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Abstract

The inhomogeneous Muskat problem models the dynamics of an interface between two fluids of differing characteristics inside a non-uniform porous medium. We consider a porous media with a permeability jump across a horizontal boundary away from an interface between two fluids of different viscosities and densities. For initial data of explicit medium size, depending on the characteristics of the fluids and porous media, we will prove the global existence of a solution that is instantly analytic and decays in time to the flat interface.

1 Introduction

The inhomogeneous Muskat problem models the dynamics of two incompressible, immiscible fluids in a non-uniform, porous medium. This scenario occurs naturally when, for example, oil and water flows meet in a soil and sand media. The physical principle governing the porous media flow is Darcy’s law [9], given here in the two dimensional setting:

\[ \frac{\mu}{\kappa} \nabla u = -\nabla p - g(0,\rho) \]  

(1)

where \( u(x,t) \) is the fluid velocity, \( \mu(x,t) \) is the fluid viscosity, \( \kappa(x,t) \) is the permeability of the porous media, \( p(x,t) \) is the pressure, \( g \) is the gravitational constant and \( \rho(x,t) \) is the fluid density. The incompressibility condition in each fluid domain is given by \( \nabla \cdot u = 0 \).

In the inhomogeneous Muskat regime, the porous media and fluid characteristics vary over three time-dependent disjoint open domains \( \Omega_i(t) \) such that \( \Omega_3(t) = \Omega_3 \) is unchanging.

![Figure 1: Inhomogenous Muskat Problem.](image)
Note that, denoting the boundaries as $\partial \Omega_i(t)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$,
\[
\Omega_1(t) \cup \Omega_2(t) \cup \Omega_3 \cup \partial \Omega_1(t) \cup \partial \Omega_3 = \mathbb{R}^2.
\]
The two fluids occupy domains $\Omega_1(t)$ and $\Omega_2(t) \cup \Omega_3$ respectively, and the two different soils occupy domains $\Omega_1(t) \cup \Omega_2(t)$ and $\Omega_3$:
\[
(\mu, \rho)(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
(\mu_1, \rho_1) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1(t) \\
(\mu_2, \rho_2) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_2(t) \cup \Omega_3
\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} 
\kappa_1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1(t) \cup \Omega_2(t) \\
\kappa_2 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_3
\end{cases}
\]
Imposing the conditions that $[c, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \subset \Omega_1(t)$ and $(-\infty, -c] \times \mathbb{R} \subset \Omega_3(t)$ for some $c > 0$, we will study the evolution of the fluid-fluid interface $\partial \Omega_1(t)$. This setting is a variation of the classical Muskat problem in which the porous medium is uniform and the permeability constant is often normalized to $\kappa = 1$.

It is possible to obtain a contour equation for the fluid-fluid interface from (1) and the incompressibility condition on the fluid velocity. Let us parameterize this interface
\[
z(\alpha, t) = (z_1(\alpha, t), z_2(\alpha, t)) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \; t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},
\]
and the smooth, simple interface between the distinct permeable regions as
\[
h(\alpha) = (h_1(\alpha), h_2(\alpha)) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}
\]
as depicted in Figure 1 below. We will assume that initially $z(\alpha, 0) \neq h(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Darcy’s law implies the vorticity ($\omega = \nabla \times v$) are supported only on the boundaries,
\[
\omega(x, t) = \omega_1(\alpha, t)\delta(x - z(\alpha, t)) + \omega_2(\alpha, t)\delta(x - h(\alpha)).
\]
The Biot-Savart law gives a solution for the fluid velocity in terms of the vorticity
\[
u(x, t) = BR(\omega_1, z)(x, t) + BR(\omega_2, h)(x, t)
\]
\[
:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - z(\beta, t))^+}{|x - z(\beta, t)|^2} \omega_1(\beta, t) \, d\beta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - h(\beta))^+}{|x - h(\beta)|^2} \omega_2(\beta, t) \, d\beta
\]
where $BR$ stands for the Birkhoff-Rott integral defined above. Taking limits in the normal direction to the boundaries, one obtains
\[
\partial_\alpha z(\alpha, t) = BR(\omega_1, z)(z(\alpha, t), t) + BR(\omega_2, h)(z(\alpha, t), t) + c(\alpha, t) \partial_\alpha z(\alpha, t),
\]
\[
\omega_1(\alpha, t) = 2A_{\mu}(BR(\omega_1, z)(z(\alpha, t), t) + BR(\omega_2, h)(z(\alpha, t), t)) \cdot \partial_\alpha z(\alpha, t) - 2A_{\rho} \partial_\alpha z_2(\alpha, t),
\]
\[
\omega_2(\alpha, t) = -2A_{\kappa}(BR(\omega_1, z)(h(\alpha), t) + BR(\omega_2, h)(h(\alpha), t)) \cdot \partial_\alpha h(\alpha),
\]
where the constants are given by
\[
A_{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}, \quad A_{\mu} = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2}, \quad A_{\rho} = -\kappa_1 \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2}.
\]
(See [19] for more details.) In (2), the tangential term $c(\alpha, t)\partial_\alpha z(\alpha, t)$ is determined by the choice of parametrization of the curve and vanishes when considering the velocity normal to the interface.
An important question to ask is whether the system (2)-(3)-(4) is well-posed. The local well-posedness depends on the system initially satisfying the Rayleigh-Taylor condition, which requires the jump of the gradient of the pressure in the normal direction to the interface to be strictly positive. A system satisfying the Rayleigh-Taylor condition is said to be in the stable regime. In the case that there is a density jump across the interface, $A_\rho \neq 0$, the Rayleigh-Taylor condition requires that the denser fluid lay below the interface, meaning $\rho_2 > \rho_1$.

In the stable regime with uniform permeability $A_\kappa = 0$, there are numerous works showing well-posedness of the interface system. For example, in [7] and [6], local well-posedness is shown for initial data satisfying the Rayleigh-Taylor condition in $H^{d+2}$ for interface dimension $d = 1, 2$. Later results proved local well-posedness for interfaces of lower regularity, with the critical Sobolev regularity shown by scaling to be at the level of $W^{1,\infty}$ or $H^{s+\frac{d}{2}}$ for $d = 1, 2$ the interfacial dimension. In two dimensions, local well-posedness of graphical interfaces in $H^2$ was shown in [3] and [15] for the one fluid and two fluid case respectively. Without a jump in viscosities, well-posedness is shown in $H^s$ for $s \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2)$ by [14]. A paradifferential approach is used in [16], [17] to show well-posedness in all subcritical spaces $H^s$ for $s > 1 + \frac{d}{2}$ for arbitrary dimension for various characteristics such as finite depth, viscosity jump and surface tension. Another result [1] gives a local well-posedness in $H^1 \cap H^s$ for all $s > \frac{3}{2}$ by paralinearizing the system. These results represent just a sample of the extensive literature on the Muskat problem with uniform permeability.

In this paper, we will focus on global well-posedness, specifically for initial data of medium size. Previously, global in time results are known in both two and three dimensions for systems with uniform permeability for medium size initial data without a viscosity jump [5], [4], [18] and with a viscosity jump [10] in the case of an infinite graph interface. More recently, the stability of medium size perturbations of a gravity unstable bubble interface has also been considered [11]. Here, we consider the non-uniform permeability setting, also called the inhomogeneous Muskat problem. In this setting, for a constant horizontal permeability jump boundary and without viscosity jump, graph interface solutions were shown to be locally well-posed using energy estimates in Sobolev spaces and there exists interfaces starting in the stable regime that become unstable in finite time [2]. A class of graph solutions exhibiting this turning behavior was also demonstrated by a computer-assisted proof in [12]. For such a graphical interface without viscosity jump and with a constant horizontal permeability jump, [13] demonstrated global existence and decay to the flat fluid interface for small initial data in $H^2$. For a general interface curve, local well-posedness was shown in [19] in Sobolev spaces. The existence of splash singularities was later shown in [8], although splt singularities were ruled out.

