A pedagogical note on the computation of relative entropy of two $n$-mode gaussian states
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A formula for the relative entropy $S(\rho||\sigma) = \text{Tr} \rho (\log \rho - \log \sigma)$ of two gaussian states $\rho$, $\sigma$ in the boson Fock space $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is presented. It is shown that the relative entropy has a classical and a quantum part: The classical part consists of a weighted linear combination of relative Shannon entropies of $n$ pairs of Bernoulli trials arising from the thermal state composition of the gaussian states $\rho$ and $\sigma$. The quantum part has a sum of $n$ terms, that are functions of the annihilation means and the covariance matrices of 1-mode marginals of the gaussian state $\rho'$, which is equivalent to $\rho$ under a disentangling unitary gaussian symmetry operation of the state $\sigma$. A generalized formula for the Petz-Rényi relative entropy $S_\alpha(\rho||\sigma) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \log \text{Tr} \rho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ for gaussian states $\rho$, $\sigma$ is also presented. Furthermore it is shown that $S_\alpha(\rho||\sigma)$ converges to the limit $S(\rho||\sigma)$ as $\alpha$ increases to 1.

In memory of Robin L Hudson
1. INTRODUCTION

Every gaussian state in $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is completely determined by its annihilation mean and covariance matrix. A finer analysis of the covariance matrix reveals that such a gaussian state is composed of a product of $n$ thermal states (including the vacuum state) and an entangling unitary gaussian symmetry operator arising from the group generated by phase translations and symplectic transformations. Every thermal state, when viewed in a product basis, gives rise to a natural Bernoulli (binomial) trial with success and failure probabilities corresponding to detection or no-detection of a particle.

In this paper we present a formula for the relative entropy $S(\rho|\sigma) = \text{Tr} \rho (\log \rho - \log \sigma)$ of two gaussian states $\rho, \sigma$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n)$. It is shown that the relative entropy $S(\rho|\sigma)$ contains two distinguished components: the first part is a weighted linear combination of classical Shannon relative entropies $H(p_{1j}:p_{2j}), j = 1,2,\ldots,n$ of $n$ pairs of Bernoulli trials arising from the thermal components of the gaussian states $\rho$ and $\sigma$. The second part is purely quantum and it contains a sum of $n$ terms, each of which is arising from the annihilation mean $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and the $2 \times 2$ covariance matrices $T_k$ of 1-mode marginal states $\rho(\alpha_k,T_k)$ of a gaussian state $\rho' = U\rho U$ where $U = W(\ell)\Gamma(L)$ corresponds to the disentangling gaussian symmetry of the state $\sigma$.

Extending the above concepts we derive an explicit formula for the Petz-Rényi relative entropy $S_\alpha(\rho|\sigma) = -\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\log \text{Tr} \rho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ for two gaussian states $\rho, \sigma$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We show that in the limit $\alpha \to 1$ the Petz-Rényi relative entropy $S_\alpha(\rho|\sigma)$ reduces to the relative entropy $S(\rho|\sigma)$ as expected.

2. PRELIMINARY CONCEPTS ON GAUSSIAN STATES

We focus our attention on gaussian states in the complex Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of a quantum system with $n$ degrees of freedom, which constitute a natural extension of the concept of normal (gaussian) distributions in classical probability [Par10, Par13, PS13, JP14, JP21].

We shall use the Dirac notation $\langle u | v \rangle$ for the scalar product of any two elements $u$ and $v$ of a complex Hilbert space. The scalar product $\langle u | v \rangle$ is assumed to be linear in $v$ and conjugate linear in $u$. For any operator $A$ and elements $u, v$ in the Hilbert space we write $\langle u | A | v \rangle = \langle A^\dagger u | v \rangle$ whenever $v$ is in the domain of $A$ and $u$ is in the domain of $A^\dagger$, provided they are well-defined.

Consider the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, or equivalently, the boson Fock space $\mathcal{H} = \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n)$, over the complex Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^n$ of finite dimension $n$. Fix a canonical orthonormal basis $\{e_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with
\[ e_k = (0,0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0)^T \]

where ‘1’ appears in the $k^{th}$ position.

At every element $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we associate a pair of operators $a(u), a^\dagger(u)$, called annihilation, creation operators, respectively in the boson Fock space $\mathcal{H}$ and
\[ W(u) = e^{a^\dagger(u) - a(u)} \quad (2.1) \]
denotes the Weyl displacement operator or simply Weyl operator in $\mathcal{H}$. For any state $\rho$ in $\mathcal{H}$ the complex-valued function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by
\[ \hat{\rho}(u) = \text{Tr} W(u) \rho, \quad u \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad (2.2) \]
is the quantum characteristic function of $\rho$ at $u$. The state $\rho$ is said to have finite second moments if
\[ \langle a^\dagger(u) a(u) \rangle_\rho = \text{Tr} a^\dagger(u) a(u) \rho < \infty, \quad \forall \ u \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.3) \]
The annihilation mean, or simply the mean $m \in \mathbb{C}^n$ in the state $\rho \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined through
\[ \langle a(u) \rangle_\rho = \text{Tr} a(u) \rho = \langle u|m \rangle, \quad u \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.4) \]

