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Abstract

In this paper we study the reduced and unreduced $L^2$-cohomology groups of manifolds of bounded geometry and their behavior under uniformly proper lipschitz maps. A uniformly proper map is a map such that the diameter of the preimage of a subset only depends on the diameter of the subset. In general, the pullback map along a uniformly proper lipschitz map doesn’t induce a morphism in, reduced or not, $L^2$-cohomology. Then our goal is to introduce two contravariant functors between the category of manifolds of bounded geometry and uniformly proper lipschitz maps and the category Vec of complex vector spaces and linear maps. As consequence we obtain that the, reduced or not, $L^2$-cohomology is a lipschitz-homotopy invariant. Moreover these functors coincide with the pullback, when the pullback does induce a map between the (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomologies.

Introduction

This is the first of two papers about lipschitz-homotopy invariants. In the first one we study $L^2$-cohomology, in the second one we concentrate on the Roe Index of the signature operator. As reported in the abstract, our goal in this paper is to define a pullback functor, since pullback doesn’t induce an operator between $L^2$-spaces nor a morphism in $L^2$-cohomology or in reduced $L^2$-cohomology. To do this end we define, for each uniformly proper lipschitz map $f : M \to N$ between manifolds of bounded geometry, an $L^2$-bounded operator $T_f : L^2(N) \to L^2(M)$ which induce morphisms in (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomology.

If there is an action of a group of isometries $\Gamma$ on $N$ and on $M$ such that the quotients are manifolds of bounded geometry and if $f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant, then also $T_f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant respect to the action of $\Gamma$ induced on the $L^2$-spaces. This property of $T_f$ will be useful in the second paper.

The $L^2$-cohomology of a complete Riemannian manifold $(M,g)$ is defined as

$$H^i_2(M,g) := \frac{\ker(d_i)}{im(d_{i-1})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
where $d_l$ is the closure in $L^2(M)$ of the exterior derivative defined on compactly supported smooth $l$-forms. Moreover one can also define the reduced $L^2$-cohomology as

$$\overline{H}_l^2(M, g) := \frac{\ker(d_l)}{\text{im}(d_{l-1})}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

If $M$ is a compact manifold we have that both, reduced or not, $L^2$-cohomologies coincide with the de Rham Cohomology and so, in particular, they don’t depend on the choice of the metric $g$ in $M$. It’s a well-known fact, indeed, that if two metrics $g$ and $h$ on $M$ are quasi-isometric, i.e. there are two constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ such that

$$C_1 h \leq g \leq C_2 h,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

then the (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomologies coincides. It’s easy to check that if $M$ is a compact manifold, then every couple of Riemannian metrics $g$ and $h$ are quasi-isometric.

Differently from the case of de Rham cohomology, the pullback along a differential map $f$ between Riemannian manifolds, in general, doesn’t induce a morphism between the $L^2$-cohomology groups. Then our goal is to define an operator $T_f$ between the $L^2$-spaces related to a uniformly proper, lipschitz map between manifolds of bounded geometry such that it induces a morphism in $L^2$-cohomology. In particular, we will show that the functorial properties hold and that if $f^*$ induces a map in $L^2$-cohomology, then

$$f^*\lbrack\alpha\rbrack = [T_f \alpha]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

for each close square-integrable differential form.

Let us suppose, moreover, that there is a group $\Gamma$ of isometries that acts on the manifolds such that the quotients are manifolds of bounded geometry. Then, if $f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant, also $T_f$ will be $\Gamma$-equivariant and the same will hold for the morphism in $L^2$-cohomology induced by $T_f$.

The idea of $T_f$ comes from the operator $T_f$ defined by Hilsum and Skandalis in [7] to build a perturbation for the signature operator which makes it invertible. Our operator, indeed, it’s very similar to their one and basically it is an adaptation to the bounded geometry case.

As a consequence of this fact, it follows that the $L^2$-cohomology is a lipschitz-homotopy invariant for manifolds of bounded geometry, i.e. if a map $f$ is a homotopy equivalence such that

- $f$ is lipschitz,
- its inverse $g$ is lipschitz and
- the homotopies between $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ with their respective identities are lipschitz,
then $T_f$ induces an isomorphism between the $L^2$-cohomologies. This result is already claimed by J.Lott in [8], but there is currently no a detailed proof.

Let us describe briefly the content of this article: we proceed section by section.

**Maps between manifolds of bounded geometry**

The objects of our study are manifolds of bounded geometry, which are manifolds with strictly positive injectivity radius and some uniform bounds on the covariant derivatives of the curvature. Some examples of manifolds of bounded geometry can be compact manifolds, coverings of manifolds of bounded geometry (so, for example, the euclidean space and the hyperbolic space) and products of manifolds of bounded geometry. Moreover Greene, in [5], proved that every differential manifold admits a metric of bounded geometry. The main results that we will use about manifolds of bounded geometry can be found in [2] and in [10].

On the other hand, the maps that we consider are the uniformly proper lipschitz maps between metric space, which are lipschitz maps such that the diameter of the preimage of a subset $A$ is controlled by the diameter of $A$ itself. We show, in particular, that every lipschitz-homotopy equivalence is an uniformly proper map.

We conclude the section by introducing a particular family of actions of a group $\Gamma$ on a metric space. We call these uniformly proper, discontinuous and free actions (or u.p.d.f. actions). We will see that if the metric space is a manifold of bounded geometry, then we have an u.p.d.f. action if and only if the quotient is a manifold of bounded geometry.

**$L^2$-cohomology and pull-back**

In this section we introduce the notions of $L^2$-cohomology and reduced $L^2$-cohomology. The pullback along a map $f$, in general, doesn’t induce a map in (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomology, even if it is a lipschitz-homotopy equivalence. An example is $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ defined as $\phi(x, y) = (x, 0)$ if $y \in [-1, 1]$ and $\phi(x, y) = (x, y - \text{sign}(y)1)$ otherwise.

Then, given a map $f : (M, g) \to (N, h)$, we define the Fiber Volume of $f$ as the Radon-Nicodym derivative

$$\text{Vol}_f := \frac{f_* \mu_M}{\mu_N}, \quad (5)$$

where $\mu_M$ and $\mu_N$ are the measures on $M$ and $N$ induced by their metrics. We will call R.-N.-lipschitz map a lipschitz map $f$ which has bounded Fiber Volume. Then we show that the pullback along a R.-N.-lipschitz map induces an $L^2$-bounded operator. In particular, we also show that R.-N.-lipschitz map are exactly the v.h.-maps defined by Thomas Schick in his Ph.D. Thesis.

We conclude the section by showing that the Fiber Volume of a submersion can be expressed as the integration along the fibers of some particular differential forms. In particular we choose the name Fiber Volume because in the case of a Riemannian
submersion one can easily check that $Vol_f$ in a point $q$ in $N$ is exactly the volume of its fiber $F_q$ with respect to the metric induced by $g$.

**Submersion related to lipschitz maps**

Consider a uniformly proper lipschitz map $f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$. The main goal of this section is to define a lipschitz submersion $p_f : (M \times B^k, g \times g_{eucl}) \rightarrow (N, h)$ such that $p_f(x, 0) = f(x)$ and if $f$ has some uniform bounds on the derivatives when it is written in normal coordinates, then also $p_f$ has some bounds on the derivatives. In particular these bounds are important in Chapter 2. Moreover we also require that if there is a u.p.d.f. action of a group $\Gamma$ on $M$ and $N$ and if $f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant, then $p_f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant respect to the action of $\Gamma$ in $M \times B^k$ induced by the action of $\Gamma$ on $M$.

To do this we need to introduce the notion of Sasaki metric on a vector bundle, define an isometric embedding of $f^*(TN)$ in $M \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and compose two submersion: the first one is a totally geodesic Riemannian submersion $M \times B^k \rightarrow f^*(TN)$, the second one, that we denote by $\hat{p}_f$, is just the geodesic flow after $f$, i.e.

$$\hat{p}_f : f^*(TN) \rightarrow N \quad (p, w_{f(p)}) \rightarrow \exp_{f(p)}(w_{f(p)}).$$

(6)

**The pull-back functor**

We start the last section proving that the submersion $p_f$ has bounded Fiber Volume and so it is a R.-N.-lipschitz map. Then we define the operator $T_f : L^2(N) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ as

$$T_f(\alpha) := \int_{B^k} p_f^* \alpha \wedge \omega,$$

(7)

where $\omega$ is a compactly supported $k$-differential form on $B^k$ such that its integral on $B^k$ equals one. It follows the proof of the $L^2$-boundedness of $T_f$, which easily follows since $p_f$ is a R.-N.-lipschitz map.

In the subsection 4.3 we prove two important lemmas: the first one, if $f : (M, m) \rightarrow (N, h)$ and $g : (S, l) \rightarrow (M, m)$ are uniformly proper lipschitz map between manifolds of bounded geometry, gives a formula which relates $p_f \circ g$ with the submersion $p_f$ and $p_g$. The second one gives a formula which relates $f$ and $p_f$ when $f$ is a R.-N.-lipschitz map.

We conclude the section by showing that, for every $z$ in $\mathbb{N}$, the association $\mathcal{F}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(M, g) = H_2^z(M)$$

$$\mathcal{F}((M, g) \xrightarrow{f} (N, h)) = H_2^z(N) \xrightarrow{T_f} H_2^z(M)$$

(8)

is a contravariant functor between the category $\mathcal{C}$ of the manifolds of bounded geometry with uniformly proper lipschitz maps and the category $\text{Vec}$ of the vector spaces and linear maps. In particular, we will show that if two maps $f_1$ and $f_2$ are
uniformly proper lipschitz maps such that they are homotopy with a lipschitz homotopy \( H \), then \( T_{f_1} = T_{f_2} \) in \( L^2 \)-cohomology. Moreover if \( f \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map, then \( f^* = T_f \) in \( L^2 \)-cohomology. The same holds considering the reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology instead of the \( L^2 \)-cohomology.
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1 Maps between manifolds of bounded geometry

1.1 $\Gamma$-Lipschitz-homotopy equivalence

Let us consider two metric spaces $(X, d_X)$ and $(Y, d_Y)$ and let $\Gamma$ be a group acting on $X$ and $Y$.

**Definition 1.1.** Two maps $f_0$ and $f_1 : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ are $\Gamma$-lipschitz-homotopy if they are $\Gamma$-invariant maps and they are homotopic with a lipschitz homotopy $H : (X \times [0, 1], d_X \times d_{[0,1]}) \to (Y, d_Y)$ which is $\Gamma$-invariant.

We will denote it by $f_1 \sim_{\Gamma} f_2$.

**Definition 1.2.** A map $f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ is a $\Gamma$-lipschitz-homotopy equivalence if $f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant, lipschitz and there is a $\Gamma$-equivariant map $g$ such that

- $g$ is a homotopy inverse of $f$,
- $g$ is lipschitz,
- $f \circ g$ is $\Gamma$-lipschitz-homotopy to $id_N$ and $g \circ f$ is $\Gamma$-lipschitz-homotopy to $id_M$.

1.2 Uniformly proper maps

Let us introduce the notion of uniformly proper map.

**Definition 1.3.** Consider two metric spaces $(X, d_X)$ and $(Y, d_Y)$. Let $f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ a map. We will say that $f$ is uniformly (metrically) proper, if there is a continuous function $\alpha : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ such that for every subset $A \subseteq Y$ we have

$$diam(f^{-1}(A)) \leq \alpha(diam(A)) \quad (10)$$

**Remark 1.** One can observe that if $f$ is a uniform proper lipschitz map, then $\alpha$ can be chosen such that for all $s, t \geq 0$, then $\alpha(t) < \alpha(t + s)$, indeed if $A \subseteq B$ then $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$. This means that the composition of two uniformly proper map is still uniformly proper, indeed

$$diam((g \circ f)^{-1}(A)) = diam(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A)))$$
$$\leq \alpha(diam(g^{-1}(A)))$$
$$\leq \alpha(\beta(diam(A))) = (\alpha \circ \beta)(diam(A)). \quad (11)$$

**Remark 2.** Consider two lipschitz maps $F, f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ such that $f \sim_{\Gamma} F$. Then, if $f$ is a uniformly proper map, also the homotopy $h$ is uniformly

---

1Here we are considering $d_X \times d_{[0,1]}$ as the product distance between $d_X$ and the euclidean distance $[0, 1]$. 

---
proper and, in particular, also $F$ is uniformly proper.
To prove this we have to observe that
$$d(f(x), h(x, t)) \leq d(h(x, 0), h(x, t)) \leq C_h \cdot t. \quad (12)$$

Then, if $A$ is a subset of $Y$ and $h_t : X \longrightarrow Y$ is defined as $h_t(p) := h(p, t)$, we have that
$$h_t^{-1}(A) = \{ p \in X | h(p, t) \in A \} \subseteq \{ p \in X | f(p) \in B_{C_h t}(A) \} = f^{-1}(B_{C_h t}(A)) \quad (13)$$

where $B_{C_h t}(A)$ are the points $y$ of $Y$ such that $d(y, A) \leq C_h \cdot t$. Then we have that
$$h^{-1}(A) = \bigsqcup_{t \in [0, 1]} h_t^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_{C_h}(A)) \times [0, 1] \quad (14)$$

and so
$$diam(h^{-1}(A)) \leq diam(f^{-1}(B_1(A))) + diam([0, 1]) \leq \alpha(diam(A) + 2C_h) + 1. \quad (15)$$

**Lemma 1.1.** Let $f : (M, d_M) \longrightarrow (N, d_N)$ be a $\Gamma$-lipschitz-homotopy equivalence between metric spaces. Then $f$ is uniformly proper.

**Proof.** Since $H$ is a lipschitz map we have that if $y$ is a point in $N$, then
$$d_M(x, g \circ f(x)) = d_M(H(x, 0), H(x, 1)) \leq C_H d_M \times [0, 1]((x, 0), (x, 1)) = C_H. \quad (16)$$

Now, let $x_1$ and $x_2$ be two points in $f^{-1}(A)$, then
$$d_M(x_1, x_2) \leq d_M(x_1, g \circ f(x_1)) + d_M(g \circ f(x_1), g \circ f(x_2)) + d_M(x_1, g \circ f(x_1)) \leq 2C_H + C_g d_N(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq 2C_H + C_g diam(A). \quad (17)$$

\hfill $\square$

1.3 Manifolds of bounded geometry

In this section we will introduce the notion of manifolds of bounded geometry. All the definitions and propositions below can be found in [2].
Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold.
Definition 1.4. The Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\) has \(k\)-bounded geometry if:

- the sectional curvature \(K\) of \((M, g)\) and its first \(k\)-covariant derivatives are bounded, i.e. \(\forall i = 0, \ldots, k\) there is a constant \(V_i\) such that \(\forall x \in M\)
  \[|\nabla^i K(x)| \leq V_i.\] (18)

- there is a number \(C > 0\) such that for all \(p \in M\) the injectivity radius \(i_g(p)\) satisfies
  \[i_g(p) \geq C.\] (19)

The maximal number which satisfies this inequality will be denoted by \(r_{\text{inj}}(M)\).

When we talk about a manifold \(M\) with bounded geometry, without specifying the \(k\), we mean that \(M\) has \(k\)-bounded geometry for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}\).

Proposition 1.2. Let \((M, g)\) be a Riemannian manifold of \(k\)-bounded geometry. Then there exists a \(\delta > 0\) such that the metric up to its \(k\)-th order derivatives and the Christoffel symbols up to its \((k-1)\)-th order derivatives are bounded in normal coordinates of radius \(\delta\) around each \(x \in M\), with bounds that are uniform in \(x\).

