Nonlinear absorption in interacting Rydberg EIT spectra on two-photon resonance
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We experimentally investigate the nonlinear transmission spectrum of coherent light fields propagating through a Rydberg-EIT medium with strong atomic interactions. In contrast to previous investigations, which have largely focused on resonant control fields, we explore here the full two-dimensional spectral response of the Rydberg gas. Our measurements confirm previously observed spectral features for a vanishing control-field detuning that are explainable by existing theories, but also reveal significant differences on two-photon resonance. In particular, we find qualitative deficiencies of mean-field models and rate-equation simulations in describing the nonlinear probe-field response under EIT conditions, suggesting spectral signatures of an interaction-induced resonance with laser-dressed entangled pair states. While this effect is captured by the third-order nonlinear susceptibility that accounts for pair-wise interaction effects, the experiments show that many-body processes beyond such two-body effects play a significant role already at surprisingly low probe-field intensities. These results suggest that a more complete understanding of Rydberg-EIT and emerging photon interactions requires to go beyond existing simplified models as well as few-photon theories.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rydberg electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) is nowadays a widespread and reliable tool for the creation of strong optical nonlinearity based on Rydberg blockade induced dissipation [1, 2]. Ultimately reaching the regime of quantum many-body nonlinear optics, that requires strong interactions between a large number of photons, would allow one to study fascinating strongly correlated states of light as for example photon crystals and quantum fluids of light [3–7].

On one hand, increasing the interaction strength per photon is one possible route towards this goal [8]. The regime of quantum nonlinear optics, where the optical depth per blockade radius is much larger than one [2], has successfully been reached experimentally [9] and the generation of dissipative [10], attractive [11, 12], repulsive [13] and spin-exchange like [14] interactions has been demonstrated. Moreover, applications as for example single-photon transistors [15, 16] and gates [17] became experimentally feasible. Beyond this, a first experimental study of quantum nonlinear effects with an increased number of photons has been reported [18].

On the other hand, understanding high intensity Rydberg EIT, where the number of photons is large, is the other precursor towards quantum many-body nonlinear optics with photons. This regime, where light fields can be treated classically, is where the experimental investigation of Rydberg EIT was initiated by the demonstration of strong nonlinearities in a Rydberg gas under EIT conditions [19]. Thereafter, the role of interactions in Rydberg EIT has been studied in detail [20–23] with measurements where either the probe or the control field resonantly couples its atomic transition and various theoretical models have been put forward to describe the experimental observations. While the developed theory has been successful in reproducing the general effects of Rydberg-state interactions on EIT in such systems, important spectral details such as the appearance and origins of nonlinear shifts and asymmetries are still under debate [21, 22].

Here, we address this question by a systematic study of the spectral properties of EIT transmission in a cold atomic gas with strong Rydberg-state interactions by broadly scanning both control- and probe-field frequencies. In particular, we measure the nonlinear absorption spectrum of the probe-field on two-photon resonance, i.e. by simultaneously adjusting the control-field frequency to maintain EIT conditions. As this implies a vanishing linear absorption for all probe-field frequencies, such measurements directly probe pure interaction effects. In turn, the measured spectra reveal qualitative discrepancies with existing theories that have previously gone missing in experiments with resonant control fields [21–23]. Existing mean-field approximations and semiclassical rate-equation simulations both fail qualitatively to reproduce the observed broad absorption features and resonant nonlinear absorption away from single-photon resonance. While the latter can be qualitatively explained within a low-intensity expansion [21, 25] that accounts for resonances with control-laser dressed entangled pair-states [24, 26], clear quantitative discrepancies suggest that an improved understanding of Rydberg-EIT requires the inclusion of many-body effects beyond pairwise atomic correlations.

This article is organized as follows. Following a brief
δ = ∆ on the distance berg atoms interact via an interaction the probe and control beams, respectively. Rubidium Rydbergsingle-photon detuning beams. Indicated detunings in the atomic level scheme are met with counter-propagating probe (red) and control (blue) beams. The part of the linear optical response with the probe and control beams, respectively. Rubidium Rydberg atoms interact via an interaction \( V(r_{ij}) = C_6/r_{ij}^6 \), where \( C_6 \) is the van-der-Waals coefficient and \( r_{ij} \) the distance between two atoms \( i \) and \( j \). Counter propagating probe (780 nm) and control (480 nm) beams with Rabi frequencies \( \Omega_p \) and \( \Omega_c \), couple the ground to intermediate and intermediate to Rydberg state transitions, respectively, and have linewidths below 530 kHz (see App. [9] and Sec. [IV]). The optical depth per blockade radius \( \Omega_0/2 \pi = 6 \) much smaller than one in our system, meaning that the probe field preserves its coherent nature and can be treated classically [2].

Earlier investigations of EIT spectra revealed, that the EIT area can act as a dispersive gradient-index lens [31]. This is a consequence of a refractive index gradient, that is induced by the inhomogeneity of a focused control beam within a uniform probe beam. In order to avoid this effect and to ensure negligible dispersion, we invert this geometry and choose a control beam with a waist twice as large as the focused probe beam (\( 1/\epsilon^2 \)-beam waist: 15 \( \mu \)m).

After releasing the atoms from the dipole trap, probe and control beam pulses are applied in order to create EIT conditions. We experimentally checked, that the used probe power and pulse times of 5 to 15 \( \mu \)s are small enough to avoid an avalanche creation of Rydberg ions [35]. Moreover, in order to adiabatically transfer the atoms into the EIT dark-state [36], we switch on the control beam about 2 \( \mu \)s before we turn on the probe beam pulse. This is in combination with a rise time of the probe beam pulse of 50 ns, given by the AOM rise time, sufficient for an adiabatic preparation of the EIT-dark state.

