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Abstract

Communication system design has been traditionally guided by task-agnostic principles, which aim at efficiently transmitting as many correct bits as possible through a given channel. However, in the era of cyber-physical systems, the effectiveness of communications is not dictated simply by the bitrate, but most importantly by the efficient completion of the task in hand, e.g., controlling remotely a robot, automating a production line or collaboratively sensing through a drone swarm. In parallel, it is projected that by 2023 half of the worldwide network connections will be among machines rather than humans. In this context, it is crucial to establish a new paradigm for designing communications strategies for multi-agent cyber-physical systems. This is a daunting task, since it requires a combination of principles from information, communication, control theories and computer science theory in order to formalize a general framework for task-oriented communication design. In this direction, this paper reviews and structures the relevant theoretical work across a wide range of scientific communities. Subsequently, it proposes a general conceptual framework for task-oriented communication design, along with its specializations according to the targeted use case. Furthermore, it provides a survey of relevant contributions in dominant applications, such as of Industrial Internet of Things, multi-UAV systems, Tactile Internet and Federated Learning. Finally, it highlights the most important open research topics from both framework and application points of view.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, communication system design has been guided by task-agnostic principles, which aim at efficiently transmitting as many correct bits as possible through a given channel. The design approaches have been largely based on information and coding theories, where the former sets the upper bounds on the system capacity, whereas the latter focuses on achievable techniques to approach the bounds with infinitesimal error probabilities. Despite the abstraction level of these theories, they have been successfully extended to an impressive number of communication network topologies. In this direction, digital communications have made extraordinary leaps in terms of performance, allowing robust information transfer even in the face of adverse channel conditions.

However, in the era of cyber-physical systems, the effectiveness of communications is not dictated simply by the throughput performance indicators (e.g. bitrate, latency, jitter, fairness etc.), but most importantly by the efficient completion of the task in hand, e.g., remotely controlling a robot, automating a production line, collaboratively sensing/communicating through a drone swarm etc. It should be noted that according to projections, by 2023 half of the worldwide network connections will be among machines rather than humans [1]. Machines and its components (e.g. sensors, processors, actuators) - in contrast to humans - operate based on objective quantifiable processes, which in theory can be modelled and used as side information during the communication design process. Moreover, coordination through communication messages will be imperative in terms of achieving the completion of complex tasks with the help of multiple agents, be it integrated modules such as robots, drones, vehicles or individual components thereof. In this future cyber-physical world, it becomes critical to investigate a new paradigm for designing communications strategies for multi-agent cyber-physical systems, which can be adapted or tailored on case by case basis by analyzing jointly the nature of the targeted collaborative task objective and the constraints of the underlying communication infrastructure.

Looking back to conventional communication systems, the design of the vast majority is currently based on the source-channel separation principle, which suggests that the source information can be compressed independently of the communication channel and subsequently suitable redundancy should be added to combat the adversities of the channel itself. However, it should be noted that this principle only applies under strict conditions [2], [3]. More importantly, the source compression is based on the statistical properties of the input distributions, which do
not reveal the importance/value of each sample with respect to the task completion. At the same time, current communication infrastructure largely depends on the concept of layering, which is meant to create abstractions which lead to simplified system design. However, the same abstractions create rigid interfaces that prevent the higher layers (i.e. application/tasks) from directly affecting/adapting the lower layers of communication system design.

Incorporating this view of the problem in the design process could be a daunting task, since it requires a combination of principles from information, communication, control and theories and computer science theory. In fact, all of the aforementioned scientific communities have already realised the value of this new paradigm and have made initial steps to address it from their own point of view. Nevertheless, a common framework for task-oriented communication design is still lacking, mainly due to the following challenges:

- **Divergence of model assumptions** e.g. communication model (channel, network topology), statistical system models (Partially Observable Markov Decision process, Independent Identically Distributed processes), local vs global rewards/utility functions
- **Divergence of performance metrics** e.g. mutual information, discounted empirical error/risk, error/cost functions within time horizons
- **Divergence of mathematical tools** e.g. rate-distortion theory, strong-weak coordination theories, dynamic programming, successive approximation, stochastic optimization and reinforcement learning.

A. contributions

In this survey, we make a first step towards highlighting the importance of the new paradigm and surveying various approaches from the wider scientific community, which can help towards a common understanding. In this direction, we formulate a common conceptual framework to clarify and justify the selected terminology, assumptions and definitions, which will then form the basis for the general problem description. To validate the framework, we focus on specific use cases, where we have collected the major literature which can be studied under the prism of this new paradigm. The end objective is to list a number of critical open research topics within the proposed framework for task-oriented communication design along with the necessary tools to derive near-optimal achievable strategies and policies. Properly addressed, the implications
Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x(t)</td>
<td>A generic random variable generated at time t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x(t)</td>
<td>Realization of x(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Alphabet of x(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p_x(x(t))</td>
<td>Shorthand for Pr(x(t) = x(t))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(x(t))</td>
<td>Information entropy of x(t) (bits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(x(t);y(t))</td>
<td>Mutual information of x(t) and y(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_p(x) {x}</td>
<td>Expectation of the random variable X over the probability distribution p(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x(t_1^n)</td>
<td>Sequence of x(t_1), x(t_2), ..., x(t_n)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of these topics can be far reaching across a range of real-world applications, such as Industrial Internet of Things, multi-UAV systems, Tactile Internet, and Federated Learning.

B. Organization

The present survey is structured as follows. Firstly, in section II we review the relevant literature from a theoretical perspective, classifying the contributions across information/communication, control theories and computer science theory. Secondly, in section III we introduce a common conceptual framework along with the corresponding assumptions to clearly delimit the problems that are addressed within this survey. Subsequently, in section IV we focus on concrete application areas by specifying the general framework to match the underlying system model and we structure the relevant literature. Last but not least, section V offers a list of open research topics and challenges pertinent to task-oriented communication system design.

C. Notations and acronyms

The notations used throughout the paper are listed in Table I. In general, bold font is used for matrices or scalars which are random and their realizations follows simple font. For ease of presentation, we represent the sequence \( \{x(t)\}_{t=1}^T \) as \( x(t_1^T) \).
II. THEORETICAL CONCEPTS FOR TASK-ORIENTED COMMUNICATION DESIGN

This section focuses on theoretical problems and insights which can have implications or applications on task-oriented communication design. Although the lines are often blurry, we classify contributions across three main axes: information/communication theory, computer science and control theory. Fig. 1 presents a summary of the classification, main theories and selected references.

