

Characterization of Weyl functions in the set of regular generalized Nevanlinna functions $N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$

Muhammed Borogovac,

August 29, 2022

Abstract: Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be a regular function, minimally represented by a self-adjoint linear relation A in the Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, [.,.])$ of negative index κ and let $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1}$ be represented by a linear relation \hat{A} . We give a necessary and sufficient condition for Q to be a Weyl function associated with $S = A \cap \hat{A}$ and A .

We also study a class of functions $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ that have boundedly invertible derivative at infinity $Q'(\infty) := \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zQ(z)$; we give relation matrices of A , \hat{A} and S^+ in terms of S . We prove that every such function Q is a Weyl function associated with $S = A|_{I-P}$ and A .

In examples we show how to apply the main results. For instance, for a given regular function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ with boundedly invertible $Q'(\infty)$ represented by A , we find the symmetric relation S so that Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) . Then we find the corresponding boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$. In another example, we apply main results to find linear relations $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, S, \hat{A}, S^+$ which are associated with a given regular function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ represented by a given operator A .

Key words:

Weyl function; generalized Nevanlinna function; ordinary boundary triple; Pontryagin space

MSC (2020) 34B20 47B50 47A06 47A56

1 Preliminaries and introduction

1.1. The following definitions of a linear relation and basic concepts related to it can be found in [1, 17]. In the sequel C and R are sets of complex and real numbers, respectively, κ denotes a non-negative integer, and $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}$ are inner product spaces.

A *linear relation* from \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{K} is a (linear) subspace T of the product space $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$. If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$, T is said to be a *linear relation in \mathcal{K}* . A linear relation is *closed* if it is a closed subspace.

$$D(T) := \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid \{f, g\} \in T \text{ for some } g \in \mathcal{K}\},$$

$$R(T) := \{g \in \mathcal{K} \mid \{f, g\} \in T \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

$$\ker T := \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid \{f, 0\} \in T\},$$

$$T(0) := \{g \in \mathcal{K} \mid \{0, g\} \in T\},$$

$$T(f) := \{g \in \mathcal{K} \mid \{f, g\} \in T\}, \quad (f \in D(T)),$$

$$T^{-1} := \{\{g, f\} \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H} \mid \{f, g\} \in T\},$$

$$zT := \{\{f, zg\} \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K} \mid \{f, g\} \in T\}, \quad (z \in C),$$

$$S + T := \{\{f, g + k\} \mid \{f, g\} \in S, \{f, k\} \in T\},$$

$$S\hat{+}T := \{\{f + h, g + k\} \mid \{f, g\} \in S, \{h, k\} \in T\},$$

$$S \dot{+} T := \{\{f + h, g + k\} \mid \{f, g\} \in S, \{h, k\} \in T, S \cap T = \{0\}\}$$

$$T^+ := \{\{k, h\} \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H} \mid [k, g] = (h, f) \text{ for all } \{f, g\} \in T\},$$

$$T_\infty := \{\{0, g\} \in T\}.$$

If $T(0) = \{0\}$, we say that T is *single-valued* linear relation, i.e. *operator*. The sets of closed linear relations, closed operators, and bounded operators in \mathcal{K} are denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{K})$, $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$, respectively. Let A be a linear relation in \mathcal{K} . We say that A is *symmetric* (*self-adjoint*) if it satisfies $A \subseteq A^+$ ($A = A^+$). Every point $\alpha \in C$ for which $\{f, \alpha f\} \in A$, with some $f \neq 0$, is called a *finite eigenvalue*, denoted by $\alpha \in \sigma_p(A)$. The corresponding vectors are *eigenvectors belonging to the eigenvalue* α . If for $z \in C$ the relation $(A - z)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator and $\overline{R(A - z)} = \mathcal{K}$, then z belongs to the *resolvent set* $\rho(A)$. If operator $(A - z)^{-1}$ is bounded, included $\overline{R(A - z)} \neq \mathcal{K}$, then z is a point of regular type of A , symbolically $z \in \hat{\rho}(A)$.

Let $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$ denote a *Krein space*. That is a complex vector space on which a scalar product, i.e. a Hermitian sesquilinear form $[., .]$, is defined such that the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_+ \dot{+} \mathcal{K}_-$$

of \mathcal{K} exists, where $(\mathcal{K}_+, [., .])$ and $(\mathcal{K}_-, -[., .])$ are Hilbert spaces which are mutually orthogonal with respect to the form $[., .]$. Every Krein space $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$ is *associated* with a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{K}, (., .))$, which is defined as a direct and orthogonal sum of the Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{K}_+, [., .])$ and $(\mathcal{K}_-, -[., .])$. The topology in a Krein space \mathcal{K} is the topology of the associated Hilbert space $(\mathcal{K}, (., .))$. For properties of Krein spaces see e.g. [5, Chapter V].

If the scalar product $[., .]$ has $\kappa \in N \cup \{0\}$ negative squares, then we call $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$ a *Pontryagin space* of index κ . The definition of the Pontryagin space and other concepts related to it can be found e.g. in [13].

1.2. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denote a Banach space of bounded operators in \mathcal{H} . Recall that an operator valued function $Q : \mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to the *generalized Nevanlinna class* $N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ if it is meromorphic on $C \setminus R$, such that $Q(z)^* = Q(\bar{z})$, for all points z of holomorphy of Q , and the kernel $N_Q(z, w) := \frac{Q(z) - Q(w)^*}{z - \bar{w}}$ has κ negative squares. A generalized Nevanlinna function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is called *regular* if there exists at least one point $w_0 \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \cap C^+$ such that the operator $Q(w_0)$ is boundedly invertible.

We will need the following representation of generalized Nevanlinna functions.

Theorem 1.1 . *A function $Q : \mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a generalized Nevanlinna function of some index κ , denoted by $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, if and only if it has a representation of the form*

$$Q(z) = Q(w)^* + (z - \bar{w})\Gamma_w^+ \left(I + (z - w)(A - z)^{-1} \right) \Gamma_w, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(Q), \quad (1.1)$$

where, A is a self-adjoint linear relation in some Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, [., .])$ of index $\tilde{\kappa} \geq \kappa$; $\Gamma_w : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a bounded operator; $w \in \rho(A) \cap \mathbf{C}^+$ is a fixed point of reference. This representation can be chosen to be minimal, that is

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{c.l.s.} \{ \Gamma_z h : z \in \rho(A), h \in \mathcal{H} \} \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\Gamma_z = \left(I + (z - w)(A - z)^{-1} \right) \Gamma_w. \quad (1.3)$$

If realization (1.1) is minimal, then $\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa$. In that case $\mathcal{D}(Q) = \rho(A)$ and the triple $(\mathcal{K}, A, \Gamma_{z_0})$ is uniquely determined (up to isomorphism).

