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Abstract

We study “nanoptera”, which are non-localized solitary waves with exponentially small but non-decaying oscillations, in two singularly-perturbed Hertzian chains with precompression. These two systems are woodpile chains (which we model as systems of Hertzian particles and springs) and diatomic Hertzian chains with alternating masses. We demonstrate that nanoptera arise from Stokes phenomena and appear as special curves, called Stokes curves, are crossed in the complex plane. We use techniques from exponential asymptotics to obtain approximations of the oscillation amplitudes. Our analysis demonstrates that traveling waves in a singularly perturbed woodpile chain have a single Stokes curve, across which oscillations appear. Comparing these asymptotic predictions with numerical simulations reveals that this accurately describes the non-decaying oscillatory behavior in a woodpile chain. We perform a similar analysis of a diatomic Hertzian chain, and we that the nanopteron solution has two distinct exponentially small oscillatory contributions. We demonstrate that there exists a set of mass ratios for which these two contributions cancel to produce localized solitary waves. This result builds on prior experimental and numerical observations that there exist mass ratios that support localized solitary waves in diatomic Hertzian chains without precompression. Comparing asymptotic and numerical results in a diatomic Hertzian chain with precompression reveals that our exponential asymptotic approach accurately predicts the oscillation amplitude for a wide range of system parameters, but it fails to identify several values of the mass ratio that correspond to localized solitary-wave solutions.

1 Introduction

1.1 Particle Chains

The behavior of a chain of frictionless spherical particles under compression is often characterized in terms of the interaction effects between particles. In particular, the Hertzian interaction [35] describes the relationship between the repelling force from the contact area of adjacent frictionless spherical particles and the compression between them.

A chain of spheres with Hertzian interactions is called a “Hertzian chain” and has been the subject of numerous investigations in the last few decades [18, 66, 77]. Hertzian chains are experimentally realizable and highly configurable, so there has been much research both on their fundamental physical properties and on their potential uses for practical engineering applications [73].
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A general equation that governs particle interactions in a chain is the following system of differential–difference equations:

\[ m(n) \ddot{x}(n, t) = \phi'(x(n + 1, t) - x(n, t)) - \phi'(x(n, t) - x(n - 1, t)), \]

where \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), the quantity \( m(n) \) is the mass of the \( n \)-th particle, \( x(n, t) \) is the position of the \( n \)-th particle at time \( t \), a dot denotes differentiation with respect to time, a prime denotes differentiation with respect to space, and the interaction potential between adjacent particles is

\[ \phi(r) = \begin{cases} 
  (c(\delta_0 - r)^{\alpha+1}, & r \leq \delta_0 \\
  0, & r > 0 
\end{cases}, \quad c = \text{constant}, \]

where \( \alpha > 1 \) and \( \delta_0 \) is the equilibrium overlap of adjacent particles due to the precompression that is induced by an external force. We obtain the Hertzian interaction potential with the choice \( \alpha = 3/2 \). In Figure 1, we illustrate a Hertzian chain with precompression. The Hertzian potential (2) is 0 when the precompression is 0, and it cannot take negative values. For algebraic convenience, we set \( c = 1/(\alpha + 1) \) in all of our examples.

A particle chain supports the propagation of non-dispersive solitary waves [30] if the exponent \( \alpha + 1 \) in the interaction potential (2) is larger than 2 (i.e., if \( \alpha > 1 \)). The existence of traveling solitary waves in Hertzian chains was first reported in [65]. Since then, there have been many theoretical, numerical, and experimental studies of the properties of solitary waves in Hertzian chains [18, 66, 73, 77]. These studies have concerned a wide variety of topics, including the generation [19, 21, 23, 37, 51], propagation [19, 21, 23, 37, 51], interaction [3–5, 22, 45, 57], and long-time dynamics [4, 5, 75, 76, 78] of solitary waves in monoatomic Hertzian chains, in which every particle is the same.

The system (1)–(2) that describes the dynamics of a particle chain is both nonlinear and nonintegrable, so typically one cannot study its solutions using exact, fully analytical methods. However, under the assumption of small deformations, such that \( |x(n - 1, t) - x(n, t)|/\delta_0 \ll 1 \), one can expand the right-hand side of (1) as a Taylor series in the normalized deformation size. Neglecting terms of order \( \mathcal{O}((|x(n - 1, t) - x(n, t)|/\delta_0)^4) \) produces the frequently studied Fermi–Pasta–Ulam–Tsingou (FPUT) chain. In the long-wavelength limit, in which the characteristic spatial size of a solution is much larger than the lattice spacing, one can approximate the discrete lattice equation by a continuous system. In the limit of both small deformations and long wavelength in comparison to particle size, the monoatomic Hertzian system with precompression reduces to the Kortweg–de Vries (KdV) equation [66]. In this long-wavelength limit, one can approximate the solitary-wave behavior in a Hertzian chain as a soliton solution of the KdV equation [50]. Building on this idea, the interaction of solitary waves in a Hertzian chain in the long-wavelength limit was described in [80] using a two-soliton solution of the KdV equation.

A remarkable feature of Hertzian chains (and higher-dimensional generalizations of them) is their tunability. It is possible to produce a wide range of dynamical behaviors through simple modifications of a homogeneous Hertzian system, such as by incorporating different types of particle heterogeneities. Such modifications can produce behavior that is very different to that of homogeneous Hertzian chains. For example, researchers have explored the behavior of Hertzian chains with impurities [25, 63, 79], disordered and random particle arrangements [33, 48, 58, 59, 61], quasiperiodicity [62], and particles that are composed of segments with different materials (i.e., so-called “compound chains”) [20, 67, 85, 86]. It has been demonstrated that impurities can cause
solitary waves to scatter [25, 63, 79], and the transport and localization of energy in Hertzian systems with disorder and quasiperiodicity were studied in [48, 61, 62]. In Hertzian chains with random masses, solitary waves can delocalize, such that the energy that is carried by a solitary wave spreads among many particles in a chain [33, 58, 59]. The scattering of solitary waves at an interface of a compound Hertzian system was studied in [67, 85]. Other phenomena that have been studied in numerical and experimental investigations of heterogeneous Hertzian chains include energy trapping [20, 86], shock disintegration [20], and the generation of secondary solitary waves [85].

It is also interesting to consider other types of heterogeneous chains, including (1) particle–rod systems that model so-called “woodpile chains” [18, 44, 47, 49, 53, 54, 88, 89] and (2) diatomic chains [11, 18, 34, 39, 42, 43, 46, 64, 70–72, 74, 83]. Both the woodpile and diatomic chains are feasible to obtain experimentally. One can use the electromagnetic counterpart of the woodpile chain, which is known as a “woodpile photonic crystal”, to controllably manipulate electromagnetic waves [28, 52].

A woodpile chain consists of orthogonally stacked slender rigid cylinders with some mass \( m_1 \) [see Figure 2(a)]. The interaction force along the direction of the stack is described by a Hertzian force, and one can model the elastic deformation along the direction perpendicular to the stack direction using internal “resonators”, where one determines the mass and coupling constant of these resonators based on the material and shape of the cylinders. When considering a stack of identical cylinders, each resonator has the same mass \( m_2 \) and elastic constant \( k \). We model such a woodpile chain as a monoatomic Hertzian chain in which each particle is connected to an external particle by a rod, which we treat as a linear spring. Each particle in the chain has mass \( m_1 \), each external particle has mass \( m_2 \), and each linear spring has spring constant \( k \). We illustrate this model woodpile chain in Figure 2(b). For the remainder of this study, we use the term “woodpile chain” to refer to this idealized system.

Much of the existing work on woodpile chains has concentrated on their linear elastic responses [44, 49, 88]. Some recent work studied the behavior of traveling waves in woodpile chains with no precompression [47, 89], which is a strongly nonlinear regime. Other studies have investigated the existence of discrete breathers in the woodpile chain both without [54] and with precompression [53].

A diatomic chain consists of particles with some characteristic, such as particle mass, that alternates in adjacent particles. We consider chains [see Figure 2(c)] in which even particles have mass \( m_1 \) and odd particles have mass \( m_2 \). Diatomic Hertzian chains have rich dynamics [18]. They can have both discrete breather solutions [11, 83] and stationary shock waves [64], and a variety of other phenomena have also been studied in them. This includes the propagation and scattering of nonlinear waves in both ordered and disordered diatomic Hertzian chains [34, 70–72] and chaotic dynamics in diatomic Hertzian chains that are both damped and driven [39].
It has also been shown that diatomic Hertzian chain models can, in principle, support solitary waves that propagate indefinitely without decaying [42], and several prior studies [42, 46, 74] have examined sets of parameters for Hertzian chains that produce non-decaying solitary wave solutions. These sets of parameters arise from satisfying a condition known as an “anti-resonance condition”. Subsequently, [60] examined non-decaying solitary waves in two-dimensional Hertzian systems. Hertzian chains can also have related wave solutions that travel with particularly strong dispersion and attenuation. Such behavior occurs if a chains satisfies a ca “resonance condition”, which one obtaines in a similar fashion to an anti-resonance condition [43, 46, 74].

Nanoptera

Typically, traveling-wave solutions in woodpile and diatomic Hertzian systems are not truly localized [42, 47, 89]; instead, they also include a small non-localized traveling and thus take the form of a nanopteron [12]. A nanopteron is the superposition of a central solitary wave and a persistent oscillation in the “far field” (which refers to the region in which the distance from the central solitary wave tends to infinity) on one or both sides of the central wave. These oscillations typically have an exponentially small amplitude with respect to some small parameter. We show examples of nanoptera in Figure 3. A genuine solitary wave is exponentially localized, but a nanopteron is localized only up to algebraic orders in the small parameter. References [43, 46, 74, 89] demonstrated in uncompressed woodpile chains and diatomic Hertzian chains that non-decaying oscillations are absent for a set of parameters that satisfy anti-resonance conditions. When such anti-resonance conditions are satisfied, both types of chains admit genuinely localized solitary-wave solutions that propagate indefinitely.

Nanoptera have been studied in many other particle chains, such as diatomic Toda chains [56, 68, 82, 84], diatomic FPUT chains [26, 38, 55, 84], and chains with an on-site nonlinear potential in which each particle is linearly coupled to its neighbors [41]. The existence of nanopteron solutions in a diatomic FPUT chain was proven rigorously in [26, 38], and nanoptera in periodic Toda chains were studied using a multiple-scale approach in [84]. Recently, [27] studied diatomic FPUT chains using numerical continuation. They explored how nanopteron solutions for chains in which one mass is much larger than the other (i.e., the so-called “small-mass-ratio” regime) are related to traveling-wave solutions, including ones in regions of parameter space in which the mass ratio is not small.

Anti-resonance conditions, at which the small oscillations disappear entirely and a nanopteron becomes a genuine solitary wave, have been identified in diatomic Toda chains [56, 82, 84], a diatomic FPUT chain [56], and a discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation [1]. It was demonstrated in [82] that diatomic Toda chains have

[1] Several studies use the term “nanopteron” to refer only to the additional small oscillations. We do not follow this convention; instead, we use the term “nanopteron” to refer to a solution that includes both a central wave and such small oscillations.
a mass ratio at which the small oscillatory tail is absent. In [84], it was shown that that there exists a discrete set of mass ratios that cause the oscillations to vanish. Fixed-point methods were used in Xu et al. [89] to derive numerical schemes for studying nanopteron solutions for the Hertzian chain without precompression; in the process of this derivation, they also obtained anti-resonance conditions.

