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Abstract

In this article, we define the \( m \)-prismatic site and the \( m \)-q-crystalline site, which is a higher level analogue of the prismatic site and the q-crystalline site respectively. We prove a certain equivalence between the category of crystals on the \( m \)-prismatic site (resp. the \( m \)-q-crystalline site) and that on the prismatic site (resp. the q-crystalline site), which can be regarded as the prismatic (resp. the q-crystalline) analogue of the Frobenius descent due to Berthelot and the Cartier transform due to Ogus-Vologodsky, Oyama and Xu. We also prove the equivalence between the category of crystals on the \( m \)-prismatic site and that on the \((m-1)\)-q-crystalline site.
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Introduction

Let \( p \) be a prime. In [BST19], Bhatt and Scholze defined two new \( p \)-adic cohomology theories generalizing crystalline cohomology, called prismatic cohomology and q-crystalline cohomology. They are defined as the cohomology of corresponding sites called the prismatic site and the q-crystalline site respectively. The notion of prismatic site \( (X/A)_{\Delta} \) is defined for a \( p \)-completely smooth formal scheme \( X \) over \( A/I \), where \((A, I)\) is a bounded prism. Also, the q-crystalline site
(X/D)_{p-crys} is defined for a p-completely smooth formal scheme X over D/I, where (D, I) is a q-PD pair. (The assumptions imply that A, D are endowed with a lift φ of Frobenius on A/pA, D/pD respectively. Also, D is a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[q-1] \)-algebra such that \( D, [p]_q D \), where \( [p]_q = \frac{q^p - 1}{q - 1} \), is a bounded prism and that \( I \subseteq \phi^{-1}([p]_q D) \). Moreover, when \( q = 1 \) in \( D \), the q-PD pair (D, I) is a PD ring.) Among other things, they proved the following comparison theorems:

(1) If (D, I) is a q-PD pair and X is a p-completely smooth formal scheme over D/I, the q-crystalline cohomology of X over D is isomorphic to the prismatic cohomology of its Frobenius pullback \( X' := X \times_{\text{Spf}(D/[p]_q D)} \text{Spf}(D/I, \phi^*) \) over D (Theorem 16.17 of [BS19]).

(2) If (D, I) is a q-PD pair with \( q = 1 \) in D and X is a p-completely smooth formal scheme over D/I, the q-crystalline cohomology of X over D is isomorphic to the crystalline cohomology of X over D (special case of Theorem 16.14 of [BS19]).

On the other hand, for \( m \geq 0 \), Berthelot ([Ber90], see also the works of Le Stum-Quirós [LSQ01] and Miyatani [Miy15]) had defined the notion of crystalline cohomology of level \( m \) as the cohomology of the \( m \)-crystalline site. The notion of \( m \)-crystalline site \( (X/D)_{m-crys} \) is defined for a smooth scheme X over D/I, where (D, J, I) is a p-torsion free p-complete m-PD ring or an m-PD ring in which \( p \) is nilpotent with \( p \in I \), and when \( m = 0 \), it coincides with the crystalline site. He proved the following results which are called Frobenius descent:

(3) The category of crystals on the \( m \)-crystalline site of X over D is equivalent to that on the crystalline site of its \( m \)-times iterated Frobenius pullback \( X' := X \times_{\text{Spec}(D/I), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I) \) over D (Corollaire 2.3.7, Théorème 4.1.3 of [Ber00] in local situation). (See also the equivalence \( \tilde{\sigma}^* \) in Section 4.)

(4) The level \( m \) crystalline cohomology of X over D is isomorphic to the crystalline cohomology of X' over D, where X' is as above (Proposition 5.4 of [Miy15], Proposition 5.5 of [LSQ01]).

The purpose of the present article is to introduce the notion of \( m \)-prismatic site and \( m \)-q-c-crystalline site which are the level \( m \) version of the prismatic site and the q-crystalline site respectively, and prove a prismatic and a q-crystalline version of the equivalence of (3) in Theorems 1.23, 2.16 which are actually compatible with the equivalence in (3) when \( q = 1 \) and \( p \in I \). We also prove the equivalence of categories of crystals corresponding to the higher level version of (1) and (2) in Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 4.19 respectively.

Let us explain the content of each section. In Section 1, for a bounded prism \((A, I)\) and a p-completely smooth and separated formal scheme X over \( A/J \) with \( J := (\phi^m)^{-1}(I) \), we define the m-prismatic site \((X/A)_{m-\Delta} \) (Definition 2.15), and we prove that the category of crystals on the m-prismatic
site \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\) is equivalent to that on the prismatic site \((X'/A)_{\Delta}\), where \(X' := X \times_{\text{Spec}(D/J), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spf}(A/I)\) (Theorem 1.23). We mainly concentrate on the category of crystals with respect to categories of modules with some technical conditions (Definition 1.1) so that our argument works. Our proof of the equivalence is based on the idea developed by Oyama [Oya17] and Xu [Xu19] in their study of Cartier transform in positive characteristic or in the case modulo \(p^n\). Namely, we define a functor \(\rho : (X/A)_{m-\Delta} \to (X'/A)_{\Delta}\) of sites and prove that it induces the equivalence of topoi \((X/A)_{\Delta} \to (X'/A)_{\Delta}\) (Theorem 1.17) and moreover that this equivalence preserves the category of crystals on both hand sides.

In Section 2, for a \(q\)-PD pair \((D, I)\) and a \(p\)-completely smooth and separated formal scheme \(X\) over \(D/J\) with \(J := (\phi^m)^{-1}(I)\), we define the \(m\)-\(q\)-crystalline site \((X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}\) (Definition 2.3), and we prove that the category of crystals on \((X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}\) is equivalent to that on the \(q\)-crystalline site \((X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}\), where \(X' := X \times_{\text{Spf}(D/J), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spf}(D/I)\) (Theorem 2.10). The proofs are done in a parallel way to those in Section 1. We also introduce the category of stratifications which is equivalent to the category of crystals on \((X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}\) (Definition 2.18, Proposition 2.19), which is mainly used in the sections later to prove the equivalences of the categories of crystals.

By the comparison of cohomologies (1) and (4) above, it would be natural to regard the prismatic cohomology as a kind of ‘level \(-1\) \(q\)-crystalline cohomology’, and so it would be natural to compare the \(m\)-prismatic site and \((m-1)\)-\(q\)-crystalline site. Based on this observation, in Section 3, for a \(q\)-PD pair \((D, I)\) with \(J_q := (\phi^{m-1})^{-1}(I)\) and \(J_\Delta := (\phi^m)^{-1}([p]_a D)\) and a \(p\)-completely smooth and separated formal scheme \(X\) over \(D/J_q\), we prove that the category of crystals on the \((m-1)\)-\(q\)-crystalline site \((X/D)_{(m-1)\text{-q-crys}}\) is equivalent to that on the \(m\)-prismatic site \((X'/D)_{m-\Delta}\), where \(X' := X \times_{\text{Spec}(D/J_q), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spf}(D/J_\Delta)\) (Theorem 3.1). The category of stratifications we introduced in Section 2 plays an important role for the proof.

In Section 4, we relate our equivalence in Section 2 with the Frobenius descent (3). First, for a \(p\)-torsion free \(p\)-complete \(m\)-PD ring \((D, J, I)\) with \(p \in I\) and a smooth and separated scheme \(X\) over \(D/I\), we give an alternative site-theoretic proof (a proof based on the idea of Oyama [Oya17] and Xu [Xu19]) of the equivalence in (3), namely, the equivalence between the category of crystals on the \(m\)-crystalline site \((\hat{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\) and that on the crystalline site \((X'/D)_{\text{crys}}\), where \(X' := \hat{X} \times_{\text{Spec}(D/I), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/J)\) (Corollary 4.13). We note that the original definition of \((m-)\)crystalline site is not suitable to perform the site-theoretic argument of Oyama and Xu. Our strategy is to introduce variants \((X/D)_{m\text{-crys,new}}\) (where \(X := \hat{X} \times_{\text{Spec}(D/J)} \text{Spec}(D/J))\), \((X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}\) of the \((m-)\)crystalline site (Definition 4.7) which do not change the category of crystals and for which the site-theoretic argument work. Furthermore, by comparing the category of crystals on these variants and that on the \((m-)\)\(q\)-crystalline site.
in Section 2, we see the compatibility of the equivalence in Section 2 with the Frobenius descent.

In Section 5, we explain relations between our result and results in the works of Xu [Xu19], Gros-Le Stum-Quirós [GLSQ20] and Morrow-Tsuji [MT20]. We will see that our equivalences between the category of crystals on the prismatic site, that on the 1-prismatic site and that on the $q$-crystalline site fit naturally into the equivalence of Cartier transform in the case modulo $p^n$ by Xu, the equivalence between the category of twisted hyper-stratified modules of level $-1$ and that of level $0$ by Gros-Le Stum-Quirós, and a diagram involving the category of crystals on prismatic site, that of generalized representations, that of modules with flat $q$-Higgs field and that of modules with flat $q$-connections appearing in the work of Morrow-Tsuji.

Original motivation of introducing $m$-crystalline cohomology would be to develop a $p$-adic cohomology theory over a ramified base, for example, when the base is a complete discrete valuation ring $V$ of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ in which $p$ is not a uniformizer. However, our definition of $m$-prismatic site and $m$-$q$-crystalline site is not enough for this purpose, because our base have to be a $\delta$-ring and there is no $\delta$-ring structure on the ring $V$ above. We hope to generalize our definition to cover also the case of ramified base in a future.

Finally, the author would like to express his sincere gratitude to his supervisor Atsushi Shiho who patiently answered many questions and had discussions on the constructions of this paper. The author is partly supported by WINGS-FMSP (World-leading Innovative Graduate Study for Frontiers of Mathematical Sciences and Physics) program at the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo.

1 $m$-prismatic site

In this section, we define the $m$-prismatic site which is a higher level analogue of the prismatic site defined in [BS19] and prove an equivalence between the category of crystals on the $m$-prismatic site of a smooth formal scheme $X$ and that on the prismatic site of the pullback of $X$ by the $m$-th iteration $\phi^m$ of the Frobenius lift $\phi$ on the base prism.

To define a suitable category of crystals for which our argument works, first we need to impose some technical condition to our category of modules as follows:

**Definition 1.1** Let $(E, I)$ be a bounded prism.

1. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_E(E, I)$ be the category of $E$-modules $M$ such that, for any map $(E, I) \to (E_0, I_0)$ of bounded prisms and for any faithfully flat map $(E_0, I_0) \to (E'_0, I'_0)$ of bounded prisms, the sequence

   $$0 \to M \otimes_E E_0 \to M \otimes_E E'_0 \to M \otimes_E (E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0)$$

   is exact, where the completion is classical $(p, I_0)$-completion.
2. Let \( \{\mathcal{M}_\Delta(E, I) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Delta(E, I)\}_{(E, I)} \) be the largest family of full subcategories such that, for any \( M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E, I) \), any \( (E, I) \to (E'_0, I'_0) \) of bounded prisms and any faithfully flat map \( (E_0, I_0) \to (E'_0, I'_0) \) of bounded prisms, any descent data \( \epsilon \) on \( M \otimes_E E'_0 \) (an isomorphism

\[
(E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0) \otimes_{E_0} (M \otimes_E E'_0) \cong (M \otimes_E E'_0) \otimes_{E_0} (E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0)
\]

satisfying the cocycle condition on \( E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0 \) descends uniquely to \( M_0 \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E_0, I_0) \).

The above definition allows us to think only of the category \( \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E, I) \) of modules with suitable “sheaf” property and descent property.

We will also need the following variant of Definition \ref{definition:1.1} in which \( E \) does not admit a \( \delta \)-structure and that \( I = (p) \).

**Definition 1.2** Let \( E \) be a \( p \)-torsion free \( p \)-complete ring. Then

1. Let \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(E) \) be the category of \( E \)-modules \( M \) such that, for any map \( E \to E_0 \) of \( p \)-torsion free \( p \)-complete rings and for any \( p \)-completely faithfully flat map \( E_0 \to E'_0 \) of \( p \)-torsion free \( p \)-complete rings, the sequence

\[
0 \to M \otimes_E E_0 \to M \otimes_E E'_0 \to M \otimes_E (E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0)
\]

is exact, where the completion is classical \( p \)-completion.

2. Let \( \{\mathcal{M}(E) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(E)\}_E \) be the largest family of full subcategories such that, for any \( M \in \mathcal{M}(E) \), any \( E \to E'_0 \) of \( p \)-torsion free \( p \)-complete rings and any \( p \)-completely faithfully flat map \( E_0 \to E'_0 \) of \( p \)-torsion free \( p \)-complete rings, any descent data \( \epsilon \) on \( M \otimes_E E'_0 \) (an isomorphism

\[
(E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0) \otimes_{E_0} (M \otimes_E E'_0) \cong (M \otimes_E E'_0) \otimes_{E_0} (E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0)
\]

satisfying the cocycle condition on \( E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'_0 \) descends uniquely to \( M_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E_0) \).

The categories introduced above have the following properties.

**Proposition 1.3**

1. For all \( M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Delta(E, I) \) and all maps \( (E, I) \to (E', I') \) of bounded prisms, \( M \otimes_E E' \) belongs to \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Delta(E', I') \). Similar property holds also for the three other categories \( \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E, I), \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(E) \) and \( \mathcal{M}(E) \).

2. If \( f : M \to M' \) is a morphism in \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Delta(E, I) \), \( (E, I) \to (E', I'E') \) is a faithfully flat map of bounded prisms and \( M \otimes_E E' \to M' \otimes_E E' \) is an isomorphism, then \( f \) is an isomorphism. Similar property holds also for \( \mathcal{M}(E) \).
Proof. The first property follows immediately from the definition. For the second property, we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & M \hat{\otimes}_E E' & \rightarrow & M \hat{\otimes}_E (E' \hat{\otimes}_E E') \\
& & f & \downarrow & f \hat{\otimes} \text{id} & \downarrow & f \hat{\otimes} (\text{id} \hat{\otimes} \text{id}) \\
0 & \rightarrow & M' & \rightarrow & M' \hat{\otimes}_E E' & \rightarrow & M' \hat{\otimes}_E (E' \hat{\otimes}_E E').
\end{array}
\]

By assumption \(f \hat{\otimes} \text{id}\) is an isomorphism and it follows that \(f \hat{\otimes} (\text{id} \hat{\otimes} \text{id})\) is also an isomorphism. Then it is immediate that \(f\) is an isomorphism. □

Remark 1.4 1. If we define \(\mathcal{M}^{fp}(E)\) to be the category of finite projective \(E\)-modules, \(\mathcal{M}^{p^\infty\text{-tors}}(E)\) to be the category:

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\{(p, I)^n\text{-torsion } E\text{-module}\} \quad \text{(when } (E, I)\text{ is a bounded prism)} \\
\{p^n\text{-torsion } E\text{-module}\} \quad \text{(when } E\text{ is a } p\text{-torsion free } p\text{-complete ring)}
\end{array} \right.
\]

and \(\mathcal{M}^{\text{tors}}(E)\) to be \(\bigcup_n \mathcal{M}^{p^n\text{-tors}}(E)\), we can show that

\[
\mathcal{M}^{fp}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\Delta}(E, I), \mathcal{M}(E)
\]

by Proposition A.12 of [AB19] and

\[
\mathcal{M}^{\text{tors}}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\Delta}(E, I), \mathcal{M}(E)
\]

by usual descent property.

2. If \(E\) is a \(p\text{-torsion free } p\text{-complete } \delta\text{-ring}, we have the inclusion \(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(E) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Delta}(E, pE)\) by definition. The same holds for \(\mathcal{M}\) and \(\mathcal{M}_{\Delta}\).

We will often denote \(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Delta}(E, I)\) (resp. \(\mathcal{M}_{\Delta}(E, I)\)) simply by \(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Delta}(E)\) (resp. \(\mathcal{M}_{\Delta}(E)\)).

Now we define the \(m\)-prismatic site, which is a higher level analogue of the prismatic site as well as a prismatic analogue of the level \(m\) crystalline site. We fix a non-negative integer \(m\) and a bounded prism \((A, I)\).

