

Ordinary boundary triple associated to a given function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$

Muhamed Borogovac,
Boston, MA 02131, USA,
muhamed.borogovac@gmail.com

November 30, 2021

Abstract: Let S be a symmetric linear relation in the Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, [.,.])$ of index κ , let $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be an ordinary boundary triple for the relation S^+ , and let Q be the Weyl function corresponding to S and Π . By means of a version of the Krein formula in Pontryagin space, we prove $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$, where \hat{A} is the representing relation of $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1} \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$.

For regular function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, with representing relation A , we find symmetric relation S , $\{0\} \subseteq S \subsetneq A$, and the boundary triple Π such that Q is the Weyl function corresponding to S and Π . Then we assume that the derivative at infinity $Q'(\infty) := \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zQ(z)$ is a boundedly invertible operator. That enables us to express relation matrices of A , \hat{A} and S^+ in terms of S . In examples we show how to apply the main results. For instance, we find matrix representations of the relations S , \hat{A} , S^+ associated to given functions $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ with representing operator A .

Key words:

Weyl Function; Operator representation; ordinary boundary triple; Pontryagin space
MSC (2020) 34B20 47A50 47A06 47B56

1 Preliminaries and introduction

1.1. The following definitions of a linear relation and basic concepts related to it can be found in [1, 18]. In the sequel C and R are sets of complex and real numbers, respectively, κ denotes a non-negative integer, and $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}$ are inner product spaces.

A *linear relation* from \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{K} is a (linear) subspace T of the product space $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$. If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$, T is said to be a *linear relation in \mathcal{K}* . A linear relation is *closed* if it is a closed subspace. We will use the following concepts and notation for two linear relations, T and S from \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{K} and a linear relation U from \mathcal{K} into \mathcal{M} .

$$D(T) := \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid \{f, g\} \in T \text{ for some } g \in \mathcal{K}\},$$

$$R(T) := \{g \in \mathcal{K} \mid \{f, g\} \in T \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

$$\ker T := \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid \{f, 0\} \in T\},$$

$$T(0) := \{g \in \mathcal{K} \mid \{0, g\} \in T\},$$

$$T(f) := \{g \in \mathcal{K} \mid \{f, g\} \in T\}, \quad (f \in D(T)),$$

$$T^{-1} := \{\{g, f\} \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H} \mid \{f, g\} \in T\},$$

$$zT := \{\{f, zg\} \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K} \mid \{f, g\} \in T\}, \quad (z \in C),$$

$$S + T := \{\{f, g + k\} \mid \{f, g\} \in S, \{f, k\} \in T\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S\hat{+}T &:= \{\{f+h, g+k\} \mid \{f, g\} \in S, \{h, k\} \in T\}, \\
F\hat{+}G &:= \{f+g \mid f \in F \subseteq \mathcal{K}, g \in G \subseteq \mathcal{K}\}, \\
S\dot{+}T &:= \{\{f+h, g+k\} \mid \{f, g\} \in S, \{h, k\} \in T, S \cap T = \{0\}\} \\
UT &:= \{\{f, k\} \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{M} \mid \{f, g\} \in T, \{g, k\} \in U \text{ for some } g \in \mathcal{K}\}, \\
T^+ &:= \{\{k, h\} \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H} \mid [k, g] = (h, f) \text{ for all } \{f, g\} \in T\}, \\
T_\infty &:= \{\{0, g\} \in T\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $T(0) = \{0\}$, we say that T is *single-valued* linear relation, i.e. *operator*. The sets of closed linear relations, closed operators, and bounded operators in \mathcal{K} are denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{K})$, $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$, respectively. Let A be a linear relation in \mathcal{K} . We say that A is *symmetric (self-adjoint)* if it satisfies $A \subseteq A^+$ ($A = A^+$). Every point $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $\{f, \alpha f\} \in A$, with some $f \neq 0$, is called a *finite eigenvalue*, denoted by $\alpha \in \sigma_p(A)$. The corresponding vectors are *eigenvectors belonging to the eigenvalue* α . If for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ the relation $(A - z)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator defined on the entire \mathcal{K} , then z belongs to the *resolvent set* $\rho(A)$. If operator $(A - z)^{-1}$ is bounded, not necessarily defined on the entire \mathcal{K} , then z is a point of regular type of A , symbolically, $z \in \hat{\rho}(A)$.

Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and let $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$ denote a *Krein space*. That is a complex vector space on which a scalar product, i.e. a Hermitian sesquilinear form $[., .]$, is defined such that the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_+ \dot{+} \mathcal{K}_-$$

of \mathcal{K} exists, where $(\mathcal{K}_+, [., .])$ and $(\mathcal{K}_-, -[., .])$ are Hilbert spaces which are mutually orthogonal with respect to the form $[., .]$. Every Krein space $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$ is *associated* with a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{K}, (., .))$, which is defined as a direct and orthogonal sum of the Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{K}_+, [., .])$ and $(\mathcal{K}_-, -[., .])$. The topology in a Krein space \mathcal{K} is the topology of the associated Hilbert space $(\mathcal{K}, (., .))$. For properties of Krein spaces see e.g. [4, Chapter V].

If the scalar product $[., .]$ has $\kappa (< \infty)$ negative squares, then we call it a *Pontryagin space* of the index κ . The definition of the Pontryagin space and other concepts related to it can be found e.g. in [12].

1.2. Recall that an operator valued function $Q : \mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to the *generalized Nevanlinna class* $N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ if it is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, such that $Q(z)^* = Q(\bar{z})$, for all points z of holomorphy of Q , and the kernel $N_Q(z, w) := \frac{Q(z) - Q(w)^*}{z - \bar{w}}$ has κ negative squares. A generalized Nevanlinna function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is called *regular* if there exists at least one point $w_0 \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ such that the operator $Q(w_0)$ is boundedly invertible.

We will need the following representation of generalized Nevanlinna functions.

Theorem 1.1 *A function $Q : \mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a generalized Nevanlinna function of some index κ , denoted by $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, if and only if it has a representation of the form*

$$Q(z) = Q(z_0)^* + (z - z_0)\Gamma_{z_0}^+ \left(I + (z - z_0)(A - z)^{-1} \right) \Gamma_{z_0}, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(Q), \quad (1.1)$$

where, A is a self-adjoint linear relation in some Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$ of index $\tilde{\kappa} \geq \kappa$; $\Gamma_{z_0} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a bounded operator; $z_0 \in \rho(A) \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ is a fixed point of reference. This representation can be chosen to be minimal, that is

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{c.l.s.} \{ \Gamma_z h : z \in \rho(A), h \in \mathcal{H} \} \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\Gamma_z = \left(I + (z - z_0)(A - z)^{-1} \right) \Gamma_{z_0}. \quad (1.3)$$

If realization (1.1) is minimal, then $\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa$. In that case $\mathcal{D}(Q) = \rho(A)$ and the triple $(\mathcal{K}, A, \Gamma_{z_0})$ is uniquely determined (up to isomorphism).

Such operator representations were developed by M. G. Krein and H. Langer, see e.g. [13, 14] and later converted to representations in terms of linear relations (multivalued operators), see e.g. [9, 11].