A. Information/Communication Theory

Relevant results in Information Theory can be traced all the way back to 1971 [4], where the state of the system as well as the observations of agents are modelled as information sources with memory and approached through the lens of rate distortion theory. The paper introduces a quantitative measure to captures the amount of information that is stored in average in the memory of the information source. It is then shown that when source coding is designed subject to any constraint on the distortion, the best achievable rate (corresponding to that distortion constraint) is lower than the achievable rate for a similar source which is memoryless. The
difference between the achievable rate for the a source with memory and a similar source without memory is quantified to be less than or equal to the measure of the memory of the information source. The results of the paper are general enough to apply to the sources with finite memory of any size, e.g. Markov sources with memory size of $L = 1$. Due to the wealth of literature, this section focuses mainly on recent results and surveys, which can be used by the reader to trace back other useful references.

The concept of common randomness [5] is recurrent through the information-theoretic approaches relevant to our framework. Even though its applications are much wider, we focus here on the problem of generating shared uniformly distributed bits across a network of agents, using initial correlated observations as side information, complemented with interactive communication. Common or shared randomness can facilitate the execution of numerous distributed tasks, such as secret key generation, distributed computation, channel coding over arbitrary channels, synchronization, consensus, leader election etc.

**Coordination Capacity:** In the information theory community, the probing of the system through different agents is often modelled as a joint distribution of actions that has to achieved across the agents given constraints on the communication rates. In this context, the work in [6] introduces the concepts of empirical and strong coordination. In this work, the concept of common randomness plays as important role and it is defined as a source of random samples which is available at multiple nodes even if there is no communication among them. Empirical coordination is tightly connected with distortion theory, since the objective it to achieve a desired joint probability distribution in a set of nodes driven by the communicated random samples generated in another set of nodes. The authors begin with toy examples of two or three nodes by examining various topologies such as the cascade and the broadcast channel. Strong coordination extends the paradigm to temporal sequences of samples. The authors in [7] introduce another variation, termed imperfect empirical coordination, aiming to bound the total variation between the joint type of actions and the desired distribution.

**Anytime Capacity:** Another notable contribution in [8] focuses on the intersection of information and control theory, by studying the concept of anytime capacity. In this case, the aim of communication is specifically targeted on stabilizing an unstable linear process (e.g. a plant control loop). While previous works have focused on erasure channels [9], the work in hand addresses noisy channels. The focus is on a small-scale scalar system model with a single
observer who communicated over a noisy channel with a single controller. The controller can send both control signals to the system and feedback to the observer with a one step delay. The main result is the “equivalence” between stabilization over noisy feedback channels and reliable communication over noisy channels with feedback. A key point in the model is that the decoder of the controller has to provide increasingly reliable estimates for all received past messages, as there is no side information about the message timing as required by the system. The reliability of the messages should increase sufficiently rapidly as time evolves to that the system remains stable.

*Information Bottleneck:* The information bottleneck [10] is an interesting construct with hidden implications towards task-oriented communication design. Let us consider the following formulation which considers three random variables.

$$\max_{t \in \mathcal{T}} I(x; t)$$

subject to

$$I(t; y) < R.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The aim of the information bottleneck is to compress the information in $y$ into $t$ following the rate constraint $R$, such that $t$ can provide the most useful information about $x$ in the mutual information sense. In this context, it has been recognized that information bottleneck problem, provides a method to extract the information of $y$ which is most relevant for estimating $x$ [11] or to extract the information in $y$ which is most relevant in approximating $x$. Now let us consider a toy example of sequential decision making for two agents, where one receives an observation $y$ that has to be, at least partly, communicated to the other one in order to maximize the expected cumulative reward. The random variable $x$ can be seen as the expected cumulative reward of the system given action $a$ and the current state of the system, where the state is jointly defined by $\langle y, y' \rangle$. The parameter $t$ is the communication message that the first agent is about to transmit based on its observation $y$ to facilitate the control decision $a$ by the agent $j$. Accordingly, by solving a (conditional) information bottleneck problem, at the side of encoder, we can optimize the communication of observations $y$ of agent $i$, by compressing them to $t$ while ensuring that the compressed communication message $t$ has yet the maximum possible information about the conditional expected return $x$. Fig. 2 illustrates the setting of this problem. When switch (1) and (2) are both off the problem reduces to a rate-distortion problem with memory at encoder of the agent $i$. The work done in [12] was first to show the connection of
the information bottleneck problem and rate-distortion with logarithmic loss. To stay consistent with the framework that will be proposed in section III, we assume the switch (1) to be always on. Accordingly, conditioned on the switch (2) being on, the coding in the encoder of agent $j$ should be done such that it maximizes the conditional mutual information $I(x; t | y')$. A solution to the conditional information bottleneck problem is provided by [13].

**Multi-agent coordination under imperfect observations:** The contribution in [14], [15] lies in the intersection of information and communication theory. The focus is on multi-agent systems that have to coordinate to maximize their long-term utility functions based on system observations impaired by an i.i.d. process. The particular example focuses on distributed power control and the system state contains the channel gains, which are partially and imperfectly known to each agent. The authors formalize the optimal problem and provide an achievable solution based sequential best response dynamics.

**B. Control Theory**

After the advent of the networked control systems [16], [17], the pioneering work [18] done by Tatikonda and Mitter, was successful in developing a cohesive data rate theorem. The paper studies the stability of a control system under a rate-limited but reliable communication channel. It is assumed that the rate of communication is time invariable, the state process is a discrete random variable, and the disturbances that the system is subject to are bounded. They show that the necessary conditions on the rate of the communication channel is independent of the algorithms used for encoding and decoding the communication messages. It has been also shown that these necessary conditions on the channel rate is also independent from the information
patterns of the networked control system.

There has been a plethora of subsequent works afterwards to extend this setting, to more realistic scenarios. Authors in paper [19] extended this framework to cases where the support of system disturbances is unbounded. Martins accommodated time-varying rates for the communication channel in the framework, however, their results were limited to first order linear systems with bounded disturbances [20]. Paper [21] widened the applicability of the data rate theorem to finite dimensional linear systems with unbounded disturbance and time varying rate for the communication channel. In contrast to the previous works [19]–[21], Liu in [22] considers the joint effect of all the two parameters of latency and data rate by finding a region of stability that indicates the necessary and sufficient values of data-rate as well as latency. Huang also considered the joint effect of all the three parameters of latency, reliability and data rate on the control system [23]. It was shown by Kostina that for a fully observable linear system, a lower bound for the rate-cost function can be computed even when the system disturbances are not generated by a Gaussian process [24]. The results provided by their research can even be generalized to partially observed linear systems, when the observation noise is Gaussian. The rate-cost function is the minimum required bit-rate that can guarantee the system state to be upper-bounded by a certain value.

