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ABSTRACT. We consider an incompressible fluid with axial symmetry without swirl when the vorticity is sharply concentrated inside $N$ disjoint rings of size $\epsilon$ and intensity of the order of $|\log \epsilon|^{-1}$. We show that in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ the movement of each vortex ring converges to a uniform motion along the symmetry axis.

1. Introduction and main result

We study the time evolution of an incompressible nonviscous fluid in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$, with an axial symmetry without swirl when the vorticity is sharply concentrated on $N$ annuli of radii $r_i \approx r_0$ and thickness $\epsilon$. In particular, we consider the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. In a previous paper of some years ago a similar problem [2] was investigated for a vortex alone, showing that it translates with a constant speed. Recently, in [4], the analysis has been extended to the case of $N$ vortices, also getting a stronger localization property, but restricted to the case of short but positive time. In the present paper, we study the problem for any time.

The motion of an incompressible inviscid fluid is governed by the Euler equations, that for a fluid of unitary density in three dimension with velocity $u = u(\xi, t)$ decaying at infinity read

$$\partial_t \omega + (u \cdot \nabla) \omega = (\omega \cdot \nabla) u, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(\xi, t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\eta \frac{(\xi - \eta) \wedge \omega(\eta, t)}{|\xi - \eta|^3}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\omega = \omega(\xi, t) = \nabla \wedge u(\xi, t)$ is the vorticity, $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ denotes a point in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the time. The equations are completed by the initial conditions. It is worthwhile to emphasize that the incompressibility condition $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ is clearly verified in view of Eq. (1.2).

Denoting by $(z, r, \theta)$ the cylindrical coordinates, we recall that the vector field $F$ of cylindrical components $(F_z, F_r, F_\theta)$ is called axisymmetric without swirl if $F_\theta = 0$ and $F_z$ and $F_r$ are independent of $\theta$.

The axisymmetry is preserved by the evolution Eqs. (1.1), (1.2). Moreover, when restricted to axisymmetric velocity fields $u(\xi, t) = (u_z(z, r, t), u_r(z, r, t), 0)$, the vorticity is

$$\omega = (0, 0, \omega_\theta) = (0, 0, \partial_z u_r - \partial_r u_z) \quad (1.3)$$

and, denoting henceforth $\omega_\theta$ by $\omega$, Eq. (1.1) reduces to

$$\partial_t \omega + (u_z \partial_z + u_r \partial_r) \omega - \frac{u_r \omega}{r} = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76B47, 37N10.
Key words and phrases. Incompressible Euler flow, vortex rings.
Finally, by Eq. (1.2), $u_z = u_z(z, r, t)$ and $u_r = u_r(z, r, t)$ are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_z &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(z', r', t)(r \cos \theta - r')}{[(z - z')^2 + (r - r')^2 + 2rr'(1 - \cos \theta)]^{3/2}} \, dz', \, dr', \, d\theta, \\
  u_r &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(z', r', t)(z - z')}{[(z - z')^2 + (r - r')^2 + 2rr'(1 - \cos \theta)]^{3/2}} \, dz', \, dr', \, d\theta.
\end{align*}
\] (1.5) (1.6)

In conclusion, the axisymmetric solutions to the Euler equations are the solutions to Eqs. (1.4), (1.5), and (1.6).

We notice that Eq. (1.4) means that the quantity $\omega/r$ remains constant along the flow generated by the velocity field, i.e.,

\[
\frac{\omega(z(t), r(t), t)}{r(t)} = \frac{\omega(z(0), r(0), 0)}{r(0)},
\] (1.7)

with $(z(t), r(t))$ solution to

\[
\begin{align*}
  \dot{z}(t) &= u_z(z(t), r(t), t), \\
  \dot{r}(t) &= u_r(z(t), r(t), t).
\end{align*}
\] (1.8)

We notice that in the case of non-smooth initial data, Eqs. (1.5), (1.6), (1.7), and (1.8) can be assumed as a weak formulation of the Euler equations in the framework of axisymmetric solutions. An equivalent weak formulation is obtained from Eq. (1.4) by a formal integration by parts,

\[
\frac{d}{dt}\omega_t[f] = \omega_t[u_z \partial_z f + u_r \partial_r f + \partial_tf],
\] (1.9)

where $f = f(z, r, t)$ is any bounded smooth test function and

\[
\omega_t[f] := \int dz \int_0^{\infty} dr \omega(z, r, t)f(z, r, t).
\]

It is known that the global (in time) existence and uniqueness of a weak solution to the associate Cauchy problem holds when initial vorticity is a bounded function with compact support contained in the open half-plane $\Pi := \{(z, r) : r > 0\}$, see, for instance, [14, Page 91] or [5, Appendix]. In particular, it can be shown that the support of the vorticity remains in the open half-plane $\Pi$ at any time (note that a point in the half-plane $\Pi$ corresponds to a circumference in the three dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^3$).

The special class of axisymmetric solutions without swirl are often called smoke rings (or vortex rings), because some of them are characterized by a shape which remains constant in time (the so-called steady vortex ring) and translate in the $z$-direction with a constant speed, which is called propagation velocity of the ring. The establishment of existence and properties of these solutions are an old question. For a rigorous proof by means of variational methods, see [1, 8]. For references on axially symmetric solution without swirl see also the review [15].

Here, we are interested in the special class of initial data when the vorticity is sharply concentrated. More precisely, given a small parameter $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we consider initial data for which the vorticity is supported in $N$ disks, i.e., an initial vorticity of the form

\[
\omega_{\varepsilon}(z, r, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_i(z, r, 0),
\] (1.10)
where $\omega_{i,\varepsilon}(z, r, 0)$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, are functions with definite sign such that, denoting by $\Sigma(\zeta|\rho)$ the open disk of center $\zeta$ and radius $\rho$,

$$\Lambda_{i,\varepsilon}(0) := \text{supp } \omega_{i,\varepsilon}(\cdot, 0) \subset \Sigma(\zeta^i|\varepsilon),$$

with

$$\Sigma(\zeta^i|\varepsilon) \subset \Pi \quad \forall \ i, \quad \Sigma(\zeta^i|\varepsilon) \cap \Sigma(\zeta^j|\varepsilon) = \emptyset \quad \forall \ i \neq j,$$

for fixed $\zeta^i = (zi, ri) \in \Pi$. We assume also that

$$\min_{i} ri > 2D \quad \forall \ i, \quad |ri - rj| \geq 2D \quad \forall \ i \neq j,$$

where $D$ is a positive fixed constant. This means that the annuli have different radii.