A significant part of this paper is about class of functions $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ that are holomorphic at ∞ in the Banach space of bounded operators $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Such functions are characterized by the following lemma:

Lemma 1.2 [5, Lemma 3] *A function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is holomorphic at ∞ if and only if $Q(z)$ has representation*

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in \rho(A), \quad (1.4)$$

with bounded operator A . In this case

$$Q'(\infty) := \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zQ(z) = -\Gamma^+ \Gamma, \quad (1.5)$$

where, the limit denotes convergence in the Banach space of bounded operators $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

Recall, see e.g. [5, Proposition 1], that operator Γ used in (1.4) can be expressed as

$$\Gamma = (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma_z, \quad \forall z \in \rho(A). \quad (1.6)$$

In this paper, a point $\alpha \in C$ is called a *generalized pole* of Q if it is an eigenvalue of the representing relation A .

Remark 1.3 [5, Remark 1] *If $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ and α is a finite generalized pole of Q , then it holds*

$$Q(z) = \tilde{Q}(z) + \tilde{H}(z),$$

where $\tilde{Q}(z) = \Gamma^+ (\tilde{A} - z)^{-1} \Gamma \in N_{\kappa_1}(\mathcal{H})$, self-adjoint bounded operator \tilde{A} has the same root manifold at α as the representing relation A of Q in (1.1), and the function $\tilde{H} \in N_{\kappa_2}(\mathcal{H})$ is holomorphic at α . If we set Γ so that $\Gamma^+ \Gamma$ becomes a boundedly invertible operator, then the number of negative squares may change, i.e. $\kappa \leq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$.

The decomposition in Remark 1.3 shows us the important role that representations of the form (1.4) play for every function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$. That justifies our focus on the functions characterized by representation (1.4) in this paper.

1.3. The following statements from [5] will be frequently needed in this paper. We copy them here for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 1.4 [5, Lemma 4] *Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a bounded operator and let $\Gamma^+ : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be its adjoint operator. Assume also that $\Gamma^+ \Gamma$ is a boundedly invertible operator in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$. Then for operator*

$$P := \Gamma (\Gamma^+ \Gamma)^{-1} \Gamma^+ \quad (1.7)$$

the following statements hold:

- (i) P is orthogonal projection in Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$.
- (ii) Scalar product does not degenerate on $P(\mathcal{K}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and therefore it does not degenerate on $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})^{\perp} = \ker \Gamma^+$.
- (iii) $\ker \Gamma^+ = (I - P)\mathcal{K}$.
- (iv) Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} can be decomposed as a direct orthogonal sum of Pontryagin spaces i.e.

$$\mathcal{K} = (I - P)\mathcal{K}[+]P\mathcal{K}. \quad (1.8)$$

Theorem 1.5 [5, Theorem 3] *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$.*

(i) *Q is holomorphic at ∞ and $Q'(\infty)$ is boundedly invertible if and only if*

$$\hat{Q}(z) = \tilde{\Gamma}^+ (\tilde{A} - z)^{-1} \tilde{\Gamma} + \hat{S} + \hat{G}z, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \cap D(\hat{Q}),$$

where $\tilde{A} = (I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}$ is a self-adjoint bounded operator in the Pontryagin space $(I - P)\mathcal{K}$, $\tilde{\Gamma} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (I - P)\mathcal{K}$ is bounded operator, \hat{S} and \hat{G} are self-adjoint bounded operators in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and \hat{G} is boundedly invertible.

(ii) *In that case function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is regular.*

1.4. In what follows, S denotes a closed symmetric relation or operator, not necessarily densely defined in a Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}[\cdot, \cdot])$, and S^+ denotes adjoint linear relation of S in $(\mathcal{K}[\cdot, \cdot])$. For definitions and notation about ordinary boundary triple for linear relation S^+ , see e.g. [3, 7, 8]. We will repeat those definitions here with adjusted notation. For example, operator denoted by Γ_2 in [7] is denoted by Γ_0 in [3, 8] and here, while Γ_1 denotes the same operator in all papers. Elements of S^+ are denoted by \hat{f}, \hat{g}, \dots , where e.g. $\hat{f} := \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \{f, f'\}$. Let us mention that f' is not the first derivative here.

Let

$$\mathcal{R}_z := \mathcal{R}_z(S^+) = \ker(S^+ - z), \quad z \in \hat{\rho}(S),$$

be the *defect subspace* of S . Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_z := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f_z \\ z f_z \end{pmatrix} : f_z \in \mathcal{R}_z \right\}, \quad \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{K}[-]D(S), \quad \hat{\mathcal{R}} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix} : f \in \mathcal{R} \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

Definition 1.6 *A triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space and Γ_0, Γ_1 are bounded operators from S^+ to \mathcal{H} , is called an ordinary boundary triplet for the relation S^+ if the abstract Green's identity*

$$[f', g] - [f, g'] = (\Gamma_1 \hat{f}, \Gamma_0 \hat{g})_{\mathcal{H}} - (\Gamma_0 \hat{f}, \Gamma_1 \hat{g})_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall \hat{f}, \hat{g} \in S^+, \quad (1.10)$$

holds, and the mapping $\tilde{\Gamma} : \hat{f} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ \Gamma_1 \hat{f} \end{pmatrix}$ from S^+ to $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ is surjective.

Note, **notation** $\tilde{\Gamma}$ rather than Γ is used here. That is because notation $\Gamma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is used for operator given by (1.6).

Linear relation

$$F_\Pi := \tilde{\Gamma} \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ \Gamma_1 \hat{f} \end{pmatrix} : \hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{R}} \right\}$$

is called a *forbidden* relation. A linear relation $\theta \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H})$ is Π -*admissible* if $\theta \cap F_\Pi = \{0\}$.

An extension \tilde{S} of S is called proper, if $S \subsetneq \tilde{S} \subseteq S^+$. A set of proper extensions of S is denoted by $Ext S$. Two proper extensions $S_0, S_1 \in Ext S$ are called *disjoint* if $S_0 \cap S_1 = S$, and *transversal* if, additionally, $S_0 \hat{+} S_1 = S^+$, where “ $\hat{+}$ ” denotes a sum of subspaces, not necessarily direct.

Each ordinary boundary triple is naturally associated with two **self-adjoint** extensions of S , defined by $S_i := \ker \Gamma_i$, $i = 0, 1$, i.e. it holds $S_i = S_i^+$, $i = 0, 1$, see [7, p. 4425].

Under above notation, the function

$$\rho(S_0) \ni z \mapsto \gamma(z) = \left\{ \left\{ \Gamma_0 \hat{f}_z, f_z \right\} : \hat{f}_z \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(S^+) \right\}$$

is called the γ -field associated with the boundary triplet $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, and the function

$$\rho(S_0) \ni z \mapsto M(z) = \left\{ \left\{ \Gamma_0 \hat{f}_z, \Gamma_1 \hat{f}_z \right\} : \hat{f}_z \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(S^+) \right\}$$

is called the *Weyl function* associated with the boundary triplet $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, see e.g. [3, 7].

1.5. The following questions rise:

Q1: Given function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ represented by (1.1). Is it possible to find a linear relation S , $\{0\} \subseteq S \subsetneq A$ in the Pontryagin state space \mathcal{K} of Q , and a boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ for S^+ such that Q is the Weyl function corresponding to S nad Π ?