More recently, an exponential asymptotic method was applied in [55,56] to study anti-resonance conditions in diatomic Toda and FPUT chains. These studies derived asymptotic anti-resonance conditions for mass ratios for these systems. They also showed that the exponentially small oscillations in these diatomic systems are examples of the “Stokes phenomenon”, which refers to behavior that is switched on when special curves (called “Stokes curves”) are crossed in the complex plane. Traveling-wave solutions in diatomic Toda and FPUT chains possess two Stokes curves, which generate two distinct oscillations with the same amplitude but different phases. For particular values of the mass ratio, the oscillations are precisely out of phase. The oscillations therefore cancel, producing localized solitary waves. In the present study, we use exponential asymptotic methods to study nanoptera in singularly perturbed woodpile and diatomic Hertzian chains.

As we illustrated in Fig. 3, nanoptera can have oscillations on one or both sides of a central traveling wave. The existence of non-decaying symmetric two-sided nanopteron solutions, where the amplitudes of the oscillations on both sides is identical, has been proved for the fifth-order KdV equation [40]. Subsequently, symmetric nanopteron solutions for the fifth-order KdV equation were constructed numerically using perturbation series. However, attempts to numerically compute one-sided nanoptera to all orders were unsuccessful [13]. It was then proved in [6] that one-sided nanopteron solutions for the fifth-order KdV equation cannot propagate indefinitely without changing form. The one-sided oscillation draws energy from the central wave, which causes the amplitude of the central core to decay. The eventual decay of nanopteron solutions in the fifth-order KdV equation is a result of energy conservation, and one can make a similar argument against the existence of one-sided nanoptera in any energy-conserving system. The instability of the one-sided nanopteron solutions in the fifth-order KdV equation was discussed further in [32].

Giardetti et al. [31] simulated one-sided nanoptera in the diatomic FPUT model with small mass ratios for a long enough time to obtain a solution that appeared to be a stable traveling wave. In their simulations, both the central solitary wave and the oscillatory tail traveled without any apparent decay. However, for diatomic chains without driving or damping forces, the total energy of the system must be conserved. Consequently, it is impossible for both the central core and the one-sided oscillation to have constant amplitude indefinitely, because the one-sided oscillation constantly draws energy from the central core [31, 42, 84]. Therefore, it was conjectured in [31] that the decay of one-sided nanoptera in diatomic FPUT chains occurs on a time scale that is exponentially large in the limit that the mass ratio parameter tends to 0. Solutions that decay on exponentially slow time scales are sometimes known as “metastable” solutions (which are also called “quasistable” solutions), which describe asymptotic solutions that appear to be stable over a duration that is large in comparison to any inverse power of the small asymptotic parameter before eventually decaying. The nanoptera that we consider are all metastable; however, as we will note at an appropriate point in our discussion, it is straightforward to extend our analysis to the stable case of two-sided nanoptera.

In the present study, we compute the behavior of nanopteron solutions in precompressed woodpile and diatomic Hertzian chains in the asymptotic limit that \( m_2/m_1 \to 0 \). In this limit, both woodpile and diatomic Hertzian chains are singularly perturbed around a monoatomic Hertzian chain. The study in [42] investigated this limit for diatomic Hertzian chains, but existing studies on woodpile chains have not considered the small-mass-ratio regime.

We apply an exponential asymptotic method, which was developed in [17, 69] and was used in [55, 56] to study traveling waves in diatomic Toda and FPUT chains with small mass ratios. In a typical asymptotic power-series analysis, one expands a solution as a series in some small parameter. One then computes the terms in the series using a recursion relation that one obtains by asymptotic matching. This process can never capture exponentially small behavior, which is smaller than any algebraic series term in the asymptotic limit. By contrast, the exponential asymptotic method that we use in the present paper is capable of describing asymptotic behavior on this exponentially small scale. Additionally, it only requires the direct computation of the leading-order series behavior. We describe this exponential asymptotic approach in detail in Section 2.
1.3 Paper Outline

We first investigate traveling-wave solutions in a woodpile chain with precompression in the limit that the wavelength of the central traveling wave is large in comparison to the particle size; this is a weakly nonlinear regime. We show that the traveling waves in this system are nanoptera and that the appearance of non-decaying exponentially small oscillations behind the wave front is an example of the Stokes phenomenon. Using an exponential asymptotic approach, we obtain simple asymptotic expressions for the exponentially small, constant-amplitude waves in the wake of the leading-order solitary wave. We demonstrate that the solution has a single oscillatory contribution in the wake of the leading wave and thus that it can never be canceled out. Consequently, the woodpile chains that we examine do not have an anti-resonance condition. This contrasts with the results of Xu et al. [89], who identified anti-resonance conditions for a woodpile system with no precompression.

We then study traveling waves in a diatomic Hertzian chain using the same exponential asymptotic approach. The key difference between Hertzian chains and woodpile chains is that traveling waves in diatomic Hertzian chains have two Stokes curves, which yields solutions with two oscillatory contributions. For certain mass ratios, the two oscillatory contributions cancel precisely and the traveling-wave solution becomes a genuine localized solitary wave.

For both woodpile chains and diatomic Hertzian chains, we use the long-wavelength approximation from [66] to describe the leading-order solitary wave behavior. This differs from a diatomic Toda system [56], for which there exists an analytical expression for the leading-order wave behavior. Therefore, our analysis of woodpile and diatomic Hertzian chains have two distinct small parameters, which correspond to the small mass ratio and the large traveling-wave length scale.

Our paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the exponential asymptotic method that we employ for our analysis. In Sections 3.1–3.3, we use this exponential asymptotic method to obtain an asymptotic approximation for nanopteron solutions in a singularly perturbed woodpile chain. In Section 3.4, we compare the results of this approximation with numerical computations. We obtain strong agreement between the asymptotic and numerical results. In Sections 4.1–4.3, we perform a similar exponential asymptotic analysis on a singularly perturbed diatomic Hertzian chain. In Section 4.4, we compare our asymptotic and numerical results for the Hertzian chain. From this comparison, we see that the employed exponential asymptotic method is useful for approximating the solution behavior for a wide range of mass ratios, but it fails to detect some important features that arise from the interaction between oscillatory contributions. In Section 5, we conclude and further discuss our results.

2 Exponential asymptotics

We aim to determine the asymptotic behavior of the exponentially small oscillations in the wake of the solitary-wave front in singularly perturbed variants of a Hertzian chain. We use $\eta$ to denote the small parameter, which is related to small mass ratio and satisfies $\eta^2 = m_2/m_1$. It is impossible to determine the form of these oscillations using only classical asymptotic power series, because the oscillation amplitude is exponentially small in the asymptotic limit $\eta \to 0$. In particular, the amplitude is smaller than any algebraic power of $\eta$. Therefore, we use an exponential asymptotic approach to study the exponentially small oscillations.

Consider a singularly perturbed differential equation of the form

$$F(x, g(x), g'(x), g''(x), \ldots; \eta) = 0,$$

where $\eta$ is a small parameter. To find an asymptotic solution to (3), one typically expands a solution about some leading-order approximation as a power series in the parameter $\eta$. Once one has obtained this leading-order solution, one analytically continues it into the complex plane. Typically, this solution is singular at some set of points, which are the end points of Stokes curves [81]. Stokes curves play an important role in the present study: as one crosses a Stokes curve, the amplitude of the exponentially small contribution undergoes a smooth but rapid change in value. In the present work, we require the exponentially small contributions to vanish on one side of the Stokes curve, so they “switch on” as the Stokes curves are crossed.
In general, an asymptotic power series of the solution to a singularly perturbed problem is divergent [24]. Nevertheless, one can truncate a divergent asymptotic series to approximate the solution [16]. If one chooses the truncation point to minimize the difference between the approximation and the exact solution, the approximation error is typically exponentially small in the asymptotic limit [15]. This is called “optimal truncation”. We use \( N_{\text{opt}} \) to denote an optimal truncation point. We then express the solution as the sum of an optimally truncated power series and an exponentially small contribution. Substituting this sum into (3) produces an equation that describes the behavior of the exponentially small contribution.

Early work that applied this idea include [7, 8], which determined the Stokes switching behavior in several important special functions. The analysis in [8] demonstrated that the switching behavior depends predictably on the manner in which the asymptotic power series diverges. Subsequent work in [10] established techniques known as “hyperasymptotics” to further reduce the exponentially small error that is generated by truncating the series. See [9] for a summary and discussion of results in [8, 10].

In the present study, we apply an exponential asymptotic method that was developed in [17, 69]. We express the solution \( g \) of governing equations as an asymptotic power series

\[
g \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^r g_j \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0,
\]

where \( r \) is the number of times that one needs to differentiate \( g_{j-1} \) to obtain \( g_j \).

We first substitute the series (4) into the governing equations (3), and we then asymptotically match terms in the subsequent expression to obtain a recursion relation for \( g_j \). In a singularly perturbed problem, obtaining \( g_j \) using the recursion relation typically requires differentiating earlier terms in the series each time one applies the recursion relation. If the series terms have singular points, this repeated differentiation ensures that the series terms diverge in a predictable fashion called a factorial-over-power divergence [24]. As \( j \) becomes large, behavior of this form dominates the series terms.

To capture this divergence, we write an ansatz for the behavior of \( g_j \) in the limit that \( j \to \infty \). This yields the so-called “late-order terms” of an asymptotic series. As \( j \to \infty \), factorial-over-power divergent behavior dominates the series terms. Motivated by this pattern, Chapman et al. [17] proposed applying a late-order ansatz to approximate the form of the late-order terms, even if computing earlier terms in a series is challenging or intractable. This ansatz is a sum of terms of the form

\[
g_j \sim \frac{G \Gamma(rj + \gamma)}{\chi^{rj + \gamma}} \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty,
\]

where the parameter \( \gamma \) is constant and \( G \) and \( \chi \) are functions of any variables but are independent of \( j \). The function \( \chi \) is known as the “singulant” and it is equal to 0 at one or more singularities of the leading-order solution \( g_0 \). This ensures that the late-order ansatz for \( g_j \) is singular at the same location as the leading order, with a singularity strength that grows as \( j \) increases. Substituting (5) into the differential equation (3) and matching orders of \( j \) allows one to determine the functional forms of \( \chi \) and \( G \). By comparing the ansatz (5) with the local behavior of \( g \) in the neighborhood of singular points, one can calculate \( \gamma \).

As we noted earlier, one can optimally truncate the power series (4) using the additional information from the late-order ansatz (5). Optimal truncation points typically occur after an asymptotically large number of terms, so one can apply the late-order ansatz (5) to obtain a simplified expression using the heuristic that was described in [15]. This heuristic requires truncating the series after the value of \( N_{\text{opt}} \) for which the term \( \eta^{N_{\text{opt}}} g_{N_{\text{opt}}} \) has the smallest magnitude.

One can use the truncated series to approximate the exact solution with exponentially small error. One writes

\[
g = \sum_{j=0}^{N_{\text{opt}} - 1} \eta^r g_j + g_{\text{exp}},
\]

where \( g_{\text{exp}} \) denotes the exponentially small error term and \( N_{\text{opt}} \) is the optimal truncation point that one calculates using the late-order ansatz (5).