Definition 1.5 Let \(J = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I)\). Let \(X\) be a \(p\text{-adic formal scheme seperated and } p\text{-completely smooth over } A/J\). We define the \(m\)-prismatic site \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\) of \(X\) over \(A\) as follows. Objects are the maps \((A, I) \rightarrow (E, I_E)\) of bounded prisms together with a map \(\text{Spf}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X\) over \(A/J\) satisfying the following condition, where \(J_E = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I_E)\):

\(\text{(*) } \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X\) factors through some open affine \(\text{Spf}(R) \subseteq X\).
We shall often denote such an object by
\[(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X) \in (X/A)_{m-\Delta}\]
or \((E, I_E)\) if no confusion arises. A morphism \((\text{Spf}(E') \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X)\) is a map of formal schemes \(\text{Spf}(E') \rightarrow \text{Spf}(E)\) over \(A\) which is compatible with the morphisms \(\text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \rightarrow X, \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X\). When we denote an object by \((E, I_E)\), we shall write a morphism from \((E', I_{E'})\) to \((E, I_E)\) as \((E, I_E) \rightarrow (E', I_{E'})\), not \((E', I_{E'}) \rightarrow (E, I_E)\). A morphism \((E, I_E) \rightarrow (E', I_{E'})\) in \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\) is a cover if it is a faithfully flat map of prisms, i.e., \(E'\) is \((p, I_E)-\text{completely faithfully flat over } E\).

**Remark 1.6** By Lemma 3.5 of [BS19], for an object \((E, I_E)\) in \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\), \(I_E\) is always equal to \(IE\).

We need to check that \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\) with the topology as defined above is indeed a site. Thanks to Lemma 3.8 of [MT20], we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.7** Let \((E_1, I_{E_1}) \leftarrow (E, I_E) \rightarrow (E_2, I_{E_2})\) be maps in \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\) such that \(f\) is a covering. Let \(E_3 := E_1 \otimes_E E_2\). Then \((E_3, I_{E_3})\) is the object that represents the coproduct \((E_1, I_{E_1}) \downarrow_{(E, I_E)} (E_2, I_{E_2})\) in \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\), and the canonical map \((E_2, I_{E_2}) \rightarrow (E_3, I_{E_3})\) is a covering.

By Lemma 1.7, the covers defined in \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\) is actually a pretopology.

**Remark 1.8**
1. Applying to the case \(m = 0\), we see that the topology in prismatic site as defined above is actually a pretopology. This is the topology defined in Definition 4.1 of [BS19].
2. The condition (*), which we imposed due to technical reason, is not assumed in [BS19]. One can check easily that the topos is unchanged even if we drop the condition (*).

In the situation in Definition 1.5 let \(X'\) be \(\widehat{X} \times_{\text{Spf}(A/J),(\phi^m)} \text{Spf}(A/I)\). Then we have the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spf}(A) & \rightarrow & \text{Spf}(A/J) & \rightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spf}(A) & \rightarrow & \text{Spf}(A/I) & \rightarrow & X'.
\end{array}
\]

We define a natural functor \(\rho\) from the \(m\)-prismatic site of \(X\) over \(A\) to the usual prismatic site of \(X'\) over \(A\). For an object \((\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X)\)
of \((X/A)_{m-\Delta}\), define the object \(\rho(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/I_E) \to X)\) of \((X'/A)_{\Delta}\) by \((\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/I_E) \to X')\) where the right map is defined as follows:

\[
\text{Spf}(E/I_E) \to \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \overset{\text{Spf}(A/I)}{\to} \text{Spf}(A/I) \to X \overset{\text{Spf}(A/I)}{\to} \text{Spf}(A/I) = X'.
\]

Here the first map is the one induced by a map of rings

\[
E/J_E \hat{\otimes}_{A/J, \phi^m} A/I \to E/I_E; \quad e \otimes a \mapsto \phi^m(e)a.
\]

This defines the functor \(\rho : (X/A)_{m-\Delta} \to (X'/A)_{\Delta}\).

Next we want to show that \(\rho\) induces an equivalence of topoi. We follow the proof of Theorem 9.2 of [Xu19] where the author proves the analogous results for the Oyama topos. For this, we prepare following propositions.

**Proposition 1.9** (cf. [Xu19] 9.3 (i)) The functor \(\rho\) is fully faithful.

**Proof.** The functor \(\rho\) is clearly faithful. We prove its fullness. Suppose that \(\alpha : \rho(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X) \to \rho(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \to X)\) is a map in \((X'/A)_{\Delta}\). We consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spf}(E'/I_{E'}) & \xrightarrow{(\phi^m)^*} & \text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
\text{Spf}(E/I_E) & \xrightarrow{(\phi^m)^*} & \text{Spf}(E/J_E)
\end{array}
\]

where the morphism \(\pi\) are the ones induced by \(\alpha\) and the morphisms \((\phi^m)^*\) are the ones induced by \(\phi^m\) on \(E'/E\) and \(E\). To prove the fullness of \(\rho\), it suffices to prove the commutativity of the right triangle. Since the left triangle, the outer square and the two trapezoids are commutative, it suffices to prove the following claim:

**Claim.** Let \(f_i : \text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X(i = 1, 2)\) be morphisms which factor through some affine \(\text{Spf}(R_i) \subseteq X(i = 1, 2)\) respectively such that \(f_1 \circ (\phi^m)^* = f_2 \circ (\phi^m)^* : \text{Spf}(E'/I_{E'}) \to X\). Then \(f_1 = f_2\).

We prove the claim. Let \(\text{Spf}(R_3) = \text{Spf}(R_1) \cap \text{Spf}(R_2)\). (Note that, since \(X\) is separated, the intersection on the right hand side is affine.) Then the map \(f_1 \circ (\phi^m)^* = f_2 \circ (\phi^m)^*\) factors through \(\text{Spf}(R_3)\). Since \((\phi^m)^*\) is a homeomorphism as a map of topological spaces, it implies that both \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) factor through \(\text{Spf}(R_3)\). If we denote the map \(R_3 \to E'/J_{E'}\) corresponding to \(f_i(i = 1, 2)\) by \(f_i^*\), we have the equality of the map of rings \(\phi^m \circ f_1^* = \phi^m \circ f_2^* : R_3 \to E'/I_{E'}\). Then, since the map \(\phi^m : E'/J_{E'} \to E'/I_{E'}\) is injective, we conclude that \(f_1^* = f_2^*\), hence \(f_1 = f_2\). So we have proved the claim and we are done. \(\Box\)
Proposition 1.10 (cf. [Xu19] 9.3 (ii)) The functor $\rho$ is continuous.

Proof. By definition, $\alpha : (E, I_E) \to (E', I_{E'})$ in $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ is a covering if and only if $\rho(\alpha) : \rho(E, I_E) \to \rho(E', I_{E'})$ in $(X'/A)_{\Delta}$ is a covering (in this article, the definition of coverings in the prismatic site comes from the $m = 0$ case in Definition 1.5). Also, if $(E_1, I_{E_1}) \xleftarrow{\alpha} (E, I_E) \xrightarrow{\rho} (E_2, I_{E_2})$ is a diagram in $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ such that $f$ is a covering, then by Lemma 1.7, $\rho(E_1 \cup_{\rho(E)} E_2) \to \rho(E_1) \cup_{\rho(E)} \rho(E_2)$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\rho$ is continuous. \□

Proposition 1.11 (cf. [Xu19] 9.3 (ii)) The functor $\rho$ is cocontinuous.

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha : (\text{Spf}(E') \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E'/I_{E'}) \to X') \to \rho(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_{E}) \to X)$ is a covering in $(X'/A)_{\Delta}$. For $\text{Spf}(E/J_{E}) \to X$, take an affine open formal subscheme $\text{Spf}(R) \subseteq X$ in the condition (*). Then there exists a commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
R' = R & \overset{\phi^m}{\longrightarrow} & A/I \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow \phi^m \\
E/J_{E'} & \overset{\phi^m}{\longrightarrow} & E/I_E \\
\end{array}
$$

where $g$ is defined by the composition of the left triangle. By the commutativity above, we have the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \longrightarrow & E/J_E \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow \phi^m \\
E/J_{E'} & \longrightarrow & E/I_E \\
\end{array}
$$

where the solid arrows are commutative. If we define the dotted arrow to be the composite $\pi \circ f$ of the left triangle, we can make the whole diagram commutative and it defines an object $(\text{Spf}(E') \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X)$ in $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ and a morphism $(\text{Spf}(E') \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X) \to (\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_{E}) \to X)$ in $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$, which we also denote by $\alpha$. We see that $\rho(\alpha) = \alpha$ and by assumption $\alpha$ as a morphism in $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ is also a covering. Hence $\rho$ is cocontinuous. \□

Proposition 1.12 (cf. [Xu19] 9.8 (i)) Let $(E, I_E)$ be an object in $(X'/A)_{\Delta}$. Then there exists an object $(E', I_{E'})$ in $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ and a covering of the form $(E, I_E) \to \rho(E', I_{E'})$.

Proof. Take an open covering $X = \bigcup_i \text{Spf}(R_i)$ of $X$ by finitely many affine open formal subschemes, and let $\text{Spf}(R'_i) = \text{Spf}(R_i) \times_{X'} X'$, $\text{Spf}(E'_i) = \text{Spf}(R'_i) \times_{X'} \text{Spf}(E/I_E)$. Then, by Lemma 1.13 below, there exists uniquely an open affine formal subscheme $\text{Spf}(E_i)$ of $\text{Spf}(E)$ which lifts $\text{Spf}(E'_i)$, namely, $E_i/I_{E_i} E_i = E_i$. By considering the covering $(E, I_E) \to \prod_i (E_i, I_{E_i})$ in $(X'/A)_{\Delta}$, we see that we may
Then we can construct a map $A$ to $R$ whose kernel is the ideal $(J, y_1, \ldots, y_r)$ such that $\overline{y_i}, \ldots, \overline{y_r} \in A/(p, J)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a regular sequence. On the other hand, there is a natural map $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to A^{\wedge}$, where the symbol $\{\}$ denote the adjoining of elements in the theory of $\delta$-rings. From Corollary 1.1.14 below about regular sequence, we see that $\phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r)$ is a $(p, I)$-completely regular sequence relative to $A$.

Then we can construct a map $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to S^{\wedge}$ of the prismatic envelope by Proposition 3.13 of [BS19], where $K$ denotes the ideal $(\phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r), I)$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge}$. From the property of prismatic envelope, for all $i$, $\phi^m(y_i) \in I_S$ and so $y_i \in J_S = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I_S)$. This gives a map $R \to S/J_S$.

Next, let $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to R'$ be the morphism induced by the base change $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to A \hat{\otimes} A, \phi^m$ of the map $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to R$ in the previous paragraph by $\phi^m : A \to A$. Then the kernel of this map is the ideal $(I, y_1', \ldots, y_r')$, where $y_i'$ is the image of $y_i$ by the map $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge}$ sending $\sum_j \alpha_j x_j^2$ to $\sum_j \phi^m(\alpha_j) x_j^2$. (Here we used multi-index notation.) By definition, $\overline{y_1'}, \ldots, \overline{y_r'} \in A/(p, I)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a regular sequence. By Corollary 1.1.15 below, we see that $y_1', \ldots, y_r'$ is a $(p, I)$-completely regular sequence relative to $A$. Then we can construct a map

$$A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to S'$

of the prismatic envelope, where $K'$ denotes the ideal $(y_1', \ldots, y_r', I)$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge}$. So we get the following diagram:

$$
\begin{align*}
A/I & \longrightarrow R' \\
\uparrow & \uparrow \\
A & \longrightarrow A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \longrightarrow A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \longrightarrow S' \overset{\text{def}}{=} ((A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])^{\wedge}(K'))^{\wedge}.
\end{align*}
$$

From the relation between $y_i$ and $y_i'$, there exists a map $(\phi^m)': S' \to S$, where $(\phi^m)'$ is an $A, \delta$-ring map sending $x_i$ to $\phi^m(x_i)$. From Proposition 1.1.10 below, $(\phi^m)'$ is $(p, I)$-completely faithfully flat.

Let $(E, I_E)$ be an object in $(X'/A)_{\Delta}$ as above. Then we have a map $f_1 : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to E/I_E$ by composing $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to R'$ in the above diagram and $R' \to E/I_E$. As $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge}$ is the completion of a polynomial ring, there exists a lifting $f_2 : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to E$ of $f_1$. Since $E$ is a $\delta$-ring, we can extend the map $f_2$ to the map $f_3 : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\wedge} \to E$. As the image
of $K'$ in $E/I_E$ equals to zero and $S'$ is the prismatic envelope with respect to $K'$, we can extend the map $f_3$ to the map $g : S' \to E$. As the base change of the map $(\phi^m)' : S' \to S$ along $g$, we obtain a map $h : E \to E \hat{\otimes}_S S$ which is $(p, I)$-completely faithfully flat by the property of $(\phi^m)'$ shown above.

It remains to show that this covering is actually a morphism of the form 

$$(E, I_E) \to \rho(E \hat{\otimes}_{S'} S, I_{E \hat{\otimes}_{S'} S})$$

in $(X'/A)_\Delta$. It is sufficient to prove the commutativity of the rectangle at the right below of the diagram in which all the other rectangles are all commutative

$$
\begin{array}{c}
A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \ar[r] \ar[d] & S \ar[d] \ar[r] & E \hat{\otimes}_S S \ar[d] \\
A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \ar[r] & R' = R \hat{\otimes}_{A/I} A/I \ar[r] & S/J_S \hat{\otimes}_{A/I} A/I \ar[r] & E \hat{\otimes}_S S/J_{E \hat{\otimes}_{S'} S} A/J_{E \hat{\otimes}_{S'} S} A/I \\
E \ar[r] & E/I_E \ar[r] & \mathcal{I} \ar[r] & E \hat{\otimes}_S S/J_{E \hat{\otimes}_{S'} S} \ar[r] &
\end{array}
$$

where $i_2$ is the map induced by the map to the coproduct in Lemma 1.7. This can be checked by corresponding the elements $x_i$:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
x_i \ar[r] \ar[d] & x_i \ar[r] & 1 \otimes x_i \ar[d] \\
x_i \ar[r] \ar[d] & x_i \ar[r] & 1 \otimes x_i \otimes 1 \\
f(x_i) \ar[r] & f(x_i) \ar[r] & 1 \otimes \phi^m(x_i) = f(x_i) \otimes 1.
\end{array}
$$

So we have the commutativity.

\[\square\]

We prove the claims used in the proof above.

**Lemma 1.13** Let $A$ be an $I$-adically complete ring where $I$ is a finitely generated ideal. Let $J \subseteq A$ be a closed ideal such that the image of $J$ in $A/I$ is a nil ideal, and let $\overline{f} : \overline{U} \to \text{Spf}(A/J)$ be an $I$-completely smooth morphism (resp. an open immersion) with $\overline{U}$ affine. Then there exists uniquely an $I$-completely smooth morphism (resp. an open immersion) $f : U \to \text{Spf}(A)$ which lifts $\overline{f}$. Also, $U$ is affine.

**Proof.** We may replace $A$ by $A/I^n$ and $\text{Spf}$ by $\text{Spec}$ to prove the lemma. Then $J$ is a nil ideal of $A$ and the morphism $\overline{f} : \overline{U} \to \text{Spec}(A/J)$ is a smooth morphism (resp. an open immersion) of schemes. Then, when $\overline{f}$ is a smooth morphism, the
Lemma 1.14 Let define both hand sides send each \( \phi \) and we can check it after we take the derived tensor product with the map 
\[
A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n]} \mathbb{Z} \to B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n, x_1, \ldots, x_r]} \mathbb{Z},
\]
where the maps \( \mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n] \to \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n, x_1, \ldots, x_r] \to \mathbb{Z} \) used to define both hand sides send each \( f_i, x_i \) to 0.

Lemma 1.14 Let \( A, I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \subseteq A \) and \( B \) as above and let \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \in B \) be a sequence of elements satisfying the following:

1. The images \( \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_r} \) of \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) in \( B/IB \) is a regular sequence.

2. \( B/(I, x_1, \ldots, x_r) \) is flat over \( A/I \).

Then \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) is an \( I \)-completely regular sequence relative to \( A \).

Proof. We prove the flatness of the map 
\[
A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n]} \mathbb{Z} \to B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n, x_1, \ldots, x_r]} \mathbb{Z},
\]
and we can check it after we take the derived tensor product with the map 
\[
A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n]} \mathbb{Z} \to A/I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n]} \mathbb{Z} \cong A/I.
\]
The map we obtain is 
\[
A/I \to (A/I \otimes_{A} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n, x_1, \ldots, x_r]} \mathbb{Z} \cong B/IB \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[f_1, \ldots, f_n, x_1, \ldots, x_r]} \mathbb{Z} \cong B/IB \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]} \mathbb{Z},
\]
where the first isomorphism follows from the \( I \)-complete flatness of \( B \) over \( A \).

Since \( \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_r} \) is a regular sequence in \( B/IB \), the complex \( B/IB \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]} \mathbb{Z} \), which is equal to the Koszul complex of \( B/IB \) with respect to \( \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_r} \), is equal to \( B/(I, x_1, \ldots, x_r) \) and since it is assumed to be flat over \( A/I \), we see that the map we obtained is flat. So we are done.