Proposition 1.3. Let \((M, g)\) be a Riemannian manifold of \(k \geq 1\)-bounded geometry. For every \(C > 1\) there exists a \(\delta > 0\) such that the normal coordinate charts \(\phi_x\) are defined on \(B_\delta(x)\) for each \(x \in M\) and the Euclidean distance \(d_E\) on the normal coordinates is uniformly \(C\)-equivalent to the metric distance \(d\) induced by \(M\), that is, \(\forall x_1, x_2 \in B_\delta(x)\)
  \[C^{-1}d(x_1, x_2) \leq d_E(\phi_x(x_1), \phi_x(x_2)) \leq Cd(x_1, x_2).\] (20)

Proposition 1.4. Let \((M, g)\) be a Riemannian manifold of \(k\)-bounded geometry with \(k \geq 2\). There exists a \(\delta\) with \(0 < \delta < r_{\text{inj}}(M)\) and a constant \(C > 0\) such that for all \(x_1, x_2 \in M\) with \(d(x_1, x_2) < \delta\) we have that the coordinate transition map
  \[\phi_{2,1} = \exp_{x_2}^{-1} \circ \exp_{x_1}^{-1}: U \rightarrow T_{x_2}M \text{ with } U = \exp_{x_1}(B_\delta(x_1) \cap B_\delta(x_2)) \subset T_{x_1}M,\] (21)
is \(C^{k-1}\)-bounded with \(|\phi_{2,1}|_{k-1} \leq C\).

Remark 3. Consider a Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\) and let us denote by \(\mu_M\) the measure induced by \(g\) on \(M\). We have
  \[\mu_M(B_r(p)) = \beta_n r^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{6(n+2)} r^2 + O(r^4)\right),\] (22)
where \(\beta_n\) is the volume of an euclidean ball with radius 1. If \(M\) has \(k \geq 0\)-bounded geometry, then we have that for each \(r > 0\) there is a constant \(C_r\) such that for each \(p \in M\)
  \[\mu_M(B_r(p)) \leq C_r.\] (23)
Then this means that if \(A \subset M\) has \(\text{diam}(A) = l\), then, fixed a \(p \in A\) we have that
  \[\mu_M(A) \leq \mu_M(B_l(p)) \leq C_l.\] (24)

\(^2\)It means that all the derivatives of degree less or equal to \(k - 1\) are bounded.
1.4 Uniformly proper and discontinuous actions

In this subsection we will introduce the notion of uniformly properly discontinuous and free (u.p.d.f.) action of a group $\Gamma$ over a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, but, before of that, let us explain why these actions are important for us.

Let us suppose that there is a uniformly proper lipschitz map $f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ and there is a group $\Gamma$ acting by isometries on $M$ and $N$. Consider, moreover, $f$ as a $\Gamma$-equivariant map. Then we will see that if the action of $\Gamma$ is uniformly properly discontinuous and free, then the operator $T_f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant respect to the actions induced by $\Gamma$ on $L^2(M)$ and $L^2(N)$.

This property will be useful in our second paper about lipschitz-homotopy invariants.

More in general, if the action of $\Gamma$ is not uniformly properly discontinuous and free $T_f$ can be not $\Gamma$-equivariant, but it is still true that $T_f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant as operator between the (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomology groups.

**Definition 1.5.** Consider $\Gamma$ a group which acts by isometries on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. We say that the action of $\Gamma$ is uniformly properly discontinuous and free (u.p.d.f.) if

- the action of $\Gamma$ is free and properly discontinuous,
- there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that $d_M(p, \gamma p) \leq \delta \implies p = \gamma p$. (25)

**Remark 4.** Let us suppose that $(M, g)$ is a manifold of bounded geometry. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\Gamma$ induce a u.p.d.f. action,
2. the quotient $\underline{M}$ has bounded geometry.

The implication $1 \implies 2$ can be proved observing that if $\delta$ is the constant of the u.p.d.f. action of $\Gamma$, then for each $p$ in $M$ we have that $B_\delta(p)$ is a trivializing open of $\underline{M}$ and so $\text{inj}_{\underline{M}} \geq \min\{\text{inj}_M, \delta\}$ and the curvature of $\underline{M}$ has the same bounds of the curvature of $M$.

The implication $2 \implies 1$ is proved as follow. Let us suppose that for each $\delta > 0$ there is a point $p$ and a $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $d_M(p, \gamma p) \leq \delta$. Consider, then $\delta < \min\{\text{inj}_M, \text{inj}_{\underline{M}}\}$. Then there is a vector $v$ in $T_p M$ with norm less then $\delta$ such that $\exp_p(v) = \gamma p$. So if we consider the Riemannian covering $s : M \rightarrow \underline{M}$, then we can apply the formula

$$s \circ \exp_p = \exp_{s(p)} \circ ds$$

(26)

to $v$. We have that

$$s(p) = s \circ \exp_p(v) = \exp_{s(p)} \circ ds(v).$$

(27)
Then, since \( ds \) is an isometry, we have that the norm of \( ds(v) \) is less or equal to the injectivity radius of \( M / \Gamma \). This means that \( ds(v) \) has to be null, then in particular \( v \) is null and so
\[
\gamma p = \exp_p(v) = \exp_p(0) = p. \tag{28}
\]

\[2\] \( L^2 \)-cohomology and pull-back

\[2.1\] \( L^2 \)-forms

Let us consider a Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\) and let us denote by \( \Omega^k_c(M) \) the space of complex differential forms with compact support. The Riemannian metric \( g \) induces for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) a scalar product on \( \Omega^k_c(M) \) as follows: consider \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in \( \Omega^\ast c(M) \) then
\[
<\alpha, \beta>_{L^2 \Omega^\ast (M)} := i^{\vert \alpha \vert (m-\vert \alpha \vert)} i^{\vert \beta \vert (m-\vert \beta \vert)} \int_M \alpha \wedge \overset{\star}{\bar{\beta}}, \tag{29}
\]
where \( \overset{\star}{\cdot} \) is the Hodge star operator given by \( g \).

This scalar product gives a norm on \( \Omega^k_c(M) \):
\[
\| \alpha \|_{L^2 \Omega^k(M)}^2 := <\alpha, \alpha>_M = i^{\vert \alpha \vert (m-\vert \alpha \vert)} i^{\vert \alpha \vert (m-\vert \alpha \vert)} \int_M \alpha \wedge \overset{\star}{\bar{\alpha}} < +\infty. \tag{30}
\]

**Definition 2.1.** We will denote by \( L^2 \Omega^k(M) \) the Hilbert space given by the closure of \( \Omega^k_c(M) \) respect the norm \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2 \Omega^k(M)} \). Moreover we can also define the Hilbert space \( L^2(M) \) given by
\[
L^2(M) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} L^2 \Omega^k(M). \tag{31}
\]
The norm of \( L^2(M) \) will be denoted by \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2} \) or \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2(M)} \).

**Remark 5.** Since \( \Omega^k_c(M) \) is dense in \( L^2 \Omega^k(M) \), then \( \Omega^k_c(M) \) is dense in \( L^2(M) \).

\[2.2\] \( L^2 \)-cohomology and reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology

If a Riemannian manifolds \((M, g)\) is complete (as in the bounded geometry case) then we have only one closed extension of the exterior derivative on compactly supported smooth forms \([4]\). We will denote it by \( d \).

It’s easy to check that \( d(\text{dom}(d)) \subseteq \ker(d) \subseteq \text{dom}(d) \) and so we have that the composition \( d \circ d \) is well defined and equals to zero. Moreover, similarly with the smooth forms we have that if \( \alpha \in \text{dom}(d) \cap L^2(\Omega^k(M)) \) then \( d\alpha \) is in
Let us consider now the sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow L^2(\Omega^1(M)) \xrightarrow{d} L^2(\Omega^2(M)) \xrightarrow{d} L^2(\Omega^3(M)) \xrightarrow{d} \ldots \]  

(32)

It is, following the definition given in [1], a Hilbert complex.

**Definition 2.2.** We will define \( i \)-th group of \( L^2 \)-cohomology the group

\[ H^i_{L^2}(M) := \frac{\ker(d_i)}{\text{im}(d_{i-1})}. \]  

(33)

**Definition 2.3.** We will define \( i \)-th group of reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology the group

\[ \overline{H}^i_{L^2}(M) := \frac{\ker(d_i)}{\text{im}(d_{i-1})}. \]  

(34)

**Remark 6.** In general for every closed extension \( d \) we have different groups of (reduced or not) \( L^2 \)-cohomology. Even if in our case all the closed extensions coincides, it will be useful, in the next proposition, the definition of minimal extension. The minimal extension \( d_{min} \) of the exterior derivative has domain given by the \( L^2 \)-forms \( \alpha \) such that there is a sequence of compactly supported differential forms \( \{\alpha_k\} \) which satisfies \( \alpha = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \alpha_k \) and such that the limit of the sequence \( \{d\alpha_k\} \) exists. Then we have that

\[ d_{min} \alpha := \lim_{k \to +\infty} d\alpha_k. \]  

(35)

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( (N, h) \) and \( (M, g) \) be two Riemannian manifolds. Let \( B : \mathcal{L}^2(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(M) \) be a continuous operator such that \( B(\Omega^\epsilon_c(N)) \subseteq \Omega^\epsilon_c(M) \) and \( Bd = dB \) over \( \Omega^\epsilon_c(N) \). Then we have that

\[ B(\text{dom}(d_{min})) \subseteq \text{dom}(d_{min}) \]  

(36)

and \( Bd_{min} = d_{min}B \) on the minimal domain of \( d \).

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha \) be an element in \( \text{dom}(d_{min}) \). This means that there is a sequence \( \{\alpha_n\} \) in \( \Omega^\epsilon_c(N) \) such that

\[ \begin{align*}
\alpha &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \alpha_n \\
\text{d}\alpha &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \text{d}\alpha_n.
\end{align*} \]  

(37)

Using that \( B \) is continuous we have

\[ B\alpha = \lim_{n \to +\infty} B\alpha_n \]  

(38)
where \( \{ B_\alpha_n \} \) is a sequence in \( \Omega_c^*(M) \). Moreover one can see that the limit of \( dB_\alpha_n \) exists:

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} dB_\alpha_n = \lim_{n \to +\infty} B_\alpha_n = B \lim_{n \to +\infty} d_\alpha_n = Bd_\alpha.
\]

So \( d_{\min} \) is well defined in \( B_\alpha \) and

\[
Bd_{\min} \alpha = d_{\min} B_\alpha.
\]

**Remark 7.** In general, to induce a map in \( L^2 \)-cohomology we need an operator \( A : \text{dom}(A) \subseteq L^2(N) \to L^2(M) \) such that

- \( A(\text{dom}(d_N)) \subseteq \text{dom}(d_M) \),
- \( A \) and \( d \) commute.

Moreover to have a morphism induced by \( A \) in reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology, we also need that \( A \) is \( L^2 \)-bounded on \( \text{Im}(d) \), indeed, given an \( \alpha \) in \( \text{dom}(d_N) \) we have that

\[
A(\alpha + \lim_{k \to +\infty} d_\beta_k) = A(\alpha) + A(\lim_{k \to +\infty} d_\beta_k)
\]

\[
= A(\alpha) + \lim_{k \to +\infty} A(d_\beta_k)
\]

\[
= A(\alpha) + \lim_{k \to +\infty} dA(\beta_k)
\]

and so, in reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology,

\[
[A(\alpha + \lim_{k \to +\infty} d_\beta_k)] = [A(\alpha)].
\]

**Corollary 2.2.** Given an operator \( B \) as in Proposition 2.1 then \( B \) induces a map in (un)-reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology.

### 2.3 Fiber Volume and Radon-Nicodym-Lipschitz maps

Let \((M, \nu)\) and \((N, \mu)\) be two measured spaces and let \( f : (M, \nu) \to (N, \mu) \) be a function such that the pushforward measure \( f_*(\nu) \) is absolutely continuous with respect to \( \nu \).

**Definition 2.4.** Let \((N, \mu)\) be \( \sigma \)-finite, then the **Fiber Volume** is the Radon-Nicodym derivative

\[
Vol_{f, \nu, \mu} := \frac{\partial f_* \nu}{\partial \mu}.
\]

Consider \((M, d_M, \nu_M)\) and \((N, d_N, \mu_N)\) two measured and metric spaces.

**Definition 2.5.** A map \( f : (M, \nu) \to (N, \mu) \) is **Radon-Nikodym-lipschitz** or **R.-N.-lipschitz** if
• $f$ is lipschitz

• $f$ has a well-defined, bounded Fiber Volume.

Remark 8. Consider $f : (M, d_M, \nu_M) \to (N, d_N, \mu_N)$ an R.-N.-lipschitz map and let $C$ be the supremum of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu_N}$. Then for all measurable set $A \subseteq N$, we have that

$$\mu_M(f^{-1}(A)) = \int_A \frac{\partial f \mu_M}{\partial \mu_N} d\mu_N \leq C \int_A d\mu_N = C \mu_N(A).$$

(44)

A measurable, lipschitz map which satisfies the above inequality is called a v.b.-map: these maps are defined by Thomas Schick in his Ph.D. thesis [?]. Then this means that all the R.-N.-maps are v.b.-maps. Moreover it is also true that a v.b.-map is R.-N.-lipschitz. To prove this we can start observing that the Fiber Volume is well-defined since $\mu_N << \mu_M$. Consider $C$ the costant such that $\mu_M(f^{-1}A) \leq C \cdot \mu_N(A)$; then

$$\left|\frac{\partial f \mu_M}{\partial \mu_N}\right| < C.$$  

(45)

Indeed if the above inequality is not satisfied, then there is $A \subseteq N$ such that $\frac{\mu_M(f^{-1}A)}{\mu_N(A)} > C$. But this implies

$$\mu_M(f^{-1}(A)) \geq C \cdot \int_A d\mu_N = C \cdot \mu_N(A)$$

(46)

and so we have the contradiction.

Let us prove some properties of R.-N.-lipschitz maps.

**Proposition 2.3.** Consider $f : (M, d_M, \nu_M) \to (N, d_N, \mu_N)$ and $g : (N, d_N, \mu_N) \to (W, d_W, \mu_W)$ two R.-N.-lipschitz maps. Then $(g \circ f) : (M, d_M, \nu_M) \to (W, d_W, \mu_W)$ is a R.-N.-lipschitz map.

**Proof.** Since the equivalence of the definitions, we can check that the composition of two v.b.-maps is a v.b.-map. We can start observing that the composition of lipschitz map is lipschitz. Moreover we also have that

$$\mu_M((g \circ f)^{-1}A) \leq C_f \mu_N(g^{-1}(A)) \leq C_f \cdot C_g \mu_N(A)$$

(47)

and so it means that $g \circ f$ is a v.b.-map.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let us consider two R.-N.-lipschitz maps $f : (M, d_M, \mu_M) \to (X, d_X, \mu_X)$ and $g : (N, d_N, \mu_N) \to (Y, d_Y, \mu_Y)$. Then the map

$$(f, g) : (M \times N, d_M \times d_N, \mu_M \times \mu_N) \to (X \times Y, d_X \times d_Y, \mu_X \times \mu_Y)$$

(48)

is a R.-N.-lipschitz map.
Proof. Again we will show that \((f,g)\) is a \(\text{v.h.-map}\). We can observe that \((f,g)\) is lipschitz. Moreover we can also consider a subset \(A \times B\) of \(X \times Y\). Then we have that

\[
\mu_{M \times N}((f,g)^{-1}(A \times B)) = \mu_{M}(f^{-1}(A)) \cdot \mu_{N}(g^{-1}(B)) \\
\leq C_f \cdot C_g \mu_X(A) \cdot \mu_Y(B) \\
\leq C_f \cdot C_g \mu_{X \times Y}(A \times B).
\]

(49)

Since the sets \(\{A \times B\}\) are generators if the \(\sigma\)-algebra of \(X \times Y\) we can conclude.

\[\square\]

Remark 9. We can also prove the Proposition 2.4 checking that the Fiber Volume of \((f,g)\) in a point \((p, q)\) is given by \(\text{Vol}_{f}(p) \cdot \text{Vol}_{g}(q)\).