We will call linear relation A in (1.1) *representing relation (operator)* of Q . Such operator representations were developed by M. G. Krein and H. Langer, see e.g. [14, 15] and later converted to representations in terms of linear relations (multivalued operators), see e.g. [10, 12].

In this paper, we will always assume that the function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is represented minimally by the relation or operator A .

The function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ which fulfills condition

$$\bigcap_{z \in D(Q)} \ker \frac{Q(z) - Q(\bar{w})}{z - \bar{w}} = \{0\},$$

for one, and hence for all, $w \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$, is called *strict*, see e.g. [2, p. 619].

A significant part of this paper is about class of functions $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ that are holomorphic at ∞ . Such functions are characterized by the following lemma:

Lemma 1.2 [6, Lemma 3] *A function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is holomorphic at ∞ if and only if $Q(z)$ has representation*

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in \rho(A), \quad (1.4)$$

with bounded operator A . In this case

$$Q'(\infty) := \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zQ(z) = -\Gamma^+ \Gamma, \quad (1.5)$$

where the limit denotes convergence in the Banach space of bounded operators $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

Recall, see [6, Proposition 1], that operator Γ used in (1.4) can be expressed as

$$\Gamma = (A - z) \Gamma_z, \quad \forall z \in \rho(A). \quad (1.6)$$

Then representation (1.4) is minimal, if and only if

$$\mathcal{K} = c.l.s. \left\{ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma : z \in \rho(A), h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}.$$

The decomposition of the function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ in [6, Remark 1] shows us the important role that representations of the form (1.4) play in research of the function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$.

Recall, a point $\alpha \in C$ is called a *generalized pole* of Q if it is an eigenvalue of the representing relation A .

1.3. For the convenience of the reader, we copy the following lemma from [6] that will be frequently needed in this paper.

Lemma 1.3 [6, Lemma 4] *Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a bounded operator and let $\Gamma^+ : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be its adjoint operator. Assume also that $\Gamma^+ \Gamma$ is a boundedly invertible operator in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$. Then for operator*

$$P := \Gamma (\Gamma^+ \Gamma)^{-1} \Gamma^+ \quad (1.7)$$

the following statements hold:

- (i) P is orthogonal projection in the Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, [\cdot, \cdot])$.
- (ii) Scalar product does not degenerate on $P(\mathcal{K}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and therefore it does not degenerate on $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})^{[\perp]} = \ker \Gamma^+$.
- (iii) $\ker \Gamma^+ = (I - P) \mathcal{K}$.

(iv) Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} can be decomposed as a direct orthogonal sum of Pontryagin spaces i.e.

$$\mathcal{K} = (I - P)\mathcal{K}[+]P\mathcal{K}. \quad (1.8)$$

1.4. In what follows, S denotes a closed symmetric relation or operator, not necessarily densely defined in a Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}[, .])$, and S^+ denotes adjoint linear relation of S in $(\mathcal{K}[, .])$. For definitions and notation of concepts related to an ordinary boundary triple Π for linear relation S^+ , see e.g. [4, 8, 9]. We copy some of those definitions here with adjusted notation. For example, operator denoted by Γ_2 in [8] is denoted by Γ_0 in [4, 9] and here, while Γ_1 denotes the same operator in all papers. Elements of S^+ are denoted by \hat{f}, \hat{g}, \dots , where e.g. $\hat{f} := \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \{f, f'\}$. Let us mention that f' is not the first derivative here.

Let

$$\mathcal{R}_z := \mathcal{R}_z(S^+) = \ker(S^+ - z), \quad z \in \hat{\rho}(S),$$

be the *defect subspace* of S . Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_z := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f_z \\ z f_z \end{pmatrix} : f_z \in \mathcal{R}_z \right\}, \quad \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{K}[-]D(S), \quad \hat{\mathcal{R}} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix} : f \in \mathcal{R} \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

Definition 1.4 A triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space and Γ_0, Γ_1 are bounded operators from S^+ to \mathcal{H} , is called an *ordinary boundary triple* for the relation S^+ if the abstract Green's identity

$$[f', g] - [f, g'] = \left(\Gamma_1 \hat{f}, \Gamma_0 \hat{g} \right)_{\mathcal{H}} - \left(\Gamma_0 \hat{f}, \Gamma_1 \hat{g} \right)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall \hat{f}, \hat{g} \in S^+, \quad (1.10)$$

holds, and the mapping $\tilde{\Gamma} : \hat{f} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ \Gamma_1 \hat{f} \end{pmatrix}$ from S^+ to $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ is surjective.

Operator $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is called *boundary or reduction operator*.

Note, notation $\tilde{\Gamma}$ rather than Γ is used here. That is because notation $\Gamma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is used for operator given by (1.6).

An extension \tilde{S} of S is called *proper*, if $S \subsetneq \tilde{S} \subseteq S^+$. A set of proper extensions of S is denoted by $\text{Ext } S$. Two proper extensions $S_0, S_1 \in \text{Ext } S$ are called *disjoint* if $S_0 \cap S_1 = S$, and *transversal* if, additionally, $S_0 \hat{+} S_1 = S^+$.

Each ordinary boundary triple is naturally associated with two self-adjoint extensions of S , defined by $S_i := \ker \Gamma_i$, $i = 0, 1$, i.e. it holds $S_i = S_i^+$, $i = 0, 1$, see [8, p. 4425].

Under above notation, the function

$$\emptyset \neq \rho(S_0) \ni z \mapsto \gamma_z = \left\{ \left\{ \Gamma_0 \hat{f}_z, f_z \right\} : \hat{f}_z \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(S^+) \right\}$$

is called the *γ -field* associated with the boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, and the function

$$\emptyset \neq \rho(S_0) \ni z \mapsto M(z) = \left\{ \left\{ \Gamma_0 \hat{f}_z, \Gamma_1 \hat{f}_z \right\} : \hat{f}_z \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(S^+) \right\}$$

is called the *Weyl function* associated with the boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, see e.g. [4, 8]. Let us mention that functions $\gamma_z : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_z$ are bijections and satisfy a formula of the form (1.3).

1.5. Introduction

In Section 2, we deal with regular function $Q \in N_{\kappa}(\mathcal{H})$ minimally represented by a self-adjoint linear relation A in a Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, [, .])$. The representing relation of the inverse function $\hat{Q} = -Q^{-1}$ is denoted by \hat{A} . We first give Proposition 2.2, where

we collect some statements about a regular function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ that we will need later in the text. Then in Proposition 2.4 we give a characterization of Weyl functions among regular functions $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$.