Corollary 1.15 In the notation of the proof of Proposition 1.12, the sequence \( \phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r) \in A\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}^\wedge \) and the sequence \( y_1', \ldots, y_r' \in A\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}^\wedge \) are \( (p, I) \)-completely regular sequences relative to \( A \).
Proof. The claim for the sequence $y'_1, \ldots, y'_r$ is immediate from the previous lemma because $y'_1, \ldots, y'_r \in A/(p, I)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a regular sequence, the ring $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(p, I, y'_1, \ldots, y'_r) = R'/pR'$ is smooth (hence flat) over $A/(p, I)$ and the map $A/(p, I)[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to A/(p, I)\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is flat.

We prove the claim for the sequence $\phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r)$. Recall that $y_1, \ldots, y_r \in A/(p, J)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a regular sequence and $(A/(p, J)[x_1, \ldots, x_n])/(y_1, \ldots, y_r) = R/(p, J)$ is smooth over $A/(p, J)$. If we denote the ideal generated by $x^m (x \in J)$ by $J^{(p^m)}$, we have the inclusion $(p, J^{(p^m)}) \subseteq (p, I)$.

Since $(p, J)$ is a nil ideal in $A/(p, J^{(p^m)})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, by Proposition 1.10 and 1.11, we obtain a morphism between the topoi of the sheaves of sets $A^{2.11}$ of [BS19], the map $\phi^m : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to A/(p, I)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is faithfully flat. Since the map $(p, J^{(p^m)})$ is a regular sequence and that $(A/(p, J^{(p^m)})[x_1, \ldots, x_n])/(\phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r))$ is smooth over $A/(p, J)$, it implies that $\phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r)$ is flat over $A/(p, J)$. So, by the previous lemma, we conclude that the sequence $\phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r) \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a $(p, I)$-completely regular sequence relative to $A$. \hfill \Box

Proposition 1.16 In the notation of the proof of Proposition 1.12 the map $(\phi^m)' : S' \to S$ is $(p, I)$-completely faithfully flat.

Proof. Since the map $(\phi^m)'$ is the $(p, I)$-completed base change of the $A$-$\delta$-ring map

$$(\phi^m)' : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge, \ x_i \mapsto \phi^m(x_i),$$

it suffices to prove that this map is $(p, I)$-completely faithfully flat. By Lemma 2.11 of [BS19], the $A$-$\delta$-ring map

$$(\phi^m)' : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to A[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \ x_i \mapsto \phi^m(x_i)$$

is faithfully flat. Since the map $(\phi^m)'$ is obtained by taking the base change of $(\phi^m)'$ with respect to $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge$ and then taking the derived $(p, I)$-completion, we conclude that $(\phi^m)'$ is $(p, I)$-completely faithfully flat. \hfill \Box

The functor $\rho : (X/A)_{m-\Delta} \to (X'/A)_{\Delta}$ induces a functor between the categories of presheaves of sets

$$\rho^* : (X'/A)_{\Delta} \to (X/A)_{m-\Delta}, \ \mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G} \circ \rho,$$

and it admits a right adjoint

$$\rho_* : (X/A)_{m-\Delta} \to (X'/A)_{\Delta}.$$

By Proposition 1.10 and 1.11 we obtain a morphism between the topoi of the sheaves of sets

$$C : (X'/A)_{\Delta} \to (X/A)_{m-\Delta}, \ C^* = \rho^*, C_* = \rho_*.$$
Theorem 1.17 The morphism $\widetilde{C} : (X/A)_{m-\Delta} \rightarrow (X'/A)_{\Delta}$ is an equivalence of topoi.

Proof. This follows from Propositions 1.9, 1.10, 1.11, and Proposition 4.2.1 of [Oya17] (see also Proposition 9.10 of [Xu19]). □

Next we define the category of crystals with respect to the categories of modules defined in Definition 1.1.

Definition 1.18 Let $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/A)_{m-\Delta})$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_\Delta^p((X/A)_{m-\Delta})$, $\mathcal{C}_\Delta^{tors}((X/A)_{m-\Delta})$) be the category of presheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ such that, for any object $(E, I_E)$ in $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$, $\mathcal{F}(E) \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}(E), \mathcal{M}^{fp}(E), \mathcal{M}^{tors}(E)$) and for any morphism $(E, I_E) \rightarrow (E', I_{E'})$, the induced map $\mathcal{F}(E) \otimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E')$ is an isomorphism of $E'$-modules. This definition means that $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/A)_{m-\Delta})$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_\Delta^p((X/A)_{m-\Delta}), \mathcal{C}_\Delta^{tors}((X/A)_{m-\Delta})$) is the category of crystals with respect to $\mathcal{M}_\Delta$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{fp}, \mathcal{M}^{tors}$).

Remark 1.19 1. Presheaves $\mathcal{F}$ in the above definition is automatically sheaves by definition of the category of modules in Definition 1.1.

2. We can prove that the category $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/A)_{m-\Delta})$ is unchanged even if we drop the condition (*) in Definition 1.5.

We want to prove that the morphism $C$ induces an equivalence of categories of crystals. To do this, we need the following propositions. We follow the proof of Theorem 9.12 of [Xu19].

Proposition 1.20 (cf. [Xu19] 9.5) Let $(E, I_E)$ be an object of $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ and $g : \rho(E, I_E) \rightarrow (E', I_{E'})$ a morphism of $(X'/A)_{\Delta}$. Then there exist an object $(E'', I_{E''})$ of $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ and a morphism $f : (E, I_E) \rightarrow (E'', I_{E''})$ of $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ such that $g = \rho(f)$. If $g$ is a covering, so is $f$.

Proof. This is what we have shown in the proof of Proposition 1.11. □

Proposition 1.21 (cf. [Xu19] 9.8(ii)) Let $g : (E, I_E) \rightarrow (E_1, I_{E_1})$ be a morphism of $(X'/A)_{\Delta}$. Then there exists a morphism $h : (E', I_{E'}) \rightarrow (E'_1, I_{E'_1})$ of $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ and coverings $f : (E, I_E) \rightarrow \rho(E', I_{E'})$, $f_1 : (E_1, I_{E_1}) \rightarrow \rho(E'_1, I_{E'_1})$ such that the following diagram is a pushout diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(E_1, I_{E_1}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \rho(E'_1, I_{E'_1}) \\
\downarrow{g} & & \uparrow{\rho(h)} \\
(E, I_E) & \xrightarrow{f} & \rho(E', I_{E'})
\end{array}
$$
On the other hand, as $C^*$ equality.

The claim that the functor $C^*$ preserves crystals follows easily from the equality

$$C^*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) = \mathcal{F}(\rho(E, I_E)).$$

On the other hand, as $C^* C_*$ is the same, we have

$$C_*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) = C_*(\mathcal{F})(\rho(E, I_E)).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}^\Delta((X/A)_{m-\Delta})$ and $g : (E, I_E) \to (E_1, I_{E_1})$ be a morphism in $(X'/A)_\Delta$. We want to prove that $C_*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) \in M_\Delta(E), C_*(\mathcal{F})(E_1, I_{E_1}) \in M_\Delta(E_1)$ and that the map

$$E_1 \otimes C_*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) \to C_*(\mathcal{F})(E_1, I_{E_1})$$

is an isomorphism. By Proposition 1.20 we have a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(E_1, I_{E_1}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & (E', I_{E'}) \\
\downarrow{g} & & \downarrow{\rho(h)} \\
(E, I_E) & \xrightarrow{f} & \rho(E', I_{E'})
\end{array}
$$

Proof. $f$ is the covering constructed in Proposition 1.12. Let $(E'', I_{E''})$ be the pushout of the diagram $(E_1, I_{E_1}) \xrightarrow{\rho} (E, I_E) \xrightarrow{\rho} (E', I_{E'})$. Applying Proposition 1.20 to the map $\rho(E', I_{E'}) \to (E'', I_{E''})$, we obtain the required diagram.

**Proposition 1.22** (cf. Xu19 9.9) Let $(E', I_{E'})$ be an object of $(X'/A)_\Delta$, $(E, I_E)$ an object of $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ and $(E', I_{E'}) \to \rho(E, I_E)$ a covering. Then there exists an object $(E_1, I_{E_1})$ of $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ and two morphisms $p_1, p_2 : (E, I_E) \to (E_1, I_{E_1})$ such that $\rho(E_1, I_{E_1}) = \rho(E, I_E) \otimes (E', I_{E'})$ and that $\rho(p_1)$ (resp. $\rho(p_2)$) is the map $\rho(E, I_E) \to \rho(E, I_E) \otimes (E', I_{E'})$ to the first (resp. second) component.

**Proof.** Applying Proposition 1.20 to the map $\rho(E, I_E) \to \rho(E, I_E) \otimes (E', I_{E'})$ to the first component, we obtain the morphism $p_1 : (E, I_E) \to (E_1, I_{E_1})$ satisfying the conditions. Existence of $p_2$ follows from the fullness of $\rho$.

**Theorem 1.23** The functors $C_*, C^*$ induce equivalences of categories quasi-inverse to each other

$$\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/A)_{m-\Delta}) \simeq \mathcal{C}_\Delta((X'/A)_{\Delta}).$$

**Proof.** We want to prove that the functors $C_*, C^*$ preserve the property of crystals. The claim that the functor $C^*$ preserves crystals follows easily from the equality

$$C^*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) = \mathcal{F}(\rho(E, I_E)).$$

On the other hand, as $C^* C_* \simeq \text{id}$,

$$\mathcal{F}(E, I_E) = C^* C_*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) = C_*(\mathcal{F})(\rho(E, I_E)).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/A)_{m-\Delta})$ and $g : (E, I_E) \to (E_1, I_{E_1})$ be a morphism in $(X'/A)_\Delta$. We want to prove that $C_*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) \in M_\Delta(E), C_*(\mathcal{F})(E_1, I_{E_1}) \in M_\Delta(E_1)$ and that the map

$$E_1 \otimes C_*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) \to C_*(\mathcal{F})(E_1, I_{E_1})$$

is an isomorphism. By Proposition 1.20 we have a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(E_1, I_{E_1}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & (E', I_{E'}) \\
\downarrow{g} & & \downarrow{\rho(h)} \\
(E, I_E) & \xrightarrow{f} & \rho(E', I_{E'})
\end{array}
$$
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such that $f$ and $f_1$ are coverings. We consider the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E'_1 \otimes E_1 & \longrightarrow & E'_1 \otimes E'_1 \\
& & \downarrow \\
C_*(\cF)(E_1, I_{E_1}) & \longrightarrow & C_*(\cF)(\rho(E'_1, I_{E'_1}))
\end{array}
\]

We want to prove that $C_*(\cF)(E, I_E) \in \cM_{\Delta}(E)$, $C_*(\cF)(E_1, I_{E_1}) \in \cM_{\Delta}(E_1)$ and that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism by checking that the remaining arrows are all isomorphisms. If this holds, then by Proposition 1.3 we see that

\[
E'_1 \otimes C_*(\cF)(E, I_E) \rightarrow C_*(\cF)(\rho(E'_1, I_{E'_1}))
\]

is an isomorphism and so we are done. The isomorphism of right column follows from (1) and the assumption that $\cF$ is a crystal. So it is enough to show that, if $f : (E, I_E) \rightarrow \rho(E'_1, I_{E'_1})$ is a covering, then $C_*(\cF)(E, I_E) \in \cM_{\Delta}(E)$ and that

\[
E'_1 \otimes C_*(\cF)(E, I_E) \rightarrow C_*(\cF)(\rho(E'_1, I_{E'_1}))
\]

is an isomorphism.

In the following, we will denote $(E, I_E), (E', I_{E'})$ simply be $E, E'$ respectively to lighten the notation. Consider the following sequence

\[
E \xrightarrow{f} \rho(E') \longrightarrow \rho(E') \otimes \rho(E') \longrightarrow \rho(E') \otimes \rho(E')
\]

where the arrows except $f$ are the maps into suitable factors. By Proposition 1.22 the above sequence is rewritten as

\[
E \xrightarrow{f} \rho(E') \longrightarrow \rho(E'') \longrightarrow \rho(E''')
\]

for some $E'', E''' \in (X/A)_{m-\Delta}$ and the arrows except $f$ come from morphisms in $(X/A)_{m-\Delta}$. Then the diagram

\[
C_*(\cF)(\rho(E')) \longrightarrow C_*(\cF)(\rho(E'')) \longrightarrow C_*(\cF)(\rho(E'''))
\]

which is equal to

\[
\cF(E') \longrightarrow \cF(E'') \longrightarrow \cF(E''')
\]

defines a descent data on $\cF(E')$ with respect to the map $E \to E'$ of bounded prisms because $\cF$ is a crystal. Hence it descents uniquely to an object $M$ in
\[ \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E). \] In particular, we have the isomorphism \( E' \hat{\otimes} M \cong \mathcal{F}(E') \) and there exists an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(E') \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(E'').
\]

On the other hand, since \( C_*(\mathcal{F}) \) is a sheaf, there exists an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow C_*(\mathcal{F})(E) \longrightarrow C_*(\mathcal{F})(\rho(E')) \longrightarrow C_*(\mathcal{F})(\rho(E'')).
\]

Comparing these two exact sequences, we see that \( C_*(\mathcal{F})(E) \cong M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E) \) and that the map

\[
E' \hat{\otimes} C_*(\mathcal{F})(E, I_E) \to C_*(\mathcal{F})(\rho(E', I_{E'}))
\]

is an isomorphism. So we are done. \( \square \)

We can apply the same proof as Theorem 1.23 to the other categories of crystals.

**Corollary 1.24** \( C_*, C^* \) induce equivalences of categories quasi-inverse to each other

\[
\mathcal{C}((X/A)_{m-\Delta}) \cong \mathcal{C}((X'/A)_{\Delta}),
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}^p((X/A)_{m-\Delta}) \cong \mathcal{C}^p((X'/A)_{\Delta}),
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/A)_{m-\Delta}) \cong \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X'/A)_{\Delta}).
\]

## 2 \textit{m-q}-crystalline site

The purpose of this section is to prove a \( q \)-crystalline version of the theorems in the previous section. Namely, we define the \( m-q \)-crystalline site which is a higher level analogue of the \( q \)-crystalline site defined in Section 16 of [BS19], and prove an equivalence between the category of crystals on the \( m-q \)-crystalline site of a smooth formal scheme \( X \) and that on the \( q \)-crystalline site of the pullback of \( X \) by the \( m \)-th iteration \( \phi^m \) of the Frobenius lift \( \phi \) on the base \( q \)-PD pair.

First, we recall the definition of \( q \)-PD pair we want to consider. Set \( A = \mathbb{Z}_p[[q-1]] \) with \( \delta \)-structure given by \( \delta(q) = 0 \).

**Definition 2.1** A \( q \)-PD pair is given by a derived \((p, [p]_q)\)-complete \( \delta \)-pair \((D, I)\) over \((A, (q-1))\) satisfying the following conditions:

1. For any \( f \in I, \phi(f) - [p]_q \delta(f) \in [p]_q I \).

2. The pair \((D, ([p]_q))\) is a bounded prism over \((A, ([p]_q))\), i.e., \( D \) is \([p]_q\)-torsion free and \( D/([p]_q) \) has bounded \( p^\infty \)-torsion.
3. The ring $D/(q - 1)$ is $p$-torsion free with finite $(p, [p]_q)$-complete Tor-amplitude over $D$.

4. $D/I$ is classically $p$-complete.

Remark 2.2 1. For Definition 2.1, we adopt the one given in Section 7.1 of [Kos20]. The final condition is not required in Definition 16.2 of [BS19], but it makes possible to consider the affine $p$-adic formal scheme $\text{Spf}(D/I)$. In Definition 3.1 of [GLSQ20a], the condition (iii),(iv) in Definition 2.1 and the condition that $D/([p]_q)$ has bounded $p^\infty$-torsion are not imposed, and the condition of derived $(p, [p]_q)$-completeness of $D$ is replaced by the classical $(p, [p]_q)$-completeness. But we can see by condition (ii) in Definition 2.1 that $D$ is classically $(p, [p]_q)$-complete from Lemma 3.7 of [BS19].

2. By definition, we see that for any $x \in I$, $\gamma(x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \phi(x)/[p]_q - \delta(x) \in I$ is well defined.

3. Let $J = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I)$. As $\phi$ is continuous, $J \subseteq D$ is closed in $p$-adic topology. So we see that $D/J$ is also $p$-adically complete.