Q2: Is S uniquely determined by Q ?

Q3: What are the relation matrices of S_0 , S_1 and S^+ in terms of S ?

Questions Q1 and Q2 and **some related questions** will be answered in general terms, in Proposition 2.1. Questions Q3 will be answered in Theorem 3.4 for the important special case, when the function Q has boundedly invertible $Q'(\infty)$. We also prove that $A = S_0$ and $\hat{A} = S_1$ are regular extensions of S in this case, where \hat{A} is the representing operator of the invese function $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1}$.

It is usually very difficult to find the representing relation of a function from the class $N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$. Construction used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is abstract and not applicable in concrete situations. Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.4 give us new relationships between linear relations A , \hat{A} , S , S^+ , and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Those relationships enable us to find representing linear relation \hat{A} of $\hat{Q} \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, given the representing relation A of $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$. We are also able to find presentations of S , S^+ . We show how to do that in examples at the end of the paper.

2 Ordinary boundary triple of a given function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$

2.1. Statements of the following proposition are generalizations to linear relations in Pontryagin spaces of the corresponding statements for operators in Hilbert spaces.

Proposition 2.1 .

(a) Let S , $\{0\} \subseteq S \subsetneq A$, be a closed symmetric linear relation (or operator) which is not necessarily densely defined in a Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} of index κ satisfying the minimality condition

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{c.l.s.} \{ \mathcal{R}_z : z \in \rho(A) \}, \quad (2.1)$$

let $A^+ = A$, $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$, let $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be the boundary triple of S^+ that satisfies $A = \ker \Gamma_0$, and let $Q(z)$ be the Weyl function corresponding to A and Π .

(i) Then $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, and $A = \ker \Gamma_0$ is the representing relation of Q in (1.1).

(ii) If \hat{A} denotes linear relation that represents the inverse function $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1} \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, then $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$.

(b) Conversely, let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be a regular function given by minimal representation (1.1), with representing relation A . Then there exists a unique closed symmetric linear relation S , $\{0\} \subseteq S \subsetneq A$ and an ordinary boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, such that it holds:

(i) $A = \ker \Gamma_0$, and $Q(z)$ is the Weyl function that corresponds to $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$.

(ii) $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$, where \hat{A} is representing relation of $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1}$.

(c) In both cases it holds:

- (i) $S = A \cap \hat{A}$,
- (ii) $S^+ = A \hat{+} \hat{A}$

Proof.

(a) (i) Existence of the boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ with $A = \ker \Gamma_0$ has been proven in [7, Proposition 2.2]. Existence of the Weyl function has been proven in [7, Proposition 2.3].

Let us now prove $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$. The relationship between γ -field functions $\gamma_z : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_z, z \in \rho(A)$, and Weyl function has been established by [7, (2.13)]:

$$\frac{Q(z) - Q(w)}{z - w} = \gamma_w^+ \gamma_z, \forall w, z \in \rho(A).$$

It follows, for all $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\left(\frac{Q(z) - Q(w)}{z - w} h, k \right) = (\gamma_w^+ \gamma_z(h), k) = [\gamma_z(h), \gamma_w(k)] = [f, g], f \in \mathcal{R}_z, g \in \mathcal{R}_w.$$

Because $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$ is a Pontryagin space with negative index κ , and because condition (2.1) is satisfied, we conclude $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$.

Let us prove $A = \ker \Gamma_0$. Because $\gamma_z(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{R}_z$, according to assumption (2.1) and formula [7, (2.6)], the minimality condition (1.2) is fulfilled. Then, according to Theorem 1.1, the state space \mathcal{K} , the representing relation, and the γ -field are uniquely determined (up to isomorphism), i.e. $A = \hat{A}$. Recall, $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_0$ in [7, (2.6)]. Hence, the statement (a)(i) follows.

(a)(ii) Here, we assume existence of $-Q^{-1} \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. we assume regularity of Q . Then, according to [17, Proposition 2.1], the inverse \hat{Q} admits representation

$$\hat{Q}(z) = \hat{Q}(\bar{z}_0) + (z - \bar{z}_0) \hat{\Gamma}_{z_0}^+ \left(I + (z - z_0) (\hat{A} - z)^{-1} \right) \hat{\Gamma}_{z_0}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $z_0 \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A})$ is an arbitrarily selected point of reference,

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{z_0} := -\Gamma_{z_0} Q(z_0)^{-1}, \quad (2.3)$$

and it holds

$$(\hat{A} - z)^{-1} = (A - z)^{-1} - \Gamma_z Q(z)^{-1} \Gamma_z^+, \forall z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}). \quad (2.4)$$

Note, this is a special case of the Krein formula. According to [7, (2.3)], there exists a bijective correspondence between proper extensions $\tilde{S} \in \text{Ext } S$ and closed subspaces θ in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$S_\theta \in \text{Ext } S \Leftrightarrow \theta := \tilde{\Gamma} S_\theta = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ \Gamma_1 \hat{f} \end{array} \right) \mid \hat{f} \in S_\theta \right\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (2.5)$$

Then the Krein formula

$$(S_\theta - z)^{-1} = (A - z)^{-1} + \Gamma_z (\theta - Q(z))^{-1} \Gamma_z^+ \quad (2.6)$$

holds. Let us set $S_\theta := \hat{A}$, where \hat{A} is linear relation that represents the inverse function \hat{Q} in representation (2.2). Then according to (2.4), the pair: $\hat{A}, \theta = 0$, satisfies (2.6). Because the correspondence defined by (2.5) is bijection it follows

$$\theta = \tilde{\Gamma} \hat{A} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \mid \hat{f} \in \hat{A} \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1 =: S_1$. This proves (a)(ii).

(b)(i) The assumption is that $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is a regular function with representing relation A in minimal representation (1.1). That includes that (1.2) and (1.3) hold, $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$, and there exist the inverse \hat{Q} represented by (2.2). We define closed symmetric relation S by:

$$S := A \cap \hat{A}$$

Because representations (1.1) and (2.2) are uniquely determined up to isomorphism, linear relation S is uniquely determined too. This also means that the self-adjoint relation A is an extension of S . We can apply [7, Proposition 2.2 (2)]. Therefore, there exists a boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ such that $A = \ker \Gamma_0$.

Let $M(z)$ be the Weyl function of S corresponding to boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$. According to [7, (2.6)], γ -field γ_z corresponding to $M(z)$ coincide with γ -field Γ_z of Q given by (1.3) (because they are both represented by the same formula, and the same relation A). Furthermore, both functions Q and M are represented by identical formulas (1.1) and [7, (2.13)] by means of their respective γ -fields Γ_z and γ_z . That means $M = Q$. Hence, the given generalized Nevanlinna function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is the Weyl function corresponding to $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$. This proves (b)(i).

(b)(ii) Because all conditions of part (a) of this proposition are satisfied, according to (a) (ii), it holds $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$. This proves (b)(ii).