After the EIT sequence we image the transmitted probe light onto a CCD camera, using a 4f-imaging system with a resolution of approx. 5 \( \mu \)m (Rayleigh criterion). The pixel size of the CCD camera in the imaging plane is 2.1 \( \mu \)m, which is small compared to the waist of the two laser beams. This allows to average the signal of up to 4x4 pixel, corresponding to a maximal variation of 9% in the probe beam Rabi frequency, in order to obtain a better signal-to-noise ratio for the determination of the probe beam transmission \( T \).

The latter is defined by the ratio of the measured transmitted light in the presence and absence of the atomic cloud.

II. THE RYDBERG EIT SETUP

Our Rydberg EIT medium consists of a cigar-shaped \(^{87}\)Rb atom cloud with 42 × 42 × 288 \( \mu \)m \( 1/\epsilon^2 \)-waists held within an optical dipole trap, with a maximal peak density of \( 2 \times 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-3} \) and with a temperature of about 60 \( \mu \)K. As detailed in App. A we ensure an accurate preparation of the ground state \( |g\rangle = |5S_1/2, F = 2, m_F = 2\rangle \), that together with the short-lived intermediate state \( |e\rangle = |5P_3/2, F = 3, m_F = 3\rangle \) with decay rate \( \gamma_e/2\pi = 6.067 \) MHz and a metastable Rydberg state \( |r\rangle = |48S_{1/2}, m_j = 1/2\rangle \) forms the three-level ladder EIT system, as depicted in Fig. 1(a). Rydberg atoms interact via isotropic van-der-Waals interactions \( V(r_{ij}) = C_6/r_{ij}^6 \), where \( C_6 \) is the van-der-Waals coefficient and \( r_{ij} \) the distance between two atoms \( i \) and \( j \). Counter propagating probe (780 nm) and control (480 nm) beams with Rabi frequencies \( \Omega_p \) and \( \Omega_c \), couple the ground to intermediate and intermediate to Rydberg state transitions, respectively, and have linewidths below 530 kHz (see App. [9] and Sec. [IV]). The optical depth per blockade radius \( \Omega_0/2 \pi = 6 \) much smaller than one in our system, meaning that the probe field preserves its coherent nature and can be treated classically [2].

Earlier investigations of EIT spectra revealed, that the EIT area can act as a dispersive gradient-index lens [31]. This is a consequence of a refractive index gradient, that is induced by the inhomogeneity of a focused control beam within a uniform probe beam. In order to avoid this effect and to ensure negligible dispersion, we invert this geometry and choose a control beam with a waist twice as large as the focused probe beam (\( 1/\epsilon^2 \)-beam waist: 15 \( \mu \)m).

After releasing the atoms from the dipole trap, probe and control beam pulses are applied in order to create EIT conditions. We experimentally checked, that the used probe power and pulse times of 5 to 15 \( \mu \)s are small enough to avoid an avalanche creation of Rydberg ions [35]. Moreover, in order to adiabatically transfer the atoms into the EIT dark-state [36], we switch on the control beam about 2 \( \mu \)s before we turn on the probe beam pulse. This is in combination with a rise time of the probe beam pulse of 50 ns, given by the AOM rise time, sufficient for an adiabatic preparation of the EIT-dark state.

After the EIT sequence we image the transmitted probe light onto a CCD camera, using a 4f-imaging system with a resolution of approx. 5 \( \mu \)m (Rayleigh criterion). The pixel size of the CCD camera in the imaging plane is 2.1 \( \mu \)m, which is small compared to the waist of the two laser beams. This allows to average the signal of up to 4x4 pixel, corresponding to a maximal variation of 9% in the probe beam Rabi frequency, in order to obtain a better signal-to-noise ratio for the determination of the probe beam transmission \( T \). The latter is defined by the ratio of the measured transmitted light in the presence and absence of the atomic cloud.

III. TRANSMISSION MEASUREMENTS

In order to benchmark our Rydberg EIT system against existing measurements [19, 21, 22], we first record the probe beam transmission as a function of the probe beam detuning \( \Delta_p \) while staying on resonance with the control laser (\( \Delta_c = 0 \)). This measurement follows the green dashed line depicted in Fig. 1(b) and results in what is typically called an Autler-Townes spectrum. Afterwards, we present measurements on two-photon resonance by experimentally following the red line in Fig. 1(b). Here, a new dimension of investigating Ryd-
of dephasing tuning and a symmetric spectrum supports the absence shown in Fig. 2(a). A transmission of nearly small, we recover the known Autler-Townes spectrum, as interacting regime, where the probe Rabi frequency is presented in Fig. 2(b), the transmission at zero detuning is reduced. Moreover, we observe a small frequency, as presented in Fig. 2(b), the transmission at
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imposes the absence of the control beam at \( \Delta = 0 \). Other parameters are \( \Omega / \pi = (28.0 \pm 0.4) \) MHz and a peak atomic density \( \rho_0 = (0.18 \pm 0.01) \) \( \mu \)m\(^{-3}\) for the yellow curve and \( \Omega / \pi = (24.0 \pm 0.2) \) MHz and \( \rho_0 = (0.174 \pm 0.003) \) \( \mu \)m\(^{-3}\) for all other curves. (b) Comparison of the measured transmission spectrum at \( \Omega / \pi = 0.05 \), with the results of the mean-field model (blue solid line), the MCRE simulation (orange dashed line) and the low-intensity theory (green dashed-dotted line). Shaded areas account for a variation of the density of \( \pm 10\% \).