We focus on the case of a fluid with a large vorticity concentration. Therefore, in order to have non trivial (i.e., neither vanishing nor diverging) limiting velocities of the vortex rings, the initial data have to be chosen appropriately. The correct choice can be inferred by considering the simplest case of a vortex ring alone, of intensity $N_{\varepsilon} := \int dz \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \omega_{\varepsilon}(z, r, 0)$ and supported in a small region of diameter $\varepsilon$. It is well known that it moves along the $z$-direction with an approximately constant speed proportional to $N_{\varepsilon}|\log \varepsilon|$, see \[7\]. With this in mind, we assume that there are $N$ real parameters $a_1, \ldots, a_N$, called vortex intensities, such that

$$|\log \varepsilon| \int dz \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \omega_{i,\varepsilon}(z, r, 0) = a_i \quad \forall \ i = 1, \ldots, N.$$  

Finally, to avoid too large vorticity concentrations, we further assume there is a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$|\omega_{i,\varepsilon}(z, r, 0)| \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|} \quad \forall (z, r) \in \Pi \quad \forall \ i = 1, \ldots, N.$$  

Now, we can state the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume the initial data $\omega_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)$ verify Eqs. (1.10), (1.11), (1.12), (1.13), and (1.14), and define

$$\zeta^i(t) := \zeta^i + \frac{a_i}{4\pi r_i^2} \left(1 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) t, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$  

Then, for any $T > 0$ the following holds true. For any $\varepsilon$ small enough there are $\zeta^{i,\varepsilon}(t) \in \Pi$, $t \in [0, T]$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, and $R_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Sigma(\zeta^{i,\varepsilon}(t)|R_{\varepsilon})} dz \, dr \omega_{i,\varepsilon}(z, r, t) = a_i \quad \forall \ i = 1, \ldots, N, \quad \forall \ t \in [0, T],$$

with

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} R_{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \zeta^{i,\varepsilon}(t) = \zeta^i(t) \quad \forall \ t \in [0, T].$$

**Remark 1.1.** For the sake of concreteness, we make the assumption Eq. (1.12), which guarantees $|\zeta^i(t) - \zeta^j(t)| \geq 2D$ for any $i \neq j$ and $t \geq 0$. On the other hand, as it will be clear from the proof, the result is true for any choice of initial conditions $\{\zeta^i\}$ and intensities $\{a_i\}$ provided that the trajectories $\{\zeta^i(t)\}$ remain separate from each other at any positive time. While, in the general case, the statement of the theorem remains valid only for $T < T_*$, where $T_*$ is the first collapsing time (obviously, the initial data which produce collapses are exceptional).
Remark 1.2. Sometimes, the Euler equations are considered with initial vorticity highly concentrated around a generic curve, say $\Gamma = \{ \gamma_\sigma \}_{\sigma \in [0,1]} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, see, e.g., [10]. Of course, additional assumptions are needed to analyze the time evolution. Here, the main feature is the so-called LIA approximation (local induction approximation), in which the vorticity remains concentrated around a vortex filament $\Gamma(t) = \{ \gamma_\sigma(t) \}_{\sigma \in [0,1]}$, whose time velocity $\dot{\gamma}_\sigma(t)$ depends on the curvature and is directed along the binormal vector. In the present paper, we present a situation in which this approximation is rigorously derived.

Remark 1.3. The effect of a viscosity perturbation in the derivation of the vortex model has been discussed in the literature [5, 9, 11–13], but this topic is out of the purposes of the present analysis.

Remark 1.4. In this paper, we show that for certain classes of concentrated initial data the time evolution is closely related to the dynamics of a particular system of particles. Actually, the relation between the solution of the Euler Equations and time evolution of some special particle systems is more general and it is at the basis of an approximation method, called “vortex method”, widely used in literature, see, e.g., [6] or the textbook [14].

The strategy in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the same of the previous works on the topics. (We quote here only the more recent ones [3, 4], and address the reader to the references therein). We first show the corresponding result for a “reduced system”, where a vortex ring alone moves under the action of a suitable external time-dependent vector field. The result for the original model is then achieved by treating the motion of each vortex ring as that of a reduced system, in which the external field describes the force due to its interaction with the other rings.

The key tool in the planar case [3] is a sharp a priori estimate on the moment of inertia, which is not available in the axial symmetric case because the velocity field is not a Lipschitz function. To overcome this problem, in [4] the energy conservation is used to control the growth in time of the moment of inertia, which allows us to build up an iterative scheme to deduce the sharp localization property, but the price to pay is that this scheme converges only for short times.

Theorem 1.1 extends the result of [4] globally in time, and the strategy behind this improvement relies in the following observation. A suitable decomposition of the velocity field shows that its non Lipschitz part is directed along the $z$-axis, which suggests that the vorticity should stay more localized along the radial direction. Indeed, this is true and allows us to deduce sharper estimates on a different quantity, the “axial moment of inertia”. This new estimates makes possible to build up an iterative scheme as in [4], but here convergent at any positive time, thus deducing a sharp localization property globally in time.

The plan of the paper is the following. In the next section we introduce the reduced system and prove Theorem 1.1 as a corollary of the analogous result for this system, which is proved in Sections 3 and 4.

2. Reduction to a single vortex problem

We rename the variables by letting

$$x = (x_1, x_2) := (z, r)$$

(2.1)
and extend the vorticity to a function on the whole plane by setting $\omega_\varepsilon(x, t) = 0$ for $x_2 \leq 0$, so that $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ henceforth. In this way, the equations of motion Eqs. (1.5), (1.6), (1.7), and (1.8) take the following form,

$$u(x, t) = \int dy H(x, y) \omega_\varepsilon(y, t), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\omega_\varepsilon(x(t), t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_2(0)} \omega_\varepsilon(x(0), 0), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = u(x(t), t), \quad (2.4)$$

where $u(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t))$ and the kernel $H(x, y) = (H_1(x, y), H_2(x, y))$ is given by

$$H_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{y_2(y_2 - x_2 \cos \theta)}{[|x - y|^2 + 2x_2y_2(1 - \cos \theta)]^{3/2}}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$H_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{y_2(x_1 - y_1) \cos \theta}{[|x - y|^2 + 2x_2y_2(1 - \cos \theta)]^{3/2}}. \quad (2.6)$$

The “reduced system” describes the motion of a single vortex ring in a suitable external time-dependent vector field, which simulates the interaction with the other vortices. This system is defined by Eqs. (2.2), (2.3), and, in place of Eq. (2.4),

$$\dot{x}(t) = u(x(t), t) + F^\varepsilon(x(t), t). \quad (2.7)$$

The initial datum $\omega_\varepsilon(x, 0)$ and the time dependent vector field $F^\varepsilon$ are assumed to satisfy the following conditions.

**Assumption 2.1.** The function $\omega_\varepsilon(x, 0)$ is non-negative (resp. non-positive) and there is $M > 0$ and $a > 0$ (resp. $a < 0$) such that

$$0 \leq |\omega_\varepsilon(x, 0)| \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon^\frac{2}{3} \log \varepsilon} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |\log \varepsilon| \int dy \omega_\varepsilon(y, 0) = a, \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, there exists $\zeta^0 = (z_0, r_0)$, with $r_0 > 0$, such that

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon(0) := \text{supp} \omega_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) \subset \Sigma(\zeta^0 |\varepsilon). \quad (2.9)$$

Finally, $F^\varepsilon = (F^\varepsilon_1, F^\varepsilon_2)$ is a continuous and globally Lipschitz vector field, and it enjoys the following properties.