Interested readers can find more details about the solutions proposed to the control of a linear system over a communication network in the following surveys and books [25]. While most of the works discussed above consider the state process to be generated by a linear system with added Gaussian system/measurement noise, fewer works have targeted the state processes which are generated by Markov jump linear processes (MJLP) [26]–[29] or Markov Decision Process [30]–[32].

State aggregation for dynamic programming has been studied for long among the communities of control theory and operations research [31], [33]–[35]. Successive approximation is one of the main tools leveraged to form a trade-off between the accuracy of the solution of the dynamic programming problem and its computational complexity [33], [36]. Later, adaptive algorithms for state aggregation were proposed which could recompose the aggregation of states during the iterations [31]. The major driving force for researchers, in the past, to work on this problem has been to enhance the computational efficiency of the algorithms. However, the algorithms that they have made available, can now be used to efficiently represent the state of environment
while minimizing the degradation of the objective function. This area is also well explored by the community of computer science and is addressed in the next subsection.

Shafieepoorfard and Raginsky, have studied the problem of controlling a Markov Decision Process while the agent is subject to observation constraint [37]. In particular, the observations of the agent here are considered to have a limited mutual information with the state of the system. Most of the literature in control theory society either treats a given medium for observations as a given constraint or considers transmissions of the sensory system as an additional cost [38]. The work in [37] together with [39] can be considered among the very first few papers which solve the problem of finding a stochastic control function in conjunction with the control problem. The problem of joint design of the observation and control policy is studied in this paper in its very general form as the policies are not considered as deterministic but as stochastic functions, where the transitions of the system are also considered to be stochastic. The problem of one-shot control-communication policy optimization under mutual information constraint, is first shown by authors to be a form of rate-distortion problem. Armed with this analytical result, authors consequently use the Bellman equations to reformulated the core problem as a one-shot control policy optimization under mutual information constraint. This particular way that the infinite horizon control problem under observation constraint is formulated by [37] was first introduced by Sims in his seminal work [40] which won him a Nobel prize.

In [40] Sims could explain the limited correlation between the behavior of economic agents and the information they have access to, observing the information through a rate limited channel. One application for this novel way of viewing/modeling economic agents is to solve the permanent income problem where there is limited information about the labour as well as the wealth, which is a dynamic programming problem with mutual information constraint on the state information of the system. In [41], [42] the concept of information constrained dynamic programming is brought into the context of multi-agent coordination. Agents are limited to local observations but are allowed to communicate through rate-limited channels. Therein, the authors have developed a state aggregation algorithm which enables each agent to compress its generated communication message while maintaining their performance in the collaborative task. Similarly in [43], authors introduce task-based joint source channel coding to solve the problem of multiagent coordination over noisy communication channels. To understand how these works are relevant to our framework, it should be noticed that, in fact, the information constraint on
the observation of the agents in the aforementioned works can be translated as the limitation of on the communication channel between the observer of the environment and the controller.

C. Computer Science

Function approximation has played an essential role in the reinforcement learning (RL) literature to overcome the limitations of the Q-learning method. The authors of [44], [45] have built the foundation of function approximation RL. Therein, the convergence of function approximations which are linearly combined from basis functions over a state space are established. This result opens up a wide range applications of RL as it only requires a compact representation of the cost-to-go function, in which there are fewer number of parameters than states. In [46], a neural network based on reinforcement learning, namely neural fitted Q (NFQ), is proposed. NFQ comprises of a multi-layer perceptron which is able to store and reuse the transition experience. It is shown therein that NFQ can effectively train a model-free Q-value and achieve the control policy after few communications rounds. The authors of [47] consider similar fitted Q-iteration applied to continuous state and continuous actions batch reinforcement learning. The goal is to achieve a good policy generalized from a sufficient number of generated trajectories. The authors have developed first finite-time bound for value-function based algorithms applied to continuous state and action problems. The authors of [48] extend the temporal-difference learning proposed in [44] to stochastic control settings via convergence analysis of several variations of Q-learning under function approximation. Therein, the condition for the approximate methods to converge with probability one is identified. The advantages of approximate RL have been successfully demonstrated in real-world environments in Atari game in [49], [50]. Therein, the deep Q-network was able learn the policies directly from the pixels and the game score and outperforms all existing learning models.

A lossless compression scheme has been proposed by [51]–[53] for the collaborative tasks where the observations of the distributed decision makers are generated by a (Decentralized) partially observable Markov decision process (Dec-)POMDP. Authors suggest an optimal clustering scheme to partition the history of observations of an agent such that no loss in the team objective occurs. While the main intention of the paper is to reduce the complexity of distributed computations done at each individual decision maker, the proposed algorithm can have substantial applications in data compression when a group of collaborative decision
makers intend to communicate through rate-limited channels. Similar to these works, [41] also proposes k-median clustering to find a proper abstraction of agents’ observations before they are communicated to other agents through a communication channel. It is shown that the problem of agents’ observation abstraction in a multiagent setting is, in fact, a generalized version of rate-distortion problem.

A general multi-agent framework has been proposed in [54] for mixed cooperative and competitive multi-agent environments. Moving from the common assumption in multi-agent studies, which assumes observations and policies of all agents are available at every agent, this work proposed to learn the approximation of other agents’ policies via the maximization of the log probability of the agent. In order to maintain the robustness of the proposed policy, the agents employ policy ensembles that are trained for a wide range of policies. Nevertheless, the developed framework allows to evaluate the impact of the communication among the agents on the learning policy by limiting the observed information from other agents.

Efficient communication strategies have been studied in [55], [56] to save the communications in distributed learning systems. Therein, the authors have proposed a so-called LASG (Lazily aggregated stochastic gradients), which adaptively determines when to communicate. The main idea of LASG is based on the new communication rule that determines the informative content of the gradients after each round based on the different of the fresh and staled gradients. By properly implementing the rules, both downlink and uplink load can be reduced, since only nodes with certain informative update communicate. It was shown that the proposed LASG therein achieves similar convergence as the conventional SGD and significantly reduces the communications load.

The authors of [57] proposed a framework for states abstraction via aggregating original states into abstract states to reduces states and action spaces. Based on the unified state abstraction framework in [58], the authors therein proposed and proved the existence of four abstractions approximate types that guarantee the gap to the reward using the true state value function. The developed framework therein was tested in five different problems which shows significant reduction in abstract state space and suboptimal value function. In [59], a representation learning scheme was proposed for hierarchical reinforcement learning in which a high-level controller learns to communicate the goals to the lower-level policy that is trained to achieve the goals. It was shown that a good choice of presentation learning policy leads to a bounded suboptimality. Although developed for the single-agent system, these frameworks are useful to reduce the
communication message in multi-agent networks.

