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Graphene heterobilayers with layer antisymmetric strains are studied using the Dirac-Harper model which describes a pair of single layer Dirac Hamiltonians coupled by a matrix-valued one-dimensional moiré-periodic interlayer potential. We find that this model hosts low energy, nearly dispersionless bands near charge neutrality that support anomalous polarizations of multipole distributions of the charge density. These are studied by formulating symplectic two-forms that encode field-induced multipole dynamics allowed in a chiral medium with time reversal symmetry. The model identifies reciprocity relations between the responses to layer-symmetric and layer-antisymmetric in-plane electric fields and reveals momentum-space quantum oscillations that can quantify the structure of its laterally modulated band inversions.

Response functions describing the electrodynamic properties of electrons in crystals can contain anomalous terms arising from momentum dependence of internal spin and orbital attributes in Bloch bands. There has been interest in interpreting these as geometric phenomena associated with a quantum metric [1, 2]. This approach generalizes to driving fields that vary in space. When a smooth spatial variation lowers the symmetry of the system, it can activate responses that are symmetry-forbidden for strictly spatially uniform fields. These spatially dispersive responses sometimes can be expressed as responses of a system to the field gradients. Artificial structures fabricated by stacking atomically thin layers are of great current interest in this regard, and in these systems the field gradient is replaced by a discretized version that represents the response to driving fields that can be distinguished on the individual layers [3-5]. In twisted graphene bilayers these discretized coupled layer responses can greatly exceed spatially dispersive responses found in conventional chiral materials [6].

In this Letter we formulate electrodynamic responses of the Dirac-Harper (DH) model for a graphene moiré heterobilayer in terms of a symplectic two-form on the projective Hilbert space [2, 7]. This generalizes the anomalous velocity associated with a Berry curvature to a one dimensional problems, with each sector indexed by a conserved crystal momentum $k_y$ in Figure [1] and supporting a one-dimensional spinorial variant of the Harper problem in the modulation $(x)$ direction. The DH Hamiltonian introduced in [8] gives a spinorial Harper equation

$$t(k)\psi_{n+1} + t^\dagger(k)\psi_{n-1} + (t_0 + \nu(x))\psi_n = \epsilon\psi_n \tag{1}$$

where $\psi$ are four component fields with the ordering of amplitudes on two sublattices and two layers: $\psi^T = (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2)$. Here $t(k)$ are block diagonal $4 \times 4$ matrices derived from the nearest neighbor tight-binding kinetic energy for the individual graphene layers and $\nu(x) = \nu(x+L)$ is the spatially modulated interlayer coupling with moiré period $L [9]$. Defining the Pauli matrices $\sigma_i$ and $\tau_i$ acting on sublattice index and layer index respectively, the products $\sigma_x\tau_0 = \gamma_{15}$ and $\sigma_y\tau_0 = \gamma_{25}$ form a basis for $t$. The symmetries of this model depend on the form of the lattice strain and the orientation of the modulation direction. An important limiting case studied here occurs for an antisymmetric shear strain along the armchair (AC) direction which produces a chiral structure with $C_{2x}, C_{2y}$, and $C_{2z}$ symmetries shown in Figure [1]. The $k_y$-projected Hamiltonians individually break time reversal symmetry through this is restored by the $k_y$-space integrated response with a $T$-invariant population.

As discussed in [8] the modulated interlayer coupling matrices can be represented by a set of Dirac matrices $\gamma_4 = \sigma_0\tau_z$, $\gamma_{32} = \sigma_z\tau_2$, and $\gamma_{14} = \sigma_y\gamma_y$ weighted by moiré periodic (real) amplitudes. Sign changes of these amplitudes can spatially confine electronic states to selected regions of the modulated structure. Modes trapped in the AA regions are confined to a fixed region along the modulated coordinate for all $q_x$ within the band-inverted region, and the density of another such confined state for the AC moiré pattern is plotted as a function of $q_r = (t^\prime a/\hbar v_F)k_y$, (where $t^\prime$ is the interlayer coupling strength and $v_F = \sqrt{3\alpha/2\hbar}$ is the Fermi velocity for lattice coupling strength $t$ on a honeycomb lattice with lattice constant $a$) in Figure [2]. These modes coun-
terpropagate from the AB and BA regions towards the AA region as \( k_y \) approaches a gap closure at \( q_r = 1 \). In the semiclassical theory this counterpropagation could be driven by an electric field \( \hbar \dot{k}_y = -eE_y \), but it transports no charge along \( x \). The spectral flow illustrated in Figure 2 can nonetheless be associated with transport of higher order multipole densities.
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**FIG. 1.** (a) Shear-strained moiré structure. (b) Low energy band structure for the shear-strained moiré at \( k_x = 0 \). All bands are two-fold degenerate. Inside the gap, a set of eight weakly dispersing bands appear near zero energy. The outermost four bands have AA-peaked modes, the innermost four have AB/BA-peaked bands.

