arXiv:2102.10891v1 [math.GT] 22 Feb 2021

An explicit description of (1, 1) L-space knots, and non-left-orderable surgeries

Zipei Nie

January 5, 2022

Abstract

Greene, Lewallen and Vafaee characterized $(1,1)$ L-space knots in S^3 and lens space in the notation of coherent reduced $(1,1)$-diagrams. We analyze these diagrams, and deduce an explicit description of these knots. With the new description, we prove that any L-space obtained by Dehn surgery on a $(1,1)$-knot in S^3 has non-left-orderable fundamental group.

1 Introduction

An L-space is a rational homology 3-sphere with minimal Heegaard Floer homology, that is, $\dim \widehat{HF} = |H_1(Y)|$. A nice topological property of L-spaces is that [18] they do not admit co-orientable taut foliations, and its converse statement is only partially verified. Another conjectural property of L-spaces is the non-left-orderability of fundamental groups [2], that is, there does not exist a total order $\leq$ on the fundamental group such that $g \leq h$ implies $fg \leq fh$. Although we have multiple computational tools, the Heegaard Floer data is not easy to utilize. Therefore, a better characterization of L-spaces would be helpful.

One way to construct L-spaces is via Dehn surgeries. A knot K is called an L-space knot, if it admits an L-space surgery. It is a positive (resp. negative) L-space knot if it admits a positive (resp. negative) L-space surgery. The Dehn surgery along a nontrivial positive L-space knot K in S^3 with slope $\frac{p}{q}$ yields an L-space if and only if $\frac{p}{q} \geq 2g(K) - 1$ [19]. Similar results also hold for knots in other L-spaces [21].

For a closed orientable 3-manifold Y, we say that a knot K in Y is a (g, b)-knot, if there exists a Heegaard splitting $Y = U_0 \cup U_1$ of genus g, such that each of $K \cap U_0$ and $K \cap U_1$ consists of b trivial arcs. The family of $(1,1)$-knots (also called 1-bridge torus knot in the literature) in the 3-sphere and lens spaces is widely studied. The knot Floer invariants arises diagrammatically [8, 10, 20] if we can find a $(1,1)$-decomposition.

A $(1,1)$-diagram for a $(1,1)$-knot K in the three-sphere or lens space Y a doubly-pointed Heegaard diagram $(\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, w, z)$, which consists of two simple closed curves α and β on the torus Σ and two basepoints w and z in $\Sigma - \alpha - \beta$. The diagram $(\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, w, z)$ is called reduced if each bigon contains a basepoint. In this case, the diagram can be specified [20] by four parameters p, q, r, s. Via successive isotopies to removing empty bigons, every $(1,1)$-knot has a reduced $(1,1)$-diagram. In [9], Greene, Lewallen and Vafaee established the following criterion to determine whether a reduced $(1,1)$-diagram represents an L-space knot.

Previous Work. *[9, Theorem 1.2] A reduced $(1,1)$-diagram represents an L-space knot if and only if it is coherent, that is, there exist orientations on α and β that induce coherent orientations on the boundary of every embedded bigon $(D, \partial D) \subseteq (\Sigma, \alpha \cup \beta)$. It represents a positive or negative L-space knot according to the sign of $\alpha \cdot \beta$ with coherent orientation.*

Building on their work, we describe the family of $(1,1)$ L-space knots explicitly as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $Y = U_0 \cup_\Sigma U_1$ be a genus one Heegaard splitting of a three-sphere or lens space, with standard geometry. A knot in Y is a $(1,1)$ L-space knot if and only if it is isotopic to a union of three arcs $\rho \cup \tau_0 \cup \tau_1$, such that*

(a) ρ is a geodesic of Σ;

(b) τ_0 is properly embedded in some meridional disk of U_0;

(c) τ_1 is properly embedded in some meridional disk of U_1.

Note that, if τ_0 or τ_1 is of length zero, then by definition, the knot is a 1-bridge braid in Y. The study of 1-bridge braids originates from the classification of knots in a solid torus with nontrivial solid torus surgeries [1, 6, 7], where it is shown that every such knot is a torus knot or a 1-bridge braid. If we put solid torus in the standard position in S^3, these knots has nontrivial lens space surgeries. And as its name suggests, any lens space is an L-space. It is also proved that any 1-bridge braid in the three-sphere or lens space is an L-space knot [9], and the L-spaces obtained by surgeries along 1-bridge braids in S^3 has non-left-orderable fundamental groups [17]. In line with these researches, we deduce similar properties of $(1,1)$ L-space knots in S^3.