Then
\[ \langle a^\dagger(u) \rangle_\rho = \langle m|u \rangle. \quad (2.5) \]

Define the observables
\[ q(u) = \frac{a(u) + a^\dagger(u)}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (2.6) \]
\[ p(u) = \frac{a(u) - a^\dagger(u)}{i \sqrt{2}} \quad (2.7) \]
Then the quantum characteristic function of \( \rho \) at \( u \) can be expressed as
\[
\hat{\rho}(u) = \text{Tr} e^{-i\sqrt{2}p(u)} \rho.
\]
(2.8)

Let \( u = x + iy, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then \( x \rightarrow q(x), \ y \rightarrow p(y) \) are position and momentum fields obeying the commutation relations
\[
[q(x), p(y)] = i x^T y.
\]
(2.9)

Then
\[
q_k = q(e_k), \ p_k = p(e_k), \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n
\]
yield the canonical commutation relations (CCR)
\[
[q_j, q_k] = 0, \ [p_j, p_k] = 0, \ [q_j, p_k] = i \delta_{jk}.
\]
(2.10)

Variance of \( p(u) \) in the state \( \rho \) yields a quadratic form in \((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}\) so that
\[
\text{Var}_\rho p(x + iy) = (x, y)^T C(x y).
\]
(2.11)

Here \( C \) is a real \( 2n \times 2n \) positive definite matrix, satisfying the matrix inequality
\[
C + \frac{i}{2} J \geq 0
\]
(2.12)

with
\[
J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & I_n \\ -I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}
\]
(2.13)

being the canonical symplectic matrix in the real symplectic matrix group of order \( 2n \):
\[
\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \{ L | L^T J L = J \}.
\]

In (2.13) the right hand side is expressed in the block notation, with \( 0_n, I_n \) denoting \( n \times n \) null and identity matrices respectively. The correspondence
\[
t \mapsto W(t u) = e^{-it\sqrt{2}p(u)}
\]
(2.14)
is a strongly continuous one-parameter group of unitary operators in \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \hat{\rho}(t, u) = \text{Tr} W(t u) \rho \) is the characteristic function of the observable \( p(u) \), which has the normal or gaussian distribution with mean value
\[
\frac{\langle m | u \rangle - \langle u | m \rangle}{i \sqrt{2}}
\]
(2.15)

and variance
\[
(x^T, y^T) C \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \ x + iy = u.
\]
(2.16)

Then
\[
\hat{\rho}(t(x + iy)) = \exp \left[ t \left( \frac{(m | u) - (u | m)}{i \sqrt{2}} \right) - t^2 \left( x^T, y^T \right) C \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right],
\]
(2.17)

for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}, \ u \in \mathbb{C}^n \) with \( u = x + iy \). Thus \( \rho \) is a quantum gaussian state with mean \( m \) and covariance matrix \( C \) if and only if
\[
\hat{\rho}(x + iy) = \exp \left[ 2i \text{Im}(x - iy)^T m - \left( x^T, y^T \right) C \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right]
\]
\[
= \exp \left[ 2i \left( x^T \text{Im} m - y^T \text{Re} m \right) - \left( x^T, y^T \right) C \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right]
\]
(2.18)
for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \). We write
\[
\rho(m, C) = n-\text{mode gaussian state with mean } m \text{ and covariance matrix } C.
\]
The covariance matrix may be expressed in the \( n \times n \) block matrix notation as
\[
C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix}
\]
where
\[
C_{11} = \text{Cov}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \\
C_{22} = \text{Cov}(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) \\
C_{12} = (-\text{Cov}(p_j, q_k)) = C_{21}^T.
\]

Note that
\[
W(z) \rho(m, C) W(z)^\dagger = \rho(m + z, C), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.
\]

3. WILLIAMSON’S THEOREM APPLIED TO \( n \)-MODE GAUSSIAN COVARIANCE MATRIX

Let \( C \) be a covariance matrix of an \( n \)-mode gaussian state \( \rho \) as described above. Then there exist \( \nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \ldots \geq \nu_n \geq 1/2 \) and a sympletic matrix \( L \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) such that
\[
C = L^T \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n) & 0_n \\ 0_n & \text{diag}(\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n) \end{pmatrix} L
\]

\[ (3.1) \]

Theorem 1. To every \( L \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \), there exists a unitary operator \( \Gamma(L) \) in the boson Fock space \( H = \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n) \) satisfying
\[
\Gamma(L) W(u) \Gamma(L)^{-1} = W(L \circ u), \quad u \in \mathbb{C}^n
\]

where
\[
L \circ u = \left( I_n, i I_n \right) L \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[ = (A_{11} x + A_{22} y) + i (A_{21} x + A_{22} y), \]

with \( A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{21}, A_{22} \) denoting \( n \times n \) real matrices constituting \( L \) as
\[
L = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},
\]

and \( u = x + iy, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \). The unitary operator \( \Gamma(L) \) is unique up to a scalar multiple of modulus unity.