Next, we define $m$-$q$-crystalline site, which is a higher level analogue of the $q$-crystalline site as well as a $q$-analogue of the level $m$ crystalline site. We fix a non-negative integer $m$ and a $q$-PD pair $(D, I)$.

**Definition 2.3** Let $J = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I)$. Let $X$ be a $p$-adic formal scheme separated and $p$-completely smooth over $D/J$. We define the $m$-$q$-crystalline site $(X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}$ of $X$ over $D$ as follows. Objects are the maps $(D, I) \to (E, I_E)$ of $q$-PD pairs together with a map $\text{Spf}(E/J_E) \to X$ over $D/J$ satisfying the following condition, where $J_E = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I_E)$:

\[ (*) \text{ Spf}(E/J_E) \to X \text{ factors through some open affine Spf}(R) \subseteq X. \]

We shall often denote such an object by

\[ (\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \to X) \in (X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}} \]

or $(E, I_E)$ if no confusion arises. A morphism $(\text{Spf}(E') \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X) \to (\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \to X)$ is a map of formal schemes $\text{Spf}(E') \to \text{Spf}(E)$ over $D$ which is compatible with the morphisms $\text{Spf}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X, \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \to X$.

When we denote an object by $(E, I_E)$, we shall write a morphism from $(E', I_{E'})$ to $(E, I_E)$ as $(E, I_E) \to (E', I_{E'})$, not $(E', I_{E'}) \to (E, I_E)$. A morphism $(E, I_E) \to (E', I_{E'})$ in $(X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}$ is a cover if it is a $(p, [p]_q)$-completely faithfully flat map and

\[ \widehat{I_E E'} = I_{E'}, \]

where the completion is classical $(p, [p]_q)$-completion.
Remark 2.4 Note that the equality (2) does not immediately imply the equality $\widehat{J_E}E'J_{E'}$. We need to check that $(X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}$ with the topology as defined above is indeed a site. Thanks to Lemma 3.8 of [MT20], we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5 Let $(E_1, I_{E_1}) \xleftarrow{f} (E, I_E) \xrightarrow{g} (E_2, I_{E_2})$ be maps in $(X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}$ such that $f$ is a covering. Let $E_3 := E_1 \widehat{\otimes}_E E_2$. Then $(E_3, I_{E_3}\widehat{\otimes}E_3)$ is the object that represents the coproduct $(E_1, I_{E_1}) \sqcup (E_2, I_{E_2})$ in $(X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}$, and the canonical map $(E_2, I_{E_2}) \rightarrow (E_3, I_{E_3})$ is a covering.

By Lemma 2.5, the covers defined in $(X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}$ is actually a pretopology. Note that this topology differs from that in [BS19] and that in [Kos20].

Remark 2.6 Applying to the case $m = 0$, we see that the topology in $q\text{-crystalline site}$ as defined above is actually a pretopology.

In the situation in Definition 2.3 let $X'$ be $X \widehat{\times}_{\Spf(D/J),(\phi^m)^*} \Spf(D/I)$. Then we have the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Spf(D) & \xleftarrow{(\phi^m)^*} & \Spf(D/J) & \xleftarrow{} & X \\
\Spf(D) & \xleftarrow{} & \Spf(D/I) & \xleftarrow{} & X'.
\end{array}
$$

We can define a natural functor $\rho$ from the $m,q\text{-crystalline site}$ of $X$ over $D$ to the usual $q\text{-crystalline site}$ of $X'$ over $D$ as in the case of $m\text{-prismatic site}$. For an object $(\Spf(E) \xleftarrow{\rho} \Spf(E/J_E) \rightarrow X)$ of $(X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}$, define the object $\rho((\Spf(E) \xleftarrow{\rho} \Spf(E/J_E) \rightarrow X))$ of $(X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}$ by $(\Spf(E) \xleftarrow{\rho} \Spf(E/I_E) \rightarrow X')$ where the right map is defined as follows:

$$
\rho((\Spf(E) \xleftarrow{\rho} \Spf(E/J_E) \rightarrow X)) = \widehat{\times}_{\Spf(D/J),(\phi^m)^*} \Spf(D/I) \rightarrow X \widehat{\times}_{\Spf(D/J),(\phi^m)^*} \Spf(D/I) = X'.
$$

Here the first map is the one induced by a map of rings

$$
E/J_E \widehat{\otimes}_{D/J,\phi^m} D/I \rightarrow E/I_E; \quad e \otimes d \mapsto \phi^m(e)d.
$$

This defines the functor $\rho : (X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}} \rightarrow (X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}$.

Next we want to show that $\rho$ induces an equivalence of topoi. As the $m,q\text{-crystalline site}$ is defined in the same way as the $m\text{-prismatic site}$ except some technical conditions, almost all the propositions can be proved in the same way as the case of $m\text{-prismatic site}$ by replacing the ring $A$ with $D$. So we do not repeat the proof. In particular, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 2.7 The functor $\rho$ is fully faithful, continuous and cocontinuous.

We make the proof of the next proposition in detail, as the construction of the ring $S$ is different from that in the $m$-prismatic case.

Proposition 2.8 Let $(E, I_E)$ be an object in $(X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}$. Then there exists an object $(E', I_{E'})$ in $(X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}$ and a covering of the form $(E, I_E) \to \rho(E', I_{E'})$.

Proof. Take an open covering $X = \bigcup_i \text{Spf}(E_i)$ of $X$ by finitely many affine open formal subschemes, and let $\text{Spf}(E'_i) = \text{Spf}(E_i) \times_X X'$, $\text{Spf}(E'_i) = \text{Spf}(E'_i) \times_X \text{Spf}(E/I_E)$.

Then, by Lemma 1.13, there exists uniquely an open affine formal subscheme $\text{Spf}(E_i)$ of $\text{Spf}(E)$ which lifts $\text{Spf}(E_i)$, namely, $E_i/I_E E_i = \hat{E}_i$. By considering the covering $(E, I_E) \to \prod_i (E_i, I_E E_i)$ in $(X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}$, we see that we may replace $(E, I_E)$ by $(E_i, I_E E_i)$ to prove the proposition. Thus we may assume that the structure morphism $\text{Spf}(E/I_E) \to X'$ of the object $(E, I_E)$ in $(X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}$ factors through an open affine formal subscheme $\text{Spf}(R') \subseteq X'$ such that $R'$ is of the form $R \otimes_{D/J, \phi^m} D/I$ for some open affine subscheme $\text{Spf}(R) \subseteq X$.

As the map $D/J \to R$ is smooth, it is topologically finitely generated. So there exists a surjection $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to R$ whose kernel is the ideal $(J, y_1, \ldots, y_r)$ such that $\overline{y_1}, \ldots, \overline{y_r} \in D/(p, J[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ is a regular sequence. By the argument similar to the proof of Corollary 1.15, we see that $\phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r)$ is a $(p, [p]_q)$-completely regular sequence relative to $D$. Then we can construct a map $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to S$ by $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to (D[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r))]^\wedge$ to the $q$-PD envelope with respect to $(I, \phi^m(y_1), \ldots, \phi^m(y_r))$ by Lemma 16.10 of [BS19]. From the property of $q$-PD envelope, for all $i, \phi^m(y_i) \in I_S$ and so $y_i \in J_S = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I_S)$. This gives a map $R \to S/I_S$.

Next, let $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to R'$ be the morphism induced by the base change $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to R \otimes_{D, \phi^m} D$ of the map $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to R$ in the previous paragraph by $\phi^m : D \to D$. Then the kernel of this map is the ideal $(I, y'_1, \ldots, y'_r)$, where $y'_i$ is the image of $y_i$ by the map $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge$ sending $\sum_j x^j$ to $\sum \phi^m(\alpha_j)x^j$. (Here we used multi-index notation.) By definition, $\overline{y'_1}, \ldots, \overline{y'_r} \in D/(p, I)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a regular sequence. By the argument similar to the proof of Corollary 1.15, we see that $y'_1, \ldots, y'_r$ is a $(p, [p]_q)$-completely regular sequence relative to $D$. Then we can construct a map $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to S'$ by $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \phi(y'_1), \ldots, \phi(y'_r)]^\wedge \to \phi(y'_1), \ldots, \phi(y'_r)$ to the $q$-PD envelope with respect to $(I, y'_1, \ldots, y'_r)$. So we get the following
From the relation between $y_i$ and $y'_i$, there exists a map $(\phi'^m)' : S' \to S$, where $(\phi'^m)'$ is a $D$-$\delta$-ring map sending $x_i$ to $\phi^m(x_i)$. From Proposition 2.9 below, $(\phi'^m)'$ is $(p, [p]_q)$-completely faithfully flat.

Let $(E, I_E)$ be an object in $(X'/D)_{q,crys}$. Then we have a map $f_1 : D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to E/I_E$ by composing $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to R'$ in the above diagram and $R' \to E/I_E$. As $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge$ is the completion of a polynomial ring, there exists a lifting $f_2 : D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to E$ of $f_1$. Since $E$ is a $\delta$-ring, we can extend the map $f_2$ to the map $f_3 : D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to E$. As the image of ideal $K \defeq (I, y'_1, \ldots, y'_r)$ in $E/I_E$ equals to zero and $S'$ is the $q$-PD envelope with respect to $K$, we can extend the map $f_3$ to the map $g : S' \to E$. As the base change of the map $(\phi'^m)' : S' \to S$ along $g$, we obtain a map $h : E \to E\hat{\otimes}_S S$ which is $(p, [p]_q)$-completely faithfully flat by the property of $(\phi'^m)'$ shown above.

It remains to show that this covering is actually a morphism of the form

$$(E, I_E) \to \rho(E\hat{\otimes}_S S, I_{E\hat{\otimes}_S S}, S)$$

in $(X'/D)_{q,crys}$. It is sufficient to prove the commutativity of the rectangle at the right below of the diagram, in which all the other rectangles are all commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge & \to & S & \to & E\hat{\otimes}_S S \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge & \to & R' & = & R & D/I \hat{\otimes}_{D/J, \phi^m} D/I & \to & S/J_S & \hat{\otimes}_{D/J, \phi^m} D/I & \to & E\hat{\otimes}_S S/J_{E\hat{\otimes}_S S} & \hat{\otimes}_{D/J, \phi^m} D/I \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E & \to & E/I_E & \to & E\hat{\otimes}_S S/I_{E\hat{\otimes}_S S} \\
\end{array}
\]

where $i_2$ is the map induced by the map to the coproduct in Lemma 2.5. This can be checked by corresponding the elements $x_i$:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_i & \to & x_i & \to & 1 \otimes x_i & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
x_i & \to & x_i & \to & 1 \otimes x_i \otimes 1 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
f(x_i) & \to & f(x_i) & \to & 1 \otimes \phi^m(x_i) = f(x_i) \otimes 1.
\end{array}
\]
So we have the commutativity. □

We prove the claim used in the proof above.

**Proposition 2.9** In the notation of the proof of Proposition 2.8, the map \((\phi^m)' : S' \to S\) is \((p, [p]_q)\)-completely faithfully flat.

**Proof.** Since the map \((\phi^m)'\) is the \((p, [p]_q)\)-completed base change of the \(D\)-\(\delta\)-ring map
\[
(\phi^m)'' : D\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to D\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}, \ x_i \mapsto \phi^m(x_i),
\]
it suffices to prove that this map is \((p, [p]_q)\)-completely faithfully flat. By Lemma 2.11 of [BS19], the \(D\)-\(\delta\)-ring map
\[
(\phi^m)''' : D\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to D\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}, \ x_i \mapsto \phi^m(x_i)
\]
is faithfully flat. Since the map \((\phi^m)''\) is obtained by taking the base change of \(D\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to D\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\) and then taking the derived \((p, [p]_q)\)-completion, we conclude that \((\phi^m)''\) is \((p, [p]_q)\)-completely faithfully flat. □

The functor \(\rho : (X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}} \to (X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}\) induces a functor between the categories of presheaves of sets
\[
\hat{\rho}^* : (\hat{X'/D})_{q\text{-crys}} \to (\hat{X/D})_{m-q\text{-crys}} \quad \mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G} \circ \rho,
\]
and it admits a right adjoint
\[
\hat{\rho}_* : (\hat{X/D})_{m-q\text{-crys}} \to (\hat{X'/D})_{q\text{-crys}}.
\]
By Proposition 2.7, we obtain a morphism between the topoi of the sheaves of sets
\[
C : (\hat{X/D})_{m-q\text{-crys}} \to (\hat{X'/D})_{q\text{-crys}} \quad C^* = \hat{\rho}^*, C_* = \hat{\rho}_*.
\]

**Theorem 2.10** The morphism \(C : (\hat{X/D})_{m-q\text{-crys}} \to (\hat{X'/D})_{q\text{-crys}}\) is an equivalence of topoi.

**Proof.** This follows from Propositions 2.7,2.8 and Proposition 4.2.1 of [Oya17] (see also Proposition 9.10 of [Xu19]). □

Next we define the category of crystals with respect to the categories of modules defined in Definition 1.1.
\textbf{Definition 2.11} Let $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}((X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}})$, $\mathcal{C}^{\text{tor}}((X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}})$, $\mathcal{C}^{\text{pr}}((X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}})$, $\mathcal{C}^{\text{tor}}((X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}})$) be the category of presheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on $(X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}$ such that, for any object $(E, I_E)$ in $(X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}$, $\mathcal{F}(E) \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}(E), \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}(E)$, $\mathcal{M}^{\text{tor}}(E)$) and for any morphism $(E, I_E) \to (E', I_{E'})$, the induced map $\mathcal{F}(E) \otimes_E E' \to \mathcal{F}(E')$ is an isomorphism of $E'$-modules.

\textbf{Remark 2.12} As in Remark \[\text{[1.19]}\] we can prove that presheaves $\mathcal{F}$ in the above definition is automatically sheaves and the category $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}})$ is unchanged even if we drop the condition (*) in Definition \[\text{[2.3]}\].

We can prove that the morphism $C$ induces an equivalence of categories of crystals. Since we can prove the following three propositions, the theorem and the corollary in the same way as the case of $m$-prismatic site, we do not repeat the proof.

\textbf{Proposition 2.13} Let $(E, I_E)$ be an object of $(X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}$ and $g : \rho(E, I_E) \to (E', I_E')$ a morphism of $(X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}$. Then there exist an object $(E'', I_{E''})$ of $(X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}$ and a morphism $f : (E, I_E) \to (E'', I_{E''})$ of $(X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}$ such that $g = \rho(f)$. If $g$ is a covering, so is $f$.

\textbf{Proposition 2.14} Let $g : (E, I_E) \to (E_1, I_{E_1})$ be a morphism of $(X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}$. Then there exists a morphism $h : (E', I_{E'}) \to (E_1', I_{E_1'})$ of $(X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}$ and coverings $f : (E, I_E) \to \rho(E', I_{E'})$ and $f_1 : (E_1, I_{E_1}) \to \rho(E', I_{E'})$ such that the following diagram is a pushout diagram:

\begin{equation*}
\begin{array}{ccc}
(E_1, I_{E_1}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \rho(E', I_{E'}) \\
\downarrow{g} & & \downarrow{\gamma \rho(h)} \\
(E, I_E) & \xrightarrow{f} & \rho(E', I_{E'}). \\
\end{array}
\end{equation*}

\textbf{Proposition 2.15} Let $(E', I_{E'})$ be an object of $(X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}$, $(E, I_E)$ an object of $(X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}$ and $(E', I_{E'}) \to \rho(E, I_E)$ a covering. Then there exists an object $(E_1, I_{E_1})$ of $(X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}$ and two morphisms $p_1, p_2 : (E, I_E) \to (E_1, I_{E_1})$ such that $\rho(E_1, I_{E_1}) = \rho(E, I_E) \otimes_{(E', I_{E'})} \rho(E, I_E)$ and that $\rho(p_1)$ (resp. $\rho(p_2)$) is the map $\rho(E, I_E) \to \rho(E, I_E) \otimes_{(E', I_{E'})} \rho(E, I_E)$ to the first (resp. second) component.

\textbf{Theorem 2.16} $C_+, C^*$ induce equivalences of categories quasi-inverse to each other
\[\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}) \rightleftharpoons \mathcal{C}((X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}})\]

\textbf{Corollary 2.17} $C_+, C^*$ induce equivalences of categories quasi-inverse to each other
\[\mathcal{C}((X/D)_{m-q\text{-crys}}) \rightleftharpoons \mathcal{C}((X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}})\]
Finally, we want to see the relationship between the category of crystals on the \( m-q \)-crystalline site and the category of certain stratifications.