Figure 3. Transmission \( T \) on two-photon resonance (\( \delta = 0 \)). (a) Measured transmission spectra for different ratios \( \Omega_p / \Omega_c \). The gray dashed line indicates the measured transmission in the absence of the control beam at \( \Delta = 0 \). Other parameters are \( \Omega / \pi = (28.0 \pm 0.4) \) MHz and a peak atomic density \( \rho_0 = (0.18 \pm 0.01) \) \( \mu \)m\(^{-3}\) for the yellow curve and \( \Omega / \pi = (24.0 \pm 0.2) \) MHz and \( \rho_0 = (0.174 \pm 0.003) \) \( \mu \)m\(^{-3}\) for all other curves. (b) Comparison of the measured transmission spectrum at \( \Omega / \pi = 0.05 \), with the results of the mean-field model (blue solid line), the MCRE simulation (orange dashed line) and the low-intensity theory (green dashed-dotted line). Shaded areas account for a variation of the density of \( \pm 10\% \).

### B. Measurements on two-photon resonance

In order to investigate the effect of interactions on the transmission spectrum in a different approach we perform measurements on two-photon resonance by choosing experimental parameters to follow the red line in Fig. 1(b), where the linear response of the medium vanishes in the non-interacting regime. Precisely, we change the single-photon detuning \( \Delta \) while staying on two-photon resonance (\( \delta = 0 \)) and record the probe beam transmission as presented in Fig. 3(a).

At low \( \Omega_p \) the probability to be in the Rydberg state is small, such that in this so-called non-interacting regime nonlinearities due to Rydberg interactions are negligible. Here, the transmission is consistent with unity for all single-photon detunings, as shown by the yellow squares in Fig. 3(a). This is expected as on two-photon resonance the linear response of the atomic medium vanishes and EIT conditions are fulfilled.

Gradually increasing the probe Rabi frequency increases the Rydberg state fraction, such that interaction effects influence the dynamics. In this interacting regime, already for a ratio of \( \Omega_p / \Omega_c \approx 0.05 \), depicted by the black circles in Fig. 3(a), a dip in the transmission to about 0.76 appears on the negative detuning side. However, this dip

### A. Non-interacting and interacting Autler-Townes spectra

When changing the probe beam detuning \( \Delta_p \) with the control beam on resonance (\( \Delta_c = 0 \)) in the non-interacting regime, where the probe Rabi frequency is small, we recover the known Autler-Townes spectrum, as shown in Fig. 2(a). A transmission of nearly 1 at zero detuning and a symmetric spectrum supports the absence of dephasing \( \gamma_{gr} \) on the Rydberg coherence and therefore a largely coherent dynamics of a three-level system.

In the interacting regime at a high probe Rabi frequency, as presented in Fig. 2(b), the transmission at zero detuning is reduced. Moreover, we observe a small shift of the left minimum and an asymmetry of the spectrum. These general features have also been observed in previous measurements [21–23]. Solid and dashed lines in Fig. 2 are the result of theoretical models and will be discussed in Sec. IV.

Figure 2. Autler-Townes spectra in the non-interacting (a) and interacting (b) regime. The measured probe beam transmission \( T \) against the probe detuning \( \Delta_p \) is shown (black points) for \( \Omega_p / \Omega_c = 0.026 \) (a) and \( \Omega_p / \Omega_c = 0.12 \) (b) for \( \Delta_c = 0 \) and at a peak atomic density of \( (0.16 \pm 0.01) \) \( \mu \)m\(^{-3}\). The transmission spectra calculated with a mean-field model (blue solid line) and a MCRE simulation (orange dashed line) are depicted. Shaded areas take into account the uncertainty in the atomic density. \( \Omega_c / \pi = 15 \) MHz and \( \gamma_{gr} / 2 \pi = 1 \) MHz for both theory curves. Gray arrows in (b) indicate the minima positions of the curve in (a).

berg EIT is pursued, as the linear response of the medium vanishes in the non-interacting regime.
is absent on the positive detuning side. For the experimental parameters of this measurement we can exclude that this absorption feature results from the influence of stationary Rydberg excitations or ions in the medium. In order to support this statement, we present a measurement of Rydberg excitations after the EIT sequence and an estimation of an upper limit for the absorption resulting from these in App. C.

Increasing the probe Rabi frequency further (red down triangles) increases the strength of the absorption dip, but does not change its position. Moreover, the feature is getting broader, but remains clearly visible until \( \Omega_p/\Omega_c \approx 0.12 \). For the highest ratio \( \Omega_p/\Omega_c \approx 0.18 \) of the two Rabi frequencies (purple up triangles) strong absorption continues to persist predominantly on the negative detuning side but is shifted towards the single-photon resonance and is further broadened. Therefore, the observed absorption feature turns out to be very sensitivity with \( \Omega_p \), which is a characteristic of a nonlinear phenomenon.