Proof. Follows as a consequence of Stone-von Neumann theorem on Weyl operator \([\text{Par10, Par13}]\). \( \square \)

4. STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR \( n \)-MODE GAUSSIAN STATES

For \( 0 < s \leq \infty \), we may associate a single mode gaussian thermal state \( \rho(s) \) in \( \Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \) by
\[
\rho(s) = (1 - e^{-s}) e^{-sa^\dagger a}
\]

where \( s \) denotes inverse temperature and \( \rho(\infty) = |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega| \), the vacuum state or the thermal state at zero temperature. Every thermal state \( \rho(s) \) yields a natural binomial distribution with probability for success equal to \( e^{-s} \), where success stands for the event that the number of particles detected is greater than or equal to 1. Then, failure is equivalent to no particle count with probability \( 1 - e^{-s} \).
The von Neumann entropy of the thermal state \( \rho(s) \) is given by

\[
S(\rho(s)) = -\text{Tr} \rho(s) \log \rho(s) = \frac{H(e^{-s})}{1 - e^{-s}}. \tag{4.2}
\]

Here \( H(p) = -p \log p - (1-p) \log (1-p), \ 0 \leq p \leq 1 \) is the Shannon function. Note that \( \rho(s) \rightarrow \rho(\infty) = |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega| \) as \( s \rightarrow \infty \). The state \( \rho(\infty) \) being pure, \( S(\rho(\infty)) = 0 \).

Consider any \( n \)-mode gaussian state \( \rho(m, C) \) with mean \( m \in \mathbb{C}^n \) and real symmetric positive definite \( 2n \times 2n \) covariance matrix \( C \). Then, there exist \( 0 < s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_n \leq \infty \), and a symplectic matrix \( L \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) such that

\[
\rho(m, C) = W(m) \Gamma(L) \rho(s_1) \otimes \rho(s_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(s_n) \Gamma(L)^{-1} W(m)^{-1}. \tag{4.3}
\]

The sequence \( s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \) is unique. The covariance matrix \( C \) is given by

\[
C = (L^{-1})^T D(s)L^{-1}, \quad D(s) = \begin{pmatrix} D_0(s) & 0_n \\ 0_n & D_0(s) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.4}
\]

\[
D_0(s) = \text{diag} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \coth \left( \frac{s_k}{2} \right), k = 1, 2, \ldots n \right]. \tag{4.5}
\]

Thus the von Neumann entropy \( S(\rho) \) is given by

\[
S(\rho) = \sum_{k: s_k < \infty} \frac{H(e^{-s_k})}{1 - e^{-s_k}}. \tag{4.6}
\]

## 5. RELATIVE ENTROPY \( S(\rho|\sigma) \) OF TWO GAUSSIAN STATES

To set the stage ready for the computation of relative entropy \( S(\rho|\sigma) = \text{Tr} \rho (\log \rho - \log \sigma) \) of two gaussian states \( \rho, \sigma \) we present the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}, \ ||\psi|| = 1, \) and \( \rho \) be a state in \( \mathcal{H} \) such that \( \langle \psi|\rho|\psi\rangle < 1 \). Then

\[
S(\rho || |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|) := \infty. \tag{5.1}
\]

**Proof.** Write \( |\psi_0\rangle = |\psi\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, \ldots \) such that \( \{ |\psi_k\rangle, k = 0, 1, \ldots \} \) forms an orthonormal basis (ONB) in \( \mathcal{H} \). Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle \psi_k|\rho|\psi_k\rangle = 1. \tag{5.2}
\]

For all \( k_0 : k_0 \geq 1 \) such that \( \langle \psi_{k_0}|\rho|\psi_{k_0}\rangle > 0, \ |\psi_{k_0}\rangle \) is an eigenvector of \(-\log |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| \) with eigenvalue \( \infty \). Thus

\[
-\text{Tr} \rho \log |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| \geq \langle \psi_{k_0}|\rho|\psi_{k_0}\rangle \times \infty = \infty.
\]

Then

\[
S(\rho || |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0|) = -\text{Tr} \rho \log \rho - \text{Tr} \rho \log |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| = \infty. \tag{5.3}
\]

\( \square \)

**Lemma 2.** Consider Hilbert spaces \( \mathcal{H}_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and states \( \sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_k \). Relative entropy of any arbitrary state \( \rho \) with respect to the state \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \sigma_n \) in \( \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n \) is given by

\[
S(\rho || \sigma) = -S(\rho) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{Tr} \rho_k \log \sigma_k \tag{5.4}
\]

where \( \rho_k \) is the \( k \)-th marginal of the state \( \rho \) in \( \mathcal{H}_k \).
Proof. Write \( \tilde{\sigma}_k = I \otimes I \otimes \ldots \otimes I \otimes \sigma_k \otimes I \otimes \ldots \otimes I \), where \( \sigma_k \) appears at the \( k \)-th position. Then

\[
\log \sigma = \sum_k \log \tilde{\sigma}_k
\]  