Substituting the truncated series expression (6) into the differential equation (3) produces an equation for the exponentially small remainder term [69]. Away from Stokes curves, one can determine this remainder
using a straightforward application of the WKB method [36]. In the neighborhood of the Stokes curves, we apply an exponential ansatz for the exponentially small asymptotic terms $g_{\text{exp}}$ and write

$$g_{\text{exp}} \sim S G e^{-\chi/\eta} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0,$$

where $S$ is a function that is known as the “Stokes multiplier”. The Stokes multiplier is approximately constant except in the neighborhood of Stokes curves, where it varies rapidly in a neighborhood of width $O(\sqrt{\eta})$ as $\eta \to 0$. This behavior is known as “Stokes switching”. The locations of Stokes curves are determined completely by form of $\chi$. As shown in [10], Stokes curves occur only in locations where $\chi$ is real and positive. One can use exponential asymptotics to directly calculate the exponentially small contributions to the asymptotic behavior of solutions that appear as the Stokes curves are crossed. One cannot express these contributions using classical asymptotic power series.

The exponential asymptotic approach that we have described often requires the explicit calculation of only the leading-order solution in (4) to determine the exponentially small contributions. This is convenient, as computing series terms beyond a leading-order expression in nonlinear problems can be complicated or even intractable. See [14, 15] for more details on exponential asymptotics and their applications to nonlocal solitary waves. [8, 9] for examples of other studies of exponential asymptotics, and [17, 69] for more details on the particular methodology that we apply in the present paper.

3 A Singularly Perturbed Woodpile Chain

We consider a precompressed woodpile chain, such as the one in Figure 2(a). We model it by a particle chain; each particle has mass $m_1$ and is connected to an outside particle of mass $m_2$ by a linear spring with spring constant $k$. The governing equations of this model, which we illustrated in Figure 2(b), are

$$m_1 \ddot{u}(n, t) = [\delta_0 + u(n - 1, t) - u(n, t)]^\alpha_n - [\delta_0 + u(n, t) - u(n + 1, t)]^\alpha_n - k[u(n, t) - v(n, t)],$$

$$m_2 \ddot{v}(n, t) = k[u(n, t) - v(n, t)],$$

where $\delta_0$ is the precompression and $u(n, t)$ and $v(n, t)$, respectively, denote the displacements of the $n$-th particle of mass $m_1$ and $m_2$ at time $t$. The choice $\alpha = 3/2$ corresponds to a classical Hertzian chain. Our analysis in this section is valid for any choice of $\alpha$ for which the leading-order solution can be approximated by a soliton solution of the KdV equation. In practice, this corresponds to $\alpha > 1$ [30]. The subscript + is a bracketed term indicates that we evaluate it only if its argument is positive; it is equal to 0 when its argument is negative. That is, we only have a Hertzian interaction between particles that are in physical contact with each other.

We scale the system (8)–(9) using $u = m_1^2 \tilde{u}$ and $v = m_1^2 \tilde{v}$, and we rewrite the governing equations in terms of $\tilde{\delta} = \delta_0/m_1^2$ and $\tilde{k} = k/m_1$. Setting $\eta^2 = m_2/m_1$ gives the following scaled governing equations:

$$\eta^2 \ddot{\tilde{u}}(n, t) = [\tilde{\delta} + \tilde{u}(n - 1, t) - \tilde{u}(n, t)]^\alpha_n - [\tilde{\delta} + \tilde{u}(n, t) - \tilde{u}(n + 1, t)]^\alpha_n - \tilde{k}[\tilde{u}(n, t) - \tilde{v}(n, t)],$$

$$\eta^2 \ddot{\tilde{v}}(n, t) = \tilde{k}[\tilde{u}(n, t) - \tilde{v}(n, t)].$$

We consider a precompressed chain in which the argument inside a bracket is always non-negative, as the particles always maintain physical contact. Therefore, we omit the subscript + in all subsequent analysis. For convenience, we perform our analysis on the scaled system (10)–(11); in our subsequent notation, we omit the hats that indicate this scaling.

We are interested in asymptotic behavior of traveling-wave solutions of (10)–(11) when $0 < \eta \ll 1$. These solutions consist of a localized wave core, which we generate using an asymptotic power series in $\eta$, and exponentially small non-decaying oscillations that we compute using exponential asymptotic techniques.

3.1 Leading-order solution

We expand $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ in an asymptotic power series in $\eta^2$ in the limit $\eta \to 0$ and write

$$u(n, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{2j} u_j(n, t), \quad v(n, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{2j} v_j(n, t).$$

(12)
To find a leading-order solitary wave, which is the first step to construct a nanopteron solution of (10)–(11), we apply the series expression (12) to (11) and match at leading order in the limit $\eta \to 0$ to obtain

$$u_0(n, t) = v_0(n, t).$$  \hfill (13)

Inserting the relation (13) into (10) gives

$$\ddot{u}_0(n, t) = \left[ \delta + u_0(n - 1, t) - u_0(n, t) \right] - \left[ \delta + u_0(n, t) - u_0(n + 1, t) \right].$$ \hfill (14)

One can obtain an approximation to the leading-order behavior by following the analysis in [66]. We report the key steps of this analysis. Assume that the deformation in the chain is sufficiently small so that $|u_0(n - 1, t) - u_0(n, t)| \ll \delta$. We now write (14) as

$$\ddot{u}_0(n, t) = \alpha \delta^{\alpha - 1} \left[ u_0(n - 1, t) - 2u_0(n, t) + u_0(n + 1, t) \right]$$
$$+ \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} \delta^{\alpha - 2} \left[ (u_0(n - 1, t) - u_0(n, t))^2 - (u_0(n, t) - u_0(n + 1, t))^2 \right]$$
$$+ \mathcal{O}(\left| u_0(n - 1, t) - u_0(n, t) \right|/\delta^3).$$ \hfill (15)

In the long-wavelength limit, in which the characteristic size of a wave is large in comparison to the particle radius $R$, we obtain the KdV equation from (15),

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + c_0 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \gamma \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\sigma}{\delta} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = 0, \quad w = -\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x},$$ \hfill (16)

where

$$c_0 = 2R \sqrt{\alpha} \delta^{-\alpha - 1}, \quad \gamma = \frac{c_0 R^2}{6}, \quad \sigma = 2(\alpha - 1) \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} R}{\delta}.$$ \hfill (17)

Solutions to (16) approximate the leading-order behavior of $u(x, t)$, and one can obtain the leading-order behavior of $v(x, t)$ using (13). We are particularly interested in solutions that are perturbations of a leading-order traveling wave, and we therefore select $w(x, t)$ in (16) to be the KdV traveling-wave solution. We obtain the leading-order behavior $u_0(x, t)$ by integration:

$$u_0(n, t) = -\frac{\delta \epsilon}{\alpha - 1} \tanh (\epsilon (n - c_0 t)) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/2}),$$ \hfill (18)

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{2R}{L}, \quad c_0 = \delta^{(\alpha - 1)/2} \left( \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha - 1/2}.\hfill (19)$$

The long-wavelength limit corresponds to $\epsilon \to 0$, and we will refer to $\epsilon$ as the “long-wavelength parameter”.

Motivated by (18), we use the co-moving frame $\xi = n - c_0 t$. In terms of $\xi$, the leading-order behavior is

$$u_0(\xi) = -\frac{\delta \epsilon}{\alpha - 1} \tanh (\epsilon \xi) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/2}) \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0,$$

$$v_0(\xi) = u_0(\xi).\hfill (20)$$

The leading-order behavior has singularities at $\xi_{N, \pm} = \pm i(2N + 1)\pi/2\epsilon$ for $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. The singularities that are closest to the real axis occur at $\xi_{0, \pm} = \pm i\pi/2\epsilon$ and are associated with singulants with the smallest values of $|\chi|$ when evaluated at real $\xi$. Consequently, these terms dominate the late-order behavior as $n \to \infty$. Near these singularities, we calculate that

$$u_0(\xi) \sim -\frac{\delta(\alpha - 1)^{-1}}{\xi - \xi_{0, \pm}} \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \xi_{0, \pm}\hfill (22)$$

and that $v_0$ has the same behavior as $u_0$ in the neighborhood of the singularity.

### 3.2 Late-order terms

Writing the governing equations (10)–(11) in terms of $\xi$ and matching at each order of $\eta$ gives

$$c_0^2 u_j'(\xi) = \frac{3}{2} \left[ u_j(\xi - 1) - u_j(\xi) \right] (\delta + u_0(\xi - 1) - u_0(\xi))^{1/2}$$
$$- \frac{3}{2} \left[ u_j(\xi) - u_j(\xi + 1) \right] (\delta + u_0(\xi) - u_0(\xi + 1))^{1/2} - k [u_j(\xi) - v_j(\xi)] + \ldots,$$

$$c_0^2 v_j'(\xi) = k [u_j(\xi) - v_j(\xi)].$$ \hfill (23)
where we omit the terms that are products that include both \( u_{j-k} \) and \( v_{j-k} \) with \( k > 1 \). These terms are subdominant with respect to the terms that we retain in the limit \( j \to \infty \). We retain all terms that include \( u_j, v_j \), and derivatives of \( v_{j-1} \). Given the general form of the factorial-over-power ansatz (5), we conclude that the omitted terms do not contribute to the behavior of the asymptotic solution in our subsequent analysis. We will confirm this claim explicitly once we obtain the form of the late-order ansatz (25).

In principle, one can apply (23) and (24) recursively to obtain terms in the series (12) up to arbitrarily large values of \( j \). This process is challenging technically because it requires solving both a differential–difference equation (23) and an algebraic equation (24) at each order. Fortunately, this is not necessary for our analysis; obtaining terms up to arbitrary order does not reveal the presence of oscillations in the far field, where \( \xi \to -\infty \), because the oscillations are exponentially small in the singularly perturbed limit \( \eta \to 0 \). Instead, we obtain the asymptotic form of the late-order terms as part of the exponential asymptotic process that we use to calculate the behavior of these oscillations.

The late-order ansatz consists of a sum of terms of the forms

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_j &\sim \frac{U(\xi)\Gamma(2j + \beta_1)}{\chi(\xi)^{2j+\beta_1}}, \\
  v_j &\sim \frac{V(\xi)\Gamma(2j + \beta_2)}{\chi(\xi)^{2j+\beta_2}} \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

To ensure that late-order terms have singularities at the same locations as the leading-order solution, we set \( \chi = 0 \) at a particular choice of \( \xi = \xi_{00} \). The full late-order expression is then the sum of the late-order contributions from each of the singularities.

For sufficiently large \( j \), the terms of the asymptotic series (25) diverge in a factorial-over-power fashion, which confirms that \( u_j \gg u_{j-k} \) and \( v_j \gg v_{j-k} \) as \( j \to \infty \) for \( k > 0 \). Additionally, inserting the late-order ansatz (25) into (23) shows that only \( \beta_1 + 2 = \beta_2 \) produces a nontrivial asymptotic balance, implying that \( u_j = \mathcal{O}(v_{j-1}) \) as \( j \to \infty \) and hence that \( v_j \gg u_j \) as \( j \to \infty \).