We choose to examine the case $z(\alpha) = (\alpha, f(\alpha))$ and $h(\alpha) = (\alpha, -h_2)$ for $h_2 > 0$ under the assumption $\|f(\alpha, 0)\|_{L^\infty} < h_2$. Setting

$$\Delta_\beta f(\alpha) = \frac{f(\alpha) - f(\alpha - \beta)}{\beta},$$

we simplify the system into

$$\partial_t f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(I_1(\alpha) + I_2(\alpha) + I_3(\alpha) + I_4(\alpha))$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
for
\[ I_1(\alpha) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1 + \Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^2} \frac{\omega_1(\alpha - \beta)}{\beta} d\beta, \]  
(7)
\[ I_2(\alpha) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_\alpha f(\alpha) \Delta_\beta f(\alpha) \omega_1(\alpha - \beta)}{1 + \Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^2} \beta d\beta, \]  
(8)
\[ I_3(\alpha) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_\alpha f(\alpha)(f(\alpha) + h_2)^2 \omega_2(\alpha - \beta)}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \omega_2(\alpha - \beta) d\beta, \]  
(9)
\[ I_4(\alpha) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_\alpha f(\alpha)(f(\alpha) + h_2)}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \omega_2(\alpha - \beta) d\beta, \]  
(10)
in which
\[ \omega_1(\alpha) = \frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_\alpha f(\alpha) - \Delta_\beta f(\alpha) \omega_1(\alpha - \beta)}{1 + \Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^2} \beta d\beta + \frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\beta \partial_\alpha f(\alpha) - (f(\alpha) + h_2) \omega_2(\alpha - \beta)}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \omega_2(\alpha - \beta) d\beta - 2A_\mu \partial_\alpha f(\alpha), \]  
(11)
\[ \omega_2(\alpha) = -\frac{A_\kappa}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(\alpha - \beta) + h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \omega_1(\alpha - \beta) d\beta. \]  
(12)
Defining \( \partial_\alpha \Omega = \omega_1 \), it can be derived that
\[ \Omega_1(\alpha) = -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Delta_\beta f(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha f(\alpha - \beta) \Omega_1(\alpha - \beta)}{1 + \Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^2} \beta d\beta \]  
(13)
\[ \Omega_2(\alpha) = -\frac{A_\kappa}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\beta f(\alpha) + h_2 \Omega_2(\alpha - \beta) d\beta - 2A_\mu f(\alpha)}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \omega_2(\alpha - \beta) d\beta - 2A_\mu f(\alpha) \]  
(14)
The equations (6), (11), and (12) give a coupled system for the evolution of fluid-fluid graph interface \( f(\alpha, t) \).

2 Main Results

To study the evolution of the interface, we adopt the weighted Fourier norms defined as follows. For a function \( g : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), and for \( s > -d \) define the norm
\[ \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{F}^s_{p, p}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{r|\xi|^{2s}} |\xi|^s |\hat{g}(\xi, t)|^p d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \]  
(15)
where \( \hat{g}(\xi) \) is the Fourier transform of \( g \) in the spatial variable
\[ \hat{g}(\xi, t) = \mathcal{F}(g(\cdot, t))(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x, t) e^{-i\xi \cdot x} dx. \]
Let \( \mathcal{F}^s_{p, p} \) be the space of all functions with finite norm \( \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{F}^s_{p, p}} \).

Next, we will assume \( |A_\kappa||A_\mu| < 1 \). Let \( \theta \) be the constant defined in (65) and let \( \sigma_s = \sigma_s(||f_0||_{\mathcal{F}^{s, p}_{p}}, ||f_0||_{\mathcal{F}^{s, p}_{p}}) \), \( s = 0, 1, 2 \) be continuous functions defined in (66), (67) and (78). Note that \( \sigma_s(0, 0) = 0 \). Since the \( \sigma_s \) are continuous, we define the constants \( k_0(||A_\mu||, ||A_\kappa||) < h_2 \) and \( k_1(||A_\mu||, ||A_\kappa||) < 1 \) such that
\[ \theta - \sigma_s(k_0(||A_\mu||, ||A_\kappa||), k_1(||A_\mu||, ||A_\kappa||)) > 0 \]
for \( s = 0, 1, 2 \).
Theorem 2.1. Suppose \( f_0 \in H^3 \cap H^{0,1}_0 \) such that \( \|f_0\|_{H^3} < k_0(|A_\mu|, |A_\alpha|)h_2 \) and \( \|f_0\|_{H^{0,1}} < k_1(|A_\mu|, |A_\alpha|) \) hold. Then there exists a solution \( f \in C([0,T]; H^3) \) for all \( T > 0 \) and a constant \( \nu > 0 \) satisfying for \( s = 0, 1 \)
\[
\|f\|_{H^s}^2(t) + (A_\rho \phi - A_\rho \sigma_s - \nu) \int_0^t \|f\|_{H^{s+1}}(s) \, ds \leq \|f_0\|_{H^s}^2
\]
and
\[
\|f\|_{L^2}^2(t) \leq \|f_0\|_{L^2}^2 \cdot \exp(R(\|f_0\|_{H^s}, \|f_0\|_{H^{s+1}}))
\]
for any \( t \geq 0 \) for a positive rational polynomial \( R \).

Remark 2.2. We use the local existence result of [19] to obtain a solution \( f(\alpha, t) \) in \( H^3 \) for a finite time interval \([0, T]\). Then, by (17), we have \( f(\alpha, t) \) is uniformly bounded in \( L^2_\nu \) for all \( t \in [0, T) \) and hence \( \|f\|_{H^3} \) is also uniformly bounded. Hence, by a continuity argument, we can extend the time of existence and obtain a global solution in Theorem 2.1.

Next, to show decay to the flat solution, we will need the Decay Lemma proved in [10], which we have restated for our setting below.

Lemma 2.3 (Decay Lemma). Suppose \( \|g\|_{H^{s_1}}(t) \leq C_0 \) and
\[
\partial_t \|g\|_{H^{s_2}}(t) \leq -C \|g\|_{H^{s_2+1}}(t)
\]
where \( s_1 < s_2 \). Then
\[
\|g\|_{H^{s_2}}(t) \lesssim (1 + t)^{s_1 - s_2}.
\]

This Decay Lemma along with (16) implies the large time decay of solutions to the inhomogeneous Muskat problem. Specifically, (16) implies uniform in time bounds of \( \|f\|_{H^{s_1}} \) for \( s = 0, 1 \) and decay for \( s = 1 \), yielding the following result.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose \( f_0(\alpha) \) is initial data satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Then the solution \( f(\alpha, t) \) to the (6) decays with the rate
\[
\|f\|_{H^{s_1}}(t) \lesssim (1 + t)^{-1}.
\]

To prove Theorem 2.1, we will use several useful facts repeatedly. We outline them now at the end of this section. First, let \s\ denote the iterated convolutions as denoted be the
\[
(*^ng)(x) = (g * \cdots * g)(x)
\]
for \( n \) times
\[
\text{the following product rule inequalities will be useful throughout this paper when applied to the norms (15).}
\]