Section 3 can be viewed as a continuation of [6]. We apply results from [6] to an important subclass of regular functions $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, the functions that have boundedly invertible derivative $Q'(\infty) := \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zQ(z)$. In Theorem 3.3, we give matrix representations of A , \hat{A} , $S = A \cap \hat{A}$, and S^+ . In addition, we prove that every function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ which has boundedly invertible derivative $Q'(\infty)$ is a Weyl function associated with (S, A) . In Corollary 3.4, we prove that \hat{A} , A and S^+ are \mathcal{R} -regular extensions of S .

In Section 4, we apply abstract results of sections 2 and 3, mostly Theorem 3.3, on examples. In Example 4.1, for a given regular function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ with boundedly invertible derivative $Q'(\infty)$ represented by a given relation A , we find closed symmetric relation S so that Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) . Then we find the corresponding ordinary boundary triple Π .

It is usually very difficult to find the representing relation of a function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$. Theorem 3.3 gives us new relationships between linear relations A , \hat{A} , S , S^+ and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$, which make it easier finding those linear relations. For example, by means of Theorem 3.3 we find $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$, \hat{A} , S and S^+ , given the representing relation A of Q , see Example 4.2.

2 Characterization of Weyl functions in the set of regular generalized Nevanlinna functions $N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$

2.1. The following lemma is a corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1 *Let function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy conditions, including minimality, and notation of Theorem 1.1. Then it holds*

$$\ker \Gamma_w = \bigcap_{z \in \mathcal{D}(Q)} \text{Ker} \frac{Q(z) - Q(\bar{w})}{z - \bar{w}}, \forall w \in \mathcal{D}(Q).$$

Proof. Representation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{Q(z) - Q(\bar{w})}{z - \bar{w}} = \Gamma_w^+ \Gamma_z, \forall z \in \mathcal{D}(Q).$$

Obviously,

$$\ker \Gamma_w \subseteq \ker \Gamma_z^+ \Gamma_w = \ker \frac{Q(w) - Q(\bar{z})}{w - \bar{z}} = \ker \frac{Q(z) - Q(\bar{w})}{z - \bar{w}}, \forall z \in \mathcal{D}(Q).$$

Conversely, assume

$$\frac{Q(w) - Q(\bar{z})}{w - \bar{z}} h = 0.$$

Then for every, $g \in \mathcal{H}$ it holds

$$[\Gamma_z^+ \Gamma_w h, g] = [\Gamma_w h, \Gamma_z g] = 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{D}(Q) = \rho(A).$$

From this, because of the minimality assumption and the fact that the Pontryagin space does not degenerate it follows

$$h \in \ker \Gamma_w.$$

This proves the converse inclusion. Because, $w \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$ was arbitrarily selected, the lemma holds. \square

Some of the claims of Proposition 2.2 are already known, as cited in the proof. We collected them in this proposition because we will need them in the sequel.

Proposition 2.2 (a) Let $S, \{0\} \subseteq S \subsetneq A$, be a simple closed symmetric linear relation (or operator) which is not necessarily densely defined in a Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} of index κ . Let $A^+ = A$, $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be the boundary triple for S^+ that satisfies $A = \ker \Gamma_0$. Let $Q(z)$ be the Weyl function associated with Π .

- (i) Then $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$, $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, Q is strict, and $A = \ker \Gamma_0$ is the representing relation of Q in (1.1).
 - (ii) If \hat{A} denotes linear relation that represents the inverse function $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1} \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, then $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$.
- (b) Conversely, let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be a regular function given by minimal representation (1.1) with representing relation A . Then:
- (i) There exists a unique closed symmetric linear relation $S, \{0\} \subseteq S \subsetneq A \subsetneq S^+$, and an ordinary boundary triple $\Pi = (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ for S^+ such that it holds $A = \ker \Gamma_0$.
 - (ii) Let $M(z)$ be the Weyl function associated with (S, A) , i.e. associated with $\Pi = (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$. If $S := A \cap \hat{A}$ is simple, then $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$, $M = Q$, Q is strict, and $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$.
- (c) In both cases (a)(ii) and (b)(ii) it holds:

- (i) $S = A \cap \hat{A}$,
- (ii) $S^+ = A \hat{+} \hat{A}$

Proof. The assumptions (a) are appropriate. Namely, existence of the boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ with $\hat{A} := \ker \Gamma_0$ has been proven in [8, Proposition 2.2 (2)]. Existence of the corresponding (well defined) Weyl function Q has been proven in [8, p. 4427].

(a)(i) According to terminology of [2, p. 619], the assumption that the closed linear relation S is *simple* means

$$\mathcal{K} = c.l.s. \{ \mathcal{R}_z(S^+) : z \in \rho(A) \}. \quad (2.1)$$

Obviously

$$S(0) \subseteq \bigcap_{z \in \rho(A)} R(S - z).$$

From this and (2.1) it follows $S(0) = \{0\}$, i.e. closed symmetric relation S is also an operator. Hence, $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$.

The relationship between γ -field functions $\gamma_z \in [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{R}_z]$, $z \in \rho(A)$, and Weyl function has been established by [8, (2.13)]:

$$\frac{Q(z) - Q^*(w)}{z - \bar{w}} = \gamma_w^+ \gamma_z, \forall w, z \in \rho(A), \quad (2.2)$$

where, according to [8, (2.6)], γ -field satisfies

$$\gamma_z = \left(I + (z - w)(A - z)^{-1} \right) \gamma_w. \quad (2.3)$$

It is now easy to verify $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed, for all $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\left(\frac{Q(z) - Q^*(w)}{z - \bar{w}} h, k \right) = (\gamma_w^+ \gamma_z(h), k) = [\gamma_z(h), \gamma_w(k)] = [f, g], f \in \mathcal{R}_z, g \in \mathcal{R}_w.$$

Because $(\mathcal{K}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ given by (2.1) is a Pontryagin space with negative index κ , we conclude $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$.

Let us note that the claim $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is also a consequence of [3, Theorem 4.8] proven for Weyl families and generalized Nevanlinna families. For convenience of the reader, we presented the above proof, specific for Weyl functions and Generalized Nevanlinna functions.

Identity (2.2) also means that Q has representation (1.1) with γ_z replacing Γ_z . By definition of γ -field, functions γ_z are bijections. Then, according to Lemma 2.1, Q is a strict function.