Inserting the late-order ansatz (25) into (24) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
  c^2(\chi'(\xi))^2V(\xi)\Gamma(2j + \beta_2) - 2c^2\chi'\xi(\xi')V'(\xi)\Gamma(2j + \beta_2 - 1) \\
  - c^2\chi''(\xi)\xi(\xi')V(\xi)\Gamma(2j + \beta_2 - 1) + \cdots = -\frac{kV(\xi)\Gamma(2j + \beta_2)}{\chi(\xi)^{2j+\beta_2}} + \cdots,
\end{align*}
\]

where the omitted terms are no larger than \( \mathcal{O}(v_{j-1}) \) in the \( j \to \infty \) limit.

Matching terms at \( \mathcal{O}(v_j) \) in this limit gives the singulant equation \( c^2(\chi'(\xi))^2 = -k \). This implies that \( \chi'(\xi) = \pm i\sqrt{k}/c_\alpha \), which we integrate to obtain

\[
\chi(\xi) = \frac{i\sqrt{k}(\xi - \xi_{0\pm})}{c_\alpha}.
\]

Stokes switching can occur only if \( \text{Re}(\chi) > 0 \), which corresponds to the positive sign choice for \( \xi_{0+} \) and the negative sign choice for \( \xi_{0-} \). Consequently, we retain these solutions and ignore the solutions that are associated with the remaining sign choices, as those can never appear in the asymptotic solution.

Matching terms at \( \mathcal{O}(v'_j) \) gives the prefactor equation \( 2V'(\xi)\chi'(\xi) = 0 \), so \( V \) is a constant, with a value that depends on the choice of singularity. For clarity, we subsequently use \( \Lambda_{\pm} \) to denote the constant prefactor that is associated with a singularity at \( \xi = \xi_{0\pm} \). For the singular late-order behavior to be consistent with the local behavior of the leading-order solution (22), we calculate that \( \beta_2 = 1 \). In Appendix A.1, we perform a local expansion of the solutions \( u(\xi) \) and \( v(\xi) \) in a neighborhood of size \( \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \) near the singularity, and we use asymptotic matching to determine that

\[
\Lambda_+ = -\frac{i\sqrt{k}}{(\alpha - 1)c_\alpha}.
\]

We thereby fully determine the asymptotic behavior of \( u_j \) in the \( j \to \infty \) limit.

In Section 3.3, we give a detailed calculation of the exponentially small oscillation that is associated with the singularity at \( \xi = \xi_{0+} \). At the conclusion of our analysis in Section 3.3, we state the corresponding contribution that arises from the singularity at \( \xi = \xi_{0-} \); this contribution is the complex conjugate of the contribution from the first singularity.
3.3 Stokes switching

We truncate the asymptotic series after \( N \) terms to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(\xi) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \eta^{2j} u_j(\xi) + S_N(\xi), \\
    v(\xi) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \eta^{2j} v_j(\xi) + R_N(\xi),
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

where \( S_N \) and \( R_N \) are the remainder terms that we obtain by truncating the series. The remainder terms are exponentially small if we optimally truncate the series; we again denote the optimal truncation point as \( N = N_{\text{opt}} \). To do this truncation, we apply the heuristic that was described in [15] and determine the optimal truncation point by finding the point at which consecutive terms in the series are equal in size. This heuristic gives \( N_{\text{opt}} = |\chi|/2\eta + \omega \), where we choose \( \omega \in [0,1) \) in a way that ensures that \( N_{\text{opt}} \) is an integer. Note that \( N_{\text{opt}} \to \infty \) as \( \eta \to 0 \).

Inserting (29) into the governing equations (10)–(11) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_s^2 S''(\xi) &\sim -kR_N(\xi), \\
    \eta^2 c_s^2 R''(\xi) + \eta^{2N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} R_N^{\prime\prime} - \eta^{2N+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} R_N &\sim -kR_N(\xi) \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(30)

(31)

where omitted terms are smaller than those that we retain in the limit \( \eta \to 0 \). Equation (31) decouples from (30), so we can study it independently. Applying the late-order ansatz and rearranging gives

\[
\eta^2 c_s^2 R_N'' + kR_N \sim -\frac{\Lambda N^{2N}(\chi')(2N+1)}{\chi^{2N+1}} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.
\]

(32)

The right-hand side of (32) is exponentially small except in a neighborhood around the Stokes curve. Away from the Stokes curve, we use the WKB method to obtain

\[
R_N \sim Ce^{-\chi/\eta} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0,
\]

(33)

where \( C \) is a constant that we have not yet determined.

To capture the variation in the neighborhood of a Stokes curve, we adapt the form of (33) to include a Stokes-switching parameter \( A(\xi) \), which is constant except in a region in the neighborhood of the Stokes curve. In such a neighborhood, \( R_N \) takes the form

\[
R_N(\xi) \sim A(\xi)e^{-\chi/\eta} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.
\]

(34)

Inserting (34) into (32) and rearranging yields

\[
\frac{dA}{d\xi} \sim \frac{\Lambda^N \eta^{2N-1} \Gamma(2N+1)}{2\chi^{2N+1}} e^{\chi/\eta} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.
\]

(35)

Writing \( N_{\text{opt}} \) in terms of \( \chi \), expanding the gamma function using Stirling’s formula, and transforming to make \( \chi \) the independent variable gives

\[
\frac{dA}{d\chi} \sim \frac{\Lambda^N \pi^{\chi/\eta + 2\omega - 1}(\chi/\eta)^{\chi/\eta + 2\omega - 1/2}e^{-\chi/\eta}}{\sqrt{2\chi^{\chi/\eta + 2\omega - 1}} e^{\chi/\eta}} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.
\]

(36)

We transform (36) into polar coordinates using \( \chi = \rho e^{i\theta} \) and consider variations in the angular direction. After some simplification, we obtain

\[
\frac{dA}{d\theta} \sim i\Lambda \sqrt{\pi \rho} \left( \frac{\rho}{\eta} - e^{i\theta - 1} \right) \exp \left( \frac{\rho}{\eta} - 2i\omega \theta \right) \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.
\]

(37)

The right-hand side of (37) is exponentially small in \( \eta \), except in the neighborhood of \( \theta = 0 \). Defining an inner region \( \theta = \eta^{1/2} \bar{\theta} \), we find that

\[
\frac{dA}{d\bar{\theta}} \sim \frac{i\Lambda}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\pi \rho}{2}} e^{-\rho \bar{\theta}^2/2}.
\]

(38)

By integrating (38), we see that the behavior of \( A \) as the Stokes curve is crossed is

\[
A \sim \frac{i\Lambda}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\rho}} e^{-s^2/2} ds \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.
\]

(39)
We evaluate the integral in (39) and find that the difference between the values of \( A \) on the two sides of the Stokes curve is

\[
[A]^+ \sim \frac{i\pi\Lambda}{\eta} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0, \quad (40)
\]

where \([A]^+\) denotes the change in \( A \) as the Stokes curve is crossed from \( \theta < 0 \) to \( \theta > 0 \). Recalling (28) and (34), we find that the exponentially small contribution from \( \xi = \xi_{0+} \) is

\[
[R_N]^+ \sim \frac{\delta \pi \sqrt{K}}{(\alpha - 1)\epsilon_{\eta}} e^{-i\pi(\epsilon_{\eta}/\epsilon - 2\alpha)/c_{\eta}} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0. \quad (41)
\]

The exponentially small contribution from \( \xi = \xi_{0-} \) is given by the complex conjugate of (41). Therefore, the total exponentially small contribution is

\[
[R_N]^+ \sim \frac{\delta \pi \sqrt{K}}{(\alpha - 1)\epsilon_{\eta}} e^{-i\pi(\epsilon_{\eta}/\epsilon - 2\alpha)/c_{\eta}} + \text{c.c.} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0, \quad (42)
\]

where c.c. denotes the complex conjugate. We express (42) in terms of trigonometric functions to obtain

\[
[R_N]^+ \sim \frac{2\delta \pi \sqrt{K}}{(\alpha - 1)\eta c_{\epsilon}^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi \sqrt{K}}{2c_{\epsilon} \epsilon}ight) \cos\left(\frac{\xi \sqrt{K}}{c_{\epsilon} \epsilon}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0. \quad (43)
\]

### 3.4 Comparison of our asymptotic and computational results

We compare the amplitude of the oscillations that we predict using our asymptotic analysis to those from numerical simulations. We employ a symplectic integrator in the form of a velocity Verlet algorithm [2, 87], which is convenient for studying chains of particles; it has also been used to study molecular dynamics. This approach is designed to conserve the energy of a system, which is not true of many common numerical methods, such as Runge–Kutta algorithms. The velocity Verlet algorithm uses the discretization

\[
x_{n+1} = x_n + v_n \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} a_n (\Delta t)^2, \quad v_{n+1} = v_n + \frac{1}{2} (a_n + a_{n+1}) \Delta t, \quad (44)
\]

where \( \Delta t \) is the size of the time step and \( x_n, v_n, \) and \( a_n \) are vector quantities that encode the displacements, velocities, and accelerations of the particles at time \( t = t_n \). In a woodpile chain, we obtain the acceleration \( a_n \) as an algebraic function of \( x_n \) using (10)–(11).

We truncate the domain and impose periodic boundary conditions. Instead of directly computing the absolute displacements and velocities of the particles, we perform our computations using the relative displacements and velocities, which we compute by calculating the differences in the positions and velocities of adjacent particles. This is convenient for our computations because the far-field behavior of the leading-order traveling wave approaches 0 in both directions in these coordinates. The relative displacements of the heavy particles and light particles are \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \), respectively, where

\[
r_1(n, t) = u(n + 1, t) - u(n, t), \quad r_2(n, t) = v(n + 1, t) - v(n, t). \quad (45)
\]

In relative coordinates, the governing equations are

\[
\ddot{r}_1(n, t) = 2[\delta - r_1(n, t)]^o_+ - [\delta - r_1(n - 1, t)]^o_+ - [\delta - r_1(n + 1, t)]^o_+ - k[r_1(n, t) - r_2(n, t)], \quad (46)
\]

\[
\eta^2 \ddot{r}_2(n, t) = k[r_1(n, t) - r_2(n, t)]. \quad (47)
\]

The truncated domain has \( M = 2^{10} \) particles, whose indices are \( n \in \{-M/2 + 1, \ldots, M/2\} \). The initial condition is the leading-order traveling-wave solution (20)–(21). To avoid interactions between the far-field oscillations and the leading-order traveling wave in the periodic domain, we apply a window function to the solution, as in [31, 55], at each iteration. We obtain the window by multiplying \( r(n, t) \) and \( \dot{r}(n, t) \) by a function \( W(n - n_{\text{max}} + M/8) \), where

\[
W(k) = \begin{cases} 
1, & |K| \leq \frac{M}{16} \\
1 - \frac{8}{M} \left( |K| - \frac{5M}{16} \right), & \frac{5M}{16} < |K| \leq \frac{7M}{16} \\
0, & \frac{7M}{16} < |K| \leq \frac{M}{2}
\end{cases} \quad (48)
\]
and $n_{\text{max}}$ denotes the location of the maximum of the leading-order solution.

In [31], it was argued that a window of this form cannot affect the behavior of the main wave or trailing oscillations in simulations of FPUT systems, as any disturbances that are associated with the windowing must travel more slowly than the leading-order solitary wave and hence than the window itself (see [29]). We do not perform a comparable analysis for a woodpile chain, but we do not observe any discernible differences in the amplitudes of the trailing oscillations as a result of our windowing.