Lemma 2.5. Given functions \( f_k : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq n \) we have
\[
e^{\nu t |\xi|}(|f_1| * |f_2| * \cdots * |f_n|) \leq (e^{\nu t |\xi|}|f_1|) * (e^{\nu t |\xi|}|f_2|) * \cdots * (e^{\nu t |\xi|}|f_n|)
\]
and for \( 0 < s \leq 1 \)
\[
|\xi|^s(|f_1| * |f_2| * \cdots * |f_n|) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (|\xi|^s|f_k|) * (*_{j \neq k}|f_j|)
\]
\[
\text{where}
\]
\[
*_{j \neq k}|f_j|
\]
\[
\text{indicates a convolution over the absolute values of all functions } f_j \text{ except } f_k.
\]
Proof. By the triangle inequality, we have for $0 < s \leq 1$

$$|\xi_0|^s \leq |\xi_0 - \xi_1|^s + |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^s + \ldots + |\xi_{n-2} - \xi_{n-1}|^s + |\xi_{n-1}|^s.$$ 

Applying this triangle inequality to the convolution gives us (19). Moreover,

$$e^{\nu t|\xi_0|^s} \leq \prod_{j=0}^{n} e^{\nu t|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|^s}.$$ 

Plugging this inequality into the function convolution, we obtain (18). ■

We also have the interpolation inequality:

**Proposition 2.6.** If $g \in F_{\nu}^{s_1,1} \cap F_{\nu}^{s_2,1}$ for $s_1 < s_2$, then $g \in F_{\nu}^{s,1}$ for each $s \in [s_1, s_2]$ and satisfies

$$\|g\|_{F_{\nu}^{s,1}} \leq \|g\|_{F_{\nu}^{s_1,1}}^{\theta} \|g\|_{F_{\nu}^{s_2,1}}^{1-\theta},$$

for $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that $s = \theta s_1 + (1 - \theta)s_2$.

Finally, to compute the linearization of (6) and the vorticity terms, the following Fourier transforms will be needed. These transforms can be computed by a semicircle contour argument in the complex plane and will be used throughout the paper.

**Proposition 2.7.** For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{a}{x^2 + a^2}\right](\xi) = \pi e^{-a|\xi|}$$

(21)

and

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{x}{x^2 + a^2}\right](\xi) = -i\pi \text{sgn}(\xi) e^{-a|\xi|}.$$  

(22)

**Outline of the Proof**

In Section 3, we compute bounds on the vorticity terms by Taylor expanding the expressions of $\omega_i$ and $\Omega_i$ and then computing the Fourier transforms using (21) and (22). Next, in Section 4, we use the Fourier transform calculations to perform an iterative argument to decompose (6) into its linear and nonlinear parts. Then, applying the norms (15) for $p = 1$, we use (18), (19), and (20) to prove (16). Finally, in Section 5, we use the bounds on $\Omega_i$ instead of $\omega_i$ to prove (17).

**3 Potential Jump and Vorticity Decomposition**

In this section, we will decompose the potential jumps $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ and the vorticity terms $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ into linear and nonlinear parts. We can then use this decomposition to bound $\Omega_i$ and $\omega_i$ in terms of the interface function $f$.

First, we compute the Fourier transform of $\Omega_2$. Write $\Omega_2 = \Omega_{21} + \Omega_{22}$ where

$$\Omega_{21}(\alpha) = -\frac{A_k}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(\alpha - \beta) + h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha - \beta) + h_2)^2} \Omega_1(\alpha - \beta) \, d\beta.$$ 

and

$$\Omega_{22}(\alpha) = -\frac{A_k}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\beta \partial_\alpha f(\alpha - \beta)}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha - \beta) + h_2)^2} \Omega_1(\alpha - \beta) \, d\beta.$$
Taking the Fourier transform of $\Omega_{21}$, we obtain using (21)
\[
\hat{\Omega}_{21}(\xi) = -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \frac{f(\alpha - \beta) + h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha - \beta) + h_2)^2} \Omega_1(\alpha - \beta) e^{-i\xi\alpha} \, d\beta \, d\alpha \\
= -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \frac{f(y) + h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(y) + h_2)^2} e^{-i\xi\beta} \, d\beta \, \Omega_1(y) e^{-i\xi y} \, dy \\
= -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \pi e^{-(f(y) + h_2)|\xi|} \cdot \Omega_1(y) e^{-i\xi y} \, dy \\
= -A_\mu e^{-h_2|\xi|} \int e^{-f(y)|\xi|} \cdot \Omega_1(y) e^{-i\xi y} \, dy \\
= -A_\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-h_2|\xi|} \frac{(-1)^n|\xi|^n}{n!} \left( (\hat{\alpha}^n \hat{f}) \ast \hat{\Omega}_1 \right) (\xi).
\]

(23)

For $\Omega_{22}$, we have
\[
\hat{\Omega}_{22}(\xi) = -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \frac{(\alpha - \beta)\partial_\alpha f(\beta)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2 + (f(\beta) + h_2)^2} \Omega_1(\beta) \\
= -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \partial_\alpha f(\beta) \Omega_1(\beta) \mathcal{F} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + (f(\beta) + h_2)^2} \right] (\xi) \\
= -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \partial_\alpha e^{-i\xi\beta} f(\beta) \Omega_1(\beta) \cdot -i\pi \text{sgn}(\xi) e^{-(f(\beta) + h_2)|\xi|} \\
= A_\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i \text{sgn}(\xi) e^{-h_2|\xi|} \frac{(-1)^n|\xi|^n}{n!} \left( (\hat{\alpha}^n \hat{f}) \ast \hat{\Omega}_1 \right) (\xi).
\]

(24)

Next, we compute similarly for $\Omega_1$. By (13), we write the term $\Omega_1 = \Omega_{11} + \Omega_{12} - 2A_\rho f(\alpha)$ where
\[
\Omega_{11}(\alpha) = -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \frac{\Delta_\beta f(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha f(\alpha - \beta) \Omega_1(\alpha - \beta)}{1 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \, d\beta 
\]

(25)

and
\[
\Omega_{12}(\alpha) = -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \frac{f(\alpha) + h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \Omega_2(\alpha - \beta) \, d\beta.
\]

It can be seen that $\Omega_{11}$ has no part that is linear in $\Omega_1$. For $\Omega_{12}$, taking the Fourier transform, we obtain
\[
\hat{\Omega}_{12}(\xi) = -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \hat{\Omega}_2(\xi_1) \int e^{-i(\xi - \xi_1)\alpha} \int e^{-i\xi_1\beta} \frac{f(\alpha) + h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \, d\beta \, d\alpha \, d\xi_1 \\
= -\frac{A_\mu}{\pi} \int \hat{\Omega}_2(\xi_1) \int e^{-i(\xi - \xi_1)\alpha} \pi e^{-(f(\alpha) + h_2)|\xi_1|} \, d\alpha \, d\xi_1 \\
= -A_\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int \hat{\Omega}_2(\xi_1) e^{-h_2|\xi_1|} |\xi_1|^n \int e^{-i(\xi - \xi_1)\alpha} f(\alpha)^n \, d\alpha \, d\xi_1 \\
= -A_\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left( (\hat{\Omega}_2 e^{-h_2|\xi|} |\xi|^n) \ast (\hat{\alpha}^n \hat{f}) \right) (\xi).
\]

(26)

The first term $\Omega_{11}$ does not have an explicit computation as the other terms, but it satisfies the bound:
Lemma 3.1. For \( \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{-1}} < 1 \), we have the bound

\[
|\hat{\Omega}_{11}(\xi)| \leq 2|A|\mu\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{n+1} |\hat{\partial_\alpha f} \ast |\hat{\Omega}_{11}|(\xi) \right).
\]  

(27)

Proof. We first consider the term:

\[
\Omega_{11}(\alpha) = -\frac{A\mu}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Delta_\beta f(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha f(\alpha - \beta) \Omega_1(\alpha - \beta)}{1 + \Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^2} \, d\beta = \Omega_{111}(\alpha) + \Omega_{112}(\alpha).
\]