III. TASK-ORIENTED COMMUNICATION FRAMEWORK AND SCOPE

As was surveyed in the preceding section, the task-oriented communication design has been investigated through many different viewpoints. There can be found many direct/indirect task-oriented design schemes of the communication system in the literature of computer science, information/communication theory and control theory. While the theoretical tools that were introduced in section II, were mostly focused on indirect schemes, the succeeding section will explore direct schemes. In contrast to indirect schemes, the direct schemes aim at guaranteeing or improving the performance of the cyber-physical system at a particular task by designing a tailored communication strategy. Since direct schemes are specifically designed for a particular task, they can rarely be generalized to other application scenarios. On the other hand, direct schemes can take the advantage of the available side knowledge about the particular task they are meant to facilitate. As an example, it is seen that one widely used technique to reduce the communication load in distributed machine learning systems, is for distributed devices to not communicate the gradients of magnitude below a certain threshold \([60]–[62]\). The distributed devices can then locally aggregate the gradients which were not communicated until the magnitude of the aggregated gradients surpass the threshold. This communication strategy is usually used in federated learning, since the information that are not communicated (to the server) do not (significantly) lose their value through time in this application. Moreover, the distributed devices know what exact process will be done by the parameter server and can replicate it (at least partly) based on their available local information. These conditions may not hold in other applications that require low latency communications or entail sophisticated processes in the central controller.

Therefore, we believe that having a unified problem formulation would allow us to find commonalities among various task-oriented communication problems, use the available methods in the literature to solve a wider range of task-oriented communication problems and analytically distinguish the differences between them. In this section, we formulate a framework, which is sufficiently generic to capture various examples of task-oriented communication design, as will be shown later in Section [IV]. Furthermore, the proposed framework will establish a common terminology, clarify the underlying assumptions and delimit the targeted problem set.
We start first by explaining the framework of task-oriented communication presented in Fig. 3. There are $K \geq 2$ agents which interact with the system. An agent $i$ at any time step $t$ can locally observe the state $s(t) \in S$ of the system through the observation signal $o_i(t) \in \Omega_i$. The local observation of the agent is revealed via the mutual information between the system state and local observation signal, which is given as

$$H(s(t)) > H(o_i(t)) \geq I(o_i(t); s(t)) > 0,$$

where $H(x(t))$ is the information entropy of the random variable $x(t)$ (in bits) and $I(x_i(t); y(t))$ the mutual information between $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ (in bits). In parallel, an agent $i$ at any time step $t$ can probe the system by sending the probing signal $p_i(t) \in \mathcal{P}_i$ to the system. As the result, the agents can affect the overall state of the system and/or the response signal $r(t)$ of it. Each agent $i$ can communicate their observations to each other at any time step $t$ by sending a communication message $c_i(t) \in \mathcal{C}$, where the received message from agent $j$ is denoted by $\tilde{c}_{ji}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$. While $\mathcal{C}$ stands for the alphabet from which an agent can select its communication message, the set $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers as the distorted version of $c_i(t)$ can be a complex number.

We do not assume a specific model for the state evolution of the system, although any task-
oriented design of the inter-agent communication requires a consistent and unique objective function to be in place. The objective function here is the basis upon which we can measure the performance of the agent’s collaborative probing of the system and it is assumed to be shown as a side information based on the targeted task. In some example scenarios, the objective function can be the accomplishment of an industrial task with enough precision in an industrial IoT framework, not missing or mistaking the target within a time horizon in a UAV object tracking framework, or having a minimal sum of errors throughout a limited time horizon in the central server of a distributed training system in the framework of federated learning, see Section IV for further details on these examples.

To delimit the problems that fall under our introduced framework, we set a list of fundamental assumptions in the following:

- The whole framework consists of at least \( k \geq 2 \) agents.
- There is a single, common, and consistent single-variate objective function. The objective function should not vary through the time horizon for which the problem is solved. The study for competing or non-aligned objectives for different agents is not considered by our framework.
- We assume that there are \( k' \geq 1 \) number of agents for whom the eq. (2) holds strictly otherwise there will be no need for communication among agents e.g., in the case of centralized design, the action selections for all agents could be carried out locally.
- We assume that the local/global response signal of the system is available to all agents at no cost. In case that agents have local response signals, we assume that the global response signal can be represented as a function of the local responses.

Remark: We do not assume that the objective function is known in its analytic form by the task-oriented communication designer. Potential side information about the equations governing the evolution of the system state and the objective function can be exploited to design task-oriented communication policies using optimization/dynamic programming techniques. Nevertheless, even if we only have access to sampled data points of the objective function, one could resort to machine learning techniques for data-aided communication policy design. Machine learning techniques can also be promising to give rather generic solutions to the problem of task-oriented communication design, since they can generalize over tasks and systems that are not analytically tractable.
A. Centralized Task-Based Communication Design

The aim of this subsection is to cast the problem of task-oriented communication design in a centralized manner and to provide some insights about how it can generalize many interesting communication design problems. To this end, we start by formulating the probing problem at any time $t_0$ as an exact/empirical risk minimization of the functional

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{ \mathcal{J}\left(s(t_{t_0-n}), p_1(t_0), \ldots, p_K(t_0)\right) \right\},$$

over all possible functions $z_i: S^{n+1} \times P_i \to [0, 1]$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$, where $n+1$ indicates the order of the memory of the system, equivalently how many of the past states of the system can be sufficient to statistically represent it. Each function $z_i(\cdot)$ provides a stochastic policy which selects $p_i(t_0) = p_i \in P_i$ with a certain probability i.e., $z_i(s(t_{t_0-n}), p_i)$. The risk function itself can be defined in terms of the system response signal $r(\cdot): \times_{i=1}^K P_i \times S^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$, defined as

$$\mathcal{J}\left(s(t_{t_0-n}), p_1(t_0), \ldots, p_K(t_0)\right) = \sum_{t_0=1}^{t_0=h} r\left(s(t_{t_0-n}), p_1(t_0), \ldots, p_K(t_0)\right),$$

where each agent can have access to the global system response signal $r(\cdot)$ and $h \in (1, \infty]$ is the time horizon over which the risk is computed. In the case of the access of the agents to the local reward signals $r_i(\cdot): \times_{i=1}^K P_i \times S^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$, see e.g., references [63], [64] and [65, Sec. II. B. 3], the risk function can be defined as

$$\sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{t_0=1}^{t_0=h} r_i\left(s(t_{t_0-n}), p_1(t_0), \ldots, p_K(t_0)\right).$$

Now let’s assume that each function $z_i^*(s(t_{t_0-n})) : S^{n+1} \times P_i \to [0, 1]$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$ is the optimal solution to the problem (3). Accordingly, the function $\mathcal{J}\left(s(t_{t_0-n}), z_1^*(s(t_{t_0-n})), \ldots, z_k^*(s(t_{t_0-n}))\right) = \mathcal{J}^*(s(t_{t_0-n}))$ yields the optimal expected value of the risk functional $\mathcal{J}(\cdot)$.