(a) $F^\varepsilon = (F^\varepsilon_1, F^\varepsilon_2, F^\varepsilon_0) := (F^\varepsilon_1, F^\varepsilon_2, 0)$ has zero divergence, i.e., $\partial_{x_1}(x_2 F^\varepsilon_1) + \partial_{x_2}(x_2 F^\varepsilon_2) = 0$.

(b) There exist $C_F, L > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$|F^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq \frac{C_F}{|\log \varepsilon|}, \quad |F^\varepsilon(x, t) - F^\varepsilon(y, t)| \leq \frac{L}{|\log \varepsilon|} |x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.10)$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Under Assumption 2.1, let

$$\zeta(t) = \zeta^0 + \frac{a}{4\pi r_0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} t. \quad (2.11)$$

Then, for each $T > 0$ the following holds true.

(1) For any $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ there is $C_k > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon$ small enough,

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon(t) := \text{supp} \omega_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_2 - r_0| \leq C_k |\log \varepsilon|^{-k} \} \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$
We then apply Theorem 2.2 to the evolution of the \(a\)-th vortex ring, with parameters \((a, \zeta^a, T, k)\) in place of \((a, \zeta^0, T, k)\), and conclude that, for any \(\varepsilon\) small enough,
The following weak formulation will be used, which is a direct generalization of Eq. (1.9),
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int dx \, \omega(x, t) f(x, t) = \int dx \, \omega(x, t) \left[ (u + F^\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla f + \partial_t f \right](x, t), \tag{3.2} \]
where \( f = f(x, t) \) is any bounded smooth test function. Moreover, the kernel \( H(x, y) \) in Eq. (2.2) can be split as made in [4, Lemma 3.3], where it is shown that the most singular part of \( H(x, y) \) is given by the kernel \( K(x - y) \) corresponding to the planar case,
\[ K(x) = \nabla^\perp G(x), \quad G(x) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x|, \tag{3.3} \]
where \( v^\perp := (v_2, -v_1) \) for \( v = (v_1, v_2) \). More precisely, for any \( x, y \in \Pi \),
\[ H(x, y) = K(x - y) + L(x, y) + R(x, y), \tag{3.4} \]
and there exists \( C_0 > 0 \) such that, for any \( x, y \in \Pi \),
\[ |R(x, y)| \leq C_0 \frac{1 + x_2 + \sqrt{x_2 y_2} (1 + |\log(x_2 y_2)|)}{x_2^2}. \tag{3.5} \]
A notation warning: In what follows, we shall denote by \( C \) a generic positive constant, whose numerical value may change from line to line and it may possibly depend on the parameters \( \zeta^0 = (z_0, x_0) \) and \( M \) appearing in Theorem 2.2 and Eq. (3.1), as well as on the given time \( T \).

As claimed at the beginning of the section, our goal is to show that, under Assumption 2.1, for any \( T > 0 \) and \( k \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \), if \( \varepsilon \) is small enough then
\[ |x_2 - r_0| \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^k} \quad \forall x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \tag{3.7} \]
We let
\[ T_\varepsilon^0 := \max \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \frac{r_0}{2} \leq x_2 \leq \frac{3}{2} r_0 \quad \forall x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(s) \quad \forall s \in [0, t] \right\} \]
and assume hereafter \( \varepsilon < r_0/2 \) so that \( T_\varepsilon^0 > 0 \) in view of Eq. (2.9). In what follows, we show that, for any \( k \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \),
\[ |x_2 - r_0| \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^k} \quad \forall x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T_\varepsilon^0], \tag{3.8} \]
provided \( \varepsilon \) is small enough. By continuity, this implies that \( T_\varepsilon^0 = T \) (for \( \varepsilon \) sufficiently small), from which Eq. (3.7) follows for \( \varepsilon \) sufficiently small.

The proof of Eq. (3.8) is quite long, so it is divided into three preliminary lemmas plus a conclusion. Preliminarily, it is useful to decompose the velocity field according to Eq. (3.4), writing
\[ u(x, t) = \bar{u}(x, t) + \int dy \, L(x, y) \omega(y, t) + \int dy \, R(x, y) \omega(y, t), \tag{3.9} \]
where \( \bar{u}(x, t) = \int dy \, K(x - y) \omega(y, t). \)
Lemma 3.1. The following estimates hold true,
\[ \int dy |L(x, y)| \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, t) \leq C, \quad \int dy |R(x, y)| \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, t) \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|} \quad \forall t \in [0, T^0_{\varepsilon}). \] 
(3.10)

Proof. From Eq. (3.9) and (3.6) it follows that
\[ |L(x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi R_0} \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|}, \quad |R(x, y)| \leq C \quad \forall x, y \in A_{\varepsilon}(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T^0_{\varepsilon}], \] 
while, from Eq. (2.3), (3.1), and the definition of \( T^0_{\varepsilon} \),
\[ |\omega_{\varepsilon}(x, t)| \leq \frac{3M}{\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|} \quad \forall t \in [0, T^0_{\varepsilon}]. \] 
(3.12)

Since \( \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|} \) is monotonically unbounded as \( y \to x \), the maximum of the integral \( \int dy \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|} \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, t) \) is achieved when we rearrange the vorticity mass as close as possible to the singularity. Therefore, in view of Eq. (3.12),
\[ \int dy |L(x, y)| \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, t) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi R_0} \int dy \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|} \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, t) \]
\[ \leq \frac{3M}{\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|} \int_0^{\rho} d\rho \rho \log \frac{1 + \rho}{\rho} \]
\[ = \frac{3M}{\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|} \left\{ \frac{\rho^2}{2} \log \frac{1 + \rho}{1 + \rho} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\rho} d\rho \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} \right\}, \] 
(3.13)
with \( \rho \) such that \( 3\pi \rho^2 M/(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) = 1/|\log \varepsilon| \), from which the first estimate in Eq. (3.10) follows. Finally, we observe that, by Liouville’s theorem and Eq. (2.3), since the vector field \( F^\varepsilon \) in Assumption 2.1-(a) has zero divergence,
\[ \int dy \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, t) = \int d\xi \frac{\omega_{\varepsilon}(\xi, t)}{r} = \int d\xi_0 \frac{\omega_{\varepsilon}(\xi_0, 0)}{r_0} = \int dy \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, 0) = \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \] 
(3.14)
(above, the coordinate transformation is \( \xi = \phi^t(\xi_0) \) with \( \phi^t \) the flow generated by \( \dot{\xi} = u(\xi, t) + F^\varepsilon(\xi, t) \)). Therefore, the second estimate in Eq. (3.10) is a consequence of the second one in Eq. (3.11).

