Wrapped sheaves

Christopher Kuo

February 16, 2021

Abstract

We construct a sheaf-theoretic analogue of the wrapped Fukaya category in Lagrangian Floer theory, by localizing a category of sheaves microsupported away from some given $\Lambda \subset S^* M$ along continuation maps constructed using the Guillermou-Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf quantization.

When $\Lambda$ is a subanalytic singular isotropic, we also construct a comparison map to the category of compact objects in the category of unbounded sheaves microsupported in $\Lambda$, and show that it is an equivalence. The last statement can be seen as a sheaf theoretical incarnation of the sheaf-Fukaya comparison theorem of Ganatra-Pardon-Shende.
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1 Introduction

The microlocal sheaf theory of Kashiwara and Schapira [23] relates sheaves – which are topological structures – on manifolds with the symplectic geometry of their cotangent bundles. The basic construction is the microsupport: to a sheaf $F$ on a $C^1$ manifold $M$, one associates a conic closed subset $SS(F)$ in the cotangent bundle $T^*M$. The intersection of this set with the zero section recovers the support $\text{supp}(F)$; the projectivization $SS^\infty(F) := (SS(F) \setminus 0_M)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ indicates the codirections along which the sheaf changes. A key indicator of the symplectic nature of the theory is the involutivity theorem [23, Thm. 6.5.4], which asserts that $SS(F)$ is always a singular coisotropic subset with respect to the canonical symplectic structure of $T^*M$. Under an appropriate tameness assumption, $SS(F)$ is a singular Lagrangian if and only if $F$ is constructible, i.e., there exists a stratification $\{X_\alpha\}$ of $M$ such that $F|_{X_\alpha}$ is a local system for all $X_\alpha$.

Deeper relationships between microlocal sheaf theory and symplectic geometry began to emerge in the mid 2000s. Nadler and Zaslow related constructible sheaves to ‘infinitesimally wrapped’ Floer theory [32, 28]. Meanwhile, Tamarkin introduced purely sheaf theoretical methods into symplectic topology in his study of non-displaceability, a problem previously studied largely by Floer theoretic methods [39]. The subsequent Guillermou-Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf quantization of contact isotopies [18] — i.e. the highly nonobvious statement that contact isotopies of $S^*M$ act on sheaves on $M$ — led to a host of further incursions by sheaf theorists into symplectic topology [15, 16, 17, 37, 36, 6, 35, 40, 4, 5, 20, 3] and vice versa [41, 42, 34].

Meanwhile on the Floer theoretic side, Abouzaid and Seidel formulated a way to incorporate contact dynamics into Fukaya categories for noncompact symplectic manifolds [2]. Their construction is roughly to localize a partially-defined infinitesimally wrapped Fukaya category along ‘continuation morphisms’ associated to positive isotopies. The resulting notion of wrapped Fukaya category (and its later ‘partially wrapped’ generalizations [38, 12, 11]) provides the correct mirrors to coherent sheaf categories on certain singular spaces. While such wrapped categories are nontrivial to compute directly (the simplest case was [1]), Nadler conjectured that they matched categories of compact objects inside categories of unbounded sheaves with prescribed microsupport [29]. This conjecture was later established by the work of Ganatra, Pardon, and Shende [13]; as a result, sheaf-theoretic methods (e.g. [29, 30, 9, 24, 10]) can be used to establish homological mirror symmetry in these settings.

While Nadler termed his category the ‘wrapped sheaves’, the name did not entirely reflect its construction: there is no wrapping in their definition. In this paper we study the category which this name manifestly suits — the localization of the category of sheaves along the continuation morphisms of [18] — and give an entirely sheaf-theoretic proof that it is equivalent to Nadler’s category.

Remark 1.1. Let us compare and contrast this article with the work [13]. In that article, the authors construct an equivalence between the partially wrapped Fukaya category of a cotangent bundle, stopped along some subanalytic isotropic $\Lambda$, with the category of compact objects in the category of unbounded sheaves microsupported in $\Lambda$:

$\text{Perf}\, \mathcal{W}(T^*M, \Lambda)^{op} \cong \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)^c$
Figure 1: An alternative to [13], illustrated for $M = \mathbb{R}^1$. The purple arrow indicates the positive Reeb direction and the red dots are the stop $\Lambda$. The green objects, from left to right, are a smooth curve conic at infinity in $T^*\mathbb{R}^1$, the microsupport of a constant sheaf on an open interval, and the microsupport of the same sheaf after being pushed to the stop.

Their approach was to introduce an abstract axiomatic characterization (‘microlocal Morse theatre’) and verify that both sides satisfy it.

Here is another approach. Begin from an equivalence of infinitesimally wrapped categories as per [32, 28]. Now localize both sides along the continuation morphisms. Finally, show purely on the sheaf-theoretic side that the resulting localized category of sheaves is in fact equivalent to $\text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)^c$. See Figure 1 for an illustration. The present article establishes the last step in this argument.

(While this route of proof would be logically independent of [12, 11, 13], the proof of the main theorem of the present article follows a strategy adapted from [13].)

We turn to a more precise discussion of our results. Let us first discuss the continuation maps in the sheaf theoretical setting. Recall that for a conic closed subset $X \subseteq T^*M$, the inclusion $\iota_* : \text{Sh}_X(M) \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}(M)$ of sheaves microsupported in $X$ to all sheaves has a left adjoint $\iota^*$ and right adjoint $\iota^!$. Now consider $F \in \text{Sh}(M \times \mathbb{R})$ as a one-parameter family of sheaves on $M$ and set $F_a := F|_{M \times \{a\}}$. An explicit description of $\iota^*$ given in [18, Proposition 4.8] shows when $F$ satisfies the condition $\text{SS}(F) \subseteq T^*M \times T^*_0\mathbb{R}$, there is a continuation map $F_a \to F_b$ for $a \leq b$. (See [39, 2.2.2] and [19, (77)] for the dual construction.) Now pick a contact form $\alpha$ on $S^*M$ coorienting the contact structure induced from the symplectic structure on $T^*M$. We say a $C^\infty$ map $\Phi : S^*M \times \mathbb{R} \to S^*M$ is an isotopy if the induced map $\phi_t := \Phi(\cdot, t)$ is a contactomorphism for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_0 = \text{id}_{S^*M}$. If $\Phi$ is a positive isotopy ($\alpha(\partial_t \phi_t) \geq 0$) then the corresponding GKS sheaf kernel $K(\Phi)$ and hence its convolution $K(\Phi) \ast F$ with $F \in \text{Sh}(M)$ will satisfy this condition and hence admit continuation maps.

Now fixed an open set $\Omega \subseteq S^*M$. Homotopy classes of compactly supported isotopies with fixed ends can be organized to an $\infty$-category $W(\Omega)$ whose morphisms are given by concatenating with positive isotopies. We refer this category as the category of positive wrappings. The discussion on continuation maps will imply that there is a wrapping kernel functor $w : W(\Omega) \to \text{Sh}(M \times M)$ which sends isotopies to the end point of the GKS sheaf kernels and positive isotopies to continuation maps. One can use this functor to the define the infinite wrapping functors $\mathfrak{W}^{\pm}(\Omega) : \text{Sh}(M) \to \text{Sh}_{S^*M \setminus \Omega}(M)$ by sending $F$.
to the colimit \( \text{colim}_W (w(\Phi) \circ F) \) or limit \( \text{lim}_W (w(\Phi) \circ F) \) over \( \Phi \in W(\Omega) \). Geometrically, we push \( F \) with increasingly positive (resp. negative) isotopies and take colimit (resp. limit) over them. These functors give a geometric description for the adjoints of the inclusion \( \iota_* : \text{Sh}_{S^* M|\Omega} (M) \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}(M) \).

**Proposition 1.2.** Let \( \iota_* : \text{Sh}_{S^* M|\Omega} (M) \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}(M) \) denote the tautological inclusion. Then the functor \( \mathcal{M}^+ (\Omega) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{M}^- (\Omega) \)) is the left (resp. right) adjoint of \( \iota_* \).

See subsection 3.2 for the proof.

The main construction of this paper is the category of wrapped sheaves \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda (M) \) where \( M \) is a real analytic manifold and \( \Lambda \) is a closed subset in \( S^* M \). It is a stable category defined by first collecting sheaves which have subanalytic singular isotropic microsupport away from \( \Lambda \) and those which are compactly isotopic to them in \( S^* M \setminus \Lambda \), and then inverting continuation maps which come from positive isotopies satisfying similar conditions. One effect of this localization is that objects which can be connected through an isotopy on \( S^* M \setminus \Lambda \) will be identified. We show that Hom-complexes in \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda (M) \) can be computed as colimits of Hom-complexes between ordinary sheaves over \( W(S^* M \setminus \Lambda) \). Finally, when \( \Lambda \) is a subanalytic singular isotropic, by using the infinite wrapping functor \( \mathcal{M}^+ (S^* M \setminus \Lambda) \), we define a canonical comparison functor \( \mathcal{M}^+_\Lambda (M) : \text{wsh}_\Lambda (M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\Lambda (M)^c \). The main theorem of this paper is that \( \mathcal{M}^+_\Lambda (M) \) is an equivalence.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( \Lambda \subseteq S^* M \) be a subanalytic singular isotropic. The comparison functor \( \mathcal{M}^+_\Lambda (M) : \text{wsh}_\Lambda (M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\Lambda (M)^c \) is an equivalence.

See subsection 5.3 for the proof.

**Remark 1.4.** Note that, unlike the analogous isomorphism in [13], our isomorphism is induced by an explicit functor.

Since all the above constructions are functorial on the inclusion of open sets of \( M \), we obtain a precosheaf \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda \) and we refer its objects as the wrapped sheaves. The corollary of the above theorem is that this precosheaf is a cosheaf.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let \( \Lambda \subseteq S^* M \) be a subanalytic singular isotropic. The comparison morphism \( \mathcal{M}^+_\Lambda : \text{wsh}_\Lambda \rightarrow \text{Sh}^+_\Lambda \) between precosheaves is an isomorphism. In particular, the precosheaf \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda \) is a cosheaf.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 follows the same strategy as [13]. In short, subanalytic geometry implies that, for a subanalytic singular isotropic \( \Lambda \), there exists a \( C^1 \) Whitney triangulation \( S \) such that \( \Lambda \) is contained in \( N^*_\infty S := \cup_{s \in S} N^*_\infty X_s \). For this special case, the two categories are natural identified as \( \text{Perf} S \), the category of perfect \( S \)-modules, and hence admit a preferred set of generators which are matched under \( \mathcal{M}^+_\Lambda (M) \). We then apply the nearby cycle technology developed in [31] to conclude that \( \mathcal{M}^+_\Lambda (M) \) induces an equivalence on the Hom-complexes for these generators and hence finished the proof for this case. To conclude the theorem for the general case, we note that the construction on both sides are contravariant on \( \Lambda \). Thus we study the fiber of the canonical maps \( \text{wsh}_{N^*_\infty S} (M) \rightarrow \text{wsh}_\Lambda (M) \) and \( \text{Sh}_{N^*_\infty S} (M)^c \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\Lambda (M)^c \), and show that they are generated by a sheaf-theoretical version of the linking disks and microstalks at the smooth points of \( N^*_\infty S \setminus \Lambda \). Finally, we show that \( \mathcal{M}^+_\Lambda (M) \) matches those objects and thus conclude the general case.

**Convention.** Let \( Y^{2n-1} \) be a contact manifold. We say a set \( f \) is a singular isotropic if there is a decomposition \( f = f^{\text{subcrit}} \cup f^{\text{crit}} \subseteq Y \) for which \( f^{\text{subcrit}} \) is closed and is contained in the smooth image of a second countable manifold of dimension \( < n - 1 \), and \( f^{\text{crit}} \) is a Legendrian submanifold.
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2 Microlocal sheaf theory

We recall the categorical settings and sheaf theoretical tools we will be using in this paper. Experts can skip this section.

2.1 Stable \(\infty\)-categories

We work in the higher categorical setting developed in [25] and [26]. The main advantages for this choice is that for the categories discussed in this paper, there is an abundance of limits and colimits (in an appropriate sense) in this setting. As a result, many constructions and arguments can be performed formally as universal constructions which greatly simplifies the situation. Because of the higher categorical nature of this paper, we will refer an \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{C}\) simply as a category and when we need to emphasize that it is in particular an ordinary category, we will refer it a 1-category.

Recall that a presentable category is a category with certain cardinality assumptions. Roughly speaking, such categories are large enough to contain (small) colimits but is controlled by a small category. A main consequence of these assumptions is that the adjoint functor theorem holds. In addition, up to set-theoretic issues, the totality of such categories form a category itself which has nice properties concerning limits and colimits.

We won’t consider the whole collection of such categories but a small portion of it which satisfies stronger finiteness conditions which we now recall.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \(\mathcal{C}\) be a category. An object \(c \in \mathcal{C}\) is compact if \(\text{Hom}(c, \cdot)\) preserve (small) filtered colimit. That is for any (small) filtered index category \(I\) and any functor \(X : I \to \mathcal{C}\), the canonical morphism

\[
\lim_{\leftarrow I} \text{Hom}(c, X_i) \to \text{Hom}(c, \lim_{\leftarrow I} X_i)
\]

is an isomorphism. Here, we use the notation \(\lim_{\leftarrow I}\) instead of \(\text{colim}_I\) to emphasis the index category \(I\) is filtered.

**Definition 2.2.** A category \(\mathcal{C}\) is compactly generated if there exists a small subcategory \(\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}\) consisting of compact objects such that \(\mathcal{C}\) is generated by \(\mathcal{C}_0\) under filtered colimits. That is, \(\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0) \cong \mathcal{C}\) where \(\text{Ind}\) denotes the ind-completion.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \(\text{Cat}\) denote the (very large) category of categories. We use \(\text{Pr}_{\omega}^L\) to denote the (non-full) subcategory of \(\text{Cat}\) whose objects are compactly generated categories and morphisms are functors which preserve small colimits and compact objects. We also use denote \(\text{cat}_{\omega}\) the subcategory of \(\text{Cat}\) consisting of idempotent complete small categories which admit finite colimits whose morphisms are functors preserving finite colimits.
Proposition 2.4. The functor $\text{Ind} : \text{cat}_\omega \to \text{Pr}^L_\omega$ taking $\mathcal{C}_0$ to $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$, its ind-completion, is an equivalence whose inverse is given by the functor $\theta : \text{Pr}^L_\omega \to \text{cat}_\omega$ sending $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^c$, the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting compact objects.

Proposition 2.5. The category $\text{Pr}^L_\omega$ and hence $\text{cat}_\omega$ admits small colimits, which can be computed in $\text{Cat}$ as limits by passing to right adjoints. Here we use the fact that a morphism $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ in $\text{Pr}^L_\omega$ is a left adjoint since it preserves colimits.

Classically, one use the theory of triangulated categories to encode homological information of sheaves on topological spaces. These categories are 1-categories with structures and can be used to remember a (small) portion of homotopies. However, limits and colimits are scarce in this setting. For example, the non-zero morphism $e : \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbb{Z}/2[1]$ in $D(\mathbb{Z})$ does not have a kernel. Hence, we use the theory of stable categories instead.

Definition 2.6. A category $\mathcal{C}$ is pointed if there exists a zero object $0$, i.e., an object which is both initial and final.

A sequence $X \to Y \to Z$ in a pointed category $\mathcal{C}$ is a fiber (resp. cofiber) sequence if the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \longrightarrow & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & Z
\end{array}
\]

is a pullback/pushforward. In this case, we say $X$ (resp. $Z$) is the fiber (resp. cofiber) of the corresponding morphism.

Definition 2.7. A pointed category $\mathcal{C}$ is stable if fibers and cofibers exist and a diagram as above is a fiber sequence if and only if it is a cofiber sequence. We say a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between stable categories is exact if $F$ preserves finite limits and finite colimits. Note in a stable category $\mathcal{C}$ finite limits are the same as finite colimits so preserving one kind means preserving the other.

Example 2.8. A stable category $\mathcal{C}$ admits a “shifting by 1” automorphism $[1] : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ which can be defined by $X \mapsto \text{cof}(X \to 0)$. Its inverse is the shifting by $-1$ automorphism $[-1] : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ which can defined by $X \mapsto \text{fib}(0 \to X)$.

Example 2.9. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable category. For $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the direct sum $X \oplus Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ can be computed as $\text{cof}(Y[-1] \xrightarrow{0} X) = \text{fib}(Y \xrightarrow{0} X[1])$.

We will use the following lemma:

Lemma 2.10. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable category and $X, Y, Z, X', Y',$ and $Z' \in \mathcal{C}$. Assume we have the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \\
\alpha & & \beta & & \gamma \\
X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & Z'
\end{array}
\]
such that each row is a fiber sequence. Let \( X'' = \text{cof}(\alpha), Y'' = \text{cof}(\beta) \) and \( Z'' = \text{cof}(\gamma) \) be the corresponding cofibers of the vertical maps. Then there exist a canonical fiber sequence \( X'' \to Y'' \to Z'' \). In particular, for \( f : X_1 \to X_2 \) and \( g : X_2 \to X_3 \), we have a fiber sequence \( \text{cof}(f_1) \to \text{cof}(f_2 \circ f_1) \to \text{cof}(f_2) \). This special case is usually referred as the octahedral axiom in the triangulated category setting.

**Proof.** The goal is to show that \( Z'' \) is the cofiber of \( (X'' \to Y'') \). Recall that cofibers are computed as a colimit of the diagram \( I = [\cdot \leftarrow \cdot \to \cdot] \). For example, the object \( Z \) is computed as the colimit given by the diagram \( 0 \leftarrow X \to Y \). Now we consider the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \leftarrow & Y & \to & Y' & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
0 & \leftarrow & X & \to & X' & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \leftarrow & 0 & \to & 0 & \\
\end{array}
\]

Taking colimit for the vertical arrows gives \( 0 \leftarrow Z \to Z' \) and taking the colimit again gives \( Z'' \). Similarly, taking first the horizontal arrows and then the vertical arrows gives \( \text{cof}(X'' \to Y'') \). But colimits commute with each other and thus \( Z'' = \text{cof}(X'' \to Y'') \).

Now for the special case, we apply the above result to the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_2 \circ f_1} & X_3 & \\
\end{array}
\]

**Example 2.11.** Consider two short exact sequences in a Grothendieck abelian 1-category \( \mathcal{A} \) and compatible maps between them.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & X & \to & Y & \to & Z & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow{\alpha} & & \downarrow{\beta} & & \downarrow{\gamma} & & \\
0 & \to & X' & \to & Y' & \to & Z' & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Recall that \( \mathcal{A} \) naturally embeds into a stable category \( D(\mathcal{A}) \). An application of the above lemma implies a special case of the snake lemma in classical homological algebra.

We denote \( \text{Pr}_{\omega}^{\text{st}} \) and \( \text{st}_{\omega} \) the subcategory of \( \text{Pr}_{\omega}^{\text{L}} \) and \( \text{cat}_{\omega} \) which consists of stable categories. The property of being stable is compatible with the finiteness condition we discussed earlier. In particular, the Ind-completion Ind(\( \mathcal{C}_0 \)) of a (small) stable category \( \mathcal{C}_0 \) is stable. Similarly, the subcategory of compact objects \( \mathcal{C}^c \) of a compactly generated stable category \( \mathcal{C} \) is stable.
Proposition 2.12. The equivalence $\text{Ind} : \text{cat}_\omega \cong \text{Pr}^\text{st}_{\omega} : \theta$ restricts to the subcategories consisting of stable categories

$$\text{Ind} : \text{st}_\omega \cong \text{Pr}^\text{st}_{\omega,st} : \theta.$$ 

2.2 Microlocal sheaf theory

We recall some definitions and results of the microlocal sheaf theory developed in [23] with the above setting. Let $\mathbb{Z}$-$\text{Mod}$ denote the presentable stable category of modules over $\mathbb{Z}$. It can be modified as the dg category of (possibly unbounded) chain complexes of abelian groups with quasi-isomorphisms inverted. See for example [7]. This category is compactly generated and one usually denote the compact objects $(\mathbb{Z}$-$\text{Mod})^c$ by $\text{Perf}\mathbb{Z}$. When representing $\mathbb{Z}$-$\text{Mod}$ by chain complexes, $\text{Perf}\mathbb{Z}$ consists of objects which are quasi-isomorphic to bounded chain complexes consisting of finite rank abelian groups.

For a topological space $X$, the category of presheaves (with integer coefficient) is the category $\text{PSh}(X) = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}p_X^{\text{op}}, \mathbb{Z}$-$\text{Mod})$ of contravariant functor from the 1-category of open sets in $X$ to $\mathbb{Z}$-$\text{Mod}$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-linear presentable stable category of sheaves $\text{Sh}(X)$ is the reflexive subcategory of $\text{PSh}(X)$ consisting of those presheaves $F$ which turn colimits in $\mathcal{O}p_X$ to limits in $\mathbb{Z}$-$\text{Mod}$. In more concrete terms, $F$ is a sheaf if for any open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of an open set $U \subseteq X$, the canonical map $F(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{U_i \in \mathcal{U} \mid U_i} F(U_i)$ is an isomorphism, i.e., the sections on $U$ can be computed as the totalization of the sections of the corresponding Čech nerve $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U})$. Recall that reflexive means the inclusion $\text{Sh}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{PSh}(X)$ admits a left adjoint by sheafification $(\cdot)^\sharp$. Thus, the inclusion is limit-preserving and colimits can be computed as the sheafification of the colimits in $\text{PSh}(X)$. Since $\text{PSh}(X)$ admits limits and colimits, so does $\text{Sh}(X)$.

Now we recall the six-functor formalism and the interaction among them. First, there is a symmetric monoidal structure $(\text{Sh}(X), \otimes)$ on $\text{Sh}(X)$ induced from $(\mathbb{Z}$-$\text{Mod}, \otimes)$. The unit of this tensor product is the sheaf $\mathbb{Z}_X$ which is the sheafification of the presheaf $(U \mapsto \mathbb{Z})$ whose restrictions are given by the identity $\text{id}_\mathbb{Z}$. For a fixed sheaf $F$, the functor $(\cdot) \otimes F$ given by tensoring with $F$ has a right adjoint $\mathcal{F}\text{hom}(F, \cdot)$ which gives the internal Hom of $\text{Sh}(X)$. The global section of this sheaf $\mathcal{F}\text{hom}$ is the Hom-complex, i.e., $\Gamma(X; \mathcal{F}\text{hom}(G, F)) = \text{Hom}(G, F) \in \mathbb{Z}$-$\text{Mod}$ for any $F, G \in \text{Sh}(X)$.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous map. There is a pushforward functor $f_* : \text{Sh}(X) \to \text{Sh}(Y)$ induced by pulling back open sets $f^{-1} : \mathcal{O}p_Y \to \mathcal{O}p_X$, $V \mapsto f^{-1}(V)$. This functor admits a left adjoint $f^* : \text{Sh}(Y) \to \text{Sh}(X)$ and the adjunction $(f^*, f_*)$ is usually referred as the star pullback/pushforward. When $X$ and $Y$ are both locally compact Hausdorff spaces, there is another pair of adjunction $(f!, f^!)$ such that $f_! : \text{Sh}(X) \to \text{Sh}(Y)$ and $f^! : \text{Sh}(Y) \to \text{Sh}(X)$. This adjunction is usually referred as the shriek pullback/pushforward.

Example 2.13. When $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a subset of $X$, one usually use $F|_Z$ to denote $i^*F$ for $F \in \text{Sh}(X)$ and call it the restriction of $F$ on $Z$.

Example 2.14. Consider a closed set $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ and an open set $j : U \hookrightarrow X$. In these cases, we have $i_* = i_!$ and $j^* = j^!$. In addition, the functors $i_*, j_*, j_!$ are fully faithful with the corresponding adjoints being a left inverse.