**Definition 2.18** 1. Let \( (D, I) \) be a \( p \)-PD pair, \( J = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I) \) and \( X \) be a \( p \)-adic formal scheme separated and \( p \)-completely smooth over \( D/J \). We define the category \( \mathcal{S} \) as follows. Objects are the maps \( \iota : T \to R \) such that \( T \) is a finite set, \( \text{Spf}(R) \subseteq X \) is an open affine formal subscheme and \( \iota \) is a map satisfying the following condition:

\[
D_i := D[x_i]_{i \in T} \to R \quad \text{is surjective.}
\]

A morphism from \( \iota : T \to R \) to \( \iota' : T' \to R' \) in \( \mathcal{S} \) is a pair \( (f, g) \) of a map of sets \( f : T \to T' \) and a map of \( p \)-complete rings \( g : R \to R' \) such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T & \xrightarrow{f} & R \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\
T' & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & R'.
\end{array}
\]

is commutative and that the map \( g^* : \text{Spf}(R') \to \text{Spf}(R) \) induced by \( g \) is compatible with open immersions \( \text{Spf}(R') \subseteq X, \text{Spf}(R) \subseteq X \).

For objects \( \iota : T \to R \) and \( \iota' : T' \to R' \) in \( \mathcal{S} \), their sum \( \iota \cup \iota' \) is given by the map

\[
T \sqcup T' \to R \widehat{\otimes}_{D/J} R' \to R'',
\]

where the first map is given by \( t \mapsto \iota(t) \otimes 1 (t \in T), t' \mapsto 1 \otimes \iota'(t') (t' \in T') \), \( R'' \) is defined by the equality \( \text{Spf}(R'') = \text{Spf}(R) \cap \text{Spf}(R') \subseteq X \) (it is well-defined as \( X \) is separated) and the second map is the one induced by the open immersions \( \text{Spf}(R'') \subseteq \text{Spf}(R), \text{Spf}(R'') \subseteq \text{Spf}(R') \).

2. For \( \iota : T \to R \in \mathcal{S} \), we define \( S_\iota \) as in the proof of Proposition 2.8: Namely, we define \( S_\iota = D\{x_\iota, \frac{\phi^{m+1}(y_w)}{|p|^q}\}_{t \in T, w \in W} \) to be the \( q \)-PD envelope of \( D\{x_\iota\}_{t \in T} \) with respect to the ideal \( (I, (\phi^m(y_w))_{w \in W}) \), where \( y_w (w \in W) \) are defined so that \( (J, (y_w)_{w \in W}) \) is the kernel of the surjection \( D[x_\iota]_{t \in T} \to R \) and that \( \overline{y_1}, \ldots, \overline{y_r} \in (D/J)[x_\iota]_{t \in T} \) forms a regular sequence. Note that it is independent of the choice of the elements \( y_w (w \in W) \): Indeed, the ideal \( (J, (y_w)_{w \in W}) \) is independent of the choice when regarded as an ideal of \( D\{x_\iota\}_{t \in T} \) and so is the ideal \( (I, (\phi^m(y_w))_{w \in W}) = (\phi^m(J, (y_w)_{w \in W}), I) \). Since the \( q \)-PD envelope depends only on the ideal \( (I, (\phi^m(y_w))_{w \in W}) \) by Lemma 16.10 of [BS19], we obtain the required independence. In particular, we see that the construction of \( S_\iota \) is functorial with respect to \( \iota \in \mathcal{S} \).
3. A stratification with respect to $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{M}_\Delta$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}$) is a pair $((M_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}, (\varphi_{i,i'})_{i \to i'})$, where $M_i \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(S_i)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}(S_i)$) and $\varphi_{i,i'} : S_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i \rightarrow M_i'$ is an isomorphism of $S_i'$-modules satisfying the cocycle condition. We denote the category of stratifications with respect to $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{M}_\Delta$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}$) by $\text{Str}(\mathcal{J})$ (resp. $\text{Str}(\mathcal{J})$).

**Proposition 2.19** There exists an equivalence of the categories $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/D)_{m,q}\text{-crys}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{J})$.

**Proof.** We have the functor $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/D)_{m,q}\text{-crys}) \rightarrow \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{J})$ defined by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto ((\mathcal{F}(S_i))_{i \in \mathcal{J}}, (S_i \otimes_{S_i} \mathcal{F}(S_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_i))_{i \to i'})$.

The functor $\text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/D)_{m,q}\text{-crys})$ can be defined as follows: Given $((M_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}, (\varphi_{i,i'})_{i \to i'}) \in \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{J})$ and $(E, I_E) \in (X/D)_{m,q}\text{-crys}$, choose an affine open $\text{Spf}(R) \subseteq X$ such that $\text{Spf}(E/I_E) \rightarrow X$ factors through $\text{Spf}(R)$, and an object in $\mathcal{J}$ of the form $\phi : T \rightarrow R$. Then we have a map $f_1 : D[x_i]_{i \in T} \rightarrow E/I_E$ by composing $D[x_i]_{i \in T} \rightarrow R$ and $R \rightarrow E/I_E$. As $D[x_i]_{i \in T}$ is the completion of a polynomial ring, there exists a lifting $f_2 : D[x_i]_{i \in T} \rightarrow E$ of $f_1$. Since $E$ is a $\delta$-ring, we can extend the map $f_2$ to the map $f_3 : D[x_i]_{i \in T} \rightarrow E$. Since the image of $y_w(w \in W)$ by $f_3$ belongs to $J_E$, the image of $\phi^m(y_w)(w \in W)$ belongs to $I_E$. As the image of ideal $K := (I, (\phi^m(y_w))_{w \in W})$ in $E/I_E$ equals to zero and $S_i$ is the $q$-PD envelope with respect to $K$, we can extend the map $f_3$ to the morphism $g : S_i \rightarrow E$ of the category $(X/D)_{m,q}\text{-crys}$. So we can define a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{F}(E) := E \otimes_{S_i} M_i \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(E)$.

We must show that the presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is well-defined. If we choose another affine open $\text{Spf}(R')$, $\phi' : T' \rightarrow R'$ which is an object in $\mathcal{J}$ and a morphism $S_i \rightarrow E$, then we have a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S_i & \rightarrow & E \\
\downarrow & & \\
S_i \otimes_{S_i} & \rightarrow & E,
\end{array}
\]

So we have the isomorphism

\[
E \otimes_{S_i} M_i = E \otimes_{S_i} (S_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i,i'}} E \otimes_{S_i} M_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i' \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i,i'}} E \otimes_{S_i} (S_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i) = E \otimes_{S_i} M_i'.
\]
Hence $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is independent of the choices. The map

$$E' \widehat{\otimes}_E \mathcal{F}(E) \to \mathcal{F}(E')$$

is also defined and is an isomorphism. So $\mathcal{F} \in C_{\triangle}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{D}_{m-q\text{-crys}})$ and the functor $\text{Str}_{\triangle}(\mathcal{X}) \to C_{\triangle}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{D}_{m-q\text{-crys}})$ can be defined by

$$((M_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, (\varphi_{i'i}), \iota') \mapsto \mathcal{F}.$$ 

These two functors we constructed are quasi-inverse to each other. □

We can apply the same proof as Proposition 2.19 to $C((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{D}_{m-q\text{-crys}}))$.

**Corollary 2.20** There exists an equivalence of the categories

$$C((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{D}_{m-q\text{-crys}})) \cong \text{Str}(\mathcal{X}).$$

### 3 $m$-prismatic site and $(m-1)$-q-crystalline site

In Theorem 16.17 of [BS19], it is shown that, in a certain setting, the $q$-crystalline cohomology of a smooth formal scheme $X$ is isomorphic to the prismatic cohomology of the pullback of $X$ by the lift $\phi$ of Frobenius on the base. Because the latter is isomorphic to the 1-prismatic cohomology of $X$ by our result, it would be natural to expect that the $m$-prismatic site is naturally related to the $(m-1)$-q-crystalline site. In this section, we prove that the category of crystals on these two sites are equivalent.

Let $(\mathcal{D}, I)$ be a $q$-PD pair, and let $J_q = (\phi^{m-1})^{-1}(I)$ and $J_\Delta = (\phi^m)^{-1}([p]_q \mathcal{D})$. Note that by Corollary 16.8 of [BS19], $I \subseteq \phi^{-1}([p]_q \mathcal{D})$ and in particular, $J_q \subseteq J_\Delta$. Let $X'$ be $X \widehat{\times}_{\text{Spf}(\mathcal{D}/J_q)} \text{Spf}(\mathcal{D}/J_\Delta)$, where the completion is classical $(p, [p]_q)$-completion. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spf}(\mathcal{D}) & \overset{}{\leftarrow} & \text{Spf}(\mathcal{D}/J_q) \leftarrow X \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \square \uparrow \\
\text{Spf}(\mathcal{D}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spf}(\mathcal{D}/J_\Delta) \leftarrow X'.
\end{array}$$

We define a functor $\alpha$ from the $(m-1)$-q-crystalline site of $X$ over $\mathcal{D}$ to the $m$-prismatic site of $X'$ over $\mathcal{D}$. For an object $(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_{E,q}) \rightarrow X)$ of $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{D})_{(m-1)-q\text{-crys}}$, define the object $\alpha(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_{E,q}) \rightarrow X)$ of $(X'/\mathcal{D})_{m-\Delta}$ by $(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(E/J_{E,\Delta}) \rightarrow X')$ where the right map is defined as follows:

$$\text{Spf}(E/J_{E,\Delta}) \to \text{Spf}(E/J_{E,q}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{Spf}(D/J_q)} \text{Spf}(D/J_\Delta) \to X \widehat{\times}_{\text{Spf}(D/J_q)} \text{Spf}(D/J_\Delta) = X'.$$
Here the first map is the one induced by natural surjection on underlying rings. This defines the functor \( \alpha : (X/D)_{(m-1),q}\text{-crys} \rightarrow (X'/D)_{m-\Delta} \). One can check that \( \alpha \) is continuous and thus induces the morphism of topoi

\[
\tilde{\alpha} : \widetilde{(X'/D)}_{m-\Delta} \rightarrow \widetilde{(X/D)}_{(m-1),q}\text{-crys}.
\]

**Theorem 3.1** \( \tilde{\alpha} \) induces an equivalence between the categories of crystals

\[
\tilde{\alpha}_* : \mathcal{C}_p((X'/D)_{m-\Delta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_p((X/D)_{(m-1),q}\text{-crys}).
\]

The same holds true for \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{sp}} \) and \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}} \).

**Proof.** Define

\[
I_{\text{max}} = \phi^{-1}([p]_q D), \quad J_{q,\text{max}} = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I_{\text{max}}) = (\phi^m)^{-1}([p]_q D) = J_{\Delta}.
\]

We claim that the category of crystals \( \mathcal{C}_p((X/D)_{(m-1),q}\text{-crys}) \) is unchanged when \( J_q \) is replaced by \( J_{q,\text{max}} \) and \( X \) is replaced by \( X_{\text{max}} := X \times_{\text{Spf}(D/J_{q,\text{max}})} \mathcal{C} \).

If this is true, then we can replace \( J_q \) by \( J_{q,\text{max}} \) and then \( \alpha \) is clearly the identity. The result follows.

We show the claim above. We can see by the Proposition 2.19 that

\[
\mathcal{C}_p((X/D)_{m,q}\text{-crys}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{S}_X),
\]

where \( \mathcal{S}_X \) is the category \( \mathcal{S} \) constructed in Definition 2.18 with respect to \( X \). It is enough to show that there exists a natural equivalence

\[
\mathcal{C}_p((X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m,q}\text{-crys}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{S}_X).
\]

To do so, first we define a functor \( \mathcal{C}_p((X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m,q}\text{-crys}) \rightarrow \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{S}_X) \).

For an object \( (i : T \rightarrow R) \in \mathcal{S}_X \), put \( R' = R \otimes_{D/J_{q,\text{max}}} D/J_{q,\text{max}} \). Then, if we denote the kernel of the surjection \( D[x_i]_{i \in T} \rightarrow R \) by \( J_{q}(y_{w})_{w \in W} \) as in De nition 2.18, the kernel of the surjection \( D[x_i]_{i \in T} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R' \) is equal to \( (J_{q,\text{max}}(y_{w})_{w \in W}) \). Hence, by definition, \( S_i \) is naturally regarded also as an object of \( (X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m,q}\text{-crys} \). Thus we can define the functor

\[
\mathcal{C}_p((X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m,q}\text{-crys}) \rightarrow \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{S}_X)
\]

by

\[
\mathcal{F} \mapsto ((\mathcal{F}(S_i))_{i \in \mathcal{S}_X}, (S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_X} \mathcal{F}(S_i)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(S_i)), (r \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S_i))), (r \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S_i))).
\]

A functor in the opposite direction \( \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{S}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p((X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m,q}\text{-crys}) \) is defined as follows: Given \( ((M_i)_{i \in \mathcal{S}_X}, (\phi_{i)})_{i \rightarrow i'}) \in \text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{S}_X) \), choose an affine open formal subscheme \( \text{Spf}(R') \subseteq X_{\text{max}} \) such that \( \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X_{\text{max}} \) is in \( (X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m,q}\text{-crys} \), choose an affine open formal subscheme \( \text{Spf}(R') \subseteq X_{\text{max}} \) such that \( \text{Spf}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X_{\text{max}} \) factors through \( \text{Spf}(R') \). Since the map \( X_{\text{max}} \rightarrow X \) is a closed immersion defined by the ideal \( J_{q,\text{max}} \) which is a nil ideal in \( D/J_q \), there exists an open formal subscheme \( U \subseteq X \) which lifts \( \text{Spf}(R') \). Since the morphism \( U \rightarrow \text{Spf}(D/J_q) \) is a \( (p, [p]_q) \)-completely
smooth morphism which lifts the morphism $\text{Spf}(R') \to \text{Spf}(D/J_{q,\text{max}})$, we see by Lemma 1.13 that $U$ is affine. So we write $U = \text{Spf}(R)$ and we have the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{Spf}(R) & \to & X & \to & \text{Spf}(D/J_q) \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\text{Spf}(E/J_E) & \to & \text{Spf}(R') & \to & \text{Spf}(D/J_{q,\text{max}}).
\end{array}
$$

We can take an object in $\mathcal{S}_X$ of the form $\iota : T \to R$. Then we obtain $S_\iota$ which can be regarded as an object in $(X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m-q\text{-crys}}$ and we can construct a morphism $S_\iota \to E$ in $(X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m-q\text{-crys}}$, as in the proof of Proposition 2.19. So we can define a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$
\mathcal{F}(E) := E \otimes_{S_\iota} M_\iota \in M_{\Delta}(E).
$$

We can prove that the presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is well-defined and that it defines an object of $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m-q\text{-crys}})$, in the same way as the proof in Proposition 2.19. So the functor $\text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{S}_X) \to \mathcal{C}_\Delta((X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m-q\text{-crys}})$ is defined by

$$(M_\iota)_{(\iota, \iota') \in \mathcal{S}_X, (\varphi_{\iota'})_{\iota \to \iota'} \mapsto \mathcal{F}.$$}

Also, we see that the functors we constructed are quasi-inverse to each other. Hence $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X_{\text{max}}/(D, I_{\text{max}}))_{m-q\text{-crys}})$ is equivalent to $\text{Str}_\Delta(\mathcal{S}_X)$ and so the proof of the theorem is finished. □

### 4 Relation with Frobenius descent

The equivalences of categories of crystals proved in the previous sections are modeled on the Frobenius descent of Berthelot, which gives an equivalence between the category of crystals on $m$-crystalline site and that on crystalline site. However, Frobenius descent was proved through the description of crystals in terms of stratifications and it did not follow from a certain equivalence of topoi, because the $m$-crystalline site is not defined so that such a site-theoretic proof would work. In this section, first we give an alternative, site-theoretic proof of Frobenius descent under a certain setting, by suitably modifying the definition of $m$-crystalline site without changing the category of crystals and performing the site-theoretic argument in the previous sections to the modified site. Also, using our alternative proof of Frobenius descent, we prove that the $q = 1$ case of the equivalence between the category of crystals on $m$-q-crystalline site and that on $q$-crystalline site proved in Section 2 is compatible with Frobenius descent.

First we recall the definition of the $m$-crystalline site. Note that the site we consider here is the ‘affine’, ‘big’, ‘flat topology’ and possibly ‘$p$-adic base’ version of the site and so it is not exactly the same as the original definition.
Definition 4.1 Let \((D, J, I, \gamma)\) be a \(p\)-torsion free \(p\)-complete \(m\)-PD ring or an \(m\)-PD ring on which \(p\) is nilpotent with \(p \in I\). Let \(X\) be a scheme smooth and separated over \(D/J\). Then the \(m\)-crystalline site \((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\) of \(X\) over \(D\) is defined as follows. Objects are the maps \((D, J, I, \gamma) \to (E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)\) of \(m\)-PD rings together with a map \(\text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X\) over \(D/J\) satisfying the following conditions:

1. There exists some \(n \geq 0\) such that \(p^nE = 0\).
2. \(\text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X\) factors through some open affine \(\text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X\).