Let us prove that representing relation A of Q in (1.1) satisfies $A = \tilde{A} := \ker \Gamma_0$. Because $\gamma_z(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{R}_z$, according to (2.1) and (2.3), the minimality condition (1.2) is fulfilled with $\tilde{A} = \ker \Gamma_0$ and γ -field (2.3). Then, according to Theorem 1.1, the state space \mathcal{K} , the representing relation, and the γ -field are uniquely determined (up to isomorphism), i.e. $A = \tilde{A} = \ker \Gamma_0$. Hence, the statements (a)(i) hold.

(a)(ii) Here, we assume existence of $-Q^{-1} \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. we assume regularity of Q . Then, according to [16, Proposition 2.1], the inverse \hat{Q} admits representation

$$\hat{Q}(z) = \hat{Q}(\bar{w}) + (z - \bar{w}) \hat{\Gamma}_w^+ \left(I + (z - w) (\hat{A} - z)^{-1} \right) \hat{\Gamma}_w, \quad (2.4)$$

where $w \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A})$ is an arbitrarily selected point of reference,

$$\hat{\Gamma}_w := -\Gamma_w Q(w)^{-1}, \quad (2.5)$$

and it holds

$$(\hat{A} - z)^{-1} = (A - z)^{-1} - \Gamma_z Q(z)^{-1} \Gamma_z^+, \quad \forall z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}). \quad (2.6)$$

According to [8, (2.3)], there exists a bijective correspondence between proper extensions $\tilde{S} \in \text{Ext } S$ and closed subspaces θ in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$S_\theta \in \text{Ext } S \Leftrightarrow \theta := \tilde{\Gamma} S_\theta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ \Gamma_1 \hat{f} \end{pmatrix} \mid \hat{f} \in S_\theta \right\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (2.7)$$

Then the Krein formula

$$(S_\theta - z)^{-1} = (A - z)^{-1} + \Gamma_z (\theta - Q(z))^{-1} \Gamma_z^+ \quad (2.8)$$

holds. Let us set $S_\theta := \hat{A}$, where \hat{A} is linear relation that represents the inverse function \hat{Q} in representation (2.4). Then according to (2.6), the pair: $\hat{A}, \theta = O_{\mathcal{H}}$, satisfies (2.8). Because the correspondence defined by (2.7) is bijection it follows

$$\theta = \tilde{\Gamma} \hat{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \hat{f} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \hat{f} \in \hat{A} \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Therefore, $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1 =: S_1$. This proves (a)(ii).

(b)(i) The assumption is that $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is regular function with representing relation A in minimal representation (1.1). That includes that (1.2) and (1.3) hold, $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$, and there exists the inverse \hat{Q} represented by (2.4). We define closed symmetric relation S by:

$$S := A \cap \hat{A}$$

Because representations (1.1) and (2.4) are uniquely determined up to isomorphism, linear relation S is uniquely determined too. This also means that the self-adjoint relation A is an extension of S . We can here apply [8, Proposition 2.2 (2)] or [3, Theorem 3.4].

Therefore, there exists a boundary triple $\Pi = (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ such that $A = \ker \Gamma_0$. (We use notation $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ here because, in general case $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \neq \mathcal{H}$.)

(b)(ii) Let $M(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ be the Weyl function and γ -field associated with (S, A) , i.e. with Π . We need to prove $M = Q$.

According to our assumption that $S = A \cap \hat{A}$ is a simple relation, the Pontryagin space is given by (2.1). Then as before, S is an operator.

According to [8, (2.13)], $M(z)$ is a Q -function of the operator S , with the γ -field (2.3) and it satisfies

$$M(z) = M(w)^* + (z - \bar{w})\gamma_w^+ \left(I + (z - w)(A - z)^{-1} \right) \gamma_w, \quad z \in \rho(A). \quad (2.10)$$

Hence, we have: Functions $Q(z)$ and $M(z)$ are represented by the same relation A in minimal representations of the form (1.1) in the same Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} , by the fields that satisfy same equations (1.3) and (2.3). According to Theorem 1.1, we can consider $Q = M$.

Because $\gamma_z, \forall z \in \rho(A)$, is one-to-one operator, according to Lemma 2.1, Q is strict.

It remains to prove $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$. Let us note here that in the proof of (a)(ii) we used only the assumptions that $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is a regular Weyl function with representing relation A in minimal representation (1.1). Those assumptions are fulfilled here. Therefore, according to (a)(ii), $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$.

(c) Both statements (c) follow e.g. from [3, Theorem 3.4]. \square

Remark 2.3 . *Proposition 2.2 is not a characterization of Weyl functions in the family of regular functions $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ because we additionally have to assume that $S = A \cap \hat{A}$ is simple in statement (b)(ii).*

The following proposition gives that characterization.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be a regular function minimally represented by (1.1) in the Pontryagin state space \mathcal{K} . Let $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1}$, let \hat{A} be the representing relation of \hat{Q} and $S := A \cap \hat{A}$. Then Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) if and only if S is a simple relation in \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. If the closed symmetric relation $S = A \cap \hat{A}$ is simple, then Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) according to Proposition 2.2 (b) (ii).

Conversely, assume that $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is the Weyl function associated with $S = A \cap \hat{A}$ and A . That means Q satisfies both representations (1.1) and (2.10). Therefore

$$\left(\frac{Q(z) - Q^*(w)}{z - \bar{w}} h, k \right) = [\Gamma_z(h), \Gamma_w(k)] = [\gamma_z(h), \gamma_w(k)], \quad \forall h, k \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (2.11)$$

By definition of γ -field (2.3), $R(\gamma_z) = \mathcal{R}_z$. According to (2.11) we have

$$f[\perp] \mathcal{R}_z \Leftrightarrow f[\perp] \gamma_z(\mathcal{H}) \Leftrightarrow f[\perp] \Gamma_z(\mathcal{H}), \quad \forall z \in \rho(A).$$

From this and (1.2) it follows $f = 0$. This proves that S is simple relation. \square

Corollary 2.5 . *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be a regular function represented by (1.1) and let \hat{Q} be represented by (2.4). Then it holds*

$$\left(\hat{A} - z \right)^{-1} = (A - z)^{-1} + \hat{\Gamma}_z \Gamma_z^+, \quad z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}). \quad (2.12)$$

If $S = A \cap \hat{A}$ is simple, then A and \hat{A} are transversal extensions of S , i.e. $S^+ = A \hat{+} \hat{A}$.

Proof. Because $w \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A})$ was arbitrarily selected we can rewrite (2.5) with $w = z$. Then identity (2.12) follows from (2.5) and (2.6).