(5.5)

and

\[
\text{Tr} \rho \log \sigma = \sum_k \text{Tr} \rho \log \tilde{\sigma}_k = \sum_k \text{Tr} \rho_k \log \sigma_k
\]  

(5.6)

So

\[
S(\rho \| \sigma) = \text{Tr} \rho \log \rho - \text{Tr} \rho \log \sigma = -S(\rho) - \sum_k \text{Tr} \rho_k \log \sigma_k.
\]  

(5.7)

Lemma 3. Let \( \rho(m,T) \) be a 1-mode gaussian state with annihilation mean \( m \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( 2 \times 2 \) covariance matrix \( T \). Consider a thermal state \( \rho(t) = (1 - e^{-t}) e^{-ta^d a} \) with \( a, a^d \) denoting 1-mode annihilation and creation operators respectively. Then

\[
\text{Tr} \rho(m,T) \log \rho(t) = \log (1 - e^{-t}) - \frac{t}{2} (\text{Tr} T + 2|m|^2 - 1), \quad \text{if } 0 < t < \infty.
\]  

(5.8)

Proof. It is readily seen that

\[
\text{Tr} \rho(m,T) \log \rho(t) = \text{Tr} \rho(m,T) \left\{ \log (1 - e^{-t}) - t a^d a \right\}
\]

(5.9)

Expressing

\[
\langle a^d a \rangle_{\rho(m,T)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle p^2 + q^2 \rangle \right)_{\rho(m,T)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{Var} (p)_{\rho(m,T)} + \text{Var} (q)_{\rho(m,T)} + \langle p \rangle_{\rho(m,T)}^2 + \langle q \rangle_{\rho(m,T)}^2 - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{Tr} T + 2 \left| \left\langle \frac{q + ip}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_{\rho(m,T)} \right|^2 - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{Tr} T + 2 |m|^2 - 1 \right)
\]  

(5.10)

we obtain (5.8).  

Lemma 4. Let \( \rho(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{C}), \sigma \) be gaussian states in \( \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n) \), where \( \mathbf{m} \) is the annihilation mean i.e., \( \langle a(\mathbf{u}) \rangle_\rho = \langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{m} \rangle \) and \( \mathbf{C} \) is the covariance matrix of \( \rho \). Let the standard structure of the gaussian state \( \sigma \) be

\[
\sigma = W(\ell) \Gamma(L) \rho(t_1) \otimes \rho(t_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(t_n) \Gamma(L)^{-1} W(\ell)^{-1}
\]  

(5.11)

so that \( \ell \) is the annihilation mean of \( \sigma \). Here \( L \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) with \( \Gamma(L) W(\mathbf{u}) \Gamma(L)^{-1} = W(L \circ \mathbf{u}), \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( 0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq \infty \) as before in the structure theorem. Then

\[
S(\rho \| \sigma) = S(\rho' \| \rho(t_1) \otimes \rho(t_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(t_n))
\]  

(5.12)

where \( \rho' \equiv \rho'(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{C}') \) is the gaussian state characterized by the annihilation mean

\[
\mathbf{m}' = L^{-1} \circ (\mathbf{m} - \ell)
\]  

(5.13)

and the covariance matrix

\[
\mathbf{C}' = L^T \mathbf{C} L.
\]  

(5.14)
Proof. For any unitary \( U \) in \( \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n) \) and for \( \rho' = U^\dagger \rho U, \ \sigma' = U^\dagger \sigma U \) we have

\[
S(\rho'||\sigma') = S(\rho||\sigma),
\]

(5.15)

Choose \( U = W(\ell) \Gamma(L) \) to be the gaussian symmetry leading to the standard form \( \rho \) of \( \sigma \) i.e.,

\[
\sigma' = (W(\ell) \Gamma(L))^{-1} \sigma W(\ell) \Gamma(L) = \rho(t_1) \otimes \rho(t_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(t_n).
\]

Noting that

\[
W(z)^{-1} \rho(m, C) W(z) = \rho(m - z, C), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n
\]

\[
\Gamma(M)^{-1} \rho(m, C) \Gamma(M) = \rho(M^{-1} \circ m, \ M^T C M), \quad M \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})
\]

we recover \( \rho' = \rho(m', C') \) respectively for the annihilation mean and the covariance matrix of the transformed state

\[
\rho'(m', C') = (W(\ell) \Gamma(L))^{-1} \rho \ W(\ell) \Gamma(L).
\]

Hence (5.12) follows. \( \Box \)

Let us denote

\[
m_\rho = L^{-1} \circ (m - \ell) = ((m_\rho)_1, (m_\rho)_2, \ldots, (m_\rho)_n)^T
\]

\[
T_k = \text{the } k \text{-th 1-mode covariance matrix of order 2 in } L^T C L.
\]

The 1-mode covariance matrix \( T_k \) is made up of the \((kk)-\), \((k, k + n)-\), \((k + n, k)-\), \((k + n, k + n)-\) th elements of the transformed \( 2n \times 2n \) covariance matrix \( C' = L^T C L \).