We denote by \( B_{\varepsilon}(t) = (B_{\varepsilon,1}(t), B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)) \) the center of vorticity of the blob, defined by
\[ B_{\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{\int dx x \omega_{\varepsilon}(x, t)}{\int dx \omega_{\varepsilon}(x, t)} = |\log \varepsilon| \int dx x \omega_{\varepsilon}(x, t), \] 
(3.15)
and by \( I_{\varepsilon}(t) \) the axial moment of inertia with respect to \( x_2 = B_{\varepsilon,2}(t) \), i.e.,
\[ I_{\varepsilon}(t) = \int dx (x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t))^2 \omega_{\varepsilon}(x, t). \] 
(3.16)

Since \( A_{\varepsilon}(t) \) is compact, the time derivatives of \( B_{\varepsilon,2}(t) \) (in this section, we are only interested in this component) and \( I_{\varepsilon}(t) \) can be computed by means of Eq. (3.12),
\[ \dot{B}_{\varepsilon,2}(t) = |\log \varepsilon| \int dx \omega_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \left( F^\varepsilon_2(x, t) + \int dy R_2(x, y) \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, t) \right), \] 
(3.17)
\[ \dot{I}_{\varepsilon}(t) = 2 \int dx \omega_{\varepsilon}(x, t) (x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)) \left( F^\varepsilon_2(x, t) + \int dy R_2(x, y) \omega_{\varepsilon}(y, t) \right), \] 
(3.18)
where we have used the expression Eq. (3.9) for \( u(x,t) \), the identities
\[
\int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x,t) (x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)) = 0, \quad \int dx \vec{u}(x,t) \omega_\varepsilon(x,t) = 0,
\]
\[
\int dx \ x \cdot \vec{u}(x,t) \omega_\varepsilon(x,t) = 0
\]
(which derive from the definition of center of vorticity and the explicit form of \( K(x-y) \) in Eq. (3.3)), and the fact that \( L_2(x,y) = 0 \), see Eq. (3.5).

**Lemma 3.2.** The following estimate holds,
\[
I_\varepsilon(t) \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^3} \quad \forall t \in [0,T_\varepsilon^0]. \tag{3.19}
\]

**Proof.** By Eqs. (2.10), (3.10), and (3.18) we have,
\[
|\dot{I}_\varepsilon(t)| \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|} \int dx |x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| \omega_\varepsilon(x,t) \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^{3/2}} I_\varepsilon(t) \quad \forall t \in [0,T_\varepsilon^0],
\]
where in the last estimate we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Eq. (3.14). Eq. (3.19) now follows by integration of the last differential inequality since the initial data imply \( I_\varepsilon(0) \leq 4\varepsilon^2 \). \( \Box \)

**Lemma 3.3.** Recall \( \Lambda_\varepsilon(t) = \text{supp} \ \omega_\varepsilon(\cdot,t) \) and define
\[
R_t := \max \{|x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| : x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(t)\}. \tag{3.20}
\]
Given \( x_0 \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(0) \), let \( x(x_0,t) \) be the solution to Eq. (2.7) with initial condition \( x(x_0,0) = x_0 \) and suppose at time \( t \in (0,T_\varepsilon^0] \) it happens that
\[
|x_2(x_0,t) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| = R_t. \tag{3.21}
\]
Then, at this time \( t \),
\[
\frac{d}{dt} |x_2(x_0,t) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|} + \frac{1}{\pi R_t |\log \varepsilon|} + \sqrt{\frac{C m_t(R_t/2)}{\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|}}, \tag{3.22}
\]
where the function \( m_t(\cdot) \) is defined by
\[
m_t(h) = \int_{|y_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)|} dy \omega_\varepsilon(y,t). \tag{3.23}
\]

**Proof.** We observe that the proof is similar to that given in [3] Lemma 2.5. Letting \( x = x(x_0,t) \), by Eqs. (2.7), (3.9), (3.14), and (3.17) we have,
\[
\frac{d}{dt} |x_2(x_0,t) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| = (u_2(x,t) + F_2^\varepsilon(x,t) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)) \frac{x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)}{|x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)|}
\]
\[
= V(x,t) \frac{x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)}{|x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)|} + \int dy K_2(x-y) \omega_\varepsilon(y,t) \frac{x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)}{|x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)|}, \tag{3.24}
\]
with
\[
V(x,t) = F_2^\varepsilon(x,t) + \int dz R_2(x,z) \omega_\varepsilon(z,t)
\]
\[
- |\log \varepsilon| \int dy \omega_\varepsilon(y,t) \left( F_2^\varepsilon(y,t) + \int dz R_2(y,z) \omega_\varepsilon(z,t) \right). \tag{3.25}
\]
From Eqs. (2.10), (3.10), and (3.14) we have

$$|V(x,t)| \leq \frac{C}{\log \varepsilon} \quad \forall t \in [0,T^0].$$  

(3.26)

For the last term in Eq. (3.24), we split the integration region into two parts, the set $A_1 = \{ y \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(t) : |y_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| \leq R_t/2 \}$ and the set $A_2 = \{ y \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(t) : R_t/2 < |y_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| \leq R_t \}$. Then,

$$\int dy \ K_2(x-y) \omega_\varepsilon(y,t) \frac{x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)}{|x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)|} = H_1 + H_2,$$  

(3.27)

where

$$H_1 = \frac{x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)}{|x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)|} \int_{A_1} dy \ K_2(x-y) \omega_\varepsilon(y,t)$$  

(3.28)

and

$$H_2 = \frac{x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)}{|x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)|} \int_{A_2} dy \ K_2(x-y) \omega_\varepsilon(y,t).$$  

(3.29)

We first evaluate the contribution of the integration on $A_1$. Recalling Eq. (3.3), after introducing the new variables $x' = x - B_\varepsilon(t)$, $y' = y - B_\varepsilon(t)$, we get,

$$|H_1| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y'| \leq R_t/2} dy' \frac{1}{|x' - y'|} \omega_\varepsilon(y' + B_\varepsilon(t)).$$  

(3.30)

From Eq. (3.21) we have $|x'_2| = R_t$, and hence $|y'_2| \leq R_t/2$ implies $|x' - y'| \geq |x'_2 - y'_2| \geq R_t/2$, so that

$$|H_1| \leq \frac{1}{\pi R_t} \int_{|y'_2| \leq R_t/2} dy' \omega_\varepsilon(y' + B_\varepsilon(t)) \leq \frac{1}{\pi R_t |\log \varepsilon|}.$$  

(3.31)

We now evaluate $H_2$. Again by Eq. (3.3),

$$|H_2| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{A_2} dy \frac{1}{|x-y|} \omega_\varepsilon(y,t).$$

The integrand is monotonically unbounded as $y \to x$, and so the maximum of the integral is obtained when we rearrange the vorticity mass as close as possible to the singularity. By Eq. (3.12) and since, by Eq. (3.23), $m_t(R_t/2)$ is equal to the total amount of vorticity in $A_2$, this rearrangement gives,

$$|H_2| \leq \frac{3M \varepsilon^{-2}}{2\pi |\log \varepsilon|} \int_{\Sigma_{0}(t)} dy' \frac{1}{|y'|} = \frac{3M \varepsilon^{-2}}{|\log \varepsilon|} r,$$  

(3.32)

where the radius $r$ is such that $3\pi r^2 M/(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) = m_t(R_t/2)$. The estimate Eq. (3.22) now follows by Eqs. (3.24), (3.26), (3.27), (3.31), and (3.32). □

We investigate now the behavior near to 0 of the function $m_t(\cdot)$ introduced in Eq. (3.23). The proof will be adapted from that of [4, Proposition 3.4].