**IV. THEORETICAL MODELS**

Having observed a small shift and an asymmetry in the interacting Autler-Twomey spectrum and a strong nonlinear absorption in spectra on two-photon resonance, we now aim for a comparison of our measurements with existing theoretical models. Working in the regime, where the probe field can be treated classically (\( OD_b \ll 1 \)), photon-photon and atom-atom correlations can be neglected. However, interactions between atoms induce atom-atom correlations, that on one hand enable strong nonlinear effects and therefore make the system potentially useful for quantum optics applications, but on the other hand make a theoretical treatment of the Rydberg EIT medium challenging. Exactly and numerically only solvable for a few atoms, methods to truncate these correlations in the resulting many-body master equations need to be applied.

The simplest truncation neglects direct two-body correlations in a mean-field approach and implements the resulting interaction induced shift and dephasing into the single-body master equation. The same ansatz of an interaction induced shift is followed in a rate equation model, that however solves the many-body rate equations using a Monte-Carlo simulation. Another approach for truncation of the many-body correlations is a low intensity approximation of the optical Bloch equations, in which two-body interactions can be treated exactly. In the following we give some relevant details on these three approaches and comment on their range of validity.

**A. Mean-field model**

Among other implementations of the mean-field model, we choose to compare our experimental results with an ansatz followed in, as experimental parameters, such as the ratio \( \Omega_p/\Omega_c \) and the atomic density, are similar. In that work, the transmission of the probe field follows from the one-dimensional Maxwell Bloch equation, where the optical response of the medium enters in terms of a model susceptibility \( \chi = \alpha \chi_E + (1 - \alpha) \chi_3 \). This model susceptibility is based on the solution for the susceptibility \( \chi_3 \), where the latter leads to a dephasing \( \gamma_\text{fr} \) of the Rydberg coherence. In this model \( \Delta_R \) and \( \theta_R \) can only be approximated and are based on a local density approximation and an approximation for the Rydberg excited fraction, that is derived from a semi-analytical model using superatoms.

**B. Monte-Carlo rate equation model (MCRE)**

In this approach, the single-atom master equation without interactions is cast into a set of rate equations by adiabatically eliminating the coherences. Interactions are included as effective level shifts \( \Delta_{\text{int}} = \sum_{j \neq i} C_6/r_{ij}^6 \) for the Rydberg level of the \( i \)-th atom with distance \( r_{ij} \) to atom \( j \). Using a Monte-Carlo simulation, the many-body problem is solved by propagating the global ground state to the global steady state. In this Monte-Carlo rate equation model (MCRE) the propagation of the probe field can be included by taking into account the local probe Rabi frequency \( \Omega_p^{(i)} \), that atom \( i \) experiences, for the calculation of the steady state of atom \( i \) in each Monte-Carlo step. For this purpose, the probe Rabi frequency is propagated through the cloud of randomly positioned atoms according to the one-dimensional Maxwell Bloch equation until atom \( i \) is reached. Thereby, in each propagation step, the local attenuation experienced by the individual atoms that are passed is subsequently accounted for. As a result, not only global atomic observables, but also the probe beam transmission can be simulated with this approach.
C. Low-intensity theory

A more rigorous description of Rydberg-EIT can be obtained by expanding the many-body problem of the interacting ensemble in terms of the number of Rydberg excitations per blockade volume \([2, 24, 25, 27]\). Starting with the underlying Heisenberg equations that describe the driven dynamics of the atomic states one obtains a hierarchy of equations for operator products that describe correlations and entanglement induced by the strong Rydberg state interactions. Assuming that the Rydberg population per blockade radius is small, this hierarchy can be truncated by neglecting three-body contributions, i.e. assuming that the probability to excite three nearby strongly interacting Rydberg atoms is negligibly small. This permits to find an exact analytic solution for the third order nonlinear susceptibility that fully accounts for two-body correlations and entanglement on the level of atomic pairs \([25]\). The transmission of the probe beam is then calculated by applying a local density approximation and assuming spatially constant probe and control beams.

D. Range of validity

For a comparison of the range of the validity of the theoretical models the strength of the applied fields, the atomic density as well as the interaction strength are considered in the following.

The mean-field model includes Rydberg interactions solely as an interaction-induced energy shift based on the assumption that inter-atomic correlations can be completely neglected. This requires that the mean distance between Rydberg excitations is larger than the blockade radius, which is for example the case if \(\Omega_p/\Omega_c \ll 1\) or for a small interaction strength. A simple mean-field model has been shown to fail to explain observations in coherent population trapping experiments as soon as excitation blockade becomes relevant \([20]\), which depending on the experimental parameters might already be the case at low atomic densities. The mean-field model considered here agreed well with an interaction induced shift and dephasing observed in interacting EIT transmission spectra for densities up to about 0.1 \(\mu\text{m}^{-3}\) \([22]\).

The MCRE model also includes Rydberg interactions as effective energy shifts but does not rely on assumptions for calculating the average shift felt by one atom. Instead, it naturally includes the mean-field shift in a self-consistent manner and calculates the steady-state of the \(N\)-body density matrix. While still requiring \(\Omega_p/\Omega_c \ll 1\) or \(\Omega_p/\Omega_c \gg 1\) for atomic coherences to vanish \([38]\), these two aspects increase its range of validity to a large range of atomic densities and yields correct results also for densities as high as 0.18 \(\mu\text{m}^{-3}\) \([21, 31]\). For \(\Omega_p/\Omega_c \ll 1\) the rate equation model was shown to agree well with the result of a master equation calculation of four fully blocked atoms independent of the driving strength \(\Omega_c/\gamma_c\) as effective energy shifts but does not rely on assumptions for calculating the average shift felt by one atom.