Theorem 2. *A nontrivial positive $(1,1)$ L-space knot in S^3 can be represented as the closure of the braid*

$$(\sigma_\omega \sigma_{\omega-1} \cdots \sigma_{\omega-b_0+1}) (\sigma_\omega \sigma_{\omega-1} \cdots \sigma_1)^{b_1} (\sigma_{\omega-1} \sigma_{\omega-2} \cdots \sigma_1)^{t-b_1}$$

in the braid group $B_{\omega+1}$ on $\omega+1$ strands, where $1 \le b_0 \le \omega$ and $1 \le b_1 \le t$.

An example is shown in Figure 1 below.




Figure 1: The braid when $(\omega, t, b_0, b_1) = (6, 7, 4, 3)$.

In [17], the author introduced the property (D) as follows.

Definition 3. For a nontrivial knot K in S^3 with μ and λ representing a meridian and a longitude in the knot group, we say K has property (D) if

1. for any homomorphism ρ from $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$ to $\mathrm{Homeo}_+(\mathbf{R})$, if $s \in \mathbf{R}$ is a common fixed point of $\rho(\mu)$ and $\rho(\lambda)$, then s is a fixed point of every element in $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$;
2. μ is in the root-closed, conjugacy-closed submonoid generated by $\mu^{2g(K)-1}\lambda$ and μ^{-1}.

The author proved that [17, Theorem 1.3] nontrivial knots which are closures of positive 1-bridge braids have property (D). And by [17, Theorem 4.1], it implies the non-left-orderability of the fundamental groups of the L-spaces obtained by Dehn surgeries on closures of 1-bridge braids. In this paper, we prove the following result in a similar way. Thanks to the additional symmetry, our proof is simplified compared to the proof of [17, Theorem 1.3].

Theorem 4. *Nontrivial positive* $(1,1)$ *L-space knots in* S^3 *have property (D).*

Therefore, by [17, Theorem 4.1], we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 5. *The fundamental group of an L-space obtained by Dehn surgery on a* $(1,1)$*-knot in* S^3 *is not left orderable.*

Because a $(1,1)$-decomposition eases the computation of knot Floer homology, many examples of L-space knots which were studied in the literature are $(1,1)$-knots. Theorem 5 serves as the generalization of relevant non-left-orderability results [3, 4, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 22, 23].

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Fan Ye for helpful discussions.

2 Description of $(1,1)$ L-space knots

This section is dedicated to prove Theorem 1.

Let $(\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, w, z)$ denote a reduced $(1,1)$-diagram representing an L-space knot in the three-sphere or lens space Y. Since the α curve is simple, it represents a primitive element in $H_1(\Sigma)$. We can straighten out the α curve via a self-homeomorphism of Σ. Assume that α curve is horizontal, with an orientation from left to right. The β curve is cut into strands of two bands and two rainbows by the α curve. By [9, Theorem 1.2], we can choose an orientation of β which induces an orientation from left to right on every rainbow strand, which is the opposite of the coherent orientation. Assume that w is on the left side of α, and z is on the right side of α.

2.1 The first step

We define the positive curves on the torus Σ as follows.

Definition 6. An oriented curve γ on Σ is called positive, if at each inner intersection point of γ and $\alpha \cup \beta$, the γ curve goes from the right side of the α or β curve to the left side transversally.

Our first step is to construct a positive curve γ_0 connecting w to z.

Let S be the set of endpoints of all positive curves originating from w. If $z \in S$, our first step is completed. Otherwise, we assume $z \notin S$. Let S_w be the connected component of $S - \alpha$ containing w. Since $w \in S_w$, each point on a rainbow strand around w is an interior point of S_w. Since $z \notin S$, each point on a rainbow strand around z is in the exterior of S_w. Therefore, the shape of S_w is a rectangle. Let the vertices of S_w be P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 counterclockwise, with P_1P_2, P_4P_3 being parts of the α curve, P_2P_3, P_4P_1 being parts of

the β curve, and the basepoint w being close to the edge P_1P_2. From the definition of S_w, we can derive the orientation of P_4P_1 and P_2P_3 as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: The rectangle S_w.