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $m_t$ be defined as in Eq. (3.23). For each $\ell > 0$ and $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \max_{t \in [0,T^0]} \varepsilon^{-\ell} m_t \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^k} \right) = 0.$$  

(3.33)
Proof. Given $R \geq 2h^\alpha$, $h > 0$, and
\[
\alpha = \frac{1 - k}{1 + k} - \delta, \quad \delta \in \left(0, \frac{1 - 2k}{1 + k}\right),
\] (3.34)
let $W_{R,h}(x_2)$, with $x_2$ the second component of $x = (x_1, x_2)$, be a non-negative smooth function, such that
\[
W_{R,h}(x_2) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } |x_2| \leq R, \\
0 & \text{if } |x_2| \geq R + h,
\end{cases}
\] (3.35)
and its derivative $W'_{R,h}$ satisfies
\[
|W'_{R,h}(x_2) - W'_{R,h}(y_2)| < \frac{C}{h^2}|x_2 - y_2| \leq \frac{C}{h^2}|x - y|. \tag{3.36}
\]
We introduce the quantity
\[
\mu_t(R, h) = \int dx \left[1 - W_{R,h}(x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t))\right] \omega_\varepsilon(x, t), \tag{3.38}
\]
which is a mollified version of $m_\varepsilon$ satisfying
\[
\mu_t(R, h) \leq m_\varepsilon(R) \leq \mu_t(R - h, h). \tag{3.39}
\]
In particular, it is enough to prove the claim with $\mu_t$ instead of $m_\varepsilon$. The advantage is that $t \mapsto \mu_t(R, h)$ is differentiable and we can compute its derivative, by applying Eq. (3.32) with test function $f(x, t) = 1 - W_{R,h}(x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t))$ and then using Eqs. (3.33) and (3.34). We thus obtain,
\[
dt \mu_t(R, h) = - \int dx \nabla W_{R,h}(x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)) \cdot [u(x, t) + F^\varepsilon(x, t) - \dot{B}_{\varepsilon,2}(t)] \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) \\
= - \int dx W'_{R,h}(x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t))[u_2(x, t) + F^\varepsilon_2(x, t) - \dot{B}_{\varepsilon,2}(t)] \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) \\
= - H_3 - H_4, \tag{3.40}
\]
with
\[
H_3 = \int dx W'_{R,h}(x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)) \int dy K_2(x - y) \omega_\varepsilon(y, t) \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int dx \int dy \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) \omega_\varepsilon(y, t) \\
\times [W'_{R,h}(x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)) - W'_{R,h}(y_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t))] K_2(x - y), \\
H_4 = |\log \varepsilon| \int dx W'_{R,h}(x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)) \omega_\varepsilon(x, t)V(x, t),
\]
where the second expression of $H_3$ is due to the antisymmetry of $K$ and $V(x, t)$ is defined in Eq. (3.25). We immediately observe that, in view of Eq. (3.26), (3.36), and the fact that $W'_{R,h}(z)$ is zero if $|z| \leq R$,
\[
H_4 \leq \frac{C}{h|\log \varepsilon|} m_\varepsilon(R) \quad \forall t \in [0, T^\varepsilon_0]. \tag{3.41}
\]
Now we deal with $H_3$. We introduce the new variables $x' = x - B_z(t)$, $y' = y - B_z(t)$, define $\tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(z, t) := \omega_c(z + B_z(t), t)$, and let

$$f(x', y') = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(x', t)\tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(y', t) \left[ W'_{R,h}(x'_2) - W'_{R,h}(y'_2) \right] K_2(x' - y'),$$

so that $H_3 = \int dx' \int dy' f(x', y')$. We observe that $f(x', y')$ is a symmetric function of $x'$ and $y'$ and that, by Eq. (3.37), a necessary condition to be different from zero is that either $|x'_2| \geq R$ or $|y'_2| \geq R$. Therefore,

$$H_3 = \int_{|x'_2| > R} dx' \int_{|y'_2| > R} dy' f(x', y')$$

$$= 2 \int_{|x'_2| > R} dx' \int_{|y'_2| > R} dy' f(x', y') - \int_{|x'_2| > R} dx' \int_{|y'_2| > R} dy' f(x', y')$$

$$= H'_3 + H''_3 + H'''_3,$$

with

$$H'_3 = 2 \int_{|x'_2| > R} dx' \int_{|y'_2| \leq R - h^\alpha} dy' f(x', y'),$$

$$H''_3 = 2 \int_{|x'_2| > R} dx' \int_{|y'_2| > R - h^\alpha} dy' f(x', y'),$$

$$H'''_3 = - \int_{|x'_2| > R} dx' \int_{|y'_2| > R} dy' f(x', y').$$

By the assumptions on $W_{R,h}$, we have $W'_{R,h}(y'_2) = 0$ for $|y'_2| \leq R$. In particular, $W'_{R,h}(y'_2) = 0$ for $|y'_2| \leq R - h^\alpha$, so that

$$H'_3 = \int_{|x'_2| > R} dx' \tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(x', t) W'_{R,h}(x'_2) \int_{|y'_2| \leq R - h^\alpha} dy' K_2(x' - y') \tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(y', t),$$

and therefore, in view of Eq. (3.36),

$$|H'_3| \leq \frac{C}{h} m_4(R) \sup_{|x'_2| > R} |A_3(x', t)|,$$

(3.42)

with

$$A_3(x', t) = \int_{|y'_2| \leq R - h^\alpha} dy' K_2(x' - y') \tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(y', t).$$

We observe that if $|x'_2| > R$ then $|y'_2| \leq R - h^\alpha$ implies $|x' - y'| \geq |x'_2 - y'_2| \geq h^\alpha$, whence

$$|A_3(x', t)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y'_2| \leq R - h^\alpha} dy' \frac{\tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(y', t)}{|x' - y'|} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi h^\alpha} \int_{|y'_2| \leq R - h^\alpha} dy' \tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(y', t) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi h^\alpha |\log \varepsilon|}.$$

We thus have, by Eq. (3.42),

$$|H'_3| \leq \frac{C}{h^{1+\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|} m_4(R).$$

(3.43)

Now, from Eq. (3.37), using Chebyshev’s inequality and $R \geq 2h^\alpha$,

$$|H''_3| + |H'''_3| \leq \frac{C}{h^2} \int_{|x'_2| \geq R} dx' \int_{|y'_2| \geq R - h^\alpha} dy' \tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(y', t) \tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon(x', t) \leq \frac{C L_1(t)}{h^2 R^2} m_4(R).$$
In conclusion, by Eq. (3.19),
\[ |H_3| \leq C \left( \frac{1}{h^{1+\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|} + \frac{1}{h^2 |\log \varepsilon|^3} \right) m_t(R) \quad \forall t \in [0, T^0_\varepsilon]. \] (3.44)

Recalling Eq. (3.40), from estimates Eqs. (3.44) and (3.41), we have,
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \mu_t(R, h) \leq A_\varepsilon(R, h) m_t(R) \quad \forall t \in [0, T^0_\varepsilon]. \] (3.45)

where
\[ A_\varepsilon(R, h) = C \left( \frac{1}{h^{1+\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|} + \frac{1}{h^2 |\log \varepsilon|^3} + \frac{1}{h |\log \varepsilon|} \right). \]

Therefore, by Eqs. (3.39) and (3.45),
\[ \mu_t(R, h) \leq \mu_0(R, h) + A_\varepsilon(R, h) \int_0^t ds \mu_s(R - h, h) \quad \forall t \in [0, T^0_\varepsilon]. \] (3.46)

Now, by assuming \( \varepsilon \) sufficiently small, we iterate the last inequality \( n = \lceil |\log \varepsilon| \rceil \) times (where \( \lceil a \rceil \) denotes the integer part of the positive number \( a \)), from
\[ R_0 = \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^k} \quad \text{to} \quad R_n = \frac{1}{2|\log \varepsilon|^k}, \]
where \( R_n = R_0 - nh \), and consequently
\[ h = \frac{1}{2n|\log \varepsilon|^k}. \]

This procedure is correct because in this range for \( R \) the assumption \( R \geq 2h^\alpha \) (under which Eq. (3.45) has been deduced) is satisfied. Indeed, in view of Eq. (3.34) we have
\[ h^\alpha \approx C \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{1+k}} \right)^\alpha = C \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{1+k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+k} - \delta} = C \frac{|\log \varepsilon|^{1-k-(1+k)\delta}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{1-k+(1+k)\delta}}, \]
with \( k < 1 - k - (1 + k)\delta \), and therefore, if \( \varepsilon \) is small enough, \( h^\alpha \ll R_n \). Moreover, the quantity \( A_\varepsilon(R, h) \) is bounded by \( C |\log \varepsilon|^q \) with \( q < 1 \), in fact
\[ \frac{1}{h^{1+\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{1+\alpha} |\log \varepsilon| \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{1-\delta(k+1)}, \]
\[ \frac{1}{h^2 |\log \varepsilon|^3} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{4k+2} |\log \varepsilon|^3 \leq |\log \varepsilon|^{4k-1}, \]
\[ \frac{1}{h |\log \varepsilon|} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^k. \]

In conclusion,
\[ \mu_t(R_0 - h, h) \leq \mu_0(R_0 - h, h) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_0(R_j, h) \frac{(C |\log \varepsilon|^q t)^j}{j!} \]
\[ + \frac{(C |\log \varepsilon|^q)^n}{(n - 1)!} \int_0^t ds (t - s)^{n-1} \mu_s(R_n, h) \quad \forall t \in [0, T^0_\varepsilon]. \]

Since \( A_\varepsilon(0) \subset \Sigma(z |\varepsilon|) \), we can determine \( \varepsilon \) so small such that \( \mu_0(R_j, h) = 0 \) for any \( j = 0, \ldots, n \), so that, for any \( t \in [0, T] \),
\[ \mu_t(R_0 - h, h) \leq \frac{(C |\log \varepsilon|^q)^n}{(n - 1)!} \int_0^t ds (t - s)^{n-1} \mu_s(R_n, h) \leq \frac{(C |\log \varepsilon|^q t)^n}{n!}, \] (3.47)
where the obvious estimate \( \mu_s(R_n, h) \leq 1 \) has been used in the last inequality. In conclusion, using also Eq. (3.39), Stirling formula, and \( n = \lfloor \log \varepsilon \rfloor \),

\[
m_t(R_0) \leq \mu_t(R_0 - h, h) \leq \frac{C}{\lfloor \log \varepsilon \rfloor} \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0^\varepsilon],
\]

which implies Eq. (3.33). \( \square \)

**Proof of Eq. (3.3).** In view of Eqs. (2.10), (3.10), and (3.14), from (3.17) and since \( |B_{\varepsilon,2}(0) - r_0| \leq \varepsilon \) we have,

\[
|B_{\varepsilon,2}(t) - r_0| \leq \frac{C}{\lfloor \log \varepsilon \rfloor} \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0^\varepsilon].
\]

(3.48)

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that, given \( k \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \),

\[
|x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| \leq \frac{C}{\lfloor \log \varepsilon \rfloor^k} \quad \forall x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0^\varepsilon],
\]

(3.49)

provided \( \varepsilon \) is small enough. To this end, we first notice that, in view of Lemma 3.3 and Eq. (3.22), for any \( x_0 \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(0) \) and \( t \in [0, T_0^\varepsilon] \), we have \( |x_2(x_0, t) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| \leq R_t \), and whenever \( |x_2(x_0, t) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| = R_t \) the differential inequality Eq. (3.22) holds true. We claim that this implies

\[
\Lambda_\varepsilon(t) \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| < \rho(t) \} \quad \forall t \in [s_0, s_1] \quad \forall [s_0, s_1] \subseteq [0, T_0^\varepsilon],
\]

(3.50)

provided \( \rho(t) \) solves

\[
\dot{\rho}(t) = \frac{2C}{\lfloor \log \varepsilon \rfloor} + \frac{2}{\pi \lfloor \log \varepsilon \rfloor} \rho(t) + g(t),
\]

(3.51)

with initial datum \( \rho(s_0) = R_{s_0} \) and \( g(t) \) any smooth function which is an upper bound for the last term in Eq. (3.22). Indeed, \( |x_2 - B_{\varepsilon,2}(s_0)| < \rho(s_0) \) for any \( x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(s_0) \) and, by absurd, if there were a first time \( t_* \in (s_0, s_1) \) such that \( |x_2(x_0, t_*) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t_*)| = \rho(t_*) \) for some \( x_0 \in \Lambda_\varepsilon(0) \), it would be necessarily \( \rho(t_*) = R_{t_*} \); hence, by Eq. (3.22), \( \dot{\rho}(t_*) \) would be strictly larger than \( \frac{d}{dt} |x_2(x_0, t) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)|_{t=t_*} \), which contradicts the characterization of \( t_* \) as the first time at which the graph of \( t \mapsto |x_2(x_0, t) - B_{\varepsilon,2}(t)| \) crosses the one of \( t \mapsto \rho(t) \).

Now, let

\[
t_0 = \sup \{ t \in [0, T_0^\varepsilon] : R_s < 3|\log \varepsilon|^{-k} \quad \forall s \in [0, t] \}.
\]

If \( t_0 = T_0^\varepsilon \) then Eq. (3.49) is already achieved, otherwise we set

\[
t_1 = \sup \{ t \in [t_0, T_0^\varepsilon] : R_s > 2|\log \varepsilon|^{-k} \quad \forall s \in [t_0, t_1] \}
\]

and consider \( \rho(t) \) as in Eq. (3.51), relative to the interval \( [s_0, s_1] = [t_0, t_1] \) and such that

\[
\rho(t_0) = 4|\log \varepsilon|^{-k}, \quad g(t) \leq \frac{C(t-2)^{t/2}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{t/2}} \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1],
\]

for a fixed \( k > 2 \). We note that \( \rho(t_0) > R_{t_0} = 3|\log \varepsilon|^{-k} \) and that, since \( R_t \geq 2|\log \varepsilon|^{-k} \) for any \( t \in [t_0, t_1] \), Eq. (3.33) guarantees that above condition on \( g(t) \) is compatible with the requirement that the latter is an upper bound for the last term in Eq. (3.22).
Now, as \( \rho(t) \geq R \geq 2|\log \varepsilon|^{-k} \) for any \( t \in [t_0, t_1] \), the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (3.51) is bounded by \( C|\log \varepsilon|^{k-1} \), and therefore, since \( k \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \), from Eq. (3.51) we deduce that

\[
\dot{\rho}(t) \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^{1/2}} \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1],
\]

which integrated from \( t_0 \) and \( t_1 \) gives

\[
\rho(t) \leq \rho(t_0) + \frac{CT}{|\log \varepsilon|^{1/2}} \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^k} \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1].
\]