V. COMPARISON BETWEEN THEORY AND EXPERIMENT

We implement the three models \([22, 25, 33]\) with a transversely constant probe beam intensity and a constant control beam intensity in all spatial dimensions. All three models account for the 45 angle between the propagation direction of the lasers and the main axis of the atomic cloud, as depicted in Fig. 1(a), and include the Gaussian density distribution in propagation direction. In the MCRE model the Gaussian density distribution in transversal direction is considered, while it is assumed to be constant for the other two models. This approximation is justified due to the much larger waist of the atomic cloud in transversal direction compared to the waist in propagation direction. We checked that in the absence of interactions all three models coincide with each other.

A. Comparison with Autler-Townes measurements

The Rydberg population per blockade volume of the interacting Autler-Townes measurement is 0.17 on resonance and increases off-resonance even further, such that it cannot be considered much smaller than one. Therefore, we omit a comparison of the low-intensity theory with the Autler-Townes measurement in the following. The ratio of the two Rabi frequencies as well as the atomic density are in a regime where a comparison with the other two models is possible.

In order to match the result of the mean-field model and the MCRE simulation to our measured transmission spectra in Fig. 2 we use for both models a dephasing \(\gamma_p/2\pi = 1\) MHz of the excited state coherence to account for both the laser linewidth of the probe beam and a density dependent dephasing present in the system.
(see App. D). Dephasing due to laser noise was independently determined in a measurement of the two-photon linewidth and found to be well below 10 kHz. As this is small compared to the Rydberg decay rate of 17.5 kHz, we set $\gamma_{gr} = 0$ in the following. Moreover, the probe Rabi frequency is varied simultaneously for both theories within its systematic error of 10%.

In the non-interacting regime the mean-field model (blue solid line) and the result of the MCRE simulation (orange-dashed line) agree well with the measured transmission spectrum in Fig. 2(a). The slight deviations observed can come from a small fluctuation of the control beam power and a possible small misalignment of the counter-propagating beams, which are not included in the theoretical models.

In the interacting regime, shown in Fig. 2(b), a reduction of the transmission around $\Delta_p = 0$ and an asymmetry in the spectrum is predicted by the two models. However, while both theories predict a shift of the resonance position to $\Delta_p/2\pi \approx 3$ MHz, we do not observe this large shift in the experiment. The deviation of the transmission predicted by the two theories around single-photon resonance can be explained by the different implementation of the interaction-induced level shift and its variance in the two models (see App. D for details). Moreover, the observed lower transmission on resonance can be captured by including an effective dephasing rate $\gamma_{gr}$ into the models, which could be explained by Rydberg excitations that might be present in the medium for the experimental parameters of this measurement as discussed in App. C.

While the attenuation of the transmission on resonance is a consequence of Rydberg blockade induced absorption and an experimentally and theoretically approved feature of interacting Rydberg EIT systems [2], the absence or presence of a shift and asymmetry in the spectrum is debated in literature [21, 22]. In theories, that rely on a mean-field or MCRE model are met, it can not explain the absorption feature explicitly included in the MCRE simulation (see App. D for details). This shows that experimental imperfections, which would lead to single-particle dephasing (e.g. atomic motion, imperfect initial state preparation, remnant DC electric fields), are negligible. In theoretical models a transmission dip on the negative detuning side is found with the three different models. For all of them the experimental parameters are directly used as an input for the models and the dephasings $\gamma_{ge}$ and $\gamma_{gr}$ are the same as for the Autler-Townes measurements. Apparently all three models fail to describe our measurement.

As shown in Fig. 3(b), only qualitatively one absorption dip on the negative detuning side is found with the mean-field and MCRE models. However, its position deviates from and cannot be superimposed with the measured one by changing parameters, as for example the atomic density, within an acceptable range with respect to the experimental parameters. The stronger absorption predicted by the mean-field model compared to the MCRE simulation stems from the inclusion of the variance $\chi_{3\delta\Omega}$ of the interaction induced shift in the mean-field model, which becomes more important as the fraction $\alpha$ of all blockaded atoms excluding Rydberg atoms is smaller than 0.12 for all detunings. This variance is not explicitly included in the MCRE simulation (see App. D for details).

The low-intensity theory predicts two transmission minima as a consequence of two-body two-photon resonance. However, even though the assumptions for this model are met, it can not explain the absorption feature on the negative detuning side.

B. Comparison with measurements on two-photon resonance

For the measurements on two-photon resonance the atomic density is in a regime where all three models should be applicable. Moreover, the Rydberg population per blockade volume is below 0.05 for the yellow and black curves in Fig. 3(a) for all detunings, but exceeds this threshold for the other two curves. This means that at least for the yellow and black curves, for which $\Omega_{p}/\Omega_c \ll 1$, the requirements for all three models are fulfilled.

In the non-interacting regime on two-photon resonance ($\delta = 0$), the transmission is nearly 1 for all single-photon detunings $\Delta$, as shown by the yellow squares in Fig. 3(b). This shows that experimental imperfections, which would lead to single-particle dephasing (e.g. atomic motion, imperfect initial state preparation, remnant DC electric fields), are negligible. In theoretical models a transmission of 1 is expected, as on two-photon resonance the population in the Rydberg state and thus interaction induced shifts tend to zero for small $\Omega_p$ or small atomic densities. In combination with $\chi_{3\delta\Omega}(\delta = 0) = 0$ for negligible single-particle dephasing this results in a vanishing linear response. All three models reproduce this behavior correctly.