Example 2.15. Let $x \in X$ be a point and denote $i_x : \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion of the point. For $F \in \text{Sh}(X)$, we call the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $F_x := i_x^*F$ the stalk of $F$ at $x$. An key property of the stalks is that a morphism $G \to F$ is an isomorphism if and only if it induces isomorphism $G_x \to F_x$ on the stalk for all $x \in X$. 
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Now fixed a topological space $X$. We see that taking integer coefficient sheaves itself forms a presheaf $Sh$ in $Pr_{st}^R$: For an open set $U \subseteq X$, we assign the category $Sh(U)$. For an inclusion of open sets $i_{U,V}: U \hookrightarrow V$, we assign the pullback functor $i_{U,V}^*: Sh(V) \rightarrow Sh(U)$.

**Proposition 2.16.** The presheaf $Sh: Op_X \rightarrow Pr_{st}^R$ is a sheaf.

**Proof.** Let $U$ be an open set of $X$ and $\mathcal{U}$ an open cover of $U$. The functor

$$\lim_{U_I \in C(\mathcal{U})} i_{U_I,U}^*: Sh(U) \rightarrow \lim_{U_I \in C(\mathcal{U})} Sh(U_I)$$

is an equivalence and has an inverse $\lim_{U_I \in C(\mathcal{U})} Sh(U_I) \rightarrow Sh(U)$ which is given by

$$(F_{U_I})_{U_I \in C(\mathcal{U})} \mapsto \colim_{U_I \in C(\mathcal{U})} (i_{U_I,U})_! F_{U_I}.$$ 

We mention some computational tools for integer coefficient sheaves. First, the categories of sheaves has a structure of base change. (See [21] for the exact statement in the higher categorical setting.)

**Theorem 2.17.** Consider a pullback diagram of locally compact Hausdorff spaces,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \rightarrow & X \\
\downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\
Y' & \rightarrow & Y
\end{array}
$$

There is an equivalence $g^* f! \sim f'^* g^!$. 

The push/pull functors satisfy some compatibility property with $\otimes$ and $\mathcal{H}om$. We list a few which we will use:

**Proposition 2.18.** Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Then:

1. $f^*(F \otimes G) \sim f^* F \otimes f^* G$, for $F, G \in Sh(Y),$
2. $(f_! G) \otimes F \sim f_! (G \otimes f^* F)$, for $F, G \in Sh(Y),$
3. $f^! \mathcal{H}om(G, F) \sim \mathcal{H}om(f^* F, f^! F)$, for $F, G \in Sh(Y).$

We recall the excision fiber sequences. Let $X$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a close set, and $j : U = X \setminus Z \hookrightarrow X$ be its open complement, then $j^* i_* = 0$ and there are fiber sequences

$$
j_! j^* F \rightarrow F \rightarrow i_* i^* F, \quad i_! i^* F \rightarrow F \rightarrow j_* j^* F
$$

where the arrows are the units/counits of the shriek/star adjunction pairs. Such a triple $(Sh(X), Sh(Z), Sh(U))$ is usually referred as a recollement in homological algebra. When
Z is locally closed, one denotes $F_Z = i i^* F$ and $\Gamma_Z(F) = i_* i^* F$ for $F \in \mathbf{Sh}(X)$. Thus, one can write the above fiber sequences as

$$F_U \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_Z, \quad \Gamma_Z(F) \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Gamma_U(F).$$

Let $a_X : X \rightarrow \{\ast\}$ denote the projection to a point. We use $a_X$ to denote the pullback $a_X^* A$ of $A \in \mathbf{Sh}(\{\ast\}) = \mathbb{Z}$-Mod. When $X$ is a manifold and $A$ is an abelian group regarded as a chain complex concentrated as 0, a standard representative of $A_X$ is the singular cochains $(U \mapsto C^*(U; A))$ with $A$ coefficient. When $Z \subseteq X$ is a locally closed subset of $X$, we abuse the notation and write $A_Z$ for both the sheaf in $\mathbf{Sh}(Z)$ or its shriek pushforward.

**Definition 2.19.** We call $A_Z$ the *constant sheaf* on $Z$ with stalk $A$. In general, we say a sheaf $F$ is a *locally constant sheaf* or *local system* if there exists an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ such that $F|_U$ is constant for $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and we use $\mathbf{Loc}(X)$ denote the subcategory spanned by such sheaves.

**Example 2.20.** Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a local closed subset and $F \in \mathbf{Sh}(X)$. By the above formulae in Proposition 2.18, $F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_Z = F \otimes i^* i^* \mathbb{Z}_X = i_!(i^* F \otimes i^* \mathbb{Z}_X) = i_!(i^* (F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_X) = F_Z$.

We will consider sheaves on $\mathbf{Sh}(M \times B)$ for some manifolds $M$ and $B$, and regard them as $B$-family of sheaves on $\mathbf{Sh}(M)$. Let $p : M \times B \rightarrow M$ be the projection. The following general consideration provides a criterion to determine when such a $B$-family is constant: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between locally compact Hausdorff space. Denote $\mathbf{Sh}_f(X)$ the subcategory of $\mathbf{Sh}(X)$ consists of objects $F$ satisfying the condition $F|_{f^{-1}(y)} \in \mathbf{Loc}(f^{-1}(y))$ for all $y \in Y$. We note that $f^* G \in \mathbf{Sh}_f(X)$ for $G \in \mathbf{Sh}(Y)$.

**Proposition 2.21.** Assume there is an increase sequence of closed subsets $\{X_n\}$ such that $X_n \subseteq \text{Int}(X_{n+1})$, $X = \cup_n X_n$, and $f_n := f|_{X_n}$ is proper with contractible fibers. Then, the adjunction $f_* : \mathbf{Sh}_f(X) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Sh}(Y) : f^*$ is an equivalence of categories.

**Corollary 2.22.** Let $M$, $B$ be manifolds and assume $B$ is contractible. Let $p : M \times B \rightarrow M$ denote the projection. Then $F \in \mathbf{Sh}(M \times B)$ is of the form $p^* G$ for some $G \in \mathbf{Sh}(M)$ if and only if $F|_{\{x\} \times B}$ is locally constant for all $x \in M$. In this case, $G = p_* F$.

We also consider set-theoretic invariants associated to sheaves.

**Definition 2.23.** Let $X$ be a topological space and $F \in \mathbf{Sh}(X)$. The *support* of a sheaf $F$ is defined to be the closed subset

$$\text{supp}(F) = \{ x \in X | F_x \neq 0 \}.$$

**Example 2.24.** Let $i : Z \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. The pushforward $i_*$ identifies $\mathbf{Sh}(Z)$ as the subcategory of $\mathbf{Sh}(X)$ consisting of sheaves $F$ whose support $\text{supp}(F) \subseteq Z$ is contained in $Z$.

Now let $M$ be a $C^\alpha$-manifold where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$. The term ‘microlocal’ usually refers to ‘local’ in the cotangent bundle $T^* M$. One central lemma in microlocal sheaf theory is the noncharacteristic deformation lemma:

**Lemma 2.25** ([23, Proposition 2.7.2], [33, Theorem 4.1]). Let $X$ be a Hausdorff space, $F \in \mathbf{Sh}(X)$. Let $\{U_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a family of open subsets of $X$. We assume
(a) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}, U_t = \bigcup_{s<t} U_s, \)

(b) for all pairs \((s, t)\) with \( s \leq t, \) the set \( \overline{U_t \setminus U_s} \cap \text{supp}(F) \) is compact,

(c) setting \( Z_s = \cap_{t>s} \overline{U_t \setminus U_s}, \) have \( Z_s \subset U_t \) for \( t > s, \)

(d) the sheaf \((\Gamma_{X \setminus U_s} F)_{Z_s} \cong 0\) is zero.

Then we have the isomorphism in \( \text{Sh}(X), \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}, \)

\[
\Gamma(\bigcup_s U_s; F) \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} \Gamma(U_t, F).
\]

Let \( F \) be a sheaf and \( \phi \) a \( C^1 \) function on \( M, \) let \( m \in \phi^{-1}(t). \) We use the notation \( i_{\phi, t} : \{x|\phi(x) \geq t\} \hookrightarrow M \) to denote the closed inclusion. We say \( m \) is a cohomological \( F\)-critical point of \( \phi \) if \((i_{\phi, t}^*F)_m \neq 0. \) We define a set in \( T^*M \) enhancing the support:

**Definition 2.26.** The microsupport of a sheaf \( F \) is defined to be the closure of the locus of differentials of the \( C^1 \) functions at their cohomological \( F\)-critical points. That is,

\[
\text{SS}(F) = \bigcup_{\phi \in C^1(M)} \{(x, \xi)|t \in \mathbb{R}, (i_{\phi, t}^*F)_m \neq 0, \xi = d\phi_x\}.
\]

Although the microsupport is defined as a \( C^1 \)-invariant, it’s sufficient to check a smaller class of functions.

**Proposition 2.27.** The microsupport of a sheaf \( F \) is the same as the closure of the locus of differentials of the \( C^\alpha \) functions at their cohomological \( F\)-critical points. That is,

\[
\text{SS}(F) = \bigcup_{\phi \in C^\alpha(M)} \{(x, \xi)|t \in \mathbb{R}, (i_{\phi, t}^*F)_m \neq 0, \xi = d\phi_x\}.
\]

It is straightforward to see the microsupport is conic and closed, and its intersection with the zero section \( \text{SS}(F) \cap 0_M = \text{supp}(F) \) recovers the support. The involutivity theorem [23, Theorem 6.5.4] of Kashiwara and Schapira states that \( \text{SS}(F) \) is always a singular coisotropic. Since \( \text{SS}(F) \) is conic, it can be recovered from \( \text{supp}(F) \) and the projectivization \( \text{SS}^\infty(F) := (\text{SS}(F) \setminus 0_M)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}. \)

**Definition 2.28.** For a conic closed subset \( X \subseteq T^*M, \) we use \( \text{Sh}_X(M) \) to denote the subcategory of sheaves consisting of \( F \) such that \( \text{SS}(F) \subseteq X. \) Similarly, for a closed subset \( X \subseteq S^*M, \) we use \( \text{Sh}_X(M) \) to denote the subcategory of sheaves consisting of \( F \) such that \( \text{SS}^\infty(F) \subseteq X. \) Note the latter case is a special case of the former. For if \( X \subseteq S^*M \) is closed, \( \text{Sh}_X(M) = \text{Sh}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}X, 0_M)(M). \)

**Example 2.29.** Let \( M \) be a manifold. Being a local system is a microlocal condition. More precisely, \( \text{Loc}(M) = \text{Sh}_{0_M}(M). \)

**Example 2.30.** Let \( M = \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \gamma \) be a closed convex cone with vertex at 0. One has \( \text{SS}(Z_\gamma) \cap T_0^*\mathbb{R}^n = \gamma^0 \) where \( \gamma^0 := \{\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*|\xi(v) \geq 0, v \in \gamma\} \) is the dual cone. As a corollary, if \( M' \subseteq M \) is a closed submanifold, then \( \text{SS}(Z_{M'}) = N^*M' \) is the normal bundle of \( M'. \)
One might want to assign an invariant similar to stalks for points in \((x, \xi) \in S^* M\). In general, the object \((i^*_{\phi,t} F)_m\) depends on \(\phi\) and is not an invariant associated to the point \((x, \xi)\). However, the situation is better when transversality condition is satisfied.

**Definition 2.31.** Fix a singular isotropic \(\Lambda \subseteq S^* M\). Let \(f\) be a function defined on some open set \(U \subseteq M\). We say a point \(x \in U\) is a \(\Lambda\)-critical point of \(f\) if the graph of its differential \(\Gamma_{df} \) intersect \(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \Lambda \cup 0_M\) at \((x, df_x)\). A \(\Lambda\)-critical point \(x\) is Morse if \((x, df_x)\) is a smooth point of \(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \Lambda \cup 0_M\) and the intersection \(\Gamma_{df} \cap \Lambda\) is transverse at \((x, df_x)\). A function \(f\) is \(\Lambda\)-Morse if all its \(\Lambda\)-critical point is Morse.

**Proposition 2.32** ([23, Proposition 7.5.3]). Let \(\Lambda\) be a singular isotropic. Assume \(\phi\) is \(\Lambda\)-Morse at a smooth point \((x, \xi) \in \Lambda\). For \(F \in \text{Sh}(X)\) such that \(SS^\infty(F) \subseteq \Lambda\) in a neighborhood of \((x, \xi)\), the object \((i^*_{\phi,t} F)_m \in \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}\) is, up to a shift, independent of \(\phi\).

**Definition 2.33.** Let \(\Lambda \subseteq S^* M\) be a singular isotropic and \((x, \xi) \in \Lambda\) a smooth point. For \(F \in \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)\), we call functors \(\mu_{(x, \xi)} : \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M) \to \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}\) of the form

\[
\mu_{(x, \xi)} F := (i^*_{\phi,t} F)_m
\]

a microstalk functor where \(\phi\) is any function satisfying the assumption in the last Proposition. Since this functor is well-defined up to a shift, we will abuse notation and call \(\mu_{(x, \xi)} F\) the microstalk of \(F\) at \((x, \xi)\).

Let \(X \subseteq T^* M\) be conic and closed, and let \(m \in \Lambda \subseteq (T^* M \setminus X)\) be a closed singular isotropic. The definition of microsupport requires one to check \((i^*_{\phi,t} F)_m\) for general functions \(\phi\). However, it’s sufficient to check the Morse ones when \(SS(F)\) is Lagrangian.

**Proposition 2.34** ([13, Proposition 4.9]). Let \(X\) and \(\Lambda\) be as above. Then \(\text{Sh}_X(M) \subseteq \text{Sh}_{X \cup \Lambda}(M)\) is the fiber of all microstalk functors \(\mu_{(x, \xi)}\) for smooth Lagrangian points \((x, \xi) \in \Lambda\).

In practice, it’s hard to compute the microsupport of a sheaf directly and it’s usually sufficient to deduce desired conclusions by having an upper bound. Here we collect some standard results for microsupport estimation.

Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a map between manifolds, we use the following notations

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
T^* X & \xrightarrow{df^*} & X \times_Y T^* Y & \xrightarrow{f_\pi} & T^* Y \\
\downarrow \pi_X & & \Box & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}
\]

where the square on the right is the pullback of the cotangent bundle \(T^* Y\) of \(Y\) along \(f\) and \(df^*\) is given fiberwisely by the adjoint of the differential \(df_x : T_x X \to T_{f(x)} Y\). Let \(T^*_X Y\) denote the set

\[
\{(x, \alpha) \in X \times_Y T^* Y | df^*_x \alpha = \alpha \circ df_x = 0\}.
\]

**Definition 2.35.** Let \(A\) be a conic closed subset of \(T^* Y\). We say \(f\) is noncharacteristic for \(A\) if

\[
f^{-1}_\pi(A) \cap T^*_X Y \subseteq X \times_Y 0_Y.
\]

For a sheaf \(F \in \text{Sh}(X)\), we say \(f\) is noncharacteristic for \(F\) if it’s the case for \(SS(F)\).
Proposition 2.36. We have the following results:

1. If \( F \to G \to H \) is a fiber sequence in \( \text{Sh}(X) \), then
   \[
   (\text{SS}(F) \setminus \text{SS}(H)) \cup (\text{SS}(H) \setminus \text{SS}(F)) \subseteq \text{SS}(G) \subseteq \text{SS}(F) \cup \text{SS}(H).
   \]
   This is commonly referred as the microlocal triangular inequalities.

2. For \( F \in \text{Sh}(X) \), \( G \in \text{Sh}(Y) \), \( \text{SS}(F \boxtimes G) \subseteq \text{SS}(F) \times \text{SS}(G) \).

3. For \( f : X \to Y \) and \( F \in \text{Sh}(X) \), if \( f \) is proper on \( \text{supp}(F) \), then
   \[
   \text{SS}(f_* F) \subseteq f_\pi ((df^*)^{-1} \text{SS}(F)).
   \]

4. For \( f : X \to Y \) and \( F \in \text{Sh}(Y) \), if \( f \) is noncharacteristic for \( F \), then
   \[
   \text{SS}(f^* F) \subseteq df^*(f_\pi^{-1}(\text{SS}(F)))
   \]
   and the natural map \( f^* F \otimes f^! \mathbb{Z}_Y \to f^! F \) is an isomorphism. If \( f \) is furthermore smooth, the estimation is an equality.

5. Let \( Z \subseteq X \) be closed. If \( \text{SS}(F) \cap N_{\text{out}}^*(Z) \subseteq 0_X \), then
   \[
   \text{SS}(F_Z) \subseteq N_{\text{in}}^*(Z) + \text{SS}(F).
   \]
   Similarly, let \( U \subseteq X \) be open. If \( \text{SS}(F) \cap N_{\text{in}}^*(U) \subseteq 0_X \), then
   \[
   \text{SS}(j_! j^* F) \subseteq N_{\text{out}}^*(U) + \text{SS}(F).
   \]

6. For \( F \) and \( G \in \text{Sh}(X) \). If \( \text{SS}(F) \cap - \text{SS}(G) \subseteq 0_X \), then
   \[
   \text{SS}(F \otimes G) \subseteq \text{SS}(F) + \text{SS}(G).
   \]

7. For \( F \) and \( G \in \text{Sh}(X) \). If \( \text{SS}(F) \cap \text{SS}(G) \subseteq 0_X \), then
   \[
   \text{SS}(\mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F)) \subseteq \text{SS}(F) - \text{SS}(G).
   \]
   If moreover \( G \) is cohomological constructible, then the natural map
   \[
   \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, \mathbb{Z}_X) \otimes F \to \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F)
   \]
   is an isomorphism. If furthermore \( F = \omega_M \), i.e., when \( \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F) = D_M(G) \) is the Verdier dual, then \( \text{SS}(D_M(G)) = - \text{SS}(G) \).

Sometimes, when the noncharacteristic condition is absent, there is still less refined upper bounds for pullbacks.

Definition 2.37. We define two constructions of closed conic subsets of cotangent bundles:

1. Given closed conic subset \( A, B \subseteq T^* X \), we define \( A + B \) to be the closed subset consisting of points \( (x, \xi) \in T^* X \) such that, in some local coordinate, there exist sequence \( \{(x_n, \xi_n)\} \) in \( A \) and \( \{(y_n, \eta_n)\} \) in \( B \) such that \( x_n, y_n \to x \), \( \xi_n + \eta_n \to \xi \), and \( |x_n - y_n||x_n| \to 0 \).
2. Let \( i : M \to X \) be a closed submanifold and choose a local coordinate \((x, y, \xi, \eta)\) of \( T^*X \) such that \( M \) is given by \( \{y = 0\} \). Given a closed conic subset \( A \subseteq T^*X \), we define \( i^\#(A) \) to be the closed subset of \( T^*M \) consisting of points \((x, \xi)\) such that there exists \( \{(x_n, y_n, \xi_n, \eta_n)\} \) in \( A \) such that \( y_n \to 0 \), \( x_n \to x \), \( \xi_n \to \xi \), and \( |y_n||\eta_n| \to 0 \).

In general for \( f : X \to Y \) and closed conic \( A \subseteq T^*Y \), \( f^\#(A) \) can be defined as a special case of a more general construction which also includes \( A \hat{+} B \) as a special case. Moreover, the definition can be made free of choice of local coordinates using the technique of deformation to the normal cone. Also, notice that the above construction \( A \hat{+} B \) and \( i^\#(A) \) contain \( A + B \) and \( di^\#(SS(F)) \) as closed subsets. It can be shown that they are equal when the noncharacteristic condition is satisfied.

**Proposition 2.38.** We have the following results:

1. Let \( j : U \to X \) be open and \( F \in Sh(U) \), then
   \[
   SS(j_* F) \subseteq SS(F) \hat{+} N^*_m U.
   \]

2. Let \( i : M \to X \) be a closed submanifold and \( F \in Sh(X) \), then
   \[
   SS(i^* F) \subseteq i^\# SS(F).
   \]

By Example 2.29, Corollary 2.22, and (2) of Proposition 2.38, we conclude:

**Lemma 2.39.** Let \( B \) be a contractible manifold and \( p : M \times B \to M \) be the projection. A sheaf \( F \in Sh(M) \) satisfies \( p^* p_* F \to F \) if and only if \( SS(F) \subseteq T^*M \times 0_B \).

We will use the notion of \( \text{convolution} \). Let \( M_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \) be manifolds. For \( i < j \), we use \( M_{ij} := M_i \times M_j \) to denote the product and \( M_{123} \) similarly. Denote also \( p_{ij} : M_{123} \to M_{ij} \) the projection. For \( A \subseteq T^*M_{12} \) and \( B \subseteq T^*M_{23} \), we set
\[
A \circ B = \{(x, \xi, z, \zeta) \in T^*M_{13} | \exists (y, \eta), (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in A, (y, \eta, z, \zeta) \in -B\}.
\]

Note when \( A \) and \( B \) are Lagrangian correspondences, \( A \circ B \) is the composite Lagrangian correspondence twisted by a minus sign on the second component. Write \( q_{ij} : T^*M_{123} \to T^*M_{ij} \) to be the projection on the level of cotangent bundles. Then \( A \circ B = q_{13}(q_{23}^{-1}(B) \cap q_{12}^{-1}A) \).

**Definition 2.40.** For \( F \in Sh(M_{12}) \), \( G \in Sh(M_{23}) \), we define the \( \text{convolution} \) to be
\[
F \circ G := p_{13!}(p_{23}^* G \otimes p_{12}^* F).
\]

Assume

1. \( p_{13} \) is proper on \( M_1 \times \text{supp}(G) \cap \text{supp}(F) \times M_3 \);
2. \( 0_{M_1} \times \text{SS}(G) \cap \text{SS}(F) \times 0_{M_2} \cap 0_{M_1} \times T^*M_2 \times 0_{M_3} \subseteq 0_{M_{123}} \).
Then the above (4), (6) and (3) of Proposition 2.36 implies that
\[ \text{SS}(F \circ G) \subseteq \text{SS}(F) \circ \text{SS}(G). \]

More generally, let \( B \) be a manifold viewed as a parameter space. Regard \( F \in \text{Sh}(M_{12} \times B) \), \( G \in \text{Sh}(M_{23} \times B) \) as \( B \)-family of sheaves, one can similarly define the relative convolution \( F \circ |_{B} G \in \text{Sh}(M_{13} \times B) \). One noticeable difference for the microsupport estimation is that instead of \( T^* M_{ij} \) and \( T^* M_{123} \) one has to consider \( T^* M_{ij} \times T^* B \) and \( T^* M_{123} \times (T^* B \times_B T^* B) \) instead. Here \( \times_B \) is taken over the diagonal \( B \hookrightarrow B \times B \). Also the projection \( r_{ij} : T^* M_{123} \times (T^* B \times_B T^* B) \to T^* M_{ij} \times T^* B \) for the \( B \)-component is now given by the first projection (with a minus sign) for \( ij = 12 \), the addition for \( ij = 13 \), and the second projection \( ij = 23 \). Otherwise the microsupport estimation is similar to the ordinary case.

2.3 Constructible sheaves

The theory of constructible sheaves is based on the results of stratified spaces. Standard reference for stratified spaces are [14] and [27].

A stratification \( S \) of \( X \) is a decomposition of \( X \) into a disjoint union of locally closed subset \( \{X_s\}_{s \in S} \). A set \( Y \subseteq X \) is said to be \( S \)-constructible if it is a union of strata in \( S \). We assume, without further mention, that a stratification should be locally finite and satisfies the frontier condition that \( \overline{X_s} \setminus X_s \) is a disjoint union of strata in \( S \). In this case, there is an ordering which is defined by \( s \leq t \) if and only if \( X_t \subseteq \overline{X_s} \). We always implicitly chose this ordering when considering \( S \) as a poset. For example, we will consider its linearization \( S\text{-Mod} := \text{PSh}(S^{op}, \text{Z-Mod}) \).

**Definition 2.41.** A sheaf \( F \) is said to be \( S \)-constructible if \( F|_{X_s} \) is a local system for all \( s \in S \). We denote the subcategory of \( \text{Sh}(X) \) consisting of such sheaves by \( \text{Sh}_S(X) \). A sheaf \( F \) is said to be constructible if \( F \) is \( S \)-constructible for some stratification \( S \).