We shall often denote such an object by
\[
(\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X) \in (X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}
\]
or \((E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)\) if no confusion arises. A morphism \((\text{Spec}(E') \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X) \to (\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X)\) is a map of schemes \(\text{Spec}(E') \to \text{Spec}(E)\) over \(D\) which is compatible with the morphisms \(\text{Spec}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X, \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X\). When we denote an object by \((E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)\), we shall write a morphism from \((E', J_{E'}, I_{E'}, \gamma_{E'})\) to \((E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)\) as \((E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E) \to (E', J_{E'}, I_{E'}, \gamma_{E'})\), not \((E', J_{E'}, I_{E'}, \gamma_{E'}) \to (E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)\). A map \((E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E) \to (E', J_{E'}, I_{E'}, \gamma_{E'})\) in \((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\) is a cover if it is a \(p\)-completely faithfully flat map and \(J_E = J_E'\), \(I_E = I_E'\).

We define the categories of crystalline objects on \((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\) as follows.

Definition 4.2 1. Let \(\mathcal{C}^{\text{qcoh}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\) be the category of sheaves \(\mathcal{F}\) such that, for any object \((E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)\) in \((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\), \(\mathcal{F}(E)\) is an \(E\)-module and for any morphism \((E', J_{E'}, I_{E'}, \gamma_{E'}) \to (E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)\), the induced map \(\mathcal{F}(E) \otimes E^\wedge \to \mathcal{F}(E')\) is an isomorphism of \(E^\wedge\)-modules.
2. Let \(\mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\) be the category of sheaves defined by
\[
\mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}) = \bigcup_n \mathcal{C}^{n\text{-tors}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}),
\]
where \(\mathcal{C}^{n\text{-tors}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\) is the category of \(p^n\)-torsion objects in \(\mathcal{C}^{\text{qcoh}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\). In particular, \(\mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\) is a full subcategory of \(\mathcal{C}^{\text{qcoh}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\).
3. Let \(\mathcal{C}^{\text{fp}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\) be the full subcategory of \(\mathcal{C}^{\text{qcoh}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\) consisting of objects \(\mathcal{F}\) such that, for all \((E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)\) in \((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\), \(\mathcal{F}(E)\) is a finite projective \(E\)-module.

Remark 4.3 Our definition of \(m\)-crystalline site differs from the original definition at the following points:
1. An object in our definition is ‘affine’ in the sense that the left two schemes appearing in the diagram
\[(\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J) \rightarrow X)\]
are always affine, while in the usual definition, one allows an object of the form
\[(T \leftarrow U \rightarrow X)\]
(with the condition in our definition) such that \(T, U\) not necessarily affine. Also, in our definition, the morphism \(\text{Spec}(E/J) \rightarrow X\) in the diagram above is assumed to factor through some open affine \(\text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X\), but no such condition is required in the usual definition.

2. Our site is ‘big’ in the sense that, in the definition of an object
\[(\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J) \rightarrow X),\]
no condition is imposed on the morphism \(\text{Spec}(E/J) \rightarrow X\), while, in the usual definition, the morphism \(U \rightarrow X\) in the diagram in 1. is assumed to be an open immersion.

3. The topology on our site uses the flat topology on \(\text{Spec}(E)\) while the Zariski topology on \(T\) (in the diagram in 1.) is used in the usual definition.

4. The base ring \(D\) can be a \(p\)-torsion free \(p\)-complete \(m\)-PD ring in our definition, while \(D\) is an \(m\)-PD ring with \(p\) nilpotent in usual definition.

By standard argument, we see that the change 1. does not change the associated topos and the category of crystals \(\mathcal{C}^\text{coh}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\), and the changes in 2., 3. do not change the category of crystals \(\mathcal{C}^\text{coh}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\). (Hence the categories \(\mathcal{C}^{p^n\text{-tors}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\), \(\mathcal{C}^{tor}(X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\) and \(\mathcal{C}^p((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})\) are also unchanged.) As for the change in 4., when \(D\) is a \(p\)-torsion free \(p\)-complete \(m\)-PD ring, there exist equivalences
\[
\mathcal{C}^\text{coh}((X/(D/p^nD))_{m\text{-crys}}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{p^n\text{-tors}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}),
\]
\[
\mathcal{C}^\text{coh}(X/D)_{m\text{-crys}} \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mathcal{C}^{p^n\text{-tors}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}})
\]
\[
\simeq \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mathcal{C}^\text{coh}((X/(D/p^nD))_{m\text{-crys}}).
\]
Thus the category of crystals on \((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\) and that on \((X/(D/p^nD))_{m\text{-crys}}\)'s \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) recover each other.

Next we review the Frobenius descent functor from the \(m\)-crystalline site to the usual crystalline site. Let \((D, I, \gamma)\) be a \(p\)-torsion free \(p\)-complete PD ring or
a PD ring on which \( p \) is nilpotent such that \( p \in I \) and suppose there exists a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Spec}(D/I) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow (\phi^m) \\
X' & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(D/I)
\end{array}
\]

where \( f \) is smooth and separated and \( \phi \) denotes the Frobenius on \( D/I \). Then we have the functor of sites \( \tilde{\sigma} : (\tilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}} \to (X'/D)_{\text{crys}} \) that sends an object \((\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X)\) of \((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\) to an object \((\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/I_E) \to X')\) of \((X'/D)_{\text{crys}}\), where the right map is defined as follows:

\[
\text{Spec}(E/I_E) \xrightarrow{\phi^m} \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \times_{\text{Spec}(D/I),(\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I) \to \tilde{X} \times_{\text{Spec}(D/I),(\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I).
\]

Here, the morphism \( g \) is induced by the map of rings

\[
e/J_E \otimes_{D/I,\phi^m} D/I \to E/I_E; \quad e \otimes d \mapsto \phi^m(e)d.
\]

Note that \((D, I, I, \gamma)\) is an \( m \)-PD ring and so the \( m \)-crystalline site \((\tilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}}\) is well-defined.

**Proposition 4.4** The functor \( \tilde{\sigma} \) is cocontinuous.

**Proof.** This can be shown as the proof of Proposition 1.11. \( \square \)

By Proposition 4.4, we can define the morphism of topoi \((\tilde{\sigma} : (\tilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}} \to (X'/D)_{\text{crys}})\) which we also denote by \( \tilde{\sigma} \).

\( \tilde{\sigma} \) induces the pullback functor of crystals

\[
\tilde{\sigma}^* : \mathcal{C}_{\text{qcoh}}((X'/D)_{\text{crys}}) \to \mathcal{C}_{\text{qcoh}}((\tilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}}),
\]

which we call the Frobenius descent functor. Frobenius descent of Berthelot implies that it is an equivalence: In fact, in the case where \( p \) is nilpotent in \( D \), we see the equivalence by gluing its local version proven in Corollaire 2.3.7 of [Ber00], and the last equivalence of Remark 4.3 implies the equivalence in the case where \( D \) is a \( p \)-torsion free \( p \)-complete ring. (See also Théorème 4.1.3 of [Ber00].) The first purpose of this section is to give an alternative, site-theoretic proof of the equivalence \( \tilde{\sigma}^* \) under a certain setting, which uses techniques similar to the ones in the previous sections. In the following, we will mainly work in the case where \( D \) is a \( p \)-torsion free \( p \)-complete ring.

For our purpose we need to modify suitably the \( m \)-crystalline site. To do so, first we recall the infinitesimal invariance of the category of crystals on \( m \)-crystalline...
site. Let \((D, J, I, \gamma)\) be a \(p\)-torsion free \(p\)-complete \(m\)-PD ring or an \(m\)-PD ring in which \(p\) is nilpotent such that \(p \in I\), \((J_1, I_1, \gamma_1)\) be an \(m\)-PD subideal of \((J, I, \gamma)\) and suppose there exists a diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_1 \xrightarrow{f} \text{Spec}(D/J_1) \\
\downarrow \\
X \xrightarrow{} \text{Spec}(D/J)
\end{array}
\]
where \(f\) is a smooth and separated morphism. Then we have a cocontinuous functor of sites \(\pi : (X_1/(D, J_1, I_1, \gamma_1))_{m\text{-crys}} \to (X/(D, J, I, \gamma))_{m\text{-crys}}\) which is given by
\[
(\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X_1) \mapsto (\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow X \times_{X_1} \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X).
\]
(It is well-defined by the proof of Proposition 2.11 in [Miy15].) Then the following holds, which is sometimes called the infinitesimal invariance of the category of crystals on \(m\)-crystalline site:

**Proposition 4.5** \(\pi^*\) induces equivalence of categories
\[
\mathcal{C}_{\text{qcoh}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}_{\text{qcoh}}((X_1/D)_{m\text{-crys}}).
\]

**Proof.** In the case where \(p\) is nilpotent in \(D\), the proposition follows from Corollary 2.14 of [Miy15]. In the case where \(D\) is a \(p\)-torsion free \(p\)-complete ring, the proposition follows from the previous case by the last equivalence of Remark 4.3. \(\square\)

Next, using the infinitesimal invariance of the category of crystals on \(m\)-crystalline site, we relate the functor \(\tilde{\sigma}\) to a functor which is in a more similar form to the functors studied in the previous two sections.

Now, for \((D, I, \gamma)\) be a \(p\)-torsion free \(p\)-complete PD ring such that \(p \in I\), set
\[
J = \text{Ker}(D \twoheadrightarrow D/I, \phi^m) \twoheadrightarrow D/I.
\]
Then \((D, J, I, \gamma)\) is an \(m\)-PD ring. Suppose there exists a diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\overline{X} \xrightarrow{f} \text{Spec}(D/I) \\
\downarrow \\
X \xrightarrow{} \text{Spec}(D/J) \\
\downarrow \\
X' \xrightarrow{(\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I),
\end{array}
\]
where $f$ is smooth and separated. Then we can construct functors of sites

$$\pi : (\tilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}} \to (X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma} : (\tilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}} \to (X'/D)_{\text{crys}}$$

as above. We can also construct a functor of sites

$$\sigma : (X/D)_{m\text{-crys}} \to (X'/D)_{\text{crys}}$$

that sends an object $(\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \rightarrow X)$ of $(X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}$ to an object $(\text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/I_E) \rightarrow X')$ of $(X'/D)_{\text{crys}}$, where the right map is defined as follows:

$$\text{Spec}(E/I_E) \xrightarrow{g} \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \times_{\text{Spec}(D/J), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I) \rightarrow X \times_{\text{Spec}(D/J), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I).$$

Here, the morphism $g$ is induced by the map of rings

$$E/J_E \boxtimes_{D/I, \phi^m} D/I \to E/I_E; \quad e \otimes d \mapsto \phi^m(e)d.$$ 

Then we can easily check that $\sigma \circ \pi = \tilde{\sigma}$ and the corresponding diagram of pullback functors between the categories of crystals is commutative:

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{qc}}((X'/D)_{\text{crys}}) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \mathcal{C}^{\text{qc}}((X/D)_{m\text{-crys}}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathcal{C}^{\text{qc}}((\tilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}}).$$

So the study of the Frobenius descent functor $\tilde{\sigma}^*$ can be reduced to studying the functor $\sigma$ and this is more similar to our formulation of functor between sites of level $m$ and level 0.

However, it is still difficult to apply the site-theoretic argument in the previous sections directly to the $m$-crystalline site because, for an object $(E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)$, $E$ is killed by a power of $p$ and the data $(J_E, \gamma_E)$ is not determined by the pair $(E, I_E)$. In order to overcome the difficulty, we introduce a variant of the $m$-crystalline site. We begin with some preparations. Fix a non-negative integer $m$.

**Definition 4.6** Let $(D, I, \gamma)$ be a PD ring with $p \in I$. Define the ideal $J_{\text{max}}$ by $J_{\text{max}} = \text{Ker}(D \to D/I \xrightarrow{\phi^m} D/I)$. Then $(J_{\text{max}}, I, \gamma)$ admits an $m$-PD structure, and for all $m$-PD structures of the form $(J, I, \gamma)$, $J \subseteq J_{\text{max}}$. We call an $m$-PD ring $(D, J, I, \gamma)$ satisfying $J = J_{\text{max}}$ a maximal $m$-PD ring.

Note that, for a $p$-torsion free $p$-complete maximal $m$-PD ring $(D, J, I, \gamma)$, the data $(J, \gamma)$ is determined by the pair $(D, I)$: Indeed, $J$ is determined by $I$ and
the PD structure is uniquely determined by $\gamma_n(x) = \frac{e^n}{m}$. 

Next we define a variant of $m$-crystalline site.

**Definition 4.7** Let $(D, J, I, \gamma)$ be a $p$-torsion free $p$-complete maximal $m$-PD ring with $p \in I$. Let $X$ be a scheme smooth and separated over $D/J$. Then the new $m$-crystalline site $(X/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}}$ is defined as follows. Objects are the maps $(D, J, I, \gamma) \to (E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)$ of $p$-torsion free $p$-complete maximal $m$-PD rings together with a map $\text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X$ over $D/J$ satisfying the following condition:

$(\ast)$ Spec$(E/J_E) \to X$ factors through some open affine Spec$(R) \subseteq X$.

We shall often denote such an object by

$$(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X) \in (X/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}}$$

or $(E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)$ if no confusion arises. A morphism $(\text{Spf}(E') \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X) \to (\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X)$ is a map of formal schemes $\text{Spf}(E') \to \text{Spf}(E)$ over $D$ which is compatible with the morphisms $\text{Spec}(E'/J_{E'}) \to X$, $\text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X$. When we denote an object by $(E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)$, we shall write a morphism from $(E', J_{E'}, I_{E'}, \gamma_{E'})$ to $(E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)$ as $(E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E) \to (E', J_{E'}, I_{E'}, \gamma_{E'})$.

A map $(E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E) \to (E', J_{E'}, I_{E'}, \gamma_{E'})$ in $(X/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}}$ is a cover if it is a $p$-completely faithfully flat map and $I_{E'} = I_EE'$ (but we do not assume that $J_{E'} = J_IE'$).

Let $X'$ be $X \times_{\text{Spec}(D/I), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I)$. Then we have the functor of sites

$\sigma_{\text{new}} : (X/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \to (X'/D)_{\text{crys, new}}$ that sends an object $(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X)$ of $(X/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}}$ to an object $(\text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/I_E) \to X')$ of $(X'/D)_{\text{crys, new}}$, where the right map is defined as follows:

$\text{Spec}(E/I_E) \cong \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \times_{\text{Spec}(D/I), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I) \to X \times_{\text{Spec}(D/I), (\phi^m)^*} \text{Spec}(D/I)$.

Here, the morphism $g$ is induced by the map of rings

$$\frac{E}{J_E} \otimes_{D/J, \phi^m} D/I \to \frac{E}{I_E}; \quad e \otimes d \mapsto \phi^m(e)d.$$

**Proposition 4.8** The functor $\sigma_{\text{new}}$ is cocontinuous.

**Proof.** This can be shown as the proof of Proposition [1.11]. □

By Proposition [1.13], the morphism of topoi can also be defined by $\sigma_{\text{new}}$. The following statement follows.
Proposition 4.9  The morphism $\sigma_{\text{new}} : (X/D)_{m-\text{crys,new}} \to (X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}$ is an equivalence of topoi.

Proof. We can prove that the morphism of sites $\sigma_{\text{new}} : (X/D)_{m-\text{crys,new}} \to (X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}$ is fully faithful, continuous and cocontinuous in the same way as the proofs in Section 1. The proposition follows from this fact, Proposition 4.12 below and Proposition 4.2.1 of [Oya17] (see also Proposition 9.10 of [Xu19]). □

Proposition 4.10  Let $(E, I_E)$ be an object in $(X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}$. Then there exists an object $(E', I_{E'})$ in $(X/D)_{m-\text{crys,new}}$ and a covering of the form $(E, I_E) \to \sigma_{\text{new}}(E', I_{E'})$.