If there exists another embedded open rectangle $P_4P_3P_5P_6$ on the left of P_4P_3 which does not contain any basepoints, then we replace $P_1P_2P_3P_4$ by the immersed rectangle $P_1P_2P_5P_6$ and try the same extension again. Because the β curve is connected, the edge P_2P_3 extends to the right strand of the basepoint z in finite steps. Hence, we assume that the sequence of extensions ends at an immersed rectangle $P_1P_2Q_2Q_1$, as shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: The immersed rectangle $P_1P_2Q_2Q_1$.

There are two possibilities for not able to extend the immersed rectangle: one of the edge P_1Q_1 and P_2Q_2 extends to a rainbow strand, or the embedded rectangle on the left of Q_1Q_2 contains at least one basepoint. If the embedded rectangle on the left of Q_1Q_2 contains the basepoint z. Then by the definition of S, we have $z \in S$. Otherwise, the edge Q_1Q_2 intersects with the edge P_1P_2 on the torus Σ.

By the definition of S_w, the strands P_4P_1 and P_2P_3 are on the boundary of S, so we have $Q_1Q_2 \subseteq P_1P_2$. If $P_1 = Q_1$ or $P_2 = Q_2$, then the edge Q_1P_1 or the edge P_2Q_2 covers the β curve. In that case, Q_1P_1 or P_2Q_2 contains the left and right strand of the basepoint z, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the edge Q_1Q_2 lies in the interior of the edge P_1P_2.

Suppose that there are q rainbow strands in the middle, $r_1 \geq 1$ band strands on the left (including Q_1P_1) and $r_2 \geq 1$ band strands on the right (including P_2Q_2) in the immersed rectangle $P_1P_2Q_2Q_1$. Suppose that the i-th intersection point on Q_1Q_2 is the $(i+k)$-th intersection point on P_1P_2 for $1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2$. Then we have $1 \leq k \leq 2q - 1$.

For $1 \leq i \leq 2q + r_1 + r_2$, let $\varepsilon_i = 1$ if the β curve goes from the right side of the α curve to the left side at the i-th intersection point on P_1P_2. Otherwise, let $\varepsilon_i = -1$. Then

we have

$$\varepsilon_i = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{i+k} & \text{, if } 1 \le i \le r_1; \\ 1 & \text{, if } r_1 + 1 \le i \le r_1 + q; \\ -1 & \text{, if } r_1 + q + 1 \le i \le r_1 + 2q; \\ \varepsilon_{i-2q+k} & \text{, if } 2q + r_1 + 1 \le i \le 2q + r_1 + r_2. \end{cases}$$

If $1 \le k \le q$, then we have $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ by induction. If $q + 1 \le k \le 2q - 1$, then we have $\varepsilon_{2q+r_1+r_2} = -1$ by induction. Either case leads to a contradiction.

2.2 The second step

We have constructed a positive curve γ_0 connecting w to z. By eliminating self-loops, we assume that γ_0 is simple and intersects each connected component of $\Sigma - \alpha - \beta$ at most once. Our second step is to construct a positive simple closed curve γ passing through w and z.

Let $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_p$ be all intersection points between the α curve and the β curve, ordered along the orientation of α. Via a self-homeomorphism of Σ, we assume the following condition: for $1 \le i \le p$, if the α-segment T_iT_{i+1} does not intersect with the γ_0 curve, then it has unit length; otherwise, it has length $2q + 1$, where q is the number of strands in each rainbow.

For a downward-oriented band strand e_1 and an upward-oriented band strand e_2 on the β curve, there exists an embedded open rectangle R with two edges being e_1 and e_2 and the other two edges e_3, e_4 on the α curve. We can further assume that the rectangle is on the left of e_1, e_2, e_3 and on the right of e_4.