For \( s \in S \), we denote \( \text{star}(s) \) the smallest \( S \)-constructible open set containing \( X_s \). Alternatively, \( \text{star}(s) = \bigsqcup_{t \leq s} X_t \). The poset \( S \) can be then identified with the subposet \( \{\text{star}(s) | s \in S\} \) of \( \text{OP}_X \). Hence, there is a functor \( S\text{-Mod} \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}_S(X) \) induced by the restriction. A stratification is called a triangulation if \( X = |K| \) is a realization of some simplicial complex \( K \) and \( S := \{|\sigma||\sigma \in K\} \) is given by the simplexes of \( K \). We note that when \( S \) is a triangulation, the functor \( S\text{-Mod} \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}_S(X) \) is an equivalence since each \( X_s \) is contractible.

Now let \( X \) be a \( C^\alpha \) manifold. We consider regularity conditions of a stratification.

**Definition 2.42.** Let \( M \) and \( N \) be locally closed \( C^1 \) manifolds of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), with \( N \subset M \setminus M \). Consider sequences \( x_n \in M \) and \( y_n \in N \) such that \( x_n, y_n \to y \in N \) and \( \{T_{x_n} M\} \) converges to \( \tau \) (in the corresponding Grassmannian). Assume also \( \{\mathbb{R}(x_n, y_n)\} \) converges to \( l \). We say the pair \((N, M)\) satisfies the Whitney condition if any such sequence satisfies \( \tau \supseteq l \).

For general pairs of \( C^1 \) submanifold manifolds of a \( C^\alpha \) manifold \( X \), we say the pair \((N, M)\) satisfies the Whitney condition if the above condition is satisfies on local charts.

**Remark 2.43.** The Whitney condition can also be formulated without working on coordinates. Recall the normal bundle of the diagonal \( \Delta_X \hookrightarrow X \times X \) can be identified as the tangent bundle \( TX \) of \( X \). The (real) blow-up \( Bl_{\Delta_X}(X \times X) \) can be seen as a disjoint union \( \mathbb{P}(TX) \amalg (X \times X \setminus \Delta_X) \) of the projective tangent bundle and the
off-diagonal. Then we say \((N,M)\) satisfies the Whitney condition if for any sequence \((y_n, x_n) \in N \times M \subseteq Bl_{\Delta_X}(X \times X) \setminus \Delta_X\) such that \(T_{x_n} M \rightarrow \tau\) and \((y_n, x_n) \rightarrow l \in \mathbb{P}(TX)\), we have \(l \subseteq \tau\).

We say a stratification \(S\) is \(C^k\) if each \(X_s\) is \(C^k\) locally closed manifold. A \(C^k\) stratification \(S\) is a Whitney stratification if \((X_t, X_s)\) satisfies the Whitney condition for \(s \leq t\). Let \(N^* S\) denote the union \(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} N^* X_\alpha\) of the conormals of the strata. The set \(N^* S\) is a singular conic Lagrangian in \(T^* M\) and the Whitney condition implies a weaker property that \(N^* S \subseteq T^* X\) is closed. We also use \(N^*_p S\) to denote the corresponding singular isotropic at the infinity. The main advantage of considering Whitney stratifications are the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.44** ([13, Proposition 4.8]). Let \(S\) be a \(C^1\) stratification. A sheaf \(F\) which satisfies \(SS^\infty(F) \subseteq N^*_\infty S\) is \(S\)-contractible, i.e., there exists an inclusion \(\text{Sh}_{N^*_\infty S}(M) \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}_S(M)\). When \(S\) is Whitney, the inclusion \(\text{Sh}_{N^*_\infty S}(M) \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}_S(M)\) is an equivalence.

The proposition is a corollary of the existence of inward cornerings defined by applying the following lemma which is also proved in the same paper.

**Lemma 2.45** ([13, Proposition 2.3]). Fix any \(1 \leq p \leq \infty\), and let \(S\) be a \(C^p\) Whitney stratification of \(M\). Fix a relatively compact \(\overline{S}\)-constructible set \(Y\). Let \(S_Y := \{s \mid X_s \subseteq Y\}\) denote the collection of strata consisting of \(Y\) and set \(N^* S_Y := \bigcup_{\alpha \in S_Y} N^* X_\alpha\), which is closed in \(T^* X\) by the Whitney condition. Then there exists a decreasing family \(Y^\epsilon\) of neighborhoods of \(Y\) such that as \(\epsilon \rightarrow 0\),

1. \(N^* Y^\epsilon\) becomes contained in arbitrary small conic neighborhood of \(N^* Y^\epsilon\),
2. \(N^* Y^\epsilon \cap N^* S = \emptyset\).

**Definition 2.46.** For a relative compact \(\overline{S}\)-constructible open set \(U\), an inward cornering of \(U\) is a open set of the form

\[ U^{-\epsilon} := U \setminus \overline{(\partial U)^\epsilon}. \]

When \(\epsilon > 0\) is small, the inward cornering \(U^{-\epsilon}\) is a codimension 0 open submanifold whose closure \(\overline{U^{-\epsilon}}\) is a compact manifold with corners. The family \(U^{-\epsilon}\) depends smoothly on \(\epsilon\). Its outward conormal \(N^*_{\infty, out} U^{-\epsilon}\) remains disjoint from \(N^*_\infty S\) as \(\epsilon\) changes, and converges to \(N^*_\infty S\) uniformly as \(\epsilon \rightarrow 0\).

Combining with the comment on triangulations, we obtain a simple description of sheaves microsupported in \(N^*_\infty S\) for some \(C^1\) Whitney triangulation \(S\).

**Proposition 2.47.** Let \(S\) be a \(C^1\) Whitney triangulation. Then, there is an equivalence \(\text{Sh}_{N^*_\infty S}(M) = \overline{S}\)-Mod and an identification of the generators is given by

\[ Z_{X_s} \mapsto 1_s \]

where \(1_s\) is the indicator which is defined by

\[ 1_s(t) = \begin{cases} Z, & t \leq s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

Thus, the subcategory of compact objects \(\text{Sh}_{N^*_\infty S}(M)^{\text{c}}\) consists of sheaves with compact support and perfect stalks.
We will use such triangulations as a tool to study general isotropics $\Lambda \subseteq S^*M$. That is, we would like to consider the situation where there is a $C^1$ Whitney stratification $S$ such that $\Lambda \subseteq N_{\infty}S$. We recall one setting which fulfills such a requirement.

**Definition 2.48.** Assume $M$ is $C^{\omega}$, i.e., real analytic. A subset $Z$ of $M$ is said to be subanalytic at $x$ if there exists open set $U \ni x$, compact manifolds $Y^j_i (i = 1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq N)$ and morphisms $f^j_i : Y^j_i \to M$ such that

$$Z \cap U = U \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} (f^1_j(Y^1_j) \setminus f^2_j(Y^2_j)).$$

We say $Z$ is subanalytic if $Z$ is subanalytic at $x$ for all $x \in M$.

A key feature of subanalytic geometry we need is the following existence lemma.

**Lemma 2.49 ([23, Corollary 8.3.22]).** Let $\Lambda$ be a closed conic subanalytic isotropic subset of $T^*M$. Then there exists a $C^{\omega}$ Whitney stratification $S$ such that $\Lambda \subseteq N^*S$.

Combining with the above proposition, it implies a microlocal criterion for a sheaf $F$ with subanalytic microsupport being constructible.

**Proposition 2.50.** Let $F \in \mathbf{Sh}(M)$ and assume $SS^\infty(F)$ is subanalytic. Then $F$ is constructible if and only if $SS^\infty(F)$ is a singular isotropic.

Another feature of subanalytic geometry is that relatively compact subanalytic sets form an o-minimal structure. Thus, one can apply the result of [8] to refine a $C^p$ Whitney stratification to a Whitney triangulation, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

**Lemma 2.51.** Let $\Lambda$ be a subanalytic singular isotropic in $S^*M$. Then there exists a $C^1$ Whitney triangulation $S$ such that $\Lambda \subseteq N^*S$.

Combining the above two results, we conclude:

**Theorem 2.52.** Let $F \in \mathbf{Sh}(M)$ and assume $SS^\infty(F)$ is a subanalytic singular isotropic. Then $F$ is $S$-constructible for some $C^1$ Whitney triangulation $S$.

Collectively, sheaves with the same subanalytic singular isotropic microsupport forms a category with nice finiteness property. Let $\Lambda$ be a subanalytic singular isotropic in $S^*M$. Pick a Whitney triangulation $S$ such that $\Lambda \subseteq N_{\infty}S$. Constructibility implies, for smooth points $(x, \xi)$ in $N_{\infty}S$, the microstalk functor $\mu_{(x, \xi)} : \mathbf{Sh}_{N_{\infty}S}(M) \to \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$ preserves both limits and colimits. Combining with Proposition 2.34, we see that the inclusion

$$\iota_* : \mathbf{Sh}_\Lambda(M) \to \mathbf{Sh}_{N_{\infty}S}(M)$$

admits a left adjoint $\iota^*$ and a right adjoint $\iota'$. Since $\mathbf{Sh}_{N_{\infty}S}(M) = S\text{-Mod}$ is compactly generated, we have:

**Proposition 2.53.** Let $\Lambda$ be a subanalytic singular isotropic in $S^*M$. The category $\mathbf{Sh}_\Lambda(M)$ is compactly generated.
Proposition 2.55. Let \( \Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{N} \) be subanalytic isotropics and \( \Lambda' \subseteq \mathcal{N} \), by picking a Whitney triangulation \( \mathcal{S} \) such that \( \Lambda' \subseteq \mathcal{N} \), a similar consideration as above shows that the inclusion \( \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda' \) has both a left and a right adjoint. Thus, the isotopy of left joint preserves compact objects.

Now recall from Proposition 2.16, the presheaf \( \text{Sh} \) in \( \text{Pr}_{\omega, st} \) of integer coefficient sheaves is itself a presheaf. Since a set can be recovered from its intersections with an open cover, the same argument shows that the assignment \( U \mapsto \text{Sh}(U) \) forms a sheaf \( \text{Sh} \) in \( \text{Pr}_{\omega, st} \). By Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.12, passing to left adjoints turns \( \text{Sh} \) to a cosheaf in \( \text{Pr}_{\omega, st} \), and taking compact objects further turns it to a cosheaf in \( \text{st}_\omega \). That is,

**Proposition 2.54.** The presheaf \( \text{Sh}_\Lambda : \text{Op}_M \to \text{st}_\omega \) is a cosheaf.

For an inclusion of subanalytic singular isotropics \( \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda' \), by picking a Whitney triangulation \( \mathcal{S} \) such that \( \Lambda' \subseteq \mathcal{N} \), a similar consideration as above shows that the inclusion \( \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda' \) has both a left and a right adjoint. Thus, passing to left adjoints turns \( \text{Sh}_\Lambda \) to a cosheaf in \( \text{st}_\omega \). That is,

**Proposition 2.55.** Passing to left adjoint, the inclusion \( \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda' \) induces a canonical functor \( \text{Sh}_{\Lambda'}(M)^c \to \text{Sh}_{\Lambda}(M)^c \) between compact objects.

By applying the left adjoint, we see that the microstalk functor \( \mu_{(x,\xi)} : \text{Sh}_{\Lambda}(M) \to \mathbb{Z} \)-Mod is tautologically corepresented by the compact object \( \mu_{(x,\xi)}(\mathbb{Z}) \in \text{Sh}_{\Lambda}(M)^c \). Furthermore, in case of an inclusion \( \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda' \), these corepresentatives are sent to corepresentatives of the restricted functor on subcategories under the canonical functor \( \text{Sh}_{\Lambda'}(M)^c \to \text{Sh}_{\Lambda}(M)^c \). Tautologically, the corepresentative of \( \mu_{(x,\xi)} \) for smooth points \( (x,\xi) \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda \) vanishes under this functor. By Proposition 2.34, the converse is also true : 

**Proposition 2.56.** (Theorem 4.13 of [13]). Let \( \Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{N} \) be subanalytic isotropics and let \( \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda', \Lambda}^\mu(T^*M) \) denote the fiber of the canonical functor \( \text{Sh}_{\Lambda'}(M)^c \to \text{Sh}_{\Lambda}(M)^c \). Then \( \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda', \Lambda}^\mu(T^*M) \) is generated by the corepresentatives of the microstalk functors \( \mu_{(x,\xi)} \) for smooth Legendrian points \( (x,\xi) \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda \).

### 3 Continuation maps

Let \((X,\omega,Z)\) be a Liouville manifold and \(\alpha := \iota_Z\omega\) be the Liouville form. Consider an isotopy of Lagrangian submanifolds conic at infinity \(L_t, t \in [0,1]\). One say that the isotopy \(L_t\) is positive if \(\alpha(\partial_t \partial_x L_t) \geq 0\). Standard Floer theory implies there is an continuation element \(c(L_t) \in HF^*(L_0,L_1)\). Recall that for any triple \((K_0,K_1,K_2)\) of transversally interacted Lagrangians, there exists a multiplication map \(\mu : HF^*(K_0,K_1) \otimes HF^*(K_1,K_2) \to HF^*(K_0,K_2)\). Thus, for suitable \(K\)'s, multiplying \(c(L_t)\) induces a map \(HF^*(L_1,K) \to HF^*(L_0,K)\) which is usually referred as the continuation map and is a key ingredient for defining the wrapped Floer category.
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3.1 Continuation maps

We recall here the sheaf theoretical continuation maps studied in [18]. A dual construction can be found in [39] and [19]. In the sheaf theoretical setting, the object corresponds to the continuation element is simply a morphism/map between sheaves. As a result, we simply use the term continuation map to refer both the morphism between sheaves and the induced map on the Hom-complex. Let \((t, \tau)\) denote the coordinate of \(T^*\mathbb{R}\) and let \(T^*_{\leq 0}\mathbb{R} = \{\tau \leq 0\}\) denote the set of non-positive covectors.

**Lemma 3.1** Let \(N\) be a manifold, \([-\infty, \infty]\) be the compactification of \(\mathbb{R}\) at the two infinities, \(p : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N\) be the projection, \(j : N \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto N \times [-\infty, \infty]\) be the open interior, and \(i_\pm : N \times \{\pm \infty\} \mapsto N \times [-\infty, \infty]\) be the closed inclusion at the inﬁnities. Then for a sheaf \(F \in \text{Sh}_{T^*N \times T^*_{\leq 0}\mathbb{R}}(N \times \mathbb{R})\), there are isomorphisms \(p_* F = i^*_+ j_* F\) and \(p_! F[1] = i^*_+ j_* F\) identifying the two pushforwards as nearby cycles at the inﬁnities.

**Proof.** We first prove the case when \(\text{supp}(F) \subseteq N \times [-C, C]\) for some \(C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\). In this case, \(i^*_+ j_* F = i^*_+ j_* F = 0\) and \(p_* F = p_! F\) since \(p\) is proper on \(\text{supp}(F)\). Let \(x \in N\) be a point. Base change implies \((p_* F)_x = \Gamma(\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}; F|_{\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}})\). Apply the microsupport estimation \(\text{SS}(f^* F) \subseteq f^#(\text{SS}(F))\) of Proposition 2.38 to the inclusion of the slice at \(x\), we obtain \(\text{SS}(F|_{\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}}) \subseteq T^*_{\leq 0}\mathbb{R}\) so we reduce to the case \(N = \{*\}\). In this case, consider the family of open sets \(\{(-\infty, t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\), the noncharacteristic deformation lemma, Lemma 2.25, implies that \(\Gamma(\mathbb{R}; F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma((-\infty, t); F)\) for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}\). Since \(\text{supp}(F)\) is compact, the latter is 0 for \(t < 0\).

Now for the general case, we first notice there are canonical morphisms \(p_* F \rightarrow i^*_+ j_* F\) and \(i^*_+ j_* F \rightarrow p_! F[1]\) functorial on \(F\): Let \(j_+ : N \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto N \times [-\infty, \infty)\) denote the open embedding compactifying the negative end. For any \(G \in \text{Sh}(N \times [-\infty, \infty))\), there is a fiber sequence
\[
j_+ j_*^* G \rightarrow G \rightarrow i_\ast^* i_\ast^* G.
\]
Set \(G = j_+^* F\) and notice \(j_+^* j_+ = \text{id}\), we obtain the fiber sequence
\[
j_+ F \rightarrow j_+^* F \rightarrow i_\ast^* j_+^* F.
\]
Let \(p_+ : N \times [-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow N\) denote the projection (and similarly for \(p_-\)). The canonical morphism \(p_* F \rightarrow i^*_+ j_* F\) is obtained by applying \(p_-\) to the above fiber sequence. The morphism \(i^*_+ j_* F \rightarrow p_! F[1]\) can be obtained similarly.

Now recall that there is fiber sequence
\[
F_{N \times (-\infty, 0]} \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_{N \times (0, \infty)}.
\]
(5) of Proposition 2.36 implies that both \(F_{N \times (-\infty, 0]}\) and \(F_{N \times (0, \infty)}\) are contained in \(T^* N \times T^*_{\leq 0}\mathbb{R}\). So it’s sufﬁcient to prove the cases when \(\text{supp}(F) \subseteq N \times (-\infty, C]\) and \(\text{supp}(F) \subseteq N \times [-C, \infty)\) for some \(C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\).

We ﬁrst prove the trivial cases: Assume \(\text{supp}(F) \subseteq [-C, \infty)\). We claim \(p_* F = 0 = i^*_+ j_* F\). One computes
\[
p_* F = p_* F|_{[-C, \infty)}
= p_* \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F|_{[-C, n]}
= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_* F|_{[-C, n]} = 0
\]
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by the case $\text{supp}(F) \subseteq N \times [-C, C]$. Similarly, by considering the colimit

$$F_{(-\infty, C]} = \colim_{n \to \infty} F_{(-n, C]},$$

one can conclude $p_* F = 0 = i^*_F j_* F$ when $\text{supp}(F) \subseteq (-\infty, C]$.

Now assume $\text{supp}(F) \subseteq (-\infty, C]$ and we claim $p_* F = i^*_F j_* F$. Consider again the fiber sequence

$$j_{-1} F \to j_{-} F \to i_{-1} i^*_F j_{-} F.$$

Apply $p_{-*}$ and notice that $p_{-1} = p_{-*}$ for these sheaves because of the compact support assumption. Thus, we obtain the fiber sequence

$$p_{-1} F \to p_{-*} F \to i^*_F j_{-*} F.$$

Since $p_{-1} F = 0$ by the previous case, $p_{-*} F = i^*_F j_{-*} F$. The other isomorphism can be obtained similarly. \qed

In order to define the continuation map, we prove a prototype version of Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 3.2** ([18, Proposition 4.8]). Let $\iota_* : \text{Sh}_{T^* N \times T^*_0 \mathbb{R}} (N \times \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}(N \times \mathbb{R})$ denote the standard inclusion. Then there exist left and right adjoints $\iota^* \dashv \iota_! \dashv \iota^!$ which is given by convolutions $\iota^* F = Z_{\{t' > t\}} [1] \circ F$ and $\iota^! F = \lim_{t \to \infty} (Z_{\{-t \leq t' \leq t\}} \circ F)$. Here $(t, t')$ is the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

**Proof.** To make the notation simpler, replace $\mathbb{R}$ by the open interval $I = (0, 1)$. We first show that $SS(Z_{\{t' > t\}} \circ F) \subseteq T^* N \times T^*_0 I$. Let $\pi_1, \pi_2 : N \times I \times I \to N \times I$ denote the projection $\pi_1(x, t, t') = (x, t)$ and $\pi_2(x, t, t') = (x, t')$. Then $Z_{\{t' > t\}} \circ F = \pi_2([\pi_1^* F]_{N \times \{t' > t\}})$. In order to estimate the effect of $\pi_2$ on the microsupport, we need the map $\pi_2$ to be proper on the support of the sheaf. Thus, let $j : N \times I \times I \hookrightarrow N \times (-1, 1) \times I$ denote the open inclusion, $\pi_2' : N \times (-1, 1) \times I \to N \times I$ denote the projection, and we factorize $Z_{\{t' > t\}} \circ F$ to $\pi_{2'} \circ j_![[\pi_1^* F]_{N \times \{t' > t\}}]$. Before taking $\pi_{2*}$, one observes, using (4) and (5) of Proposition 2.36 and (1) of Proposition 2.38, that none of the operations introduces non-zero covectors on the second $I$-component to the microsupport except when taking $(*)_{N \times \{t' > t\}}$, covectors of the form $(0, \sigma, -\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ might be added to the cotangent fibers over the boundary $\{t' = t\}$. Thus

$$SS(Z_{\{t' > t\}} \circ F) \subseteq SS(\pi_{2*} \circ j_![[\pi_1^* F]_{N \times \{t' > t\}}])$$

$$\subseteq (\pi_{2'}^2)_\pi (SS(j_![[\pi_1^* F]_{N \times \{t' > t\}}]) \cap T^* N \times 0_{(-1, 1)} \times T^* I)$$

$$\subseteq T^* N \times T^*_{0} I.$$

For the right adjoint $\iota^!$, we note that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (Z_{\{-t \leq t' \leq t\}} \circ F) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \pi_2([\pi_1^* F]_{N \times \{-t \leq t' \leq t\}})$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \pi_{2*}([\pi_1^* F]_{N \times \{-t \leq t' \leq t\}})$$

$$= \pi_{2*} \lim_{t \to \infty} ([\pi_1^* F]_{N \times \{-t \leq t' \leq t\}})$$

$$= \pi_{2*} ([\pi_1^* F]_{N \times \{t' \leq t\}}).$$

Then one can argue as the left adjoint case. (Note the last term is different from $Z_{\{t' \leq t\}} \circ F$ in general since limits do not commute with convolution.)
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In sum, we’ve shown that there are functors

\[ Z_{(t')}[1] \circ (\cdot), \quad Z_{(t \leq t')} \circ (\cdot) : \Sh(M \times I) \to \Sh_{T^*N \times T_{\leq 0}^* \R}(N \times \R). \]

In order to show that these are indeed adjunctions, it’s sufficient to show that the canonical morphisms \( Z_{\Delta_1} \to Z_{(t')}[1] \) and \( Z_{(t \leq t')} \to Z_{\Delta_1} \) becomes isomorphism after convoluting with sheaves in \( \Sh_{T^*N \times T_{\leq 0}^* \R}(N \times \R) \) since convoluting with \( Z_{\Delta_1} \) is the same as the identity functor.

Consider the fiber sequence

\[ Z_{(t')} \to Z_{(t \geq t')} \to Z_{\Delta_1}. \]

We have similarly \( Z_{(t')} \circ F = \pi_{21}(\pi_1^* F)_{N \times (t' \geq t)} \) and a similar microsupport estimation implies, before applying \( \pi_{21} \), \( \SS((\pi_1^* F)_{N \times (t' \geq t)}) \subseteq T^* N \times T_{\leq 0} I \times T^* I \). Thus, the last lemma 3.1 implies \( \pi_{21}((\pi_1^* F)_{N \times (t' \geq t)}) \) is the nearby circle of \( (\pi_1^* F)_{N \times (t' \geq t)} \) at \( \infty \) along the first \( I \)-direction and it is 0. Thus \( F = Z_{\Delta_1} \circ F \sim Z_{(t')}[1] \circ F \). A similar argument shows \( \lim_{r \to \infty} (Z_{(t-r \leq t')} \circ F) \sim F \) for \( F \) with the same microsupport condition.

Now let \( F \in \Sh_{T^*N \times T_{\leq 0}^* \R}(N \times \R) \) and, by the preceding lemma, \( F \sim Z_{(t' > t)}[1] \circ F \). Let \( a \in \R \) and let \( i_a : N \to N \times \R \) denote the slice at \( a \). Applying \( i_a^* \) results the isomorphism \( i_a^* F \sim Z_{(-\infty, a)}[1] \circ F \). Recall that for \( a \leq b \), there is a canonical morphism \( Z_{(-\infty, a)}[1] \to Z_{(-\infty, b)}[1] \) induced by the open inclusion \( (-\infty,a) \to (a,b) \).

**Definition 3.3.** For \( F \in \Sh_{T^*N \times T_{\leq 0}^* \R}(N \times \R) \) and \( a \leq b \) Set \( F_x = i_a^* F \) for \( x \in \R \).