Clearly, if \( t_1 = T_0^\varepsilon \) we are done. Otherwise, we can repeat the same argument in the intervals \( [t'_0, t'_1] \subseteq [t_1, T_0^\varepsilon] \) defined analogously to \( [t_0, t_1] \) (if any). Eq. (3.49) is thus proved. □

4. The reduced system: analysis of the axial motion

In this section we prove item (2) of Theorem 2.2, remarking that, as in the previous section, we always assume \( a = 1 \). We premise a concentration result which shows that large part of the vorticity remains confined in a disk whose size is infinitesimal as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Consider the reduced system defined by Eqs. (2.2), (2.3), and (2.7). Under Assumption 2.1, with Eq. (3.1) in place of Eq. (2.8), for each \( T > 0 \) there are \( \varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1) \), \( C_1 > 0 \) and \( q_\varepsilon(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), such that

\[
|\log \varepsilon| \int_{\Sigma(q_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|)} dx \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) \geq 1 - \frac{C_1}{|\log |\log \varepsilon||} \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1).
\]

(4.1)

**Proof.** This is exactly the content of [4, Lemma 3.1], so we omit the proof. □

The following proposition shows that in the limit \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) the center of vorticity performs a motion with constant speed along the \( x_1 = z \) axis.

**Proposition 4.2.** Under Assumption 2.1, for any \( T > 0 \),

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \max_{t \in [0, T]} |B_\varepsilon(t) - \zeta(t)| = 0,
\]

(4.2)

with \( \zeta(t) \) as in Eq. (2.11).

**Proof.** Since \( \Lambda_\varepsilon(t) \) is compact we can use Eq. (3.2) as in getting Eq. (3.17) and compute,

\[
\dot{B}_\varepsilon(t) = |\log \varepsilon| \frac{d}{dt} \int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) = |\log \varepsilon| \int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) (u + F_\varepsilon)(x, t)
\]

\[
= |\log \varepsilon| \int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) F_\varepsilon(x, t)
\]

\[
+ |\log \varepsilon| \int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) \int dy [L(x, y) + R(x, y)] \omega_\varepsilon(y, t),
\]

(4.3)

where we used Eq. (3.9) and \( \int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x, t) \bar{u}(x, t) = 0 \). In what follows, we fix \( T > 0 \) and \( k \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \), and assume the parameter \( \varepsilon \) so small in order that Eq. (4.1) does
hold and that Eq. (3.8) implies $T^0 = T$. Therefore, from Eq. (2.10), in view of Eqs. (3.7), (3.10), and (3.11), we have,

$$\left| \dot{B}_{\varepsilon,1}(t) - Q_{\varepsilon}(t) \right| + \left| \dot{B}_{\varepsilon,2}(t) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\log \varepsilon} \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

(4.4)

where

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(t) := \int dx \omega_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \frac{1}{4\pi x^2} \left( \int dy \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|} \omega_{\varepsilon}(y,t) \right).$$

To compute the asymptotic behavior of $Q_{\varepsilon}(t)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we decompose,

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(t) = Q^1_{\varepsilon}(t) + Q^2_{\varepsilon}(t),$$

with

$$Q^1_{\varepsilon}(t) := \int dx \omega_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \frac{1}{4\pi x^2} \left( \int dy \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|} \omega_{\varepsilon}(y,t) \right).$$

The rest $Q^2_{\varepsilon}(t) = Q_{\varepsilon}(t) - Q^1_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is the sum of three terms, each one is the integration of the same function, which in view of Eq. (3.11) is bounded by

$$G(x, y) := \frac{1}{2\pi r_0} \int_{\Sigma(q_{\varepsilon}(t), \varepsilon \log \varepsilon)} dx \omega_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \omega_{\varepsilon}(y,t),$$

on a region where at least one between the $x$ and the $y$ variable is confined to the set $\Sigma(q_{\varepsilon}(t), \varepsilon \log \varepsilon)$. Therefore, since $G$ is symmetric,

$$Q^2_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \frac{3|\log \varepsilon|}{2\pi r_0} \int_{\Sigma(q_{\varepsilon}(t), \varepsilon \log \varepsilon)} dx \omega_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \int dy \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|} \omega_{\varepsilon}(y,t)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\log |\log \varepsilon|},$$

where we first bounded the $dy$-integral as done in Eq. (3.13), and then we used Eq. (3.11).

Concerning $Q^1_{\varepsilon}(t)$, we insert a lower bound to $\frac{1}{4\pi x^2} \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|}$ in the domain of integration and apply again Eq. (3.11),

$$Q^1_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq \frac{|\log \varepsilon|}{4\pi(q_{\varepsilon,2}(t) + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|)} \log \frac{1 + 2\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|}{2\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|} \left( \int_{\Sigma(q_{\varepsilon}(t), \varepsilon \log \varepsilon)} dx \omega_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \right)^2$$

$$\geq \frac{|\log \varepsilon|}{4\pi(q_{\varepsilon,2}(t) + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|)} \log \frac{1 + 2\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|}{2\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|} \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2} \left( 1 - \frac{C_1}{\log |\log \varepsilon|} \right)^2.$$  

(4.5)

On the other hand, by Eqs. (3.12) and (3.13),

$$Q^1_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi(q_{\varepsilon,2}(t) - \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|)} \sup_x \int dy \log \frac{1 + |x - y|}{|x - y|} \omega_{\varepsilon}(y,t)$$

$$\leq \frac{3M}{2\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|(|q_{\varepsilon,2}(t) - \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|)} \left\{ \frac{\bar{\rho}^2}{2} \log \frac{1 + \bar{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\bar{\rho}} d\rho \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} \right\},$$

with $\bar{\rho}$ such that $3\pi \bar{\rho}^2 M/(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) = 1/|\log \varepsilon|$. Now, in view of Eq. (3.11), the disk $\Sigma(q_{\varepsilon}(t), \varepsilon \log \varepsilon)$ must have non empty intersection with $\Lambda_{\varepsilon}(t)$. Therefore, since we
are assuming \( \varepsilon \) so small that \( T^0_\varepsilon = T \), from Eq. (3.8) we deduce that

\[
\max_{t \in [0,T]} |q_\varepsilon(x(t)) - r_0| \leq \frac{C}{\log |\varepsilon|^k} + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|.
\]

(4.7)

We conclude that the right-hand side in both Eqs. (4.5) and (4.6) converges to \( 1/(4\pi r_0) \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), so that, in view of Eq. (4.4),