Let l_i denote the length of e_i for $i = 3, 4$, then

$$l_i = |e_i \cap \beta| + 2q\,|e_i \cap \gamma_0| - 1.$$

The difference $|e_4 \cap \beta| - |e_3 \cap \beta|$ depends on whether each basepoint lies in R, that is,

$$|e_4 \cap \beta| - |e_3 \cap \beta| = 2q\,|\{z\} \cap R| - 2q\,|\{w\} \cap R|\,.$$

The difference $|e_4 \cap \gamma_0| - |e_3 \cap \gamma_0|$ depends on how γ_0 intersects R. Since γ_0 is positive, if it intersects e_1, e_2 or e_3 at a point, then it enters R there; if it intersects e_4 at a point, then it exits R there. Hence we have

$$|e_4 \cap \gamma_0| - |e_3 \cap \gamma_0| = |e_1 \cap \gamma_0| + |e_2 \cap \gamma_0| + |\{w\} \cap R| - |\{z\} \cap R|\,.$$

By combining these equations, we get $l_3 \le l_4$.

Therefore, there exists a linear foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of the torus Σ, such that, up to isotopy, each strand of the β curve is contained in a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ or transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ in a fixed direction. Via another isotopy, we can assume that the entire β curve is either contained in a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ or transverse to $\mathcal{F}$. In either case, we can assume that the slope of $\mathcal{F}$ is irrational under a perturbation of the foliation, so the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are dense. We extend the curve in both directions from the basepoint w along a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ until the endpoints reach the connected component of $\Sigma - \alpha - \beta$ containing the basepoint z. After closing the curve by connecting two endpoints within the connected component, we get the positive simple closed curve γ passing through w and z.

2.3 The third and the last steps

Our third step is to complete the proof of the "only if" part of Theorem 1. Via a self-homeomorphism of Σ, we abandon the horizontality of the α curve, and assume that the γ curve is horizontal instead. Since γ is positive, we can either assume α is a geodesic or assume β is a geodesic, but not simultaneously. In fact, there exists an isotopy $f : (\alpha \cup \beta \cup \gamma) \times [0,1] \to \Sigma$, such that $f(z,t), f(\gamma,t)$ are independent of t, and $f(\alpha,0), f(\beta,1), f(\gamma,0)$ are geodesics. Let ρ be the curve in $\Sigma \times [0,1]$ defined by $\rho(t) = (f(w,t),t)$. Let τ_0 (resp. τ_1) be a geodesic in $(\Sigma,0)$ (resp. $(\Sigma,1)$) which does not intersect with $(f(\alpha,0),0)$ (resp. $(f(\beta,1),1)$). After attaching the solid tori U_0 and U_1 to the boundary components of $\Sigma \times [0,1]$, such that $(f(\alpha,0),0)$ (resp. $(f(\beta,1),1)$) is a meridional disk of U_0 (resp. U_1), we recover the knot $\rho \cup \tau_0 \cup \tau_1$ from the $(1,1)$-diagram.

At last, the "if" part of Theorem 1 can be proved in a way similar to the 1-bridge braid case. In [9, Section 3], a coherent reduced $(1,1)$-diagram was constructed for each 1-bridge braid in S^3 and lens space to utilize [9, Theorem 1.2], as shown in Figure 4. We make a tiny change in the construction here: the basepoint z is no longer restricted to be the starting point of the γ', but can be any point in $\Sigma - \alpha - \beta$. The topological meaning of the diagram is as explained in the previous paragraph: if we move the basepoint w along a geodesic γ', we can untwist the β curve at the expense of twisting the α curve. With this change, a $(1,1)$-diagram as the middle one in Figure 4 can represent the knot described in Theorem 1. This $(1,1)$-diagram is coherent in the sense that certain orientations on the α and β curves induce coherent orientations on the boundary of every embedded bigon $(D, \partial D) \subseteq (\Sigma, \alpha \cup \beta)$. Each isotopy to remove an empty bigon preserves the coherence, so we get a reduced $(1,1)$-diagram in finite steps. By [9, Theorem 1.2], we proved the "if" part of Theorem 1.




Figure 4: The construction of a coherent diagram of the 1-bridge braid $K(-2,3,7)$ in S^3, modified from [9, Figure 3].

3 Non-left-orderable surgeries

3.1 A positive braid representation

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 2 and derive the genus formula.

Let K be a nontrivial positive $(1,1)$ L-space knot in S^3. Let $S^3 = U_0 \cup_\Sigma U_1$ be a genus one Heegaard splitting with standard geometry. By Theorem 1, K is isotopic to $\rho \cup \tau_0 \cup \tau_1$,

where ρ is a geodesic of Σ, and τ_0 (resp. τ_1) is properly embedded in some meridional disk of U_0 (resp. U_1).