We define the continuation map \( c(F,a,b) : F_a \to F_b \) to be the (homotopically unique) morphism \( c \) that makes the following diagram commute:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
F_a & \xrightarrow{c} & F_b \\
\| & & \| \\
Z_{(-\infty, a)}[1] \circ F & \to & Z_{(-\infty, b)}[1] \circ F
\end{array} \]

The continuation map inherits various properties from \( Z_{(-\infty,a)} \). For example, it composes in the sense that

\[ c(F,a_2,a_3) \circ c(F,a_1,a_2) = c(F,a_1,a_3) \]

since the conical map \( Z_{(-\infty,a_1)} \to Z_{(-\infty,a_2)} \to Z_{(-\infty,a_3)} \) compose to \( Z_{(-\infty,a_1)} \to Z_{(-\infty,a_3)} \). Let \( p_{[a,b]} : N \times [a,b] \to N \) denote the projection. If \( F|_{N \times [a,b]} = p_{[a,b]}^* G \) is a pullback from \( N \) for some \( G \in \Sh(N) \), one can identify \( F_a = G = F_b \) through the canonical map \( F \to i_a \circ i_a^* F \). In this case, the continuation map \( c(F,a,b) \) is equivalent to this identification \( F_a = F_b \).

We consider the homotopical invariant property of the continuation map in the following setting. Let \( I \) and \( J \) be open intervals and let \( (t,\tau) \) and \( (s,\sigma) \) be the corresponding coordinates for their cotangent bundles. Let \( G \in \Sh(M \times I \times J) \) be a sheaf such that \( \SS(G) \subseteq \{ \tau \leq 0 \} \). For any \( x \in I \), we use \( G_{t=x} := G|_{M \times \{x\} \times J} \) to denote the restriction and similarly for \( G_{s=y}, y \in J \). Note by (2) of Proposition 2.38, the same condition
SS(Gs=y) ⊆ \{\tau ≤ 0\} holds. Assume further that there exists a ≤ b in I such that SS(Gt=a), SS(Gt=b) ⊆ T*M × 0J. By Lemma 2.39, this implies that there exist Fa, Fb ∈ Sh(M) such that Gt=a = p_Fa and Gt=b = p_Fb where we use ps : M × J → M to denote the projection. Note that, for each y ∈ J, the restriction Gs=y induces a continuation map c(G, y, a, b) : Fa → Fb.

**Proposition 3.4.** The morphism c(G, y, a, b) is independent of y ∈ J.

**Proof.** Since SS(G) ⊆ \{\tau ≤ 0\}, a family version of Proposition 3.2 implies

\[ G = Z_{(\Delta_t \times J) \circ |\cdot|G} \overset{\sim}{\to} Z_{(s' > s) \times J} \circ |\cdot|G \]

is an isomorphism where o|J is the J-parametrized convolution. In particular, Gt=a \overset{\sim}{\to} Z_{(\infty,y) \times J} \circ |\cdot|G and thus there is a (J-parametrized) continuation map

\[ c_J(G, a, b) : Gt=a \to Gt=b. \]

For y ∈ J, let i_y : M → M × J denote the inclusion of the slice at y. By Proposition 2.18, there is equivalence i_y(K ∩ |\cdot|G) = K|s=y ∩ Gs=y for K ∈ Sh(I × J). This implies that c_J(G, a, b) restricts to i_y*c_J(G, a, b) = c(Gs=y, a, b). Hence, the i_y*⊣i_y* adjunction induces a commuting diagram,

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Gt=a & \xrightarrow{c_J(G, a, b)} & Gt=b \\
\downarrow i_y i_y^* & & \downarrow i_y i_y^*
\end{array}
\]

which is equivalent to

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
p_Fa & \xrightarrow{c_J(G, a, b)} & p_Fb \\
\downarrow i_y i_y^* F_a & & \downarrow i_y i_y^* F_b
\end{array}
\]

Since J is contractible, the horizontal arrows become isomorphism after applying ps*.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_a & \xrightarrow{ps*c_J(G, a, b)} & F_b \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F_a & \xrightarrow{c(Gs=y, a, b)} & F_b
\end{array}
\]

That is, the continuation map c(Gs=y, a, b) is equivalent to ps*c_J(G, a, b) for all y ∈ J.

**Remark 3.5.** One can see from the proof that the continuation maps enjoy higher homotopical independence.
3.2 Sheaf theoretical wrappings

We specialize to the case when \( F \) comes from the Guillermou-Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf quantization in this section. Recall that when \( M \) is a smooth manifold, its cotangent bundle admits a canonical symplectic structure \( (T^*M, \omega) \). The Liouville form \( \alpha \) is compatible with the \( \mathbb{R}_{>0} \)-action which freely acts on \( T^*M \). Thus, there is an induced contact structure on the cosphere bundle \( S^*M \). It can be realized as a contact hypersurface of \( T^*M \) by picking a Riemannian metric. There is a dictionary between homogeneous symplectic geometry of \( T^*M \) and contact geometry of \( S^*M \). Thus, we will use them interchangeably when one language is more convenient. See subsection A for a more detailed discussion.

**Definition 3.6.** Let \( M, B \) be manifolds and \( I \) be an open interval containing 0. We say a \( C^\infty \) map \( \Phi : S^*M \times I \times B \to S^*M \) is a \( B \)-family contact isotopies if for each \((t, b) \in I \times B\), the map \( \varphi_{t,b} := \Phi(\cdot, t, b) \) is a contactomorphism and \( \varphi_{0,b} = \text{id}_{S^*M} \) for all \( b \in B \).

As described above, a \( B \)-family contact isotopies \( \Phi \) corresponds to a \( B \)-family of homogeneous symplectic isotopies (of degree 1), which we abuse the notation and denote it by \( \Phi \) as well. For fixed \( b \in B \), we let \( V_{\Phi_b} \) denote the vector field generated by \( \varphi_{t,b} \). Since \( \varphi_{t,b} \) is homogeneous, \( V_{\Phi_b} \) is a Hamiltonian vector field with \( \alpha(V_{\Phi_b}) \) being its Hamiltonian. The latter is the function which evaluates to \( \alpha(\varphi_{t,b}(x,\xi)) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_{t,b}(x,\xi) \right) \) at \( \varphi_{t,b}(x,\xi) \).

**Proposition 3.7.** For each \( B \)-family homogeneous symplectic isotopies \( \Phi \), there is a unique conic Lagrangian submanifold \( \Lambda_\Phi \) in \( T^*(M \times M) \times T^*I \times T^*B \) which is determined by the equation \( T^*\Lambda_\Phi(\cdot) = \Lambda_{\varphi_{t,b}} \) where the later is \( \{(x, -\xi, \phi_{t,b}(x,\xi)) \mid (x,\xi) \in T^*M\} \), the twisted graph of \( \varphi_{t,b} \). More precisely, it is given by the formula

\[
\Lambda_\Phi = \left\{ (x, -\xi, \varphi_{t,b}(x,\xi), t, -\alpha(V_{\Phi_b})(\varphi_{t,b}(x,\xi)), b, -\alpha_{\varphi_{t,b}(x,\xi)} \circ d(\Phi \circ i_{x,\xi,t}) b(\cdot)) \right\}
\]

where the parameters run through \((x,\xi) \in T^*M, t \in I, b \in B\), and the map \( i_{x,\xi,t} \) is the inclusion of \( B \) to the \((x,\xi,t)\)-slice. We use the same notation \( \Lambda_\Phi \) to denote its projection to \( S^*(M \times M \times I \times B) \) which is a Legendrian submanifold.

The following theorem of Guillermou-Kashiwara-Schapira is a categorification of the more classical statements of quantization which usually have operators as the quantized objects. The proof given here is the non-family case. Since the existence is proved by using uniqueness to glue local existence and the local picture depends smoothly on the family \( J^n \), the same proof holds for the family version with minor modification.

**Theorem 3.8** ([18, Proposition 3.2]). Let \( M \) be a manifold and \( \Phi : S^*M \times I \times J^n \to S^*M \) be a \( J^n \)-family of contact isotopies with parameter space \( J^n \) where \( J \) is an open interval. Then there exists a unique sheaf kernel \( K(\Phi) \in \text{Sh}(M \times M \times I \times J^n) \) such that \( \text{SS}_x(\text{K}(\Phi)) \subseteq \Lambda_\Phi \) and \( \text{K}(\Phi) \mid_{t=0} = \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta M \times M} \). Moreover, \( \text{SS}_x(\text{K}(\Phi)) = \Lambda_\Phi \) is simple along \( \Lambda_\Phi \), both projections \( \text{supp}(K) \to M \times I \times J^n \) are proper the composition is compatible with convolution in the sense that

1. \( K(\Phi \circ \Psi) = K(\Phi) \circ K(\Psi) \mid_{I \times J^n} \)
2. \( K(\Phi^{-1}) \circ K(\Phi) = K(\Phi) \circ K(\Phi^{-1}) = \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta M \times I \times J^n} \).

Here \( \Phi^{-1} \) is the family isotopy given by \( \Phi^{-1}(\cdot, t, b) := \phi_{t,b}^{-1} \).
Remark 3.9. The equality $SS^\infty(K(\Phi)) = \Lambda_\Phi$ as well as a few other properties of $K(\Phi)$ followed by the uniqueness is explained in [15].

We refer the above process of obtaining the sheaf kernel $K(\Phi)$ from a contact isotopy $\Phi$ as the Guillermou-Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf quantization or GKS sheaf quantization in short. A corollary of this construction is that contact isotopies act on sheaves and the action is compatible with the microsupport:

**Corollary 3.10.** For a contact isotopy $\Phi : S^*M \times I \to S^*M$ and a sheaf $F \in Sh(M)$, there is equality $SS(K(\Phi) \circ F) = \Lambda_\Phi \circ SS(F)$. That is, if we set $F_t := (K(\Phi) \circ F)|_{M \times \{t\}}$, then $SS^\infty(F_t) = \phi_t SS^\infty(F)$ for $t \in I$. Furthermore, if $F$ has compact support, then so does $F_t$ for all $t \in I$.

We will consider the notion of *wrapping* for sheaves. Recall that in contact geometry, a wrapping is usually referring to a one-parameter deformation of Legendrian $L_t$ in a contact manifold $Y$. The wrapping is positive (resp. negative) if $\alpha(\partial_t L_t) \geq 0$ (resp. $\alpha(\partial_t L_t) \leq 0$) for some compatible contact form $\alpha$. An exercise is that such a deformation $L_t$ can always be extended to a contact isotopy $\Phi$ on $Y$. Since deformations of singular isotropics are not yet available at this moment, we first consider globally defined contact isotopies on $S^*M$, and then use them to deform sheaves through GKS sheaf quantization.

**Remark 3.11.** The term wrapping comes from the example of $\tilde{T}^r(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ with the isotopy given by

$$
\phi_t(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} 
(x + t, \xi), & \xi > 0, \\
(x - t, \xi), & \xi < 0.
\end{cases}
$$

In this paper, we will use the term positive/negative wrapping to mean a positive/negative isotopy, a family of sheaves induced by such an isotopy, or the corresponding family of singular isotropics of those sheaves by taking $SS^\infty(\cdot)$. Since these two notions are dual to each other, we will mainly work with positive isotopies and simply refer them as wrappings when the context is clear.

We will define the category of positive wrappings whose (1)-morphisms will be given by concatenation. In order to define concatenation easily, we assume that the isotopies are constant near the end points, and the interval $I$ will be a closed interval from now on. This requirement doesn’t lose much information since for any positive contact isotopies $\Phi : S^*M \times [0, 1] \to S^*M$, one can always make it have constant ends through a homotopy of isotopies. For example, pick a non-decreasing $C^\infty$ function $\rho$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho(](-\infty, 1/3]) \equiv 0$ and $\rho([2/3, \infty)) \equiv 1$. Then an example of such a modification is given by $\tilde{\Phi}(x, \xi, t, s) = \Phi(x, \xi, (1-s)t + s\rho(t))$. By Proposition 3.4, they induce equivalent continuation maps and two such identifications can itself be identified by a similar consideration and so on. Thus, when we mention isotopies obtained through nature constructions such as integrated from a time-independent vector field, we will implicitly assume such a deformation procedure.

**Definition 3.12.** Let $I = [t_0, t_1]$, $J = [s_0, s_1]$ be two closed intervals. We use $I \# J$ to denote the concatenated interval $(I \amalg J)/\{t_1 \sim s_0\}$. For isotopies $\Phi : S^*M \times I \to S^*M$, $\Psi : S^*M \times J \to S^*M$, the concatenation map $\Psi \# \Phi : S^*M \times (I \# J) \to S^*M$ is the isotopy which is given by

$$(\Psi \# \Phi)(x, \xi, t) = \begin{cases} 
\Phi(x, \xi, t), & t \in I, \\
\Psi(\Phi(x, \xi, t_1), t), & t \in I'.
\end{cases}$$
If $I = J$, one can also define the pointwise composition $\Psi \circ \Phi : S^*M \times I \to S^*M$ by

$$(\Psi \circ \Phi)(x, \xi, t) = \Psi(\Phi(x, \xi, t), t)).$$

Note that, up to a scaling, $\Psi \circ \Phi$ and $\Psi \# \Phi$ are homotopy and, if both $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are positive, they are homotopic through positive isotopies.

**Definition 3.13.** Let $\Omega \subseteq S^*M$ be an open subset. We say a contactomorphism $\varphi : S^*M \to S^*M$ is **compactly supported** on $\Omega$ if $\varphi$ equals $\text{id}_{S^*M}$ outside a compact set $C$ in $\Omega$. Similarly, a contact isotopy $\Phi : S^*M \times I \times S^*M$ is **compactly supported** on $\Omega$ if $\varphi_t = \text{id}$ outside a fixed compact set $C$ in $\Omega$ for all $t \in I$.

**Definition 3.14.** We define the category $W(\Omega)$ of **positive wrappings** on $\Omega$ as follows: An object of $W(\Omega)$ is a pair $(\varphi, [\Phi])$ such that $\varphi$ is a compactly supported contactomorphism and $[\Phi]$ is a homotopy class of compactly supported isotopies, defined on a closed interval $I$, realizing $\varphi$ as Hamiltonian. Note the degenerate case $I = \{\ast\}$ is allowed. We will often simply write $(\varphi, [\Phi])$ by $\Phi$ without emphasizing it's a homotopy class through the paper. A 1-morphism $\Psi : [\Phi_0] \to [\Phi_1]$ is a positive isotopy $\Psi$ such that $[\Phi_1] = [\Psi \# \Phi_0]$. Composition of 1-morphisms is given by concatenation. For $\Psi_0, \Psi_1 : [\Phi_0] \to [\Phi_1]$, a 2-morphism is a positive family of isotopy $\Theta : S^*M \times I \times J \to S^*M$ which is constant near the end points on the $J$-direction such that $\Theta(r, t, s_i) = \Psi_i(r, t)$ and $\Theta(r, t_i, s) = \Phi_i(r)$, $i = 0, 1$. Here $t_i$ and $s_i$ are the end points of $I$ and $J$. An $n$-morphism will be a homotopy between $n - 1$ morphisms with the obvious boundary condition and similar constancy requirement.

We will later take colimit over the category $W(\Omega)$ and we show such colimit is filtered. Recall that a 1-category $\mathcal{C}$ is filtered if,

1. $\mathcal{C}$ is non-empty,
2. for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, there is $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ with morphisms $X \to Z$ and $Y \to Z$, and,
3. for any more morphism $f, g : X \to Y$, there exist $h : Y \to Z$ such that $h \circ f = h \circ g$.

This is the same as saying for any (ordered) $n$-simplex $K$, $n \in [-1, 1]$ and any functor $F : K \to \mathcal{C}$, there is an extension $\hat{F}$ on $K^\circ$, the $n + 1$ simplex obtained by adding a final cone point to $K$. For example, we can realize a pair of morphisms $f, g : X \to Y$ as a hollowed triangle consisting of vertices $X, Y, Z$ and edges $\text{id}_X, f, g$ without the presence of the face. A final cone point $Z$ provides a morphism $h : Y \to Z$ for the edge between $Y$ and $Z$. The existence of the three new faces and the fact that the only 2-morphism in a 1-category is the strict equality implies $h \circ f = h \circ g$.

**Definition 3.15.** A category $\mathcal{C}$ is filtered if for any simplex $K$ and any functor $F : K \to \mathcal{C}$, there is an extension $\hat{F} : K^\circ \to \mathcal{C}$.

**Example 3.16.** Consider the case when $K = S^2$ is the 2-sphere, or more precisely, when $K = \Delta^2$ is the standard 2-simplex such that the base face has three vertices being a fixed object $X$, three edges being $\text{id}_X$, and the face being the trivial identification. This is essentially the situation that there are objects $X, Y$, a 1-morphism $f : X \to Y$, with a non-trivial 2-automorphism $T$ on $f$. The condition of $\mathcal{C}$ being filtered means that there exist $g : Y \to Z$ such that the auto equivalence $g \circ T$ on $g \circ f$ is trivial, that is, $g \circ T = \text{id}_{g \circ f}$.

**Proposition 3.17.** The category $W(\Omega)$ is filtered.
Proof. Similarly to classical algebraic topology, it’s sufficient to check the case when $K = S^n$, the $n$-sphere, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$.

When $n = 0$, we are given two homotopy classes of contact isotopies $\Phi_0$ and $\Phi_1$ with the same end point $\varphi$, and the goal is to find another contact isotopy $\Phi$ and two positive contact isotopies $\Psi_0$ and $\Psi_1$ such that $[\Phi] = [\Psi_0\#\Phi_0] = [\Psi_1\#\Phi_1]$. We first notice that, up to a rescaling, $[\Phi_0^{-1}\#\Phi_0] = [\Phi_1^{-1}\#\Phi_1] = \text{id}$. So it’s sufficient to modify $\Phi_0^{-1}$ and $\Phi_1^{-1}$ by composing some $\Phi'$ so that $\Phi'\circ\Phi_0^{-1}$ and $\Phi'\circ\Phi_1^{-1}$ are positive. Let $H_0, H_1$ denote their Hamiltonians. Since $\Phi_0^{-1}$ and $\Phi_1^{-1}$ are compactly supported, there exist compact set $C \subseteq \Omega$ such that $H_0$ and $H_1$ are zero outside $C$. Pick a positive real $\alpha$ such that $\alpha > \max(|H_0|, |H_1|)$, relative compact open sets $U$, $V$ in $S^*M$ such that $C \subset U \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq V \subseteq \Omega$, and a bump function $\rho$ such that $\rho|_U \equiv 1$ and $\rho \equiv 0$ outside $V$. The contact isotopy $\Phi'$ generated by $\alpha \rho$ will satisfy the requirement by the Leibniz rule.

When $n > 0$, we are given a family of morphism $\Psi_\theta : \Phi_0 \to \Phi_1$ parametrized by $S^{n-1}$ such that $[\Phi_1] = [\Psi_\theta\#\Phi_0]$, and we have to show that, by possibly further concatenation, this family can be made to be null-homotopy through positive isotopies. By precomposing $\Phi_0^{-1}$, we may assume there is a $S^{n-1}$-family of positive isotopy $\Psi_\theta$ and a fixed (not necessarily positive) isotopy $\Phi$, such that, for each $\theta \in S^{n-1}$, there exists a homotopy $\Sigma_\theta : S^*M \times I \times [0,1] \to S^*M$ connecting $\Phi$ to $\Psi_\theta$. We can extend this map to a $D^n$-family of isotopy $\Sigma : S^*M \times I \times D^n \to S^*M$ by $\Sigma(x,\xi,t,r\theta) = \Sigma_\theta(x,\xi,t)$ where we write elements in $D^n$ by $r \in [0,1]$ and $\theta \in S^{n-1}$. Now the same compactness argument as before shows that there is a positive isotopy $\Phi'$ such that $\Phi'\circ\Sigma$ is positive. 

Let $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. For any diagram $p : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{E}$, the colimits $\operatorname{colim}_C(p \circ F)$ and $\operatorname{colim} F$ exist if either one exists. Thus, it’s well-defined to write the canonical map $\operatorname{colim}_C(p \circ F) \to \operatorname{colim}_D F$.

**Definition 3.18.** A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ cofinal if, for any diagram $p : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{E}$, the canonical map $\operatorname{colim}_C(p \circ F) \to \operatorname{colim}_D F$ is an isomorphism.

In the 1-categorical setting, a more classical notation is that a functor is cofinal if and only if,

1. for any $d \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $d \to F(c)$,
2. for any morphism $f, g : d \to F(c)$, there exist $h : c \to c'$ such that $F(h) \circ f = F(h) \circ g$.

An equivalent way of saying it is that $\mathcal{C} \times_\mathcal{D} d/\mathcal{D}$ is non-empty and connected for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Here, $d/\mathcal{D}$ is the over category whose objects are morphisms of the form $d \to d'$ and a morphism $h : (f : d \to d') \to (g : d \to d'')$ is given by a morphism $h : d' \to d''$ such that $h \circ f = g$, the fiber product is taken over the canonical projection $d/\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ by $d \to d'$ and $F$. Recall a 1-category is said to be connected if the associated 1-groupoid (by formally inverting morphisms) is connected. The equivalence of these definitions is the Quillen’s theorem A. In the $\infty$-categorical setting it states:

**Theorem 3.19 (Quillen’s Theorem A).** A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is cofinal if and only if the fiber product $\mathcal{C} \times_\mathcal{D} d/\mathcal{D}$ is contractible for any $d \in \mathcal{D}$.

Now consider the following construction: For $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, take a family of open set $\Omega_n \subseteq S^*M$ such that $\Omega_n \subseteq \overline{\Omega_n} \subseteq \Omega_{n+1}$, $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_n = S^*M$, and $\Omega_n \subseteq S^*M$ is relative compact. For $n > 0$, pick bump function $\rho_n$ such that $\rho_n \leq \rho_{n+1}$, $\rho_n|_{\Omega_n} \equiv n$, and vanishes
outside $\Omega_{n+1}$. Let $\Phi_n : S^*M \times [0, n] \to S^*M$ be the isotopy generated by $\rho_n$. Since $\rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \cdots \rho_n \leq \cdots$, there exists positive isotopy $\Psi_n : S^*M \times [n, n+1] \to S^*M$ such that the $\Phi_n$’s and $\Psi_n$’s form a sequence $\Phi_0 \rightarrow \Phi_1 \rightarrow \cdots$ in $W(\Omega)$. That is, the above data organizes to a functor $\Phi : Z_{\geq 0} \to W(\Omega)$.

**Lemma 3.20.** The functor $\Phi : Z_{\geq 0} \to W(\Omega)$ is cofinal.

*Proof. By Quillen’s Theorem A, we need to show that $Z_{\geq 0} \times_{W(\Omega)} (\Phi/W(\Omega))$ is contractible. Let $\Phi \in W(\Omega)$ and let $H$ denote its Hamiltonian. Since $\Phi$ is compactly supported there exist a compact set $C \subseteq S^*M$ such that $H$ vanishes outside $C$. Pick $n$ large such that $C \subseteq \Omega_n$ and $\text{max}(H) \leq n$. Then the factorization $\Phi_n = (\Phi_n \circ \Phi^{-1}) \circ \Phi$ provides an morphism $\Phi \to \Phi_n$ since composition is homotopic to concatenation. Thus, the fiber product $Z_{\geq 0} \times_{W(\Omega)} (\Phi/W(\Omega))$ is equivalent to $\{n \in Z_{\geq 0} | \rho_n \geq H\}$. Since $\rho_{n+1} \geq \rho_n$ by our construction, the latter is equivalent to the poset of integers larger than min$\{n : \rho_n \geq H\}$ and is contractible. \qed

Now we quantize the above construction. For $(\varphi, [\Phi]) \in W(\Omega)$ where $\Phi$ is defined on $M \times [t_0, t_1]$, set $w(\Phi) := K(\Phi)|_{t=t_1}$ to be the restriction of the GKS sheaf quantization $K(\Phi)$ at the end point. We note that since we require the end point $\varphi$ to be fixed, the sheaf $w(\Phi) \in \text{Sh}(M \times M)$ depends only on the homotopy class $[\Phi]$ by formula 1 and Lemma 2.39. For a morphism $\Psi : \Phi_0 \to \Phi_1$, since $\Psi$ is positive, the formula 1 again implies there is a continuation map $c(\Psi) : w(\Phi_0) \to w(\Phi_1)$. Similarly, for a pair of morphisms $\Psi_0, \Psi_1 : \Phi_0 \to \Phi_1$, if there is a homotopy $\Theta$ between $\Psi_0$ and $\Psi_1$, Proposition 3.4 implies that $K(\Theta)$ provides an identification between the continuation maps $c(\Psi_0), c(\Psi_1) : w(\Phi_0) \to w(\Phi_1)$.