Lemma 2.5. Let \(f : (M,g) \to (N,h)\) be a lipschitz map between Riemannian manifolds. Then for all \(\alpha_p \in T^*_p(N)\) and for all \(q \in f^{-1}(p)\) we have that

\[
||f^{*}\alpha_p||^2_{\Lambda^k M} \leq C_f^k ||\alpha_p||^2_{\Lambda^k N},
\]

(50)

where \(|| \cdot ||^2_{\Lambda^k X}\) is the norm induced by the metric of \(X\) on \(\Lambda^k X\).

Proposition 2.6. Let \((M,g)\) and \((N,h)\) be Riemannian manifolds. Let \(f : (M,g) \to (N,h)\) be a R.-N.-lipschitz map. Then \(f\) induces an \(L^2\)-bounded pullback. In particular the norm of \(f^{*}\) is less or equal to \(K_f \cdot \sqrt{C_{Vol}}\), where \(C_{Vol}\) is the maximum of the Fiber Volume.

Proof. Let \(\omega\) be a smooth form with compact support in \(L^2(N)\) and let \(K_f := \max\{1, C^n\}\), where \(n = \text{dim}(N)\). Then

\[
||f^{*}\omega||^2_{L^2(M)} = \int_M ||f^{*}\omega||^2 d\mu_g \\
\leq \int_M K_f^2 f^{*}(||\omega||^2) d\mu_g \\
= K_f^2 \int_N ||\omega||^2 d(f^{*}\mu_g) \\
= K_f^2 \int_N ||\omega||^2 Vol_{f,g,h} d\mu_h \\
\leq K_f^2 C_{Vol} \int_N ||\omega||^2 d\mu_h \\
= K_f^2 C_{Vol} ||\omega||_{L^2(N)}.
\]

(51)

\[\square\]
2.4 Quotients of differential forms

We want to show that if we have a submersion \( p : M \to N \) between two orientable manifolds, then there exists an orientation for the bundle given by the submersion, i.e. there is a smooth form \( \eta \) on \( M \) such that for each \( q \) in \( N \), the pullback of \( \eta \) on the fiber of \( q \) is a Volume form. To do this we need the notion of quotient of differential forms

**Definition 2.6.** Let us consider a Riemannian manifold \( M \) and let \( \pi : \Lambda^k(M) \to M \). Given two differential forms \( \alpha \in \Omega^k(M) \), \( \beta \in \Omega^n(M) \) we define a quotient between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) as a section of \( \Lambda^{k-n}(M) \) such that for all \( p \) in \( M \)

\[
\alpha(p) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p) \wedge \beta(p).
\]

(52)

**Remark 10.** There are no condition about the continuity, or smoothness, of \( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \).

In general, given two differential forms, there isn’t a quotient between them: for example we can consider \( dx^1 \) and \( dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Moreover if there is a quotient between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) it may not be unique: for example if we consider \( \alpha = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \) and \( \beta = dx^1 + dx^2 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) then \( \frac{1}{2}(dx^1 - dx^2) \) and \( dx^1 \) are both quotients. However there are some useful formulas concerning quotients.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let us consider a differential manifold \( M \) and let \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Omega^*(M) \). Then, if \( \alpha \gamma, \alpha \delta, \beta \gamma, \) and \( \beta \delta \) are well-defined, then the following formulas hold

1. \( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \).

2. if \( \gamma \) as a \( g \)-form, \( \beta \) a \( b \)-form and \( \delta \) a \( d \)-form. Then \( \frac{\alpha \wedge \beta}{\gamma \wedge \delta} = (-1)^{g(b-d)} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \wedge \frac{\beta}{\delta} \).

3. If \( \gamma \) is closed, and \( \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \) is a smooth form, then \( d\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = d\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right) \).

4. if \( \gamma \) as a \( g \)-form, \( \beta \) a \( b \)-form then \( \frac{\alpha \wedge \beta}{\gamma \wedge \delta} = (-1)^{gb} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \).

5. if \( \frac{\alpha}{\gamma \wedge \delta} \) exists then \( \frac{\alpha}{\gamma \wedge \delta} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \).

6. if \( \frac{\alpha}{\gamma \wedge \delta} \) exists, then \( \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma \wedge \delta} \).

**Proof.** It is a direct calculus. \qed

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \( (M, g) \) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension \( m \) and let \( \alpha \in \Omega^k(M) \) be a differential form such that \( \alpha_p \neq 0 \) for each \( p \). Then there is a smooth quotient \( Y^d_{\alpha} \) and it is given by

\[
\frac{(-1)^{s(m-s)}}{||\alpha||^2} *_M \alpha.
\]

(53)

\(^3\)in these formulas the \( = \) have to be read as "exists and one of the possible quotient is given by"
When we will not specify about the choice of a quotient, we will consider (53) as quotient.

Proof. It is a direct calculus.

As proved in [?], in particular in Proposition 16.21.7, when we have a submersion \( f : X \to Y \), then if \( F_q \) is the fiber of \( f \) in \( q \) and \( i_q : F_q \to X \) is the immersion of the fiber, then \( i_q^* \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} \right) \) doesn’t depend by the choice of the quotient.

So, it means that a submersion can be considered an oriented fiber bundle with orientation given by \( \frac{Vol_X}{f^*Vol_Y} \). It means that for all \( p \) in \( N \) we have the orientation of \( F_p \) such that

\[
\int_{F_p} i_p^* \frac{Vol_X}{Vol_Y} > 0. \tag{54}
\]

**Proposition 2.9.** Let \( p \) be a submersion \( p : X \to Y \). Consider \( \alpha \in \Omega^*_{CV}(X) \) and \( \beta \in \Omega^*(X) \). Let us suppose that there is \( \frac{\alpha}{p^*\beta} \). Then we have that

\[
\int_{F} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\int_{F} \alpha}{\int_{F} p^*\beta} . \tag{55}
\]

**Remark 11.** Given a submersion \( p : X \to Y \) we denote the operator of integration along the fibers as \( \int_{F} \), where \( F \) is a generic fiber of \( p \), or as \( p_* \).

### 2.5 Fiber Volume of a submersion

In this section we will study the Fiber Volumes of lipschitz submersions between orientable manifolds.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let \((M, g)\) and \((N, h)\) two orientable, Riemannian manifolds. Let \( p : (M, g) \to (N, h) \) be a proper lipschitz submersion. Then we have that

\[
Vol_{p,g,h}(q) = \int_F \frac{Vol_M}{p^*Vol_N}(q) \tag{56}
\]

if \( q \) is in \( p(\tilde{M}) \), 0 otherwise.

\(^*\text{again = have to be read as "exists and one of the possible quotient is given by": this property holds for all possible choice of the quotient., unless } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are top-degree forms: in that case the = actually means the equality as differential forms.}\)
Proof. Let \( A \) a measurable set of \( N \). Then we have that

\[
f_\ast \mu_M(A) = \int_{p^{-1}(A)} 1 \, d\mu_M
\]

\[
= \int_{p^{-1}(A)} \text{Vol}_M
\]

\[
= \int_{p^{-1}(A)} p^\ast(\text{Vol}_N) \wedge \frac{\text{Vol}_M}{\text{Vol}_N}
\]

\[
= \int_{p^{-1}(A \cap p(\hat{M}))} p^\ast(\text{Vol}_N) \wedge \text{Vol}_M + \int_{A \cap [p(\hat{M})]} 0 \, d\mu_N
\]  

\[
\text{(57)}
\]

\[
= \int_{A \cap [p(\hat{M})]} \text{Vol}_N \left( \int_F \frac{\text{Vol}_M}{\text{Vol}_N} \right) + \int_{A \cap [p(\hat{M})]} 0 \, d\mu_N
\]

\[
= \int_{A \cap [p(\hat{M})]} \left( \int_F \frac{\text{Vol}_M}{\text{Vol}_N} \right) \text{Vol}_N + \int_{A \cap [p(\hat{M})]} 0 \, d\mu_N
\]

\[
= \int_{A \cap [p(\hat{M})]} \left( \int_F \frac{\text{Vol}_M}{\text{Vol}_N} \right) \, d\mu_N + \int_{A \cap [p(\hat{M})]} 0 \, d\mu_N
\]

\[
\square
\]

Remark 12. We can observe that the Fiber Volume doesn’t depend on the choice of \( \frac{\text{Vol}_M}{p^\ast \text{Vol}_N} \). It is coherent with the uniqueness of the Radon-Nicodym derivative.

Remark 13. If the submersion \( f : X \to Y \) is in particular a diffeomorphism between oriented manifold, then we have that the integration along the fibers of \( f \) is pullback \( f^\ast \) with \( a + \) if \( f \) preserves the orientation and \( a - \) otherwise. Indeed the orientation of the fibers is given posing

\[
\int_{f^{-1}(p)} \text{Vol}_X \frac{\text{Vol}_X}{f^\ast \text{Vol}_Y} > 0
\]  

\[
\text{(58)}
\]

and we know that the integration over a 0-chain is just the evaluation on the oriented points. So

\[
f_\ast(\alpha)_p(v_{1,p}, \ldots, v_{k,p}) = \pm \alpha_{f^{-1}(p)}(df^{-1}_{f^{-1}(p)}(v_{1,p}), \ldots, df^{-1}_{f^{-1}(p)}(v_{k,p}))
\]

\[
= \pm (f^{-1})^\ast \alpha(v_{1,p}, \ldots, v_{k,p}),
\]  

\[
\text{(59)}
\]

where we have \( a + \) if \( f \) preserves the orientation and \( a - \) otherwise. Then, this means that

\[
q_F = \pm (f^{-1})^\ast.
\]  

\[
\text{(60)}
\]

and so, in particular, the Fiber Volume of \( f \) is given by

\[
\pm (f^{-1})^\ast \frac{\text{Vol}_X}{f^\ast(\text{Vol}_Y)} = |(f^{-1})^\ast \frac{\text{Vol}_X}{f^\ast(\text{Vol}_Y)}|.
\]  

\[
\text{(61)}
\]
Consider \((M, g)\) a Riemannian, orientable manifold. Let us denote by \(*_M\) the Hodge operator on \(M\). We define the chiral operator of \(M\) the operator \(\tau_M : L^2(M) \to L^2(M)\) for each smooth form as \(\tau_M := i^{\dim(M)/2} *_M\) if \(\dim(M)\) is even and \(\tau_M := i^{\dim(M)+1} *_M\). It’s easy to check that the chiral operator is unitary, bounded operator with norm equal to 1.

**Proposition 2.11.** Given a fiber bundle \(p : (X, g) \to (Y, h)\) where \(X\) and \(Y\) are orientable, we have that if \(p^*\) induce a map between the \(L^2\)-space, then the same is true for \(p^*\), the operator of integration along the fibers of \(p\). Moreover, if we denote by \((p_*)^*\) the adjoint of \(p_*\), we have that, if \(\tau_X\) and \(\tau_Y\) are the chiral operators of \(X\) and \(Y\)

\[
(p_*)^* = (i)^j \tau_X \circ p^* \circ \tau_Y
\]

for some integer \(j\).

**Proof.** Let \(\alpha\) be in \(\Omega^*_CV(X)\) and let \(\beta\) be in \(\Omega^*(Y)\), if \(n = \dim(Y)\) we have that

\[
\langle p_*\alpha, \beta \rangle_Y = \int \int F \alpha \wedge *_Y \beta
\]

Now, applying the Projection Formula, we have that

\[
\langle p_*\alpha, \beta \rangle_Y = \int_X \alpha \wedge p^*(*_Y \beta)
\]

\[
= i^{\beta(n-\beta)}(-1)^{\beta(n-\beta)} \int_X \alpha \wedge (p^* \tau_Y \beta)
\]

\[
= i^{\beta(n-\beta)}(-1)^{\beta(n-\beta)}i^{\beta(n+4k-\beta)} \int_X \alpha \wedge *_X (\tau_M p^* \tau_Y \beta)
\]

\[
= \langle \alpha, (i)^j \tau_X \circ p^* \circ \tau_Y (\beta) \rangle_X.
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 14.** We have that the norm as operator between \(L^2\)-spaces of \(p_*\) is the same of the norm of \(p^*\).

**Corollary 2.12.** Consider \(p : (M, g) \to (N, h)\) a R.-N.-lipschitz submersion. Then the operator \(p_*\) is a \(L^2\)-bounded operator.

We conclude this section giving a formula which allows to compute the Fiber Volume of the composition of two submersions.

**Proposition 2.13.** Let \(f : (M, g) \to (N, h)\) and \(g : (N, h) \to (W, l)\) be two submersions between oriented Riemannian manifolds. Then we have that

\[
Vol_{\rho_f, \rho_M, \rho_W}(q) = \int_{g^{-1}(q)} \int_{f^{-1}g^{-1}(q)} \frac{Vol_M}{g^*Vol_N} \frac{Vol_N}{g^*Vol_N}
\]

\[\text{18}\]
Proof. We can observe that, as quotients, we have that
\[
\frac{\text{Vol}_M}{(g \circ f)^*\text{Vol}_W} = \frac{\text{Vol}_M}{f^*\text{Vol}_N} \wedge \frac{f^*\text{Vol}_N}{(g \circ f)^*\text{Vol}_W} \quad (66)
\]
and, in particular one can observe that we can choose as quotient
\[
\frac{f^*\text{Vol}_N}{(g \circ f)^*\text{Vol}_W} = f^*(\frac{\text{Vol}_N}{g^*\text{Vol}_W}). \quad (67)
\]
Then we can conclude applying the Projection Formula, indeed
\[
\text{Vol}_{g \circ f, \mu_M, \mu_W}(q) = \int_{(g \circ f)^{-1}(q)} \frac{\text{Vol}_M}{g \circ f)^*\text{Vol}_W} = \int_{(g \circ f)^{-1}(q)} \frac{f^*\text{Vol}_N}{g^*\text{Vol}_W} \wedge f^*\left(\text{Vol}_N\right) \quad (68)
\]
\[
= \int_{g^{-1}(q)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}g^{-1}(q)} f^*(\text{Vol}_N) \right) \frac{\text{Vol}_M}{g^*\text{Vol}_W} \quad (69)
\]

\[\square\]

3 Submersion related to lipschitz maps

3.1 The Sasaki metric

Let us consider be a Riemannian manifold \((N, h)\) of dimension \(n\), \(\pi_E : E \rightarrow N\) a vector bundle of rank \(m\) endowed with a bundle metric \(H_E \in \Gamma(E^* \otimes E)\) and a linear connection \(\nabla_E\) which preserves \(H_E\). Fix \(\{W_i\}\) a local frame of \(E\): we have that if \(\{x^i\}\) is a system of local coordinate over \(U \subseteq N\), then we can define the system of coordinates \(\{x^i, \mu^j\}\) on \(\pi_E^{-1}(U)\), where the \(\mu^j\) are the components respect to \(\{W_j\}\).

Then we can denote by \(K\) the map \(K : TE \rightarrow E\) defined as
\[
K(b^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + z^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^j}) := (z^l + b^i z^j \Gamma^l_{ij}) s_l,
\]
where the \(\Gamma^l_{ij}\) are the Christoffel symbols of \(\nabla_E\).

