Eq. (4) is derived in the interaction picture, it holds on mean values. It should thus not be confused with the textbook input-output relation written in Heisenberg representation, that holds for the operators [26].

Reduced dynamical equations.— In view of studying the energy exchanges between qubit and field, we introduce the decomposition, valid for any bipartite system, $\rho(t) = \rho^f(t) \otimes \rho^q(t) + \chi(t)$. The reduced density matrix $\rho^f(t) = \text{Tr}_f\{\rho(t)\}$ (resp. $\rho^q(t) = \text{Tr}_q\{\rho(t)\}$) for the qubit (resp. for the field) is computed from the joint quantum state $\rho(t)$ written in the interaction picture, and $\chi(t)$ is the correlation matrix. The evolution of the qubit and the field follow the dynamical equations

$$\frac{d\rho^k}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ H_k(t), \rho^k(t) \right] - \frac{i}{\hbar} \text{Tr}_{\bar{k}} \left\{ [V(t), \chi(t)] \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where $k, \bar{k} \in \{q, f\}, H_q(t) = i\hbar \sqrt{g} (a(0, t)\sigma_- + h.c.)$, and $H_f(t) = i\hbar \sqrt{g} (a(0, t)\sigma_+ + h.c.)$. Equation (5) evidences that the systems influence each other in two ways. Namely, each system induces an effective driving term $H_k(t)$ in the other system reduced dynamics, while a non-unitary term results from the build-up of correlations between the two systems. It is straightforward to show that the former term (resp. the latter) is entropy-preserving (resp. not).

We first focus on the qubit evolution. The effective drive $H_q(t)$ can be classically interpreted as resulting from the force exerted on the qubit by the local electric field. This force is proportional to the mean field amplitude $\langle a(0, t) \rangle$. Employing Eqs. (3) and (4) we write the drive as $H_q(t) = i\hbar \sqrt{g} (\langle a_{in}(t) \rangle - \sqrt{2} \langle \sigma_- \rangle)/2 \sigma_- + h.c.$, evidencing two components in the force. The first is exerted by the input field initially injected in the 1DWG. The second is exerted by the quantum field radiated by the qubit itself. Strikingly, the latter remains even in the absence of input field provided that the mean qubit dipole $\langle \sigma_- \rangle$ takes non-zero values, i.e., that the qubit has coherences in its bare energy basis. This term was dubbed radiation reaction force [28, 29] and pinpointed as a fundamental mechanism for spontaneous emission.

Conversely, we interpret the effective Hamiltonian $H_f(t)$ as the result of the reciprocal force exerted by the qubit on the field, that is proportional to the mean qubit dipole.

An interesting situation is provided by injecting a pulse of coherent light in the input port of the 1DWG. We show in [27] that Eq. (5) leads to the same evolution for the qubit observables as the Optical Bloch Equations (OBE) at zero temperature. However, the two dynamical equations are fundamentally different. Contrary to Eq. (5), the driving term of the OBE solely contains the input field $\langle a_{in}(t) \rangle$, while the field radiated by the qubit,
\[ \sqrt{\gamma}(\sigma_-(t)) \] is absorbed in the dissipative part. This is expected since unlike our framework, the OBE do not provide any quantum description of the electromagnetic field: it is either treated as a classical drive or as a thermal bath. This has important thermodynamic consequences as we show below. Both descriptions do converge, however, when the field amplitude is large such that \[ |\langle a_{in}(t)\rangle|^2 \gg \gamma \] [27]. This defines the classical limit of the field, where stimulated emission in the driving mode largely overcomes spontaneous emission. In this case, the dynamics reduces to classical Rabi oscillations and the field-qubit correlations are negligible.

**Energetic analysis.**— We first notice that the qubit-field system is isolated, therefore the total energy \[ \dot{U}^{tot}(t) = \text{Tr}\{H_{tot}\rho(t)\} \] is conserved along the evolution. It splits into three terms. We define the qubit’s (resp. the field’s) internal energies as \[ \dot{U}^{k}(t) = \text{Tr}\{H_{k}\rho(t)\} \] (resp. \[ \dot{U}^{f}(t) = \text{Tr}\{H_{f}\rho(t)\} \]), while the coupling energy equals \[ \dot{V}(t) = \text{Tr}\{V\rho(t)\} \]. Below we focus on two general cases: either the input field is in the vacuum, or it is quasi-resonant with the qubit transition and oscillates in phase with the qubit dipole. We show in [27] that both situations lead to a constantly zero coupling term, \[ \dot{V}(t) = 0. \] In turn, this implies that \[ \dot{U}^{k}(t) = -\dot{U}^{f}(t), \] where \[ \dot{U}^{k} \] stands for the rate of energy change. This situation conveys the intuitive picture of two systems exchanging energy through time. We now focus on the nature of these energy exchanges.