According to Proposition 2.4, Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) . Let the associated boundary triple be $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ for S^+ . Then $S^+ = S_0 \dot{+} S_1$, where $S_i := \ker \Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$. According to Proposition 2.2, $A = \ker \Gamma_0$ and $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$. From this, the second claim of the corollary follows. \square

2.2. Special case (2.6) of Krien formula gives us relationship (2.12) between resolvents of the representing relations A and \hat{A} of the regular functions Q and $\hat{Q} = -Q^{-1}$, respectively. In the following proposition, we will establish a very simple direct relationship between any two closed linear relations A and B that satisfy $\rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \neq \emptyset$. Then we will apply it on the representing relations A and \hat{A} of Q and \hat{Q} under additional assumption that $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ is also a Weyl function associated with $S = A \cap \hat{A}$ and A .

Recall, for the *defect subspace* of a linear relation T we use notation

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(T) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t \\ zt \end{pmatrix} \in T \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.6 . *Let A and B be linear relations in a Krein space \mathcal{K} , let B be a closed relation, and $\rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$A \subseteq B \dot{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(A \dot{+} B), \quad \forall z \in \rho(B). \quad (2.13)$$

Equality holds if and only if $A = B$.

Proof: For $z \in \rho(B)$ and for every $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} \in A$ we have $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' - zf \end{pmatrix} \in A - z$.

Because $z \in \rho(B)$, and B is closed, there exists $\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} \in B$ such that it holds

$$f' - zf = g' - zg \Rightarrow f' - g' = z(f - g).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f - g \\ f' - g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f - g \\ z(f - g) \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(A \dot{+} B).$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} f - g \\ z(f - g) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

The sum (2.14) is direct because $0 \neq \begin{pmatrix} t \\ zt \end{pmatrix} \in B \cap \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(A \dot{+} B) \Rightarrow z \in \sigma_p(B)$, which contradicts to assumption $z \in \rho(B)$. This proves (2.13).

To prove the last claim, let us assume $A = B \dot{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(A \dot{+} B), \forall z \in \rho(B)$ and let us denote $S := A \cap B$. Then for some $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B)$ we have

$$S = B \subseteq A \Rightarrow A \dot{+} B = A \Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(A \dot{+} B) = \emptyset \Rightarrow A = B.$$

The converse implication follows from $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(B) = \{0\}$. \square

Corollary 2.7 . *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be a regular function and let A and \hat{A} be the representing relations of Q , and $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1}$, respectively. If Q is the Weyl function associated with $S = A \cap \hat{A}$ and A , then it holds*

$$A \subseteq \hat{A} \dot{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_z(S^+), \quad \forall z \in \rho(\hat{A}),$$

Equality holds if and only if $A = \hat{A}$.

Proof. The regularity of Q implies $\rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}) \neq \emptyset$. We assume that Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) . According to Proposition 2.2 (c)(ii), we can substitute S^+ for $A \hat{+} \hat{A}$. Then the first claim of the proposition follows from (2.13), and the last statement follows from the last statement of the previous lemma. \square

3 Weyl function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ with boundedly invertible $Q'(\infty)$

3.1. Let

$$\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_1 [+] \mathcal{K}_2$$

be a Pontryagin space with nontrivial Pontryagin subspaces \mathcal{K}_l , $l = 1, 2$, and let $E_l : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_l$, $l = 1, 2$, be orthogonal projections. Let T be a linear relation in $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 [+] \mathcal{K}_2$. If for any projection E_i , $i = 1, 2$, it holds $E_i(D(T)) \subseteq D(T)$, then according to [7, Lemma 2.2] the following four linear relations can be defined

$$T_i^j := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k_i \\ k_i^j \end{pmatrix} : k_i \in D(T) \cap \mathcal{K}_i, k_i^j \in E_j T(k_i) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_i \times \mathcal{K}_j, i, j = 1, 2.$$

In this notation the subscript “ i ” is associated with the domain subspace \mathcal{K}_i , the superscript “ j ” is associated with the range subspace \mathcal{K}_j . For example $\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \in T_1^2$. We will use “[$*$]” to denote adjoint relations of T_i^j . Therefore

$$T_1^2 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{K}_2 \Rightarrow T_1^{2[*]} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_2 \times \mathcal{K}_1.$$

Hence, to linear relation T and decomposition $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_1 [+] \mathcal{K}_2$, we can assign the following *relation matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_1^1 & T_1^2 \\ T_2^1 & T_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In that case it holds

$$T = (T_1^1 + T_1^2) \hat{+} (T_2^1 + T_2^2).$$

Lemma 3.1 . Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be given by (1.4)

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+(A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(Q) := \rho(A),$$

with bounded operator A and boundedly invertible $Q'(\infty) = -\Gamma^+ \Gamma$. Let us define linear relation

$$B := A|_{(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \hat{+} (\{0\} \times P(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq (I - P)\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}, \quad (3.1)$$

where projection P is defined by (1.7). Then

$$z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{K} \subseteq (B - z)(I - P)\mathcal{K}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}) \Rightarrow z \in \rho(B).$$

Proof. Assume $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A})$. Then, according to (2.4) and [6, Theorem 3], $z \in \rho(\hat{A})$ if and only if $z \in \rho(\tilde{A})$, where $\tilde{A} := (I - P)A|_{(I-P)\mathcal{K}}$. Therefore, for any

$$f = (I - P)f + Pf \in \mathcal{K}$$

there exists $g \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$, such that

$$(I - P)f = \left((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} - z(I - P) \right) g.$$

Also, there exists $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that it holds

$$Pk = Pf - PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) \Rightarrow Pf = PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) + Pk.$$

We will also use the identity $(I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} + PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}$

Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (I - P)f + Pf \\ &= \left((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} - z(I - P) \right) g + PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) + Pk \\ &= (A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} - z(I - P))g + Pk \in (B - (I - P)z)g \in (B - z)(I - P)\mathcal{K}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.2).