Multipole distributions that are antisymmetric in \( x \) can assign a net polarization to the counterpropagating peaks by tagging the two peaks with opposite signs. The lowest allowed multipole moment that respects \( C_{2x} \), \( C_{2y} \), and \( C_{2z} \) symmetry of the shear-strained AC moiré is an octopole. Thus a spatially antisymmetric density can be produced by charge distributions that locally have the rotational symmetry of a quadrupole in the \( yz \) plane. Such an octopole is explicitly present in the DH Hamiltonian: the mass term \( \hat{\gamma}_{14} \sin(Gx) \) combines the quadrupole operator \( \hat{\gamma}_{14} \) with an antisymmetric function that distinguishes the AB and BA stacked regions of the structure. The sublattice correlations measured by \( \hat{\gamma}_{14} \) encode a property of the wavefunction which switches sign in these two regions and tags the charge peaks with opposite sign. Similarly, the quadrupole density locally measured by \( \hat{\gamma}_{14} = \sigma_z \tau_z \) describes a local layer and sublattice polarization that is also spatially antisymmetric (Figure 2).
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**FIG. 2.** (a) Anomalous drift of the charge peaks in AB/BA-peaked bands as a function of \( q_r \). The gap closure occurs at \( q_r = 1 \). (b) Multipole densities \( \rho_4 \) and \( \rho_5 \) at \( q_r = 0.52 \) tagging the peaks with opposite sign.

The spectral flow of a multipole density can be associated with a generalization of the symplectic 2-form that defines the Berry curvature:

\[
\omega_m = \sum_{i,\alpha,\beta} m_{\alpha\beta} d\bar{u}_i^\alpha \wedge d\bar{u}_i^\beta
\]

Here \( u_i^\alpha \) denotes the wavefunction component for the \( i \)th sublattice of the \( i \)th cell, and \( m_{\alpha\beta} \) is a local multipole weighting the sublattice degrees of freedom. For \( m_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbb{I} \), we recover the usual Berry curvature responsible for the anomalous Hall conductance. More generally, as long as \( m_{\alpha\beta} \) has a trivial nullspace, then \( \omega_m \) is also a nondegenerate (symplectic) 2-form. By the Darboux Theorem, this can be re-expressed in the familiar form of the Berry curvature \( \sum_{i,\alpha} d\bar{u}_i^\alpha \wedge d\bar{u}_i^\alpha \) via a coordinate transformation, but for ease of interpretation we shall continue to work in the \((a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2)\) basis. When \( \omega_m \) in this basis is applied to tangent vectors \( \partial_{\lambda_x} \) and \( \partial_{\lambda_y} \) at \( |u\rangle \), the resulting curvature is weighted by the multipole \( M \equiv m_{\alpha\beta} \otimes I_N \).

\[
\omega_m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_y} \right) = \langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda_x} | M | \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda_y} \rangle - \langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda_y} | M | \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda_x} \rangle
\]

These generalized curvatures encode local multipole densities via \( m_{\alpha\beta} \). To choose parameters \( \lambda \), we observe that the one-dimensional moiré structure is naturally described using two extended coordinates: a momentum coordinate in the \( y \) direction and a spatial coordinate in the \( x \) direction. The two dual coordinates \( k_x \) and \( y \) provide little additional information about the system due to its large aspect ratio. For definiteness we choose \( k_x = 0 \) promoting the model with symmetries that can be only slightly broken for this system. For the extended spatial coordinate, we introduce the spatially varying mass field in the Hamiltonian. The masses appear in a sum over...
the first star of $G$-vectors,

$$\nu(x) = \sum_{G, \alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} \nu_{G, \alpha} e^{iG(x + x_\alpha)}$$

where $\nu_{G, \alpha} = \nu_{G, \alpha}^*$ and $\alpha$ indexes three symmetry-allowed Dirac matrices with $\gamma_4$, $\gamma_{14}$, and $\gamma_{32}$. The $x_\alpha$ are spatial parameters that allow us to vary the origin for each mass field individually.