For the interacting regime, Fig. 3(b) shows a comparison of the measured transmission spectrum for $\Omega_{p}/\Omega_c = 0.05$ with the three different models. For all of them the experimental parameters are directly used as an input for the models and the dephasings $\gamma_{ge}$ and $\gamma_{gr}$ are the same as for the Autler-Townes measurements. Apparently all three models fail to describe our measurement.
C. Discussion

Similar to previous experiments [19, 21, 23], the discussed theoretical calculations capture the nonlinear behavior of the measured Autler-Townes spectra for resonant control-laser fields besides the absence of a shift that has already been debated [21, 22]. Strikingly, however, all three approaches fail to explain the observed nonlinear absorption spectrum under conditions of EIT. The outlined mean-field description [22] as well as the MCRE simulations [33] include interaction effects in an approximate way that augments the single-atom equation of motion by a collective level shift produced by surrounding atoms. Consequently, these approaches do not fully account for correlations and entanglement between atoms that arises from strong pair-wise interactions in the presence of laser driving. In particular, they neglect pair-state resonances that emerge from strong control-field coupling of blockaded atom pairs [25–28] and lead to enhanced nonlinear absorption around $\Delta \sim \pm \Omega_\text{e}/2$. While the presented low-intensity theory [25] exactly accounts for this effect on a two-body level, the comparison to our experiments suggests that the collective influence of multiple interacting atoms plays a significant role for the observed nonlinear absorption spectrum.

While an exact description of the laser-driven interacting Rydberg atom ensemble is numerically intractable, future improvements of current theoretical approaches may shed light on the discrepancies revealed in this work. For example, a hybrid MCRE scheme, proposed in [32], combines the described rate equation description of many interacting atoms with an exact treatment of two-body quantum dynamics. Hereby one identifies close lying atoms that form otherwise isolated pairs, for which the corresponding two-body master equation is solved exactly to obtain a corresponding system of two-body rate equations that yields the exact two-body steady state. This approach is expected to provide an improved description of the nonlinear absorption at low atomic densities [22]. However, the identification of atomic pairs becomes ambiguous at our densities and would, hence, require a quantum master-equation description of extended atomic clusters in future theoretical work. Moreover, the outlined low-intensity theory for the third-order optical susceptibility of the Rydberg gas [25] may be expanded by truncating the underlying hierarchy of operator equations, discussed in Sec. IV C, via a closure relation that takes into account the effect of multiple surrounding Rydberg atoms beyond direct two-body terms. For example, this could be achieved within a systematic cluster expansion and ladder approximation of three-body terms to include the mean-field level-shift generated by Rydberg atoms surrounding a given atomic pair.

VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In conclusion, we have experimentally investigated the nonlinear absorption spectrum of a Rydberg-EIT medium with strong atomic interactions. Our measurements of the nonlinear behavior of the Autler-Townes absorption peaks for resonant control-fields confirm previously observed spectral features that are explainable by existing theories. Strikingly, however, we found significant deviations for the probe-field absorption spectrum on two-photon resonance, i.e. when simultaneously scanning both laser frequencies to maintain EIT conditions. The appearance of such discrepancies that imply qualitative deficiency of existing theories comes as a surprise, in light of the substantial previous investigations of Rydberg-EIT in the semi-classical [19, 29, 33, 34] as well as quantum regime [2, 3, 9, 18]. Maintaining linear EIT, implies that any absorption predominantly arises from nonlinear effects such that the presented measurements provide a more stringent test to the theoretical understanding of the underlying optical nonlinearities. The detailed comparison to different and complementary theoretical approaches, presented in this work, indeed suggests that an improved treatment of the driven many-body dynamics is necessary to describe EIT in interacting atomic gases.
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Appendix A: Preparation of the three-level system

$^{87}$Rb atoms are loaded into a magneto-optical trap (MOT) from a high flux cold atom source [39]. After a compressed and a dark-MOT phase [40, 41], the atoms are transferred into a far detuned, crossed optical dipole trap. Using a combination of optical pumping and Landau Zener transfers between hyperfine sublevels the atoms are prepared in the hyperfine ground state $|g\rangle = |5S_{1/2}, F = 2, m_F = 2\rangle$ in the presence of a 30 G magnetic field. With this procedure we achieve a cigar
shaped atomic cloud with 42 × 42 × 288 μm 1/ε2-waists, a maximal peak density of 2 × 10^{11} cm⁻³ and a temperature of about 60 μK in a well define initial state |g⟩.

The probe and control beams that couple the ground to the Rydberg state in a two-photon process via the intermediate state are right- and left-circular polarized, respectively, with respect to the applied magnetic field. In combination with the careful preparation of the ground state this ensures that our EIT setup is realized as a well defined three-level-ladder system. Moreover, both lasers are locked to a stable, high finesse Fabry-Pérot cavity defined three-level-ladder system. Moreover, both lasers are atomic collisions or rescattering of photons. Estimating the broadening due to collisions [43, 44] by calculating the collision rate from the atomic velocity and the mean-free-path shows, that the temperature or the density of the atomic gas are too low for explaining this large amount of dephasing. However, due to the large extent of the atomic cloud transversal to the propagation direction, the transverse optical depth is large and allows for multiple rescattering of the photons [39, 41], which can broaden the line at high densities.

Appendix B: Characterization of dephasing in the two-level system

In order to determine the dephasing γ_{ge} of the excited state coherence, we measure the optical depth OD of the atomic cloud as a function of the probe beam detuning Δ_p in the absence of the control beam (Ω_c = 0). For comparability with our measurements in the main text we choose a rather high probe Rabi frequency Ω_p/2π = 1.7 MHz.