**Definition 3.21.** Organizing the above construction, we obtain a functor $w : W(\Omega) \to \text{Sh}(M \times M)$ sending an object $\Phi$ to the corresponding sheaf kernel $w(\Phi)$, a 1-morphism $\Psi : \Phi_0 \to \Phi_1$ to the continuation map $c(\Psi) : w(\Phi_1) \to w(\Phi_0)$, and higher morphisms to higher equivalences of continuation maps. We will refer this functor as the *wrapping kernel functor*.

For a sheaf $F \in \text{Sh}(M)$ and a contact isotopy $\Phi : S^*M \times [0, 1] \to S^*M$, convoluting with $K(\Phi)$ produces a sheaf $K(\Phi) \circ F$ on $\text{Sh}(M \times [0, 1])$ which we think of as a deformation of sheaves $F_t$ on $M$ parametrized by $[0, 1]$. Let $\Phi$ be a contact isotopy. Base change 2.17 and compatibility of six-functor formalism 2.18 implies that there is an identification $(K(\Phi) \circ F)|_{t=1} = K(\Phi)|_{t=1} \circ F = w(\Phi) \circ F$ functorial on $\Phi$ and $F$. When $\Psi : \Phi_0 \to \Phi_1$ is a positive family of isotopy, we use $c(\Psi, F) : w(\Phi_0) \circ F \to w(\Phi_1) \circ F$ to denote the induced continuation map. To simplify the notation, we sometimes use $F^\Phi$ to denote $w(\Phi) \circ F$. When there is no need to specify the isotopy, we simply write it as $F^w$. Similarly, when $\Psi$ is unspecified, we simply write $c : F^w \to F^{w'}$ for the continuation map. We prove a locality property which we will use later.

**Proposition 3.22.** Let $\Phi_0, \Phi_1 : S^*M \times I \to S^*M$ be contact isotopies. If $\Phi_0 = \Phi_1$ for on $\text{SS}^\infty(F) \times I$, then $w(\Phi_0) \circ F = w(\Phi_1) \circ F$. Similarly, let $\Psi_0, \Psi_1$ be positive contact isotopies. If $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1$ on an open neighborhood $\Omega_0$ of $\text{SS}^\infty(F)$, then $c(\Psi_0, F) = c(\Psi_1, F)$.

*Proof. We abuse the notation and use $\Phi_1$ to denote the corresponding homogeneous symplectic isotopies. By convoluting with $\Phi_1^{-1}$, it’s enough to assume $\Phi_1$ and show $F^{\Phi_0} = F$. We have $\text{SS}(K(\Phi_0) \circ F) \subseteq \text{SS}(K(\Phi_0)) \circ \text{SS}(F) \subseteq \text{SS}(F) \times 0_I$. Hence by Proposition 2.39, $F_t$ is constant along constant on $t$. Similarly, let $H_t \geq 0$ denote the
Hamiltonian of $\Psi_i$, $i = 0, 1$. Let $\Psi_s$ be the homotopy of isotopies between $\Psi_0$ and $\Psi_1$ generated by the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(x, \xi, t, s) = (1 - s)H_0(x, \xi, t) + sH_1(x, \xi, t)$. Since $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1$ on $\Omega_0$, $\Psi_s|\Omega_0$ is constant on $s$. Thus Proposition 3.4 applies to $K(\Psi_s) \circ F$ and we conclude $c(\Psi_0, F) = c(\Psi_1, F)$. \hfill $\Box$

The above construction defines a functor from $\text{Sh}(M)$ to $[W(\Omega), \text{Sh}(M)]$ since $w(\Phi) \circ F$ is functorial on $F$. Further composing with the functor of taking limits and colimits defines functors $\mathcal{W}^{\pm}(\Omega) : \text{Sh}(M) \to \text{Sh}(M)$. Since the subcategory of $W(\Omega)$ consists of objects $(\varphi, [\Phi])$ such that there exists a positive isotopy $\Phi$ representing $[\Phi]$ is cofinal, we can informally write the formula by

$$\mathcal{W}^+(\Omega)F = \colim_{F \to F^w} F^w, \quad \mathcal{W}^-(\Omega)G = \lim_{G^w \to G} G^w.$$ 

With this definition, we can generalize Proposition 3.2 to Proposition 1.2.

**Proof of Proposition 1.2.** Set $\Omega = S^*M \setminus X$ and let $F \in \text{Sh}(M)$. We first show that for any $(x, \xi) \in \Omega$, $(x, \xi) \not\in S^\infty(\mathcal{W}^\pm(F))$, i.e., for any function $f$ defined near $x$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $df_x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\xi$, the restriction map $(\mathcal{W}^\pm(F))_x \to \Gamma_{\{f < 0\}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm(F))_x$ is an isomorphism. Since the situation is local and $df_x \neq 0$, by changing a coordinate, we may assume $f = x_1$ the first coordinate function near $x = 0$. Pick a family of open balls $U_i$ centered at $x$ such that $U_i \supset \overline{U_{i+1}} \supset U_{i+1}$ and $\cap_i U_i = \{x\}$. The stalk $\Gamma_{\{f < 0\}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm(F))_x$ can be computed by the colimit $\colim_i \Gamma(U_i \cap \{x_1 < 0\}; \mathcal{W}^\pm(F))$.

We first do the negative case. For each $i$, we take a small positive wrapping $\Phi_i$ supposed in $\Omega$ such that $w(\Phi_i) \circ Z_{U_i \cap \{x_1 < 0\}} = Z_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ with $0 \in \mathcal{U}_i$ and $\mathcal{U}_i$ shrinks to $x$ as $i \to \infty$. For example, take $U_i \times C_i$ in $\Omega$ containing $(x, \xi)$ where $\{C_i\}$ is a family of small balls on the fiber direction with a condition similar to the $\{U_i\}$. For each $i$, pick a bump function $\rho_i$ on $S^*M$ supported on $U_i \times C_i$ and equals 1 near $(x, \xi)$. Take $H_i$ to be the Hamiltonian associated to the Reeb flow with shrinking speed and modify it to $\rho_i H_i$. Finally, take $\Phi_i$ to be the isotopy associated to $\rho_i H_i$.

We compute,

$$\Gamma(U_i \cap \{x_1 < 0\}; \mathcal{W}^-(F)) = \lim_{W(\Omega)} \text{Hom}(Z_{U_i \cap \{x_1 < 0\}}, w(\Phi) \circ F)$$

$$= \lim_{W(\Omega)} \text{Hom}(w(\Phi_i) \circ Z_{U_i \cap \{x_1 < 0\}}, w(\Phi_i) \circ w(\Phi) \circ F)$$

$$= \lim_{W(\Omega)} \text{Hom}(Z_{\mathcal{U}_i}, w(\Phi_i) \circ |_F \Phi) \circ F)$$

$$= \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_i; \mathcal{W}^-(F)).$$

Here we use the fact that $w(\Phi_i) \circ$ is an equivalence for the second equation. For the last equation, we use the fact that negative wrappings of the form $\Phi_i \circ \Phi$ is initial in $W(\Omega)$. Take $i \to \infty$ and we conclude $(\mathcal{W}^-(F))_x = \Gamma_{\{f < 0\}}(\mathcal{W}^-(F))_x$

Now we turn to the positive case. We take the same family of $U_i$, $\Phi_i$ and $\mathcal{U}_i$, and
compute,

\[\Gamma \left( U_i \cap \{ x_1 < 0 \}; \mathcal{M}^+(F) \right) = \text{Hom} \left( \mathbb{Z}_{U_i \cap \{ x_1 < 0 \}} \text{colim} \left( w(\Phi) \circ F \right) \right)\]

\[= \text{Hom} \left( w(\Phi_i) \circ \mathbb{Z}_{U_i \cap \{ x_1 < 0 \}}, w(\Phi_i) \circ (\text{colim} w(\Phi) \circ F) \right)\]

\[= \text{Hom} \left( \mathbb{Z}_{U_i \cap \{ x_1 < 0 \}} \text{colim}(w(\Phi_i) \circ |\Phi| \circ F) \right)\]

\[= \Gamma \left( \tilde{U}_i; \mathcal{M}^+(F) \right).\]

We use the fact that \( w(\Phi_i) \circ \) is a left adjoint so it commutes with colimits for the third equation. Take \( i \to \infty \) and we get \( (\mathcal{M}^+(F))_x = \Gamma_{\{x < 0\}}(\mathcal{M}^+(F))_x \).

From the above computation, we see that \( \mathcal{M}^+ (\Omega) : \text{Sh}(M) \to \text{Sh}(M) \) factorizes to \( \text{Sh}_X(M) \). Finally, we show that \( \mathcal{M}^+ (\Omega) \dashv \iota^* \dashv \mathcal{M}^-(\Omega) \). Take \( G \in \text{Sh}_X(M) \) and \( F \in \text{Sh}(M) \). We compute,

\[\text{Hom} \left( G, \mathcal{M}^-(F) \right) = \text{Hom} \left( G, \lim_{W(\Omega)} w(\Phi) \circ F \right)\]

\[= \lim_{W(\Omega)} \text{Hom} \left( G, w(\Phi) \circ F \right)\]

\[= \lim_{W(\Omega)} \text{Hom} \left( \Phi^{-1} \circ G, F \right)\]

\[= \lim_{W(\Omega)} \text{Hom} \left( G, F \right) = \text{Hom} \left( \iota_* G, F \right).\]

The second to last equality is implied by Proposition 3.22 by the fact that \( \Phi \) is compactly supported away from \( \Lambda \supseteq \text{SS}^\infty(G) \). A similar computation shows that

\[\text{Hom} \left( \mathcal{M}^+(F), G \right) = \text{Hom} \left( F, \iota_* G \right).\]

\[\square\]

Remark 3.23. Recall that, by the adjoint functor theorem [25, Corollary 5.5.2.9], the following statements are equivalent to each other:

1. The inclusion \( \text{Sh}_X(M) \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}(M) \) has a left (resp. right) adjoint for closed subsets \( X \subseteq S^* M \).

2. The microsupport estimation \( \text{SS}(\oplus_i F_i) \subseteq \bigcup_i \text{SS}(F_i) \) (resp. \( \text{SS}(\prod_i F_i) \subseteq \bigcup_i \text{SS}(F_i) \)) holds.

The proof of the above statements are well-known among experts and a detailed discussion can be found in [22, 2.7]. What we achieve here is a geometric description of the adjoint functors and thus a potential recipe for computation. Aside from the prototype cases [39, 18, 19] mentioned in the introduction, special cases for such geometric descriptions can be found in, for example, [24] in the setting of toric homological mirror symmetry which are defined by using the group structure of the torus and are crucial for matching the data with the coherent side.
4 The category of wrapped sheaves

In this section, we mimic the definition of wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(T^*M, \Lambda)$ and define the category of wrapped sheaves $\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$ using the techniques developed in the last section.

4.1 Definition

Let $M$ be a real analytic manifold and $\Lambda \subseteq S^*M$ be a closed subset. Let $\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$ be the small subcategory of $\text{Sh}(M)$ generated under finite colimits and retraction by sheaves of the form $F^\Phi$ where $F$ is a sheaf with compact support such that $SS^\infty(F) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$, and $\Phi$ is a contact isotopy compactly supported away from $\Lambda$. To encode the wrappings, we take

$$C_\Lambda(M) := \langle \text{cof}(c(\Psi, F))|\Psi \in \text{Mor}(W(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)), F \in \mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M) \rangle$$

to be the subcategory of $\text{Sh}(M)$ generated by the cofibers of the continuation maps.

**Definition 4.1.** We define the category of wrapped sheaves associated to $(M, \Lambda)$ to be the quotient category

$$\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M) := \mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)/C_\Lambda(M) := \text{cof}(C_\Lambda(M) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)) \text{ in } \text{Pr}^{L}_{\omega, st}.$$

**Remark 4.2.** Localization identifies sheaves which are isotopic to each other: Let $F \xrightarrow{c} F^w \to \text{cof}(c)$ be a fiber sequence in $\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$ induced by a continuation map. Since a quotient map is exact and $\text{cof}(c) = 0$ in $\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$, the fiber sequence becomes $F \xrightarrow{c} F^w \to 0$ and hence $c : F \to F^w$ is an isomorphism in $\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$. Now let $\Phi$ be any isotopy compactly supported away from $\Lambda$. By Proposition 3.17, $\Phi$ can be modified to be positive by a further wrapping. That is, there exists $\Psi : \text{id} \to \Phi'$ and $\Psi' : \Phi \to \Phi'$ in $W(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)$ and thus there are continuation maps $F \xrightarrow{c(\Psi, F)} F^\Phi$ and $F \xrightarrow{c(\Psi', F)} F^{\Phi'}$. As a result, the two objects $F$ and $F^\Phi$ are isomorphic in $\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$.

To simplify the notation, we will use $\text{Hom}_w$ to denote the Hom spaces of the localized category when it’s clear in the context. As the localization is essentially surjective, we usually implicitly assume a preimage $F \in \mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$ for objects in $\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$. By Proposition B.3, there are identifications

$$\text{Hom}_w(X, Y) = \text{colim}_{Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y'} \text{Hom}(X, Y') = \text{colim}_{X' \xleftarrow{\beta} X} \text{Hom}(X', Y)$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ run through morphisms whose cofibers $\text{cof}(\alpha), \text{cof}(\beta)$ are in $C_\Lambda(M)$. We will show that it’s enough to take the colimit over $W(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)$ in our case, which is the same colimit over all continuation maps $c : F \to F^w$ by cofinality. We begin with the case of Homing out of objects in $C_\Lambda(M)$ and in this case $\text{Hom}_w$ vanishes.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $G \in C_\Lambda(T^*M)$ and $F \in \mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$, we have

$$\text{colim}_{c : F \to F^w} \text{Hom}(G, F^w) = 0 = \text{Hom}_w(G, F)$$

where $F \xrightarrow{c} F^w$ runs through all continuation maps.
Proof. We first consider $G \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda(M)$ which is built from iterated cones and shifts of $\text{cof}(c)$ for some continuation map $c$. For such a $G$, we may assume $G$ fits into a cofiber sequence $H \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\Phi \to G$ by induction. Apply Hom$(\cdot, F^\Phi)$ and we obtain the cofiber sequence
\[
\text{Hom}(G, F^\Phi) \to \text{Hom}(H^\Phi, F^\Phi) \to \text{Hom}(H, F^\Phi).
\]
Then one compute
\[
\text{Hom}(H^\Phi, F^\Phi) = \text{Hom}(w(\Phi) \circ H, w(\Phi') \circ F) = \text{Hom}(H, w(\Phi^{-1}) \circ w(\Phi') \circ F) = \text{Hom}(H, w(\Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi') \circ F).
\]

Take colim over isotopies $\Phi'$ of the form $\Phi \circ \Psi$ which is cofinal and we obtain the fiber sequence $F \xrightarrow{\sim} F'$
\[
\text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{Hom}(G, F') \to \text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{Hom}(H, F') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{Hom}(H, F')
\]
which implies colim $\text{Hom}(G, F') = 0$.

Now let $G'$ be a retract of the same $G$ as above. Taking colim $\text{Hom}(\cdot, F')$ makes colim $\text{Hom}(G', F')$ a retract of colim $\text{Hom}(G, F') = 0$. Since the only retract of a zero object is a zero object, colim $\text{Hom}(G', F') = 0$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 4.4.** For $F, G \in \mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$, we have
\[
\text{Hom}_w(G, F) = \text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{Hom}(G, F')
\]
where $F \xrightarrow{\sim} F'$ runs through all continuation maps.

**Proof.** Consider any morphism $\alpha : G' \to G$ such that $\text{fib}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda(M)$ which we will denote it as $G' \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} G$ when the exact $\alpha$ is not relevant. Now take a continuation map $c : F \to F'$ and apply Hom$(\cdot, F')$ to the fiber sequence $\text{fib}(\alpha) \to G' \to G$ so we obtain the fiber sequence
\[
\text{Hom}(G, F') \to \text{Hom}(G', F') \to \text{Hom}(\text{fib}(\alpha), F')
\]
Now recall that $\text{Hom}_w$ can be computed by either varying the first or the second factor. As a result, we can first take colimit over such $\alpha : G' \to G$ and we obtain the fiber sequence
\[
\text{Hom}(G, F') \to \text{Hom}_w(G, F') \to \text{colim}_{G' \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} G} \text{Hom}(\text{fib}(\alpha), F').
\]
Then we take colimit over $F \to F'$ and get
\[
\text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{Hom}(G, F') \to \text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{Hom}_w(G, F') \to \text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{colim}_{G' \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} G} \text{Hom}(\text{fib}(\alpha), F')
\]
Since colimits commute with each other, the above Lemma 4.3 implies
\[
\text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{colim}_{G' \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} G} \text{Hom}(\text{fib}(\alpha), G') = \text{colim}_{G' \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} G} \text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{colim}_{G' \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} G} \text{Hom}(\text{fib}(\alpha), F') = 0
\]
or, equivalently
\[
\text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{Hom}(G, F') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{F \to F'} \text{Hom}_w(G, F') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_w(G, F)
\]
since $F \to F'$ is an isomorphism in $\mathfrak{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$. \hfill \Box
We note that the above construction is covariant on the open sets of \( M \). For an open set \( U \subseteq M \), we set \( \Lambda|_U = \Lambda \cap S^*U \). We abuse the notation and use \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda(U) \) to denote the category \( \text{wsh}_{\Lambda|_U}(U) \). When there is an inclusion of open sets \( U \subseteq V \), objects in \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda(U) \) can be naturally regarded as objects in \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda(V) \) since we require them to have compact support in \( U \). We define \( \mathcal{C}_\Lambda(U) \) similarly and note that \( \mathcal{C}_\Lambda(U) \subseteq \text{wsh}_\Lambda(U) \cap \mathcal{C}_\Lambda(V) \). Thus there is a canonical map \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda(U) \rightarrow \text{wsh}_\Lambda(V) \).

**Definition 4.5.** The above construction defines a covariant functor \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda : \text{Op}_M \rightarrow \text{st}_\omega \), i.e., a precosheaf with coefficient in small stable categories. We refer it as the precosheaf of \emph{wrapped sheaves} associated to \( \Lambda \).

Note also that this construction is contravariant on the closed set \( \Lambda \). That is, if \( \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda' \) is an inclusion of closed subanalytic singular isotropic, there is a canonical map \( \text{wsh}_{\Lambda'}(M) \rightarrow \text{wsh}_\Lambda(M) \) by a similar consideration. In other words, there is a morphism \( \text{wsh}_{\Lambda'} \rightarrow \text{wsh}_\Lambda \) between precosheaves.

**Remark/Conjecture 4.6.** Consider the case when \( \Lambda \) is a singular isotropic. Inspired by homological mirror symmetry, Nadler defines in [29] a conic cosheaf \( \mu \text{Sh}_\Lambda^w : \text{Op}_T^*M \rightarrow \text{st}_\omega \) through a purely categorical construction and term it as the cosheaf of \emph{wrapped microlocal sheaves}. One main property of \( \mu \text{Sh}_\Lambda^w \) is that its restriction to the zero section, the ‘wrapped sheaves’, is the cosheaf \( \text{Sh}_\Lambda^w \) discussed in Proposition 2.54. We will reserve the term ‘wrapped sheaves’ for the geometrically constructed category \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda \) through this paper. Corollary 1.5 of the main theorem asserts that these two cosheaves are the same after all. As a result, we expect to extend the construction \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda \) to the cotangent bundle as well.

### 4.2 Generation

We find a set of generators of \( \text{wsh}_\Lambda(M) \) when \( \Lambda \) is a singular isotropic. We first prove a special case of the Künneth formula which we will refer it as the stabilization lemma. Fix \( n \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( M \) be a real analytic manifold and \( \Lambda \subseteq S^*M \) be a closed subset. We set

\[
\Lambda^\ast = ((\mathbb{R}_{>0} \Lambda \cup 0_M) \times 0_{\mathbb{R}^n})^\infty \subseteq S^*(M \times \mathbb{R}^n).
\]

Pick a small ball \( B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) centered at 0. For \( F \in \text{Sh}(M) \), by (6) of Proposition 2.36, there is microsupport estimation

\[
\text{SS}(F \boxtimes Z_B) \subseteq \text{SS}(F) \times N^*_{\text{out}}(B).
\]

As a result, exterior tensoring with \( Z_B \) induces a functor

\[
\text{\cdot} \boxtimes Z_B : \widetilde{\text{wsh}}_\Lambda(M) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda^\ast}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n).
\]

We claim that this functor induces a fully faithful functor on the quotient. We first recall a lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let \( \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \) be stable categories, \( S \) and \( T \) be sets of morphism in \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \) which are closed under composition and contain identities. Set \( \mathcal{C}_0 := \{ \text{cof}(s) | s \in S \} \) and \( \mathcal{D}_0 := \{ \text{cof}(t) | t \in T \} \). Let \( F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \) be a functor such that for all \( X_0 \xrightarrow{t} X_1 \in S \), there exists \( F(X_1) \xrightarrow{s} Y \in T \) such that \( t \circ F(s) \in T \). Then \( F|_{\mathcal{C}_0} \) factors through \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) and \( F \) descends to a functor \( \hat{F} : (\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}_0) \) filling the commutative square with the quotient functors.
Proof. Let $X_0 \xrightarrow{s} X_1$ and $F(X_1) \xrightarrow{t} Y \in T$ be as above. By the Lemma 2.10, there exists a fiber sequence $F(\text{cof}(s)) \rightarrow \text{cof}(t \circ F(s)) \rightarrow \text{cof}(t)$. \hfill \blackslug

**Proposition 4.8** (The stabilization lemma). The functor $\bullet \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_B$ defined above descends to a fully faithful functor on the quotient

$$\mathfrak{wsh}_A(M) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{wsh}_{A^s}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

which we will refer it as the stabilization functor.

**Proof.** Let $\Phi : \dot{T}^*M \rightarrow \dot{T}^*M$ be a positive homogenous symplectic isotopy and $H = \alpha(\Phi_* \partial_t)$ be its corresponding Hamiltonian. We note that since $H$ is not defined on the entire $T^*M$, it is not always possible to extend it to $\dot{T}^*(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by setting the dependence on the second component to be constant. Nevertheless, $H^2$ is defined on $T^*M$ since it’s homogeneous of degree 1. Pick a bump function $\rho$ on $S^*(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $(\text{supp}(H) \times \dot{T}^*B)^\circ \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{supp}(\rho))$ so that $H^2 + \rho|\xi|^2 > 0$ on when $H > 0$. Here we use the same notation $\rho$ to denote its pullback on $\dot{T}^*M$. Then set $\tilde{H} := \sqrt{H^2 + \rho|\xi|^2}$ and we denote its corresponding homogeneous isotopy on $\dot{T}^*(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ to be $\tilde{\Phi}$.

The above construction implies that

$$\text{SS} \left( (K(\Phi^{-1}) \boxtimes_I \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n \times I}}) \circ_I K(\tilde{\Phi}) \right) \subseteq \text{SS}(K(\Phi^{-1}) \boxtimes_I \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n \times I}}) \circ_I \text{SS}(K(\tilde{\Phi})) \subseteq \{ \tau \leq 0 \}$$

since $H(x, \xi) \leq \tilde{H}(x, \xi, t, \tau)$. Thus there is a continuation map

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\Delta_{M \times \mathbb{R}^n}} \rightarrow (w(\Phi^{-1}) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}}) \circ w(\tilde{\Phi})$$

or equivalently

$$w(\Phi) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}} \rightarrow w(\tilde{\Phi})$$

which precomposes with $\mathbb{Z}_{\Delta_{M \times \mathbb{R}^n}} \rightarrow w(\Phi) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\Delta_{M \times \mathbb{R}^n}} \rightarrow w(\tilde{\Phi})$. Thus, the last lemma implies that the functor

$$\mathfrak{wsh}_A(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{wsh}_{A^s}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is well-defined.