Let us prove that for $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A})$ and $f \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$ it holds

$$(B - z)f = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0.$$

Indeed, we already mentioned that $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A}) \Rightarrow z \in \rho((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}})$. Now we have for $f \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (B - z)f = (A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} + (\{0\} \times P(\mathcal{K})) - z)f \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow ((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} - z)f = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $z \in \rho((I - P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}})$, it follows $f = 0$. This further means that $(B - z)^{-1}$ is an operator. Relation B is closed as a sum of a bounded and closed relations. Then, because of (3.2) the closed operator $(B - z)^{-1}$ is bounded. This proves $z \in \rho(B)$. \square

Now we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be given by (1.4)*

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in \rho(A),$$

with bounded operator A and boundedly invertible $Q'(\infty) = -\Gamma^+ \Gamma$. Then the representing relation \hat{A} of $\hat{Q} := -Q^{-1}$ satisfies

$$\hat{A} = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} + \hat{A}_\infty, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\hat{A}_\infty = (\{0\} \times P(\mathcal{K})).$$

Proof. Because $\Gamma^+ \Gamma$ is boundedly invertible, according to Lemma 1.3 scalar product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ does not degenerate on the subspace $P(\mathcal{K}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, where P denotes orthogonal projection defined by (1.7). According to [6, Theorem 3], there exists $\hat{Q}(z) := -Q(z)^{-1}$, $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\hat{A})$. Let \hat{Q} be represented by a self-adjoint linear relation \hat{A} in representation (2.4). Then \hat{A} satisfies (2.6).

Let us now observe linear relation B given by (3.1), and let us find resolvent $(B - z)^{-1}$, which **exists** according to Lemma 3.1. Let us select a point $z \in \rho(B)$ and a vector

$$f \in \mathcal{K} = (B - zI)(I - P)\mathcal{K}$$

and let us find $(B - z)^{-1}f$.

According to Lemma 3.1 there exists an element $g := (B - z)^{-1} f \in (I - P)\mathcal{K}$. According to definition (3.1) of B and $P(\mathcal{K}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ it holds

$$\{g, f + zg\} \in A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \dot{+} (\{0\} \times \Gamma(\mathcal{H})).$$

This means that for some $h \in \mathcal{H}$ it holds

$$f + zg = Ag + \Gamma h.$$

Then we have

$$Ag - zg = f - \Gamma h.$$

Hence,

$$g = (A - z)^{-1} f - (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma h.$$

Because $\Gamma^+(I - P) = 0$, we have

$$0 = \Gamma^+ g = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} f - \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma h = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} f - Q(z)h.$$

According to (1.6) it holds

$$\Gamma_z^+ f = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} f.$$

Therefore,

$$h = Q(z)^{-1} \Gamma_z^+ f.$$

This and (1.6) gives

$$(B - z)^{-1} f = g = (A - z)^{-1} f - \Gamma_z h = (A - z)^{-1} f - \Gamma_z Q(z)^{-1} \Gamma_z^+ f,$$

which proves that formula (2.6) holds for linear relation $B \subseteq (I - P)\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ defined by (3.1). Therefore, $(B - z)^{-1} = \left(\hat{A} - z \right)^{-1}$, and

$$\hat{A} = B = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \dot{+} (\{0\} \times P\mathcal{K}).$$

Because A is a single valued, the sum is direct, " $\dot{+}$ ", and $\hat{A}_\infty = (\{0\} \times P\mathcal{K})$, i.e. representation (3.3) of \hat{A} holds. \square

Note, identity (3.3) derived here by means of the operator valued function $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ corresponds to identity [11, (3.5)] which was derived by means of a scalar function $q \in N_\kappa$. Also note that $\hat{A}_\infty = (\{0\} \times P\mathcal{K})$ holds according to [6, Proposition 5] too.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $Q \in N_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ be minimally given by (1.4)*

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in \rho(A),$$

with bounded operator A and boundedly invertible $Q'(\infty)$. Then, relative to decomposition (1.8)

$$\mathcal{K}_1 \dot{+} \mathcal{K}_2 := (I - P)\mathcal{K} \dot{+} P\mathcal{K},$$

it holds:

$$(i) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & (I - P)A|_{P\mathcal{K}} \\ PA|_{(I-P)\mathcal{K}} & PA|_{P\mathcal{K}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \hat{A} = A_{|I-P} \dot{+} (\{0\} \times P\mathcal{K}) = \tilde{A} \dot{+} (\{0\} \times P\mathcal{K}).$$

$$(iii) \quad S := A \cap \hat{A} = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \Gamma\mathcal{H} = P\mathcal{K}. \quad S \text{ is a symmetric, closed, bounded operator.}$$

$$(iv) \quad S^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & (I - P)A|_{P\mathcal{K}} \\ (I - P)\mathcal{K} \times P\mathcal{K} & P\mathcal{K} \times P\mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(v) $\mathcal{R}_z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -(\tilde{A} - z)^{-1}APx_P \\ x_P \end{pmatrix}, x_P \in P\mathcal{K} \right\}$, $\mathcal{K} = \text{c.l.s.} \{ \mathcal{R}_z : z \in \rho(A) \}$, i.e. S is simple, and Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) .

(vi) $S^+ = A^\dagger \hat{A} = A^\dagger \hat{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof.

(i) Relation matrix of the **operator** A , with respect to decomposition (1.8), is obviously

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} (I-P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} & (I-P)A_{|P\mathcal{K}} \\ PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} & PA_{|P\mathcal{K}} \end{pmatrix} = A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}} \dot{+} A_{|P\mathcal{K}}. \quad (3.4)$$

(ii) According to [6, Theorem 3] (ii) function Q is regular. Therefore, there exists the inverse function \hat{Q} and the representing relation \hat{A} . According to (3.3), the condition $(I-P)D(\hat{A}) \subseteq D(\hat{A})$ is satisfied. Hence, according to [7, Lemma 2.2], there exists a relation matrix of \hat{A} relative to decomposition (1.8). Let that relation matrix be

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}_1^1 & \hat{A}_2^1 \\ \hat{A}_1^2 & \hat{A}_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{A}_i^j \subseteq \mathcal{K}_i \times \mathcal{K}_j$, $i, j = 1, 2$. According to Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\hat{A}(0) = P\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_2. \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, $\hat{A}(0)$ is an ortho-complemented subspace of \mathcal{K} . Then, according to [17, Theorem 2.4] it holds

$$\hat{A} = \hat{A}_s[\dot{+}] \hat{A}_\infty, \quad (3.6)$$

where \hat{A}_s is a self-adjoint densely defined operator in $\hat{A}(0)^{[\perp]} = (I-P)\mathcal{K}$, $R(\hat{A}_s) \subseteq (I-P)\mathcal{K}$, and $[\dot{+}]$ denotes direct orthogonal sum of sub-spaces.