In the low density regime, shown in Fig. 3(a), we extract a dephasing of γ_{ge}/2π = (0.53 ± 0.05) MHz using the mean-field model. Here, the error accounts for shot-to-shot fluctuations of the atomic density by ±10%. In the high density regime, as depicted in Fig. 3(b), we obtain a rather large dephasing of γ_{ge}/2π = (1.8 ± 0.1) MHz.

The natural linewidth due to population decay, power broadening as well as a reduction of the linewidth due to propagation effects are intrinsically included into the mean-field model, such that these effects can not be the source of the observed dephasing. Density-dependent dephasing mechanism that could cause such a broadening are atomic collisions or rescattering of photons. Estimating the broadening due to collisions [42] by calculating the collision rate from the atomic velocity and the mean-free-path shows, that the temperature or the density of the atomic gas are too low for explaining this large amount of dephasing. However, due to the large extent of the atomic cloud transversal to the propagation direction, the transverse optical depth is large and allows for multiple rescattering of the photons [39, 41], which can broaden the line at high densities.

Appendix C: Rydberg excitation measurement on two-photon resonance

Besides a transmission measurement, our setup also allows to detect Rydberg excitations, that remain in the atomic cloud after turning off the EIT lasers, by field ionization of the atom cloud and subsequent detection of the resulting ions on a micro-channel plate (MCP). Here, the detection efficiency, measured by depletion imaging [15], is about 0.10 ions per Rydberg excitation and in the absence of the control beam, where no ions can be created, we measure 0.74 ± 0.01 counts, where the error is the standard error of the mean, setting a threshold for the detection of Rydberg excitations.

For the measurement on two-photon resonance presented in Fig. 3(a) we simultaneously recorded the ion counts on the MCP, as shown in Fig. 5. For the ratio of Ω_p/Ω_c = 0.05, where the nonlinear absorption in the transmission measurements appears, the number of detected ions is about one for all detunings, as shown by the black circles in Fig. 5. When increasing the ratio of the two Rabi frequencies further, the ion count increases to about 4 counts, but stays approximately constant over the whole range of single photon detunings. For the high-
est measured ratio the number of detected ions increases significantly with a maximum around zero single-photon detuning.

In the case of a coherent evolution in the EIT system we would expect to detect no ions after the EIT sequence. Therefore, we attribute the observed ions at high ratios of the two Rabi frequencies to stationary Rydberg excitations in the medium. As these excitations do not get downpumped by the control beam into the decaying intermediate state it is to be presumed that these are excitations in other than the $|4S\text{1/2}, m_j = 1/2\rangle$ Rydberg state. An explanation for the creation of these excitations might be radiation trapping [33, 46] and subsequent state-changing collisions or anti-blockade excitation of Rydberg states that are not coupled by the control laser. Theses unwanted Rydberg excitations have already been observed and termed “Rydberg pollutants” in [18].

For the atomic density and the ratio of the two Rabi frequencies used for the interacting Autler-Townes measurement presented in Fig. 3b, which lay between the black and red curves in Fig. 3, the ion measurement suggests the presence of a large number of Rydberg excitations that could lead to the observed slightly lower transmission around resonance.

However, for the measurement at $\Omega_{\text{off}}/\Omega_c \approx 0.05$ we measure approximately one ion count at the position of the transmission dip at $\Delta_{\text{min}} = -8\text{MHz}$. According to the detection efficiency, this sets an upper bound of $10^5$ on the number $N_{\text{Ryd}}$ of Rydberg excitations in the medium. We now consider a worst case scenario in order to estimate the maximal influence of these excitations on the probe beam propagation. For this purpose we assume, that all these excitations are located in the integrated region of $4\times4$ pixels, where the probe beam transmission is evaluated. Furthermore, we assume that these excitations are atoms in the 49P Rydberg state, that process strong dipolar interactions with the $48S$ Rydberg state with a coefficient $c_3$ of about $1.3\text{GHz}\mu\text{m}^3$.

We estimate the resulting absorption from these excitations as follows: Each Rydberg excitation renders the medium absorptive in a spherical volume given by the blockade radius $R_b$, which is approximately $2.8\mu\text{m}$ at $\Delta_{\text{min}}$. Assuming that all excitations are placed in a chain between each other the resulting optical depth $\text{OD}_{\text{Ryd}} = \text{OD}_{\text{off}}2R_bN_{\text{Ryd}}/L$ can be calculated from the propagation distance $L$ through the whole atomic cloud, the peak atomic density $\rho_0$, which is given in the caption of Fig. 3 and the off-resonant optical depth $\text{OD}_{\text{off}} = \sigma_{\text{off}}\rho_0L$ of two-level atoms. Here, the off-resonant cross section $\sigma_{\text{off}} = \sigma_0$ is the resonant cross-section $\sigma_0$ multiplied by a factor $a = 0.126$ that takes into account the Lorentzian lineshape of the two-level absorption with decay rate $\gamma_c$. In a last step we have to account for the fact, that the transversal size $A_{\text{Ryd}} = \pi R_b^2$ of one blocked volume is smaller than the evaluated pixel area $A = (4 \times 2.2\mu\text{m})^2$ on the CCD camera and use the scale $s = A_{\text{Ryd}}/A$ to finally obtain the transmission $T_{\text{Ryd}} = (1 - s) + s \exp(-\text{OD}_{\text{Ryd}}) \approx 0.93$ in the presence of ten Rydberg excitations.