A similar argument implies that for any positive isotopy $\Psi$ on $\dot{T}^*(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ with Hamiltonian $\dot{H}$, there exists $H$ on $T^*M$ and $\rho$ on $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\dot{H} \leq \sqrt{H^2 + \rho^2}$. Thus the wrapping coming from the product is cofinal. Since $B$ is contractible, there is an isomorphism $\text{Hom}(F \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_B, G \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{\dot{B}}) = \text{Hom}(F, G)$ for any larger ball $\dot{B}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This implies

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{wsh}_{A^s}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)}(G \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_B, F \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_B) = \colim_{\Phi \in W(S^*(M \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus A^s)} \text{Hom}\left(G \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_B, (F \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_B) \tilde{\Phi}\right)$$

$$= \colim_{\Phi \in W(S^*(M \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus A^s)} \text{Hom}\left(G \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_B, F^\Phi \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_B\right)$$

$$= \colim_{\Phi \in W(S^*M \setminus A)} \text{Hom}\left(G, F^\Phi\right)$$

$$= \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{wsh}_A(M)}(G, F).$$

Thus, the stabilization functor $\mathfrak{wsh}_A(M) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{wsh}_{A^s}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is fully faithfull. \hfill \blackslug
We first show that the category \( \mathfrak{wsh}_\mathbb{R}(T^*M) = \text{Perf} \mathbb{Z} \) is generated by one object. More precisely, we say an open set \( B \subseteq M \) is a ball if \( B \) is relative compact, contractible and \( \overline{B} \) is a closed disk. Now let \( B \) be a ball such that there exists an open chart \( U \) containing \( \overline{B} \). Since all such balls are smoothly isotopic to each other inside \( M \), lifting such isotopies implies that the object \( \mathbb{Z}_B \), where \( B \) is a such ball, is independent of the choice of the exact ball. In order to show that \( \mathbb{Z}_B \) is a generator, we need a class of auxiliary objects.

**Definition 4.9.** We say an open set \( B \subseteq M \) is a stable ball if it is relative compact, contractible, and \( \overline{B} \) has a smooth boundary in \( M \).

One can check that a stable ball is a ball up to a stabilization by the famous corollary of the cobordism theorem. The following statements are Theorem 5.12 and Corollary 5.13 in [13].

**Theorem 4.10.** A stable ball of dimension \( \geq 6 \) with simply connected boundary is a ball.

**Corollary 4.11.** Let \( M \) be a stable ball. Then \( B \times I^k \) is a ball provided \( \dim B + k \geq 6 \) and \( k \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** This is implied by a combination of the van Kampen Theorem and the Poincaré duality for manifolds with boundary

\[ H^k(N, \partial N) = H_{\dim N - k}(N). \]

**Lemma 4.12.** Assume \( M \) is connected. The category \( \mathfrak{wsh}_\mathbb{R}(M) \) is generated under finite colimits and retractions by \( \mathbb{Z}_B \) for any small ball \( B \).

**Proof.** Let \( F \in \mathfrak{wsh}_\mathbb{R}(M) \) be an object. By Remark 4.2, we may assume \( F \) is a sheaf with compact support, subanalytic isotropic microsupport, and perfect stalks. By Proposition 2.52, there is a Whitney triangulation \( \mathcal{T} \) such that \( F \) is \( \mathcal{T} \)-constructible. Since \( \text{Sh}_{\text{NCG}}(M)^c = \text{Perf} \mathcal{T} \) is generated under finite colimits and retractions by \( \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(t)} \) for \( t \in \mathcal{T} \), we may assume \( F = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(t)} \). We claimed that the object \( \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(t)} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_B \) for some small ball \( B \subseteq \text{star}(t) \). Note the open set \( \text{star}(t) \) is relatively compact and contractible, however, \( \text{star}(\tau) \) might not be a manifold with boundary and modification needs to be made.

Apply the inward cornering construction in Definition 2.46 to \( U = \text{star}(s) \), we obtain a family of \( \text{star}(s)^{-\epsilon} \) depending smoothly on \( \epsilon \). When \( \epsilon \) is small, the object \( \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(s)^{-\epsilon}} \) in \( \mathfrak{wsh}_\mathbb{A}(M) \) is independent of \( \epsilon \) so we abuse the notation and simply denote it by \( \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(s)^{-}} \). As there is no stop restriction, the canonical map \( \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(t)^{-}} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(t)} \) becomes an isomorphism in \( \mathfrak{wsh}_\mathbb{R}(T^*M) \) through the positive wrapping obtained by taking \( \epsilon \to 0 \). The closure \( \text{star}(t)^{-} \) is a manifold with corners when \( \epsilon \) is small, i.e., the boundary \( \text{star}(t)^{-} \) can be modified by the boundary of the inclusion \( [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). By Example 2.30, \( \mathbb{S}^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_{[0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}) \) is smooth and \( \mathbb{Z}_{[0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \) can be wrapped to some \( \mathbb{Z}_V \) in \( \mathfrak{wsh}_\mathbb{R}(T^*M) \) where \( V_\delta := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | d(x, [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}) < \delta \} \) by the Reeb flow. One can see from the local model that the boundary of \( V_\delta \) is smooth for small \( \delta \). Thus, we may further replace \( \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(t)^{-}} \) by some \( \mathbb{Z}_U \) such that \( U \) is relative compact, contractible and has smooth boundary, i.e., a stable ball.

Finally pick a ball \( B \subseteq U \) and consider the canonical morphism \( \mathbb{Z}_B \to \mathbb{Z}_U \) induced by the inclusion. Apply the stabilization lemma for \( N \) large and we see that we may
assume $U$ to be a ball as well. In this case, the canonical map $Z_B \to Z_U$ coincide with the continuation map obtained by the standard Reeb flow and is an isomorphism. Thus, the original map is an isomorphism in $\mathfrak{wsh}(T^*M)$ and we see that $Z_B$ generates.

We assume for the rest of this section that $\Lambda$ is a singular isotropic. To study generation for the general case, we need the following lemma to perform general position argument.

**Lemma 4.13** ([11, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3]). Let $Y^{2n-1}$ be a contact manifold, and $f = f^{\text{subcrit}} \cup f^{\text{crit}} \subseteq Y$ be a singular isotropic.

1. Let $\Lambda \subseteq Y$ be a compact Lagrangian. Then $\Lambda$ admits cofinal wrappings $\Lambda \rightsquigarrow \Lambda^w$ with $\Lambda^w$ disjoint from $f$.

2. Let $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq Y$ be compact Legendrians disjoint from $f$. Consider the space of positive Legendrian isotopies $\Lambda_1 \rightsquigarrow \Lambda_2$. Then the subspace of isotopies which
   
   2.1. remains disjoint from $f^{\text{subcrit}}$ and
   2.2. intersect $f^{\text{crit}}$ only finitely many times, each time passing transversally at a single point,

   is open and dense.

For inclusion of singular isotropics $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda' \subseteq S^*M$, general position argument implies that the induced map $\mathfrak{wsh}_{\Lambda'}(M) \to \mathfrak{wsh}_{\Lambda}(M)$ is always essentially surjective. In order to study the fiber of this map, we consider the following objects:

Let $\Lambda$ be a subanalytic singular isotropic and let $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\Lambda$ be a smooth point. Consider a proper analytic $\Lambda$-Morse function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$. We assume there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $x$ is the only $\Lambda$-critical point over $f^{-1}([-\epsilon, \epsilon])$ with critical value $0$, $df_x = \xi$ and $f^{-1}(-\infty, -\epsilon)$ is relatively compact. By our assumption, both $Z_{f^{-1}(-\infty, -\epsilon)}$ and $Z_{f^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ are objects of $\mathfrak{wsh}_{\Lambda}(M)$.

**Definition 4.14.** A sheaf theoretical linking disk at $(x, \xi)$ (with respect to $\Lambda$) is an object $D_{(x, \xi)}$ of the form

$$\text{cof}(Z_{f^{-1}(-\infty, -\epsilon)} \to Z_{f^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)})$$

where the arrow is induced by the inclusion of opens $f^{-1}(-\infty, -\epsilon) \subseteq f^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ given by a function $f$ with the above properties. Note that by scaling $f$ with $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we see that the object $D_{(x, \xi)}$ depends only on $(x, \xi)$’s image in $S^*M$. Thus, we also use the same notation $D_{(x, \xi)}$ for $(x, \xi) \in S^*M$.

**Remark 4.15.** Since $\text{d}f \neq 0$ over $f^{-1}([-\epsilon, \epsilon])$, the fibers $f^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ are smooth submanifolds. Thus, the canonical map $Z_{f^{-1}(-\infty, -\epsilon)} \to Z_{f^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ is also given by the continuation map of the wrapping $\{N_{\infty, \text{out}}^* f^{-1}(-\infty, t)\}_{t \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]}$ which passes through $\Lambda$ transversely exactly once at $(x, [\xi])$. Extend this wrapping to a global one $\Psi$. Since there is no other intersection with $\Lambda$, we can decompose $\Psi$ to $\Psi_+ \# \Psi_0 \# \Psi_-$ so that $\Psi_\pm$ do not intersect $\Lambda$ and $\Psi_0$ only moves points near $(x, \xi)$. This way, we can see $D_{(x, \xi)}$ can be presented as a cofiber induced by an expanding open half-plane.
Thus, \( D_{(x,\xi)} \) can also be presented as a cofiber induced by inclusions of small balls.

**Proposition 4.16.** Let \( \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda' \) be subanalytic singular isotropics and let \( D^w_{\Lambda',\Lambda}(M) \) denote the fiber of the canonical map \( \overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) \to \text{wsh}_{\Lambda}(M) \). Then \( D^w_{\Lambda',\Lambda}(M) \) is generated by the sheaf theoretical linking disk \( D_{(x,\xi)} \) for smooth Legendrian points \((x,\xi)\in \Lambda' \setminus \Lambda\).

**Proof.** Let \( F \in \text{wsh}_{\Lambda}(M) \). We assume that \( \text{SS}^\infty(F) \) is a subanalytic isotropic and pick a Whitney triangulation \( \mathcal{T} \) such that \( \text{SS}^\infty(F) \subseteq N^\mathcal{T}_\infty \). Fixed a particular way to construct \( F \) out of sheaves of the form \( M_{\text{star}(t)} \) for some \( M \in \text{Perf} \mathbb{Z} \) by taking finite steps of cofibers and use \( \{F_i\}_{i \in A} \) to denote those \( M_{\text{star}(t)} \)'s which show up in these steps. Note that it is possible that their microsupport \( \text{SS}^\infty(F_i) \) intersect \( \Lambda \). However, we see from the proof of the last lemma, the microsupport \( \text{SS}^\infty(F_i) \) of these \( F_i \)'s are a smooth Legendrians in \( S' \mathcal{M} \). Thus, we can apply Lemma 4.13 and have \( \text{SS}^\infty(F_i) \cap \Lambda' = \emptyset \) for \( i \in A \) and the same cofiber sequences build \( F \) from these \( F_i \)'s so \( \text{SS}^\infty(F) \cap \Lambda' = \emptyset \) as well by microsupport triangular inequality (1) of Proposition 2.36. Similarly, application of Lemma 4.13 implies that we can assume \( F \) has a cofinal wrapping sequence \( F \to F^{w_1} \to F^{w_2} \to \cdots \) such that \( \text{SS}^\infty(F^{w_i}) \cap \Lambda' = \emptyset \). This implies that the canonical map

\[
\overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M)/ \left( \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}(M) \cap \overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) \right) \to \text{wsh}_{\Lambda}(M) := \overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda}(M)/\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}(M)
\]

is an equivalence. Thus, we can apply Lemma B.5 to the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda'}(M) & \xrightarrow{i} & \overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) \\
\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}(M) \cap \overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) & \xleftarrow{j} & \overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{wsh}_{\Lambda}(M) \\
\overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) & \xleftarrow{q} & \text{wsh}_{\Lambda}(M) \\
\end{array}
\]

which implies that \( D^w_{\Lambda',\Lambda}(M) = \left( \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}(M) \cap \overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) \right)/\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}(M) \). Now \( \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}(M) \) is the category generated by the cofibers \( \text{cof}(\psi(\mathcal{C}(\Psi,F))) \) of continuation maps whose wrapping \( \psi(\text{SS}^\infty(F)) \) avoids \( \Lambda \), and \( \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}(M) \cap \overline{\text{wsh}}_{\Lambda'}(M) \) is generated by a similar construction except we now only requires the end points to avoid \( \Lambda \). The claim is that the quotient is generated by the sheaf theoretic linking disks \( D_{(x,\xi)} \) for smooth Legendrian points \((x,\xi)\in \Lambda' \setminus \Lambda\).

Lemma 2.10 implies that if \( H_1 \to H_2 \to H_3 \) is a cofiber sequence, then \( \text{cof}(\psi(\mathcal{C}(\Psi,H_1))) \to \text{cof}(\psi(\mathcal{C}(\Psi,H_2))) \to \text{cof}(\psi(\mathcal{C}(\Psi,H_3))) \) is also a fiber sequence. Thus, it’s enough to assume \( F \) has smooth Legendrian microsupport by the discussion at the beginning of the proof. Let \( \Psi \) be a positive isotopy such that \( \psi(\text{SS}^\infty(F)) \) does not touch \( \Lambda \) and, by general position argument, we may assume \( \psi(\text{SS}^\infty(F)) \) touches \( \Lambda' \) for finitely many times and transversally through one point \( p \in \Lambda' \setminus \Lambda \) each time. Decomposing \( \Psi \) to \( \Psi = \Psi_1 \# \cdots \# \Psi_1 \) so that passing happens once during the duration of each \( \Psi_i \). Since \( \psi(\mathcal{C}(\Psi)) = \psi(\mathcal{C}(\Psi_1)) \circ \cdots \circ \psi(\mathcal{C}(\Psi_1)) \), it’s sufficient to prove the case with only one such passing at \((x,\xi)\) by induction with Lemma 2.10.
Let \( q \in SS^\infty(F) \) be the point so that the path \( \psi_t(q) \) pass \((x, \xi) \in N' \) and \( U \) small open ball near \( q \) in \( S^*M \). We again decompose \( \Psi \) to \( \Psi = \Psi_+ \# \Psi_0 \# \Psi_- \) such that there’s no passing happing during \( \Psi_\pm \) and \( \Psi_0 \) only moves points in \( U \). In this case, \( c(\Psi_\pm, F) \) are isomorphisms and we can further assume \( \Psi_\pm \) only moves points in \( U \). Now set \( F_t = (K(\Psi) \circ F)|_{M \times \{t\}} \) so \( SS^\infty(F_t) = \psi_t(SS^\infty(F)) \). We use one last general position argument to assume the front projection \( \pi_\infty : SS^\infty(F_t) \to \pi_\infty(SS^\infty(F_t)) \) is finite near \( \psi_t(U) \) so \( \pi(U \cap SS^\infty(F_t)) \subseteq \pi(U) \) is a hyperplane. Thus, we reduce to the local picture defining \( D_{(x, \xi)} \) discussed in the last Remark 4.15.

We combine the above two results to deduce a generation result for a special case. Let \( S \) be a Whitney triangulation. For each stratum \( s \in S \), we pick a small ball \( B_s \) which centered at \( X_s \) and contained in \( \text{star}(s) \) such that \( N^*_\text{out},wB_s \cap N^*_sS = \varnothing \) and consider \( Z_{B_s} \in \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS}(M) \). This is possible because of the Whitney condition. Again different choice of such small balls induces the same objects in \( \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS}(M) \) since they are isotopic to each other in the base by isotopies respecting the stratification and the lifting isotopies on the microsupport won’t touch \( N^*_s(S) \). (See [27])

**Proposition 4.17.** The set \( \{Z_{B_s}\}_{s \in S} \) generates \( \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS}(M) \) under finite colimits and retractions.

**Proof.** Set \( S_{\leq k} = \{s \in S| \dim X_s \leq k \} \). We claim, when \( k < n - 1 \), \( \{Z_{B_s}\}_{s \in S_{\leq k}} \) plus \( Z_B \) for any small ball \( B \) whose closure \( \bar{B} \) is disjoint from any stratum of dimension \( \leq k \) generates \( \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS_{\leq k}}(M) \). To see this, we note that the case \( k = -1 \) is Lemma 4.12. Assume we’ve proven the case \( k < n - 2 \) and consider the projection \( \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS_{\leq k}}(M) \to \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS_{\leq k-1}}(M) \). We note that \( M \setminus \bigcup_{s \in S_{k+1}} X_s \) is path connected by standard Hausdorff dimension theory since these \( X_s \)’s have codimension \( \geq 2 \). Thus, \( Z_B \) is independent of the choice of \( B \). By Proposition 4.16, the fiber of the above projection is generated under finite colimits and retraction by sheaf theoretic linking disks \( D_{(x, \xi)} \) for \((x, \xi) \in N^*S_{\leq k+1} \setminus N^*S_{\leq k} \). But \( D_{(x, \xi)} \) can be written as the cofiber \( \text{colim}(Z_B \to Z_{B_s}) \) by the local picture mentioned in Remark 4.15. Finally, apply a similar argument to the projection \( \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS}(M) = \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS_{\leq n-1}}(M) \to \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS_{\leq n-2}}(M) \) implies the proposition.

Recall in the proof of Lemma 4.12, we show that \( Z_{B_s} \to Z_{\text{star}(s)} \) is an isomorphism in \( \mathfrak{wsh}_{\varnothing}(M) \). The late object is, however, not an object in \( \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS}(M) \). Instead, we consider the object \( Z_{\text{star}(s)}^- \) where \( \text{star}(s)^- \) is a small inward cornering of \( \text{star}(s) \) of Definition 2.46. Choosing \( B_s \) small so that \( B_s \subseteq \text{star}(s)^- \). We claim that the canonical map \( Z_{B_s} \to Z_{\text{star}(s)}^- \) is an isomorphism. By the Yoneda embedding, it is an isomorphism if the corresponding morphism \( \text{Hom}_w(\cdot, Z_{B_s}) \to \text{Hom}_w(\cdot, Z_{\text{star}(s)^-}) \) is an isomorphism as presheaves on \( \mathfrak{wsh}_{N^*_sS}(M) \). The following two statements are directly paralleled with Proposition 5.18, Lemma 5.21, and Proposition 5.24 in [13].

**Lemma 4.18.** For a \( S \)-constructible relatively compact open set \( U \), we have

\[
\text{Hom}_w(Z_{B_s}, Z_{U^-}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z} & \text{star}(s) \subseteq U \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The construction of \( U^- \) tautologically provides a cofinal sequence \( Z_{U^-} \), so

\[
\text{Hom}_w(Z_{B_s}, Z_{U^-}) = \text{colim}_{e \to 0} \text{Hom}(Z_{B_s}, Z_{U^-}^e).
\]
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First consider the case when \( \text{star}(s) \subseteq U \). Since \( B_s \subseteq \text{star}(s) \) has a non-zero distant from \( \partial U \), it’s contained in \( U^{-\epsilon} \) for \( \epsilon << 1 \) and the left hand side is \( \mathbb{Z} \). When \( \text{star}(s) \cap U = \emptyset \), the Hom is clearly 0 so we assume \( s \) is a stratum on the boundary of \( U \). In this case, one needs to refine the wrapping by adding the centered of the ball \( B_s \) to the stratification and consider the family \( U^{-\epsilon-\delta} \) to conclude the result. □

**Proposition 4.19.** The canonical map \( \mathbb{Z}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(s)}^{-} \) is an isomorphism in \( \mathsf{wsh}_{N^*_s}(M) \).

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on the codimension of \( s \). When \( s \) has codimension zero, we may replacing \( M \) by \( \text{star}(s) \) and it becomes Lemma 4.12.

Now the previous lemma and the proposition 4.17 implies \( \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_B, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(s)}^{-}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(s)}^{-}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(t)}^{-}) = 0 \) for \( t \) of strictly smaller codimension than \( s \). By induction, \( \mathbb{Z}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(t)}^{-} \) for such \( t \)’s. The later generates a subcategory which contains the fiber of the projection \( \mathsf{wsh}_{N^*_s}({T^*M}) \rightarrow \mathsf{wsh}_{N^*_s \leq \dim_s}({T^*M}) \). This is a special case fo the following lemma applying to the case \( Y = \text{star}(s)^{-} \), \( X = s \cap \text{star}(s)^{-} \), and \( Z = t \cap \text{star}(s)^{-} \).

**Lemma 4.20.** Let \( X^m \subseteq Y^n \) be an inclusion of stable balls, with \( \partial X \subseteq \partial Y \). Assume there exists another stable ball (with corners) \( Z^{m+1} \subseteq Y^n \) such that \( \partial Z \) is the union of \( X \) with a smooth submanifold of \( \partial Y \). Then the canonical map \( \mathbb{Z}_{B_s(x)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{Y} \) is an isomorphism in \( \mathsf{wsh}_{N^*_s}({Y}) \) for any \( x \in X \).

**Proof.** Reduce to the case of balls by stabilization. Then the situation becomes that \( Y \) is a unit ball, \( X \) is the intersection of \( Y \) with a linear subspace, and \( Z \) is the intersection of \( Y \) with a closed half-plane with the boundary being the linear subspace. The positive isotopy which expands \( \mathbb{Z}_{B_s(x)} \) to \( \mathbb{Z}_Y \) is disjoint from \( N^*X \). □

**Corollary 4.21.** The set \( \{\mathbb{Z}_{\text{star}(s)}^{-}\}_{s \in S} \) generates \( \mathsf{wsh}_{N^*_s}(M) \) under finite colimits and retractions.

## 5 The comparison morphism

Let \( M \) be a real analytic manifold and \( \Lambda \subseteq S^*M \) a subanalytic singular isotropic. We define in this section a \emph{comparison} functor

\[
\mathfrak{W}_{\Lambda}^+(M) : \mathsf{wsh}_{\Lambda}(M) \rightarrow \mathsf{Sh}_{\Lambda}(M)
\]

and show that it is an equivalence of category. Since such functors combine to a \emph{comparison} morphism \( \mathfrak{W}_{\Lambda}^+ : \mathsf{wsh}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathsf{Sh}_{\Lambda}^c \) between precosheaves, the last statement will implies that \( \mathsf{wsh}_{\Lambda} \) is in particular a cosheaf for this case.

### 5.1 Definition

Let \( \Lambda \subseteq S^*M \) be a closed subset. Recall from Proposition 1.2 that the inclusion \( \mathsf{Sh}_{\Lambda}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{Sh}(M) \) has a left adjoint given by the positive infinite wrapping functor

\[
\mathfrak{W}^+(S^*M \setminus \Lambda) : \mathsf{Sh}(M) \rightarrow \mathsf{Sh}_{\Lambda}(M).
\]
Geometrically, it takes a sheaf $F$ to the limiting object over increasingly positive wrappings. Since a continuation map $c : F \to F^w$ tautologically becomes an isomorphism after applying $\mathfrak{W}^+(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)$, the functor $\mathfrak{W}^+(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)$ vanishes on $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda(M)$. We denote the resulting functor on the quotient category by

$$\mathfrak{W}^+_\Lambda(M) : \text{wsh}_\Lambda(M) \to \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)$$

and, when there’s no possibility of confusion, simply by $\mathfrak{W}^+_\Lambda$. In general, the category on the right hand side is much larger. For example, when $\Lambda = S^*M$ and $M$ is non-compact, $\mathfrak{W}^+_S(M)$ is the trivial inclusion $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}(M)$. So we restrict to the case when $\Lambda$ is a subanalytic singular isotropic. We first notice that in this case the restriction of $\mathfrak{W}^+(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)$ on $\text{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$ takes image in the subcategory consisting of compact objects.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $\Lambda$ be a subanalytic singular isotropic. For $F \in \text{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$, the sheaf $\mathfrak{W}^+_\Lambda(M)(F)$ is a compact object.