Taking the Fourier transform of the first term \(\Omega_{111}\) and Taylor expanding the denominator for \(|\Delta_\beta f(\alpha)| < 1\), we obtain

\[
\hat{\Omega}_{111}(\xi) = -\frac{A\mu}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \left[ \frac{\Delta_\beta f(\alpha) \Omega_1(\alpha - \beta)}{1 + \Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^2} \right](\xi) \, d\beta
\]

\[
= -\frac{A\mu}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \left[ \Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^{2n+1} \Omega_1(\alpha - \beta) \right](\xi) \, d\beta
\]

\[
= -\frac{A\mu}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\sin(2n+1 \hat{f}(\beta) \ast \tau_\beta \Omega_1))(\xi) \, d\beta
\]

in which

\[
m_\beta(\xi) = \frac{1 - e^{-i\xi\beta}}{\beta}.
\]

Expanding the convolution of the \(n\)-th term in the sum, we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\sin(2n+1 \hat{f}(\beta) \ast \tau_\beta \Omega_1))(\xi) \, d\beta
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi_1 \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi_{2n+1} \hat{f}(\xi_n - \xi_{n+1}) \ldots \hat{f}(\xi_2 - \xi_1) \cdot \hat{\Omega}_1(\xi_{2n+1}) \cdot M_n
\]

where

\[
M_n = M_n(\xi, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{2n+1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\beta \frac{e^{-i\xi_{2n+1}\beta}}{\beta} m_\beta(\xi - \xi_1) \ldots m_\beta(\xi_2 - \xi_{2n+1}).
\]

Since

\[
m_\beta(\xi) = i\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \, e^{i\beta(s-1)\xi}
\]

we have

\[
|M_n| = \left| \xi^{2n}(\xi - \xi_1) \ldots (\xi_2 - \xi_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds_1 \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds_{2n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\beta \frac{e^{-i\xi_{2n+1}\beta}}{\beta} e^{i\beta A} \right|
\]

\[
= \left| \xi - \xi_1 \right| \ldots \left| \xi_2 - \xi_{n+1} \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds_1 \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds_{2n+1} \frac{i\pi \text{sgn}(A - \xi_{2n+1})}{\xi_2 - \xi_{n+1}}
\]

\[
\leq \pi \cdot \left| \xi - \xi_1 \right| \ldots \left| \xi_2 - \xi_{n+1} \right|
\]

where

\[
A = (s_1 - 1)(\xi - \xi_1) + \ldots + (s_{2n+1} - 1)(\xi_2 - \xi_{n+1}).
\]
Therefore,
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( s^{2n+1}(\hat{f}m_\beta) \ast \tau_\beta \hat{\omega}_1 \right)(\xi) \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot |\hat{f}(\xi - \xi_1)| \cdot \cdot \cdot |\hat{f}(\xi_2n - \xi_{2n+1})| \cdot |\hat{\Omega}_1(\xi_{2n+1})| \cdot |M_n| \leq \pi (s^{2n+1}|\partial_\alpha f| \ast |\hat{\Omega}_1|)(\xi).
\]

The term \( \Omega_{112} \) is bounded by the same quantity using a similar computation. This concludes the proof. ■

Next, we consider the vorticity terms. The Fourier transform of \( \omega_2 \) can be computed similarly to \( \Omega_{21} \):
\[
\hat{\omega}_2(\xi) = -A_\kappa \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{-h_2|\xi|} \frac{(-1)^n|\xi|^n}{n!} \left( \ast^n \hat{f}_1 \right)(\xi).
\]

(28)

For \( \omega_1 \), similarly to \( \Omega_1 \), we decompose \( \omega_1 = \omega_{11} + \omega_{12} - 2A_\mu \partial_\alpha f \) where we have the analogous bound
\[
|\hat{\omega}_{11}(\xi)| \leq 2|A_\mu| \sum_{n=0}^\infty (s^{2n+1}|\partial_\alpha f| \ast |\hat{\omega}_1|)(\xi).
\]

(29)

Next, \( \omega_{12} \) is explicitly computed as
\[
\hat{\omega}_{12}(\xi) = A_\mu \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n+1}{n!} \left( i \cdot e^{-h_2|\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} \left( \partial_\alpha f \ast \hat{\omega}_1 \right) \right)(\xi)
\]

(30)

Using the computations above, we can bound the vorticity terms in the frequency space norms \( F_{\nu}^{s,1} \) for \( s = 0, 1 \).

**Proposition 3.2.** The term \( \omega_2 \) satisfies
\[
\|\omega_2\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}} \leq |A_\kappa|C_0 \|\omega_1\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}}
\]

(31)

and
\[
\|\omega_2\|_{F_{\nu}^{1,1}} \leq |A_\kappa|C_2 \|\omega_1\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}} \|f\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}} + |A_\kappa|C_0 \|\omega_1\|_{F_{\nu}^{1,1}}
\]

(32)

where
\[
C_0 = C_0(\|f\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}}) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left\| e^{-h_2|\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{n^n}{e^n n!} \left( \frac{\|f\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}}}{h_2} \right)^n
\]

(33)

and
\[
C_2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\| e^{-h_2|\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{n^n}{e^n n!} \left( \frac{\|f\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}}}{h_2} \right)^n
\]

(34)

which converge for \( \|f\|_{F_{\nu}^{0,1}} < h_2 \).
Proof. Using Young’s inequalities for convolutions and (18):

\[ \|\omega_2\|_{p_v,1} \leq |A_\mu| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| e^{\nu t |\xi|} e^{-h_2 |\xi|} \left( \frac{(-1)^n |\xi|^n}{n!} \right) \left( * f \ast \hat{\omega}_1 \right) (\xi) \right|_{L^1} \]

\[ \leq |A_\mu| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| e^{-h_2 |\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} \right|_{L^1} \left| e^{\nu t |\xi|} \left( * f \ast \hat{\omega}_1 \right) \right|_{L^1} \]

\[ \leq |A_\mu| \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| e^{-h_2 |\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} \right|_{L^1} \|f\|_{p_v,1}^{n+1}\right) \|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1}. \]

This computation yields (31). Applying (19), we obtain (32). □

We will use (31) and (32) implicitly to bound \( \omega_1 \) in the same norms.

Lemma 3.3. The vorticity term \( \omega_1 \) satisfies the bounds

\[ \|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1} \leq 2A_\mu C_1 \|f\|_{p_v,1} \]

(35)

and

\[ \|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1} \leq 2A_\mu C_3 \|f\|_{p_v,1} \]

(36)

where

\[ C_1 = \left( 1 - |A_\mu| \left[ \frac{2\|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1}\|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2-1} + |A_\mu|C_0(1 + \|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1})} \right] \right)^{-1} \]

(37)

and

\[ C_3 = 1 + 2|A_\mu|C_1 \left( \frac{\|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1}\|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1} + 1}{\|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2-1} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} |A_\mu|C_0 \left( (C_2 + (C_0 + 2C_2)\|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1}) \right) \right) \]

(38)

are defined for \( \|f\|_{p_v,1} + \|f\|_{p_v,1} < k(|\mu_1|, |A_\mu|). \)

Proof. Similarly to the proof of (31), we use (18) and Young’s inequality to obtain from (29) that

\[ \|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1} \leq 2|A_\mu| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2n+1} \|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1} \]

\[ \leq |A_\mu| \frac{2\|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1}}{1 - \|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2-1}} \|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1}. \]

(39)