Following observations are useful for computing relative entropy \( S(\rho||\sigma) \) of \( \rho \) with respect to \( \sigma \).

**Observation 1:** The \( k \)-th mode marginal of the transformed state \( \rho'(m', C') = (W(\ell) \Gamma(L))^{-1} \rho W(\ell) \Gamma(L) \) is the 1-mode gaussian state denoted by \( \rho((m_\rho)_k, t_k) \).

**Observation 2:** Using Lemma 4 we have \( S(\rho||\sigma) = S(\rho'||\rho(t_1) \otimes \rho(t_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(t_n)) \). Since \( \rho' \) and \( \rho \) are unitarily equivalent it follows that \( S(\rho') = S(\rho) \).

**Observation 3:** By Lemma 2 it follows that

\[
S(\rho||\sigma) = -S(\rho) - \sum_k \text{Tr} \rho((m_\rho)_k, T_k) \log \rho(t_k).
\]

If \( t_k = \infty \), then \( \rho(t_k) = |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega| \) (vacuum state). If, in addition, \( \rho((m_\rho)_k, T_k) \neq |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega| \), then by Lemma 4 we have

\[
-\text{Tr} \rho((m_\rho)_k, T_k) \log \rho(t_k) = \infty.
\]

Since \( -\text{Tr} \rho((m_\rho)_k, T_k) \log \rho(t_k) > 0 \ \forall \ j \), it follows that \( S(\rho||\sigma) = \infty \).

**Observation 4:** If \( \rho(t_k) = |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega| \), whenever \( t_k = \infty \), and by Lemma 2

\[
\text{Tr} \rho((m_\rho)_k, T_k) \log \rho(t_k) = \log (1 - e^{-t_k}) - \frac{t_k}{2} \left( \text{Tr} T_k + 2 |(m_\rho)_k|^2 - 1 \right), \quad \text{if } t_k < \infty.
\]

we have

\[
S(\rho||\sigma) = -S(\rho) + \sum_{k: t_k < \infty} \left\{ -\log (1 - e^{-t_k}) - \frac{t_k}{2} \left( \text{Tr} T_k + 2 |(m_\rho)_k|^2 - 1 \right) \right\}.
\]

Thus we obtain

\[
S(\rho||\sigma) = \begin{cases} 
\infty, & \text{if } \exists \ k \text{ such that } t_k = \infty \text{ and } \rho((m_\rho)_k, T_k) \neq |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega|, \\
-S(\rho) + \sum_{k: t_k < \infty} \left( -\log (1 - e^{-t_k}) - \frac{t_k}{2} \left( \text{Tr} T_k + 2 |(m_\rho)_k|^2 - 1 \right) \right), & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

(5.16)
Let \(0 < s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_n \leq \infty, t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq \infty\) and \(S(\rho||\sigma) < \infty\). Then

\[
S(\rho||\sigma) = -S(\rho) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ -\log(1 - e^{-t_k}) + \frac{t_k}{2} [\text{Tr} T_k + 2 |(m_\rho)_k|^2 - 1] \right\}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{-H(e^{-s_k})}{(1 - e^{-s_k})} - \log(1 - e^{-t_k}) + \frac{t_k}{2} [\text{Tr} T_k + 2 |(m_\rho)_k|^2 - 1] \right\}. \tag{5.17}
\]

Drop the suffix \(k\) for the \(k\)-th term of the summation in \(5.17\) for convenience of computation and express it as

\[
\frac{-H(e^{-s})}{(1 - e^{-s})} - \log(1 - e^{-t}) + \frac{t}{2} (\text{Tr} T + 2 |m_\rho|^2 - 1). \tag{5.18}
\]

The first two terms of \(5.18\) can be expressed as

\[
\frac{-H(e^{-s})}{(1 - e^{-s})} - \log(1 - e^{-t}) = \frac{H(p_1 : p_2)}{(1 - e^{-s})} - \frac{t e^{-s}}{(1 - e^{-s})}, \tag{5.19}
\]

where

\[
H(p_1 : p_2) = p_1 \log p_1 + (1 - p_1) \log (1 - p_1) - p_1 \log p_2 - (1 - p_1) \log (1 - p_2), \quad p_1 = e^{-s}, \quad p_2 = e^{-t} \tag{5.20}
\]

is the classical Shannon relative entropy of a binomial trial with probability of success \(p_1\) with respect to another with probability of success \(p_2\). Thus \(5.15\) takes the form

\[
\frac{H(e^{-s} : e^{-t})}{(1 - e^{-s})} + \frac{t}{2} \left[ \text{Tr} T - \left( 1 + \frac{2 e^{-s}}{1 - e^{-s}} \right) + 2 |m_\rho|^2 \right] = \frac{H(e^{-s} : e^{-t})}{(1 - e^{-s})} + \frac{t}{2} \left[ \text{Tr} T - \coth \left( \frac{s}{2} \right) + 2 |m_\rho|^2 \right]. \tag{5.21}
\]

Substituting \(5.21\) in \(5.17\) leads to

\[
S(\rho||\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{H(e^{-s_k} : e^{-t_k})}{(1 - e^{-s_k})} + \frac{t_k}{2} [\text{Tr} T_k - \coth \left( \frac{s_k}{2} \right) + 2 |(m_\rho)_k|^2] \right\} \tag{5.22}
\]

whenever \(S(\rho||\sigma) < \infty\).