Using Eq. (5), we derive the equations ruling the evolution of the qubit and field internal energy rates:

\[
\dot{U}^{k}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\text{Tr}_k \{[H_k, \chi_k(t)]\rho(t)\} - \frac{i}{\hbar}\text{Tr}_k \{H_k \{V(t), \chi(t)\}\} = \dot{W}^{k}(t) + \dot{Q}^{k}(t),
\]

where \( k \in \{q, f\} \). We have denoted by \( \dot{W}^{k} \) (resp. \( \dot{Q}^{k} \)) the energy flow induced by the unitary (resp. non-unitary) part of the reduced dynamical equation. For both systems, \( \dot{W}^{k} \) features an entropy preserving energy change. When the field becomes classical, the quantum correlations vanish and \( \dot{W}^{k} \) is the only remaining energy component. In this case, stimulated emission prevails and qubit-field energetic exchanges are restricted to work exchanges. In what follows, we shall therefore dub \( \dot{W}^{k} \) the work flow.

Conversely, the term \( \dot{Q}^{k} \) features a local change of energy that is accompanied by an entropy change. Furthermore, \( \dot{Q}^{k} \) neither relates to the internal energy change of a thermal bath [30] nor the energy input by a classical measurement [31]—hence it is not eligible as “heat”. We further refer to \( \dot{Q}^{k} \) as the correlation energy flow. We show in [27] that in the range of situations studied in the paper, \( \dot{W}^{k}(t) + \dot{W}^{f}(t) = 0 \), yielding \( \dot{Q}^{k}(t) + \dot{Q}^{f}(t) = 0 \).

Remarkably, the qubit and the field not only exchange equal amounts of energy but also equal amounts of work. This leads us to define a unique flow \( \dot{W}(t) = \dot{W}^{k}(t) = -\dot{W}^{f}(t), \) that features the work received by the qubit and provided by the field.

Employing Eqs. (3) and (4) to expand \( \dot{W} \) as a function of the input field and qubit observables we obtain:

\[
\dot{W}(t) = \hbar \omega_0 \left( 2\sqrt{\gamma} \text{Re}[\langle \sigma_-(t) \rangle \langle a_{in}(t) \rangle^*] - \gamma |\langle \sigma_-(t) \rangle|^2 \right).
\]

The first term features work exchanges by stimulated emission, and is the only work flow captured by the OBE [22]. The latter is remarkable since it remains in the absence of an input field, \( \langle a_{in}(t) \rangle = 0 \), i.e. during the spontaneous emission. On the qubit side, it features the “self-work” of the radiation reaction force. This self-work is always negative since the qubit can only provide energy to the vacuum. On the field side, it corresponds to the “spontaneous work” already evidenced in [16], that was shown to strongly depend on the initial coherence of the qubit.

It is interesting to rewrite the work flow as a function of the field observables only:

\[
\dot{W}(t) = -\hbar \omega_0 \left( |\langle a_{out}(t)\rangle|^2 - |\langle a_{in}(t)\rangle|^2 \right).
\]

Equation (8) reveals that the work flow is the energy change of the field coherent component [32], which is accessible through homodyne or heterodyne measurement schemes [14, 33]. It evidences that the amount of exchanged work is fully encoded in the field state, from which it can be directly measured — in other words, work is an observable. Conversely, the energy change of the field incoherent component directly reflects that the qubit and the field got correlated during the interaction.

**Probing non-classical fields with energetic witnesses.**— From now on we focus on a specific scenario in which a pulse of light propagating in the waveguide scatters off a qubit initially prepared in the ground state. We analyze the transient excitation of the qubit by the pulse as the charging and discharging of a battery (the qubit) by the field (the charger). The figure of merit to optimize is the so-called ergotropy \( \mathcal{E} \) of the qubit [34], which has the simple form \( \mathcal{E}(t) = \hbar \omega_0 \left[ r(t) + z(t) \right] / 2 \), where \( r(t) = \sqrt{\sum_i (\sigma_i(t))^2} \), with \( i \in \{x, y, z\} \), is the radius of the qubit Bloch vector, and \( z(t) = \langle \sigma_z(t) \rangle \) (see [27]). By definition, the ergotropy quantifies the maximal amount of work that can be extracted by unitary operations. One easily shows that it equals the qubit energy when it is in a pure state, and vanishes for thermal states characterized by \( r = -z \). Such zero-ergotropy states define passive states. Conversely, active states are defined by \( \mathcal{E} > 0 \), which is the case e.g. for states with \( z > 0 \).

We first consider the case of a coherent field as a charging pulse, which represents a standard situation in integrated photonics and superconducting circuits. In quantum optics, coherent fields are considered as “classical” since they possess a well-defined classical limit. In the rest of the paper we stick to this approach of classicality. The correlation energy rate reads \( \dot{Q}(t) = \)}
Temporal profile of the input pulse. The field state reads \(|1\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dt \alpha(t)a^{\dagger}(0, t)\) where \(\alpha(t) = \sqrt{\gamma} e^{-\gamma t/2} - i \omega t\) and \(\gamma\) is the spontaneous emission rate. (b) Energetic analysis of the charging-discharging process of the qubit battery: internal energy \(U\) (dotted black), received work \(W\) (solid blue), correlation energy \(Q\) (dashed red) and ergotropy \(E\) (dashed-dotted green) as a function of time. Inset: Qubit states (i), (ii), and (iii) in the Bloch representation for the charging process at times \(\gamma t \in \{-5, -1, 0\}\), respectively.