An orientation on the geodesic ρ induces orientations on the cores of U_0 and U_1. If the cores of U_0 and U_1 are negatively linked, then the construction in Subsection 2.3 yields a negative coherent reduced $(1,1)$-diagram. By [9, Theorem 1.2], K is a negative $(1,1)$ L-space knot, which contradicts the assumption that K is a nontrivial positive $(1,1)$ L-space knot in S^3. Thus, the cores of U_0 and U_1 with induced orientations are positively linked. So ρ can be realized as a part of a positive braid. After appending the arcs τ_0 and τ_1, we get a positive braid as shown in Figure 1.

Let K be the closure of the positive braid represented by

$$(\sigma_\omega\sigma_{\omega-1}\cdots\sigma_{\omega-b_0+1})\,(\sigma_\omega\sigma_{\omega-1}\cdots\sigma_1)^{b_1}\,(\sigma_{\omega-1}\sigma_{\omega-2}\cdots\sigma_1)^{t-b_1}\,.$$

If $b_0 = b_1 = 0$, then K has an unknot component, which is not allowed. If $b_0 = 0$, we can decrease t and b_1 by one and set b_0 to ω. If $b_1 = 0$, we can decrease ω and b_0 by one and set b_1 to t. For the representation with minimal $t+\omega$, we have $1 \le b_0 \le \omega$ and $1 \le b_1 \le t$. Therefore, Theorem 2 holds.

A minimal genus Seifert surface is obtained [5] by applying Seifert's algorithm to a positive diagram, so the genus of K is

$$\begin{aligned} g(K) &= \frac{1}{2}(\#\text{crossings} - \#\text{strands} + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(b_0 + b_1\omega + (t-b_1)(\omega-1) - (\omega+1) + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(t\omega - t - \omega + b_0 + b_1). \end{aligned}$$

3.2 The knot group

In this subsection, we investigate the knot group $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$. As a $(1,1)$-knot, the knot group has a 2-generator presentation. However, to keep the symmetry, we specify four elements x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1 in the knot group instead.

Let D_0 (resp. D_1) be the meridional disk of U_0 (resp. U_1) containing τ_0 (resp. τ_1). Then D_0 (resp. D_1) is divided by τ_0 (resp. τ_1) into two disks $D_{x,0}$ and $D_{y,0}$ (resp. $D_{x,1}$ and $D_{y,1}$). Let the points P, Q, R on Σ be $\rho \cap \tau_0, \tau_0 \cap \tau_1, \tau_1 \cap \rho$, respectively. Orient the knot K so that P, Q, R appears in order. Orient the cores of U_0, U_1 and the disks $D_0, D_1, D_{x,0}, D_{y,0}, D_{x,1}, D_{y,1}$ accordingly. Let Q' be a point near Q in $\Sigma - \rho - D_0 - D_1$, so that Q' is on the negative side of D_0 and on the positive side of D_1. Let Q'' be a point in ρ on the boundary of the connected component of $\Sigma - \rho - D_0 - D_1$ containing Q', as shown in Figure 1.

The fundamental group of $U_0 - \tau_0$ (resp. $U_1 - \tau_1$) is freely generated by two elements x_0, y_0 (resp. x_1, y_1), where x_0 (resp. y_0, x_1, y_1) is represented by a loop based at Q' intersecting $D_{x,0}$ (resp. $D_{y,0}, D_{x,1}, D_{y,1}$) once positively and not intersecting other disks. Then $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$ based at Q' is generated by x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1.

Without loss of generality, we assume x_0 (resp. x_1) has larger norm than y_0 (resp. y_1) in $H_1(S^3 - K)$. Then

$$\mu = x_0 y_0^{-1} = y_1^{-1} x_1$$

represents a meridian of K around Q.