We can also bound \( \omega_2 \) from (30) by

\[ \|\omega_2\|_{p_v,1} \leq |A_\mu|C_0(1 + \|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1})\|\omega_2\|_{p_v,1} \]

\[ \leq |A_\mu|\|A_\mu|C_0^2(1 + \|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1})\|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1} \]

(40)

where we used (31) in the second inequality. Hence, we now have that

\[ \|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1} \leq 2|A_\mu| \frac{\|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1}}{1 - \|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2-1}} \|\omega_1\|_{p_v,1} + |A_\mu|C_0(1 + \|f\|_{p_v,1}^{2+1})\|\omega_2\|_{p_v,1} + 2A_\mu \|f\|_{p_v,1}. \]
Using (31) solving for $\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}$ in the inequality implies (35). The estimate (36) follows similarly by applying (19).

$$
\|\omega_{11}\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} \leq 2|A_\mu|C_1\left(1 + \frac{\|f\|_p^{2,1}}{\|f\|_p^{2,1}}\right)^2 \|f\| \|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} + 2|A_\mu|\left(1 - \frac{\|f\|_p^{2,1}}{\|f\|_p^{2,1}}\right)\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}
$$

$$
\|\omega_{12}\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} \leq |A_\mu|(C_0 + C_2)\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} + |A_\mu|C_0\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}
$$

Now, using (35) and (31) gives (43) from the next proposition. Using (43), (32), (35) and applying the interpolation (20), we obtain

$$
\|\omega_{11}\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} \leq 4|A_\mu|A_\kappa|C_1\left(1 + \frac{\|f\|_p^{2,1}}{\|f\|_p^{2,1}}\right)^2 \|f\| \|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} + 2|A_\mu|\left(1 - \frac{\|f\|_p^{2,1}}{\|f\|_p^{2,1}}\right)\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}
$$

$$
\|\omega_{12}\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} \leq 2|A_\mu|A_\kappa|A_\mu|C_0C_1(C_0 + 2C_2)\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}\|f\| \|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} + |A_\kappa|\|A_\mu|C_0\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}
$$

$$
+ 2A_\mu|A_\kappa|A_\mu|C_0C_1C_2\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}.
$$

Computing implicitly as before yields the bound.

Proposition 3.4. The term $\omega_2$ is bounded as

$$
\|\omega_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} \leq 2A_\mu|A_\kappa|C_0C_1\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}
$$

$$
\|\omega_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}} \leq 2A_\mu|A_\kappa|C_1C_4\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,1}}
$$

where

$$
C_4 = C_2 + C_0C_3.
$$

4 Instant Analyticity and Decay Inequality for the Interface

In this section, we will prove the inequality (16) which will imply the instantaneous gain of analytic regularity and the decay to the flat solution of the density jump interface for initial data of an explicitly calculable size. We perform these estimates in the spaces defined in (15) for $p = 1$, $\nu > 0$ and $0 \leq s < 1$. We first need to linearize the contour equation for the fluid-fluid interface $f(\alpha, t)$. To do so, we need to extract the linear part of $\omega_1$. First, by (28), we can write the decomposition in of $\Omega_1$ in frequency space:

$$
\hat{\omega_2}(\xi) = L(\hat{\omega_1})(\xi) + N(\hat{\omega_1})(\xi)
$$

where

$$
L(\hat{\omega_2})(\xi) = -A_\kappa e^{-\frac{\xi}{h_1}}\hat{\omega_1}(\xi)
$$

and

$$
N(\hat{\omega_2})(\xi) = -A_\kappa \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\xi}{h_1}} \frac{(-1)^n|\xi|^n}{n!} \left(\ast \frac{f}{\xi} \ast \hat{\omega_1}\right)(\xi)
$$
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From (30), we can write
\[ \dot{\omega}_1(\xi) = L(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) + N(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) \]  \hspace{1cm} (47)
where
\[ L(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) = -A_\mu e^{-h_2|\xi|} \dot{\omega}_2(\xi) - 2A_\rho \hat{\partial}_\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) \]
and
\[ N(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) = \omega_{11}(\xi) - A_\mu \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left( (\dot{\omega}_2 e^{-h_2|\xi|} |\xi|^n) \ast (*^n \hat{f}) \right)(\xi) \]
\[ + A_\mu \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} \left( i \cdot sgn(\xi) e^{-h_2|\xi|} |\xi|^n \dot{\omega}_2 \ast \hat{\partial}_\alpha \hat{f} \ast (*^n \hat{f}) \right)(\xi). \]  \hspace{1cm} (48)

We can now use the relations (46) and (47) to perform an iteration of the implicit relations as follows. In the first two iterations, we have
\[
L(\dot{\omega}_2) = -A_\mu e^{-h_2|\xi|}(L(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) + N(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi)) \\
\quad = A_\kappa A_\mu e^{-3h_2|\xi|}(L(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) + N(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi)) + 2A_\kappa A_\mu e^{-h_2|\xi|} \hat{\partial}_\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) - A_\kappa e^{-h_2|\xi|}N(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) \\
\quad + 2A_\kappa A_\mu e^{-3h_2|\xi|} \hat{\partial}_\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) - A_\kappa e^{-h_2|\xi|}N(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) + A_\kappa A_\mu e^{-2h_2|\xi|}N(\dot{\omega}_2)(\xi).
\]
Performing this iteration scheme again with the term \( L(\tilde{\Omega}_1) \) in the final line, we can continue to obtain that
\[
L(\dot{\omega}_2)(\xi) = 2A_\kappa A_\mu \hat{\partial}_\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) \sum_{n=0}^\infty (A_\kappa A_\mu)^n e^{-(2n+1)h_2|\xi|} \\
\quad - A_\kappa N(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) \sum_{n=0}^\infty (A_\kappa A_\mu)^n e^{-(2n+1)h_2|\xi|} + N(\dot{\omega}_2)(\xi) \sum_{n=1}^\infty (A_\kappa A_\mu)^n e^{-2nh_2|\xi|}.
\]
for \(|A_\kappa| |A_\mu| < 1\). Note that when integrating this linear term in the (15) norms, the Dominated Convergence Theorem allows to carry the infinite iteration through the integral. The sums converge as a geometric series, so
\[
L(\dot{\omega}_2)(\xi) = 2A_\kappa A_\rho \hat{\partial}_\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) \frac{e^{h_2|\xi|}}{e^{2h_2|\xi|} - A_\kappa A_\mu} - A_\kappa N(\dot{\omega}_1)(\xi) \frac{e^{h_2|\xi|}}{e^{2h_2|\xi|} - A_\kappa A_\mu} - N(\dot{\omega}_2)(\xi) \frac{A_\kappa A_\mu}{e^{2h_2|\xi|} - A_\kappa A_\mu}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (49)
Differentiating in time, we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| f \|_{P_{t=1}} = \frac{d}{dt} \int_\mathbb{R} e^{\nu t|\xi|} |\xi|^n \hat{f}(\xi) | d\xi \\
\quad = \nu \int_\mathbb{R} e^{\nu t|\xi|} |\xi|^{n+1} \hat{f}(\xi) | d\xi + \int_\mathbb{R} e^{\nu t|\xi|} |\xi|^n \hat{f}(\xi) | \frac{\hat{\partial}_\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) + \hat{\partial}_\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)}{\hat{f}(\xi)} | d\xi.
\]
Next, to obtain the decay term in the expression above, we need to decompose the evolution equation for the interface into the linear and nonlinear terms. where

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\hat{I}_1(\xi) + \hat{I}_2(\xi) + \hat{I}_3(\xi) + \hat{I}_4(\xi)). \]