For $g \in (I-P)\mathcal{K}$, from (3.3) and (3.6) it follows

$$((I-P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g)[\dot{+}]PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g)) + Pk_0 = A_s g[\dot{+}] Pk_1$$

for some $k_0, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}$. Obviously, there exists $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that it holds:

$$Pk := PA_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) + Pk_0.$$

It follows

$$(I-P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g)[\dot{+}]Pk = A_s g[\dot{+}] Pk_1, \forall g \in (I-P)\mathcal{K}.$$

Because those sums are direct and orthogonal, it follows $Pk = Pk_1$ and

$$A_s g = (I-P)A_{|(I-P)\mathcal{K}}(g) =: \tilde{A}g. \quad (3.7)$$

Obviously $\hat{A}_\infty \subseteq P\mathcal{K} \times P\mathcal{K}$ and $\hat{A}_\infty = \hat{A}_\infty^{[\dot{+}]}$. Then the relation matrix of \hat{A} is

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{A}_\infty \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{A}[\dot{+}](\{0\} \times P\mathcal{K}), \quad (3.8)$$

(iii) Let us now find $S = A \cap \hat{A}$. According (3.8) we have

$$\hat{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{I-P} \\ \tilde{A}(x_{I-P}) + p \end{pmatrix} : x_{I-P} \in (I-P)\mathcal{K}, p \in P\mathcal{K} \right\}.$$

Because $D(S) = (I-P)\mathcal{K}$, elements of $A \cap S$ must satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{I-P} \\ A(x_{I-P}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{I-P} \\ \tilde{A}(x_{I-P}) + PA(x_{I-P}) \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{A}.$$

It follows $S = A|_{(I-P)\mathcal{K}}$.

By definition $\mathcal{R} = ((I-P)\mathcal{K})^{[\perp]} = P\mathcal{K}$ and $\hat{A}_\infty = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$.

S is closed symmetric relation in the Pontryagin space \mathcal{K} because it is intersection of such relations A and \hat{A} . S is an operator because A is one operator. S is bounded because it is closed and defined on the closed domain $(I-P)\mathcal{K}$. This proves (iii).

(iv) Now when we know S , we can find S^+ by definition. It is as claimed at (iv).

(v) By solving equation $(S^+ - z) \begin{pmatrix} x_{I-P} \\ x_P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ i.e. by solving equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} - z & (I-P)A|_{P\mathcal{K}} \\ (I-P)\mathcal{K} \times P\mathcal{K} & P\mathcal{K} \times P\mathcal{K} - z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{I-P} \\ x_P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}_z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -(\tilde{A} - z)^{-1}(I-P)APx_P \\ x_P \end{pmatrix}, x_P \in P\mathcal{K} \right\}.$$

According to [6, Theorem 4] function $\hat{Q}_2(z) := \tilde{\Gamma}^+ (\tilde{A} - z)^{-1} \tilde{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_2}(\mathcal{H})$, with $\tilde{\Gamma} := (I-P)A\Gamma(\Gamma^+\Gamma)^{-1}$, has κ_2 negative squares, where κ_2 is negative index of $(I-P)\mathcal{K}$. This means

$$(I-P)\mathcal{K} = c.l.s. \left\{ (\tilde{A} - z)^{-1} \tilde{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}, z \in \rho(A) \right\}.$$

It is easy to verify

$$(\tilde{A} - z)^{-1}(I-P)AP\mathcal{K} = (\tilde{A} - z)^{-1} \tilde{\Gamma} \mathcal{H} = (\tilde{A} - z)^{-1}(I-P)A\Gamma(\Gamma^+\Gamma)^{-1} \mathcal{H}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{I-P} \\ f_P \end{pmatrix}^{[\perp]} \begin{pmatrix} -(\tilde{A} - z)^{-1}(I-P)APx_P \\ x_P \end{pmatrix}, \forall z \in \rho(A) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} f_{I-P} \\ f_P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This further means

$$\mathcal{K} = c.l.s. \{ \mathcal{R}_z : z \in \rho(A) \}.$$

Hence, $S = A|_{I-P}$ is a simple relation in \mathcal{K} . According to Proposition 2.4, Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) .

(vi) Q is the Weyl function associated with (S, A) means that Q is associated with the boundary triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ that has properties $A = \ker \Gamma_0$ and $\hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1$. Now the first equality of (vi) follows from Proposition 2.2 (c) (ii). The second, $A\hat{+}\hat{A} = A\hat{+}\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ follows from $S\hat{+}S = S$, (i) and (ii).

Note, it is also easy to see $A\hat{+}\hat{\mathcal{R}} = S^+$ by comparing elements of the two relations. Indeed, for an arbitrary $f = f_{I-P} + f_P \in \mathcal{K}$ it obviously holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f_{I-P} + f_P \\ \tilde{A}f_{I-P} + (I-P)Af_P + PAf_{I-P} + PAf_P + P\mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \\ & = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f_{I-P} + f_P \\ \tilde{A}f_{I-P} + (I-P)Af_P + P\mathcal{K} + P\mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that an extension $\tilde{S} \in \text{Ext } S$ is *regular* if $\tilde{S}\hat{+}\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is a closed linear relation in $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$, see [8, Definition 3.1].

Corollary 3.4 *Let $Q \in \mathcal{N}_\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.3. Then A , \hat{A} , and S^+ are regular extensions of S .*

Proof. A is regular because $S^+ = A\hat{+}\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is closed relation in $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$.

From $\hat{A} = S\hat{+}\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}\hat{+}\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ it follows $\hat{A} = \hat{A}\hat{+}\hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Because \hat{A} is closed, it is regular extension of S . By the same token S^+ is regular. □

4 Examples

4.1. In this section we will give some examples involving self-adjoint operators in Pontryagin spaces to illustrate how propositions 2.2, 2.4 and Theorem 3.3 can be used in concrete situations.

Example 4.1 *Given function $Q(z) := -\frac{1}{z}$, $Q \in N_0(C)$. Find the corresponding triple $\Pi = (\mathcal{C}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$.*

This function is holomorphic at ∞ and

$$Q'(\infty) := \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zQ(z) = -I_C$$

is boundedly invertible operator, i.e. the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. According to Lemma 1.2, the minimal representation of Q is of the form

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad z \in \rho(A),$$

where A is a bounded operator, and $Q'(\infty) = -\Gamma^+ \Gamma = -I_C = (-1) \in C^{1 \times 1}$.

We know, and it is easy to verify, that in the representation of the function $Q(z) := -\frac{1}{z}$ the minimal state space $\mathcal{K} = C$, representing operator $A = (0) \in C^{1 \times 1}$, resolvent $(A - z)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{z}I_C$, and $\Gamma^+ = \Gamma = (1) \in C^{1 \times 1}$. According to (1.7), $P = I_C$. Because $PK = \mathcal{K}$, according to Theorem 3.3, $S = A|_{I-P} \cap \hat{A} = A|_{I-P} \cap \tilde{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Then according to Theorem 3.3 (v), $\mathcal{R}_z = PK = \mathcal{K}$ and Q is the Weyl function associated with S and A .