The boundary of D_1 (resp. D_0) intersects ρ in t (resp. ω) points, not counting P, Q and R. Starting from Q along positive direction, let the points be $R_t, R_{t-1}, \ldots, R_1$ (resp. $P_\omega, P_{\omega-1}, \ldots, P_1$) in order. For each i with $1 \leq i \leq t$ (resp. $1 \leq i \leq \omega$), let g_i (resp. h_i^{-1}) represent the loop based at Q' in $U_0 - \tau_0$ (resp. $U_1 - \tau_1$) which first travels to R_i (resp. P_i) without intersecting D_0 (resp. D_1), then follows ρ in positive (resp. negative) direction to P (resp. R) but not past it, lastly travels back to Q' without intersecting D_0 (resp. D_1). Then each g_i (resp. h_i) represented by a word in x_0 and y_0 (resp. x_1 and y_1), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= \left(g_1 \mu g_1^{-1}\right)\left(g_2 \mu g_2^{-1}\right) \cdots \left(g_t \mu g_t^{-1}\right), \\ y_1 &= \left(h_1^{-1} \mu h_1\right)\left(h_2^{-1} \mu h_2\right) \cdots \left(h_\omega^{-1} \mu h_\omega\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_0, b_1 \neq 0$, the point Q'' is on the arc $R_1 P_\omega \subset \rho$ which is a part of boundary of the connected component of $\Sigma - \rho - D_0 - D_1$ containing Q'. The longitude λ of K starting from Q is determined by

$$\mu^{k_0} \lambda = h_\omega g_1.$$

The integer k_0 can be found by counting the crossings between K and a loop represented by $h_\omega g_1$ on a planar diagram. The loop represented by $h_\omega g_1$ differs from the blackboard framing of K as shown in Figure 1 by $2t$ additional positive crossings, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 &= \#\text{crossings} + t \\ &= b_0 + b_1\omega + (t - b_1)(\omega - 1) + t \\ &= t\omega + b_0 + b_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\mu^{t\omega + b_0 + b_1} \lambda = h_\omega g_1,$$

and

$$\mu^{2g(K)-1} \lambda = h_\omega g_1 \mu^{-t-\omega-1}.$$

Furthermore, the word representing g_1 starts with an x_0, and the word representing h_ω ends with an x_1.

3.3 The property (D)

In this subsection, we prove that K has property (D).

The first part of the property (D) is the following.

Lemma 7. *For any homomorphism ρ from $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$ to $Homeo_+(\mathbf{R})$, if $s \in \mathbf{R}$ is a common fixed point of $\rho(\mu)$ and $\rho(\lambda)$, then s is a fixed point of every element in $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$.*

Proof. Since s is a common fixed point of $\rho(\mu)$ and $\rho(\lambda)$, it is a common fixed point of $\rho(x_0 y_0^{-1})$, $\rho(y_1^{-1} x_1)$ and $\rho(h_\omega g_1)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\rho(x_0)s \geq s$, then we have $\rho(y_0)s \geq s$. We also have $\rho(x_1)s \geq s$ (resp. $\rho(x_1)s \leq s$) if and only if $\rho(y_1)s \geq s$ (resp. $\rho(y_1)s \leq s$).

Starting from the base point Q', we construct a geodesic γ on $\Sigma - \rho$ parallel to ρ. Because K is nontrivial, the arc ρ is not parallel to ∂D_0 or ∂D_1. Extend γ until it crosses each disk D_0, D_1 at least once and reaches the connected component of $\Sigma - \rho - D_0 - D_1$ containing Q' again. Then we close up the curve to obtain the knot group element g_0, which can be represented by a nontrivial word in x_0 and y_0, and also by a nontrivial word

in x_1 and y_1. By the first condition, we have $\rho(g_0)s \geq s$. By the second condition, we have $\rho(x_1)s \geq s$ and $\rho(y_1)s \geq s$. Because $h_\omega g_1$ is represented by a word in x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1 with at least one x_0 and one x_1, we have $\rho(x_0)s = \rho(y_0)s = \rho(x_1)s = \rho(y_1)s = s$. Therefore s is a fixed point of every element in $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$. □

Remark. This lemma is the starting point of our research. Suppose we are given a $(1,1)$ L-space knot in the form of a coherent diagram. Then the knot group has two generators x_0, y_0 and one relation. To prove this lemma, we have to construct another element such as y_1 in terms of x_0 and y_0. The algebraic construction is highly nontrivial, and better to be done topologically as Theorem 1.

Then we prove the second part of the property (D).