Definition 3.1. The Sasaki metric on \(E\) is the Riemannian metric \(h^E\) defined for all \(A, B\) in \(TE\) as
\[
h^E(A, B) := h(d\pi_E(A), d\pi_E(B)) + H_E(K(A), K(B)). \quad (70)
\]

Remark 15. Let us consider the system of coordinate \(\{x^i\}\) on \(N\) and \(\{x^i, \mu^j\}\) on \(E\). We have that the components of \(h^E\) are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
h^E_{ij} &= h_{ij} + H_{\alpha \gamma} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta \gamma} \mu^\beta \mu^\gamma \\
h^E_{i\sigma} &= H_{\alpha \sigma} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta \sigma} \mu^\beta \\
h^E_{\sigma \tau} &= H_{\sigma \tau},
\end{align*}
\]

(71)
where \( i, j = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( \sigma, \tau = n + 1, \ldots, n + m \). We can observe that if in a point \( x_0 = (x_0^1, \ldots, x_0^n) \) of \( M \) we have that all the Christoffel symbols are zero, then, in local coordinate the matrix of \( h^E \) in a point \( (x_0, v) \) is given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  h_{i,j} & 0 \\
  0 & H_{\sigma,\tau}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(72)

**Example 3.1.** Let us consider a Riemannian manifold \((N, h)\). We can consider as \( E \) the tangent bundle \( TM \) and as \( h_E \) the metric \( g \) itself. In this case the connection \( \nabla_E \) is the Levi-Civita connection.

### 3.2 Pull-back bundle and pull-back connection

Let us consider a differential map \( f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h) \).

**Definition 3.2.** The **pullback bundle** \( f^*E \) is a bundle over \((M, g)\) given by

\[
f^*(E) := \{(p, v) \in M \times E | \pi_E(t) = f(p)\}.
\]  

(73)

**Remark 16.** It’s easy to check that given a smooth map \( f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h) \), then there is a bundle map \( F \) induced by \( f \) between \( f^*(E) \) and \( E \): this map is just defined as

\[
F(p, v) = (f(p), v).
\]  

(74)

Using this map it’s possible to define an euclidean scalar product on \( f^*(E) \): it is sufficient to define

\[
F^*(H)(A_p, B_p) := H(F(A_p), F(B_p))
\]  

(75)

An important property about the pullback bundles is that, given a section \( \sigma \) of \( E \), one can define the section

\[
f^*\sigma := f^*\sigma(p) = \sigma \circ f(p).
\]  

(76)

Then we can observe that the pullback bundle of the trivial bundle is again the trivial bundle.

A consequence of the existence of the pullback of a section of \( E \) is that it is possible to pullback also a connection \( \nabla_E \).

**Definition 3.3.** The **pullback connection** \( f^*\nabla_E \) on \( f^*(E) \) is uniquely defined imposing that

\[
(f^*\nabla_E) f^*\sigma = f^*(\nabla_E \sigma).
\]  

(77)

**Remark 17.** The condition (77) is sufficient to uniquely define a connection on \( f^*(E) \). An equivalent condition is to impose that the local Christoffel symbols \( \tilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta,i} \) are given by

\[
\tilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta,i} := \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial x^i} f^*(\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta,l}).
\]  

(78)
Remark 18. Let us consider a map \( f : (M, g) \to (N, h) \) and consider, in particular, the bundle \( \pi : f^*(TN) \to M \). We can consider, on each point \( p \) in \( M \), the scalar product on fiber of \( f^*(TN)_p \) given by \( h_{f(p)} \), where \( h \) is the Riemannian metric on \( N \). Moreover we can also consider the pullback connection \( f^*(\nabla^LC_N) \). Then we can consider the Sasaki metric on \( f^*(TN) \). Fix then some normal coordinates \( x^i \) around a point \( p \) in \( M \), and fix a frame \( E_j \) of \( f^*(TN) \). Then we can consider the fibered coordinates \( \{x^i, y^j\} \) of \( f^*(TN) \). Let us observe that in \((p, w_{f(p)})\) we have the orthogonal decomposition
\[
T_{(p, w_{f(p)})} f^*(TN) = \text{Span}\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(p, w_{f(p)}) \right\} \oplus \ker(d\pi_{(p, w_{f(p)})})
\]
and, moreover, we have that \( \text{Span}\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(p, w_{f(p)}) \right\} \) is isometric to \( T_p M \), while \( \text{Span}\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}(p, w_{f(p)}) \right\} \) is isometric to \( T_{f(p)} N \). This fact follows by (71) and (78) and observing that the Christoffel symbols of \( \nabla^LC_N \) respect to normal coordinates around \( f(p) \) are null in \( f(p) \).
This fact, in particular, implies that the projection \( \pi : f^*TN \to M \) is a Riemannian submersion.

3.3 Isometric embeddings

Consider \( M \) and \( N \) two manifolds of bounded geometry and \( \Gamma \) a group which acts u.p.d.f. on them. Suppose that there is a map \( f : M \to N \) which is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant.
Let us denote by \( Y := \frac{N}{\Gamma} \) and by \( S_N : N \to Y \) the Riemannian covering.
Let us fix an isometric embedding \( I : Y \to \mathbb{R}^k \). We know since the Nash embedding theorem that such an immersion exists.
Let us denote by \( A : M \to \mathbb{R}^k \) the composition
\[
A := I \circ S_N \circ f,
\]
by
\[
B := I \circ S_N.
\]
Then, consider the following map
\[
\mathcal{I} : f^*TN \to M \times \mathbb{R}^k
\]
\[(p, w_{f(p)}) \to (p, \exp_{A(p)}[dB_{f(p)} w_{f(p)}] - A(p)).\]
This map is an embedding and, moreover, it is also an isometric embedding. Let us prove it.
Since \( \Gamma \) acts u.p.d.f., we have that \( Y \) is a manifold of bounded geometry and so we can choose a number \( \delta \leq \min\{\text{inj}_N, \text{inj}_Y\} \). Then, fixed an orthonormal frame \( \{E_i\} \) on a \( \delta \)-ball around \( q \) in \( N \), we know that \( \{dS_N(E_i)\} \) is a local orthonormal
Then we have the coordinates given by\{indeces\}.

Indeed for each fixed \(n\)ates,

consider an orthonormal frame \(I\)

\(\{W_i(q) := exp_{A(q)}[dB_{f(q)}E_i(q)] - A(q)\}.\) (83)

Consider, moreover, for each \(q\) in \(B_\sigma(p)\), the vectors

\(Z_l(q) := exp_{A(q)}(Z_l \circ A(q)) - A(q).\) (84)

Then we have the coordinates given by \(\{x^i, y^i, z^i\}\). Then, respect to these coordinates,

\[\mathcal{I}(x, y) = (x, y, 0),\] (85)

indeed for each fixed \(q\) in \(B_\sigma(p)\), we have that \(\mathcal{I}_q: f^*TN_q = T_{f(q)}N \rightarrow \{q\} \times \mathbb{R}^k\) is a linear map, and so, since \(\mathcal{I}_q(E_l(q)) = W_i(q)\), we have that the vector of coordinate \((y^1, ..., y^n)\) goes on the vector \((y^1, ..., y^n, 0, ..., 0)\).

To conclude that it is a Riemannian embedding we can observe that the tangent of \(M \times \mathbb{R}^k\) in the point \((p, t)\) is orthogonal decomposed in

\[T_{(p,t)}M \times \mathbb{R}^k = Span\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_p\} \oplus Span\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}|_{(p,t)}\} \oplus Span\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}|_{(p,t)}\}\] (86)

where \(Span\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_p\}\) is isometric to \(T_pM\) and \(Span\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}|_{(p,t)}\}\) is isometric to \(T_{f(p)}N\). Observing that

\[\begin{cases} d\mathcal{I}_{(p,w_f(p))}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})|_{(p,w_f(p))} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_{(p,t)} \\
d\mathcal{I}_{(p,w_f(p))}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i})|_{(p,w_f(p))} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}|_{(p,t)} \end{cases}\] (87)

and recalling (79) we can conclude that this embedding is isometric. Starting by now we will consider \(f^*TN\) as Riemannian submanifold on \(M \times \mathbb{R}^k\) and in particular we will see \(f^*(TN)_p = T_{f(p)}N\) as a linear subspace of \(\{p\} \times \mathbb{R}^k\).

Remark 19. It’s very important to observe that if we define an action of \(\Gamma\) on \(M \times \mathbb{R}^k\) posing \(\gamma(p, t) = (\gamma p, t)\), then the immersion \(\mathcal{I}\) is \(\Gamma\)-equivariant. Indeed

\[dS_{\gamma f(p)}(\gamma w_f(p)) = dS_{f(p)}(w_f(p)),\] (88)

and so

\[exp_{A(q)}[dB_{\gamma f(p)}\gamma w_f(p)] - A(p) = exp_{A(p)}[dB_{f(p)}w_f(p)] - A(p)\] (89)

and so this means that

\[\mathcal{I}(\gamma(p, w_f(p))) = (\gamma p, exp_{A(p)}[dB_{f(p)}w_f(p)] - A(p)) = \gamma \mathcal{I}(p, w_f(p)).\] (90)
3.4 Totally geodesic Riemannian submersions

Let us define the map

\[ P : M \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow f^*(TN) \]
\[ (p, t) \rightarrow (p, \pi_p(t)), \quad (91) \]

where \( \pi_p : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow T_{f(p)}N \) is the orthogonal projection on \( T_{f(p)}N \). We can observe that \( P \) is a \( C^\infty \)-map, indeed, fixed a local orthonormal frame \( E_i \) of \( f^*(TN) \) around \( p \) we have that locally the map is given by

\[ P(q, t) = (q, \langle t; E_i(q) \rangle E_i(q)). \quad (92) \]

In particular we have that \( P \) is a submersion, indeed, using again the coordinate of \( M \times B^k \), then we have that

\[ P(x, \tilde{y}, z)) = (x, \tilde{y}, 0) \quad (93) \]

and using the decomposition (79) and (86) we can observe that it is, in particular, a Riemannian submersion.

Our next step is to show that locally

\[ \exp_P(p, t) \circ f^*TN \circ P \circ \exp_{(p, t), M \times \mathbb{R}^k}^{-1} = dP(p, t). \quad (94) \]

To do this we need some definitions and a result of Vilms [12].

**Definition 3.4.** Consider \( E \) a subbundle of the tangent bundle \( TM \) of a smooth manifolds \( M \). Then \( E \) is said to be **integrable** at \( p \) if there exists a \( \text{rank}(E) \)-dimensional immersed, connected submanifold \( Q \) such that for all \( q \in Q, T_qQ = E_q \). \( N \) will be called an **integral manifold** of \( E \) passing through \( p \). \( E \) is said to be **integrable** if it has integral manifolds through every point in \( M \).

**Definition 3.5.** A \( C^\infty \)-map \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) of finite-dimensional connected \( C^\infty \) Riemannian manifolds is defined to be **totally geodesic** if for each geodesic \( x_t \) in \( X \) the image \( f(x_t) \) is a geodesic in \( Y \).

**Definition 3.6.** A submanifold \( F \) of \( M \) is said to be a **geodesic submanifold** if every geodesic in \( F \) is also a geodesic in \( M \).

**Proposition 3.1** (Vilms). A nontrivial Riemannian submersion is totally geodesic if and only if the fibers are totally geodesic submanifolds and the horizontal subbundle is integrable.

We can observe that the fiber of a point \( (p, w_{f(p)}) \) is a \((k - \text{dim}(N))\)-plane in \( \{p\} \times \mathbb{R}^k \) and so it is a totally geodesic submanifold.

Moreover, we have that the horizontal subbundle \( \mathcal{H} \) is given by

\[ \mathcal{H}_{(p, t)} := \text{Span}\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}|_p \right\} \oplus \text{Span}\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^j}|_{(p, t)} \right\}. \quad (95) \]

\[ \text{with nontrivial Vilms in [12] means a submersion which is not an immersion} \]
Then we can consider for each \((p, t)\) in \(M \times \mathbb{R}^k\) the vector \(\nabla^t\) in \(\mathbb{R}^k\) given by
\[
\nabla^t = t - \pi_p(t).
\] (96)

Consider the local coordinate \((x, \tilde{y}, z)\) of \(M \times B^k\) we have that \(\nabla^t\) has coordinates \((0, 0, a)\). Then, if we define the diffeomorphism
\[
\phi(x, \tilde{y}, z) = (x, \tilde{y}, z + a).
\] (97)

we obtain that the set \(Q\) described in coordinates by \(z = a\) is locally diffeomorphic to \(f^*TN\) (which is described by \(z = 0\)) and so it is a manifold.

In particular we have that \((p, t) \in Q_{(p,t)}\) and, moreover, one can easily check that
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\phi}{dx}(\partial_i) &= \partial_i, \\
\frac{d\phi}{d\tilde{y}}(\partial_j) &= \partial_j,
\end{align*}
\] (98)

and so we have that \(T_{(q,s)}Q = \mathcal{H}_{(p,t)}\). This means that the horizontal bundle is integrable and, in particular, we have that \(P\) is a totally geodesic submersion.

Let us now introduce the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.** Let us consider a totally geodesic Riemannian submersion \(s : E \longrightarrow M\). Then we have that
\[
\exp^{-1}_{s(p)} \circ s \circ \exp_p = ds_p.
\] (99)

This, in particular, implies that all the derivatives of \(s\) in normal coordinates are uniformly bounded.

**Proof.** Consider \(v_p\) in \(T_pE\). Then we have that \(\gamma(t) = s \circ \exp_p(t \cdot v_p)\) is the geodesis defined by \(\gamma(0) = s(p)\) and \(\gamma'(0) = ds_p v_p\). We also have that \(\sigma(t) = \exp_{s(p)} \circ ds_p\) is the same geodesis \(\gamma\). Then we have that
\[
s \circ \exp_p = \exp_{s(p)} \circ ds_p
\] (100)

and so we have (99). Let us choose an orthonormal basis \(\{v_i\}\) in \(ker(ds_p)\) and \(\{w_j\}\) an orthonormal basis on \(ker(ds_p)\). We have that \(\{ds_p(v_i)\}\) forms an orthonormal basis on \(T_{s(p)}M\). This means that if we consider the coordinates \(\{a^i, b^j\}\) and \(\{z^i\}\) the coordinates relative to these basis, then we have that
\[
s(a^i, b^j) = a^i,
\] (101)

and so the derivatives can only be 1 or 0. 