Defining \( N_0 \) as

\[ I_1(\alpha) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\omega(\alpha - \beta)}{\beta} \, d\beta - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^2}{1 + \Delta_\beta f(\alpha)^2} \omega(\alpha - \beta) \, d\beta = \pi h(\omega_1(\alpha) + 2\pi N_0(\alpha), \]

using equation (47) gives

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{I}_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} h(\omega_1)(\xi) + \hat{N}_0(\xi) \]

\[ = - A_\rho |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi) - \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) A_\mu e^{-h_2 |\xi|} \hat{\omega}_2(\xi) - \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) \hat{N}(\omega_1)(\xi) + \hat{N}_0(\xi). \] (50)

Expanding \( \hat{\omega}_2 \) via (46) and (49) gives

\[ - \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) A_\mu e^{-h_2 |\xi|} \hat{\omega}_2(\xi) = A_\rho |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi) \frac{A_\nu A_\mu}{e^{2h_2 |\xi|} - A_\nu A_\mu} + A_\mu (\hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2 + \hat{N}_3)(\xi) \] (51)

in which

\[ \hat{N}_1(\xi) = - \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) e^{-h_2 |\xi|} \hat{N}(\omega_1)(\xi), \] (52)

\[ \hat{N}_2(\xi) = \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) \frac{A_\nu}{e^{2h_2 |\xi|} - A_\nu A_\mu} \hat{N}(\omega_1)(\xi), \] (53)

\[ \hat{N}_3(\xi) = \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) \frac{A_\mu}{e^{2h_2 |\xi|} - A_\nu A_\mu} \hat{N}(\omega_2)(\xi). \] (54)

Combining (50) and (51) leads to

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{I}_1(\xi) = - A_\rho |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi) \left( 1 - \frac{A_\nu A_\mu}{e^{2h_2 |\xi|} - A_\nu A_\mu} \right) + (\hat{N}_0 + \hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2 + \hat{N}_3)(\xi) - \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) \hat{N}(\omega_1)(\xi). \]

Next,

\[ I_3(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + h_2^2} \omega_2(\alpha - \beta) \, d\beta - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(\alpha) + 2h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \omega_2(\alpha - \beta) \, d\beta, \] (55)

Denoting the nonlinear part as \( 2\pi N_4 \), we apply (49) once again

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{I}_3(\xi) = - \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) e^{-h_2 |\xi|} \hat{\omega}_3(\xi) + \hat{N}_4(\xi) \]

\[ = A_\rho |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi) \frac{A_\nu}{e^{2h_2 |\xi|} - A_\nu A_\mu} + (\hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2 + \hat{N}_3 + \hat{N}_4)(\xi). \] (56)

Collecting terms we have

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\xi) = - A_\rho |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi) \left( 1 - \frac{A_\nu(1 - A_\mu)}{e^{2h_2 |\xi|} - A_\nu A_\mu} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} (\hat{f}_2 + \hat{f}_4)(\xi) \]

\[ + \hat{N}_0(\xi) + (1 + A_\mu)(\hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2 + \hat{N}_3)(\xi) + \hat{N}_4(\xi) - \frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\xi) \hat{N}(\omega_1)(\xi). \] (57)
In (57), the linear terms will give the decay of the interface given that the nonlinear terms are sufficiently bounded. Next, we bound the nonlinear terms by following analogous computations to those in Section 3.

Defining

\[ C_6 = \frac{\|f\|_{x^1}^2}{1 - \|f\|_{x^1}^2} \]

then we have the estimate

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \|I_2\|_{x^0,1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|f\|_{x^1,1}^2}{1 - \|f\|_{x^1,1}^2} \|\omega_1\|_{x^0,1} \leq A_{p} C_1 C_6 \|f\|_{x^2,1}^2,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \|I_2\|_{x^1,1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|f\|_{x^1,1}^2}{1 - \|f\|_{x^1,1}^2} \left( \|\omega_1\|_{x^0,1} \|f\|_{x^2,1} + \|f\|_{x^2,1} \|\omega_1\|_{x^1,1} \right)
\]

\[
\leq 2 A_{p} C_1 (1 + C_3) C_6^2 \|f\|_{x^2,1}^2
\]

and the bound on \( I_4 \) is

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \|I_4\|_{x^0,1} \leq \frac{1}{2} C_0 \|f\|_{x^1,1} \|\omega_2\|_{x^0,1}
\]

\[
\leq A_{p} A_{n} C_2^2 C_1 \|f\|_{x^2,1}^2
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \|I_4\|_{x^1,1} \leq A_{p} A_{n} C_0 C_1 (C_0 + 2 C_2) \|f\|_{x^1,1} \|f\|_{x^2,1} + \frac{1}{2} A_{n} C_2^2 \|f\|_{x^1,1} \|\omega_1\|_{x^1,1}
\]

\[
\leq A_{p} A_{n} C_0 C_1 ((C_0 + 2 C_2) + C_4) \|f\|_{x^2,1} \|f\|_{x^2,1}
\]

\[
\|I_4\|_{x^2,1} \leq A_{p} A_{n} \|\omega_0\|_{x^2,1}
\]

where

\[ \lambda_0 = C_0 C_1 ((C_0 + 2 C_2) + C_4). \]

Next, like the term \( \Omega_{111} \),

\[
\|N_0\|_{x^0,1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \left( 2^{n+2} |\hat{\beta} f| \right) * |\hat{\omega}_1| \right)(\xi)
\]

which leads to

\[
\|N_0\|_{x^0,1} \leq A_{p} C_1 C_6 \|f\|_{x^2,1}^2
\]

\[
\|N_0\|_{x^1,1} \leq A_{p} C_1 (C_3 C_6 \|f\|_{x^1,1} + 2 C_6^2) \|f\|_{x^2,1}^2
\]

Now, reusing techniques from the Fourier transforms in section 3, we have

\[
-2\pi \hat{N}_4(\xi) = \mathcal{F} \left[ \int_R d\beta \frac{f(\alpha) + 2h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \frac{\beta f(\alpha)}{\beta^2 + h_2^2} \omega_2(\alpha - \beta) \right](\xi)
\]

\[
= \int_R d\beta \left( \mathcal{F} \left( \frac{f(\alpha) + 2h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \right) \hat{f} \ast \hat{\omega}_2 \right)(\xi)
\]

\[
= \int_R d\beta \int_R d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \mathcal{F} \left( \frac{f(\alpha) + 2h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \right)(\xi - \xi_1) \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + h_2^2} \hat{f}(\xi_1 - \xi_2) e^{-i\xi_2 \hat{\omega}_2(\xi_2)}
\]

\[
= \int_R d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \hat{f}(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \hat{\omega}_2(\xi_2) \int_R d\alpha e^{-i(\xi - \xi_1)\alpha} \int_R d\beta e^{-i\beta \xi_2} \frac{f(\alpha) + 2h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + h_2^2}
\]
By (21) and (22) the integral in $\beta$ is the convolution
\[
T(\xi_2) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\beta \ e^{-i\beta \xi_2} \frac{f(\alpha) + 2h_2}{\beta^2 + (f(\alpha) + h_2)^2} \beta
= \left( \frac{\pi (f(\alpha) + 2h_2)}{f(\alpha) + h_2} e^{-(f(\alpha) + h_2)|\xi_2|} \right) \ast \left( -i\pi \text{sgn}(\cdot)e^{-h_2|\cdot|} \right)(\xi_2)
\]
which can be calculated directly as
\[
T(\xi_2) = \frac{i\pi^2 (f(\alpha) + 2h_2)}{f(\alpha) + h_2} \cdot \text{sgn}(\xi_2) \frac{2(f(\alpha) + h_2)(e^{-(f(\alpha) + h_2)|\xi_2|} - e^{-h_2|\xi_2|})}{(f(\alpha) + h_2)^2 - h_2^2}
= 2i\pi^2 \text{sgn}(\xi_2) e^{-h_2|\xi_2|} \frac{(e^{-f(\alpha)|\xi_2|} - 1)}{f(\alpha)}
= 2i\pi^2 \text{sgn}(\xi_2) e^{-h_2|\xi_2|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-f(\alpha))^{n-1}|\xi_2|^n}{n!}
\]
and so
\[
\tilde{N}_4(\xi) = -i\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left( (\omega_2 \text{ sgn}(\xi) |\xi|^n e^{-h_2|\xi|}) \ast (\ast^n \tilde{f}) \right)(\xi)
\]
(64)