To solve the probing problem, we assume to have access to the global state of the system. As this assumption might be violated due to the limited rate of the communication channel and local observability of the agents, we have to solve this problem with approximated value of the state of the system instead of the true value. Consequently, the goal is to minimize the cost of the difference between the optimal risk of the probing problem and the best risk value attainable for the approximated probing problem. In particular, we can formulate the joint source channel
coding and probing problem at time \( t \) as the following exact/empirical risk minimization:

\[
\min_{\zeta, \psi} \mathbb{E}\left\{ D\left( J^*\left(s(t_{i-n})\right), \tilde{J}_{\zeta, \psi}\left(\hat{s}(t_{i-n})\right)\right) \right\},
\]

(5)

where the \( \tilde{J}_{\zeta, \psi}(\hat{s}(t_{i-n})) \) itself is the optimal functional obtained by solving the variational approximated probing problem, which is stated as follows:

\[
\min_{z_1(\cdot), \ldots, z_k(\cdot)} \mathbb{E}\left\{ J\left(\hat{s}(t_{i-n}), p_1(t), \ldots, p_K(t)\right) \right\},
\]

(6)

where the tuple \( \zeta = (\zeta_1(\cdot), \ldots, \zeta_k(\cdot)) \) is composed of \( k \) functions and for all \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \) the function \( \zeta_i(\cdot) : \Omega_i \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, 1] \) does the task of the joint source-channel coding in the transmitter of agent \( i \). Moreover, the function \( \psi(\cdot) : \mathcal{C}^k \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1] \) does the task of soft decoding in the receiver of the centralized controller and \( D(\cdot) \) is an arbitrary distortion function. Function \( D(\cdot) \) measures the cost of difference between the optimal objective function \( J^*(s(t_{i-n})) \) and the functional \( \tilde{J}_{\zeta, \psi}(\hat{s}(t_{i-n})) \) obtained by solving (6). The subscripts \( \zeta \) and \( \psi \) of \( \tilde{J}(\cdot) \) present the dependency of the solution to the problem (6) on the joint source-channel coding and decoding policies since

\[
\tilde{J}_{\zeta, \psi}(\hat{s}(t_{i-n})) = \tilde{J}_{\zeta, \psi}\left(\psi\left(\zeta_1(o_1(t_{i-n})), \ldots, \zeta_k(o_k(t_{i-n}))\right)\right).
\]

In fact, finding the optimal answer to the problem (6), in its general functional form \( \tilde{J}_{\zeta, \psi}(\hat{s}(t_{i-n})) \), for any particular application is not a trivial task to accomplish. However, if functional form of \( \tilde{J}_{\zeta, \psi}(\hat{s}(t_{i-n})) \) could be found, we could decouple the control problem in (6) and the joint source-channel coding problem in (5). As a result, problem (5) can be solved using the existing techniques for joint source channel coding [66]–[68]. One special case of the problem (5) is where \( \zeta_i(\cdot) \) does only the task of source coding. As such, problem (5) can be easily translated as a generalized form of rate-distortion problem which can be solved using Blahut-Arimoto Algorithm [69], [70], if the source of information \( s(t_{i-n}) \) is memoryless, or using [71]–[73] if the source of information has memory.

The differences between different task-oriented communication problems come from the variety of factors. The distortion function \( D(\cdot) \) and the memory of the information source to be communicated are among those factors. As before, the communication channel over which a task-oriented design of the communication can be carried out is also of the essence. Moreover, the system model or the process by which state of the system is generated becomes another reason to
have different task-oriented communication problems. Different parts of the communication stack that can be jointly designed with the probing problem provide us with another spectrum of task-oriented communication problems. Hereafter, we will attempt to characterize many of different task-oriented communication problems, studied in the literature, by specifying the unique aspects of the problem (1) that they consider. We believe this can be a step forward to understand what is the underlying structure of all task-oriented communication problems despite the different look they may have.

B. Agent Architectures

For a point-to-point communication problem, when there is no constraint on the delay of the communications, it is proven that the design of source and channel codes can be performed separately [2], [74] under not very restrictive conditions [75]. In addition to their provable performance, separately designed source and channel codes are practically appealing. Accordingly, separate design of all the blocks of a communication stack, source coding, channel coding and modulation which is performed independent also from the design of control policy is what conventional communication systems offer. Fig. 4 depicts an example architecture of an agent in which the design of the communication sub-systems as well as the control policy is implemented separately.

It is, however, well-known that the separate design of source and channel coding does not result in desirable performance over a network of users [76], [77]. Also joint design of channel coder/decoder and modulator/demodulator is known to obtain much better coding gains while not sacrificing the spectral efficiency [78]–[80]. This encourages the co-design of some communication sub-systems [78]–[82]. Fig. 5 depicts the architecture of an agent in which the design
of all or some of the transceiver sub-systems is done jointly, yet the design of controller is done separately.

Similarly, the design of the control policy and receiver/transmitter is often carried out separately [83, Ch. 8.3]. It is shown under some conditions, the design of transceiver and controller can be decoupled [84], or the separation of source (de)coding design (for a source generated by a Markov decision process) from the controller is conditionally possible [41]. However, the conditions governing the separability of the control policy and the communication under general conditions is largely unknown. The massive emerging literature on "networked control", as surveyed in section II-B is studying the co-design of communication and control policies for a network of controllers and attempts to measure the effect of communication design on the stability of the system to be controlled and the performance of the controller in achieving its task, minimizing the cost function.

All the works which have achieved a performance gain by jointly designing the control policy and a part of the subsystem of communication of a plant/agent/user/robot/decision maker motivate the joint design of computing and communication agents. Fig. 6 is the very extreme end of joint
design of communication and control policies. Since the communication stack is composed of many inter-dependant sub-systems, on both receiving and transmitting ends, there are numerous ways to jointly/separately design the whole sub-systems of the stack. Any combination of the communication stack sub-systems and the control policy can potentially be jointly designed, which constructs a wide range of possible architectures for an agent. The figures demonstrated in this subsection are just some of the realizations of these many possible architectures.