**Remark 20.** The map \(P : M \times B^k \longrightarrow f^*(TN)\) is, in particular, a lipschitz map.

**Remark 21.** We can observe that the map \(P\) is \(\Gamma\)-equivariant. Indeed since the Remark 19 we have that the embedding of \(f^*(TN)\) in \(M \times \mathbb{R}^k\) is \(\Gamma\)-equivariant. In particular this means that if \(f^*(TN)_p \subset \{p\} \times \mathbb{R}^k\) is defined as the span of \(d(I \circ S_N)E_i\), then \(f^*(TN)_{\gamma p} \subseteq d(I \circ S_N)\gamma E_i = d(I \circ S_N)E_i\). So this means that the projection \(\pi_p = \pi_{\gamma p}\) and so \(P\) is \(\Gamma\)-equivariant.
3.5 The map \( \tilde{p}_f \)

In this subsection we will define a submersion \( \tilde{p}_f : f^*(T^\delta N) \to N \) where

\[
f^*(T^\delta N) := \{(p,w_{f(p)}) \in f^*(TN) \mid |w_{f(p)}| \leq \text{inj}_N\}.
\]

(102)

**Lemma 3.3.** Let us consider \( f : (M,g) \to (N,h) \) a lipschitz map between manifolds of bounded geometry. Let us denote by \( F \) the bundle morphism induced by \( f \) between \( f^*(TN) \) and \( TN \) and by \( g_s \) the Sasaki metric on \( f^*(TN) \). Then there is a map \( \tilde{p}_f : (f^*(T^\delta N),g_s) \to (N,h) \) such that:

1. \( \tilde{p}_f \) is a submersion,
2. \( \tilde{p}_f(x,0) = f(x) \),
3. \( \tilde{p}_f \) is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant,
4. \( \tilde{p}_f = \tilde{p}_{id_N} \circ F \),
5. if \( f \), respect to local normal coordinate \( \{x^i\} \) on \( M \) and \( \{y^j\} \) on \( N \), satisfies

\[
\sup_{s=0,\ldots,k} \left| \frac{\partial^s y^j \circ f}{\partial x_1^i \ldots \partial x_s}(x) \right| \leq L
\]

(103)

for some \( k \) and \( L \), then there is a constant \( C \) such that, respect to normal coordinates \( \{z^i\} \) on \( f^*(TBN) \), we have

\[
\sup_{s=0,\ldots,k} \left| \frac{\partial^s y^j \circ \tilde{p}_f}{\partial z_1^i \ldots \partial z_s}(x,v) \right| \leq C
\]

(104)

where \( C \) doesn't depend by \( x \) or \( j \).

**Proof.** We can define

\[
\tilde{p}_f : (f^*(T^\delta N),g_s) \to (N,h)
\]

\[
(p,w_{f(p)}) \to \exp_{f(p)}(w_{f(p)}).
\]

(105)

Then we have that

1. \( \tilde{p}_f \) is a submersion, indeed for each fixed \( p \) in \( M \) we have that \( \tilde{p}_f(p,\cdot) : f^*(T^\delta N)_p = T^\delta_f(N) \to N \) is the exponential map in \( f(p) \). We know that the exponential map is a local diffeomorphism and so \( \tilde{p}_f \) is a submersion.

2. \( \tilde{p}_f(p,0) = f(p) \): this follows immediately by the definition of exponential map.

\^6in particular this fact implies that \( \tilde{p}_f \) is a lipschitz map
3. $\tilde{p}_f$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant, indeed, since $\Gamma$ acts by isometries,

$$
\tilde{p}_f(\gamma p, d\gamma w_f(p)) = \exp_f(\gamma p) d\gamma w_f(p) \\
= \exp_f(p) d\gamma w_f(p) \\
= \gamma \exp_f(p, w_f(p)) = \gamma \tilde{p}_f(p, w_f(p)).
$$

(106)

4. It’s obvious: we have that $F(p, w_f(p)) = (f(p), w_f(p))$.

5. Since the last point we can show the assertion just for $p_{id}$. To study $p_{id}$ in normal coordinates means fix $v_p$ in $TN$, a point $q$ in $N$ and study

$$
\exp_q^{-1} \circ p_{id} \circ \exp_{v_p},
$$

(107)

where $\exp_{v_p} : V \subseteq T_v T^\delta N \rightarrow T^\delta N$ is the exponential map of $TN$. Let us consider $V$ small enough such that $\exp_{v_p}(V) \subseteq U$ where $U$ can be identified with an open of $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ in which the fibered coordinates $\{x^i, y^j\}$ are well defined. Let us suppose, moreover, that $\{x^i\}$ are normal coordinates on $N$.

We know, since $|v_p| \leq \delta$ for each $v_p$ in $T^\delta N$, that the components of the matrix related the Sasaki metric and its derivatives are uniformly bounded. Then, applying the Lemma 3.8 of [10], we obtain that the derivatives of $\exp_{v_p}$ are uniformly bounded.

Let us study now $\exp_q^{-1} \circ p_{id}$ restricted to $V$. We have that it can be see as $\pi \circ \phi(x, y)$ where $\pi(x, y) = x$ and $\phi$ is the flow of the system of differential equations given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{x}^k &= c^k \\
c^k &= -\Gamma^k_{ij} x^i x^j
\end{align*}
$$

(108)

Then, applying the Lemma 3.4 of [10] we have that the partial derivatives of $\phi$ are uniformly bounded. Then we can conclude that the derivatives of $\exp_q^{-1} \circ p_{id} \circ \exp_{v_p}$ are uniformly bounded.

$\blacksquare$

3.6 A submersion related to a lipschitz map

**Theorem 3.4.** Consider $(M, g)$ and $(N, h)$ two manifolds of bounded geometry and let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a lipschitz map. Consider, moreover, a group $\Gamma$ which acts u.p.d.f. and suppose $f$ as $\Gamma$-equivariant. Then there is a map $p_f : M \times B^k \rightarrow N$ such that

1. $p_f$ is a submersion. In particular one can observe that for each $p$ in $M$ we have that also $p_f(p, \cdot) : B^k \rightarrow N$ is a submersion,

2. $p_f(x, 0) = f(x)$,
3. \( f \) is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant,

4. \( p_f = p_{id_N} \circ (f, id_{B^k}) \),

5. if \( f \), respect to local normal coordinate \( \{x^i\} \) on \( M \) and \( \{y^j\} \) on \( N \), satisfies

\[
\sup_{s=0,\ldots,k} \left| \frac{\partial^s y^j \circ f}{\partial x^1 \cdots \partial x^s}(x) \right| \leq L
\]  

(109)

for some \( k \) and \( L \), then there is a constant \( C \) such that, respect to normal coordinates \( \{z^i\} \) on \( M \times B^k \), we have

\[
\sup_{s=0,\ldots,k} \left| \frac{\partial^s y^j \circ p_f}{\partial z_1^1 \cdots \partial z_s^s}(x, v) \right| \leq C
\]  

(110)

where \( C \) doesn’t depend by \( x \) or \( j \).

6. \( \mathcal{F} \sim \Gamma \) \( p_f \) where \( \mathcal{F} : M \times B^k \to N \) is defined as \( \mathcal{F}(p, t) := f(p) \).

Proof. Let us denote by \( X := \frac{M}{\Gamma} \) and \( Y := \frac{N}{\Gamma} \). Since \( \Gamma \) acts u.p.d.f we know that \( X \) and \( Y \) are manifolds of bounded geometry. Consider \( \delta := \min\{\text{inj}_N, \text{inj}_M, \text{inj}_Y, \text{inj}_X\} \).

We can define \( p_f \) as

\[
p_f = \tilde{p}_f \circ P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot Id_{B^k}),
\]  

(111)

where \( P \) is the Riemannian submersion defined in section 3.4 and \( (id_M, \delta \cdot Id_{B^k}) : M \times B^k \to M \times \mathbb{R}^k \) is the map which sends \( (p, t) \) in \( (p, \delta \cdot t) \).

Then we have that

1. holds since \( p_f \) is composition of submersions. Moreover we can observe that also \( p_f(p, \cdot) : B^k \to N \) is a composition of submersion.

2. We have that

\[
p_f(x, 0) = \tilde{p}_f(x, 0_{f(p)}) = f(p)
\]  

(112)

3. We have that \( p_f \) is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant since it is composition of \( \Gamma \)-equivariant maps.

4. Since \( (id_M, \delta \cdot Id_{B^k}) \) has uniformly bounded derivatives, it is enough to study \( \tilde{p}_f \circ P \) restricted to \( M \times B_\delta(0) \subseteq M \times \mathbb{R}^k \). We know that

\[
\exp_{p_f(p, t)}^{-1} \circ \tilde{p}_f \circ P \circ \exp_{(p, t)}^{-1} \circ \exp_{p_f(p, t)} \circ P \circ \exp_{(p, t)}
\]  

(113)

And so we know since Lemma 3.2 that \( \exp_{p_f(p, t)}^{-1} \circ P \circ \exp_{(p, t)} = dP_{(p, t)} \) has uniformly bounded derivatives and by Lemma 3.3 we know that if \( f \) has the first \( k \)-derivatives in normal coordinates uniformly bounded, then the same happens to \( \tilde{p}_f \). Then \( p_f \) satisfies (110).

\[^7\] in particular this fact implies that \( p_f \) is a lipschitz map
5. This fact follows by the lipschitzianity of \( p_f \), indeed we can define \( H : M \times B^k \times [0,1] \rightarrow N \) as

\[
H(p,t,s) = p_f(p, s \cdot t), \quad (114)
\]

and we obtain a \( \Gamma \)-equivariant lipschitz homotopy.

\[\square\]

Remark 22. It is important to observe that \( \overline{f} \sim \Gamma p_f \) implies that if \( f \) is a uniformly proper map, then also \( p_f \) is uniformly proper.

4 The pull-back functor

4.1 The Fiber Volume of \( p_f \)

Lemma 4.1. Let us consider a lipschitz map \( f : (M,g) \rightarrow (N,h) \) between manifolds of bounded geometry, a group \( \Gamma \) acting by isometries on \( M \) and on \( N \) and consider \( \delta = \min \{ inj_M, inj_N, inj_{M \Gamma}, inj_{N \Gamma} \} \). Then we have that the map \( P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k}) : M \times B^k \rightarrow f^*(T^\delta N) \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map.

Proof. Let us observe that \( (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k}) : M \times B^k \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^k \) is a Lipschitz map (its constant is \( \delta \)) and its Fiber Volume is

\[
Vol((id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k})(q)) = \frac{1}{\delta^k}. \quad (115)
\]

Then if also \( P \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map, then we can conclude. We already know, since Section 3, subsection \([3,4]\) that \( P|_{M \times B^k(0)} \) is a Riemannian submersion. Then we just have to show that the Fiber Volume is bounded. Fix \( v \) in \( f^*T^\delta N \). Then we have that its fiber is given by a \((k - dim(N))\)-disk of radius \( \delta \). Using the Lemma \([2,2]\) we can see that respect to the coordinate \( \{x^i, \tilde{y}^j, z^l\} \) on \( M \times B^k \) and respect to the coordinates \( \{x^i, y^j\} \) on \( f^*(T^\delta N) \), we have that

\[
P(x, \tilde{y}, z) = (x, \tilde{y}). \quad (116)
\]

Then we have that

\[
\frac{Vol_{M \times B^k}}{P^*(Vol_{f^*(T^\delta N)})}(p,t) = \sqrt{\frac{det(G_{ij})}{det(H_{rk})}}(p,t)dz^1 \wedge ... \wedge dz^{k-n}, \quad (117)
\]

where \( G_{ij} \) and \( H_{rk} \) are matrix related to the metrics on \( M \times B^k \) and on \( f^*(T^\delta N) \). We can observe that \( G_{ij}(p,t) \) and \( H_{rk}(p,v_p) \) are both the identity because \( \{x^i\} \) are normal coordinates centered in \( p \) and so this means that

\[
\int_{F(p,\omega_f(p))} \frac{Vol_{M \times \mathbb{R}^k}}{P^*(Vol_{f^*(TN)})} \, d\omega^1 \wedge ... \wedge d\omega^{k-n} = C \cdot \delta^{k-n}. \quad (118)
\]

\[\square\]
Lemma 4.2. Consider \( f : (M,g) \rightarrow (N,h) \) a lipschitz map between Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry. Then the map \( \tilde{t}_f : f^*(T\mathbb{D}^5 N) \rightarrow M \times N \) defined as

\[
\tilde{t}_f(p, w_f(p)) = (p, \tilde{p}_f(p, w_f(p)))
\]

is an R.-N.-lipschitz diffeomorphism with its image.

Proof. We can start observing that \( \tilde{t}_f \) is a diffeomorphism: first we have to observe that \( \dim(f^*(T\mathbb{D}^5 N)) = m + n = \dim(M) + \dim(N) = \dim(M \times N) \). Then fix some fibered coordinates \( \{x^i, a^j\} \) on \( f^*(T\mathbb{D}^5 N) \) and let \( \{x^i, y^j\} \) be some normal coordinate on \( M \times N \). Then the Jacobian of \( \tilde{t} \) is given by

\[
J_{\tilde{t}_f}(x_0, \mu_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \ast \\ \ast & J_{exp}(a_0) \end{bmatrix}
\]

Then, since the exponential map is a diffeomorphism for each \( x_0 \), we have that \( J_{\tilde{t}_f} \) is invertible. Moreover \( \tilde{t}_f \) is also injective, indeed if \( (p, w_f(p)) \) and \( (q, v_f(q)) \) have the same image, then \( p = q \) and

\[
exp_f(p)w_f(p) = \exp_f(q)v_f \quad \Rightarrow \quad w_p = v_p,
\]

since their norm is less than \( \delta \) and \( \delta \leq inj_N \). We proved that \( \tilde{t}_f \) is a diffeomorphism.

Moreover we also know that \( \tilde{t}_f \) is a lipschitz map because \( p_f \) is a lipschitz map. Finally, we have to prove that \( \tilde{t}_f \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map: consider a point \( (p, q) \) in \( M \times N \). Then its fiber is just its preimage \( (p, w_f(p)) \). This means, following the Remark \[13\] that the Fiber Volume of \( \tilde{t}_f \) is just given by

\[
|\tilde{t}_f^{-1} \cdot \frac{Vol_{T\mathbb{D}^5 N}}{t^*Vol_{M \times N}}|.
\]

Then if \( \frac{Vol_{T\mathbb{D}^5 N}}{t^*Vol_{M \times N}} \) is a bounded function, we can conclude that \( \tilde{t}_f \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map. Consider an orthonormal frame \( w_j(q) \) in \( N \) around \( f(p) \). Then, considering on \( M \) a system of normal coordinates \( \{x^i\} \) around \( p \), then choosing an orthonormal frame \( E_j \) around \( f(p) \) in \( N \), we can define some fibered coordinates \( \{x^i, a^j\} \) on \( f^*(T N) \). Fix now \( f(p) \) in \( N \) and consider the basis \( \{E_i(f(p))\} \) in \( T_{f(p)} N \). Then we can consider the normal coordinates \( \{x^i, \tilde{a}^j\} \) around \( (p, f(p)) \).

One can easily check, using the definition of exponential map, that the image of \( \tilde{t}_f \) is contained in a \( \delta \)-neighborhood of the Graph \( (f) \in M \times N \). This means that using the coordinates \( \{x^i, \tilde{a}^j\} \) we can cover all the image of \( \tilde{t}_f \). Out of the image of \( \tilde{t}_f \) we already know since Proposition \[2,10\] that the Fiber Volume is null.

One can observe that, using this coordinates, we have

\[
\tilde{t}_f(0, a^j) = (0, a^j).
\]

Consider

\[
Vol_{M \times N}(x, a) = \sqrt{det(G_{ij})}(x, a)dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge da^n
\]
and

\[ \text{Vol}_{f^*T_N}(x, \tilde{a}) = \sqrt{\det(H_{ij})(x, \tilde{a})} dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\tilde{a}^n, \tag{125} \]

where \( G_{ij} \) is the matrix of the metric on \( f^*(T^\delta N) \) with respect to this coordinate and \( H_{ij} \) is the matrix of the metric on \( M \times N \). Then the Fiber Volume of \( \tilde{t}_f \) in \((0, \tilde{a})\) is given by \( \sqrt{\frac{G_{ij}(0, a)}{H_{ij}(0, a)}} \).

Then we can observe that on \((0, a) \in f^*(T^\delta N)\)

\[ G_{ij}(0, a) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{126} \]

and so \( \sqrt{\det(G_{ij})} = 1 \). Moreover, we also have that on \((0, a) \in M \times N\), we have that

\[ H_{ij}(0, \tilde{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{ij}(0, \tilde{a}) \end{bmatrix} \tag{127} \]

where \( h_{ij} \) is the matrix related to the Riemannian metric \( h \) in normal coordinates.