\[\gamma(|\langle \sigma_- \rangle|^2 - \langle \sigma_+ \sigma_- \rangle) \leq 0,\text{ see [27].} \]

This implies the following chain of inequalities: \(W(t) \geq U(t) \geq E(t) \geq 0\), where \(W(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dt' W(t')\) [resp. \(Q(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dt' Q(t')\)]. Importantly, this shows that a coherent field cannot provide more ergotropy than work to a qubit: below we refer to this bound as the classical ergotropy bound. The present situation is reciprocal of the situation studied in [16], where an initially excited qubit (the charger) is charging the waveguide battery. The classical ergotropy bound completes the result derived in [16], where the amount of work received by the waveguide field was shown to be upper bounded by the initial ergotropy of the qubit.

The situation drastically changes when the input field is non-classical, i.e. its statistics is not coherent. A critical case is provided by a single photon pulse, whose temporal shape is a mode-matched inverted exponential as sketched in Fig. 2(a), see also [27]. Such fields have been theoretically [21, 35] and experimentally [36–38] shown to lead to complete population inversion of the qubit. This appears on Fig. 2(b) where the qubit energetic properties have been plotted as a function of time. In the rest of the paper, the origin of time is set by the completion of the charging process, i.e. when the qubit energy and ergotropy reach their maximum. Single-photon input states do not create any coherence on the qubit state, see inset of Fig. 2(b). Consequently, no work is exchanged \(W(t) = 0\), the qubit energy being solely fueled by the correlation energy \(Q(t)\). Population inversion provides ergotropy to the qubit, such that \(E(t) \geq W(t)\). The violation of the classical ergotropy bound is an energetic witness for the non-classicality of the field. It complements other criteria based on Wigner function negativities [32, 39], and relies on operational quantities that are experimentally accessible.

Remarkably, the relation \(E(t) \geq W(t)\) remains valid for any coherent superposition of zero and one photon states as charging fields. Such quantum states can be produced and probed experimentally, see e.g. [17]. We denote them as \(|\psi_{\theta}\rangle = c_{\theta}|0\rangle + s_{\theta}|1\rangle\), with \(c_{\theta} = \cos(\theta/2)\) and \(s_{\theta} = \sin(\theta/2)\), where \(\theta \in [0, \pi]\) and \(|1\rangle\) is a single-photon pulse of arbitrary temporal shape. We show in [27] that \(W(t) = |c_{\theta}|^2 U(t)\), leading to \(0 \leq W(t) \leq E(t) \leq U(t)\). This behavior is pictured in Fig. 3 for different values of \(\theta\).

Finally, we focus on the impact of quantum coherence on the fueling ability of the field. Namely, we have compared the qubit ergotropy \(E\) provided by the coherent superposition \(|\psi_{\theta}\rangle\) and by the statistical mixture \(\rho_{\theta} = c_{\theta}^2|0\rangle\langle 0| + s_{\theta}^2|1\rangle\langle 1|\), both carrying the same energy \(s_{\theta}^2 \hbar \omega_{0}\). Figure 4(a) [resp. 4(b)] depicts the evolution of the qubit energetic properties and of the Bloch vector when the impinging field is a coherent superpo-

\[\theta = 0 \quad \theta = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \theta = \frac{3\pi}{2} \quad \theta = \pi \]
Figure 4. Impact of the field quantum coherence on the charging process. Energetic analysis of the charging and discharging process for the charging field being \( |\psi (t)\rangle\) (a), and \( \rho_0\) (b), with \( \theta = 5\pi/8\): \( U \) (dotted black), \( W \) (solid blue), \( Q \) (dashed red), and \( \varepsilon \) (dashed-dotted green). Inset: Qubit states (i), (ii), and (iii) in the Bloch representation for the charging process at times \( \gamma t \in \{-5, -1, 0\} \), respectively. (c) Maximum ergotropy (ergotropy at \( t = 0\)) changing \( \theta \) for a qubit charged by \( |\psi (t)\rangle\) (solid line) and \( \rho_0 \) (dashed line).

Outlooks.— Our work brings new evidences that quantum resources impact the energetic behavior of quantum systems. Originally, they take the form of operational energetic witnesses, that complement the well-known quasi-probability distributions of quantum optics. The experiments we propose are feasible on state-of-the-art platforms of circuit QED or integrated photonics. Beyond the WG-QED scenery, our framework opens new avenues to extend the concept of work in the quantum world, building on the existence of a well-defined classical limit for the driving field. Our approach can be further used to analyze energy flows induced by other kinds of interactions, e.g., modeling measurement processes.

Acknowledgments.— The authors warmly thank G. Landi for his precious comments. This work was supported by the Foundational Questions Institute Fund (Grant number FQXi-IAF19-05), the Templeton World Charity Foundation, Inc (Grant No. TWCF0338) and the ANR Research Collaborative Project “Qu-DICE” (ANR-PRC-CES47).

[18] X. Gu, A. F. Kockum, A. Miranowicz, Y.-x. Liu, and
[27] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for further details.