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \max_{t \in [0,T]} \left| B_{\varepsilon,1}(t) - \left( z_0 + \frac{t}{4\pi r_0} \right) \right| = 0,
\]

(4.8)

which, together with Eq. (3.48), proves Eq. (4.2) (recall we fixed \( a = 1 \)). \( \Box \)

From Eq. (4.1) and Proposition 4.2, the proof of item (2) of Theorem 2.2 is completed if we show that

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |B_{\varepsilon}(t) - q_\varepsilon(t)| = 0
\]

(4.9)

(Actually, by Eq. (4.7), the convergence of the second component is already known, but this does not shorten the proof). To this aim, we set \( \Sigma_i = \Sigma(q_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|) \) and compute,

\[
|B_\varepsilon(t) - q_\varepsilon(t)| \leq |\log \varepsilon| \int_{\Sigma_i} dx |x - q_\varepsilon(t)| \omega_\varepsilon(x,t)
\]

\[
= |\log \varepsilon| \int_{\Sigma_i} dx |x - q_\varepsilon(t)| \omega_\varepsilon(x,t) + |\log \varepsilon| \int_{\Sigma_i^c} dx |x - q_\varepsilon(t)| \omega_\varepsilon(x,t)
\]

\[
\leq \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| + \frac{C_1}{\log |\log \varepsilon|} |B_\varepsilon(t) - q_\varepsilon(t)|
\]

\[
+ |\log \varepsilon| \int_{\Sigma_i^c} dx |x - B_\varepsilon(t)| \omega_\varepsilon(x,t).
\]

where we used Eq. (4.1). Therefore, by assuming \( \varepsilon \) so small to have \( 2C_1 \leq |\log |\log \varepsilon|| \),

\[
|B_\varepsilon(t) - q_\varepsilon(t)| \leq 2 \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|
\]

\[
+ 2 \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon| \int_{\Sigma_i^c} dx \omega_\varepsilon(x,t)} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon| \int_{\Sigma_i^c} dx |x - B_\varepsilon(t)|^2 \omega_\varepsilon(x,t)}
\]

\[
\leq 2 \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| + 2 \sqrt{\frac{C_1}{\log |\log \varepsilon|}} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon| J_\varepsilon(t)},
\]

where we applied again Eq. (4.1), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and introduced the moment of inertia with respect to center of vorticity defined as

\[
J_\varepsilon(t) = \int dx |x - B_\varepsilon(t)|^2 \omega_\varepsilon(x,t).
\]

(4.10)

Now, we claim that

\[
J_\varepsilon(t) \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|} \forall t \in [0,T],
\]

(4.11)

from which Eq. (4.9) follows in view of the above estimate on \( |B_\varepsilon(t) - q_\varepsilon(t)| \). To prove the claim, we compute the time derivative of \( J_\varepsilon(t) \), by using Eq. (3.2),

\[
J_\varepsilon(t) = 2 \int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x,t) \cdot (x - B_\varepsilon(t)) \cdot (u(x,t) + F^\varepsilon(x,t) - \dot{B}_\varepsilon(t)),
\]

\[
= 2 \int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x,t) \cdot (x - B_\varepsilon(t)) \cdot (u(x,t) + F^\varepsilon(x,t) - \dot{B}_\varepsilon(t)),
\]

\[
= 2 \int dx \omega_\varepsilon(x,t) \cdot (x - B_\varepsilon(t)) \cdot (u(x,t) + F^\varepsilon(x,t) - \dot{B}_\varepsilon(t)),
\]
so that, in view of Eq. (4.3),
\begin{align*}
\dot{J}_\epsilon(t) &= 2 \int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \left[ u(x,t) - | \log \epsilon| \int dy \omega_\epsilon(y,t) \ u(y,t) \right] \cdot (x - B_\epsilon(t)) \\
&\quad + 2 \int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \left[ F^\epsilon(x,t) - | \log \epsilon| \int dy \omega_\epsilon(y,t) \ F^\epsilon(y,t) \right] \cdot (x - B_\epsilon(t)).
\end{align*}

We consider first the term containing $F^\epsilon$ and note that, by definition of $B_\epsilon(t)$,
\begin{align*}
\int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) (x - B_\epsilon(t)) \cdot \int dy \omega_\epsilon(y,t) \ F^\epsilon(y,t) &= 0, \\
\int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) (x - B_\epsilon(t)) \cdot F^\epsilon(B_\epsilon(t), t) &= 0.
\end{align*}

We thus obtain,
\begin{align*}
2 \int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \left[ F^\epsilon(x,t) - | \log \epsilon| \int dy \omega_\epsilon(y,t) \ F^\epsilon(y,t) \right] \cdot (x - B_\epsilon(t)) \\
&= 2 \int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \left[ F^\epsilon(x,t) - F^\epsilon(B_\epsilon(t), t) \right] \cdot (x - B_\epsilon(t)) \\
&\leq 2 \int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \frac{L}{| \log \epsilon|} |x - B_\epsilon(t)|^2 \leq \frac{2L}{| \log \epsilon|} J_\epsilon(t),
\end{align*}
where, in the last line, we used Eq. (2.11). For the term containing $u$, we have analogously,
\begin{align*}
\int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) (x - B_\epsilon(t)) \cdot \int dy \omega_\epsilon(y,t) \ u(y,t) &= 0.
\end{align*}

Moreover, by the antisymmetry of $K$ and using Eq. (3.9),
\begin{align*}
\int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \tilde{u}(x,t) &= \int dx \int dy \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(y,t) K(x-y) = 0, \quad (4.12)
\end{align*}
so that, as $(x-y) \cdot K(x-y) = 0$,
\begin{align*}
\int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) x \cdot \tilde{u}(x,t) &= \int dx \int dy \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(y,t) x \cdot K(x-y) \\
&= \int dx \int dy \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \omega_\epsilon(y,t) y \cdot K(x-y),
\end{align*}
which implies that also this integral is zero by the antisymmetry of $K$. Therefore,
\begin{align*}
2 \int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \left[ u(x,t) - | \log \epsilon| \int dy \omega_\epsilon(y,t) \ u(y,t) \right] \cdot (x - B_\epsilon(t)) \\
&\leq 2 \int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) \left| \int dy \ L(x,y) \omega_\epsilon(y,t) + \int dy \ R(x,y) \omega_\epsilon(y,t) \right| |x - B_\epsilon(t)| \\
&\leq C \int dx \omega_\epsilon(x,t) |x - B_\epsilon(t)| \leq \frac{C}{| \log \epsilon|^{1/2}} \sqrt{J_\epsilon(t)},
\end{align*}
where we have used Eq. (5.11) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

In conclusion,
\begin{align*}
|\dot{J}_\epsilon(t)| &\leq \frac{2L}{| \log \epsilon|} J_\epsilon(t) + \frac{C}{| \log \epsilon|^{1/2}} \sqrt{J_\epsilon(t)}.
\end{align*}
Recalling that the initial data imply $J_\epsilon(0) \leq 4\epsilon^2$, this differential inequality implies Eq. (4.11). The proof of item (2) of Theorem 2.2 is thus completed.
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