In Figure 4, we show a numerically-calculated profile of one particle in a woodpile chain. In this simulation, we use a mass-ratio parameter of $\eta = 0.4$, a precompression of $\delta = 5$, and long-wavelength parameter of $\epsilon = 0.3$. The curve in the figure show the relative displacement of a single light particle over a range of $t$. The particle is initially at rest before the leading-order solitary wave reaches the particle, causing it to become displaced. Once this solitary wave has passed the particle, the particle continues to oscillate with an exponentially small amplitude. In Figure 5, we measure this oscillation amplitude from numerical simulations and compare it to our asymptotic approximations for a range of parameter choices.

In our numerical simulations, we calculate the amplitude of the far-field waves for $\delta = 5$, $\delta = 7.5$, $\delta = 10$, and $\delta = 15$ with long-wavelength parameters of $\epsilon = 0.2$, $\epsilon = 0.25$, and $\epsilon = 0.3$. We choose the values of $\eta$ so that the far-field waves large enough to be detected numerically, but small enough that our small-$\eta$ asymptotic approximation can accurately describe the behavior of the solution. We run each of our simulations for enough time so that any time variation in the far-field oscillation amplitude is not visible in the solution. We show the results of these simulations, which we compare to the asymptotic results from (43) in Figure 5.

It is apparent from Figure 5 that our asymptotic approximation captures the far-field wave amplitude effectively for a wide range of the parameters and that the approximation error decreases as $\eta \to 0$, as we expect from the asymptotic nature of the approximation. For a fixed $\epsilon$, our asymptotic approximation becomes more accurate for progressively larger $\delta$. For progressively smaller $\delta$, the system approaches the strongly nonlinear regime and the long-wavelength approximation becomes less accurate, which in turn causes the late-order approximation to become less accurate. Our asymptotic approximation also appears to become more accurate for progressively larger $\alpha$, but the reason for this improvement is not apparent from our analysis.

By comparing our numerical computations and our asymptotic results, we conclude that we can explain the oscillations that appear in woodpile chains as a consequence of Stokes phenomena and that the exponential asymptotic analysis that we described in this section is a useful technique for studying nanoptera in these chains.
Figure 5: Comparison of our asymptotic approximations and numerical simulations of the amplitude of exponentially small oscillations in the far field (i.e., for $\xi \to -\infty$) for woodpile chains with interaction exponents of (a) $\alpha = 1.5$, (b) $\alpha = 2$, and (c) $\alpha = 2.5$. For each $\alpha$, we show simulations for $\delta = 5, \delta = 7.5, \delta = 10, \text{and } \delta = 15$. For each choice of $\delta$, we set the long-wavelength parameter to be $\epsilon = 0.2, \epsilon = 0.25, \text{and } \epsilon = 0.3$. The accuracy of our asymptotic approximation improves for progressively smaller values of $\eta$, as expected for an $\eta \to 0$ asymptotic approximation. Additionally, the approximation improves as we increase $\delta$. This is a consequence of the use of a leading-order approximation for the weakly nonlinear regime; decreasing $\delta$ approaches a configuration that needs to be treated as strongly nonlinear. Our approximation also improves as we increase $\alpha$, although the reason for this improvement is not apparent.
4 Singularly Perturbed Diatomic Hertzian Chain

We now consider a singularly perturbed diatomic Hertzian chain. This problem is related to the woodpile chains that we analyzed in Section 3. Once we derive a leading-order solution for a traveling wave in a diatomic Hertzian chain, we can perform a similar exponential asymptotic analysis. Obtaining the singulant chains that we analyzed in Section 3. Once we derive a leading-order solution for a traveling wave in a

We now consider a singularly perturbed diatomic Hertzian chain. This problem is related to the woodpile chains that we studied in [55, 56]. Those previous studies used far-field asymptotic behavior to identify an orthogonality condition, which yields values of the mass-ratio parameter $\eta$ that cause the far-field waves to cancel and produce a genuine solitary wave.

We derive an asymptotic approximation in a diatomic Hertzian chain to identify an orthogonality condition that finds some some (but not all) values of $\eta$ that produce solitary waves. Specifically, our asymptotic approach systematically fails to identify every second value of $\eta$ that causes the far-field oscillations to cancel.

Much of our analysis in this section is similar to that in Section 3 and in [55, 56]. Therefore, we present only an outline of our calculations.

The governing equations for a diatomic chain of particles is

\begin{align*}
m_1 \ddot{u}(2n, t) &= \left[ \delta_0 + v(2n - 1, t) - u(2n, t) \right]_+^2 - \left[ \delta_0 + u(2n, t) - v(2n + 1, t) \right]_+^2, \\
m_2 \ddot{v}(2n + 1, t) &= \left[ \delta_0 + u(2n, t) - v(2n + 1, t) \right]_+^2 - \left[ \delta_0 + v(2n + 1, t) - u(2n + 2, t) \right]_+^2,
\end{align*}

where $u$ and $v$, respectively, represent the displacement of even and odd particles, the $+$ subscript has the same meaning as in (10)–(11), and we set $\alpha = 3/2$ so that we consider Hertzian interactions. We apply the same scalings as for woodpile chains, so we let $u = m_1^2 \hat{u}$ and $v = m_1^2 \hat{v}$. We rewrite (49)–(50) in terms of our scaled variables and a scaled parameter $\hat{\delta} = \delta_0/m_1^2$. Setting $\eta^2 = m_2/m_1$ yields the scaled system

\begin{align*}
\hat{u}(2n, t) &= \left[ \hat{\delta} + \hat{v}(2n - 1, t) - \hat{u}(2n, t) \right]_+^{3/2} - \left[ \hat{\delta} + \hat{u}(2n, t) - \hat{v}(2n + 1, t) \right]_+^{3/2}, \\
\eta^2 \hat{v}(2n + 1, t) &= \left[ \hat{\delta} + \hat{u}(2n, t) - \hat{v}(2n + 1, t) \right]_+^{3/2} - \left[ \hat{\delta} + \hat{v}(2n + 1, t) - \hat{u}(2n + 2, t) \right]_+^{3/2},
\end{align*}

As in the woodpile chains, the system (51)–(52) is sufficiently precompressed that the quantities in the square brackets are never negative. We therefore omit the $+$ subscript in our subsequent notation. In our analysis of the scaled system (51)–(52), we also omit the hats from the variables and parameters for notational convenience.

As in the woodpile chains of Section 3, we study traveling-wave solutions to (51)–(52) when the mass-ratio parameter $\eta$ is small. Specifically, we consider $0 < \eta \ll 1$. As before, the solution consists of a localized wave core that depends algebraically on $\eta$ and has exponentially-small, non-decaying oscillations. We again use exponential asymptotic methods to study these oscillations.

4.1 Leading-order series

The first step to construct a nanoperturon of solution of (51)–(52) is to find a leading-order solitary wave. Therefore, we begin by expanding the solution $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ using an asymptotic power series in $\eta^2$ in the limit $\eta \to 0$. This yields

\begin{align*}
\hat{u}(n, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{2j} u_j(n, t), \quad \hat{v}(n, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{2j} v_j(n, t).
\end{align*}

Inserting the series expansions (53) into (52) and matching at leading order in the $\eta \to 0$ limit gives

\begin{align*}
v_0(2n + 1, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ u_0(2n, t) + u_0(2n + 2, t) \right].
\end{align*}

\[2\text{In our analysis of woodpile chains in Section 3, we permitted } \alpha \text{ to take any value that produces leading-order solitary waves, as the choice of } \alpha \text{ did not significantly impact the analysis. For diatomic chains, the choice of } \alpha \text{ has a more significant effect on the exponential asymptotic analysis, so we restrict our attention to Hertzian interactions, which is the most physically significant case.}\]
Inserting (54) into (51) yields
\[ \ddot{u}_0(2n, t) = \left( \delta + \frac{u_0(2n - 2, t) - u_0(2n, t)}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - \left( \delta + \frac{u_0(2n + 2, t) - u_0(2n, t)}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \] (55)

Using a similar analysis as in Section 3.1, we obtain an approximation to the leading-order solution by assuming that the displacement of a particle is small in comparison to the precompression parameter \( \delta \) and that the characteristic length scale of the wave is large in comparison to the radius of the spherical particles. We again define a long-wavelength parameter \( \epsilon \), and we find that the leading-order solution is approximately
\[ u_0(\xi) = -4\delta \epsilon \tanh (\epsilon \xi/2) + O(\epsilon^{3/2}), \quad v_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} (u_0(\xi + 1) + u_0(\xi - 1)), \] (56)
where the variable \( \xi \) defines the moving frame
\[ \xi = n - c_\epsilon t, \quad c_\epsilon = \delta^{1/4} \sqrt{3} + \frac{\delta^{1/4} \epsilon^2}{2\sqrt{3}}. \] (57)

We now extend the leading-order solution (56) into the complex plane. We see that \( v_0(\xi) \) is singular at \( \xi = \xi_{N\pm} \) in the complex plane, where
\[ \xi_{N\pm} = \frac{(2N - 1)\pi i}{\epsilon} \pm 1, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}. \] (58)

The behavior near the singularities is
\[ v_0(\xi) \sim -4\delta (\xi - \xi_{N\pm})^{-1} \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \xi_{N\pm}. \] (59)

As with woodpile chains, we find that the dominant contribution to the late-order behavior arises from the singularities that are closest to the real axis; this occurs when \( N = 0 \) and \( N = 1 \) in (58). We illustrate the positions of these singularities in Figure 6. In our subsequent analysis, we show the details for calculating the contributions from the singularity at \( \xi = \xi_{1\pm} \). We then state the analogous results for the contributions from the singularities at \( \xi = \xi_{1\pm}, \xi_{0\pm}, \) and \( \xi_{0\pm}. \)
4.2 Terms in the late-order series

Inserting the series (53) into the system (51)–(52) and matching at each order of \( \eta \) gives a recurrence relation for \( j \geq 2 \). The recurrence relation is

\[
c^2_\ell u_\ell''(\xi) = -\frac{3}{2}(u_\ell(\xi) - u_{\ell+1}(\xi))(\delta + u_0(\xi - 1) - u_0(\xi + 1))^{1/2}
- \frac{3}{2}(u_\ell(\xi) - u_{\ell+1}(\xi))(\delta + u_0(\xi - 1) - u_0(\xi + 1))^{1/2}
+ \frac{3}{4}(v_{\ell-1}(\xi - 1) - u_{\ell-1}(\xi))(v_{\ell-1}(\xi) - v_{\ell-1}(\xi))(\delta + (u_0(\xi - 1) - u_0(\xi)))^{-1/2}
- \frac{3}{4}(u_{\ell-1}(\xi) - v_{\ell-1}(\xi))(u_{\ell-1}(\xi) - v_{\ell-1}(\xi))(\delta + (u_0(\xi - 1) - v_0(\xi)))^{-1/2}
- \frac{3}{4}(v_{\ell-1}(\xi) - u_{\ell-1}(\xi))(v_{\ell-1}(\xi) - u_{\ell-1}(\xi))(\delta + (v_0(\xi) - v_0(\xi)))^{-1/2} + \cdots ,
\]

(60)

\[
c^2_\ell v_{\ell-1}''(\xi) = -\frac{3}{2}(u_\ell(\xi - 1) - v_{\ell-1}(\xi))(\delta + u_0(\xi - 1) - v_0(\xi))^{1/2}
+ \frac{3}{2}(u_\ell(\xi) - v_{\ell-1}(\xi))(\delta + u_0(\xi - 1) - v_0(\xi))^{1/2}
+ \frac{3}{4}(u_{\ell-1}(\xi - 1) - v_{\ell-1}(\xi))(u_{\ell-1}(\xi) - v_{\ell-1}(\xi))(\delta + (v_0(\xi - 1) - v_0(\xi)))^{-1/2}
- \frac{3}{4}(v_{\ell-1}(\xi) - u_{\ell-1}(\xi))(v_{\ell-1}(\xi) - u_{\ell-1}(\xi))(\delta + (v_0(\xi) - v_0(\xi)))^{-1/2} + \cdots ,
\]

(61)

where we omit the terms that are products that include both \( u_{\ell-k} \) and \( v_{\ell-k} \) with \( k > 1 \). The terms that we retain are those that contain \( u_{\ell-1} \), \( v_{\ell-1} \), \( u_\ell \), and \( v_\ell \). All omitted terms are subdominant in comparison to the retained terms as \( j \to \infty \).