Lemma 8. *The element μ is in the root-closed, conjugacy-closed submonoid generated by $\mu^{2g(K)-1}\lambda$ and μ^{-1}.*

Proof. As in [17, Section 3], we define the preorder $\leq_k$ generated by μ and $(\mu^{2g(K)-1}\lambda)^{-1}$ on $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$. Since $\mu = x_0 y_0^{-1} = y_1^{-1} x_1$, we have $x_0 \geq_k y_0$ and $x_1 \geq_k y_1$. Since $\mu^{2g(K)-1}\lambda = h_\omega g_1 \mu^{-t-\omega-1}$, we have $h_\omega g_1 \leq_k \mu^{t+\omega+1}$.

Let $\tilde{g}_0 = 1, \tilde{g}_1, \ldots, \tilde{g}_{t'} = g_1$ be all suffixes of g_1, and $\tilde{h}_0, \tilde{h}_1, \ldots, \tilde{h}_{\omega'} = h_\omega$ be all prefixes of h_ω, ordered by length. Suppose that $\tilde{g}_i$ appears m_i times in $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_t$ for each $0 \leq i < t'$, and $\tilde{h}_i$ appears n_i times in $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_\omega$ for each $0 \leq i < \omega'$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= \left(g_1 \mu g_1^{-1}\right)\left(g_2 \mu g_2^{-1}\right) \cdots \left(g_t \mu g_t^{-1}\right) \\ &\geq_k \left(g_1 \mu g_1^{-1}\right)\left(\tilde{g}_i \mu \tilde{g}_i^{-1}\right)^{m_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \left(h_1^{-1} \mu h_1\right)\left(h_2^{-1} \mu h_2\right) \cdots \left(h_\omega^{-1} \mu h_\omega\right) \\ &\geq_k \left(\tilde{h}_i^{-1} \mu \tilde{h}_i\right)^{n_i} \left(h_\omega^{-1} \mu h_\omega\right). \end{aligned}$$

For each $0 \leq i < t'$, we have either $\tilde{g}_{i+1} = y_0 \tilde{g}_i$ or $\tilde{g}_{i+1} = x_0 \tilde{g}_i = \mu y_0 \tilde{g}_i$. And for $i = t' - 1$, it is necessarily the latter case. So we have

$$\tilde{g}_{i+1} \geq_k y_0 \tilde{g}_i \geq_k \left(g_1 \mu g_1^{-1}\right) \tilde{g}_i \mu^{m_i}.$$

By induction, we have

$$g_1 = \tilde{g}_{t'} = \mu y_0 \tilde{g}_{t'-1} \geq_k \mu g_1 \mu^{t'} g_1^{-1} \mu^{\sum_{i=0}^{t'-1} m_i}.$$

By symmetry, we have

$$h_\omega \geq_k \mu^{\sum_{i=0}^{\omega'-1} n_i} h_\omega^{-1} \mu^{\omega'} h_\omega \mu.$$

Here t' (resp. ω') is the number of intersection points between $Q''P \subset \rho$ and D_0 (resp. $RQ'' \subset \rho$ and D_1), not counting P and R. And $\sum_{i=0}^{t'-1} m_i$ (resp. $\sum_{i=0}^{\omega'-1} n_i$) is the number of intersection points between $Q''P \subset \rho$ and D_1 (resp. $RQ'' \subset \rho$ and D_0). So we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t'-1} m_i = \omega - \omega'$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\omega'-1} n_i = t - t'.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\omega &\geq_k \mu^{t-t'} h_\omega^{-1} \mu^{\omega'} h_\omega \mu \\ &\geq_k \mu^{t-t'} h_\omega^{-1} \mu^{\omega'} h_\omega, \end{aligned}$$

So $h_\omega \geq_k \mu^{t-t'+\omega'}$. Because $h_\omega g_1 \leq_k \mu^{t+\omega+1}$, we have $g_1 \leq_k \mu^{\omega+t'-\omega'+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &\geq_k \mu g_1 \mu^{t'} g_1^{-1} \mu^{\omega-\omega'} \\ &\geq_k \mu g_1 \mu^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_1 \geq_k \mu g_1 \mu^{-1}$, we get $g_1 \mu^{t'} g_1^{-1} \geq_k \mu^{t'}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &\geq_k \mu g_1 \mu^{t'} g_1^{-1} \mu^{\omega-\omega'} \\ &\geq_k \mu^{\omega+t'-\omega'+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we have $h_\omega \geq_k \mu^{t-t'+\omega'+1}$. By $h_\omega g_1 \leq_k \mu^{t+\omega+1}$, we have $\mu \leq_k 1$. In other words, the meridian μ is in the root-closed, conjugacy-closed submonoid generated by $\mu^{2g(K)-1}\lambda$ and μ^{-1}. □

Combining Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we proved Theorem 4. By [17, Theorem 4.1], we proved Theorem 5.