**Proof.** Let $F \in \text{wsh}_\Lambda(M)$ and $\lim F_i$ be a filtered colimit in $\text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)$. We compute,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{W}^+_\Lambda F, \lim \lim F_i) = \colim_{\Phi \in \mathfrak{W}(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)} \text{Hom}(w(\Phi) \circ F, \lim F_i) = \colim_{\Phi \in \mathfrak{W}(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)} \text{Hom}(F, w(\Phi^{-1}) \circ \lim F_i) = \colim_{\Phi \in \mathfrak{W}(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)} \text{Hom}(F, \lim (w(\Phi^{-1}) \circ F_i)) = \colim_{\Phi \in \mathfrak{W}(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)} \text{Hom}(F, \lim F_i) = \text{Hom}(F, \lim F_i).$$

Here, we use the fact that $\Phi$ is supported away from $\Lambda \supseteq SS^\infty(F_i)$ so $w(\Phi^{-1}) \circ F_i = F_i$ by Lemma 3.22. Now pick a Whitney triangulation $\mathcal{S}$ such that $F$ is $\mathcal{S}$-constructible and $\Lambda \subseteq N^*\mathcal{S}$. In this case, the Hom can be computed in $\text{Sh}_{N^*\mathcal{S}}(M) = \mathcal{S}-\text{Mod}$. Since $\text{Sh}_{N^*\mathcal{S}}(M)^c$ consists exactly objects with compact support and perfect stalks, $F$ is compact in $\text{Sh}_{N^*\mathcal{S}}(M)$. Thus $\text{Hom}(F, \lim F_i) = \lim \text{Hom}(F, F_i)$ is compact and so $\mathfrak{W}^+_\Lambda F \in \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)^c$ is compact.

We note that this map is compatible with the presheaf structure on both side.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $j : U \subseteq M$ be an open set. The restriction $j^* : \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M) \to \text{Sh}_\Lambda(U)^c$ has left and right adjoints which are given by $\mathfrak{W}^+(S^*M \setminus \Lambda) \circ j_i$ and $\mathfrak{W}^-(S^*M \setminus \Lambda) \circ j_*$. Hence, taking left adjoint induces a functor $\mathfrak{W}^+(S^*M \setminus \Lambda) \circ j_i : \text{Sh}_\Lambda(U)^c \to \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)^c$ between compact objects.

**Proof.** We use the fact that a left adjoint of a left adjoint preserves compact objects. □

Note when $\Omega \subseteq \Omega'$, there is equivalence $\mathfrak{W}^+(\Omega') \circ \mathfrak{W}^+(\Omega) = \mathfrak{W}^+(\Omega) \circ \mathfrak{W}^+(\Omega') = \mathfrak{W}^+(\Omega')$. Thus, by the above lemma, there is commuting diagram for an inclusion of opens $j : U \subseteq V$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{wsh}_\Lambda(U) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{W}^+_\Lambda(T^*U)} & \text{Sh}_\Lambda(U)^c \\
\downarrow j_! & & \downarrow j_i \\
\text{wsh}_\Lambda(V) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{W}^+_\Lambda(T^*V)} & \text{Sh}_\Lambda(V)^c
\end{array}.$$
**Definition 5.3.** We call the morphism \( \mathcal{W}_A^+ : \mathcal{wsh}_A \to \text{Sh}_A^c \) between precosheaves defined by the above diagram as the *comparison* morphism.

Similarly, when \( \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda' \), recall the left adjoint of the inclusion \( \text{Sh}_A(M) \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}_{A'}(M) \) is given by \( \mathcal{W}^+ (S^*M \setminus \Lambda) \) and thus there is a commuting diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{wsh}_{A'}(M) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{wsh}_A(M)
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{W}_{A'}^+(M) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{W}_A^+(T^*M)
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Sh}_{A'}(M)^c \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Sh}_A(M)^c
\end{array}
\]

One can see this is compatible with the corestrictions on both side. Thus, there is a commuting diagram in precosheaves with coefficient in \( \text{Pr}^L_{\omega, st} \):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{wsh}_{A'} \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{wsh}_A
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{W}_{A'}^+ \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{W}_A^+
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Sh}_{A'}^c \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Sh}_A^c
\end{array}
\]

The main theorem of this paper, Theorem 1.3, is that the comparison functor

\( \mathcal{W}_A^+(M) : \mathcal{wsh}_A(M) \to \text{Sh}_A(M) \)

is an equivalence. As a corollary, the comparison morphism

\( \mathcal{W}_A^+ : \mathcal{wsh}_A \to \text{Sh}_A^c \)

is an isomorphism so \( \mathcal{wsh}_A \) is a cosheaf.

### 5.2 Sufficient condition for fully faithfulness

For the rest of the section, we work with a fixed pair \( (M, \Lambda) \) such that \( \Lambda \subseteq S^*M \) is a subanalytic singular isotropic. We would like to study the effect of \( \mathcal{W}_A^+ \) on the Hom-complex. Since \( \mathcal{W}_A^+ \) is defined by a colimit, the conical map \( \text{Hom}_w(G, F) \to \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_A^+ G, \mathcal{W}_A^+ F) \) can be obtained from the following few steps. As a colimit, there is a canonical map *limiting continuation map* \( F^w \to \mathcal{W}_A^+ F \) for any wrapping \( w \). This induces, for any other wrapping \( w' \), a map between the Hom-complex \( \text{Hom}(G^w, F^w) \to \text{Hom}(G^{w'}, \mathcal{W}_A^+ F) \). Since convoluting with \( w(\Phi) \) is an auto-equivalence on \( \text{Sh}(M) \), there is a canonical map

\[
\text{Hom}(G, F^w) = \text{Hom}(G^{w'}, (F^w)^{w'}) \to \text{Hom}(G^{w'}, \mathcal{W}_A^+ F).
\]

Take limit over \( w' \) and then colimit over \( w \), we obtain the map between Hom-complex

\[
\text{Hom}_w(G, F) = \text{colim}_w \text{Hom}(G, F^w) \to \text{lim}_{w'} \text{Hom}(G^{w'}, \mathcal{W}_A^+ F) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_A^+(G), \mathcal{W}_A^+(F)).
\]

In short, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 5.4. Running $F$ and $G$ through a set of generators of $\mathfrak{ws}_A(M)$. If the limiting continuation map $F \to \mathfrak{W}^+_A(F)$ becomes an isomorphism after applying $\text{Hom}(G, \cdot)$ for all such $G$, then the canonical map on Hom-complex $\text{Hom}_w(G, F) \to \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{W}^+_A(G), \mathfrak{W}^+_A(F))$ is an isomorphism for any $F, G \in \mathfrak{ws}_A(M)$.

Pick any cofinal functor $\Psi : N \to W(S^*M \setminus \Lambda)$ which corresponds to a sequence of wrappings $\text{id} \xrightarrow{\Psi_0} \Phi_1 \xrightarrow{\Psi_1} \Phi_2 \to \cdots$. For convenience, we scale it so that $\Psi_i$ has domain $S^*M \times [i, i+1]$. This sequence of positive family of isotopies patches to a positive isotopy $\Psi : S^*M \times [0, \infty) \to S^*M$ whose restriction on $S^*M \times [i, i+1]$ is $\Psi_i$. Note $\Psi$ has a non-compact support by the cofinal criterion Lemma 3.20. By the GKS sheaf quantization, there is a sheaf kernel $K(\Psi)$ on $M \times M \times [0, \infty)$ such that $K(\Psi)|_{M \times M \times [i, i+1]} = K(\Psi_i)$. As before, for $F \in \mathfrak{ws}_A(M)$, set $F^\Psi = w(\Psi) \circ F$ and let $F^{w_n}$ denote $F^{w_n} = F^\Psi|_{M \times [n]}$ the resulting sheaves under the wrapping $\Psi$. It’s enough to study the morphism

$$\text{Hom}(G, F^{w_n}) \to \text{Hom}(G, \mathfrak{W}^+_A(F))$$

which is induced from the sequence of wrappings

$$F \to F^{w_1} \to \cdots \to F^{w_n} \to \cdots \to \mathfrak{W}^+_A(F).$$

Definition 5.5. Let $X$ be a topological space, $j : X \times [0, \infty) \hookrightarrow X \times [0, \infty]$ and $i : X \times \{\infty\} \to X \times [0, \infty]$ be the inclusions as open and closed subset. We call the composition $\psi = i^* \circ j_* : \text{Sh}(X \times [0, \infty)) \to \text{Sh}(X)$ the nearby cycle functor.

Lemma 5.6. The colimit $\mathfrak{W}^+_A(F)$ can be computed as the nearby cycle at infinity of the sheaf $F^\psi$. That is, $\mathfrak{W}^+_A(F) = \psi F^\psi$.

Proof. Since $\Psi_n$ are cofinal, $\mathfrak{W}^+_A(F) = \text{colim } F^{w_n}$. By the construction above, for each $n > 1$, $F^{w_n}$ is given by $Z_{(n)} \circ F^\psi \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{(0,n)}[1] \circ F^\psi$ and the continuation map between them is induced by $Z_{(0,n)} \to Z_{(0,m)}$ for $m \geq n$. Since convolution commutes with colimit, $\mathfrak{W}^+_A(F) = (\text{colim } Z_{(0,n)}) \circ F^\psi = Z_{(0,\infty)}[1] \circ F^\psi = p! F^\psi[1]$ where $p : M \times [0, \infty) \to M$ is the projection. The latter is the same as $\psi F^\psi = i^* j_* F^\psi$ by Lemma 3.1. \qed

Inspired by the lemma above, we would like to realize the map $\text{Hom}(G, F^{w_n}) \to \text{Hom}(G, F^\psi)$ as a limiting continuation map for some sheaf on $M \times [1, \infty)$ whose restriction on $M \times \{n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$, are the corresponding Hom-complex. A natural candidate is the sheaf $\text{Hom}(p^* G, F^\psi)$.

We first recall some machinery from general microlocal sheaf theory. Let $F \in \text{Sh}(M \times J)$ where $J$ is an open interval with parameter $t$. We say that $F$ is $J$-noncharacteristic if the inclusion $i_t : M \times \{t\} \hookrightarrow M \times J$ is noncharacteristic for $F$ for all $t \in J$. Equivalently, $F$ is $J$-noncharacteristic if $SS(F) \cap (T^*_M M \times T^* J) \subset T^*_M M \times J$. As before, we compactify $J$ to $J^+_+ = J \cup \{\infty\}$ to the right and let $j : M \times J \hookrightarrow M \times J_+$ and $i_\infty : M \times \{\infty\} \hookrightarrow M \times J_+$ denote the open and closed inclusions.

Lemma 5.7. Let $F \in \text{Sh}(M \times J)$ be $J$-noncharacteristic. Let $q : M \times J \to J$ be the projection and assume $q$ is proper on $\text{supp}(F)$. Then,

1. The natural morphism $i_t^* F[-1] \to i_t^* F$ is an isomorphism for $t \in J$.

2. The sheaf $q_* F$ is locally constant. In particular, the global section $\Gamma(M : i_t^* F)$ is constant on $t \in J$. 41
If we assume further that supp(ψF) is compact, then the constancy of \( \Gamma(M; i^*_s F) \) extends to \( t \in J_+ \).

**Proof.** Let \( p : M \times J \to M \) denote the projection. The equivalence \( i^*_s F[1] = i^*_t F \) follows directly from (4) of Proposition 2.36 and the observation that since \( p \circ i_t = \text{id} \), one has
\[
i^*_t Z_{M \times J} = i^*_t \circ p^*_t Z_M[1] = Z_M[1].
\]

Since \( q \) is proper on supp(\( F \)), we have the microsupport estimation \( SS(q_* F) \subseteq q_*(SS(F) \cap T^*_M M \times T^* J) \subseteq T^*_J J \) by (3) of Proposition 2.36 and so \( q_* F \) is a local system. Since \( J \) is contractible, the local system \( q_* F \) is constant. The last statement is a consequence of base change 2.17 and the fact that \( * \)-pushforward sends locally constant sheaves on \( J \) to locally constant sheaves on \( J_+ \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.8.** Let \( F, G \in \text{Sh}(M \times J) \) be sheaves on \( M \times J \) such that \( F \) and \( \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F) \) are \( J \)-noncharacteristic, and \( q \) is proper on supp(\( G \)) and supp(\( \psi G \)) is compact. Then,

1. The natural map \( i^*_s \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F) \to \mathcal{H}\text{om}(i^*_s G, i^*_s F) \) is an isomorphism.
2. The Hom-complex \( \mathcal{H}\text{om}(i^*_s G, i^*_s F) \) is constant on \( s \in J \) and equals to \( \Gamma(M; \psi \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F)) \).

**Proof.** Apply the last lemma and we compute,
\[
i^*_s \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F) = i^*_s \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F)[-1] = \mathcal{H}\text{om}(i^*_s G, i^*_s F)[-1] = \mathcal{H}\text{om}(i^*_s G, i^*_s F).
\]

Since supp(\( \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F) \)) \( \subseteq \) supp(\( G \)) and \( q \) is proper on supp(\( G \)), we apply the last lemma and conclude that \( q_* \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F) \) is locally constant. Then base change 2.17 applies and one can compute
\[
(q_* \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F))[s] = \Gamma(q^{-1}(s); i^*_s \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F)) = \Gamma(M; \mathcal{H}\text{om}(i^*_s G, i^*_s F)) = \mathcal{H}\text{om}(i^*_s G, i^*_s F)
\]
is constant. Because supp(\( \psi G \)) is compact, the constancy extends to \( \Gamma(M; \psi \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F)) \).

Since \( \infty \) is a boundary point, we cannot conclude equivalence using transversality. Such situation is considered by Nadler and Shende in [31] in which they developed the theory of nearby cycle to study the canonical map
\[
\Gamma(M; \psi \mathcal{H}\text{om}(G, F)) \to \mathcal{H}\text{om}(\psi G, \psi F)
\]
which we recall now.

**Definition 5.9** ([31, Definition 2.2]). A closed subset \( X \subseteq S^* M \) is positively displaceable from legendrians (pdfl) if given any Legendrian submanifold \( L \) (compact in a neighborhood of \( X \)), there is a 1-parameter positive family of Legendrians \( L_s, s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \) (constant outside a compact set), such that \( L_s \) is disjoint from \( X \) except at \( s = 0 \).

**Definition 5.10** ([31, Definition 2.7]). Fix a co-oriented contact manifold \( (V, \xi) \) and positive contact isotopy \( \eta_s \). For any subset \( Y \subseteq V \) we write \( Y[s] := \eta_s(Y) \). Given \( Y, Y' \subseteq V \) we define the chord length spectrum of the pair to be the set lengths of Reeb trajectories from \( Y \) to \( Y' \):
\[
cls(Y \to Y') = \{ s \in \mathbb{R} | Y[s] \cap Y' \neq \emptyset \}
\]
we term \( \cls(Y) := d(Y \to Y) \) the chord length spectrum of \( Y \).
Definition 5.11 ([31, Definition 2.9]). Given a parameterized family of pairs \((Y_b, Y'_b)\) in \(S^*M\) over \(b \in B\) we say it is gapped if there is some interval \((0, \epsilon)\) uniformly avoided by all \(d_l(Y_b \to Y'_b)\). In case \(Y = Y'\), we simply say \(Y\) is gapped.

Definition 5.12 ([31, Definition 3.17]). Given a subset \(X \subseteq T^*(M \times J)\), we define its nearby subset as
\[
\psi(X) := \Pi(X) \cap T^*(M \times (-1, \infty))|_{M \times \{\infty\}}.
\]

The main theorem for the nearby cycles is the following:

Theorem 5.13 ([31, Theorem 4.2]). Let \(F, G\) be sheaves on \(M \times J\). Assume
1. \(\text{SS}(F)\) and \(\text{SS}(G)\) are \(J\)-noncharacteristic;
2. \(\psi(\text{SS}(F))\) and \(\psi(\text{SS}(G))\) are pdfl;
3. The family of pairs in \(S^*M\) determined by \((\text{SS}_{\pi}(F), \text{SS}_{\pi}(G))\) is gapped for some fixed contact form on \(S^*M\).

Then
\[
\Gamma(M; \psi \text{Hom}(F, G)) \to \text{Hom}(\psi(F), \psi(G))
\]
is an isomorphism.

Now we apply the theory of nearby cycle to the infinite wrapping functor.

Lemma 5.14. Let \(F \to F^{w_1} \to F^{w_2} \to \cdots\) be a sequence in \(\widetilde{\text{wsh}}_\Lambda(M)\) as in Lemma 5.6. If for any conic open neighborhood \(U\) of \(\Lambda\), there exists \(n\) such that \(\text{SS}(F^{w_n}) \subseteq U\). Then the sequence is cofinal.

Proof. Pick a decreasing conic open neighborhood \(U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq U_3 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \Lambda\) of \(\Lambda\) such that \(U_n \subseteq U_{n+1}\) and \(\bigcap_n U_n = \Lambda\). By taking a subsequence of the \(F^{w_n}\)'s, we may assume \(\text{SS}(F^{w_n}) \subseteq U_n\) for \(n \geq 1\). Again by taking a subsequence of both the \(F^{w_n}\)'s and \(U_n\)'s, we may further assume \(U_{n+1} \cap \text{SS}(F^{w_n}) = \emptyset\). By the locality property 3.22, the continuation map \(c : F^{w_n} \to F^{w_{n+1}}\) depends only on the value of \(\Psi\) on \(U_n\). Thus, we may modify \(\Psi\) on \(T^*M \setminus U_{n-1}\) to satisfy the condition in Lemma 3.20. Since taking subsequence won’t change the colimit, the original sequence is cofinal. \(\square\)

Theorem 5.15. Let \(F \in \widetilde{\text{wsh}}_\Lambda(M)\). Assume there is a sequence of wrappings \(\Phi_0 \xrightarrow{\Psi_0} \Phi_1 \to \cdots\) which glues to a (non-compactly supported) positive contact isotopy \(\Psi : S^*M \times [0, \infty) \to S^*M\) such that, for any neighborhood \(U\) of \(\Lambda\), \(\psi_s(\text{SS}^\infty(F)) \subseteq U\) for \(s >> 0\). Then for \(G \in \widetilde{\text{wsh}}_\Lambda(M)\) the canonical map,
\[
\text{Hom}(G, F^{w_n}) \to \text{Hom}(G, \psi F^{\Psi})
\]
is an isomorphism for \(n >> 0\). Thus, the canonical map
\[
\text{Hom}_w(G, F) \to \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_\Lambda^+ G, \mathcal{W}_\Lambda^+ F)
\]
is an isomorphism.
Lemma 5.4. Thus, the first statement implies that $\mathcal{W}^+_{\Lambda}$ induces isomorphisms on the Hom-complex by Lemma 5.4.

Now note that $SS^\infty(G)$ in $S^*M$ is compact since $\text{supp}(G)$ is compact and the front projection $\pi_\infty : S^*M \to M$ is proper. Since a manifold is in particular a regular topological space, there exist open sets $U$ and $V$ containing $\Lambda$ and $SS^\infty(G)$ such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$. By restricting to $n >> 0$, we may assume $\psi_s(SS^\infty(F)) \subseteq U$ is thus disjoint from $SS^\infty(G)$, which implies that $\mathcal{H}\text{om}(p^*G, F^\Psi)$ is $J$-noncharacteristic. Lemma 5.8 then implies that $\text{Hom}(G, F^{\mu_n}) = \Gamma(M; \psi_s \mathcal{H}\text{om}(p^*G, F^\Psi))$.

So it’s sufficient to check the conditions of Theorem 5.13 hold for the pair $p^*G$ and $F^\Psi$. The set $SS(p^*G) = SS(G) \times 0$ is tautologically $J$-noncharacteristic. For $F^\Psi$, we recall that $SS(F^\Psi) = \Lambda_\Psi \circ F = \{(\Psi(x, \xi, t)) | (x, \xi) \in SS(F), t \in [0, \infty)\}$ which implies that $F^\Psi$ is $J$-noncharacteristic.

By picking a shrinking neighborhood $V_n$ of $\Lambda$, we see that the nearby set $\psi(\text{SS}^\infty(F^\Psi))$ is contained in $\Lambda$. One can pick a Whitney triangulation $S$ such that $\Lambda \subseteq N_\infty^*S$. Similarly, up to an isotopy, there exists a Whitney triangulation $T$ such that $SS^\infty(G) \subseteq N_\infty^*T$. The singular isotropics $N_\infty^*S$ and $N_\infty^*T$ are pdfl by Lemma 4.13.

Now the same argument showing $\mathcal{H}\text{om}(p^*G, F^\Psi)$ is $J$-noncharacteristic implies that there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi_s(SS^\infty(F)) \subseteq V$ for $s \geq N$. Thus, when restricting to $M \times [N, \infty)$, $p^*G$ and $F^\Psi$ are microlocally disjoint and the gapped condition is tautologically satisfied. \hfill $\Box$

Corollary 5.16. If there exists a set of generators $S$ in $\widehat{\text{wsh}}_\Lambda(M)$ such that each $F \in S$ admits a sequence $F^{\mu_n}$ constructed in the above manner such that $SS^\infty(F^{\mu_n})$ is contained in arbitrary small neighborhood of $\Lambda$ for $n$ large, then the comparison functor

$$\mathcal{W}^+_{\Lambda} : \text{wsh}_\Lambda(M) \to \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)^c$$

is fully faithful.

5.3 Proof of the main theorem

We first consider the special case when $\Lambda = N_\infty^*S$ for some Whitney triangulation $S$.

Theorem 5.17. The comparison functor $\mathcal{W}^+_{N_\infty^*S} : \text{wsh}_{N_\infty^*S}(M) \to \text{Sh}_{N_\infty^*S}(M)^c$ is an equivalence.

Proof. By Proposition 4.12 and Proposition 4.19, $\text{wsh}_S(T^*M)$ has $\{Z_{\text{star}(s)}^{-}\}$ as a set of generators. Recall that $Z_{\text{star}(s)}^{-}$ is defined to be an unspecified inward cornering $\text{star}(s)^{-\epsilon}$ for small enough $\epsilon$. As mentioned in Definition 2.46, the construction of $\text{star}(s)^{-\epsilon}$ is made so that $N_{\infty^*\text{out}}^*\text{star}(s)^{-\epsilon}$ is disjoint from $N_\infty^*S$ and is contained in arbitrary small neighborhood of $N_\infty^*S$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Since $SS(Z_{\text{star}(s)^{-\epsilon}}) = N_{\infty^*\text{out}}^*\text{star}(s)^{-\epsilon}$, Theorem 5.15 applies and $\mathcal{W}^+_{N_\infty^*S}$ is fully faithful. We see from the same generators $Z_{\text{star}(s)^{-\epsilon}}$ that $\mathcal{W}^+_{N_\infty^*S}$ is essential surjective since $\{Z_{\text{star}(s)}^{-}\}$ form a set of generators of $\text{Sh}_{N_\infty^*S}(M)^c$ by Proposition 2.47. \hfill $\Box$

To prove the general case, we have to match a special class of objects on both sides

Lemma 5.18. Let $\Lambda$ be a subanalytic singular isotropic and $(x, \xi) \in \Lambda$ be a smooth point. For any $F \in \text{Sh}(M)$ such that $SS(F)$ is contained in $\Lambda$ near $(x, \xi)$, there is an equivalence

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}^+_{\Lambda}D_{(x, \xi)}, F) = \mu_{(x, \xi)} F.$$
That is, the object $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^+ D_{(x,\xi)}$ co-represents $\mu_{(x,\xi)}$.

**Proof.** Recall $D_{(x,\xi)}$ is defined to be the cofiber of the canonical map $\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,-\epsilon)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)}$ where $f$ is a proper analytic function defined near $x$ satisfying the following conditions: There exists an $\epsilon > 0$, so that $f$ has only one $\Lambda$-critical point $x$ over $f^{-1}[-\epsilon,\epsilon]$ with $f(x) = 0$, $df_x = \xi$ and $f^{-1}(-\infty,\epsilon)$ is relatively compact. Proposition 2.32 implies the function $f$ defines a microstalk functor $\mu_{(x,\xi)}$, by

$$\mu_{(x,\xi)}(F) := \Gamma_{\{f \geq 0\}}(F)_x.$$ 

As in Remark 4.15, the local picture of the fibers $f^{-1}\{\{t\}\}$ for $t \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ is a hyperplane near $x$. Let $\Psi$ denote any global extension of the wrapping $N_{\epsilon, \text{out}}^* f^{-1}(-\infty, t)$ for $t \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$. If we modify $\Psi$ to $\Psi_0$ by multiplying a bump function supported near $(x, \xi)$ on its Hamiltonian, the resulting wrapping $(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)})^{\Psi_0}$ will appear as expanding $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$ to some large open set where the expansion happens only near $x$ in the $\xi$ codirection. The cofiber $\text{cof}(c(\Psi_0, \mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)}))$ can be seen as the cofiber induced by some small open neighborhood $U$ and its open subset $U \cap \mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)}$.