We again use a factorial-over-power late-order ansatz to approximate the late-order terms, so we write

\[
u_j \sim \frac{U(\xi)\Gamma(2j + \beta_1)}{\chi(\xi)^{2j + \beta_1}}, \quad v_j \sim \frac{V(\xi)\Gamma(2j + \beta_2)}{\chi(\xi)^{2j + \beta_2}} \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty ,
\]

(62)

where \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are constants. Inserting the late-order ansatz (62) into (60) shows that only \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 - 2 \) produces a nontrivial asymptotic balance.

4.2.1 Calculating \( \chi \)

Inserting the ansatz (62) into the recurrence relation (60)–(61) and matching at \( \mathcal{O}(z_j) \) as \( j \to \infty \) gives

\[
c^2_\ell (\chi')^2 = -\frac{3}{2}(\delta + \frac{u_0(\xi - 1) - u_0(\xi + 1)}{2})^{1/2} .
\]

(63)

Integrating (63) and recalling that \( \chi = 0 \) at the singularity location \( \xi = \xi_{1-} \) implies that

\[
\chi = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3i}}{c_\ell} \int_C \left( \delta + \frac{u_0(s - 1) - u_0(s + 1)}{2} \right)^{1/4} ds ,
\]

(64)

where \( C \) is a contour from \( \xi_{1-} \) to \( \xi \). Although one can select any contour, it is convenient to divide the contour into a vertical component \( C_1 \) and a horizontal component \( C_2 \). We show our contour in Figure 7.

The contribution to the integral in (64) from \( C_1 \) has both real and imaginary components, but the contribution to the integral from \( C_2 \) is purely imaginary. The real and imaginary parts of \( \chi \) are

\[
\text{Re}(\chi) = \pm \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{3i}}{c_\ell} \int_{C_1} \left( \delta + \frac{u_0(s - 1) - u_0(s + 1)}{2} \right)^{1/4} ds \right\} ,
\]

(65)

\[
\text{Im}(\chi) = \pm \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{3i}}{c_\ell} \int_{C_1} \left( \delta + \frac{u_0(s - 1) - u_0(s + 1)}{2} \right)^{1/4} ds \right\}
\pm \frac{\sqrt{3i}}{c_\ell} \int_{C_2} \left( \delta + \frac{u_0(s - 1) - u_0(s + 1)}{2} \right)^{1/4} ds ,
\]

(66)

where the sign choices are either all positive or all negative. We see that \( \text{Re}(\chi) \) is constant for real-valued \( \xi \), so the far-field oscillations have a constant amplitude. Because \( |u_0(\xi - 1) - u_0(\xi + 1)| \to 0 \) as \( |\xi| \to \infty \), we also see that \( \chi'(\xi) \) tends to a constant value and that \( \text{Im}(\chi) \) depends linearly on \( \xi \) in this limit. Consequently, the associated far-field oscillations must tend to a constant wavelength.

Stokes switching can occur only if \( \text{Re}(\chi) > 0 \), which corresponds to the positive signs in both (65) and (66). Therefore, we restrict our analysis to this choice of sign.
Figure 7: The contour of integration for (64) that connects the singularity at \( s = \xi_{1-} \) with the point \( s = \xi \). We indicate the location of the singularity using a cross, and we show the contour using a thick black curve. We divide the contour into a vertical component \( C_1 \) and a horizontal component \( C_2 \). The contribution to the contour integral from \( C_1 \) has both real and imaginary components, whereas the contribution to the integral from \( C_2 \) is purely imaginary. This implies that \( \text{Re}(\chi) \) is constant for real-valued \( \xi \).

For our subsequent analysis, it is helpful to have an asymptotic expression for the local behavior of \( \chi \) near the singular point \( \xi_{1-} \). From direct calculation using local expressions for \( u_0(\xi + 1) \) and \( u_0(\xi - 1) \), which we give explicitly in (98), we obtain

\[
c^2(\xi')^2 \sim -6\sqrt{\delta}(\xi - \xi_{1-})^{-1/2} \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \xi_{1-}.
\] (67)

We rearrange this local expression to give

\[
\chi' \sim \pm \frac{\sqrt{6}\delta^{1/4}}{c_4}(\xi - \xi_{1-})^{-1/4} \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \xi_{1-}.
\] (68)

We choose the positive sign to be consistent with the full expression for \( \chi \), and we integrate to obtain

\[
\chi \sim \frac{4\sqrt{6}\delta^{1/4}}{3c_4}(\xi - \xi_{1-})^{3/4} \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \xi_{1-}.
\] (69)

### 4.2.2 Calculating \( V \) and \( \beta_2 \)

Matching (60)–(61) at order \( \mathcal{O}(v_j') \) as \( j \to \infty \) gives

\[
V = \frac{\Lambda_{1-}}{\sqrt{\chi'}(\xi)}
\] (70)

where \( \Lambda_{1-} \) is a constant that we can determine by taking an inner expansion of the solution in the neighborhood of \( \xi = \xi_{1-} \) and matching the outer limit of this expansion with the inner limit of the late-order ansatz (62).

We need to determine the value of \( \beta_2 \) in (62) to perform this inner analysis.

To determine \( \beta_2 \), we combine (68) and (70) to obtain a local expression for \( V \). This expression is

\[
V \sim \frac{\Lambda_{1-}c_4^{1/2}}{6^{1/4}\delta^{1/8}\sqrt{4}}(\xi - \xi_{1-})^{1/8} \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \xi_{1-}.
\] (71)

Substituting (69) and (71) into (62) yields a local expression for the late-order ansatz:

\[
v_j(\xi) \sim \frac{\Lambda_{1-}c_4^{1/2}(\xi - \xi_{1-})^{1/8}\Gamma(2j + \beta_2)}{6^{1/4}\delta^{1/8}\sqrt{4}(4\sqrt{6}\delta^{1/4}(\xi - \xi_{1-})^{3/4}/3c_4)^{2j + \beta_2}}.
\] (72)

We note that the leading-order solution \( u_0 \) (56) has a singularity of order 1 at \( \xi = \xi_{1-} \). For (72) to be consistent with repeated differentiation of the leading-order behavior, we require that \( 3\beta_2/4 = 1/8 \), yielding \( \beta_2 = 3/2 \).

Finally, we need to determine \( \Lambda_{1-} \). The late-order expansion (62) breaks down in the neighborhood of \( \xi = \xi_{1-} \) in the region \( \eta^2\chi^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(1) \) as \( \eta \to 0 \). By matching the inner limit of the late-order behavior [which is given in (72)] with the outer limit of a local expansion near this singularity, it is possible to obtain \( \Lambda_{1-} \). We show the computational portion of this procedure in Appendix A.2 and obtain \( c^2_4\Lambda_{1-}/\delta^{3/2} \approx 38.41 \).
4.3 Calculations of remainders

As in Section 3, we truncate the asymptotic series after \( N \) terms. This yields

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(\xi) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \eta^{2j} u_j(\xi) + S_N(\xi), \\
v(\xi) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \eta^{2j} v_j(\xi) + R_N(\xi),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( S_N \) and \( R_N \) are the remainder terms that we obtain by truncating the series. The optimal truncation point, which we find using the same method as in Section 3.3, is \( N_{\text{opt}} = |\chi|/2\eta + \omega \), where we choose \( \omega \in [0,1) \) to ensure that \( N_{\text{opt}} \) is an integer. Note that \( N_{\text{opt}} \to \infty \) as \( \eta \to 0 \).

We insert the truncated series expressions from (73) into the governing equation (52). Using the recursion relation (61) and the late-order ansatz (62), we obtain

\[
\frac{c_2^2 \eta^2 R_N^2(\xi) - c_2^2 \chi'(\xi)^2 R_N(\xi)}{c_2^2 \chi(\xi)^2 V(\xi) \Gamma(2N+3/2)} \sim \frac{\eta^{2N} \chi'(\xi)^2 V(\xi) \Gamma(2N+3/2)}{\chi(\xi)^{2N+3/2}} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0
\]
after some algebra.

The right-hand side of (74) is exponentially small and one can neglect it, except in the neighborhood of the curve \( \text{Im}(\chi) = 0 \), which is the Stokes curve. To capture the behavior of the remainder near this Stokes curve, we write the remainder \( R_N \) using the same adapted WKB ansatz as (34). That is,

\[
R_N(\xi) \sim A(\xi)e^{-\chi/\eta} \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0,
\]

where \( A(\xi) \) is a Stokes switching parameter that varies rapidly near the Stokes curve and is constant outside of the rapidly varying neighborhood. Inserting (75) into (74) yields

\[
-2A' \chi' e^{-\chi/\eta} \sim -\frac{\eta^{2N-1} \chi'(\xi)^2 \Gamma(2N+3/2)}{\chi^{2N+3/2}}.
\]

We transform (76) to treat \( \chi \) as the independent variable and write \( \chi = r e^{i\theta} \) in polar coordinates. We fix \( \rho \), and we consider only variations in the angular direction. Using the optimal truncation \( N = N_{\text{opt}} \) and simplifying using Stirling’s formula gives

\[
\frac{dA}{d\theta} \sim \frac{i}{\eta^2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi \rho \eta}{2}} \exp \left( \frac{\rho}{\eta^2} - \frac{i\theta r}{\eta} - i\theta(1/2 + 2\omega) \right).
\]

The right-hand side of (77) is exponentially small in \( \eta \), except in the neighborhood of \( \theta = 0 \). Defining an inner region \( \theta = \eta^{1/2} \tilde{\theta} \), we find that

\[
\frac{dA}{d\tilde{\theta}} \sim \frac{i}{\eta^2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi \rho \eta}{2}} e^{-\tilde{\theta}^2/2}.
\]

By integrating (78), we see that the behavior of \( A \) as the Stokes curve is crossed is

\[
A \sim \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\eta^3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\eta \tilde{\theta}}} e^{-s^2/2} ds.
\]

We evaluate the integral in (79) and use the form of \( R_N \) from (75) and find that the exponentially small contribution from \( \xi_{1-} \) is

\[
[R_N]^+ \sim \frac{\eta^{1/2} \chi}{\eta^{1/2} \sqrt{\chi}} e^{-\chi/\eta}.
\]