References

[1] John Berge. "The knots in $D^2 \times S^1$ which have nontrivial Dehn surgeries that yield $D^2 \times S^1$." Topology and its Applications 38.1 (1991): 1-19.

[2] Steven Boyer, Cameron McA Gordon, and Liam Watson. "On L-spaces and left-orderable fundamental groups." Mathematische Annalen 356.4 (2013): 1213-1245.

[3] Katherine Christianson, Justin Goluboff, Linus Hamann, and Srikar Varadaraj. "Non-left-orderable surgeries on twisted torus knots." Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 144.6 (2016): 2683-2696.

[4] Adam Clay, and Liam Watson. "Left-orderable fundamental groups and Dehn surgery." International Mathematics Research Notices 2013.12 (2013): 2862-2890.

[5] Peter R Cromwell. "Homogeneous links." Journal of the London Mathematical Society 2.3 (1989): 535-552.

[6] David Gabai. "Surgery on knots in solid tori." Topology 28.1 (1989): 1-6.

[7] David Gabai. "1-bridge braids in solid tori." Topology and its Applications 37.3 (1990): 221-235.

[8] Hiroshi Goda, Hiroshi Matsuda, and Takayuki Morifuji. "Knot Floer homology of $(1,1)$-knots." Geometriae Dedicata 112.1 (2005): 197-214.

[9] Joshua Evan Greene, Sam Lewallen, and Faramarz Vafaee. "$(1,1)$ L-space knots." Compositio Mathematica 154.5 (2018): 918-933.

[10] Matthew Hedden. "On Floer homology and the Berge conjecture on knots admitting lens space surgeries." Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 363.2 (2011): 949-968.

[11] Kazuhiro Ichihara, and Yuki Temma. "Non-left-orderable surgeries and generalized Baumslag-Solitar relators." Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications 24.01 (2015): 1550003.

[12] Kazuhiro Ichihara, and Yuki Temma. "Non-left-orderable surgeries on negatively twisted torus knots." Japan Academy Proceedings Series A: Mathematical Sciences 94.5 (2018): 49-53.

[13] Jinha Jun. "$(-2,3,7)$-pretzel knot and Reebless foliation." Topology and its Applications 145.1-3 (2004): 209-232.

[14] Shiyu Liang. "Non-left-orderable surgeries on 1-bridge braids." Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications 29.12 (2020): 2050086.

[15] Yasuharu Nakae. "A good presentation of $(-2,3,2s+1)$-type pretzel knot group and $\mathbf{R}$-covered foliation." Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications 22.01 (2013): 1250143.

[16] Zipei Nie. "Left-orderablity for surgeries on $(-2,3,2s+1)$-pretzel knots." Topology and its Applications 261 (2019): 1-6.

[17] Zipei Nie. "On 1-bridge braids, satellite knots, the manifold $v2503$ and non-left-orderable surgeries and fillings." arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.14296 (2020).

[18] Peter Ozsváth, and Zoltán Szabó. "Holomorphic disks and genus bounds." Geometry & Topology 8.1 (2004): 311-334.

[19] Peter Ozsváth, and Zoltán Szabó. "Knot Floer homology and rational surgeries." Algebraic & Geometric Topology 11.1 (2010): 1-68.

[20] Jacob Rasmussen. "Knot polynomials and knot homologies." Geometry and topology of manifolds 47 (2005): 261-280.

[21] Jacob Rasmussen, and Sarah Dean Rasmussen. "Floer simple manifolds and L-space intervals." Advances in Mathematics 322 (2017): 738-805.

[22] Anh Tuan Tran. "Left-orderability for surgeries on twisted torus knots." Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences 95.1 (2019): 6-10.

[23] Anh Tuan Tran. "Non-left-orderable surgeries on L-space twisted torus knots." Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 148.1 (2020): 447-456.

Nine-Chapter Lab, Huawei
E-mail address: niezipei@huawei.com