This gives us the bounds
\[
\|N_4\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} \leq \pi(C_0 - 1)\|\omega_2\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}
\leq 2\pi A_\rho |A_\kappa| C_0(C_0 - 1)C_1 \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}},
\]
\[
\|N_4\|_{\mathcal{F}^{1,1}} \leq 2\pi A_\rho |A_\kappa| C_0 C_1 C_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} + \pi|A_\kappa|(C_0^2 - 1)\|\omega_2\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}
\leq 2\pi A_\rho |A_\kappa| C_1(C_0 C_2 + (C_0^2 - 1)C_1) \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}.
\]

At this point, bounds on $N(\omega_1)$ and $N(\omega_2)$ will lead to quick estimates of all the remaining terms. In $\mathcal{F}^{0,1}$
\[
\|N(\omega_2)\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} \leq |A_\kappa|(C_0 - 1)\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}
\leq 2A_\rho |A_\kappa| |(C_0 - 1)C_1| \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}
\]
and
\[
\|N(\omega_1)\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} \leq 2|A_\mu| C_0 \|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} + |A_\mu| |(C_0 \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} + (C_0 - 1))\|\omega_2\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}
\leq A_\rho |A_\mu| C_1 \lambda_1 \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}
\]
where the second inequality follows from (35) and (43). Above,
\[
\lambda_1 = 4C_0 + 2|A_\kappa| C_0(C_0 \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} + (C_0 - 1))
\]

Next in $\mathcal{F}^{1,1}$
\[
\|N(\omega_2)\|_{\mathcal{F}^{1,1}} \leq |A_\kappa| \left( (C_0 - 1)\|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{F}^{1,1}} + \frac{C_2}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}} \|\omega_1\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}} \right)
\leq A_\rho |A_\kappa| C_1 \lambda_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{0,1}}
\]
where $\lambda_2$ is defined by applying (35), (36), and then (20)

$$\lambda_2 = 2(C_0 - 1)C_3 + 2C_2.$$ 

Finally, calculating as in (41) and (42),

$$\|N(\omega_1)\|_{L^6} \leq \|\omega_1\|_{L^6} + 2A_\mu |A_\kappa| A_\mu |C_1(C_0 + 2C_2) + C_0^2C_3)\|f\|_{L^6} \leq 2C_2 \|f\|_{L^6} \|\omega_2\|_{L^6},$$

in which $\lambda_3$ is defined by using (41), (43), (44)

$$\lambda_3 = 4C_0^2(1 + \|f\|_{L^6}) + 2C_0C_6 + 2|A_\kappa|(C_0 + 2C_2 + C_0^2C_3)\|f\|_{L^6},$$

Now, fix

$$\theta = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left(1 - \frac{A_\kappa(1 - A_\mu)}{e^{2\eta_2(\xi) - A_\kappa A_\mu}} \right)$$

and note that $\theta > 0$ as long as $|A_\kappa| A_\mu < 1$. Then by (57) and the above estimates

$$\partial_\nu \|f\|_{L^6} \leq (-A_\mu \theta + \nu)\|f\|_{L^6} + A_\mu \sigma_0 \|f\|_{L^6},$$

where

$$\sigma_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sigma_0(\|f\|_{L^6}, \|f\|_{L^6})$$

$$= C_1 \left[ (C_0 + |A_\kappa|C_0^2)\|f\|_{L^6} + \frac{1}{2}(2A_\mu |C_0 - 1|) + \left(2A_\kappa A_\mu e^{-h_2(|\xi|)} \right) + 2\|A_\kappa(2A_\kappa)(C_0 - 1) + \|A_\mu|C_0| - C_0\|f\|_{L^6} \right]$$

and

$$\partial_\nu \|f\|_{L^6} \leq (-A_\mu \theta + \nu)\|f\|_{L^6} + A_\mu \sigma_1 \|f\|_{L^6},$$

where

$$\sigma_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sigma_1(\|f\|_{L^6}, \|f\|_{L^6})$$

$$= C_1 \left[ 2(1 + C_3)C_0^2 + |A_\kappa|\lambda_0 \right.\left. + \frac{1}{2}(2 + A_\mu) + \left(2A_\mu(2)A_\mu e^{-h_2(|\xi|)} \right) + 2\|A_\kappa|C_0C_2|C_0 - 1|C_4 + \frac{1}{2}|A_\mu|\lambda_3 + C_3C_6\|f\|_{L^6} + C_0^2 \right]$$

Note that $\sigma_i(\|f\|_{L^6}, \|f\|_{L^6})$, $i = 1, 2$, are continuous functions in $(\|f\|_{L^6}, \|f\|_{L^6})$ such that $\sigma_i(0, 0) = 0$. 

16
5 Estimates for Global Existence

In this section, we will prove the $L^2$ estimate (17) of Theorem 2.1. We begin by differentiating

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|f\|_{L^2}^2(t) = \nu \|f\|_{H^{1/2}}^2(t) - A_\rho \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\nu t} |\xi| |\hat{\dot{f}}|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{A_\nu (1 - A_\mu)}{e^{2h_2} |\xi| - A_\nu A_\mu} \right) \, d\xi + \langle \hat{\dot{f}}, \text{h.o.t.} \rangle_{L^2} \]  

(68)

In which the higher order terms are

\[ \text{h.o.t.} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\hat{I}_2 + \hat{I}_4) + \hat{N}_0(\xi) + (1 + A_\mu) (\hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2 + \hat{N}_3)(\xi) + \hat{N}_4(\xi) - \frac{i}{2} \, \text{sgn}(\xi) \, N(\omega_1)(\xi) \]

Using the substitution $|\hat{\omega}_1| = |\xi| |\hat{\Omega}_1|$

\[ \langle \hat{f}, \hat{I}_2 \rangle_{L^2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\nu t} |\xi| |\hat{f}(\xi)| \cdot \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( (2n+2)^2 |\hat{\alpha}_n f| \right) \, d\xi \]

\[ \leq \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\nu t} |\xi| |\hat{f}(\xi)| \left( (2n+2)^2 |\hat{\alpha}_n f| \right) \, d\xi \]

\[ \leq \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\Omega_1\|_{H^{1/2}} \|\xi\|^{1/2} \left( (2n+2)^2 \|\hat{\alpha}_n f\| \right) \|f\|_{L^2} \]

\[ \leq \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\Omega_1\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|f\|_{L^2} \left( 2(n+2) \|f\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \right) \]

\[ \leq \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\Omega_1\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|f\|_{L^2} \left( 2(n+2) \|f\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \right) \]

\[ + \|f\|_{L^2} \left( 2(n+2) \|\Omega_1\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|f\|_{L^2} \right) \]