**Remark 1.** Relative entropy \(S(\rho||\sigma)\) of two gaussian states \(\rho\) and \(\sigma\) consists of a classical part \(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{H(e^{-s_k} : e^{-t_k})}{(1 - e^{-s_k})}\)

and a quantum part \(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{t_k}{2} \left[ \text{Tr} T_k - \coth \left( \frac{s_k}{2} \right) + 2 |(m_\rho)_k|^2 \right]\).

**Remark 2.** Note that \(\coth \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)\) is equal to the trace of the \(2 \times 2\) covariance matrix of the single mode gaussian state \(\rho(s_k)\).

**Remark 3.** Note that

\[
e^{-s_k} = \text{Success probability}
\]

\[
e^{-t_k} = \text{Success probability}
\]

whereas \(1 - e^{-s_k}\) and \(1 - e^{-t_k}\) are respectively the probabilities of the “no particle count” in the thermal states \(\rho(s_k)\) and \(\rho(t_k)\).

6. **PETZ-RÉNYI RELATIVE ENTROPY \(S_\alpha(\rho||\sigma), \; 0 < \alpha < 1\) OF TWO GAUSSIAN STATES**

Consider the \(\alpha\)-dependent Petz-Rényi relative entropy \([\text{R61, P86]}\) between two states \(\rho, \; \sigma\):

\[
S_\alpha(\rho||\sigma) = - \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \text{Tr} \rho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha} \tag{6.1}
\]
for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $\rho$ and $\sigma$ be two $n$-mode gaussian states with their respective standard forms given by

$$
\rho = W(\ell) \Gamma(L) \rho(s_1) \otimes \rho(s_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(s_n) \ (W(\ell) \Gamma(L))^{-1}
$$

$$
\sigma = W(m) \Gamma(M) \rho(t_1) \otimes \rho(t_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(t_n) \ (W(m) \Gamma(M))^{-1}
$$

(6.2)

where $\ell, m \in \mathbb{C}^n$; $L, M \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$; $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_n \leq \infty$; $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq \infty$. Let $U = W(m) \Gamma(M)$. Then $\rho' = U^{-1} \rho U$ and $\sigma' = U^{-1} \sigma U$ are gaussian states whose standard forms are given by

$$
\rho' = W(M^{-1} \circ (\ell - m)) \Gamma(M^{-1} L) \rho(s_1) \otimes \rho(s_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(s_n) \ (W(M^{-1} \circ (\ell - m)) \Gamma(M^{-1} L))^{-1}
$$

$$
\sigma' = \rho(t_1) \otimes \rho(t_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(t_n).
$$

(6.3)

and

$$
S_\alpha(\rho || \sigma) = S_\alpha(\rho' || \sigma').
$$

(6.4)

Thus, in the computation of $S_\alpha(\rho || \sigma)$ we may and do assume that $\rho$ and $\sigma$ have their standard forms given by

$$
\rho = W(m_\rho) \Gamma(L_\rho) \rho(s_1) \otimes \rho(s_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(s_n) \ (W(m_\rho) \Gamma(L_\rho))^{-1}
$$

$$
\sigma = \rho(t_1) \otimes \rho(t_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho(t_n).
$$

(6.5)

where the covariance matrix

$$
C_\rho = L_\rho^T D(s) L_\rho, \quad L_\rho \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})
$$

$$
D(s) = \begin{pmatrix} D_0(s) & 0_n \\ 0_n & D_0(s) \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
D_0(s) = \text{diag} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \coth \left( \frac{s_1}{2} \right) \right]
$$

(6.6)

and

$$
C_\sigma = D(t) = \begin{pmatrix} D_0(t) & 0_n \\ 0_n & D_0(t) \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
D_0(s) = \text{diag} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \coth \left( \frac{t_1}{2} \right) \right]
$$

(6.7)

We denote

$$
\rho = \rho(m_\rho, L_\rho^T D(s) L_\rho)
$$

$$
\sigma = \rho(0, D(t)).
$$

(6.8)

Let us assume that $s_k < \infty$ and $t_k \leq \infty$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ we have

$$
\rho^\alpha = \frac{p^\alpha(s)}{p(\alpha s)} \rho(m_\rho, L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho)
$$

$$
\sigma^{1-\alpha} = \frac{p^{1-\alpha}(t)}{p((1-\alpha) t)} \rho(0, D((1-\alpha) t))
$$

(6.9)

(6.10)

where $p(r) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - e^{-r_k})$. Note that $\rho^\alpha$, $\sigma^{1-\alpha}$ are also gaussian states $\rho(m_\rho, L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho)$, $\rho(0, D((1-\alpha) t))$

up to multiplication by scalar factors $\frac{p^\alpha(s)}{p(\alpha s)}$, $\frac{p^{1-\alpha}(t)}{p((1-\alpha) t)}$ respectively.