Linear relation $A = (0)$ can be presented as $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : f \in C \right\} \subseteq C^2$.

We also know that in the same state space $\mathcal{K} = C$, there exists a linear relation \hat{A} that minimally represents $\hat{Q}(z) = -Q^{-1}(z) = zI_C$, and $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = (\{0\} \times C) \subseteq C^2$. According to Theorem 3.3 (iii), $\hat{A} = \hat{A}[+] \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}$.

According to Proposition 2.2 (c)(ii), $S^+ = A \dot{+} \hat{A} = C^2$.

Now we need to define the reduction operator $\tilde{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : S^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ that will satisfy identity (1.10) and

$$A = \ker \Gamma_0 \wedge \hat{A} = \ker \Gamma_1.$$

Because, $M = Q \in N_0(C)$, the space $\mathcal{K} = C$ is endowed with the usual definite scalar product. Then the reduction operator that satisfies above condition is defined by

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f' \\ -f \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

The following example is continuation of [6, Example 2]. We will show how to use Theorem 3.3 to find linear relations S , \hat{A} and S^+ .

Example 4.2 *Given function*

$$Q(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-(1+z)}{z^2} & \frac{1}{z} \\ \frac{1}{z} & \frac{1}{1+z} \end{pmatrix} \in N_2(C^2)$$

and its operator representation

$$Q(z) = \Gamma^+ (A - z)^{-1} \Gamma,$$

where the fundamental symmetry J , and operators A , Γ and Γ^+ are, respectively:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The representation is of the form (1.4), because $Q(z)$ satisfies conditions of Lemma 1.2. Our task is to find linear relations S , \hat{A} and S^+ by means of Theorem 3.3.

Limit (1.5) gives

$$\Gamma^+ \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, (\Gamma^+ \Gamma)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, Q satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.3. Then, by means of formula (1.7), cf. [6, Example 2], we get

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 & 0.125 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & 0.75 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}, I - P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.25 & -0.125 & -0.25 \\ -0.5 & 0.25 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to Theorem 3.3 (iii) we can find S :

$$S = A(I - P) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 & 0.25 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & -0.25 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix}, D(S) = (I - P)K.$$

$$\tilde{A} := (I - P)A(I - P) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.25 & 0.125 & 0.25 \\ 0.5 & -0.25 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.25 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix} = -(I - P), D(\tilde{A}) = (I - P)K.$$

By solving equation $Px = x$ and then using the fact $(I - P)K[\perp]PK$ we obtain

$$(I - P)K = l.s. \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}; PK = l.s. \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

According to Theorem 3.3 (ii) we have

$$\hat{A} = \tilde{A} \dot{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}} = -I_{I-P}[\dot{+}] (\{0\} \times PK).$$

Equivalent, developed form of \hat{A} is:

$$\hat{A} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\frac{f_1}{4} - \frac{f_2}{8} - \frac{f_3}{4} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{pmatrix} \in K = C^3$, and $c_i \in C, i = 1, 2$, are arbitrary constants.

The easiest way to obtain the developed form of S^+ is to use Theorem 3.3 (vi) representation $S^+ = A \dot{+} \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. We get

$$S^+ f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{pmatrix} + PK = \begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ 0 \\ -f_3 \end{pmatrix} + c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where f and $c_i \in C, i = 1, 2$, are as before. \square

References

- [1] R. Arens, Operational calculus of linear relations, *Pacific J. Math.*, 11 (1961), 9-23.
- [2] J. Behrndt, A. Luger, An analytic characterization of the eigenvalues of self-adjoint extensions, *J. Funct. Anal.* 242 (2007) 607–640.
- [3] J. Behrndt, V. A. Derkach, S. Hassi, and H. de Snoo, A realization theorem for generalized Nevanlinna families, *Operators and Matrices* 5 (2011), no. 4, 679–706.
- [4] J. Behrndt, S. Hassi, H. de Snoo, Boundary Value Problems, Weyl Functions, and Differential Operators, Open access eBook, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-36714-5>
- [5] J. Bogner, Indefinite Inner Product Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [6] M. Borogovac, Inverse of generalized Nevanlinna function that is holomorphic at Infinity, *North-Western European Journal of Mathematics*, 6 (2020), 19-43.
- [7] M. Borogovac, Reducibility of self-adjoint linear relations and application to generalized Nevanlinna functions, to appear in *Ukr. Math. J.*, Vol. 74 (2022)
- [8] V. A. Derkach, On generalized resolvents of Hermitian relations in Krein spaces, *Journal of Math. Sci*, Vol. 97, No. 5, 1999.
- [9] V. A. Derkach, Boundary Triplets, Weyl Functions, and the Krein Formula, In book: *Operator Theory*, Chapter 10, pp.183-218 (2014); DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0667-1_32
the moment problem, *J. Math. Sciences*, 73 (1995), 141–242.
- [10] A. Dijksma, H. Langer and H. S. V. de Snoo, Eigenvalues and pole functions of Hamiltonian systems with eigenvalue depending boundary conditions, *Math. Nachr.* 161 (1993) 107-154.
- [11] S. Hassi, A. Luger, Generalized zeros and poles N_κ -functions: on the underlying spectral structure, *Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology* Vol. 12 (2006), no. 2, pp. 131-150.
- [12] S. Hassi, H.S.V. de Snoo, and H. Woracek: Some interpolation problems of Nevanlinna-Pick type, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 106 (1998), 201-216.
- [13] I. S. Iohvidov, M. G. Krein, H. Langer, Introduction to the Spectral Theory of Operators in Spaces with an Indefinite Metric, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [14] M. G. Krein and H. Langer, Über die Q -Funktion eines π -hermiteschen Operators im Raume Π_κ , *Acta Sci. Math.* 34, 190-230 (1973).
- [15] M. G. Krein and H. Langer, Über einige Fortsetzungsprobleme, die eng mit der Theorie hermitescher Operatoren im Raume Π_κ zusammenhängen, I. Einige Funktionenklassen und ihre Darstellungen, *Math. Nachr.* 77, 187-236 (1977).
- [16] A Luger, A factorization of regular generalized Nevanlinna functions, *Inetgr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 43 (2002) 326-345.
<http://su.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:784627/FULLTEXT01.pdf>.
- [17] P. Sorjonen, On linear relations in an indefinite inner product space, *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennica, Series A. I. Mathematica*, Vol.4, 1978/1979, 169-192