(69)

where the last inequality is from Young’s inequality for products and we choose $\epsilon_n = \epsilon/n^2$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$ that we can choose. The other non-linear terms can be estimated via similar methods

\[ \langle \hat{f}, \hat{I}_4 \rangle_{L^2} \leq \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\| e^{-h_2/2} |\xi|^{2n+2} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \Omega_2 \right\|_{H^{1/2}} \|f\|_{L^2} \left( \|f\|_{L^2} \right) \]

\[ + (n+1) \|f\|_{L^2} \left( \|f\|_{L^2} \right) \]
\[
\langle \hat{f}, \hat{N}_1 \rangle_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \ e^{2\nu^2|\xi|} e^{-h_2|\xi|} |\hat{f}| |N(\hat{\Theta}_2)\hat{\omega}_1(\xi)|
\leq \frac{|A_\kappa|}{2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \ e^{2\nu^2|\xi|} e^{-2h_2|\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} |\hat{f}| |(\ast^n \hat{f})| |\hat{\omega}_1(\xi)| (\xi)
\leq \frac{|A_\kappa|}{2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\| e^{-2h_2|\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} \right\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \ e^{2\nu^2|\xi|} |\xi| |\hat{\omega}_1(\xi)| (\ast^n \hat{f})(\xi)
\leq \frac{|A_\kappa|}{2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\| e^{-2h_2|\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} \right\|_{L^\infty} (n+1) \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{n+1}_\nu,1} \|\Omega_1\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}}
\text{def} = |A_\kappa| \lambda_4 \|\Omega_1\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}}
\]

and

\[
\langle \hat{f}, \hat{N}_2 \rangle_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{A_\kappa A_\mu}{e^{2h_2|\xi|} - A_\kappa A_\mu} \right\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \left( \|\Omega_1\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2(n+1) \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{n+1}_\nu,1} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} + (2n + 1) \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{n+1}_\nu,1} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \right)
\leq \|\Omega_1\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left\| e^{-h_2|\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^n}{n!} \right\|_{L^\infty} (n+1) \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{n+1}_\nu,1} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}}
\text{def} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{A_\kappa A_\mu}{e^{2h_2|\xi|} - A_\kappa A_\mu} \right\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \left( \|\Omega_1\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} (\lambda_5 \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} + \lambda_6 \|f\|_{L^2_{\nu,1}}) + \lambda_7 \|\Omega_2\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \right)
\]

Related to the computations in (70),

\[
\langle \hat{f}, \hat{N}_0 \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Omega_1\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( (2n+2) \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{n+1}_\nu,1} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} + (2n+1) \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{n+1}_\nu,1} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \right)
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{A_\kappa A_\mu}{e^{2h_2|\xi|} - A_\kappa A_\mu} \right\|_{L^\infty} |A_\kappa| \lambda_4 \|\Omega_1\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}} \|f\|_{\hat{H}^{1/2}_{\nu,2}}
\]

So it's enough to control \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \). We can write

\[
\hat{\Omega}_1(\xi) = \Omega_{11} + \Omega_{12} - 2A_\rho \hat{f}
\]
Using (26) and (27) and we have

\[ ||\Omega_1||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \leq |A_\mu| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||f||^{2n+1}_{p^{1/1}} ||\Omega_1||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} + (2n + 1) ||f||^{2n}_{p^{1/1}} ||f||_{x^{3/2,1}} ||\Omega_1||_{L^2} \]

\[ \overset{\text{def}}{=} |A_\mu|(C_6||\Omega_1||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} + C_7||\Omega_1||_{L^2}) \] (71)

\[ ||\Omega_{12}||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \leq |A_\mu|(C_0 + C_8)||\Omega_2||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \] (72)

where

\[ C_8 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-h_2|\xi|} \frac{|\xi|^{n-1/2}}{n!} ||f||^{n-1}_{p^{0,1}} ||f||_{x^{3/2,1}}. \]

With (23) and (24) we have the bounds

\[ ||\Omega_2||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \leq |A_\kappa|C_0(1 + ||f||_{x^{3,1}})||\Omega_1||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \]

\[ + |A_\kappa| \left( \frac{C_2}{||f||_{x^{3,1}}} ||f||_{x^{3,1}}(1 + ||f||_{x^{3,1}}) + C_0||f||_{x^{3,1}} \right) ||\Omega_1||_{L^2} \]

\[ \overset{\text{def}}{=} |A_\kappa|(C_9||\Omega_1||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} + C_{10}||\Omega_1||_{L^2}). \] (73)

Similarly to the above calculations, we derive

\[ ||\Omega_2||_{L^2} \leq |A_\kappa|C_0(1 + ||f||_{x^{3,1}})||\Omega_1||_{L^2} = |A_\kappa|C_9||\Omega_1||_{L^2} \]

and therefore

\[ (1 - |A_\mu|C_0)||\Omega_1||_{L^2} \leq 2|A_\mu|C_0||\Omega_2||_{L^2} + 2A_\mu||f||_{L^2} \]

\[ \leq 2|A_\kappa||A_\mu|C_9C_11||\Omega_1||_{L^2} + 2A_\mu||f||_{L^2} \]

to give us

\[ ||\Omega_1||_{L^2} \leq 2A_\mu C_{11}||f||_{L^2}, \] (74)

\[ ||\Omega_2||_{L^2} \leq 2A_\mu|A_\kappa|C_9C_{11}||f||_{L^2}. \] (75)

Collecting terms from (71), (72), (73), (74), and (75) we find

\[ ||\Omega_1||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \leq 2A_\mu C_{12}((C_7 + C_{10})C_11||f||_{L^2} + ||f||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}) \]

\[ \overset{\text{def}}{=} 2A_\mu C_{12}(C_{13}||f||_{L^2} + ||f||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}) \] (76)

\[ ||\Omega_2||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \leq 2A_\mu|A_\kappa|(C_9C_{13} + C_{10}C_{11})||f||_{L^2} + C_9||f||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \]

\[ \overset{\text{def}}{=} 2A_\mu|A_\kappa|(C_{14}||f||_{L^2} + C_9||f||_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}) \] (77)

for

\[ C_{12} = \left( 1 - |A_\mu|(C_6 + |A_\kappa|(C_0 + C_8)C_9) \right)^{-1}. \]
In the higher order terms we first estimate $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2 \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2$ by (20). Then we see two types of expressions. For the first type of expression, of the form $|\Omega_i|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2 \|f\|_{L^2}$, we can apply Young’s inequality for products and control the $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}$ terms as in (69). For the second type of expression $|\Omega_i|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2$, after applying (76) or (77) we can control the resulting $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2$ term via the linear decay term in the interface equation. Collecting terms from above, (68) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|f\|_{L^2}^2(t) \leq (-A_\rho \theta + \nu + A_\rho \sigma_2 + \epsilon) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2 + (R_1 + R_2(\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2 + \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2)) \|f\|_{L^2}^2$$

where

$$\sigma_2 \equiv \sigma_2(\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2) = C_{12}(C_6 + C_0 + C_2) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2$$

$$+ \left| 2A_\kappa |\lambda_4 C_{12} + \frac{A_\kappa A_\mu}{\|e^{(2\kappa A_\mu - A_\kappa A_\mu)\|L^\infty}}(\lambda_5 C_{12} + |A_\kappa|(|\lambda_7 C_9 + \lambda_4 C_{12} |) \right)$$

$$+ 2\pi(C_0 + C_2)|A_\kappa| C_9 + C_6 C_{12}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2 + 2\lambda_5 C_{12} + 2|A_\kappa| |\lambda_7 C_9|,$$

in which $\epsilon = \epsilon(||f_0||_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2, ||f_0||_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2)$ is arbitrarily small and $R_i = R_i(||f_0||_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2, ||f_0||_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are rational functions. By (16), $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2(t)$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2(t)$ are $L^1$ functions in time and hence, so is $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}}^2(t)$. (The latter $L^1$ estimate can also be shown by a simple modification of (16).) By Gronwall’s inequality, we obtain (17).
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