By Wigner's theorem [JP19], [JP21] and gaussian integral formula we obtain

$$
\text{Tr} p^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dx \, dy \, \rho(y) (x + iy) \left( \sigma^{1-\alpha}(x + iy) \right)
$$

$$
= \left( \frac{p^\alpha(s)}{p(\alpha s)} \right) \left( \frac{p^{1-\alpha}(t)}{p((1-\alpha) t)} \right) \left( \exp \left[ -\tilde{m}_\rho^T (L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho + D((1-\alpha) t))^{-1} \tilde{m}_\rho \right] \right)
$$

(6.11)
where $\tilde{m}_\rho^T = (-\text{Im } m_\rho, \text{Re } m_\rho)^T$. We express the Petz-Rényi relative entropy $S_\alpha(\rho|||\sigma)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ as

$$S_\alpha(\rho|||\sigma) = R_\alpha^I + R_\alpha^{II} + R_\alpha^{III} + R_\alpha^{IV}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.12)

$$R_\alpha^I = -\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \alpha \log \left( 1 - e^{-s_k} \right) - \log \left( 1 - e^{-\alpha s_k} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.13)

$$R_\alpha^{II} = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ - \log \left( 1 - e^{-t_k} \right) + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \left( 1 - e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.14)

$$R_\alpha^{III} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[ \tilde{m}_\rho^T \left( L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho + D((1-\alpha) t) \right) \right]^{-1} \tilde{m}_\rho$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15)

$$R_\alpha^{IV} = \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \log \det \left[ L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho + D((1-\alpha) t) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.16)

The Petz-Rényi relative entropy $S_\alpha(\rho|||\sigma)$ given by equations (6.12)–(6.16) converges to $S(\rho|||\sigma)$ in the limit $\alpha \to 1$.

By L’Hospital rule we obtain

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} R_\alpha^I = \sum_{k=1}^n \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \left[ - \frac{\alpha \log (1 - e^{-s_k})}{1-\alpha} + \frac{\log (1 - e^{-\alpha s_k})}{1-\alpha} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \log (1 - e^{-s_k}) - \frac{s_k e^{-s_k}}{1-e^{-s_k}} \right]$$

$$= - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H(e^{-s_k})}{1-e^{-s_k}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.17)

and

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} R_\alpha^{II} = \sum_k \left[ - \log (1 - e^{-t_k}) + \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{t_k e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}}{1-e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_k \left[ - \log (1 - e^{-t_k}) + \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{t_k e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}}{1-t_k e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_k \left[ - \log (1 - e^{-t_k}) - t_k \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.18)

In order to compute $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} R_\alpha^{III}$ we take the factor $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ inside the bracket $\{\cdots\}^{-1}$ in (6.15) to obtain

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} R_\alpha^{III} = \left[ \tilde{m}_\rho^T \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \left\{ (1-\alpha) L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho + (1-\alpha) D((1-\alpha) t) \right\}^{-1} \tilde{m}_\rho \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.21)

Note that any entry in the $2n \times 2n$ matrix $D(\alpha s)$ has the form (see (6.6)) $\frac{1}{2} \coth \left( \frac{\alpha t_k}{2} \right)$, which converges to $\frac{1}{2} \coth \left( \frac{2k}{2} \right)$ as $\alpha$ increases to 1. Thus, the first term inside the bracket $\{\cdots\}^{-1}$ in (6.21) is zero. Any entry in the second term $(1-\alpha) D((1-\alpha) t)$ is of the form

$$\left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \right) \coth \left( \frac{(1-\alpha) t_k}{2} \right) = \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \right) \frac{1 + e^{t_k(1-\alpha)}}{1-e^{t_k(1-\alpha)}}.$$

Expanding $e^{t_k(1-\alpha)}$ in the denominator and applying L’Hospital rule we get

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \right) \frac{1 + e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}}{1-e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}} = \frac{1}{t_k}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} R_\alpha^{III} = \tilde{m}_\rho^T \text{diag} (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n; t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \tilde{m}_\rho$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n t_k |(m_\rho)_k|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.22)
To determine the limit $\alpha \to 1$ of the term $R_{IV}^{\alpha}$ (see (6.16)) we pull out the diagonal $2n \times 2n$ matrix $D((1-\alpha)t)$ from the determinant to obtain

$$R_{IV}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \left\{ \log \det(D((1-\alpha)t)) + \log \det \left( I_{2n} + B_\alpha L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho B_\alpha \right) \right\} \quad (6.23)$$

where

$$B_\alpha = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}((1-\alpha)t). \quad (6.24)$$