Since the graph $\Gamma_{df}$ does not intersection $\Lambda$ except at $(x, \xi)$, there is an isomorphism $\Gamma(f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon); F) = \Gamma(f^{-1}(-\infty, 0); F)$ by the non-characteristic deformation lemma 2.25. Thus, $\Gamma_{\{f \geq 0\}}(F)_x$ can be computed as a colimit

$$\Gamma_{\{f \geq 0\}}(F)_x = \colim_{\Psi_0} \text{Hom}(\text{cof}(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)} \to (\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)})^w), F)$$

$$= \colim_{\Psi_0} \text{Hom}(\text{cof}(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,\epsilon)} \to (\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)})^w), F)$$

by picking $\Psi_0$ so that the corresponding $U$ as above forms a neighborhood basis of $x$. Similarly, $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)})^w, F)$ can be replaced by $\Gamma(f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon); F)$ such that the maps are compatible with inclusions of the corresponding open sets. That is, we are taking colimit over a constant and thus

$$\Gamma_{\{f \geq 0\}}(F)_x = \colim_{\Psi_0} \text{Hom}(\text{cof}(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,\epsilon)} \to (\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)})^w), F)$$

$$= \colim_{\Psi_0} \text{Hom}(\text{cof}(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,\epsilon)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,0)}), F)$$

$$= \text{Hom}(\text{cof}(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,\epsilon)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(-\infty,\epsilon)}), F) = \text{Hom}(D_{(x,\xi)}, F)$$

Finally, we recall that $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^+$ is defined by the restriction of the left adjoint. Since left adjoints preserve corepresentatives, we conclude that $\Gamma_{\{f \geq 0\}}(F)_x = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^+ D_{(x,\xi)}, F)$.

\[\square\]
Hence, Lemma B.5 implies that \( \mu_{(x,\xi)} : \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M) \to \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod} \) are frequently considered since they often provide a preferred set of generators. For example, Zhou in [43] finds an explicit description of corepresentatives in the case of FLTZ skeleton first considered in [9], and uses it to match them with certain line bundles on the coherent side, which gives an explicit description to the equivalence proved in [24] through descent argument. A common recipe for finding such a description is to first find a sheaf \( F \) which is constructed locally near \( x \), and is thus not necessarily in \( \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M) \), but still satisfies the identification \( \text{Hom}(F,\cdot) = \mu_{(x,\xi)} \) on \( \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M) \). Then one constructs a one-parameter family of sheaves \( F_t, t \in [0,1], \) such that \( F_0 = F, F_1 \in \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M), \) and \( \text{Hom}(F_t,\cdot) \) remains constant as \( t \) varies. This lemma can be seen as a general recipe for such a construction when subanalytic structure is presented.

\textbf{Proof of Theorem 1.3.} Pick a Whitney triangulation \( S \) such that \( \Lambda \subseteq N^\circ \Lambda S \). We use \( D^{w}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) \) denote to the subcategory in \( \text{wsh}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) \) generated by the sheaf theoretical linking discs \( D(x,\xi) \) at Legendrian points of \( N^\circ \Lambda S \setminus \Lambda \) and, similarly, \( D^{\mu}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) \) the subcategory in \( \text{Sh}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) \) generated by the corresponding microstalk representatives. By Proposition 2.56 and Proposition 4.16, they are the fiber of the projections \( \text{wsh}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) \to \text{wsh}_\Lambda(M) \) and \( \text{Sh}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M)^c \to \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)^c \) respectively. Thus, there is a commuting diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D^{w}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) & \longrightarrow & \text{wsh}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) & \longrightarrow & \text{wsh}_\Lambda(M) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D^{\mu}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sh}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M)^c & \longrightarrow & \text{Sh}_\Lambda(M)^c \\
\end{array}
\]

The last lemma implies that the equivalence \( \mathcal{W}^+_{N^\circ \Lambda S} : \text{wsh}(T^*M) \sim \text{Sh}(M)^c \) restricts to

\[
\mathcal{W}^+_{N^\circ \Lambda S} : D^{w}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M) \sim D^{\mu}_{N^\circ \Lambda S}(M).
\]

Hence, Lemma B.5 implies that \( \mathcal{W}^+_{\Lambda} \) is an equivalence as well.

A Homogenous symplectic geometry and contact geometry

We recall some facts about the homogenous symplectic geometry and contact geometry and how they are interchangeable with each other. We assume the contact manifolds in this section are co-orientable. Let \((X,da)\) be a Liouville manifold and let \(Z\) denote its Liouville vector field. We define a homogeneous symplectic manifold to be a Liouville manifold such that the Liouville flow induces a proper and free \(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\)-action. In this case, the quotient \(X/\mathbb{R}_{>0}\) is a manifold.

\textbf{Definition A.1.} A subset \(Y \subseteq (X,da)\) is conic if it is preserved under the \(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\)-action.

\textbf{Proposition A.2.} A coisotropic submanifold \(Y\) is conic if and only if \(\alpha|_{TY^{da}} = 0\).

\textbf{Proof.} \(Y\) is coisotropic iff \(TY^{da} \subseteq TY\). \(Y\) is conic if and only if \(Z(y) \in T_yY\) for \(y \in Y\) which implies \(\alpha_y(w) = da_y(Z(y),w) = 0\) for all \(w \in TY^{da}\). Note this direction always holds. On the other hand, the same equation implies that \(Z(y) \in TY\) if \(\alpha|_{TY^{da}} = 0\). Since \(Y\) is coisotropic, \(Z(y)\) is in particular in \(T_yY\).
Corollary A.3. A Lagrangian submanifold $L \subseteq (X, d\alpha)$ is conic if and only if $\alpha|_L = 0$.

Example A.4. The Liouville vector field $Z$ of the cotangent bundle $T^*N$ can be written locally by $Z = \sum \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i}$ where the $\xi_i$'s are the dual coordinates of local coordinates $x_i$ of $N$. The Liouville vector flow is given by $\Phi^Z_{s\xi}(x, \xi) = (x, e^{s}\xi)$ and the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-action is simply the multiplication, $r \cdot (x, \xi) = (x, r\xi)$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Proposition A.5. The one form $\alpha$ descends to a one form $\bar{\alpha}$ on $X/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Proof. Let $X$ denote the Liouville vector field associated to $\alpha$ (which is non-vanishing since $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ acts freely). By definition, $\alpha(Z) = \omega(Z, Z) = 0$ so it defines a section on $(TX/\mathbb{Z})^* = T^*(X/\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. This is a contact form since on $X$, $\iota_Z\omega \wedge d(\iota_Z\omega)^n-1 = \iota_Z\omega \wedge (L_Z\omega)^{n-1} = \iota_Z\omega \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \frac{1}{n}\iota_Z\omega^{n-1}$ and $T(X/\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ can be identified as vectors transversal to $Z$. \hfill $\Box$

Example A.6. The example we will study in this paper is the cotangent bundle away from the zero section $T^*V$ for some smooth manifold $V$. Pick a metric $g$ and restrict the projection $p: T^*V \to S^*V$ to \{(x, \xi)|g_\alpha(\xi, \xi) = 1\} and denote it as $p_\alpha$. The map $p_\alpha$ is a diffeomorphism because its domain is transversal to the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-action and $p_\alpha$ is clearly one-to-one. Its inverse $s: S^*V \to T^*V$ provides $S^*V$ a global contact form $s^*\alpha_{can}$.

Note any such section gives the same contact structure but there might not be any contactomorphism sending one contact form to another. A more intrinsic description of the contact structure is $\eta_{[x, \xi]} = \ker \xi$.

Lemma A.7. A homogeneous symplectomorphism $\psi: (X, d\alpha) \to (Q, d\beta)$ preserves the Liouville form, i.e., $\psi^*\beta = \alpha$.

Proof. Let $\psi$ be homogeneous and $\psi^*d\beta = d\alpha$. We denote the Liouville vector fields by $Z$ and $Y$ and the corresponding flow by $\phi_t^Z$ and $\phi_t^Y$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\psi$ is a homogeneous symplectomorphism, we have $\psi(\phi_t^Z(x)) = \phi_t^Y(\Psi(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Differentiate the equation and evaluate at 0, we obtain that $d\psi_*(Z(x)) = Y(\psi(x))$, i.e., $Y = \psi_*Z$. So for any differential (p-)form $v$ on $Q$,

\[
(\psi^*(\iota_Yv))(v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}) = (\iota_Yv)(\psi_*v_1, \ldots, \psi_*v_{p-1})
= \nu(Y, \psi_*v_1, \ldots, \psi_*v_{p-1})
= (\psi^*\nu)(Z, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1})
= (\iota_Z(\Psi^*\nu))(v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}).
\]

That is, $\psi^* \circ \iota_Y = \iota_Z \circ \psi^*$. In particular, $\psi^*\alpha = \psi^*\iota_Zd\alpha = \iota_Y\psi^*d\alpha = \beta$. \hfill $\Box$

Proposition A.8. A co-orientation preserving contactomorphism $\varphi: (N, \xi) \to (P, \eta)$ gives rise to a unique homogeneous symplectomorphism $\hat{\varphi}: SN \to SP$ between their symplectizations. On the other hand, a homogeneous symplectomorphism $\psi: (X, d\alpha) \to (Q, d\beta)$ induces a contactomorphism on the contact quotient in Proposition A.5. These two constructions are inverse to each other if $X$ and $Q$ come from symplectization.

Proof. Assume $(N, \xi)$ and $(P, \eta)$ are co-oriented by $\alpha$ and $\beta$. The equation $d\phi_z(\xi_z) = \eta_{\varphi(z)}$ implies that $\varphi^*\beta = h\alpha$ for some $h > 0$. More precisely, let $R$ be the Reeb vector field of $\alpha$, then $h = \beta(\varphi, R)$. Define $\hat{\varphi}: (N \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, d(t\alpha)) \to (P \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, d(s\beta))$ by $\hat{\varphi}(x, t) = (\varphi(x), (h(x))^{-1}t)$. Then $\hat{\varphi}^*s\beta = t_1^*(\varphi^*\beta) = t\alpha$ so $\hat{\varphi}$ is a homogeneous symplectomorphism. Now assume there is another lifting $\check{\varphi}$. Since they both descend to $\varphi$, there is $g > 0$
such that $\tilde{\varphi}'(x,t) = g(x)\varphi(x,t)$. But then $t\alpha = (\tilde{\varphi}')^*t\beta = g\varphi^*t\beta = gt\alpha$ so $g \equiv 1$. Since $\psi$ preserves the Liouville form, it’s clear that $\psi$ descends to a contactomorphism on the quotient. And we also see the two constructions are inverse to each other when the homogeneous symplectic manifolds are given by symplectization. \qed

**Example A.9.** We consider the case when $X = Q = \dot{T}^* M$ the cotangent bundle away from the zero section $M$. One can identify it as the symplectization of $S^* M$ by picking a metric $g$. Let $\varphi : S^* M \rightarrow S^* M$ be a co-orientation preserving contactomorphism and homogeneous isotropy on $\dot{T}^* M$. We claim that there is a (unique) section $\hat{\varphi} : \dot{T}^* M \rightarrow \dot{T}^* M$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi} \circ t^* \alpha_{can}$.

We describe here how the identification intertwines with the construction in the proposition. Denote $s$ the section of $p : \dot{T}^* M \rightarrow S^* M$ which is given by the unit covectors. We claim that there is a (unique) section $t : S^* M \rightarrow \dot{T}^* M$ so that $\varphi^*(t^* \alpha_{can}) = s^* \alpha_{can}$. (Note we cannot just require $t = s \circ \varphi^{-1}$ since this would imply $id_{S^* M} = \varphi^{-1}$.) If such $t$ exists, then $t^* \alpha_{can} = (\varphi^{-1})^* s^* \alpha_{can} = hs^* \alpha_{can}$ for some $h \in C^\infty(S^* M; \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ given by $\varphi$. So we simply define $t : S^* M \rightarrow \dot{T}^* M$ by $t = h \cdot s$ where $\cdot$ is the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ action. Then we can define $\hat{\varphi} : \dot{T}^* M \rightarrow \dot{T}^* M$ by $\hat{\varphi} = \sqrt{g} \cdot (t \circ p \circ p)$. One can compute that

$$\hat{\varphi}^* \alpha_{can} = \sqrt{g} \cdot (p^* \circ \varphi^* \circ t^* \alpha_{can}) = \sqrt{g} \cdot (p^* \circ s^* \alpha_{can})$$

$$= \sqrt{g} \cdot (s \circ p)^* \alpha_{can} = \sqrt{g} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \alpha_{can} = \alpha_{can}$$

is symplectomorphic. Note that we use $s \circ p(x, \xi) = \left(1/\sqrt{g_t(\xi, \xi)}\right)(x, \xi)$ for the second to last equality.

Now consider a family of isotopy $\varphi_t : S^* M \rightarrow S^* M$ such that $\varphi_0 = id_{S^* M}$. The requirement $(\varphi_t^{-1})^* s^* \alpha_{can} = h_t^* s^* \alpha_{can}$ ensures $h_t > 0$ since $h_0 \equiv 1$. We can then lift $\varphi_t$ to a family of homogeneous symplectomorphism $\hat{\varphi}_t : \dot{T}^* M \rightarrow \dot{T}^* M$ by the above process. Since this process can be reserved, we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between contact isotropy on $S^* M$ and homogeneous isotropy on $\dot{T}^* M$. Note that the family version of isotopies works similarly.

**B Quotients of small stable categories**

We discuss quotients of small idempotent complete stable $\infty$-categories. Our main reference is section 5.5.7 of [25]. We first recall the definition of the following three (very large) categories, $\text{Pr}^R_\omega$, $\text{Pr}^L_\omega$, and $\text{cat}^\omega$.

**Definition B.1.** The category $\text{Pr}^R_\omega$ is the (very large) category consisting of compactly generated categories whose morphisms are functors preserving limits and filtered colimits. The category $\text{Pr}^L_\omega$ is the (very large) category consisting of compactly generated categories whose morphisms are functors preserving colimits and compact objects. The category $\text{cat}^\omega$ is the (very large) category consisting of small categories which are idempotent complete whose morphisms are simply functors.

The category $\text{Pr}^R_\omega$ admits small limits which can be computed in $\text{Cat}$. The category $\text{Pr}^L_\omega$ is equivalent to $(\text{Pr}^R_\omega)^{op}$ by passing to right adjoints which exist by the adjoint functor theorem. Because colimits turn to limits when passing to adjoints, $\text{Pr}^L_\omega$ admits small...
colimits. Finally, there is equivalence of category $\Pr^L_\omega \cong \text{cat}$ which is given by taking compact objects $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^c$ with inverse given by taking Ind-objects $\mathcal{C}_0 \mapsto \text{Ind}\mathcal{C}_0$. The operation of taking adjoints or Ind-completion are compatible with stable structures so we can consider the subcategory $\Pr^L_{\omega, st}$ and $\text{st}_\omega$ of $\Pr^L_\omega$ and $\text{cat}_\omega$ which consist of the stable ones.

Take a small idempotent complete stable category $\mathcal{C}_0 \in \text{st}_\omega$ and a collection of objects $S$. We would like to construct an associated localization $\mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0/S$ so that a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\text{cof}(f) \in S$ becomes an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}_0/S$. This localization can be defined as a quotient in the following way. First, we take the stable subcategory $\langle S \rangle$ generated by $S$, and then take its idempotent completion which we will denote it by $N = N(S)$. Abstract non-sense implies $N$ is still stable and is embedded in $\mathcal{C}_0$ as the subcategory of retracts of objects in $\langle S \rangle$ because $\mathcal{C}_0$ is idempotent complete. Let $\iota_* : N \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ denote the inclusion.

**Definition B.2.** We define the quotient $\mathcal{C}_0/S$ of $\mathcal{C}_0$ by $S$ as the cofiber $\text{cof}(\iota_*)$ taken in $\text{cat}_\omega$, and use $j^* : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0/S$ to denote the projection. The category $\mathcal{C}_0/S$ is stable by the description below so it is also the cofiber in $\text{st}_\omega$.

In more concrete terms, we pass to the large categories by taking the ind-completion $\text{Ind}$. The cofiber $\text{cof}(\text{Ind}(\iota_*))$ can be computed as $\text{fib}(\text{Ind}(\iota_*))^R$ which is identified as the subcategory $(\text{Ind}(N))^{L,r}$ consisting of objects right orthogonal to $\text{Ind}(N)$. Then, $\text{cof}(\iota_*)$ in $\text{cat}$ is the compact objects the $(\text{cof}(\text{Ind}(\iota_*)))^R$ which is automatically idempotent complete. Note that since $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow \text{cof}(\text{Ind}(\iota_*))$ preserves compact objects, there is the factorization $j^* : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0/S$. We sketch a proof regarding the computation of the Hom in $\mathcal{C}_0/S$.

**Proposition B.3.** Let $X$, $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}_0$. Then the Hom in $\mathcal{C}_0/S$ can be computed as a colimit,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_0/S}(j^*X, j^*Y) = \text{colim}_{X \rightarrow X'} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(X, Y')$$

where the colimit runs through the morphism $Y \rightarrow Y'$ whose cofiber is in $N$. Alternatively, we can compute the Hom-spaces by varying the first component, i.e.,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_0/S}(j^*X, j^*Y) = \text{colim}_{X' \rightarrow X} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(X', Y)$$

with $\text{cof}(X' \rightarrow X) \in N$.

To prove the proposition, we first look more closely into the construction. Begin with the inclusion $N \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$, we translate to the category $\Pr^L_\omega$ by taking $\text{Ind}$ and obtain

$$\text{Ind}(N) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}(\iota_*)} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0).$$

Because $\text{Ind}(\iota_*)$ preserves small colimits, it admits a right adjoint $\text{Ind}(\iota_*)^R$.

**Lemma B.4.** Let $\iota_* : N \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ be inclusion of idempotent complete small categories. For $X \in \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$, the right adjoint of $\text{Ind}(\iota_*)$ can be given by the formula

$$\text{Ind}(\iota_*)^R(X) = \text{"}\text{colim}_{\alpha : Z \rightarrow X, Z \in N} \text{"} Z.$$

Here we use the quotation “colim” to emphasis the colimit is taken formally in $\text{Ind}(N)$. Note that we do not require $\mathcal{C}_0$ or $N$ to be stable for this lemma.
Proof. By definition, the formal colimit \( \text{colim}_{\alpha: Z \to X, \ Z \in N} Z \) is an object of \( \text{Ind}(N) \). Because an object of \( \text{Ind}(N) \) is of the form \( \text{colim} W \) over some filtered colimit by some objects in \( N \), it’s sufficient to show, for all \( W \in N \),

\[
\text{Hom}_{C_0}(W, X) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(N)}(W, \text{colim}_{\alpha: Z \to X, \ Z \in N} Z).
\]

Since \( \text{Ind}(\iota) \) preserves compact objects, we compute

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(N)}(W, \text{colim}_{\alpha: Z \to X, \ Z \in N} Z) = \text{colim}_{\alpha: Z \to X, \ Z \in N} \text{Hom}_N(W, Z) = \text{Hom}_{C_0}(W, X).
\]

\( \square \)

Proof of the proposition B.3. The cofiber \( \text{cof}(\text{Ind}(\iota_*)) \) can be computed as \( \text{fib}(\text{Ind}(\iota_*))^R \) which is identified as the subcategory \( (\text{Ind}(N))^\perp_r \) of objects right orthogonal to \( \text{Ind}(N) \). Because we are in the stable setting, this gives us a fiber sequence

\[
\text{Ind}(\iota_*)^R \to \text{id} \to j_* j^*.
\]

Thus, for \( X, Y \in C_0 \), one computes

\[
\text{Hom}_{C_0/S}(j^* X, j^* Y) = \text{Hom}_{C_0}(X, j_* j^* Y) = \text{Hom}_{C_0}(X, \text{cof}(\text{Ind}(\iota_*)^R Y \to Y)) = \text{Hom}_{C_0}(X, \text{cof}(\text{colim}_{\alpha: Z \to Y, \ Z \in N} Z \to Y)) = \text{colim}_{\alpha: Z \to Y, \ Z \in N} \text{Hom}_{C_0}(X, \text{cof}(Z \to Y)) = \text{colim}_{Y \to X', \ \text{cof}(\gamma) \in N} \text{Hom}_{C_0}(X, Y').
\]

Here, we notice the last equation is simply changing the expression of the same colimit.

To obtain the similar formula which we varies the first component, we notice that there is equivalence \( (C_0/S)^{op} = C_0^{op}/N^{op} \) because they satisfies the same universal property. We thus compute

\[
\text{Hom}_{C_0/S}(j^* X, j^* Y) = \text{Hom}_{(C_0/S)^{op}}(j^* Y, j^* X) = \text{Hom}_{C_0^{op}/N^{op}}(j^* Y, j^* X) = \text{colim}_{X \to X', \ \text{cof}(\gamma) \in N^{op}} \text{Hom}_{C_0^{op}}(Y, X') = \text{colim}_{X' \to X, \ \text{cof}(\gamma) \in N} \text{Hom}_{C_0}(X', Y).
\]

\( \square \)

We will use the following ”snake lemma” for categories in the main text.

**Lemma B.5.** Consider the following diagram in \( \text{Pr}^L_{\omega, st} \) :
where \( p \) and \( q \) are the quotient functor of the inclusion \( i \) and \( j \), \( F_0 \) is the restriction of \( F \) which factors through \( D_0 \) and \( \bar{F} \) is the induced functor between the quotients. Let \( \iota : \text{fib}(\bar{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \) denote the fiber of \( \bar{F} \), \( \pi : D_0 \twoheadrightarrow \text{cof}(F_0) \) the cofiber of \( F_0 \) and \( \partial : \text{fib}(F) \to \text{cof}(F_0) \) the functor given by the composition \( \partial = \pi \circ j^R \circ F \circ p^R \circ \iota \). If \( F \) is an equivalence, then \( \partial \) is an equivalence.

**Proof.** For simplicity, we assume \( \mathcal{C} = D \) and \( F \) is the identity so the diagram becomes,

We will prove that the functor \( \theta := \iota^R \circ p \circ j \circ \pi^R \) is the inverse by showing that \( \theta \circ \partial = \text{id}_{\text{fib}(\bar{F})} \). The equation \( \partial \circ \theta = \text{id}_{\text{cof}(F_0)} \) can be proved similarly. Recall that in the stable setting, the sequence \( C_0 \hookrightarrow C \twoheadrightarrow C_1 \) comes with a fiber sequence of functors

\[
\text{fib}(F_0) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}_0 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{p^R} \pi.
\]

First write out \( \theta \partial \) as \( \iota^R p j^R \pi^R \pi j^R p^R \). Apply this fact to \( C_0 \hookrightarrow D_0 \twoheadrightarrow \text{cof}(F_0) \), we see there is a fiber sequence

\[
F_0 F_0^R \to \text{id} \to \pi^R \pi.
\]

Apply \( j \circ (\cdot) \circ j^R \) and the fiber sequence becomes \( \iota^R j j^R \to j j^R \pi^R \pi j^R \). Further apply \( p \circ (\cdot) \circ p^R \) and we see that \( p j j^R p = p j \pi^R \pi j^R p^R \) since \( p \circ i = 0 \). Thus, we can simplify \( \theta \partial \) to \( \iota^R p j j^R p^R \). Similar argument allows us to further simplify \( \theta \partial \) to \( \iota^R p p^R \iota = \iota^R \text{id}_C \iota = \text{id}_{\text{fib}(F)} \).

**References**