To capture the change across the Stokes curve, we also need to include the contribution from \( \xi_{0-} \); this is given by the complex conjugate of (80). Adding (80) and its complex conjugate, we find that the change in the exponentially small contribution as the Stokes curve is crossed from left to right is

\[
[R_N]^+ \sim \frac{\eta^{1/2} \chi}{\eta^{1/2} \sqrt{\chi}} e^{-\chi/\eta} + \text{c.c.},
\]

where “c.c.” denotes the contribution from singularity \( \xi_{0-} \) that is conjugated to \( \xi_{1-} \).

map: "that is conjugated to": what does this mean exactly? I am confused by this phrasing
At each time step, we multiply the solution by the windowing function in (48). The initial condition is given with long-wavelength parameters \( \xi \) depend on amplitude of the far-field waves for a large range of values of \( \xi = \xi_{1+} \). Using the relation \( \text{Re}(\chi_{1+}) = \text{Re}(\chi_{1-}) \) from (65), the asymptotic expression (82) becomes

\[
v_{\text{exp}} \sim \frac{i \pi}{\eta^{3/2}} e^{-\text{Re}(\chi_{1-}(\xi))/\eta} \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{1-} e^{-i \text{Im}(\chi_{1-}(\xi))/\eta}}{\sqrt{\chi_{1-}(\xi)}} + \frac{\Lambda_{1+} e^{-i \text{Im}(\chi_{1+}(\xi))/\eta}}{\sqrt{\chi_{1+}(\xi)}} \right] + c.c.,
\]

where \( \chi_{1-} \) is the singulant that is associated with \( \xi = \xi_{1-} \) and \( \chi_{1+} \) is the singulant that is associated with \( \xi = \xi_{1+} \). Using the relation \( \text{Re}(\chi_{1+}) = \text{Re}(\chi_{1-}) \) from (65), the asymptotic expression (82) becomes

\[
v_{\text{exp}} \sim \frac{i \pi}{\eta^{3/2}} e^{-\text{Re}(\chi_{1-}(\xi))/\eta} \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{1-} e^{-i \text{Im}(\chi_{1-}(\xi))/\eta}}{\sqrt{\chi_{1-}(\xi)}} + \frac{\Lambda_{1+} e^{-i \text{Im}(\chi_{1+}(\xi))/\eta}}{\sqrt{\chi_{1+}(\xi)}} \right] + c.c.
\]

In Appendix A.2, we show that \( \Lambda_{1+} = i \Lambda_{1-} \). Far behind the central solitary wave, \( |u_0(\xi - 1) - u_0(\xi + 1)| \to 0 \), because the leading-order traveling wave is exponentially localized. Consequently, \( \chi_{1+} \sim i \sqrt{3 \delta^{1/4}}/c_0 \) in the \( \xi \to -\infty \) limit, as indicated in (63). This yields a convenient trigonometric simplification:

\[
v_{\text{exp}} \sim \frac{4 \pi \Lambda_{1-}}{\eta^{3/2} \sqrt{\chi_{1-}(\xi)} e^{-\text{Re}(\chi_{1-}(\xi))/\eta}} e^{-\text{Re}(\chi_{1-}(\xi))/\eta} \left( \frac{\text{Im}(\chi_{1-}(\xi) - \chi_{1+}(\xi))}{2\eta} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \times \cos\left( \frac{\text{Im}(\chi_{1+}(\xi) + \chi_{1-}(\xi))}{2\eta} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right).
\]

From the integral expression (64) for the singulant \( \chi \), we see that \( \text{Re}(\chi_{1+}) \) and \( \text{Im}(\chi_{1-}) - \text{Im}(\chi_{1+}) \) do not depend on \( \xi \). Consequently, we can write the amplitude of the far-field waves as

\[
v_{\text{amp}} \sim \frac{4 \pi c_{1/2} \Lambda_{1-}}{3^{1/4} \delta^{1/8} \eta^{3/2}} e^{-\text{Re}(\chi_{1-}(\xi))/\eta} e^{-\text{Re}(\chi_{1-}(\xi))/\eta} \left( \frac{\text{Im}(\chi_{1-}(\xi) - \chi_{1+}(\xi))}{2\eta} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.
\]

### 4.4 Comparison of our asymptotic and computational results

As in Section 3.4, we compare our asymptotic results for the far-field amplitude to numerical simulations. We again use the velocity Verlet algorithm and again simulate the equations of motion on a large periodic domain with relative coordinates. For diatomic Hertzian chains, the relative coordinate system is given in terms of \( r(n, t) \), where

\[
r(2n, t) = u(2n + 1, t) - u(2n, t), \quad r(2n + 1, t) = u(2n + 2, t) - v(2n + 1, t).
\]

In this coordinate system, the equations of motion (51)–(52) become

\[
\dot{r}(2n, t) = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \right) |\delta - r(2n, t)|^{1/2} - |\delta - r(2n - 1, t)|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{\eta^2} [\delta - r(2n + 1, t)]^{1/2},
\]

\[
\dot{r}(2n + 1, t) = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \right) |\delta - r(2n + 1, t)|^{1/2} - |\delta - r(2n, t)|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{\eta^2} [\delta - r(2n + 2, t)]^{1/2}.
\]

As with the woodpile chain, the domain includes \( M = 2^{10} \) particles, with indices \( n \in \{-M/2 + 1, \ldots, M/2\} \). At each time step, we multiply the solution by the windowing function in (48). The initial condition is given by the leading-order traveling-wave solution (56).

We calculate the amplitude of the far-field waves for the precompressions \( \delta = 5, \delta = 7.5, \delta = 10, \) and \( \delta = 15 \) with long-wavelength parameters \( \epsilon = 0.4, \epsilon = 0.5, \) and \( \epsilon = 0.6 \) for a range of values of the mass-ratio parameter \( \eta \). As with woodpile chains, we run each simulation for a sufficiently long time so that the amplitude of the far-field oscillations appear to reach a constant value. In Figure 8, we present the results of our computations and compare them to our asymptotic results from (85).

We make two observations from Figure 8. First, our asymptotic approximation accurately predicts the amplitude of the far-field waves for a large range of values of \( \eta \). Second, our asymptotic calculation does not always identify the values of \( \eta \) for which destructive interference causes the far-field oscillations to vanish. In
Figure 8: Comparison of our asymptotic results and numerical simulations of the far-field wave amplitude of nanoptera in a diatomic Hertzian chain with precompressions of (a) $\delta = 3$, (b) $\delta = 5$, (c) $\delta = 10$, and (d) $\delta = 15$. For each value of $\delta$, we show our computations for long-wavelength parameters of $\epsilon = 0.4$, $\epsilon = 0.5$, and $\epsilon = 0.6$. Our asymptotic prediction for the far-field amplitude is accurate for a wide range of values of the mass-ratio parameter $\eta$, with certain important exceptions. For fixed values of $\delta$ and $\epsilon$, two oscillatory wave trains interact and interfere destructively for specific values of $\eta$. Our asymptotic approximation is capable of predicting the destructive interference that occurs for $\eta \approx 0.32$ and $\eta \approx 0.22$, and the accuracy of the prediction becomes better for progressively smaller values of $\eta$. However, the approximation does not predict the destructive interference that occurs for $\eta \approx 0.25$ and $\eta \approx 0.19$. Our approximation for the destructive interference becomes less accurate for progressively smaller $\epsilon$.

Each panel of Figure 8, we observe four values of $\eta$ (these are $\eta \approx 0.32$, $\eta \approx 0.25$, $\eta \approx 0.22$, and $\eta \approx 0.19$) at which the waves in our numerical computations vanish, corresponding to localized solitary waves with no far-field oscillations. From (85), we see that our asymptotic analysis predicts these values to be solutions of

$$\cos \left( \frac{\text{Im}(\chi_{1-}(\xi) - \chi_{1+}(\xi))}{2\eta} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) = 0,$$

which simplifies to solving

$$2\pi\eta \left( K + \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\epsilon_\text{c}} \int_{\xi_{1-}}^{\xi_{1+}} \left( \delta + \frac{(u_0(\xi) - 1) - u_0(\xi + 1))}{2} \right)^{1/4} \text{d}s \quad (88)$$

for integer values of $K$. The expression (88) is an orthogonality condition [1, 42, 55, 56, 84]. Values of $\eta$ that satisfy (88) correspond to dips in the asymptotically predicted amplitude in Figure 8. These values yield localized solitary waves. We can see that the condition (88) is capable of predicting the localized solitary waves that arise for $\eta \approx 0.32$ and $\eta \approx 0.22$. However, it fails to predict the localized solitary waves that arise for $\eta \approx 0.25$ and $\eta \approx 0.19$. In fact, our exponential asymptotic analysis appears to systematically miss every second point (i.e., if it captures one point, then it misses the next point, and vice versa) at which the oscillations vanish. Additionally, for progressively smaller values of $\epsilon$, the orthogonality condition (88) becomes less accurate at predicting the values of $\eta$ that give localized solitary waves.

Systematically missing half of these solitary-wave solutions appears to be a limitation of the method that we have used to obtain the asymptotic contribution. There are several approximations in our analysis. Notably, we analytically continue the long-wavelength KdV approximation from the real axis into the complex plane. The orthogonality condition (88) depends on the behavior of $u_0(\xi)$ on a contour that connects the singularities.
at $\xi_1^-$ and $\xi_1^+$ (see Figure 6). These singularities are far from the real axis, and their distance from the real axis increases as the long-wavelength parameter $\epsilon$ decreases. Consequently, it is possible that errors in this approximation — and, in particular, in the locations of the singular points — become larger for progressively smaller $\epsilon$.

Detecting destructive interference involves determining configurations in which two oscillations with very short wavelengths precisely cancel each other. The individual Stokes contributions have wavelengths that are of size $O(1/\eta)$ as $\eta \to 0$. Errors in the positions of the singularities on this very short scale are capable of significantly changing the values of $\eta$ for which cancellation occurs. It is possible that approximation errors in the leading-order solution lead to shifts in the singularities in the analytically-continued solution. This may disrupt the precise cancellation that is necessary for the manifestation of localized solitary-wave solutions, causing some of them to be missed by our asymptotic approximation.

The issue of missing solitary-wave solutions did not arise in a recent study of a diatomic FPUT chain [55], despite the use of a similar long-wavelength approximation to continue a leading-order solution into the complex plane. It is not apparent why the method worked effectively for diatomic FPUT chains, but has failed to identify analogous behavior in our diatomic Hertzian chain. This is an interesting open question that merits further study.

5 Conclusions and Discussion

In the present study, we derived asymptotic approximations for traveling waves in two particle chains that are singular perturbations of a monoatomic Hertzian particle chain with precompression. Specifically, we considered a woodpile chain and a diatomic Hertzian chain with a small ratio between the masses of its two types of particles. The traveling-wave solutions of these systems are nanoptera, which consist of an exponentially localized solitary wave along with periodic oscillations of exponentially small amplitude.

A monoatomic Hertzian chain supports traveling solitary-wave solutions, which one can approximate as soliton solutions to the KdV equation under suitable assumptions. We used this monoatomic solitary wave as the leading-order behavior for both woodpile chains and diatomic Hertzian chains. In both systems, we found that non-decaying oscillations appear in the wake of a primary traveling wave. These oscillations arise from the Stokes phenomenon, in which exponentially small contributions to an analytically-continued solution switch on or off as Stokes curves are crossed in the complex plane.