Substituting $\det(D((1-\alpha)t)) = 2^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \left[ \frac{1}{2} \coth \left( \frac{(1-\alpha)t_k}{2} \right) \right]$ in (6.23) and simplifying, we obtain

$$R_{IV}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} 2 \left[ \log \left( \frac{1 + e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}}{2} \right) - \log \left( 1 - e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)} \right) \right] + \log \det \left( I_{2n} + B_\alpha L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho B_\alpha \right) \right\}. \quad (6.25)$$

Applying L'Hospital rule we obtain

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \log \left( \frac{1 + e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}}{2} \right) = \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{-t_k e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}}{(1 + e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)})} = -\frac{t_k}{2} \quad (6.26)$$

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \log \left( 1 - e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)} \right) = t_k. \quad (6.27)$$

To facilitate the computation of $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \log \det \left( I_{2n} + B_\alpha L_\rho^T D(\alpha s) L_\rho B_\alpha \right)$ we present the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.** Let $0 < \theta < 1$ be a parameter and $F_\theta$ be positive matrices of finite order such that

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} F_\theta = F. \quad (6.28)$$

Then

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{1}{\theta} \log \det (I + \theta F_\theta) = \text{Tr } F. \quad (6.29)$$

**Proof.** The positive matrices $F_\theta$ admit eigen decomposition

$$F_\theta = \sum_j \lambda_j(\theta) |\psi_j(\theta)\rangle\langle \psi_j(\theta)| \quad (6.30)$$

using which we express

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \log \det (I + \theta F_\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \log \prod_j (1 + \theta \lambda_j(\theta)) \quad (6.31)$$

$$= \sum_j \frac{1}{\theta} \log (1 + \theta \lambda_j(\theta)). \quad (6.32)$$

As $F_\theta \to F$ in the limit $\theta \to 0$, the eigenvalues $\lambda_j(\theta)$ are expected to remain positive and bounded. Expanding $\log(1 + \theta \lambda_j(\theta))$ in the small $\theta$ limit, up to second order in $\theta$ i.e.,

$$\log \left( 1 + \theta \lambda_j(\theta) \right) \approx \theta \lambda_j(\theta) + O(\theta^2) \quad (6.33)$$

we get

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{1}{\theta} \log \left( 1 + \theta \lambda_j(\theta) \right) = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \lambda_j(\theta) \quad (6.34)$$

$$= \text{Tr } F.$$
We apply Lemma 3 by substituting $\theta = (1 - \alpha)$ and $F_\theta = \frac{1}{2} B_\theta L^T \rho D ((1 - \theta) s) L_\rho B_\theta, \ B_\theta = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta t)$ to obtain
\[
\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)} \log \det (I_{2n} + B_\alpha L^T \rho D (\alpha s) L_\rho B_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)} \text{Tr} D^{-1}((1 - \alpha) t) L^T \rho D (\alpha s) L_\rho.
\]
(6.35)

The $2n \times 2n$ diagonal matrix $D((1 - \alpha) t)$ has entries of the form $\frac{1}{2} \coth \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{t_k}{\alpha}\right)$ and we know that
\[
\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 - \alpha)} \coth \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{t_k}{\alpha}\right) = \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \left(1 - \alpha\right) \frac{1 + e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}}{1 - e^{-t_k(1-\alpha)}} = \frac{1}{t_k}.
\]
Thus,
\[
\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)} D^{-1}((1 - \alpha) t) = \text{diag} (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n; t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)
\]
(6.36)

Substituting (6.36) in (6.35) we get
\[
\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)} \text{Tr} D^{-1}((1 - \alpha) t) L^T \rho D (\alpha s) L_\rho = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr diag} (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n; t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) C_\rho
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k \text{Tr} T_k
\]
(6.37)

where $C_\rho = L^\rho D (s) L^T_\rho$ is the covariance matrix of the $n$-mode gaussian state $\rho$ and $T_k$ is the $2 \times 2$ single mode covariance matrix of the $k$-th mode marginal system of $\rho$. Thus,
\[
\lim_{\alpha \to 1} R^{IV}_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{t_k}{2} (1 + \text{Tr} T_k)
\]

Putting together (6.17), (6.18), (6.22) and (6.38) we obtain
\[
\lim_{\alpha \to 1} S_{\alpha} (\rho||\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{-H(e^{-m_k})}{(1 - e^{-m_k})} - \log (1 - e^{-m_k}) + \frac{t_k}{2} \left[\text{Tr} T_k + 2 |(m_\rho)_k|^2 - 1\right]\right\}
\]
\[
= S(\rho||\sigma)
\]
as expected.

For alternate approaches on the computation of relative entropy between two gaussian states see References [Sch01, Chen05, SP17, SLW18].
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