IV. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we demonstrate how the proposed framework captures the most popular applications of task-oriented communication networks, which includes Industrial IoTs, UAV Communications Networks, Tactile Internet, and Federated Learning.

A. Industrial IoTs

The block diagram of the task-oriented communications for the industrial IoTs is depicted in Fig. 7. In this figure, the system response $r(t)$ is the negative of the stage cost function received after the system is probed by the plant $i$ through the signal $p_i(t)$.

In [64], [85], an industrial IoT system is studied, where a number of plants e.g., chemical plants or robots, are controlled by a single controlling unit through slow/fast fading channels. The aim is to reduce the communication latency to meet the ultra low-latency requirements of industry. The authors propose a coding-free communication scheme of the central controller with
the plants to optimize a shared cost function among all the plants under a power constraint at the central controller. A power control algorithm is proposed to solve the problem. In [64], the global stage cost function is not locally observable by each plant. Similarly, authors in [63] also consider a coordination problem where the system response signal is partially observable by the agents. The authors of [86] consider the implications of the information rate of a channel on the system stability, where the plants are collocated with sensors and their up-link channels are lossy. The effect of time-variant information rate is considered jointly with the potentially unbounded system disturbances. In particular, the authors have analytically measured the effect of information rate of the channel on the estimation error of the system state. This allows to acquire a precise requirement on the information rate of the plant’s up-link channel depending the magnitude of the unstable mode of the system. For multidimensional linear systems, the necessary information rate of the channel is acquired based on the summation of unstable eigenvalues of the open loop. In [86], however, the joint effect of communication parameters i.e., latency, reliability and data-rate are not considered.

The trade-off between the reliability of a communication message and its latency is studied in [23] for an industrial IoT application. To study this trade-off, the impact of the age of information that is received by the plant(s) from the central controller is investigated. This is done by obtaining the value function of every possible code length through value iteration algorithm. To be able to run a value iteration algorithm, the interaction of the central controller with the whole system, including the plants, is modelled by a semi Markov decision process. Therein, the length of error correction codes is designed considering the current state of the system rather than considering just the CSI of the communication channel. In that sense, the error correction block of the agents are co-designed with their control policy block.

In [22] the system to be controlled is linear and the up-link channel of the plant(s) is considered to be noise-free. Whereas, the downlink channel of the plants is assumed to be an AWGN feedback channel addressing the needs of low-mobility IIoT applications. The paper obtains a region of stability that indicates the necessary and sufficient values of communication parameters (i) information rate as well as (ii) length of error correction code blocks such that the stability of the system is insured. The paper assumes an ideal quantization and control policy to be followed across the network. Accordingly, the quantization as well as the control policy consider the history of all the communication and feedback signals exchanged between the plant and the controller.
The paper also computes the average lower and upper bound of the task’s cost function where the bounds are obtained as functions of communication parameters, information rate and length of error correction code blocks. The authors of [87] solve a problem very similar to that of [23], where the difference is that in the former, the up-link channel of the plant(s) is considered to be noisy.

Although the separability of the estimator of the system’s state from the controller is studied in a work such as [84], the separability of communication and control designs remain largely unknown. Authors in [41] have studied the separability of control design and source coding under mild conditions. Necessary data rate to guarantee a bounded cost function is obtained by [18], [19], [88], where communication channels are considered to be noise-free.

B. UAV Communications Networks

Unmanned areal vehicles (UAV) play an important role in the development of 5G and beyond systems due to their flexible and low-cost deployments. The applications of UAV vary from complementary service to existing infrastructure to stand-alone service in remote areas or emergency scenarios. Fig. 8 sketches the diagram of the proposed task-oriented communication framework applied to the UAV communications networks.

Recently, the adoption of multiple UAVs has received much attention. Compared to single-UAV system, the main challenge of multi-UAV systems is how to efficiently coordinate the UAVs’ operations under limited communication resources. Most of the works on multi-UAV to date, however, assume perfect communications among the UAVs and only focus on the UAVs’ action policy [89]–[97]. In [90], a reinforcement learning-based sense-and-send framework is
proposed for UAV networks. Therein, a BS communicates with multiple UAVs which sense data and then send it back to the BS. The objective is to maximize the sensed data sent back to the BS. To simplify the model, the authors adopt probabilistic sensing model and orthogonal sub-carriers are assumes, hence there is no interference. The channel gain is modelled as either LOS and NLOS, depending on BS-UAV distance. By modeling the system states as MDP, with three steps transmission protocol: beacon, sensing, and data transmission, a refined action space is proposed to accelerate the learning performance.

The authors of [91] propose a Deep Q-network in multi-UAV added communications systems in which multiple UAVs serve ground users. The objective is to optimize the movements of the UAVs to maximize the system sum-rate, subject to a constraint on the minimum number of served users. Dueling DQN which uses neural network and dueling update is employed as the learning solution. In [92], the authors propose a simultaneous target assignment and path planning based on multi-agent deep deterministic policy gradient (MADDPG) for multi-UAV system. The target is to find the shortest path for all the UAV while avoiding collision of the task assignment. Full knowledge is assumed to be available to all UAV during the training. The authors of [97] propose a DRL-based algorithm for multi-UAV networks to optimize the 3D-deployment of the UAVs. The target is to maximize the quality of experience, defined as a weighted sum of scores of rate and delay. Q-learning and deep Q-learning is used to model the problem. However, similar to the previous work, the communication among the UAVs is not addressed. In [93], the authors study the interference management of the UAV-aided cellular network, in which the UAVs and the users share the same frequency bandwidth and talk with the BSs. The objective is to maximize the average user’s rate via the UAV’s trajectory optimization. The problem is modeled as a non-cooperative game theory problem with full information. Thus, the communication among the UAVs is not addressed. The authors of [95] considers the UAV-aided sense-and-send application in which the UAV, after sensing the target, compete to access the sub-channel to send the sensed data to a mobile device. By taking into account all possible UAV’s actions, the state transition probability is derived, from which a DRL method is proposed assuming centralized architecture. In [96], the authors study the UAV networks for maximizing the coverage of an area of interest, dividing into clusters. Each UAV provides service to one cluster. The goal is to design the UAVs’ navigation policy to maximize the average coverage, as well as fairness among the clusters. Although modeling the problem as POMDP, it assumes
unconstrained information exchange among the UAVs for free. The authors of [98] investigate coordinated flight problem in multi-UAV systems in which the UAVs exchange their local location in order to execute given missions. Therein, an adaptive binary coding scheme is proposed based on adaptive zooming that adjusts the quantization level according the moving average of input binary signal.