Overall, this estimation in a worst case scenario results in an upper bound of $7\%$ for the probe beam absorption solely due to these Rydberg excitations. Therefore, for the ratio of $\Omega_{\text{off}}/\Omega_c = 0.05$, unwanted Rydberg excitations can not explain the observed strong absorption feature.

Appendix D: Comparison of mean-field and MCRE model

In the spectra on two-photon resonance a stronger absorption is predicted by the mean-field model than by the result of the Monte-Carlo rate equation model, shown in Fig. 3b. We explain in the following that this results from the assumption of how the interaction-induced level shift is included in the two models.

On one hand in the MCRE model, the total interaction-induced level shift $\Delta_{\text{int}}^{(i)}$ felt by an atom $i$ is determined by the sum $\sum_{j \neq i} \Delta_{ij} = \sum_{j \neq i} C_{ij}/r_{ij}^6$ over all shifts induced by the surrounding Rydberg atoms [33]. As the MCRE simulation is seeded with a distribution of atoms according to the geometry of the experiment, the inter-atomic distances $r_{ij}$ vary, which immediately leads to a certain variation of the level shifts $\Delta_{ij}$.

On the other hand, the considered mean-field model is based on the non-interacting single-body susceptibility and includes an interaction-induced level shift therein [22]. This means that nothing like an atomic distribution, and therefore no variance of the level shift is considered a priori. For distances smaller than the blockade radius, the level shift is completely determined by an integration over the radius $r$ and the resulting susceptibility $\chi_B$ inside the blockade radius is therefore unambiguously defined. However, for the susceptibility $\chi_E$ outside the blockaded sphere assumptions about the average level shift $\Delta_{R}$ and its variance $\theta_R$ have to be made. Han et al. [22] calculate both based on a mean-field assumption as well as on an assumption for the Rydberg excitation fraction. The variance is then included as an effective dephasing $\gamma_{gr} = \sqrt{\Omega_0}$ of the Rydberg coherence in the single-body susceptibility [22]. Finally, the variance, which is proportional to the Rydberg excitation fraction, determines the weight between the two parts of the overall model susceptibility $\chi = \chi_B + (1 - \alpha)\chi_E$ [22]. This implies, that the more one enters the blockaded regime (large $\alpha$), the less weight is put on the assumption made for the variance of the level-shift entering $\chi_E$.

For the Autler-Townes measurement in the interacting regime, $\alpha$ is larger than 0.6 around the Autler-Townes transmission minima. Hence, the contribution of $\chi_B$ and $\chi_E$ are quite similar, such that the relative importance of including a variance of the level shift is small. As a result, the mean-field and MCRE model give similar predictions for the transmission spectrum. Only around resonance, where $\alpha$ is about 0.2, deviations between the two models start to appear.

For the measurement on two photon resonance $\alpha <
Figure 6. Comparison of the mean-field model (blue: $\theta_R \neq 0$, purple: $\theta_R = 0$) and MCRE simulation (orange dashed lines) for the parameters of Fig. 3(b). (a) Autler-Townes transmission spectra as a function of the probe beam detuning $\Delta_p$ for different control beam detunings $\Delta_c/2\pi = \{9, 0, -9\}$ MHz. (b) Transmission $T$ against the single-photon detuning $\Delta$ on two-photon resonance ($\Delta_c = -\Delta_p$), as in Fig. 3(b). Black points depict the measured spectrum and the result the two models theory models are shown. Colored circles indicate the transmission values of the corresponding Autler-Townes spectrum in (a). (c) $\alpha$ of the mean-field model against the single-photon detuning $\Delta$. For all theory curves the parameters are the same as in Fig. 3(b). For a discussion of the curves see the main text.

0.12 for all single-photon detunings $\Delta$ and is especially only about 0.02 at $\Delta/2\pi = -2$ MHz, as shown in Fig. 5(c). At the same detuning the effective dephasing on the Rydberg coherence $\sqrt{\theta_R}/2\pi$ is as large as 6 MHz, putting a lot of weight on the assumptions made in the mean-field model.

In order to show, that the transmission curve on two-photon resonance predicted by the mean-field model is dominated by the Autler-Townes transmission spectra for three different detunings $\Delta_c/2\pi = \{9, 0, -9\}$ MHz corresponding to the points marked in the curves on two-photon resonance depicted in Fig. 6(b). The mean-field model with (without, $\theta_R = 0$) the variance $\theta_R$ is shown in blue (purple) and the result of the MCRE simulation is shown in orange for comparison.

For positive single-photon detunings $\Delta > 0$, where $\alpha$ is larger, the mean-field model and the MCRE model almost agree for the Autler-Townes spectrum with $\Delta_c/2\pi = -9$ MHz and setting $\theta_R = 0$ makes them almost identical. For zero and negative single-photon detunings, $\alpha$ is smaller and a deviation between the mean-field model and the MCRE model is apparent. Completely excluding the variance of the interaction-induced level shift ($\theta_R = 0$) lets the result of the two models become similar, but seems to underestimate the absorption compared to the MCRE model. This highlights the importance to include a spatial variation of the level shift in an appropriate manner.

Overall, the considered mean-field model is dominated by the variance $\theta_R$ of the average interaction-induced level shift, whenever $\alpha$ is small, which is the case when the fraction of blockaded atoms excluding Rydberg excitations is small. In this regime, in which our two-photon measurement mainly belongs, deviations between the mean-field model and the MCRE simulation become apparent.