Then we have that

\[ \det(H_{ij})^{-1}(0, a) = \det(h_{ij})^{-1}(0, \tilde{a}) \leq C \tag{128} \]

because \( N \) is a manifold of bounded geometry \[10\]. This means that

\[ \sqrt{\frac{G_{ij}(0, a)}{H_{ij}(0, a)}} \leq C \tag{129} \]

and so the Fiber Volume of \( \tilde{t}_f \) is bounded.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \( f : (M, g) \to (N, h) \) be a uniformly proper lipschitz map between riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry. Then \( p_f \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map and \( p_f^* \) is \( L^2 \)-bounded.

**Proof.** Let us consider \( S := \tilde{t}_f \circ P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k}) \). We know since the previous two lemmas that \( S \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map since it is composition of R.-N.-lipschitz maps.

We can observe that \( p_f = pr_N \circ S \). We can observe that since \( p_f \sim_{\Gamma} \tilde{f} \), using Remark 2 in particular (13), we can see that there is a \( C > 0 \) such that

\[ p_f^{-1}(q) \subset A_q := \tilde{f}^{-1}(B_C(q)) = f^{-1}(B_C(q)) \times B^k. \tag{130} \]

This means that fixed a \( q \) in \( N \), then the Fiber Volume of \( S \) in a point \((p, q)\) can be different from zero only if \( p \in f^{-1}(B_C(q)) \).

Then, using the Proposition 2.13 we have that the Fiber Volume of \( p_f \) in a point \( q \) is given by

\[ \text{Vol}_{p_f}(q) = \int_M \text{Vol}_S(p, q) d\mu_M \]

\[ = \int_{f^{-1}(B_C(q))} \text{Vol}_S(p, q) d\mu_M \tag{131} \]

\[ \leq K \cdot \mu_M(f^{-1}(B_C(q))). \]
where \( K \) is the maximum of the Fiber Volume of \( S \). Let us observe that since \( f \) is uniformly proper, then the diameter of \( f^{-1}(B_C(q)) \) is uniformly bounded and so, since the curvature of \( M \) is bounded from below, we also have that there is a constant \( V \) such that
\[
\mu_M(f^{-1}(B_C(q))) \leq V \tag{132}
\]
and so
\[
Vol_{\rho_f}(q) \leq K \cdot V \tag{133}
\]
and \( p_f \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map.

### 4.2 The \( T_f \) operator

To define \( T_f \) we need of a particular \( n \)-differential form \( \omega \) on \( B^k \) such that

- \( \int_{B^k} \omega = 1 \),
- \( \omega \) is in \( \Omega_c(B^k) \).

Now we can define the operator \( T_f \) as follow
\[
T_f(\alpha) = \int_{B^k} p^*_f(\alpha) \wedge \omega. \tag{134}
\]

**Proposition 4.4.** Let us consider a uniformly proper lipschitz map \( f \) between Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry. Then the operator \( T_f \) is a bounded operator.

**Proof.** We have that
\[
T_f = \text{pr}_{M,*} \circ e_\omega \circ p^*_f, \tag{135}
\]
where \( e_\omega(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge \omega \) and \( \text{pr}_{M,*} \) is the integration along the fibers of \( \text{pr}_M : M \times B^k \to M \).

We know, by the previous Proposition, that \( p^*_f \) is \( L^2 \)-bounded. Moreover applying Corollary 2.12, we can also prove that \( \text{pr}_{M,*} \) is \( L^2 \)-bounded, indeed \( \text{pr}_M \) is a lipschitz map and its Fiber Volume is 1 for every point \( p \) in \( M \). Then
\[
\| q_{B^k} \| = \| pr^*_M \| = 1. \tag{136}
\]
Finally the operator \( e_\omega \) is \( L^2 \)-bounded. Fix on \( M \times B^k \) a product atlas \( \{(U_\gamma, x^i, t^j)\} \) on \( M \times B^k \) and consider for all \( \gamma \) an orthonormal frame on \( pr_M(U_\gamma) \). A differential form \( \alpha \) can be locally written as
\[
\alpha = \alpha_{I,J}(x,t) e^I \wedge dt^J \tag{137}
\]
where \( I \) and \( J \) are multi-index and
\[
\alpha \wedge \omega = \psi(t) \alpha_{I,0}(x,t) e^I \wedge Vol_{B^k} \tag{138}
\]
where \( \text{Vol}_{B^k} = dt^1 \wedge ... dt^k \) and \( \omega = \psi(t)\text{Vol}_{B^k} \).

Given a partition of unity of \( M \{ \rho_\gamma \} \) related to \( \{ U_\gamma \} \), we have that

\[
\|\alpha\| = \left\| \sum_\gamma \int_{M \times B^k} \rho_\gamma (\alpha_{I,J}, \alpha_{I,J})^2 \text{Vol}_{M \times B^k} \right\|. 
\tag{139}
\]

On the other hand we have that, if \( C_\omega \) is the max of \( \psi \) over \( B^k \),

\[
\|e_\omega(\alpha)\| = \left\| \sum_\gamma \int_{M \times B^k} \rho_\gamma \psi(t)^2 (\alpha_{I,0}, \alpha_{I,0})^2 \text{Vol}_{M \times B^k} \right\| 
\leq \left\| \sum_\gamma \int_{M \times B^k} \rho_\gamma C_\omega (\alpha_{I,0}, \alpha_{I,0})^2 \text{Vol}_{M \times B^k} \right\| 
\leq C_\omega \|\sum_\gamma \int_{M \times B^k} \rho_\gamma (\alpha_{I,0}, \alpha_{I,0})^2 \text{Vol}_{M \times B^k} \| 
\leq C_\omega \|\alpha\|. 
\tag{140}
\]

\( \square \)

Remark 23. In particular the previous Proposition holds if \( f \) is a lipschitz-homotopy equivalence.

**Corollary 4.5.** Given a uniformly proper lipschitz map \( f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h) \) between two Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry, then we have

\[
T_f(\text{dom}(d_{\text{min}})) \subseteq \text{dom}(d_{\text{min}}) 
\tag{141}
\]

and \( T_f d = dT_f \). This, in particular, means that \( T_f \) induces a morphism in \( L^2 \)-cohomology. Moreover, since \( T_f \) is \( L^2 \)-bounded, it also induces a morphism between the reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology groups.

**Proof.** We can observe that the operator \( e_\omega \circ p_f^* \) satisfies the hypothesis of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. In particular we have that \( e_\omega \circ p_f^*(\Omega_c^*(N)) \subseteq \Omega_c^*(M \times B^k) \). So we can apply the Proposition X, pg. 304 of [6], which allow us to say \( pr^*_{M,*}(\Omega_c^*(M \times B^k) \subseteq \Omega_c(M) \) and that

\[
\int_{B^k} d\eta = d \int_{B^k} \eta, 
\tag{142}
\]

if \( \eta \) is in \( \Omega_c^*(M \times B^k) \). Then, using that \( T_f \) is a \( L^2 \)-bounded operator we can conclude applying the Proposition 2.1 and the Corollary 2.2.

\( \square \)

Remark 24. Since \( p_f \) is a \( \Gamma \)-equivariant map, then \( p_f^* \) is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant and, in particular \( T_f \) is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant.
4.3 Lemmas about homotopy

In this section we will study the $L^2$-boundedness of the pullback of some homotopies.

Lemma 4.6. Let $f : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ be a lipschitz map. Then consider the homotopy $H : M \times B^k \times [0, 1] \to N$ defined as

$$H(p, w, t) := pf(p, t \cdot w). \quad (143)$$

Then, if $f$ is a R.-N.-lipschitz map, then also $H$ and $(H, id_{[0,1]})$ are R.-N.-lipschitz maps.

Remark 25. The map $H$ above is important for us because it is a $\Gamma$-equivariant lipschitz-homotopy between $pf$ and $f$. In particular, proving this Lemma, we have that the maps $p_{id} : N \times B^k \to N$ and the projection $pr_N : N \times B^k \to N$ are $\Gamma$-lipschitz-homotopy with an homotopy $H$ which is a R.-N.-lipschitz map, and so $H$ induces a morphism in (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomology.

Proof. We know that $M \times B^k \times \{0, 1\}$ is a subset of null-measure, then we will consider $H$ restricted to $M \times B^k \times (0, 1)$.

We can also observe that since $f$ is a lipschitz map, then also $H$ and $(H, id_{[0,1]})$ are lipschitz maps. Then we just have to prove that they have bounded Fiber Volume.

We can observe that if $pr_N : N \times [0, 1] \to N$ is the projection on the first component, then $H = pr_N \circ (H, id_{[0,1]})$. It follows by the definition of $pf$ that $(H, id_{[0,1]})$ is a submersion and so, applying the Proposition 2.13, we have that

$$Vol_H(q) = \int_0^1 Vol_{(H, id_{[0,1]})(q, t)} dt \quad (144)$$

This fact implies that, if we are able to show that the Fiber Volume of $(H, id_{[0,1]})$ is bounded, then also the Fiber Volume of $H$ is bounded.

Let us consider the projection $pr_N \times (0, 1) : M \times N \times (0, 1) \to N \times (0, 1)$: we can observe that

$$(H, id_{[0,1]}) = pr_N \times (0, 1) \circ (id_M, H, id_{[0,1]}). \quad (145)$$

One can easily check that $(id_M, H, id_{[0,1]})$ is a lipschitz submersion.\footnote{It follows observing that the map $pf(p, s) : B^k \to N$ is a lipschitz submersion for each $(p, s)$ in $M \times (0, 1)$.}

We recall that $pf = \tilde{p}_f \circ P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k})$. Then if we define

$$\tilde{h} : f^* (T^\delta N) \times [0, 1] \to N \quad (146)$$

as

$$\tilde{h}(p, w_{f(p)}, s) = \tilde{p}_f(p, s \cdot w_{f(p)}), \quad (147)$$

then

$$Vol_{\tilde{h}}(q) = \int_0^1 Vol_{\tilde{p}_f \circ P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k})(q, t)} dt.
we obtain that
\[ H = \tilde{h} \circ (P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k}), id_{[0,1]}) \] (148)
and, in particular,
\[ (id_M, H, id_{(0,1)}) = (pr_M, \tilde{h}, id_{(0,1)}) \circ (P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k}), id_{(0,1)}) \] (149)
because \( P \) is a bundle morphism between bundles over \( M \).

We already know that \( P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k}) \) are R.-N.-lipschitz maps (Lemma 4.1). Moreover one can also observe that \( id_{(0,1)} \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz. Then we can apply the Proposition 2.4 to conclude that \( (P \circ (id_M, \delta \cdot id_{B^k}), id_{(0,1)}) \) is an R.-N.-lipschitz map.

This means that if we are able to show that \( pr_{N \times \{0,1\}} \circ (pr_M, \tilde{h}, id_{(0,1)}) \) has bounded Fiber Volume, then also \((H, id_{(0,1)})\) and \( H \) will have bounded Fiber Volume.

Our next step will be to calculate the Fiber Volume of \((pr_M, \tilde{h}, id_{(0,1)})\).

Similarly to the Lemma 4.2, we can consider for each \( p \) in \( M \) some normal coordinates \( \{x^i\} \) around \( p \), an, taking an orthonormal frame \( \{w_i\} \) of \( f^* (TN) \), we have the coordinates \( \{x^i, \alpha^j, t\} \) on \( f^* (TN) \times [0,1] \) around \((p,0,0)\).

Moreover we can also consider the normal coordinates \( \{\tilde{\alpha}^j\} \) around \( f(p) \) in \( N \) related to the basis \( \{w_i(p)\} \) of \( T_f(p)N \) and so we have the normal coordinates \( \{x^i, \tilde{\alpha}^j, t\} \) on \( M \times N \times [0,1] \).

We can observe that the coordinate \( \{x^i, \tilde{\alpha}^j, t\} \) are enough to cover the image of \((id_M, H, id_{[0,1]}\)
which is contained in a \( \delta \)-neighborhood of \( Graph(f) \times [0,1] \).

Finally, respect to these coordinates, we have that
\[ (pr_M, \tilde{h}, id_{[0,1]})(0, \alpha^j, t) = (0, t \cdot \alpha^j, t). \] (150)

Similarly as we did in Lemma 4.2, one can show that
\[ Vol_{f^* (TN) \times [0,1]}(0, \alpha, t) = dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^m \wedge d\alpha^1 \wedge ... \wedge d\alpha^n \wedge dt \] (151)
and that
\[ Vol_{M \times N \times [0,1]}(0, \tilde{\alpha}, t) = \sqrt{det(H_{ij}(0,y))}dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^m \wedge d\tilde{\alpha}^1 \wedge ... \wedge d\tilde{\alpha}^n \wedge dt, \] (152)
where
\[ H_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \frac{1}{3} R_{ikjl} \tilde{\alpha}^i \tilde{\alpha}^j + O(\tilde{\alpha}^3) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \] (153)

Then we have that \((pr_M, \tilde{h}, id_{[0,1]}\) is a diffeomorphism with its image and so applying Remark 12 its Fiber Volume is given by
\[ |(pr_M, \tilde{h}, id_{[0,1]}^{-1})^{-1} \frac{Vol_{f^* (TN) \times [0,1]}}{(pr_M, \tilde{h}, id_{[0,1]})*Vol_{M \times N \times [0,1]}}|. \] (154)
Then we can observe that in a point \((0, \tilde{a}^j, t)\) we have that the Fiber Volume of 
\(\text{Vol}_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{id}_0, \tilde{h}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})\) is
\[
\frac{\text{Vol}_{f^{*}TN \times [0,1]}(\text{pr}_\mathcal{M}, \tilde{h}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})}{\text{Vol}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \times [0,1]}(\text{pr}_\mathcal{M}, \tilde{h}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})} = \frac{1}{t^n}(1 + C(t, \tilde{a})),
\]
where \(C\) is a bounded function.

Let us consider now the projection \(pr_\mathcal{N} \times [0,1]: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \times (0,1) \to \mathcal{N} \times (0,1)\). Following the Proposition 2.13 we have that
\[
\text{Vol}(\text{id}, \mathcal{N}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})(q,t) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \text{Vol}(\text{id}, \mathcal{M}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})(p,q,t)d\mu_{\mathcal{M}}.
\]
We know that outside the image of \((\text{id}, \mathcal{H}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})\) the Fiber Volume \(\text{Vol}(\text{id}, \mathcal{M}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})\) is null. So if we denote by \(H_t := H(\cdot, \cdot, t)\), the Fiber Volume it is null also outside \(pr_\mathcal{M}(H_t^{-1}(q)) \times \{q\} \times \{t\}\). Since \(H\) is lipschitz, applying Remark 2 and we have
\[
H_t^{-1}(q) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_{C_H t}(q)) \times B_k \times \{t\}
\]
and so
\[
\text{Vol}(\text{id}, \mathcal{M}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})(q,t) = \int_{f^{-1}(B_{C_H t}(q))} \text{Vol}(\text{id}, \mathcal{M}, \text{id}_{[0,1]})(p,q,t)d\mu_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \frac{1}{t^n}(1 + C(t, \tilde{y})) \cdot (K_C H t^n (1 + L(t)))
\]
and so \((H, \text{id}_{[0,1]})\) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map and so also
\[
H = pr_N \circ (H, \text{id}_{[0,1]})
\]
is an R.-N.-lipschitz map.