We note that the works above either do not consider the communications among the UAVs or consider perfect communications, which is in contrast to practical scenarios. In [94], the authors propose a RL-based framework for UAV aided network slicing. Assuming mobile edge computing (MEC) UAV, each UAV serves a number of tasks in an area of interest. The UAV can choose to perform the jobs in its region on its own, or offload to a neighboring UAV. Assuming the job arrival follows the Switched Batch Bernoulli Process, the transition probability matrix is derived taking into account the states of the region and queues at the UAVs. Although the communication among the UAVs is considered, the communication rate is fixed and it does not actively interact with the learning process.

One of the most important research topics in UAV study is UAV swarms, a (large) group of UAVs which collaboratively perform some task. The major challenge in UAV swarms compared to UAV-assisted communication networks lies in the lack of centralized control due to its very large and highly dynamic topology. Unlike UAV-aided communications, where the interaction among the UAVs is aided by a centralized node, e.g., base station, the communication in UAV swarm is usually done via mesh networks. Due to the large topology, each UAV can obtain only partial observation of the environment and needs to cooperate with other UAVs to improve the learning process. An efficient way to leverage the collaboration in UAV swarms is to employ an interaction graph, which maintains a set of neighbor nodes for each UAV. This principle is considered in [99], [100], which study the impact of the communication among the UAVs to the distributed reinforcement learning task. In particular, they demonstrate via a rendez-vous problem that allowing more exchanged information among neighbor UAVs can significantly accelerate the learning process and results in higher rewards. The authors of [101] analyze the communication impacts on multi-robot multi-target tracking problem, where each flying robot can only communicate with its neighbors within its transmission range. Therein, two learning algorithms are proposed to achieve the agreement among the robots under limited communication time.
C. Tactile Internet

To enhance the degree of immersion of the user in distant communications, it is known that the communication of haptic information can play a crucial role. In the well-known scenario of telepresence and teleaction (TPTA), a human user interacts with a remote environment through: (i) a human system interface, (ii) a communication link, and (iii) the teleoperator. Such interactions involve both communications messages and action policies and should be carefully designed. Fig. 9 presents how the proposed task-oriented communication framework can be used to model Tactile Internet scenario.

When teleoperation is performed over a communication channel with potential delays, noise, and uncertainties, it can be shown that achieving good performance in the teleoperation task can be formulated as a task-oriented communication problem [102]. Haptic information can be divided in two different classes. The first class, called kinesthetic information, includes data related to muscle activation and movements. The second class, called tactile data, refers to the perception of pressure, texture, and temperature [103].

Communication of the first category of haptic data, kinesthetic data, involves the movement of an actuator of the teleoperator in such a way that a particular task is done with the best possible performance. Some examples for the tasks that require communication of kinesthetic data can be medical teleoperations or playing a musical instrument remotely. In the both of the mentioned
examples, achieving a good level of performance in the task is not equivalent to reducing the distortion between a reference (desired) action signal and the controlled action process. While a wrong movement of teleoperator parallel to the surface of a piano keys generates no undesired musical note, an error in the controlled action process along the axis vertical to the surface of the piano can generate a wrong musical note. Let us recall that even wrong movements vertical to surface of the piano, depending on the location of the actuator and the magnitude of actuation error, may or may not cause any cost in task. Accordingly, the cost function of the task cannot be simply characterized by a mean squared error of the action process. Instead, a task-oriented cost function should be considered to achieve (close to optimal) performance in the task. Moreover, communication of kinesthetic data is of one particular sensitivity. Due to the stability requirements of the control loop on the teleoperator end, signal processing algorithms that are used at both sending and receiving ends should not cause large algorithmic delays [104].

On the other hand, task-oriented communication of tactile information, the second category of haptic information, can also contribute to the improvement of the system performance. In this case, the cost function of the task is imposed by the perceptive abilities of an average human. Although there might be a difference between the communicated tactile signal and the signal received at the other end of the communication channel, if the difference between the two signal is beyond the perception of an average human, no cost should be associated to this communication error [105], [106]. The authors in [106] utilize the deadband principle to reduce the rate of communication of haptic information. The deadband principle is understood based on the perceptive abilities of an average human. According to this principle, the haptic information \( s(t) \) is transmitted only if difference between the last communicated haptic data \( s(t - m) \) and the current available haptic data \( s(t) \) is perceptible by the human operator. While it is obvious that widening the deadband will result in more distortion, this may not affect the performance of task, which can be measured by the level of preciseness the task is performed by the user [104]. Accordingly, finding the relationship between the achieved compression rate of the haptic information and the performance of the task is considered to be of substantial value [104].

The above-mentioned relationship is studied in [24], under several assumptions: (i) the uplink channel is noise-free, (ii) the cost function is considered to be a regularized quadratic function of the error in state of the system, (iii) the downlink channel noise is an additive white Gaussian noise and (iv) and the system state is generated by a stochastic linear model.
Figure 10. A Centralized Federated Learning system illustrated using the Task-Based Communication framework.

D. Federated Learning

A heuristic is proposed in [60] to reduce the size of communication messages between the central node and the computing the devices in a distributed empirical risk minimization task. The task is to optimize a parameterized model by solving

\[ \arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(\theta, X_i), \]  

(7)

where \( l(\theta, X_i) \) captures the loss caused at the data point \( X_i \) when the model parameters are chosen to be \( \theta \), index of \( X_i \) shows a particular training data. The task is done by applying stochastic gradient descent (SGD) distributively where the gradient of the cost function at each node \( k \) and iteration \( t \), given as

\[ g_{k,t} = \frac{1}{B_k} \sum_{i=1}^{B_k} \nabla l(\theta_t, X_{i,k}), \]  

(8)
which is computed according to the available local training data \( \{ X_{1,k}, \ldots, X_{B_k,k} \} \) at each node. The nodes, then can communicate their computed gradients to the master node where the (stochastic) gradient can be computed. Since the communication between distributed computing nodes is seen to be a major bottleneck, authors in [60], together with many similar works [107]–[112], introduce a scheme to reduce the size of communication messages as to enhance the speed of convergence as well as to overcome communication bandwidth constraints. All these papers are about to solve very similar problems which can be generalized as

\[
\min_{Q(x)} \mathbb{E}_{p(y,x)} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(X_i, Y_i) \right\}
\]

s.t. \( I(Y_i; X_i) \),

where \( y = Q(x) \) and \( Q(x) \) is a quantization function that defines equivalence relations on \( \text{Supp}(X) \) and in this special case, the cost function \( \mathcal{L}(\cdot) \) can be expressed as \( \mathcal{L}_{y|x}(X, Y) = \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i - X_i \|_2 \). The solution to problem (9) under limited rate of communication channels is presented in the Appendix. Following the proposed scheme, authors in [60] report a significant compression ratio while virtually no loss is seen in optimizing the cost function. The scheme proposed by [60] first finds a threshold for the magnitude of the gradient vectors, above which the computed gradient of a node will be considered for communication to the master node. The gradient vectors with lower size than the threshold, however, will remain in the node and will be accumulated with the rest of gradients that will/already have computed by the same node and have not be qualified for transmission. While in [107]–[109], the communication channels are considered to be rate-limited but error-free, works done in [110]–[112] (partially) consider the effects of the physical layer features of the communication links on the problem of federated learning.