(c) According to [7, (2.4)] extension $\hat{A} = S_1$ corresponds to operator $B = 0$. Because operator B is bounded, according to [7, Proposition 2.1 (2)] relation $\hat{A} = S_1$ is transversal with relation $A = S_0$. Therefore both claims $S := A \cap \hat{A}$ and $S^+ = A \hat{+} \hat{A}$ hold. \square

Note, because we deal with linear relation rather than with operator S , statements (a)(i) and (b)(i) of Proposition 2.1 are generalization of the corresponding statements for operator S , see [7, Remark 2.2].

Because we assume $Q \in N_\kappa$, Proposition 2.1 (b)(i) is generalization of [16, Theorem 2.2], which applies to functions that satisfy condition: $ImQ(z) \gg 0$ if $z > 0$.

The following statements can be seen now as consequences of Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.2 *Let a regular function $Q(z)$ be the Weyl function associated with a symmetric linear relation S in the Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} , and let γ_z be the γ -field associated with S_0 . Then the gamma field associated with S_1 is given by*

$$\gamma_{1z} = -\gamma_z \left(Q(z)^{-1} \right), \quad (2.8)$$

and the resolvent of S_1 is given by

$$(S_1 - z)^{-1} = (S_0 - z)^{-1} + \gamma_{1z} \gamma_z^+, \quad z \in \rho(S_0) \cap \rho(S_1).$$

Proof. Because $z_0 \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A})$ was selected arbitrarily in (2.3), expression (2.8) follows from (2.3) for $z_0 = z$. The claim about resolvents follows when we substitute $S_1 = \hat{A}$ and $S_0 = A$ into formula (2.4), and then apply (2.8). \square

A special case of Krien formula, see (2.4), gives us a relationship between resolvents of the representing relations A and \hat{A} of the regular functions Q and $\hat{Q} = -Q^{-1}$, respectively. Now we are able to establish a very simple direct relationship between A and \hat{A} by means of the connections of A and \hat{A} with boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ described in Proposition 2.1. The relationship between A and \hat{A} will be proven in Corollary 2.4. Note that a direct relationship between A and \hat{A} has been proven in [15, Theorem 3.4], but for a special case of the function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$.

Recall, for a linear relation T we use notation

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(T) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t \\ zt \end{pmatrix} \in T \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.3 *Let A and B be linear relations in a Krein space K that satisfy conditions*

- (i) $S := A \cap B \neq \emptyset$,
- (ii) $\bar{z} \in \hat{\rho}(S) \Rightarrow \ker(S^+ - z) \neq \{0\}$.
- (iii) $\rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \neq \emptyset$.

Then the following representation hold

$$A = B \dot{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(A \dot{+} B), \quad \forall z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof: Let us use the notation

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} : f \in D(A) \right\}, \quad B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} : g \in D(B) \right\}.$$

Then for every $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B)$ and for every $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} \in A$ we have $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' - zf \end{pmatrix} \in A - z$.

Because $z \in \rho(B)$, there exists $\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} \in B$ such that for vector $g' - zg$ it holds

$$f' - zf = g' - zg \Rightarrow f' - g' = z(f - g). \quad (2.10)$$

According to (2.10) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f - g \\ f' - g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f - g \\ z(f - g) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} f - g \\ z(f - g) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.11)$$

Conversely, for every $\begin{pmatrix} f - g \\ f' - g' \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(A \dot{+} B)$, identity (2.11) holds.

The sum (2.9) is direct because $0 \neq \begin{pmatrix} t \\ zt \end{pmatrix} \in B \cap \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(A \dot{+} B) \Rightarrow z \in \sigma_p(B)$, which is in contradiction with assumption (iii). \square

Corollary 2.4 *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(H)$ be a regular function and let A and \hat{A} be the representing relations of Q and $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1}$, respectively. Then identity*

$$A = \hat{A} \dot{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(\hat{A} \dot{+} A), \quad \forall z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}),$$

holds, where

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(\hat{A} \dot{+} A) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(S^+). \quad (2.12)$$

Proof: The regularity of Q implies $D(Q) \cap D(\hat{Q}) \neq \emptyset$. It follows $\rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, all relations of the previous lemma can be applied here when we substitute \hat{A} for B .

Inclusion (2.12) follows from $A \subseteq S^+$, $\hat{A} \subseteq S^+$, and (2.10). \square

3 Relation matrix of the function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ with boundedly invertible $Q'(\infty)$

3.1. In the next theorem we will deal with the *matrix of a linear relation*. Let

$$\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_1 [+] \mathcal{K}_2$$

be a Pontryagin space with nontrivial Pontryagin subspaces \mathcal{K}_l , $l = 1, 2$, and let $E_l : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_l$, $l = 1, 2$ be orthogonal projections. Let T be a linear relation in $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 [+] \mathcal{K}_2$. If for any projection E_i , $i = 1, 2$, it holds $E_i(D(T)) \subseteq D(T)$, then the following four linear relations can be defined

$$T_i^j := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k_i \\ k_i^j \end{pmatrix} : k_i \in D(T) \cap \mathcal{K}_i, k_i^j \in E_j T(k_i) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_i \times \mathcal{K}_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

In this notation the subscript “ i ” is associated with the domain subspace \mathcal{K}_i , the superscript “ j ” is associated with the range subspace \mathcal{K}_j . For example $\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \in T_1^2$. We will use “[$*$]” to denote adjoint relations of T_i^j . Therefore

$$T_1^2 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{K}_2 \Rightarrow T_1^{2[*]} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_2 \times \mathcal{K}_1.$$

Hence, to linear relation T and decomposition $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_1 [+] \mathcal{K}_2$, we can assign the following *relation matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_1^1 & T_2^1 \\ T_1^2 & T_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In that case it holds

$$T = (T_1^1 + T_1^2) \hat{+} (T_2^1 + T_2^2),$$

where “ $+$ ” stands for operator-like addition, and “ $\hat{+}$ ” stands for the sum of subspaces which may or may not be direct, see [6, Lemma 2.3 (ii)].

Lemma 3.1 . Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be given by (1.4)

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(Q) := \rho(A),$$

with bounded operator A and boundedly invertible $\Gamma^+ \Gamma$. Let us define linear relation

$$B := A|_{(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \hat{+} (\{0\} \times P(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq (I-P)\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}, \quad (3.1)$$

where projection P is defined by (1.7). Then

$$z \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \cap \mathcal{D}(\hat{Q}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{K} \subseteq (B - z)(I - P)\mathcal{K}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$z \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \cap \mathcal{D}(\hat{Q}) \Rightarrow z \in \rho(B).$$

Proof. Assume $z \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \cap \mathcal{D}(\hat{Q})$. Then, according to (2.2) and Theorem 1.5, $z \in \rho(\hat{A})$ if and only if $z \in \rho(\hat{A}) = \rho((I - P)A|_{(I-P)\mathcal{K}})$. Therefore, for any

$$f = (I - P)f + Pf \in \mathcal{K}$$

there exists $g \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$, such that

$$(I - P)f = \left((I - P)A|_{(I-P)\mathcal{K}} - z(I - P) \right) g.$$

In addition, there obviously exists $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that it holds:

$$\Gamma h = Pf - PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) \Rightarrow Pf = PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) + \Gamma h.$$

We will also use the identity $(I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} + PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}$

Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (I - P)f + Pf \\ &= \left((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} - z(I - P) \right) g + PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) + \Gamma h \\ &= (A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} - z(I - P))g + \Gamma h \in (B - (I - P)z)g \in (B - z)(I - P)\mathcal{K}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.2).