Using exponential asymptotic analysis, we obtained an asymptotic form for these exponentially small contributions, and we used this form to determine the amplitude of the non-decaying far-field waves that appear behind the traveling wave. For woodpile chains, the solution has one Stokes curve, which produces one exponentially small oscillation in the region behind the wave front. We determined an asymptotic approximation of this behavior, and we compared our asymptotic results with numerical computations. We saw that the asymptotic prediction provided an accurate approximation for the wave behavior in the far field. However, the asymptotic approximation of the far-field wave amplitude becomes less accurate for progressively smaller values of the precompression parameter $\delta$. It is possible that this is a consequence of the inadequacy of examining a weakly nonlinear regime of the woodpile chain as nonlinear effects become more significant, leading to errors in the description of exponentially small effects. A study of woodpile chains with zero precompression would reveal whether it is possible to analyze the behavior of the oscillations in the strongly nonlinear regime using exponential asymptotic methods. We discuss the possibility of such a study at the end of this section.

We also used exponential asymptotic analysis to study nanoptera in a diatomic Hertzian chain in which the mass ratio between light and heavy particles is small. We found that there are two Stokes curves in the analytically-continued traveling-wave solution. These produce exponentially small oscillations — which have identical amplitude but different phases — behind the wave front. The interaction between these oscillations is particularly important, as there exists a set of values of the mass-ratio parameter $\eta$ at which the oscillations interfere destructively to produce a localized solitary wave.

Our comparison of the asymptotic results and numerical computations in diatomic Hertzian chains shows that our exponential asymptotic analysis accurately predicts the amplitude of the far-field waves for many
values of $\eta$. However, our computations also reveal that our asymptotic analysis is unable to detect the wave cancellation that occurs for several values of $\eta$. Furthermore, the predicted mass ratio values that produce wave cancellation are less accurate than those in analyses of other particle chain behavior (such as the exponential asymptotic calculations from [55, 56]), particularly for small values of the long-wavelength parameter $\epsilon$. It is possible that this inaccuracy arises from our use of a long-wavelength approximation for the leading-order solution, which may have limited accuracy away from the real axis. This is an important question, as the Stokes phenomenon depends on the behavior of singularities in the analytically-continued solution.

In addition to deriving an explanation for the above undetected features of nanoptera in diatomic Hertzian chains, our investigation opens several interesting questions. In the present study, we used a similar asymptotic approach as the one in [55,56] and evaluated our asymptotic approximations by comparing them to numerical computations. It would be interesting to develop a rigorous existence proof of nanopteron solutions in both diatomic Hertzian chains and woodpile chains. It may be possible to adapt the Beale ansatz method of [26,38] for this purpose.

As we discussed in Section 1, one-sided nanopteron solutions in both woodpile and diatomic Hertzian chains are metastable, because the oscillations slowly draw energy away from the wave front. This indicates that the wave cannot persist indefinitely; instead, it must eventually decay. This property is not present in the leading-order approximation, nor is it apparent in the form of the exponentially small oscillations in the analysis in either the present study or in [55,56]. The long-time decay must arise from interactions between the leading-order traveling wave and the exponentially small oscillations. It would be useful to determine (1) how the leading-order wave and the exponentially small oscillations interact and (2) whether one can detect such interactions by using an appropriate long-time rescaling or by calculating higher-order corrections to the oscillatory wave train.

In our analysis, we considered only a weakly nonlinear approximation to the leading-order solitary wave for both woodpile and diatomic Hertzian chains. In principle, one can apply the exponential asymptotic method that was developed in [17] with few additional complications to strongly nonlinear systems, such as a Hertzian system without precompression. However, there are two significant challenges that need to be overcome to undertake such an analysis. The first challenge is that one no longer has access to a closed-form leading-order solution to analytically continue in a straightforward fashion. We hope that it may be possible to use the leading-order approximation that was derived in [77] using a rational-function approximation, but it is not apparent whether this approximation is valid if it is analytically continued away from the real axis. This is an important point to clarify, as the Stokes phenomenon is caused by singularities in the complex plane. The second challenge relates to the form of the potential in (2). This potential is not smooth at any point at which the argument in the brackets is 0. In the present study, the precompression parameter $\delta_0$ is always at least as large as the relative displacement of two adjacent particles, so the argument in the brackets is always nonnegative and the potential in (2) is smooth. Without precompression, however, the argument in the brackets can switch between positive and negative signs, and the potential is not smooth at any point at which the argument in the brackets is 0. An important direction for future studies is to explore the effects of such a non-smooth potential on exponential asymptotic analysis. If these challenges are overcome, it would be useful to compare the ensuing results with the analysis of the nonlinear Hertzian woodpile systems that were examined in Xu et al. [89], who used fixed-point Fourier-transform methods to identify anti-resonance conditions. In particular, it would be interesting to determine whether one can derive these conditions using exponential asymptotic methods and whether there are connections between such an approach and the one in Xu et al.

### A Determining the Prefactor Constants

We determine the prefactor constants for both the woodpile chain and diatomic Hertzian chains. Assuming that the power series diverges in a factorial-over-power fashion, it must cease to be asymptotic in a narrow region in the neighborhood of the singularities in the complex plane. Inside this region, the earlier terms in the series are not larger asymptotically than later series terms in the limit that $\eta \to 0$. Describing the solution...
behavior near one of these singularities requires obtaining a local expansion of the solution near the singular point. Once we have obtained this local expansion, we obtain the prefactor constants in the late-order terms using asymptotic matching to ensure that the local expansions are consistent with the power-series behavior.

A.1 Woodpile chain

To determine the value of $\Lambda_+$, we match the late-order expansion in the outer region with the local solution in an inner region near the singularity at $\xi = \xi_{0+}$ by using Van Dyke’s matching principle. As $\xi \to \xi_{0+}$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
  u_0(\xi) &\sim -\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{\delta}{\xi} + \mathcal{O}(\xi - \xi_{0+}), & u_0(\xi + 1) &\sim \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \delta \text{coth}(\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\xi - \xi_{0+}), \\
  v_0(\xi) &\sim -\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{\delta}{\xi} + \mathcal{O}(\xi - \xi_{0+}), & u_0(\xi - 1) &\sim -\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \delta \text{coth}(\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\xi - \xi_{0+}).
\end{align*}
$$

By matching orders of $\eta$ an inner region near each relevant singularity. We perform this analysis in the neighborhood of $\xi = \xi_{0+}$.

To locate the relevant inner region, we need to determine where the validity of the late-order term ansatz breaks down. From the form of the late-order ansatz (25), we see that this occurs for $\eta^2 \chi^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $\eta \to 0$. That is, it occurs for $\eta^2 (\xi - \xi_{0+})^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(1)$; this corresponds to the inner scaling $\xi - \xi_{0+} = \eta \xi$. From asymptotic balancing, the appropriate rescaled inner variables are

$$
\begin{align*}
  u(\xi) = -\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{\delta}{\eta} + \bar{u}(\xi), & u(\xi + 1) = \bar{u}(\xi + \eta^{-1}), & u(\xi - 1) = \bar{u}(\xi - \eta^{-1}), & v(\xi) = -\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{\delta}{\eta} + \frac{\bar{v}(\xi)}{\eta}.
\end{align*}
$$

Retaining the leading-order terms as $\eta \to 0$, the rescaled inner equation gives

$$
-\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{2\delta}{\bar{\xi}} + \frac{d^2 \bar{v}(\bar{\xi})}{d\bar{\xi}^2} = -\frac{k}{c^2} \bar{v}(\bar{\xi}).
$$

We express $\bar{v}$ in terms of a power series

$$
\bar{v}(\bar{\xi}) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_j}{\bar{\xi}^{2j+1}} \text{ as } \bar{\xi} \to 0,
$$

and we note that we include the leading-order singularity as part of the rescaling process (91). This yields

$$
-\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{2\delta}{\bar{\xi}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2j + 1)(2j + 2)v_j}{\bar{\xi}^{2j+3}} = -\frac{k}{c^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j\bar{\xi}^{2j+1}.
$$

By matching orders of $\bar{\xi}$, we obtain the recurrence relation

$$
v_1 = 2\delta c^2/(k(\alpha - 1)), \quad (2j + 2)(2j + 1)c^2 v_j = -kv_{j+1}.
$$

Solving the recurrence relation (95) gives

$$
\bar{v}_j = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \delta (-1)^{j+1} \left(\frac{c^2}{k}\right)^j \Gamma(2j + 1).
$$

By comparing the series expression (96) with the inner limit of the late-order ansatz, we obtain

$$
\Lambda_+ = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{v_j(i\sqrt{k}/c_\epsilon)^{2j+1}}{\Gamma(2j + 1)} = -\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \frac{i\delta \sqrt{k}}{c_\epsilon}.
$$

A.2 Diatomic Hertzian Chain

To determine $\Lambda_{1-}$, we match the late-order term expansion in the outer region with a local expansion in an inner region near each relevant singularity. We perform this analysis in the neighborhood of $\xi = \xi_{1-}$. From (62), we see that the factorial-over-power series breaks down when $\eta^2 \chi^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $\eta \to 0$. We introduce an inner scaling $\xi - \xi_{1-} = \eta^{4/3}\bar{\xi}$, which gives the rescaled inner variables

$$
\begin{align*}
  u(\xi + 1) = -\frac{88}{\eta^{4/3}\bar{\xi}} + \bar{u}(\bar{\xi} + \eta^{-4/3}), & u(\xi - 1) = \bar{u}(\bar{\xi} - \eta^{-4/3}), & v(\xi) = -\frac{4\delta}{\eta^{4/3}\bar{\xi}} + \bar{v}(\bar{\xi})/\eta^{4/3}.
\end{align*}
$$
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Retaining the leading-order terms as $\eta \to 0$ in the rescaled inner equation gives

$$-\frac{8\delta}{\xi^3} + \frac{d^2 \hat{v}(\bar{\xi})}{d\bar{\xi}^2} = \frac{1}{c^2} \left[ \left( \frac{4\delta}{\xi} - \hat{v}(\xi) \right)^{3/2} - \left( \frac{4\delta}{\xi} + \hat{v}(\xi) \right)^{3/2} \right].$$

(99)

We express $\hat{v}$ in terms of the power series

$$\hat{v}(\bar{\xi}) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{\bar{\xi}^{j+1}} \quad \text{as} \quad \bar{\xi} \to 0.$$  

(100)

Matching the inner expansion (100) with the outer ansatz (72) yields

$$\Lambda_{1-} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{a_j^{2j+2}2^{5j+4}\delta^{j+1}}{3j^{1/2}c^2j^{3/2}2^{1/2}}.$$  

(101)

We numerically compute values for $a_j$ by substituting the series expression (100) into (99) and solving a recurrence relation for $a_j$ in terms of the previous series coefficients. We obtain an approximation $\Lambda_{\text{approx}}$ for $\Lambda_{1-}$ by evaluating the right-hand side of (101) for large values of $j$. When we take $j$ to be sufficiently large, we obtain an accurate approximation of the exact value of $\Lambda_{1-}$.

In Figure 9, we show the behavior of $\Lambda_{\text{approx}}$ as we increase $j$, and we can see that our approximation is converging. For sufficiently large values of $j$, we compute that

$$\Lambda_{1-} = 38.41 \frac{\delta^{3/2}}{c^2}.$$  

(102)

Following the same approach as above yields

$$\Lambda_{1+} = i\Lambda_{1-}.$$  

(103)

References