Proof. By the argument in the proof of Proposition 4.12 we may assume that the structure morphism $\text{Spec}(E/I_E) \to X'$ factors through some affine open $\text{Spec}(R') \subseteq X'$ such that $R'$ is of the form $R \otimes_{D/I} D/I_1$ for some affine open $\text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X$. By the relation between $R'$ and $R$ we denote the PD ideal of $S$ by $I_S$ and $J_S = \text{Ker}(S \to I_S) \otimes_{D} D/I_S$, we have a map $R \to S/J_S$ and thus $(S, I_S)$ is an object in $(X/D)_{m-\text{crys,new}}$. By definition, $S = D[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \otimes_{(\phi^m)} \otimes_{k \in N} y_k^{p^m}.$

Next, let $\tilde{R}'$ be $D/\otimes_{D/\phi^m} D/pD$. Then the natural surjection $D/pD[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \tilde{R}'$ induces the surjection $D/pD[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \tilde{R}'$ and the natural surjection $\tilde{R} \to R$ induces the surjection $R' \to R'$ via the base change by $D/pD \otimes_{D/\phi^m} D/pD$. Composing these and the surjection $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \otimes_{D/pD[x_1, \ldots, x_n]} R' \to R'$, we define $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \otimes_{D/pD[x_1, \ldots, x_n]} R'$.

By definition, $S' = D[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \otimes_{D/pD[x_1, \ldots, x_n]} \otimes_{k \in N} y_k^{p^m}$.

By the relation between $y_i$ and $y_i'$, there exists a map $(\phi^m)' : S' \to S$ which is a $D$-PD-ring map sending $x_i$ to $x_i^{p^m}$. The map $(\phi^m)'$ is $p$-completely faithfully flat: Indeed, since $S, S'$ are $p$-torsion free, it suffices to check that $S'/pS' \to S/pS$ is faithfully flat, and this map is the base change of the map $D/pD[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to D/pD[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ sending $x_i$ to $x_i^{p^m}$, which is clearly faithfully flat.
Let \((E, I_E)\) be an object of \((X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}\) as above. Then we have a map \(f_1: D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to R' \to E/I_E\) and so there is a lift \(f_2: D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\wedge \to E\) of \(f_1\). By the universality of PD envelope, we can extend the map \(f_2\) to the map \(g: S' \to E\). As the base change of the map \((\phi^m)' : S' \to \text{S}\) along \(g\), we obtain a map \(E \to E\otimes_{S'} S\) which is \(p\)-completely faithfully flat.

Then we can prove that this covering is actually a morphism of the form \((E, I_E) \to \sigma_{\text{new}}(E\otimes_{S'} S, I_{E\otimes_{S'} S})\) in \((X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}\), in the same way as the proof of Proposition 1.12. So the proof of the proposition is finished. □

\[\sigma_{\text{new}}\] also induces the equivalences of categories of crystals:

**Definition 4.11** Let \(\mathcal{C}((X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}})\) (resp. \(\mathcal{C}^\text{tors}((X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}}), \mathcal{C}^\text{fp}((X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}})\)) be the category of presheaves \(\mathcal{F}\) on \((X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}}\) such that for any object \((E, J_E, I_E)\) in \((X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}}\), \(\mathcal{F}(E) \in \mathcal{M}(E)\) (resp. \(\mathcal{M}^\text{tors}(E), \mathcal{M}^\text{fp}(E)\)) and for any morphism \((E, J_E, I_E) \to (E', J_{E'}, I_{E'})\), \(\mathcal{F}(E) \otimes_E E' \to \mathcal{F}(E')\) is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 4.12** \(\sigma_{\text{new}}^*\) induces the equivalences of categories of crystals

\[\mathcal{C}((X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}) \to \mathcal{C}((X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}})\]

The same statement holds true for \(\mathcal{C}^\text{tors}, \mathcal{C}^\text{p-tors} \) and \(\mathcal{C}^\text{fp}$. 

**Proof.** We can prove the theorem in the same way as Theorem 1.23. □

**Corollary 4.13** \(\sigma^*\) induces the equivalence of categories of crystals

\[\mathcal{C}^\text{qcoh}((X'/D)_{\text{crys}}) \to \mathcal{C}^\text{qcoh}((X/D)_{\text{m-crys}})\]

This corollary recovers the Frobenius descent, namely, we obtain a site-theoretic proof of the Frobenius descent in our setting.

**Proof.** For \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(\nu_n : (X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}} \to (X/D)_{\text{m-crys}}\) be the functor of sites which sends an object \((\text{Spf}(E) \leftrightarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X)\) to

\[(\text{Spec}(E/p^n E) \leftrightarrow \text{Spec}((E/p^n E)/(J_E/p^n E)) \to X).\]

We define a functor \((X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}} \to (X'/D)_{\text{crys}}\) similarly, which we denote also by \(\nu_n\). Then one can check that the two functors \(\nu_n\) are continuous. If we denote \(\hat{\nu}_n\) by the morphisms of topoi

\[\widehat{(X'/D)_{\text{crys}}} \to \widehat{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}}},\]

\[\widehat{(X'/D)_{\text{crys}}} \to \widehat{(X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}},\]

then \(\hat{\nu}_{n,*}\)'s induce the functors of the category of crystals.
\[ \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys}}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}}\}), \]
\[ \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X'/D)_{\text{crys}}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}\}). \]

Also we have an equality \( \sigma \circ \nu_n = \nu_n \circ \sigma_{\text{new}} \) as morphisms of sites and so it induces the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X'/D)_{\text{crys}}\})
& \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys}}\})
\\
\downarrow{\tilde{\nu}_{n,*}}
& & \downarrow{\tilde{\nu}_{n,*}}
\\
\mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}\})
& \xrightarrow{\sigma^*_{\text{new}}} & \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}}\}).
\end{array}
\]

(Recall that the functors \( \sigma^*, \sigma^*_{\text{new}} \) are the pullback functors induced by the morphism of topoi \( \sigma, \sigma_{\text{new}} \) which are defined by the cocontinuity of the corresponding morphism of sites.) Because \( \sigma^*_{\text{new}} \) is an equivalence by Theorem 4.12 and \( \tilde{\nu}_{n,*} \)'s are equivalences by Lemma 4.14 below, we conclude that the functor

\[ \sigma^*: \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X'/D)_{\text{crys}}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys}}\}) \]

is an equivalence. By taking the projective limit with respect to \( n \) and using the last equivalence in Remark 4.3, we obtain the required equivalence

\[ \sigma^*: \mathcal{C}qcoh(\{(X'/D)_{\text{crys}}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}qcoh(\{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys}}\}). \]

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 4.14** The functors

\[ \tilde{\nu}_{n,*}: \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys}}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}}\}), \]
\[ \tilde{\nu}_{n,*}: \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X'/D)_{\text{crys}}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}p^n\text{-tors}(\{(X'/D)_{\text{crys,new}}\}), \]

in the proof of Corollary 4.13 are equivalences which are compatible with respect to \( n \).

To prove Lemma 4.14, we need to introduce the notion of stratifications for \( (X/D)_{\text{m-crys,new}} \), as in Section 2.

**Definition 4.15** Let \( (D, I), J, X \) as above.

1. We define the category \( \mathcal{S} \) in the same way as in Definition 2.18. Namely, objects of \( \mathcal{S} \) are the maps \( \iota: T \rightarrow R \) such that \( T \) is a finite set, \( \text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X \) is an open affine subscheme and \( \iota \) is a map of sets such that the induced map \( D[x_i]_{i \in T} \rightarrow R; x_i \mapsto \iota(t) \) is surjective. For the definition of a morphism and the description of the sum \( \iota \sqcup \iota' \) for \( \iota, \iota' \in \mathcal{S} \), see Definition 2.18.
2. For \( t : T \to R \in \mathcal{I} \), we define \( S_t \) as in the proof of Proposition 4.10. Namely, we define \( S_t \) to be the \( p \)-completed PD envelope of \( D[x_t]_{t \in T}^{\wedge} \) with respect to \( (I, (y_w^{p^n})_{w \in W}) \), where \( (J, (y_w)_{w \in W}) \) is the kernel of the surjection \( D[x_t]_{t \in T}^{\wedge} \to R \) such that \( \overline{y_1}, \ldots, \overline{y_r} \in (D/J)[x_t]_{t \in T}^{\wedge} \) forms a regular sequence. Note that it is independent of the choice of the elements \( y_w(w \in W) \) because the ideal \( (I, (y_w^{p^n})_{w \in W}) = (J, (y_w)_{w \in W})^{(p^n)}, I \) is determined independently of the choice. In particular, we see that the construction of \( S_t \) is functorial with respect to \( t \in \mathcal{I} \).

3. A stratification with respect to \( \mathcal{I} \) and \( \mathcal{M} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{M}^{p^n, \text{tors}} \)) is a pair \( ((M_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, (\varphi_{ii'})_{i,i'}) \), where \( M_i \in \mathcal{M}(S_i) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{M}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(S_i) \) and \( \varphi_{ii'} : S_{i'} \otimes_{S_i} M_i \xrightarrow{} M_{i'} \) is an isomorphism of \( S_{i'} \)-modules satisfying the cocycle condition. We denote the category of stratifications with respect to \( \mathcal{I} \) and \( \mathcal{M} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{M}^{p^n, \text{tors}} \)) by \( \text{Str}(\mathcal{I}) \) (resp. \( \text{Str}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(\mathcal{I}) \)).

We can prove the following proposition in the same way as Proposition 2.19.

**Proposition 4.16** There exist equivalences of the categories

\[
\mathscr{C}((X/D)_{m, \text{crys}, \text{new}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Str}(\mathcal{I}),
\]

\[
\mathscr{C}^{p^n, \text{tors}}((X/D)_{m, \text{crys}, \text{new}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Str}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(\mathcal{I}).
\]

Using this, we prove Lemma 4.14.

**Proof of Lemma 4.14** Let \( \mathcal{I}, \text{Str}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(\mathcal{I}) \) be as above, so that the category \( \mathscr{C}^{p^n, \text{tors}}((X/D)_{m, \text{crys}, \text{new}}) \) is equivalent to \( \text{Str}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(\mathcal{I}) \). It suffices to prove that the category \( \mathscr{C}^{p^n, \text{tors}}((X/D)_{m, \text{crys}}) \) is also naturally equivalent to \( \text{Str}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(\mathcal{I}) \).

First we define a functor \( \mathscr{C}^{p^n, \text{tors}}((X/D)_{m, \text{crys}}) \to \text{Str}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(\mathcal{I}) \). For an object \( t : T \to R \in \mathcal{I}, S_t/p^n S_t \) (where \( S_t \) is as in Definition 4.15) is naturally regarded also as an object of \( (X/D)_{m, \text{crys}} \). Thus we can define the required functor by

\[
\mathcal{F} \to ((\mathcal{F}(S_t/p^n S_t))_{t \in \mathcal{I}}, (S_{i'} \otimes_{S_i} \mathcal{F}(S_t/p^n S_t)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(S_t/p^n S_t))_{i \to i'}.
\]

A functor in the opposite direction \( \text{Str}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(\mathcal{I}) \to \mathscr{C}^{p^n, \text{tors}}((X/D)_{m, \text{crys}}) \) is defined as follows: Given \( ((M_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, (\varphi_{ii'})_{i,i'}) \in \text{Str}^{p^n, \text{tors}}(\mathcal{I}) \) and \( \text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X \in (X/D)_{m, \text{crys}} \), choose an affine open subscheme \( \text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X \) such that \( \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X \) factors through \( \text{Spec}(R) \). If we take an object \( t : T \to R \in \mathcal{I} \), we can construct a morphism \( S_t \to E \) as in the proof of Proposition 2.19. So we can define a presheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) by

\[
\mathcal{F}(E) := E \otimes_{S_t} M_t \in \mathcal{M}_E(E).
\]
We can prove that the presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is well-defined and that it defines an object of $\mathcal{C}^{p^n-\text{tors}}((X/D)_{m-\text{crys}})$, in the same way as the proof in Proposition 2.19. So the required functor is defined by

$$((M_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, (\varphi_{i,i'}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}.$$  

Also, we see that the functors we constructed are quasi-inverse to each other. Hence $\mathcal{C}^{p^n-\text{tors}}((X/D)_{m-\text{crys}})$ is equivalent to $\text{Str}^{p^n-\text{tors}}(\mathcal{F})$ and so the proof of the lemma is finished. \qed

Finally, we compare the $m$-$q$-crystalline site and our variant of $m$-crystalline site. Let $(D, I)$ be a $q$-PD pair with $q = 1$ in $D$, i.e., a derived $p$-complete $\delta$-pair over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. For any $f \in I$, $f^p \in pI$.
2. The pair $(D, (p))$ is a bounded prism, i.e., $D$ is $p$-torsion free. In particular, $D$ is classically $p$-complete.
3. $D/I$ is classically $p$-complete.

By Remark 16.3 of [BS19], there exists a canonical PD-structure on $I$.

We suppose moreover that $p \in I$, and let $J = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I)$. Then we have the following:

**Lemma 4.17** With the notation above,

$$J^{(p^m)} + pJ \subseteq I \subseteq J.$$  

Here, $J^{(p^m)}$ denotes the ideal of $D$ generated by $x^{p^m}$ for all elements $x$ of $J$. In particular, $(J, I)$ is an $m$-PD ideal.

**Proof.** Set $J_i = (\phi^i)^{-1}(I)$, then for all $i$, $p \in J_i$. If $x \in J_i$, then we see

$$x^p = \phi(x) - p\delta(x) \in J_{i-1}. $$

So, for $x \in J$, $x^{p^m} \in I$. This implies $J^{(p^m)} + pJ \subseteq I$. On the other hand, for $x \in I$, $\phi^m(x) = p\phi^{m-1}(\frac{\phi(x)}{p}) \in I$. So the statement follows. \qed

Let $X \to \text{Spec}(D/J)$ be a smooth and separated morphism of schemes. We can define a functor $\tau : (X/D)_{m-\text{q-crys}} \to (X/D)_{m-\text{crys,new}}$ by sending $(E, I_E)$ to $(E, J_E, I_E, \gamma_E)$, where $J_E = (\phi^m)^{-1}(I_E)$ and $\gamma_E$ is the canonical PD-structure on $I_E$ by Remark 16.3 of [BS19].

**Proposition 4.18** The functor $\tau$ is continuous.

**Proof.** Noticing that $(p, [p]_q)$-completion is the same as $p$-completion since $q = 1$ in $D$, we can prove the proposition in the same way as Proposition 1.10. \qed
We denote \( \hat{\tau} \) by the morphism of topoi
\[
(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \to (\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-q-crys}}.
\]
Then the following proposition comes true.

**Proposition 4.19** \( \hat{\tau} \) induces an equivalence of categories
\[
\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-q-crys}}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be the categories defined in Definition 2.18 so that the category \( \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys}} \) is equivalent to \( \text{Str}(\mathcal{C}) \). It suffices to prove that the category \( \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \) is also naturally equivalent to \( \text{Str}(\mathcal{C}) \).

First we define a functor \( \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \to \text{Str}(\mathcal{C}) \). For an object \( \iota : T \to R \) in \( \mathcal{C} \), \( S \) as defined in Definition 2.18 is naturally regarded also as an object of \( (\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \). Thus we can define the required functor by

\[
\mathcal{F} \mapsto ((\mathcal{F}(S_\iota))_{\iota \in \mathcal{C}}, (S_\iota, \hat{\otimes}_S, \mathcal{F}(S_\iota) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(S_\iota))_{\iota \to \iota'}). \]

A functor in the opposite direction \( \text{Str}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \) is defined as follows: Given \( (M_\iota)_{\iota \in \mathcal{C}}, (\varphi_{\iota \iota'})_{\iota \to \iota'} \in \text{Str}(\mathcal{C}) \) and \( \text{Spec}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X \in (\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \), choose an affine open subscheme \( \text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X \) such that \( \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X \) factors through \( \text{Spec}(R) \). Take an object \( \iota : T \to R \) in \( \mathcal{C} \). Then we have a map \( f_1 : D(x_\iota)_{\iota \in T} \to R \to E/J_E \). Since \( D(x_\iota)_{\iota \in T} \) is the completion of a polynomial ring by Lemma 2.11 of [BS19], there is a lift \( f_2 : D(x_\iota)_{\iota \in T} \to E \) of \( f_1 \). Then, since \( S_\iota = D(x_\iota, \frac{\phi^{m+1}(y_w)}{|p|^s})_{\iota \in T, w \in W} \) is the p-completed PD envelope of \( D(x_\iota)_{\iota \in T} \) with respect to \( (I, (\phi^m(y_w))_{w \in W}) = (I, (y_w^m)_{w \in W}) \) by Lemma 16.10 of [BS19], we can extend the map \( f_2 \) to the map \( f_3 : S_\iota \to E \). So we can define a presheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) by

\[
\mathcal{F}(E) := E \hat{\otimes}_S M_\iota \in \mathcal{M}(E).
\]

We can prove that the presheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) is well-defined and that it defines an object of \( \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \), in the same way as the proof in Proposition 2.19. So the required functor is defined by

\[
((M_\iota)_{\iota \in \mathcal{C}}, (\varphi_{\iota \iota'})_{\iota \to \iota'}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}.
\]

Also, we see that the functors we constructed are quasi-inverse to each other. Hence \( \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \) is equivalent to \( \text{Str}(\mathcal{C}) \) and so the proof of the proposition is finished.