Let us prove that for $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A})$ and $f \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$ it holds

$$(B - z)f = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0.$$

Indeed, we already mentioned that $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}) \Rightarrow z \in \rho((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}})$. Now we have for $f \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (B - z)f = (A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \hat{+} (\{0\} \times R(\Gamma)) - z) f \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow ((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} - z) f = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $z \in \rho((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}})$, it follows $f = 0$. This further means that $(B - z)^{-1}$ is an operator. Because of (3.2) it is a bounded operator, which proves $z \in \rho(B)$. \square

Now we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be given by (1.4)*

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+(A - z)^{-1}\Gamma, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(Q),$$

with bounded operator A and boundedly invertible $\Gamma^+\Gamma$. Then the representing relation \hat{A} , of $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1}$, satisfies

$$\hat{A} = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \hat{+} \hat{A}_\infty, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\hat{A}_\infty := (\{0\} \times P(\mathcal{K})) = \hat{\mathcal{R}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Because $\Gamma^+\Gamma$ is boundedly invertible, according to Lemma 1.4 scalar product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ does not degenerate on the subspace $P(\mathcal{K}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, where P denotes orthogonal projection defined by (1.7). According to Theorem 1.5, there exists $\hat{Q}(z) := -Q(z)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \cap \mathcal{D}(\hat{Q})$. Let \hat{Q} be represented by self-adjoint linear relation \hat{A} in representation (2.2). Then \hat{A} satisfies (2.4).

Let us now observe linear relation B given by (2.9), and let us find resolvent of B , denoted by $Res(z)$, which **exists** according to Lemma 3.1. Let us select a point $z \in \rho(B)$ and a vector

$$f \in \mathcal{K} = (B - zI)(I - P)\mathcal{K}$$

and let us find

$$Res(z)f := (B - z)^{-1}f.$$

According to Lemma 3.2 there exists an element $g := Res(z)f \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$. Since $R(\Gamma)^{\perp} = \ker \Gamma^+$ it holds

$$\{g, f + zg\} \in A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \hat{+} (\{0\} \times R(\Gamma)).$$

This means that for some $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ it holds

$$f + zg = Ag + \Gamma h_0.$$

Then for $h := -h_0$ we have

$$Ag - zg = f + \Gamma h.$$

Hence,

$$g = (A - z)^{-1} f + (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma h.$$

$$0 = \Gamma^+ g = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} f + \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma h = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} f + Q(z) h.$$

According to (1.6) it holds

$$\Gamma_{\bar{z}}^+ f = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} f.$$

Therefore,

$$h = -Q(z)^{-1} \Gamma_{\bar{z}}^+ f.$$

This and (1.6) gives

$$\text{Res}(z) f = g = (A - z)^{-1} f - \Gamma_z Q(z)^{-1} \Gamma_{\bar{z}}^+ f,$$

which proves that formula (2.4) holds for linear relation $B \subseteq (I - \mathcal{K}) \times \mathcal{K}$ defined by (3.1). Therefore, $(B - z)^{-1} = (\hat{A} - z)^{-1}$, and

$$\hat{A} = B = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \hat{\dagger} (\{0\} \times R(P)). \quad (3.5)$$

According to definition (3.4) of \hat{A}_∞ , formula (3.3) holds for \hat{A} . \square

Remark 3.3 Identity (3.3) corresponds to the identity [10, (3.5)] which was proven for a scalar function $q(z)$. The proof of (3.3) is almost the repetition of the proof of [10, (3.5)], except that in the proof of (3.3) we use Lemma 3.1.

Let us also note that (3.4) was earlier proven in [5, Proposition 5].

The following theorem answers question Q3 for an important case.

Theorem 3.4 Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be given by (1.4)

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(Q),$$

with bounded operator A and boundedly invertible $\Gamma^+ \Gamma$. Then:

- (i) There exists a closed symmetric relation S in the Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} and boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ such that Q is the Weyl function associated to Π .
- (ii) Linear relation S is a bounded **operator** which is **not** densely defined in the Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}[\cdot, \cdot])$.
- (iii) $S = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} = \begin{pmatrix} (I-P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} & 0 \\ PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
- (iv) $\hat{A} = S \hat{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $A = S \hat{\dagger} A_{|P(\mathcal{K})}$.
- (v) $\hat{A} = \tilde{A} \hat{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{A}_\infty \end{pmatrix}$, where $\tilde{A} := (I - P) A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}$.
- (vi) $S^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & (I-P)A_{|P\mathcal{K}} \\ PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} & PA_{|P\mathcal{K}} \hat{\dagger} \hat{A}_\infty \end{pmatrix} = A \hat{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{R}} = S \hat{\dagger} A_{|P(\mathcal{K})} \hat{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{R}}$.

(vii) $F_{\Pi} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \mid \hat{f} \in \hat{A} \right\} = \theta$. $\hat{\theta} := \tilde{\Gamma} \hat{A}$ is not Π -admissible, $\theta := \tilde{\Gamma} A$ is admissible relation. $F_{\Pi} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ is closed relation, and both $R(F_{\Pi})$ and $D(F_{\Pi})$ are closed subsets of \mathcal{H} .

Proof.

(i) According to Theorem 1.5 (ii) function Q is regular. Then according to Proposition 2.1 (b), there exists a closed symmetric relation S in the Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} and boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ such that Q is the Weyl function corresponding to Π .

(ii) Recall, $A = S_0$. According to Proposition 2.1 (c), $S \subsetneq S_0 = A \subsetneq S^+$. Hence, S must be bounded **operator**. This proves the first claim of (ii). The second claim of (ii) will follow from (vi), where we will see that S^+ is multivalued relation.

(iii) Let us again observe decomposition (1.8):

$$\mathcal{K}_1 [+] \mathcal{K}_2 := (I - P) \mathcal{K} [+] P \mathcal{K}$$

Relation matrix of the **operator** $S_0 = A$, with respect to this decomposition, is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} (I - P) A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} & (I - P) A_{|P\mathcal{K}} \\ P A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} & P A_{|P\mathcal{K}} \end{pmatrix} = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \hat{+} A_{|P\mathcal{K}}. \quad (3.6)$$

We will now compare (3.3) with (3.6). Because $A_{|P\mathcal{K}} \cap A_{\infty} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, it follows

$$A \cap \hat{A} = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}.$$

According to Proposition 2.1 (c) (i) we get $S = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}$. This proves (iii).

(iv) Statements (iv) follow when we apply (iii) on (3.3) and (3.6), respectively.

(v) According to (3.3), the condition $(I - P)D(\hat{A}) \subseteq D(\hat{A})$ is satisfied. Hence, there exists relation matrix of $\hat{A} = S_1$. Let that relation matrix be

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}_1^1 & \hat{A}_2^1 \\ \hat{A}_1^2 & \hat{A}_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{A}_i^j \subseteq \mathcal{K}_i \times \mathcal{K}_j$, $i, j = 1, 2$. According to Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\hat{A}(0) = R(P) = \mathcal{K}_2. \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore, $\hat{A}(0)$ is non-degenerate, i.e. it is ortho-complemented subspace of \mathcal{K} . Then, according to [18, Theorem 2.4] it holds

$$\hat{A} = \hat{A}_s [\dot{+}] \hat{A}_{\infty}, \quad (3.8)$$

where \hat{A}_s is a self-adjoint densely defined operator in $\hat{A}(0)^{[\perp]} = (I - P)\mathcal{K}$, $R(\hat{A}_s) \subseteq (I - P)\mathcal{K}$, and $[\dot{+}]$ denotes direct sum with orthogonal corresponding components of linear relations.

For $g \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$, from (3.3) and (3.8) it follows

$$\left((I - P) A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) [\dot{+}] P A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) \right) + P(k_0) = A_s(g) [\dot{+}] P(k_1)$$

for some $k_0, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}$. Obviously, there exists $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that it holds:

$$P(k) := P A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) + P(k_0).$$

It follows

$$(I - P) A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) [\dot{+}] P(k) = A_s(g) [\dot{+}] P(k_1), \quad \forall g \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}.$$

Because this sum is direct and orthogonal, it follows $P(k) = P(k_1)$ and more importantly

$$A_s(g) = (I - P) A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g). \quad (3.9)$$

From $\hat{A}_\infty = \hat{A}_\infty^{[+]}$ and $\hat{A}_\infty \subseteq P(\mathcal{K}) \times P(\mathcal{K})$ we conclude that the relation matrix of \hat{A} is

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{A}_\infty \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{A}[+] \hat{A}_\infty. \quad (3.10)$$

with self-adjoint components \tilde{A} and \hat{A}_∞ . This completes the proof of (v).

(vi) According to Proposition 2.1 (c)(ii), $S^+ = A \hat{+} \hat{A}$. This means that for every $f = f_{I-P} + f_P \in D(A)$ and for every $g = g_{I-P} \in D(\hat{A})$ there exist $s \in D(S^+) = D(A)$ such that it holds $S^+(s) = A(f) + \hat{A}(g)$. Indeed, if we define $s := f_{I-P} + g_{I-P} + f_P$ and rewrite this identity in the matrix form, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S^+(s) &= A(f) + \hat{A}(g) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}(f_{I-P}) & (I - P) A_{|P\mathcal{K}}(f_P) \\ P A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(f_{I-P}) & P A_{|P\mathcal{K}}(f_P) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}(g_{I-P}) & 0 \\ P A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g_{I-P}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{+} R(\hat{A}_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$S^+(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}(f_{I-P} + g_{I-P}) & (I - P) A_{|P\mathcal{K}}(f_P) \\ P A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(f_{I-P} + g_{I-P}) & P A_{|P\mathcal{K}}(f_P) \end{pmatrix} \hat{+} \begin{pmatrix} 0_{I-P} \\ P(\mathcal{K}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, $S^+ = A \hat{+} \hat{A} \subseteq A \hat{+} \hat{A}_\infty$.

The converse inclusion follows from (3.11) and the fact that every $s \in \mathcal{K}$ can be decomposed as $s = f_{I-P} + g_{I-P} + f_P$.

Because of $\hat{A}_\infty \subseteq S^+$, S^+ is a multivalued relation. Therefore, linear relation S cannot be densely defined in \mathcal{K} , which proves the second claim in (ii).

(vii) From $\hat{A}_\infty = \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ it follows by definition that $\tilde{\Gamma} \hat{A}_\infty$ is a forbidden relation. On the other hand, according to (2.7) we know

$$\hat{\theta} = \tilde{\Gamma} \hat{A} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \mid \hat{f} \in \hat{A} \right\}.$$

According to Proposition 2.1 (c)(i), $S \subseteq \ker \tilde{\Gamma}$. Now, according to first claim of (iv) we have

$$\hat{\theta} = \tilde{\Gamma} \hat{A} = \tilde{\Gamma} (S \hat{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}) = \tilde{\Gamma} (\hat{\mathcal{R}}) = F_\Pi.$$

Hence, $\hat{\theta} = F_\Pi$ is not admissible.

According to second claim of (iv) and $A_{|P\mathcal{K}} \cap A_\infty = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}$, $\theta := \tilde{\Gamma} A$ is admissible relation.

According to [7, (2.3)], $\hat{\theta} = \tilde{\Gamma} \hat{A}$ is closed relation. Therefore F_Π is closed. The remaining claims of (vii) are now obvious. \square

Recall that an extension $\tilde{S} \in \text{Ext } S$ is *regular* if $\tilde{S} \hat{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is a closed linear relation in $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$, see [7, Definition 3.1].

Corollary 3.5 *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.4. Then:*

- (i) \hat{A} is regular extensions of S .
- (ii) A is regular extensions of S .

Proof.

(i) From $\hat{A} = S \hat{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \hat{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ it follow $\hat{A} \hat{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{A}$. Hence, $\hat{A} \hat{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is closed. By definition \hat{A} is regular extension of S .

(iii) According Theorem 3.4 (vi) $S^+ = A \hat{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Therefore, A is regular, because S^+ is closed. \square

4 Examples

4.1. At the end we will give some examples involving self-adjoint operators in a Pontryagin space to illustrate how Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.4 can be used in concrete situations.

Let us start with the differential expression $l(f) := -\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}$, $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$. Usually in literature D^+ denotes the class of all functions $g(x) \in L^2(0, \infty)$ for which $g(x)$, $g'(x)$ are absolutely continuous and $g''(x) \in L^2(0, \infty)$. In that case we will also write $g \in W^{2,2}(R^+)$. By the same token we define, $D^- = W^{2,2}(R^-)$ i.e. $g \in W^{2,2}(R^-)$ if and only if $g(x) \in L^2(-\infty, 0)$, $g(x)$ and $g'(x)$ are absolutely continuous, and $g''(x) \in L^2(-\infty, 0)$.

Let us study a singular Sturm-Liouville operator with the Krein space

$$\mathcal{K} = L^2(R, \text{sgn}) := (L^2(R), [., .]),$$

where $[., .]$ is defined by

$$[f, g] := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \bar{g}(x) \text{sgn } x \, dx; \quad f, g \in L^2(R). \quad (4.1)$$

Denote by J the fundamental symmetry defined by $(Jf)(x) := (\text{sgn } x) f(x)$, $x \in R$. Then $(., .) := [J., .]$ is the definite scalar product of $L^2(R)$. In the sequel, the elements $f \in L^2(R)$ will be identified with the elements

$$f = \langle f_+, f_- \rangle \in W^{2,2}(R^+) \times W^{2,2}(R^-) =: W^{2,2}(R), \quad f_{\mp} := f|_{R^{\mp}},$$

where $R^- := (-\infty, 0)$, $R^+ := (0, \infty)$.

If we extend f_+ and f_- by

$$f_+(x) := 0, \quad x < 0 \quad \wedge \quad f_-(x) := 0, \quad x > 0,$$

then we can also write

$$f = f_+[+]f_-,$$

where $[+]$ denotes addition of orthogonal vectors in \mathcal{K} with respect to indefinite product $[., .]$. By means of the differential expression l , operator S is introduced:

$$(Sf)(x) := -(\text{sgn } x) f''(x), \quad D(S) := \left\{ f \in W^{2,2}(R) \mid f(0) = f'(0) \right\}. \quad (4.2)$$

It is a densely defined closed symmetric operator of defect two in the Krein space $\mathcal{K} = (L^2(R), [., .])$. The adjoint operator S^+ is given by

$$S^+(f_+ + f_-) = -f_+'' + f_-'', \quad D(S^+) := \{f \in W^{2,2}(R)\}, \quad (4.3)$$

and the minimality condition $L^2(R, \text{sgn}) = \text{c.l.s.} \{ \ker(S^+ - z) \mid z \in C \setminus R \}$ is satisfied. For all above claims see [2, Lemma 5.9].

For the boundary triple $\Pi = (C^2, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ we take

$$\Gamma_0 \hat{f} := f(0), \quad \Gamma_1 \hat{f} := f'(0), \quad \left(\hat{f} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} \in S^+ \right), \quad (4.4)$$

where $f(0) := f_+(0+) = f_-(0-) \wedge f'(0) := f_+'(0+) = f_-'(0-)$ provided that those equations are satisfied. (Note, f' does not denote the first derivative.)

Example 4.1 Find the Weyl function $Q(z)$ that corresponds to the boundary triple (4.4), and show that Proposition 2.1 (a)(i) holds for $Q(z)$.

We first consider the following problem: find $z \in C$ for which there exists a nontrivial element $f = \langle f_+, f_- \rangle \in W^{2,2}(R^+) \times W^{2,2}(R^-)$ such that

$$-(\operatorname{sgn} x) f''(x) = z f(x), \quad x \in R^+ \cup R^-. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that the equation (4.5) is equivalent to the two equations

$$-f''(x) = z f(x), \quad x \in R^+ \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$f''(x) = z f(x), \quad x \in R^-. \quad (4.7)$$

The fundamental system $u_+(x), v_+(x)$ of solutions of (4.6) that satisfy boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_+(0+, z) &= 1, \quad u'_+(0+, z) = 0, \\ v_+(0+, z) &= 0, \quad v'_+(0+, z) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

is given by:

$$u_+(x, z) = \cos(\sqrt{z}x), \quad v_+(x, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \sin(\sqrt{z}x), \quad z \in C \setminus R,$$

where we choose $(-\infty, 0]$ as the branch cut for the square root function. Note that solutions u_+ and v_+ do not belong to $L^2(0, \infty)$. Then the Weyl solution is given by

$$f_{z,+}(x) = \cos(\sqrt{z}x) + Q_+(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \sin(\sqrt{z}x),$$

where the Weyl function $Q_+(z)$ is such that it holds $f_{z,+} \in L^2(0, \infty)$. This determines Weyl function:

$$Q_+(z) = i\sqrt{z}, \quad z \in C^+,$$

and it holds

$$f_{z,+}(x) = e^{i\sqrt{z}x} \in L^2(0, \infty), \quad z \in C^+.$$

Similarly, we have

$$Q_+(z) = -i\sqrt{z}, \quad z \in C^-.$$

Hence,

$$Q_+(z) = -\sqrt{-z}, \quad f_{z,+}(x) = e^{-\sqrt{-z}x}, \quad z \in C \setminus R.$$

Similarly, the fundamental system $u_-(x), v_-(x)$ of solutions of (4.7) that satisfy boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_-(0-, z) &= 1, \quad u'_-(0-, z) = 0, \\ v_-(0-, z) &= 0, \quad v'_-(0-, z) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

is given by:

$$u_-(x, z) = \cos(i\sqrt{z}x), \quad v_-(x, z) = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{z}} \sin(i\sqrt{z}x), \quad z \in C \setminus R,$$

where we choose $(-\infty, 0]$ as the branch cut for the square root function. Then the Weyl solution is

$$f_{z,-}(x) = \cos(i\sqrt{z}x) + Q_-(z) \frac{1}{i\sqrt{z}} \sin(i\sqrt{z}x),$$

where the Weyl function $Q_-(z)$ is determined so that it holds $f_{z,-} \in L^2(-\infty, 0)$. Hence,

$$Q_-(z) = -\sqrt{z}, \quad f_{z,-}(x) = e^{-\sqrt{z}x}, \quad z \in C \setminus R.$$

This means

$$Q(z) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{z} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in C \setminus R.$$

It is easy to see

$$\frac{-\sqrt{-z} + \sqrt{-\bar{z}}}{z - \bar{z}} = \frac{Im(-\sqrt{-z})}{Im(z)} > 0, \quad \forall z \in C \setminus R,$$

and

$$\frac{-\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{\bar{z}}}{z - \bar{z}} = \frac{Im(-\sqrt{z})}{Im(z)} < 0, \quad \forall z \in C \setminus R.$$

This means $Q \in N_1^{2 \times 2}$, i.e. the function $Q(z)$ satisfies claim (a)(i) of Proposition 2.1. \square

In the following example, we will apply properties related to a boundary triple Π to easily obtain some information about generalized Nevanlinna function $q(z)$.

Example 4.2 (a) Find representing operator of the generalized Nevanlinna function

$$q(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-z}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in C \setminus R.$$

(b) Find analytic expression of γ -filed corresponding to the representing operator.

In order to find representing operator of q , we will observe that $q(z) = -Q^{-1}(z)$. Then according to Proposition 2.1 (a) (ii) the representing operator of $q = -Q^{-1}$ is

$$\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1,$$

i.e.

$$(\hat{A}f)(x) = -(sgn x) f''(x), \quad D(\hat{A}) = \left\{ f \in W^{2,2}(R) \mid f'(0) = 0 \right\}.$$

We will find γ -filed γ_{1z} corresponding to \hat{A} by means of Corollary 2.2, i.e. by means of the formula (2.8)

$$\gamma_{1z}(h) = -\gamma_z(Q^{-1}(z)(h)), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In this example $\mathcal{H} = C^2$. According to definition of γ_z , for every $z \in C \setminus R$ and for every $\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \in C^2$, it holds:

$$\gamma_z : \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} c_1 f_{z,+} \\ c_2 f_{z,-} \end{pmatrix} = c_1 f_{z,+} [+] c_2 f_{z,-} = \begin{cases} c_1 e^{-\sqrt{-z}(\cdot)}, & \text{domain} = R^+, \\ c_2 e^{-\sqrt{z}(\cdot)}, & \text{domain} = R^-. \end{cases}$$

Now we can find analytic expression of γ_{1z} :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{1z} \left(\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) (x) &= -\gamma_z \left(Q^{-1}(z) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) (x) = \gamma_z \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-z}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) (x) \\ &= \gamma_z \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{-z}} \\ \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{z}} \end{pmatrix} \right) (x) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{-z}} e^{-\sqrt{-z}x}, & x \in R^+, \\ \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{z}} e^{-\sqrt{z}x}, & x \in R^-. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

\square

In the following example we show how to use Theorem 3.4 to find ordinary boundary triple Π corresponding to a given functions $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$.

Example 4.3 Given function $Q(z) := -\frac{1}{z}$, $Q \in N_0(C)$. Find the corresponding triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$.

This function is holomorphic at ∞ and

$$Q'(\infty) := zQ(z) = -I_C$$

is boundedly invertible operator, i.e. the conditions of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied. According to Lemma 1.2, the minimal representation of Q is of the form

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in D(Q),$$

where A is a bounded operator, and $Q'(\infty) := \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zQ(z) = -\Gamma^+ \Gamma = -I_C = (-1) \in C^{1 \times 1}$.

We know, and it is easy to verify, that in the representation of the function $Q(z) := -\frac{1}{z}$ the minimal state space $\mathcal{K} = C$, representing operator $A = (0) \in C^{1 \times 1}$, resolvent $(A - z)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{z}I_C$, and $\Gamma^+ = \Gamma = (1) \in C^{1 \times 1}$. Then linear relation A can also be presented as $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : f \in C \right\} \subseteq C^2$.

We also know that in the same state space $\mathcal{K} = C$, there exists a linear relation \hat{A} that minimally represents $\hat{Q}(z) = -Q^{-1}(z) = zI_C$.

According to (1.7), here we have the trivial case $P = I_C$. That means $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = (\{0\} \times C) \subseteq C^2$. According to Theorem 3.4 (iii), $S = A|_{(I-P)} = \{0, 0\}$ and according to Theorem 3.4 (iv), $\hat{A} = S[+] \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Hence, $S^+ = A \hat{+} \hat{A} = C^2$.

Now we need to define a reduction operator $\tilde{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : S^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ that will satisfy (1.10) and

$$A = \ker \Gamma_0 \wedge \hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1.$$

Because, $Q \in N_0(C)$, the space $\mathcal{K} = C$ is endowed with the usual definite scalar product. Then the reduction operator that satisfies above condition is defined by

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f' \\ -f \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Example 4.4 *Given function*

$$Q(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-(1+z)}{z^2} & \frac{1}{z} \\ \frac{1}{z} & \frac{1}{1+z} \end{pmatrix} \in N_2(C^2).$$

It is easy to verify that Q satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.4; therefore it has representation of the form (1.4)

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma.$$

We already know, and it can be easily verified, that in representation (1.4), the fundamental symmetry J , and operators A , Γ and Γ^+ are, respectively:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Our task is to find linear relations \hat{A} , and S^+ .

Limit (1.5) gives

$$\Gamma^+ \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, (\Gamma^+ \Gamma)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By means of formula (1.7) we get

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 & 0.125 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & 0.75 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I - P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.25 & -0.125 & -0.25 \\ -0.5 & 0.25 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, according to Theorem 3.4 (v) we have

$$\tilde{A} = (I - P)A(I - P) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.25 & 0.125 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & -0.25 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.25 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix} = -(I - P), \quad D(S) = (I - P)\mathcal{K}.$$

By solving equation $Px = x$ and then using the fact $(I - P)K[\perp]P(K)$ we obtain

$$(I - P)(\mathcal{K}) = l.s. \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}; \quad P(\mathcal{K}) = l.s. \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

According to Theorem 3.4 (iv) we have

$$\hat{A} = \tilde{A}[\dot{+}]\hat{\mathcal{R}} = -I_{I-P}[\dot{+}]\{\{0\} \times P(\mathcal{K})\}. \quad (4.8)$$

Now we easily obtain the following representation of the multivalued linear relation \hat{A} :

$$\hat{A} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\frac{x_1}{4} - \frac{x_2}{8} - \frac{x_3}{4} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_i \in C, i = 1, 2$, are arbitrary constants.

As in the proof of Theorem 3.4 (v), every $s \in \mathcal{K}$ we can decompose as

$$s = f + g_{I-P} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in C.$$

Then via (4.8) we have

$$S^+(s) = A(f) \hat{+} \hat{A}(g_{I-P}) = Af \hat{+} (-g_{I-P}[\dot{+}]P(\mathcal{K})).$$

We know

$$A \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ 0 \\ -f_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we obtain the following representation of S^+

$$S^+(s) = S^+ \begin{pmatrix} f_1 - \alpha \\ f_2 + 2\alpha \\ f_3 + 2\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ 0 \\ -f_3 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

References

- [1] R. Arens, Operational calculus of linear relations, Pacific J. Math., 11 (1961), 9-23.
- [2] J. Behrndt, A. Luger, An analytic characterization of the eigenvalues of self-adjoint extensions, J. Funct. Anal. 242 (2007) 607–640.

- [3] J. Behrndt, S. Hassi, H. de Snoo, Boundary Value Problems, Weyl Functions, and Differential Operators, Open access eBook, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-36714-5>
- [4] J. Bognar, Indefinite Inner Product Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [5] M. Borogovac, Inverse of generalized Nevanlinna function that is holomorphic at Infinity, North-Western European Journal of Mathematics, 6 (2020), 19-43.
- [6] M. Borogovac, Reducibility of self-adjoint linear relations and application to generalized Nevanlinna functions, to appear in Ukr. Math. J., Vol. 74 (2022)
- [7] V. A. Derkach, On generalized resolvents of Hermitian relations in Krein spaces, Journal of Math. Sci, Vol. 97, No. 5, 1999.
- [8] V. A. Derkach, Boundary Triplets, Weyl Functions, and the Krein Formula, In book: Operator Theory, Chapter 10, pp.183-218 (2014); DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0667-1_32
the moment problem, J. Math. Sciences, 73 (1995), 141-242.
- [9] A. Dijksma, H. Langer and H. S. V. de Snoo, Eigenvalues and pole functions of Hamiltonian systems with eigenvalue depending boundary conditions, Math. Nachr. 161 (1993) 107-154.
- [10] S. Hassi, A. Luger, Generalized zeros and poles N_κ -functions: on the underlying spectral structure, Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology Vol. 12 (2006), no. 2, pp. 131-150.
- [11] S. Hassi, H.S.V. de Snoo, and H. Woracek: Some interpolation problems of Nevanlinna-Pick type, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.106 (1998), 201-216.
- [12] I. S. Iohvidov, M. G. Krein, H. Langer, Introduction to the Spectral Theory of Operators in Spaces with an Indefinite Metric, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [13] M. G. Krein and H. Langer, Über die Q -Funktion eines π -hermiteschen Operatos im Raume Π_κ , Acta Sci. Math. 34, 190-230 (1973).
- [14] M. G. Krein and H. Langer, Über einige Fortsetzungsprobleme, die eng mit der Theorie hermitescher Operatoren im Raume Π_κ zusammenhangen, I. Einige Funktionenklassen und ihre Darstellungen, Math. Nachr. 77, 187-236 (1977).
- [15] H. Langer and A. Luger, A class of 2x2-matrix functions, Glasnik Matemacki, 35(55) 149-160, (2000)
- [16] H. Langer and B. Textorius, On generalized resolvents and Q -functions of symmetric linear relations (subspaces) in Hilbert spaces, Pacific Journal of Mat., Vol 72, No. 1, (1997)
- [17] A Luger, A factorization of regular generalized Nevanlinna functions, Inetgr. Equ. Oper. Theory 43 (2002) 326-345.
<http://su.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:784627/FULLTEXT01.pdf> .
- [18] P. Sorjonen, On linear relations in an indefinite inner product space, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennica, Series A. I. Mathematica, Vol.4, 1978/1979, 169-192