**Proposition 4.7.** Consider two uniformly proper lipschitz maps \(g: (S,l) \to (M,g)\) and \(f: (M,g) \to (N,h)\) between manifolds of bounded geometry. Then the map \(H: S \times B^k \times B^j \times [0,1] \to N\) defined as
\[
H(p, w_1, w_2, t) \to p_f(p_g(p,t \cdot w_2), w_1)
\]
and the map \((H, \text{id}_{[0,1]})\) are R.-N.-lipschitz maps.
Remark 26. The map $H$ above is important for us because it is a $\Gamma$-equivariant lipschitz-homotopy between $p_f \circ (p_g, id_{B^k})$ and $\overline{p}_{fog} : S \times B^k \times B^j \to N$ defined as

$$\overline{p}_{fog}(p, t_1, t_2) = p_{fog}(p, t_2).$$

(163)

In particular, proving this Lemma, we prove that the homotopy $H$ is a R.-N.-lipschitz map, and so $H$ induces a morphism in (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomology.

Proof. Exactly as in the previous Proposition, we can just consider the restriction of $H$ to $S \times B^j \times B^k \times B^j \times (0, 1)$. Moreover, again as in the previous Proposition, if we are able to show that $(H, id_{[0,1]})$ is a R.-N.-lipschitz map, then also $H$ is a R.-N.-lipschitz map.

If we denote by $\pi$ the projection $S \times B^k \times N \times [0, 1] \to N \times [0, 1]$ on the last two components, then we can observe that $(H, id_{[0,1]}) = \pi \circ (id_{S \times B_k}, H, id_{[0,1]})$ and, applying the Proposition 2.13 we have that

$$Vol_{H, id_{[0,1]}}(q,s) := \int_{S \times B^k} Vol_{id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}}(p, t_2, q, s) d\mu_{S \times B^k}. \quad (164)$$

We will start studying $Vol_{id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}}$. To do this we have to define the map

$$\tilde{g} : g^*(T^\delta M) \times [0, 1] \to M$$

$$(p, w_{g(p)}, s) \to \tilde{p}_g(p, s \cdot w_{g(p)})$$

(165)

Then we will denote by

$$\tilde{h} := p_f \circ (\tilde{g}, id_{B^k}),$$

(166)

and so we can observe that

$$H := \tilde{h} \circ (P_S \circ (id_S, \delta_M \cdot id_{B^j}), id_{B^k \times [0,1]}),$$

(167)

where $P_S$ and $\delta_M \cdot id_{B^j}$ are the map such that

$$p_g = \tilde{p}_g \circ P_S \circ (id_S, \delta_M \cdot id_{B^j}).$$

(168)

In particular we have that, if we denote by

$$\mathcal{A} := (P_S \circ (id_S, \delta_M \cdot id_{B^j}), id_{B^k \times [0,1]}$$

(169)

then we have that

$$(id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}) = (id_{S \times B^k}, \tilde{h}, id_{[0,1]} \circ \mathcal{A}).$$

(170)

Our next goal is to compute the Fiber Volume of $(id_{S \times B^k}, \tilde{h}, id_{[0,1]})$ to study the Fiber Volume of $(id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}).

In a similar way we did in the previous Proposition and in Lemma 4.2, we can consider some coordinates $\{x^i, a^r, y^i_2, t\}$ around a point $(p, 0, 0, 0)$ in $g^*(T^\delta M) \times$
$B^k \times [0, 1]$ and some normal coordinates $\{x^i, \tilde{a}^i, y^j_2, t\}$ on $S \times N \times B^k \times [0, 1]$. Similarly to the previous Proposition, we have that, in this coordinates, 

$$(id_{S \times B^k}, \tilde{h}, id_{[0,1]})(0, a, y_2, t) = (0, t a, y_2, t).$$  

Then exactly as we did in the previous Proposition, one can check that the Fiber Volume in a point $(0, \tilde{y}_1, y_2, t)$ is given by 

$$Vol(id_{S \times B^k}, \tilde{h}, id_{[0,1]})(0, \tilde{y}_1, y_2, t) \leq \frac{1}{t^n} C$$  

(172) 

for some constant $C$.

Moreover, we can observe that, using the Proposition 2.4 and the Lemma 4.1 we can prove that the Fiber Volume of $A$ is bounded by a constant $J$. Then, using this result, we can apply the Proposition 2.13 and so we have that 

$$Vol(id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}(0, \tilde{y}_1, y_2, t) \leq \frac{1}{t^n} C \cdot J \leq \frac{1}{t^n} C_1.$$  

(173) 

and so, following the proof of the previous Proposition, one can easily show that 

$$Vol(id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}(0, \tilde{y}_1, y_2, t) \leq \frac{1}{t^n} C_1.$$  

(174) 

We will conclude this proof in a very similar way we concluded the previous one: we have that the Fiber Volume of $(H, id_{[0,1]}(q, t)$ is given by 

$$Vol(H, id_{[0,1]}(q, t) = \int_{S \times B^k} Vol(id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}(p, w_2, q, t) d\mu_{S \times B^k};$$  

(175) 

but we know that outside the image of $(id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}$, its Fiber Volume is null. In particular this means that if we denote by $H_t$ the map $S \times B^j \times B^k \rightarrow N$ defined as 

$$H_t := H(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, t),$$  

(176) 

then the Fiber Volume of $(id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]}$ restricted to $S \times B^k \times \{q\} \times \{t\}$ is null outside $pr_{S \times B^k}(H_t^{-1}(q)) \times \{q\} \times \{t\}$. Then, we can observe that since $H$ is lipschitz, we can apply the Remark 13 and we have 

$$H_t^{-1}(q) \subseteq H_0^{-1}(B_{C_H t}(q))$$  

$= \overline{p_{fog}^{-1}(B_{C_H t}(q))}$  

$= \overline{p_{fog}^{-1}(B_{C_H t}(q)) \times B^j}$  

(177) 

and so 

$$pr_{S \times B^k}(H_t^{-1}(q)) \times \{q\} \times \{t\} \subseteq p_{fog}^{-1}(B_{C_H t}(q)).$$  

(178) 

Then we can observe that, since $f \circ g$ is a uniformly proper lipschitz map, $p_{fog}$ is a R.-N.-lipschitz map, and so 

$$\mu(p_{fog}^{-1}(B_{C_H t}(q))) \leq K_0 \mu(B_{C_H t}(q)) \leq C_2 t^n(1 + L(t)),$$  

(179)
where $L(t)$ is a bounded function. Then we can conclude observing that

$$
Vol((H, id_{[0,1]}))(q,t) = \int_{S \times B^k} Vol(id_{S \times B^k}, H, id_{[0,1]})(p, w_2, q, t) d\mu_{S \times B^k}
\leq \left(\frac{1}{t^n} C_1\right)(C_2 t^n (1 + L(t))) = K \frac{1}{t^n} t^n = K.
$$

(180)

Then $(H, id_{[0,1]})$ is R.-N.-Lipschitz and the same holds for $H$.

4.4 Lipschitz-homotopy invariance of (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomology

Consider the category $C$ which has manifolds of bounded geometry as objects and uniformly proper Lipschitz maps as arrows. Consider, moreover, the category $\text{Vec}$ which has complex vector spaces as objects and linear maps as arrows. In this section we will show that, for every $z$ in $\mathbb{N}$, the association $F : C \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ defined as

$$
\left\{
F(M, g) = H^z_2(M) \\
F((M, g) \xrightarrow{f} (N, h)) = H^z_2(N) \xrightarrow{T_f} H^z_2(M)
\right.
$$

is a contravariant functor. Moreover, we will show that if two maps $f_1$ and $f_2$ are uniformly proper lipschitz-homotopy then $T_{f_1} = T_{f_2}$ in (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomology and that if $f$ is a R.-N.-Lipschitz map, then $f^* = T_f$ in (un)-reduced $L^2$-cohomology.

This fact will imply that if $f$ is a lipschitz-homotopy equivalence between manifolds of bounded geometry, then the $L^2$-cohomology groups are isomorphic. In other words, the $L^2$-cohomology is a lipschitz-homotopy invariant.

Let us introduce, now the operator $\int_0^1_{LC}$: it will be the main tool that we will use to study homotopies.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and consider $([0,1], g|_{[0,1]})$. Then there is a $L^2$-bounded operator $\int_0^1_{LC} : \Omega^*(M \times [0,1]) \cap L^2(M \times [0,1]) \rightarrow \Omega^*(M) \cap L^2(M)$ such that for all smooth $\alpha \in L^2(M \times [0,1])$ we have

$$
i^*_1\alpha - i^*_0\alpha = \int_0^1 d\alpha + d \int_0^1 \alpha
$$

(182)

Moreover $\int_0^1_{LC}$ sends compactly-supported differential forms on $\Omega^*(M \times [0,1])$ into $\Omega^*_c(M)$.

**Proof.** Let $\alpha$ be in $\Omega^*_c(M \times [0,1])$ with compact support and let $p : M \times [0,1] \rightarrow M$ be the projection on the first component. There are two possibilities

$$
\alpha = f(x, t)p^*\omega
$$

(183)

or

$$
\alpha = f(x, t)dt \wedge p^*\omega
$$

(184)
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for some $\omega$ in $\Omega_c(M)$ and for some $C^\infty$-class function $f : M \times [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then we can define the operator $\int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1$ as follow: if $\alpha$ is a 0-form then
\[
\int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1 \alpha := 0
\]
otherwise
\[
\int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1 \alpha := (\int_0^1 f(x,t)dt)\omega. \tag{186}
\]
This operator is very similar to the operator $q_{[0,1]}$ (the integration along the fiber of $p : M \times [0,1] \rightarrow M$), but they are different for the signs. Indeed if we consider $\alpha = f(x,t)dt \wedge p^*\omega$ we have that
\[
q_{[0,1]}\alpha = \int_{[0,1]} f(x,t)dt \wedge p^*\omega
\]
\[
= \int_{[0,1]} (-1)^{deg(p^*\omega)}p^*\omega \wedge f(x,t)dt. \tag{187}
\]
Then applying the Projection Formula we obtain
\[
q_{[0,1]}\alpha = (-1)^{deg(p^*\omega)}\omega \cdot (\int_{[0,1]} f(x,t)dt)
\]
\[
= (-1)^{deg(p^*\omega)}\omega \cdot (\int_0^1 f(x,t)dt) \tag{188}
\]
\[
= (-1)^{deg(p^*\omega)}\int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1 \alpha.
\]
Moreover the operator $\int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1$ doesn’t commute with the exterior derivative $d$ on $\Omega_C^{CV}(M \times [0,1])$, indeed if we consider for example $M = U$ an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$
\[
d\int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1 \alpha = d(\int_0^1 f(x,t)dt)
\]
\[
= (\int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dt) \wedge dx^i \tag{189}
\]
but
\[
\int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1 d\alpha = \int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1 (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i \wedge dt)
\]
\[
= \int_{0,\mathcal{L}}^1 (-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dt \wedge dx^i) \tag{190}
\]
\[
= -((\int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dt)dx^i.
\]
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Since the operator \( \int_{0}^{1} L \), up to signs, is the operator of integration along the fibers, then we have that the norm is the same of \( q_{[0,1]} = 1 \). We know from Lemma 11.4 of [?] that \( \int_{0}^{1} L : \Omega^* (M \times [0,1]) \rightarrow \Omega^{*-1}(M) \) and that for all differential forms we have that
\[
\int_{0}^{1} d\alpha + d \int_{0}^{1} L = i_1^* \alpha - i_0^* \alpha.
\] (191)

**Proposition 4.9.** Let \( \text{id} : (N, h) \rightarrow (N, h) \) be the identity map on a manifold of bounded geometry and consider \( p_{\text{id}} \) the submersion related to the identity. Then, if \( pr_N : N \times B^k \rightarrow N \) is the projection on the first component, then exists a \( L^2 \)-bounded operator \( K_1 : \mathcal{L}^2(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(N \times B^k) \) such that for every smooth form \( \alpha \)
\[
p_{\text{id}}^* \alpha - pr_N^* \alpha = d \circ K_1 \alpha + K_1 \circ d\alpha.
\] (192)
Moreover, if \( \alpha \) is in \( \Omega^*_c(N) \), then \( K_1 \alpha \in \Omega^*(N \times B^k) \) has compact support.

**Proof.** First one can observe that \( pr_N \) is a lipschitz submersion with bounded Fiber Volume and so \( pr_N^* \) is a bounded operator.

Now we can observe that \( p_{\text{id}} \) and the projection \( pr_N : (N \times B^k, h + g_{\text{eucl}}) \rightarrow (N, h) \) are lipschitz-homotopy. Indeed, if we take
\[
H(p, t, s) = p_{\text{id}}(p, st)
\] (193)
this is a lipschitz homotopy. Moreover, since Lemma 4.6 we know that \( H \) is R.-N.-lipschitz and so \( H^* \) is an \( L^2 \)-bounded operator.

This means that for all \( \alpha \) in \( \Omega^*_c(N) \), using Lemma 11.4. of [?] we have that
\[
p_{\text{id}}^* \alpha - pr_N^* \alpha = i_1^* H^* \alpha - i_0^* H^* \alpha = \int_{0}^{1} H^* d\alpha + d \int_{0}^{1} H^* \alpha.
\] (194)
Then
\[
K_1 := \int_{0}^{1} \circ H^*.
\] (195)
satisfies (192)

**Proposition 4.10.** Consider \( g : (M, m) \rightarrow (N, h) \) and \( f : (N, h) \rightarrow (S, r) \) two uniformly proper lipschitz maps between manifolds of bounded geometry. Let us denote by \( p_g : M \times B^* \rightarrow N \) and \( p_f : N \times B^1 \rightarrow S \) the submersion related to \( g \) and \( f \). Then there is a \( L^2 \)-bounded operator \( K_2 : \mathcal{L}^2(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(M \times B^* \times B^1) \) such that for every smooth form \( \alpha \)
\[
(p_g, \text{id}_{B^1})^* \circ p_f^* \alpha - \varphi_{fog}^* \alpha = d \circ K_2 \alpha + K_2 \circ d\alpha,
\] (196)
where \( \varphi_{fog} : M \times B^* \times B^1 \rightarrow S \) is the map defined as
\[
\varphi_{fog}(p, s, t) = p_{fog}(p, t).
\] (197)
Moreover, if \( \alpha \) is in \( \Omega^*_c(S) \), then \( K_2 \alpha \in \Omega^*(M \times B^* \times B^1) \) has compact support.
Proof. Let us define the map

\[ H : M \times B^s \times B^j \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S \]

\[ (p, t_1, t_2, \tau) \rightarrow pf(p_g, id_B, (p, A(t_1, \tau), t_2)) \]

Observe that \( H \) is the homotopy between \( pf \) and \( pf \circ (p_g, id_B) \); indeed, following the Theorem 3.4, we have that

\[ pf = pf \circ (g, id_B) \]

and so

\[ H(p, t_1, t_2, 0) = pf(p_g, id_B, (p, t_1, t_2)) = pf \circ (g, id_B)(p, t_1, t_2) \]

and

\[ H(p, t_1, t_2, 1) = pf(p_g, id_B, (p, t_1, t_2)) \]

Moreover, since the Lemma 4.7, \( H \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map. Let us define the operator

\[ K_2 := \int_{0}^{1} \circ H^*_2. \]

It is a \( L^2 \)-bounded operator because it is composition of \( L^2 \)-bounded operators. Then we can observe that for every smooth form \( \alpha \) we have

\[ (p_g, id_B)^* \circ pf_\alpha = (i_0^* - i_1^*) H^*_2 \alpha \]

\[ = (d \circ \int_{0}^{1} o H^*_2 \alpha + (\int_{0}^{1} d) \circ H^*_2 \alpha \]

\[ = d \circ K_2 \alpha + K_2 \circ d \alpha. \]

Finally, since \( H \) is a proper map (it is composition of proper maps), if \( \alpha \in \Omega^*_c(S) \) the support of \( K_2 \alpha \in \Omega^*_c(M \times B^s \times B^j) \) is compact.