We have the following commutative diagram:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-q-crys}} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (\widetilde{X}/D)_{m\text{-crys, new}} \\
\downarrow{\varphi} & & \downarrow{\varphi_{\text{new}}} \\
(\widetilde{X}'/D)_{q\text{-crys}} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (\widetilde{X}'/D)_{crys, \text{new}}
\end{array}
\]
On the other hand, we have the inclusion $\mathcal{C}((X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}})$, so the above square induces the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}_\Delta((X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}) \\ \simeq \uparrow \mathcal{C}^* \\
\mathcal{C}((X/D)_{m,q\text{-crys}}) \quad \mathcal{C}((X/D)_{\text{crys,new}}) \\
\uparrow \simeq \\
\mathcal{C}((X'/D)_{q\text{-crys}}) \\
\end{array}
$$

In this sense, the equivalence of categories of crystals between the $m,q$-crystalline site and the usual $q$-crystalline site in Section 2 is compatible with Frobenius descent.

5 Relation with the results of Xu, Gros-Le Stum-Quirós and Morrow-Tsuji

In this section, we explain the relationship of our equivalence in Sections 1, 2 and the results of Xu [Xu19], Gros-Le Stum-Quirós [GLSQ18], [GLSQ20a], [GLSQ20b] and Morrow-Tsuji [MT20].

First, we establish a relationship between our result and the result of Xu. Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p$ and $W$ the Witt ring of $k$. Consider the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Spf}(W) \\
\phi^* \\
\phi^* \\
\text{Spec}(W/pW) = \text{Spec}(k) \\
\text{Spf}(W) \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cc}
\phi & \phi \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
X & X' \\
\end{array} \\
\phi^* \\
\phi^*
$$

where $\phi^*$ is the morphism induced by the lift $\phi : W \to W$ of Frobenius and the morphism $f$ is smooth and separated.

We briefly recall some notations and results in [Xu19]. In [Xu19], Xu defined the category $\mathcal{E}'$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}$) as follows: Objects are the diagrams $(T \leftarrow T \to U)$ (resp. $(T \leftarrow T \to U)$), where $T$ is a flat $p$-adic formal $W$-scheme, $T \to T$ (resp. $T \to T$) is the closed immersion defined by the ideal $pO_T$ (resp. the ideal $\text{Ker}(O_T \to O_T/pO_T \to O_T/pO_T)$ with $\phi$ the Frobenius) and $T \to U$ is an affine morphism over $k$ to an open subscheme $U$ of $X'$ (resp. $X$), and morphisms are defined in obvious way. We endow $\mathcal{E}'$, $\mathcal{E}$ with the topology induced by the fppf covering of $T$ (see 7.13 of [Xu19]) and denote the resulting sites by $\mathcal{E}'_{\text{fppf}}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\text{fppf}}$, respectively. (Xu considers also the topology induced by the Zariski topology on $T$ in 7.9 of [Xu19], but we will not consider it here.)

We define the categories of crystals

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}(\mathcal{E}'_{\text{fppf}}) = \bigcup_n \mathcal{C}^{p^n\text{-tors}}(\mathcal{E}'_{\text{fppf}}), \\
\mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{fppf}}) = \bigcup_n \mathcal{C}^{p^n\text{-tors}}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{fppf}})
$$
by setting $\mathcal{C}^p_{\text{tors}}(E_{\text{ppf}}'), \mathcal{C}^p_{\text{tors}}(E_{\text{ppf}})$ to be the category of $p^n$-torsion quasi-coherent crystals on $E_{\text{ppf}}', E_{\text{ppf}}$ respectively. (The categories $\mathcal{C}^p_{\text{tors}}(E_{\text{ppf}}'), \mathcal{C}^p_{\text{tors}}(E_{\text{ppf}})$ are written as $\mathcal{C}^p_{\text{coh}}(O_{E_{\text{ppf}}}), \mathcal{C}^p_{\text{coh}}(O_{E_{\text{ppf}}})$ respectively in [Xu19].)

In 9.1 of [Xu19], Xu defined a functor $\rho : E \to E'$ by sending $(T \leftarrow T \to U)$ to $(T \leftarrow T \to U')$, where the right map $T \to U'$ is defined as follows:

$$T \to T \times_{\text{Spec}(k), \phi} \text{Spec}(k) \to U \times_{\text{Spec}(k), \phi} \text{Spec}(k) =: U'.$$

Here the first map is defined by the map of sheaves of rings

$$O_T \otimes_{k, \phi} k \to O_T, \ t \otimes a \mapsto \phi(t)a.$$

Then, in Theorem 9.2 of [Xu19], he proved that the functor $\rho$ induces the equivalence of topoi

$$\rho : \mathcal{E}_{\text{ppf}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\text{ppf}}',$$

and in Theorem 9.12 of [Xu19], he proved that the above equivalence induces the equivalence

$$\rho^* : \mathcal{C}^p_{\text{tors}}(E_{\text{ppf}}') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^p_{\text{tors}}(E_{\text{ppf}}).$$

We relate our result in Section 1 with his result. For a pair $(E, pE)$ over $(W, pW)$, define

$$E/pE = E/\ker(E \to E/pE \xrightarrow{\phi} E/pE).$$

(This is the ring-theoretic version of the notation $T$ above.) Note that if $(E, I_E) = (E, pE)$ is a $\delta$-pair over $(W, pW)$, then

$$E/\ker(E \to E/pE \xrightarrow{\phi} E/pE) = E/\ker(E \xrightarrow{\phi} E \to E/pE) = E/J_E,$$

where $J_E$ is the ideal considered in 1-prismatic site, i.e., $J_E = \phi^{-1}(I_E)$. Then we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X/W)_{1-\Delta} & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & E_{\text{ppf}} \\
\downarrow{\rho'} & & \downarrow{\rho} \\
(X'/W)_{\Delta} & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & E'_{\text{ppf}},
\end{array}$$

where $\rho$ is the functor defined by Xu, $\rho'$ is the functor $\rho$ we defined in Section 1 and $\beta_0, \beta_1$ are the functors defined as:

$$\beta_0 : \text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/pE) \to X' \mapsto \text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/pE) \to X),$$

$$\beta_1 : \text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E) \to X \mapsto \text{Spf}(E) \leftarrow \text{Spec}(E/J_E = E/pE) \to X).$$
It is easy to see that the functors $\beta_0, \beta_1$ are continuous and so the functors above induce the commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}^{p^\infty}\text{-tors}((X/W)_{1-\Delta}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{C}^{p^\infty}\text{-tors}(E_{\text{fppf}}) \\
\uparrow_{\beta_1*} & & \uparrow_{\rho^*} \\
\mathcal{C}^{p^\infty}\text{-tors}((X'/W)_{\Delta}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{C}^{p^\infty}\text{-tors}(E'_{\text{fppf}}).
\end{array}
\]

So our equivalence $\rho^*$ is compatible with Xu’s equivalence $\rho^*$.

If $X/k$ admits a lift $\tilde{X}/W$ and a lift of Frobenius to $\tilde{X}$, then we have the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{MIC}(\tilde{X}/W)^{\text{an}} & \xleftarrow{\psi_{\equiv}} & \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/W)_{\text{crys}}) & \xleftarrow{\lambda_{\equiv}} & \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/W)_{1-\Delta}) \\
\uparrow_{\varphi} & & \uparrow_{\beta_{1*}} & & \uparrow_{\rho^*} \\
p\text{-MIC}(\tilde{X}'/W)^{\text{an}} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X'/W)_{\Delta}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}(E'_{\text{fppf}}).
\end{array}
\]

Here, we denote by $\text{MIC}(\tilde{X}/W)$ (resp. $p\text{-MIC}(\tilde{X}'/W)$) the category of $p$-power torsion quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with quasi-nilpotent integrable connection (resp. $p$-connection) relative to $W$. The functor $\lambda$ is obtained by composing the functor $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/W)_{1-\Delta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/W)_{\text{crys}})$ in Theorem 3.1, the inverse of the functor $\tilde{\tau}_*: \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/W)_{\text{crys,new}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/W)_{q\text{-crys}})$ constructed in Proposition 4.19 and the inverse of the functor $\tilde{\nu}_*: \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/W)_{\text{crys}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{\text{tors}}((X/W)_{\text{crys,new}})$ in Lemma 4.14. The functor $\varphi$ is constructed in Proposition 2.5 of [Shi12] and is an equivalence. By composing the functors that are equivalences, we obtain an equivalence $\varpi$ from the category of crystals on the prismatic site to the category of modules with integrable $p$-connection. (Also, we have learned from Shiho that the equivalence $\varpi$ has been obtained in more general situation by Ogus.)

Next, we consider the relationship between the functors we constructed and the twisted Simpson correspondence by Gros-Le Stum-Quirós. Let $(D, I)$ be a $q$-PD pair with $I = \phi^{-1}([p]_q D)$ and suppose we are given the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{D}[x] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
D & \xrightarrow{\square} & A
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{D}[x] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
D & \xrightarrow{\square} & A
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{D}[x] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
D & \xrightarrow{\square} & A
\end{array}
\]
such that $f$ is a $(p, I)$-completely étale morphism. We regard $\hat{D}[x]$ as a $\delta$-ring such that $x$ is a rank one element, i.e., $\delta(x) = 0$, and extend this $\delta$-ring structure to $A$ by using Lemma 2.18 of [BS19]. Set

$$\hat{A} = A \otimes_D D/I,$$

$$\hat{A}' = A' \otimes_D D/[p]qD.$$ 

Then, by Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 6.9 of [GLSQ20b], we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}_\Delta((\hat{A}/D)_{1-\Delta}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{C}_\Delta((\hat{A}/D)_{q-\text{crys}}) \\
\cong & \rho^* & \cong \\
\mathcal{C}_\Delta((\hat{A}/D)_{\Delta}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{C}_\Delta((\hat{A}'/D)_{(1)})
\end{array}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_*$ and $\rho^*$ are the functors we constructed, $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((\hat{A}/D)_{q-\text{crys}})$ is the category of twisted hyper-stratified modules of level 0 on $A$ defined in Definition 3.9 in [GLSQ20b], and $\rho^*$ are the functors which appear in Proposition 6.9 of [GLSQ20b] and $F^*$ is an equivalence of Theorem 4.8 in [GLSQ20b]. (Similarly, it is not clear which category of modules they used in their definition of $\mathcal{C}_\Delta((\hat{A}/D)_{q-\text{crys}})$.) So we see that our equivalence of functors fits into the diagram in Proposition 6.9 of [GLSQ20b]. In particular, our argument gives a direct proof of the equivalence $\hat{\alpha}_* \circ \rho^*$, which they plan to prove indirectly in a forthcoming paper by showing the equivalence of functors $G, H$. (See Remark 2 after Proposition 6.9 in [GLSQ20b].) Also, our proof of the equivalence $\hat{\alpha}_* \circ \rho^*$, which is in the style of [Oya17], [Xu19], partially answers ‘the hope’ in Remark 3 after Proposition 6.9 of [GLSQ20b].

Finally, we explain a relationship of our result with a result of Morrow-Tsuji in [MT20]. To do so, we briefly recall some of the notations there. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a ring of integers of a characteristic 0 perfectoid field containing all $p$-power roots of unity, and let $A\inf := W(\mathcal{O}^p), \epsilon := (1, \zeta_p, \zeta_p^2, \ldots) \in \mathcal{O}^p, \mu := [\epsilon] - 1, \xi := \frac{\mu}{\phi^{-1}(\mu)}, \hat{\xi} := \phi(\xi)$, where $\phi$ is the Frobenius on $A\inf$. (In [MT20], $\phi$ is denoted by $\varphi$.) Then the pair $(A\inf, (\xi))$ is a bounded prism.

Let $R$ be a $p$-completely smooth $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with a $p$-completely étale map $\mathcal{O}(T_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, T_d^{\pm 1}) \to R$ called a framing. Using the framing, the ring $R\inf$ with $\text{Gal}(R\inf/R) := \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ and the rings $A\square := A\inf(R), A_\square := A\inf(R\inf)$ are defined. For an $A\inf$-algebra $B$, we put $B^{(1)} := A\inf \otimes_{\phi, A\inf} B$ and denote the
relative Frobenius \( B^{(1)} \to B \) by \( F \). (We use the same notation for an \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra because an \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra is regarded naturally as an \( \mathcal{A}_{inf} \)-algebra.)

Let \( \text{Rep}_T^\mu(A^{(1)}) \) (resp. \( \text{Rep}_T^\mu(A^{(1)(1)}) \)) be the category of generalized representations of \( \Gamma \) over \( A^{\square} \) (resp. \( A^{(1)(1)} \)) which is trivial modulo \( \mu \), and let \( \text{qMIC}(A^{(1)}) \) (resp. \( \text{qHIG}(A^{(1)(1)}) \)) be the category of finite projective \( A^{\square} \)-modules (resp. \( A^{(1)(1)} \)-modules) with flat \( q \)-connection (resp. flat \( q \)-Higgs field). We also define \( \text{qMIC}(A^{\square})_{\text{qnilp}} \) (resp. \( \text{qHIG}(A^{(1)(1)})_{\text{qnilp}} \)) to be the full subcategory of \( \text{qMIC}(A^{\square}) \) (resp. \( \text{qHIG}(A^{(1)(1)}) \)) consisting of \((p, \mu)\)-adically quasi-nilpotent objects. (This notation is not introduced in [MT20].)

Then, in Section 3 in [MT20], they constructed the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}^{\text{fp}}\left((\text{Spf}(R^{(1)})/A_{\text{inf}})_{\Delta}\right) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{A^{\square}(1)}} \text{Rep}_T^\mu(A^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{qHIG}(A^{(1)(1)}) \\
\text{ev}_{A^{(1)(1)}} & \downarrow \simeq \downarrow \quad \cdot \otimes_{A^{(1)(1)},F} A^{\square} \\
\mathcal{C}^{\text{fp}}\left((\text{Spf}(R)/A_{\text{inf}})_{1-\Delta}\right) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_A} \text{Rep}_T^\mu(A^{\square}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{qMIC}(A^{\square}),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \text{ev}_{A^{(1)(1)}} \) is the functor of evaluation at \( (\text{Spf}(A^{(1)(1)}) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(R^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Spf}(R^{(1)})) \) and \( \text{ev}_A \) is the composite of the functors \( \text{ev}_{A^{(1)(1)}} \) and \( - \otimes_{A^{(1)(1)},F} A^{\square} \). Also, the arrows with \( \simeq \) are equivalences.

By our result in Section 1, we see that the above diagram can be extended as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}^{\text{fp}}\left((\text{Spf}(R^{(1)})/A_{\text{inf}})_{\Delta}\right) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{A^{\square}(1)}} \text{Rep}_T^\mu(A^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{qHIG}(A^{(1)(1)}) \\
\text{ev}_{A^{(1)(1)}} & \downarrow \simeq \downarrow \quad \cdot \otimes_{A^{(1)(1)},F} A^{\square} \\
\mathcal{C}^{\text{fp}}\left((\text{Spf}(R)/A_{\text{inf}})_{1-\Delta}\right) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_A} \text{Rep}_T^\mu(A^{\square}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{qMIC}(A^{\square}),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \text{ev}_{A^{\square}} \) is the functor of evaluation at \( (\text{Spf}(A^{\square}) \leftarrow \text{Spf}(R) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Spf}(R)) \).

In Theorem 3.2 in [MT20], they proved that the functors \( \text{ev}_{A^{\square}(1)} \), \( \text{ev}_{A^{(1)(1)}} \) are fully faithful and they induce equivalences

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}^{\text{fp}}\left((\text{Spf}(R^{(1)})/A_{\text{inf}})_{\Delta}\right) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{qHIG}(A^{(1)(1)})_{\text{qnilp}}, \\
\mathcal{C}^{\text{fp}}\left((\text{Spf}(R^{(1)})/A_{\text{inf}})_{\Delta}\right) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{qMIC}(A^{\square})_{\text{qnilp}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus we see that the functor \( \text{ev}_{A^{\square}} \) is also fully faithful and it induces an equivalence

\[
\mathcal{C}^{\text{fp}}\left((\text{Spf}(R)/A_{\text{inf}})_{1-\Delta}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{qMIC}(A^{\square})_{\text{qnilp}}.
\]

**References**


Bibliography:


