COSMETIC CROSSING CONJECTURE FOR GENUS ONE KNOTS WITH NON-TRIVIAL ALEXANDER POLYNOMIAL
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ABSTRACT. We prove the cosmetic crossing conjecture for genus one knots with non-trivial Alexander polynomial. We also prove the conjecture for genus one knots with trivial Alexander polynomial, under some additional assumptions.

1. INTRODUCTION

A cosmetic crossing is a non-nugatory crossing such that the crossing change at the crossing preserves the knot. A cosmetic crossing conjecture [Kir, Problem 1.58] asserts there are no such crossings.

Conjecture 1 (Cosmetic crossing conjecture). An oriented knot $K$ in $S^3$ does not have cosmetic crossings.

Here a crossing $c$ of a knot diagram $D$ is nugatory if there is a circle $C$ on the projection plane that transverse to the diagram $D$ only at $c$. Obviously the crossing change at a nugatory crossing always preserves the knot, so the cosmetic crossing conjecture can be rephrased that when a crossing change at a crossing $c$ preserves the knot, then $c$ is nugatory.

In [BFKP] Balm-Friedl-Kalfagianni-Powell proved the following constraints for genus one knots to admit a cosmetic crossing.

Theorem 1.1. [BFKP] Theorem 1.1, Theorem 5.1] Let $K$ be a genus one knot that admits a cosmetic crossing. Then $K$ has the following properties.

- $K$ is algebraically slice.
- For the double branched covering $Σ_2(K)$ of $K$, $H_1(Σ_2(K);\mathbb{Z})$ is finite cyclic.
- If $K$ has a unique genus one Seifert surface, $Δ_K(t) = 1$.

In this paper, by using the 2-loop part of the Kontsevich invariant, we prove the cosmetic crossing conjecture for genus one knot with non-trivial Alexander polynomial.

Theorem 1.2. Let $K$ be a genus one knot. If $Δ_K(t) \neq 1$, then $K$ satisfies the cosmetic crossing conjecture.

For genus one knot $K$ with $Δ_K(t) = 1$ we get an additional constraint for $K$ to admit a cosmetic crossing. Let $λ$ be the Casson invariant of integral homology spheres and let $w_3(K) = \frac{1}{36}V''''_K(1) + \frac{1}{12}V''_K(1)$ be the primitive integer-valued degree 3 finite type invariant of $K$. Here $V_K(t)$ is the Jones polynomial of $K$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $K$ be a genus one knot with $Δ_K(t) = 1$. If $λ(Σ_2(K))−2w_3(K) \neq 0$ (mod 16), then $K$ satisfies the cosmetic crossing conjecture.
The cosmetic crossing conjecture has been confirmed for several cases: 2-bridge knots \[\{\text{To}1\}\], fibered knots \[\{\text{Kal}\}\], knots whose double branched coverings are L-spaces with square-free 1st homology \[\{\text{LiMg}\}\], and some satellite knots \[\{\text{BaKa}\}\]. Except the last satellite cases and the unknot, all the knots mentioned so far, including knots treated in Theorem \[\{\text{11}\}\] has non-trivial Alexander polynomial.

Theorem \[\{\text{13}\}\] gives examples of non-satellite knots with trivial Alexander polynomial satisfying the cosmetic crossing conjecture. Let \(K = \mathbb{P}(p, q, r)\) be the pretzel knot for odd \(p, q, r\). Obviously, as long as \(K\) is non-trivial, 
\[
\Delta_K(t) = \frac{pq + qr + rp + 1}{4} t + \frac{-2pq - 2qr - 2rp + 1}{2} + \frac{pq + qr + rp + 1}{4} t^{-1}.
\]
Hence, for example, the pretzel knot \(\mathbb{P}(4k + 1, 4k + 3, -(2k + 1))\) has the trivial Alexander polynomial.

**Corollary 1.4.** If \(k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}\), the pretzel knot \(\mathbb{P}(4k + 1, 4k + 3, -(2k + 1))\) satisfies the cosmetic crossing conjecture.

### 2. Cosmetic crossing of genus one knot and Seifert surface

We review an argument of \[\{\text{BFKP}\}\, \text{Section 2, Section 3}\] that relates a cosmetic crossing change and Seifert matrix.

A crossing disk \(D\) of an oriented knot \(K\) is an embedded disk having exactly one positive and one negative crossing with \(K\). A crossing change can be seen as \(\varepsilon = \pm 1\) Dehn surgery on \(\partial D\) for an appropriate crossing disk \(D\), and the crossing is nugatory if and only if \(\partial D\) bounds an embedded disk in \(S^3 \setminus K\).

Assume that \(K\) admits a cosmetic crossing with the crossing disk \(D\). Then as is discussed in \[\{\text{BFKP}\}\, \text{Section 2}\], there is a minimum genus Seifert surface \(S\) of \(K\) such that \(\alpha := D \cap S\) is a properly embedded, essential arc in \(S\).

If \(g(S) = 1\), such an arc \(\alpha\) is non-separating. We take simple closed curves \(a_x, a_y\) of \(S\) so that

- \(a_x\) intersects \(\alpha\) exactly once.
- \(a_x\) and \(a_y\) form a symplectic basis of \(H_1(S; \mathbb{Z})\).

Then we view \(K = \partial S\) as a neighborhood of \(a_x \cup a_y\) and express \(K\) by a framed 2-tangle \(T\) as depicted in Figure 1.

![2-parallel of a 2-tangle](image)

**Figure 1.** A spine tangle \(T\) adapted to the cosmetic crossing
We call the framed tangle $T$ a spine tangle of $K$ adapted to the cosmetic crossing of a genus one knot $K$. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} n & \ell \\ \ell & m \end{pmatrix}$ be the linking matrix of $T$, where $n$ (resp. $m$) is the framing of the strand $x$ (resp. $y$) and $\ell$ is the linking number of two strands of $T$.

Let $K'$ be a knot obtained from $K$ by crossing change along the crossing disk $D$. Then $S$ gives rise to a Seifert surface $S'$ of $K'$ ([BFKP, Proposition 2.1]). $K'$ has a spine tangle presentation $T'$, so that $T'$ and $T$ are the same as unframed tangles, and that the linking matrix of $T'$ is $M' = \begin{pmatrix} n \pm 1 & \ell \\ \ell & m \end{pmatrix}$

With respect to the basis $\{ a_x, a_y \}$, the Seifert matrix $V$ of $K$ and the Seifert matrix $V'$ of $K'$ are given by

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} n & \ell \\ \ell & m \end{pmatrix}, \quad V' = \begin{pmatrix} n \pm 1 & \ell \\ \ell \pm 1 & m \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Since $K$ and $K'$ are the same knot,

$$\Delta_K(t) = \det(V - tV^T), \quad \Delta_{K'}(t) = \det(V' - tV'^T).$$

By direct computation, this implies that

(2.1) $m = 0$.

In particular, $K$ is algebraically slice.

3. 2-LOOP POLYNOMIAL OF GENUS ONE KNOT

Here we quickly review the 2-loop polynomial. For details, see [Oht]. Let $B$ be the space of open Jacobi diagram. For a knot $K$ in $S^3$, let $Z^\sigma(K) \in B$ be the Kontsevich invariant of $K$, viewed so that it takes value in $B$ by composing the inverse of the Poincaré-Birkoff-Witt isomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{A}(S^1) \to B$.

A Jacobi diagram whose edge is labeled by a power series $f(\hbar) = c_0 + c_1 \hbar + c_2 \hbar^2 + c_3 \hbar^3 + \cdots$ represents the Jacobi diagram

$$f(\hbar) = c_0 + c_1 \hbar + c_2 \hbar^2 + c_3 \hbar^3 + \cdots$$

It is known that (the logarithm of) the Kontsevich invariant $Z^\sigma(K)$ is written in the following form [GaKr, Kri].

$$\log_{\sqcup} Z^\sigma(K) = \sum_{i: \text{finite}} \frac{p_{i,1}(\hbar^i)/\Delta_K(\hbar^i)}{\Delta_K(\hbar^i)} + \sum_{i: \text{finite}} \frac{p_{i,2}(\hbar^i)/\Delta_K(\hbar^i)}{\Delta_K(\hbar^i)} + \cdots$$

Here

- $\Delta_K(t)$ is the Alexander polynomial of $K$, normalized so that $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ and $\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_K(t^{-1})$ hold.
- $\log_{\sqcup}$ is the logarithm with respect to the disjoint union product $\sqcup$ of $B$, given by

$$\log_{\sqcup}(1 + D) = D - \frac{1}{2} D \sqcup D + \frac{1}{3} D \sqcup D \sqcup D + \cdots.$$
\[ p_{i,j}(e^h) \] is a polynomial of \( e^h \).

Let
\[
\Theta(t_1, t_2, t_3; K) = \sum_{e \in \{\pm 1\}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} p_{i,1} \left( t_{\sigma(1)}^e \right) p_{i,2} \left( t_{\sigma(2)}^e \right) p_{i,3} \left( t_{\sigma(3)}^e \right).
\]

Here \( S_3 \) is the symmetric group of degree 3. The 2-loop polynomial \( \Theta_K(t_1, t_2) \in Q[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}] \) of a knot \( K \) is defined by
\[
\Theta_K(t_1, t_2) = \Theta(t_1, t_2, t_3; K)|_{t_3 = t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1}}.
\]

The reduced 2-loop polynomial is a reduction of the 2-loop polynomial defined by
\[
\tilde{\Theta}_K(t) = \frac{\Theta_K(t, 1)}{(t^\pm - t^{-\pm})^2} \in Q[t^\pm].
\]

In general, although Ohtsuki developed fundamental techniques and machineries that enable us to compute \( \Theta_K(t_1, t_2) \), the computation of the 2-loop polynomial is much more complicated than the computation of the 1-loop part (i.e., the Alexander polynomial). Fortunately, when the knot has genus one, Ohtsuki proved a direct formula of \( \Theta_K(t_1, t_2) \) [Oht, Theorem 3.1]. Consequently he gave the following formula of the reduced 2-loop polynomial of genus one knots.

**Theorem 3.1.** [Oht] Corollary 3.5 Let \( K \) be a genus one knot expressed by using a framed 2-tangle \( T \) as in Figure 1 and let \( M = \begin{pmatrix} n & \ell \\ \ell & m \end{pmatrix} \) be the linking matrix of \( T \). Then
\[
\tilde{\Theta}_K(t) = ((n + m)(d - \frac{nm}{2}) - \ell(\ell + \frac{1}{2})(\ell + 1) + 12v_3) \left( -2 - \frac{2d + 1}{3}(t + t^{-1} - 2) \right)
- 4 \left( mv_2^{xx} + mv_2^{yy} - (\ell + \frac{1}{2})v_2^{xy} + 3v_3 \right) \Delta_K(t)
\]

Here
\begin{itemize}
  \item \( d = nm - \ell^2 - \ell \). In particular, \( \Delta_K(t) = dt + (1 - 2d) + dt^{-1} \).
  \item \( v_2^{xx}, v_2^{yy}, v_2^{xy} \) (resp. \( v_3 \)) are some integer-valued finite type invariant of \( T \) whose degree is 2 (resp. 3), which do not depend on the framing.
\end{itemize}

4. CONSTRAINT FOR COSMETIC CROSSINGS

We prove the Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 at the same time.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( K \) be a genus one knot. If \( K \) admits a cosmetic crossing, then \( \Delta_K(t) = 1 \) and \( \lambda(\Sigma_2(K)) - 2w_3(K) \equiv 0 \) (mod 16).

**Proof.** Assume that \( K \) is a genus one knot admitting a cosmetic crossing. We express \( K \) using a spine tangle \( T \) adapted to the cosmetic crossing. Then as we have seen (2.1), the linking matrix of \( T \) is \( M = \begin{pmatrix} n & \ell \\ \ell & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Moreover, for the knot \( K' \) obtained by the crossing change, \( K' \) has a spine tangle \( T' \) which is identical with \( T \) as an unframed tangle with linking matrix is \( M' = \begin{pmatrix} n \pm 1 & \ell \\ \ell & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).
Since the finite type invariants \(v^{xx}_2, v^{yy}_2, v^{xy}_2\) and \(v_3\) do not depend on the framing, by Theorem 3.1
\[
0 = \hat{\Theta}_K(t) - \hat{\Theta}_{K'}(t)
\]
\[
= d(-2 - \frac{2d+1}{3}(t + t^{-1} - 2)) - 4v^{yy}_2(dt + (1 - 2d) + dt^{-1})
\]
\[
= d\left(-\frac{2d+1}{3} - 4v^{yy}_2\right) t + \frac{d(4d-4)}{3} + 4v^{yy}_2(2d-1) + d\left(-\frac{2d+1}{3} - 4v^{yy}_2\right) t^{-1}.
\]
Therefore
\[
(4.1) \quad d\left(-\frac{2d+1}{3} - 4v^{yy}_2\right) = \frac{d(4d-4)}{3} + 4v^{yy}_2(2d-1) = 0.
\]
If \(d \neq 0\), by (4.1) \(d = \frac{1}{2}\). Since \(d \in \mathbb{Z}\), this is a contradiction so we conclude \(d = 0\) and \(\Delta_K(t) = 1\).

Then by (4.1), \(d = 0\) implies \(v^{yy}_2 = 0\). Moreover, since \(d = nm - \ell^2 - \ell = -\ell(\ell + 1)\), we get \(\ell = 0, -1\). Thus by Theorem 3.1, the reduced 2-loop polynomial is
\[
\hat{\Theta}_K(t) = 12v_3\left(-2 - \frac{1}{3}(t + t^{-1} - 2)\right) - 4\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)v^{xy}_2 - 3v_3)
\]
hence
\[
\hat{\Theta}_K(1) = -12v_3 + 4\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)v^{xy}_2, \quad \hat{\Theta}_K(-1) = 4v_3 + 4\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)v^{xy}_2.
\]
On the other hand, by [Oht], Proposition 1.1
\[
\hat{\Theta}_K(1) = 2w_3(K), \quad \hat{\Theta}_K(-1) = -\frac{1}{12}V'_K(-1)V_K(-1).
\]
Since \(\Delta_K(-1) = V_K(-1) = 1\), by Mullins’ formula of the Casson-Walker invariant \(\lambda_w\) of the double branched coverings [Mull],
\[
\lambda_w(S_2(K)) = \frac{V'_K(-1)}{6V_K(-1)} + \frac{\sigma(K)}{4}
\]
we get
\[
\hat{\Theta}_K(-1) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_w(S_2(K)).
\]
For an integral homology sphere, the Casson invariant \(\lambda\) is twice of the Casson-Walker invariant \(\lambda_w\) hence we conclude
\[
\lambda(S_2(K)) - 2w_3(K) = \hat{\Theta}_K(-1) - \hat{\Theta}_K(1) = 16v_3.
\]

\(\square\)

**Proof of Corollary** [L3] The reduced 2-loop polynomial of genus pretzel knots \(P(p, q, r)\) was given in [Oht] Example 3.6. In particular, for \(K = P(4k+1, 4k+3, -(2k+1))\), \(\hat{\Theta}_K(1)\) and \(\hat{\Theta}_K(-1)\) are given by
\[
\hat{\Theta}_K(1) = -\frac{1}{8}(4k+2)(4k+4)(-2k), \quad \hat{\Theta}_K(-1) = -\frac{1}{24}(4k+2)(4k+4)(-2k)
\]
hence
\[
\lambda(S_2(K)) - 2w_3(K) = \hat{\Theta}_K(-1) - \hat{\Theta}_K(1) = \frac{1}{12}(4k+2)(4k+4)(-2k)
\]
\[
= -16\frac{(2k+1)(k+1)}{12}.
\]
When $k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, $\frac{(2k+1)(k+1)k}{12} \not\in \mathbb{Z}$ hence $K$ does not admit cosmetic crossing by Theorem 1.3. \hfill \Box
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