V. CHALLENGES AND OPEN PROBLEMS

A. Challenges of the Framework

The current framework assumes the availability of the common reward at all agents at no cost. In practice, the reward has to be send to the agents via (wireless) communication channels. Therefore, the distribution of rewards among the agents must be taken into account when designing the communication strategy in such cases. Another issue is how the training is done. It is well known that centralized training can provide optimal solution for the multi-agent
system. However, the centralized training architecture is not always available, especially in dynamic multi-agent systems. This asks for efficient distributed training design, in which the communications among the agents during the training phase is crucial and needs to be properly designed. So far, the communication is optimized based on the codebook and resource allocation philosophy. While this method is efficient for point-to-point and small networks, it is difficult to generalize to massive networks or takes into account latency and privacy constrained aspects. Another challenge in designing task-oriented communication system is how to properly take into consideration different reward functions for different agents. In an extreme, the agents can be competitive rather than collaborative [113]. In these cases, the communications should be adapted to specify individual target, which in turns affects both action and communication policies of the agents.

B. Industrial Internet-of-Things Networks

The agents in IIoT systems differ from other applications in two main features: light computational capability and limited energy budget. Consequently, energy-efficient communications will be the first design criteria for IIoT applications. Meanwhile, IIoT systems usually demand timely decision-making actions and consequently stringent latency, which contradict to the energy-efficiency target. This trade-off between energy efficiency and reliability asks for new design method for IIoT networks. Ultra-reliable low latency communications (URLLC) is a promising solution for designing the communications in multi-agent IIoT systems. However, the current URLLC design does not take into account the requirements for the learning process. Therefore, the URLLC property should be jointly considered with the action policies with regard to the energy can computation capacities of IIoT nodes.

C. UAV Communications Networks

UAV communications play an essential role in the future communications networks as not only a stand-alone system in dedicated areas but also complementary parts of the cellular networks. More specifically, multiple UAVs can cooperate to provide communications in isolated areas for rescuing or sensing purposes, or they can aid the macro BSs to enhance handover or provide ultra-reliable communications to ground users. In either cases, reliable communications among the UAVs is key to improve the overall performance of the UAV networks. One challenge in
UAV communications networks is how to jointly design the trajectory of every UAV to reduce collision risk and improve the system energy efficiency. However, because the UAVs usually operate in dynamic environment, conventional methods may not be applied due to the lack of proper system modeling. In fact, the UAVs’ optimal trajectories are difficult, and sometimes unable to obtain as they depend on the movements and actions of all the UAVs. Therefore, one efficient way is that the UAV optimizes its trajectory and action policy while listening to the others, which requires efficient communications among the UAVs. Efficient communications should cover both how and what to communicate. On one hand, the UAVs should have to be well coordinated in accessing the common channel to avoid interference. On the other hand, each UAV has to determine what message to communicate to other UAVs in order to maximize the UAVs’ collaboration. As a result, this asks for a novel design paradigm that optimizes the communications based on specific semantics.

D. Federated Learning

One of issues in FL is the uneven distribution of data among the edge nodes. Because the update at the edge nodes are based on their locally available data, the contribution to the aggregated system parameters varies from one edge node to others. As a result, always-transmit policy is no longer the optimal transmission in FL. In fact, a node which does not have sufficient data usually generates bias gradient parameters. Sending these parameters to the server does not improve, and sometimes harms the learning process. This asks for a context-aware transmission policy to tackle this issue. It is shown in [114] that a proper transmission policy can improve the FL in terms of both energy efficiency and convergence performance. Another issue in FL is the different privacy levels among the edge nodes, which requires unequal-protection transmission and coding designs. Optimizing the source-channel coding to satisfy the privacy requirements and improve the learning convergence is usually difficult and requires novel system design perspectives.

E. Mobile Edge Computing

Mobile edge computing (MEC) will be a key component in the 5GB architecture to implement the intelligence on the network edge. By being equipped with both computational and storage capability, MEC nodes are able to determine to perform requested tasks locally or offloading
them to the cloud server. Most of existing works consider single MEC node that can optimally make task offloading decision. When applying to multiple cooperative MEC nodes, such methods, however, no longer render optimal policies. This is because in multiple MEC agents context, one node’s action can have affects on other nodes. Furthermore, as the MEC nodes usually share the same communication medium, e.g., channel bandwidth, it requires proper transmission design to efficiently mitigate interference among the MEC agents. The major challenge is how to jointly design the MEC’s local action policy with communications policy to balance the exploration-exploitation tradeoff. The authors of [115] has shown the potential of such joint design for IIoT systems, in which edge-device acts as a machine-type agent (MTA). The MTAs collaboratively learn optimal policy for channel access and task offloading in multi sub-carrier D2D environment. At every time slot, each MTA determines to compute the task locally or off-loads the task to the MEC server. By modeling the state space including off-loading decisions, channel access status and computation task, the authors proposed to use multiagent deep deterministic policy gradients algorithm on actor-critic network at each MTA, then the MTAs exchange their locally trained model to generalize the global model. The benefit of multiagent MEC system presented in [115] is based on an assumption that all the edge nodes can perfectly communicate to each other during the training phase. In many practical cases, such assumption rarely occurs due to imperfect communications among the edge nodes and the highly dynamic network topology. This asks for novel distributed designs of action and communication policies.

VI. CONCLUSION

Task-oriented communication has been considered to be a new paradigm for designing communications strategies for multi-agent cyber-physical systems. In this article, we have presented a comprehensive review and structures of the theoretical work across a wide range of research communities. We have then proposed a general conceptual framework for designing a task-oriented system and specialized it for the targeted use cases. Furthermore, we have provided a survey of relevant contributions in four major applications, such as industrial internet of things, multi-UAV systems, tactile internet and federated learning. Finally, we have discussed challenges and open issues in the task-oriented communications design.
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