Proposition 4.11. Let \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 : (M, m) \rightarrow (N, h) \) be two uniformly proper lipschitz maps between manifolds of bounded geometry. Let us suppose that \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are uniformly proper lipschitz-homotopy. Then there is a \( L^2 \)-bounded operator

\[ K_3 : L^2(S) \rightarrow L^2(M \times B^s \times B^j) \]

such that for all smooth form \( \alpha \)

\[ pf_1 \alpha - pf_2 \alpha = d \circ K_3 \alpha + K_3 \circ d \alpha. \]

Moreover if \( \alpha \in \Omega^*_c(N) \) then the support of \( K_3 \alpha \in \Omega^*_c(M \times B^k) \) is compact.

Proof. To prove the assertion it is sufficient to observe that \( h \), the homotopy such that \( h(p, 0) = f_1(p) \) and \( h(p, 1) = f_2(p) \), is a uniformly proper lipschitz map. This means, since \( p_h : M \times [0, 1] \times B^k \rightarrow N \) is a R.-N.-lipschitz map (Corollary 4.3) and so \( p_h^* \) is a \( L^2 \)-bounded operator.

Moreover, up to switch \([0, 1]\) and \( B^k \), \( p_h \) is a lipschitz-homotopy between \( pf_1 \) and
This fact follows directly by the definition of submersion related to a lipschitz map in Theorem 3.4.

So we can conclude exactly as we did in the first and second points taking

\[ K_3 := \int_{0}^{1} \phi h \]  

and using that \( p_h \) is a proper map.

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 4.12.** Consider \((M, g), (N, h)\) and \((S, l)\) three manifolds of bounded geometry and consider \( f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h) \), \( F : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h) \) and \( g : (S, l) \rightarrow (M, g) \) three uniformly proper lipschitz maps. Then, in \( L^2 \)-cohomology, we have that

1. \( \text{Id}_M = \text{Id}_{H^2(M)} \),
2. \( T_f \circ g = T_g \circ T_f \),
3. if \( f \sim F \) then \( T_f = T_F \),
4. if \( f \) is an \( R.-N.\)-lipschitz map then \( f^* = T_f \).

Moreover, since the operator \( T_f \) is bounded, then the identities above also holds in reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology.

**Proof.** **Point 1.** Let us consider the standard projection \( pr_M : M \times B^k \rightarrow M \).

Then, since the previous proposition we have that for all smooth forms \( \alpha \) in \( \Omega^*_c(M) \),

\[ pr_M^* - p_{id}^*(\alpha) = d \circ K_1 + K_1 \circ d(\alpha). \]  

(206)

Now, we can write the identity map in \( L^2(M) \) as

\[ 1(\alpha) := \int_{B^k} pr_M^* \alpha \wedge \omega = pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ pr_M^*(\alpha) \]  

(207)

where \( pr_{M*} \) is the operator of integration along le fibers of \( pr_M \) and \( \omega \in \Omega^k_c(B^k) \) is a differential form such that its integral equals to 1.

We have that for every \( \alpha \in \Omega^*_c(M) \)

\[ 1 - T_{id_M}(\alpha) = pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ pr_M^*(\alpha) - pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ p_{id}^*(\alpha) \]

\[ = pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ (pr_M^* - p_{id}^*)\alpha \]

\[ = pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ (d \circ K_1 + K_1 \circ d)\alpha. \]  

(208)

Now we can observe that since \( \omega \) is closed, we have that \( d(\alpha \wedge \omega) = (d\alpha) \wedge \omega \). Moreover \( \alpha \wedge \omega \) is in \( \Omega^*_c(M \times B^k) \). This means that the exterior derivative can be switched with \( pr_{M*} \) and then we have

\[ 1 - T_{id_M}(\alpha) = d \circ pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ K_1 + pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ K_1 \circ d(\alpha) \]

\[ = d \circ Y_1 + Y_1 \circ d(\alpha), \]  

(209)
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where
\[ Y_1 := pr_{M^*} \circ e_\omega \circ K_1. \] (210)

It’s possible to observe that \( Y_1 \) is an \( L^2 \)-bounded operator: if we are able to show that the equation (209) holds for all \( \beta \) in \( \text{dom}(d_{\text{min}}) \), then we conclude the first point. To do this it is necessary to show that \( Y_1(\text{dom}(d_{\text{min}}) \subset \text{dom}(d_{\text{min}}) \).

Consider, then \( \beta \) in \( \text{dom}(d_{\text{min}}) \): we have that there is a sequence \( \{ \alpha _j \} \) in \( \Omega _c^*(M) \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\beta &= \lim_{j \to +\infty} \alpha _j \\
d\beta &= \lim_{j \to +\infty} d\alpha _j.
\end{align*}
\] (211)

We can observe that the support of \( K_1 \alpha _j \) is compact for all \( j \). Then it means that \( Y_1 \alpha _j \) is a smooth differential form in \( M \). Since \( M \) has not boundary, it means that \( Y_1 \alpha _j \in \Omega _c^*(M) \). Then we can observe that
\[ Y_1 \beta = Y_1(\lim_{j \to +\infty} \alpha _j) = \lim_{j \to +\infty} Y_1 \alpha _j \] (212)
and, moreover
\[ \lim_{j \to +\infty} dY_1 \alpha _j = \lim_{j \to +\infty} Y_1 d\alpha _j + \alpha _j + T_{id_M} \alpha _j \]
\[ = Y_1 d\beta + \beta + T_{id_M} \beta \in L^2(M). \] (213)

Now we can see that the equation (213) implies that \( Y_1(\text{dom}(d_{\text{min}}) \subset \text{dom}(d_{\text{min}}) \).

Point 2. Consider the submersions \( p_f : M \times B^j \to N, p_g : S \times B^j \to M \), \( p_{fog} : S \times B^j \to N \) related to \( f, g \), and \( f \circ g \). Then, since the previous Proposition, we have that for every \( \alpha \) in \( \Omega _c^*(N) \)
\[
(p_g, id_{B^j})^* \circ p_f^* - p_{fog}^*(\alpha) = d \circ K_2 + K_2 \circ d(\alpha). \] (216)

Then if \( \omega \) and \( \omega ' \) are in \( \Omega _c^*(B^j) \) and in \( \Omega _c^*(B^k) \) such that their integral equal to 1, then
\[
T_{fog} = pr_{S^*} \circ e_\omega \circ p_{fog}^*
= pr_{S^*} \circ e_\omega \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_{\omega '} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ p_{fog}^*. \] (217)
where \( pr_S : S \times B^k \times B^j \rightarrow S \) and \( pr_{S \times B^j} : S \times B^k \times B^j \rightarrow S \times B^j \) are the standard projections. We can observe that
\[
\overline{p}_{fog} = p_{fog} \circ pr_{S \times B^j},
\]
and so we have that
\[
T_{fog} = pr_{S^*} \circ e_\omega \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_{\omega'} \circ \overline{p}_{fog}^*.
\]

Now we will focus on \( T_g \circ T_f \). We have that
\[
T_g \circ T_f = pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ p_g^* \circ pr_{M^*} \circ e_\omega \circ p_f^*.
\]

It’s possible to apply the Proposition VIII of Chapter 5 in \([6]\) to the fiber bundles \((S \times B^k \times B^j, pr_{S \times B^j}, S \times B^k, B^j)\) and \((M \times B^j, pr_M, M, B^j)\) and the bundle morphism \((\psi, \Psi) = (p_g, (p_g, id_{B^j}))\). Then we obtain that
\[
p_g^* \circ pr_{M^*} = pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ (p_g, id_{B^j})^*.
\]

Finally, since \( \omega' = id_{B^j}^* \omega' \), we have that also \( e_{\omega'} \) and \( (p_g, id_{B^j})^* \) commute. This means that
\[
T_g \circ T_f = pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ p_g^* \circ pr_{M^*} \circ e_\omega \circ p_f^*
\]
\[
= pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ (p_g, id_{B^j})^* \circ e_\omega \circ p_f^*
\]
\[
= pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_\omega \circ (p_g, id_{B^j})^* \circ p_f^*
\]
\[
= pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_\omega \circ p_f(p_g, id_{B^j})^*.
\]

This means that, on \( dom(d_{\min}) \), since Proposition 9.2, we have
\[
T_{fog} - T_g \circ T_f = pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_\omega \circ (\overline{p}_{fog} - p_f(p_g, id_{B^j})^*)
\]
\[
= pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_\omega \circ (K_2 \circ d - d \circ K_2).
\]

Now, with the same arguments we did in the previous point, one can easily check that
\[
pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_\omega \circ d = d \circ pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_\omega.
\]

Let us define the \( L^2 \)-bounded operator
\[
Y_2 := pr_{S^*} \circ e_{\omega'} \circ pr_{S \times B^j}^* \circ e_\omega \circ K_2.
\]

Then we have that for all \( \alpha \) in \( \Omega^* \_c(N) \) the equality
\[
T_{fog} - T_g \circ T_f(\alpha) = d \circ Y_2 + Y_2 \circ d(\alpha)
\]
holds. To conclude the proof we have to show that the equation above also holds for every \( \beta \) in \( dom(d_{\min}) \). However the proof of this fact is exactly the same proof we did to show that
\[
1 \beta + T_{d_{\min}} \beta = d Y_1 \beta + Y_1 d \beta
\]
for every $\beta$ in $\text{dom}(d_{\min})$. Then, in $L^2$-cohomology,

$$T_{f \circ g} = T_g \circ T_f. \quad (228)$$

**Point 3.** Let us consider the homotopy $h$ between $f$ and $F$. We know, since Proposition 9.3 that there is a $L^2$-bounded operator $K_3$, such that for every $\alpha$ in $\Omega_c^*(N)$

$$p_f^* - p_F^*(\alpha) = d \circ K_3 + K_3 \circ d(\alpha). \quad (229)$$

This means that if we consider the $L^2$-bounded operator

$$Y_3 := pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ K_3,$$

then for all $\alpha$ in $\Omega_c^*(N)$ we have that

$$T_f - T_F(\alpha) = pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ (p_f^* - p_F^*)$$

$$= pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ (d \circ K_3 + K_3 \circ d)(\alpha) \quad (231)$$

$$= d \circ Y_3 + Y_3 \circ d(\alpha).$$

To show that the identity above holds for all $\beta$ in $\text{dom}(d_{\min})$ it is sufficient to do exactly the same thing we did to prove that

$$1\beta + T_{id_M} \beta = dY_1 \beta + Y_1 d\beta \quad (232)$$

for every $\beta$ in $\text{dom}(d_{\min})$. Then, in $L^2$-cohomology,

$$T_f = T_F. \quad (233)$$

**Point 4.** To prove this statement we have to observe that, since Theorem 3.4 we have that

$$p_f = p_{id} \circ (f, id_{B^k}). \quad (234)$$

Let us consider, now, a form $\alpha \in \Omega_c^*(N)$: we have that

$$T_f \alpha = pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ p_f^* \alpha$$

$$= pr_{M*} \circ e_\omega \circ (f, id_{B^k})^* \circ p_{id}^* \alpha \quad (235)$$

$$= pr_{M*} \circ (f, id_{B^k})^* \circ e_\omega \circ p_{id}^* \alpha.$$
The last equality is true since \( \omega = id_{B_k}^* \omega \). Now, using the Proposition VIII of Chapter 5 of [6] we have that

\[
T_f \alpha = pr_{M^*} \circ (f, id_{B_k})^* \circ e_\omega \circ p_{id}^* \alpha \\
= f^* \circ pr_{N^*} \circ e_\omega \circ p_{id}^* \alpha \\
= f^* \circ T_{id_N} \alpha
\]  

(236)

Since \( f \) is R.-N.-lipschitz, we have that \( f^* \) is \( L^2 \)-bounded. This means that\( f^* \circ T_{id_N} \) implies that

\[
T_f = f^* \circ T_{id_N}.
\]  

(237)

Then we have that on \( dom(d_{min}) \) we have that

\[
f^* - T_f = f^* \circ (1 - T_{id_N}) \\
= f^* \circ (d \circ Y_1 + Y_1 \circ d).
\]  

(238)

But now we can observe that \( f^*(\Omega_c^*(N)) \subseteq \Omega_c^*(M) \) since \( f \) is proper and for all smooth form \( \alpha \) we have that \( f^* \alpha = df^* \alpha \). Then we have that on \( dom(d_{min}) \)

\[
f^* - T_f = f^* \circ (d \circ Y_1 + Y_1 \circ d) \\
= d \circ W + W \circ d,
\]  

(239)

where

\[
W = f^* \circ Y_1.
\]  

(240)

And so in \( L^2 \)-cohomology we have that

\[
f^* = T_f.
\]  

(241)

To conclude the proof we have to show that all the identities above also holds in reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology. To do this is sufficient to show that if \( Z \) is an operator such that

\[
dZ + Zd
\]  

is a bounded operator on \( dom(d) \), then, in reduced \( L^2 \) cohomology, it is the null operator. After that, using Corollary 9.6 and the previous points, we can conclude the proof.

Consider a differential form \( \alpha + \lim_{k \to +\infty} d\beta_k \) on \( ker(d) \). We have that

\[
dZ + Zd(\alpha + \lim_{k \to +\infty} d\beta_k) = dZ + Zd( \lim_{k \to +\infty} \alpha + d\beta_k) \\
= \lim_{k \to +\infty} dZ + Zd(\alpha + d\beta_k) \\
= \lim_{k \to +\infty} dZ(\alpha + d\beta_k) \in im(d).
\]  

(243)

This means that on reduced \( L^2 \)-cohomology \( dZ + Zd \) is the null operator. \( \square \)
Remark 27. Let us consider the category $\mathcal{B}$ which has manifolds of bounded geometry as objects and uniformly proper $\mathbb{R}$.-$\mathbb{N}$.-lipschitz maps as arrows.

We can define the functor $I : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ defined as

\[
\begin{cases}
I(M, g) = (M, g) \\
I((M, g) \xrightarrow{f} (N, h)) = (M, g) \xrightarrow{L} (N, h).
\end{cases}
\] (244)

Consider moreover, for all $z \in \mathbb{N}$, the functor $G : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbf{Vec}$ defined as

\[
\begin{cases}
G(M, g) = H^z_2(M) \\
G((M, g) \xrightarrow{f} (N, h)) = H^z_2(N) \xrightarrow{L} H^z_2(M).
\end{cases}
\] (245)

As consequence of the last point we have that

\[
G = F \circ I.
\] (246)

All this also holds if we replace $H^z_2$ with $\overline{H}^z_2$.

Corollary 4.13. Let $(M, g)$ and $(N, h)$ be two manifolds of bounded geometry. Let $f : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ be a lipschitz-homotopy equivalence. Then $T_f$ induces an isomorphism in (reduce or not) $L^2$-cohomology.

Proof. We will prove this fact for the unreduced $L^2$-cohomology. The reduced case can be proved exactly in the same way.

Observe that if $f$ is a lipschitz-homotopy equivalence: then it is a uniformly proper lipschitz map. For the same reason also its homotopy inverse $g$ is a uniformly proper lipschitz map. Moreover since $g \circ f$ is lipschitz-homotopy to $id_M$ then, using Lemma 7.2, we also have that $g \circ f$ is uniformly proper lipschitz homotopy to $id_{\overline{M}}$. Then we have that in $L^2$-cohomology.

\[
1_{H^z_2(M)} = T_{id_M} = T_{g \circ f} = T_f \circ T_g.
\] (247)

Using the same arguments one can see that

\[
1_{H^z_2(N)} = T_g \circ T_f.
\] (248)
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