With our Hamiltonian defined by parameters $k_y$ and $x_\alpha$, we study the curvature:

$$K^{(M)}_\alpha = \langle \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial k_y} | M | \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_\alpha} \rangle - c.c.$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Note that $k_y$ paired with any $x_\alpha$ parametrizes a two-dimensional subspace of the projective Hilbert space, but the ground state lies in the one-dimensional sector at $x_\alpha = 0$ where the mass fields are pinned by the moiré structure. The two-dimensional tangent space parametrized by $\partial x_\alpha, \partial k_y$ nevertheless encodes an observable of these $x_\alpha = 0$ states which will be described later. This curvature can be calculated in a two-fold degenerate band subspace using a modified Wilson loop [9].

Now we study the symmetry of the curvature under the three $C_2$ rotations and time-reversal $T$. We assume that $M$ is Hermitian so that $\omega_m$ is imaginary. The tangent vectors $\partial x_\alpha$ and $\partial k_y$ define a quadrupole in the $xy$ plane. $K^{(M)}_\alpha$ must have the symmetry of an octopole to respect $C_2$ symmetry, and this is satisfied if $M$ has the symmetry of a $z$-directed dipole. Here we use $m = \tau_z$ as a physically intuitive candidate. Under $T$, a sign change of $\partial k_y$ along with complex conjugation brings the imaginary curvature back to itself, so $K^{(M)}_\alpha$ does not require $T$-breaking to describe an net response.

Among the symmetries of the system, $C_{2x} T$ is of particular interest because it is local in both extended coordinates $x$ and $k_y$. The symmetry of $m_{\alpha \beta}$ under this operation therefore determines whether the curvature $K^{(M)}_\alpha$ or the multipole density $\rho_m$ vanish locally in momentum space and position space respectively. For the curvature, one finds [9]

$$\langle \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial k_y} | M | \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_\alpha} \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial k_y} | M' | \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_\alpha} \rangle^*$$

for $M' = (C_{2x} J M C_{2x})^*$. When $M' = M$, the curvature is equal to its complex conjugate and therefore it vanishes everywhere. Indeed this is the case for the usual Berry curvature with $M = I$, but the generalized extensions can incorporate multipoles such as $\tau_z$ when $M' = -M$, allowing this response to be nonvanishing.

For the multipole density $\rho_m$, the same manipulation gives $\rho_m = \rho_m^*$ where $m'$ is the $x$-projected version of $M'$. Since $\rho_m$ is real, this quantity vanishes when $m' = -m$. Thus we find that the symmetry of $m$ under $C_{2x} T$ divides multipole densities into two classes: those that can have nonvanishing density but vanishing curvature and those that have vanishing density but can have a nonvanishing curvature. The anomalous response measured by Equation 2 therefore is physically distinct from the construction using the antisymmetrically-tagged drifting peaks constructed in Figure 2. Dynamical effects occur in quantities with no accumulation of a multipole density within the bulk, and quantities with accumulation can only display a static polarization.

The triad of operators $\partial x_\alpha, \partial k_y, \tau_z$ can now be identified with two response functions. The tangent vector $\partial k_y$ couples the system to a perturbing electric field in the $y$-direction, and the tangent vector $\partial x_\alpha$ arises from the observable $h k_x^{(\alpha)} = [\partial x_\alpha, H]$ which describes an induced force in the $x$-direction for the $\alpha$-th mass channel. To introduce the third member of the triad, $\tau_z$, we can consider either a layer-antisymmetric electric field or a layer-antisymmetric response. For the former, $\tau_z$ enters in the driving term $E_T y \tilde{y}$, and the force is given by

$$f_{x_\alpha} = i e E \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle m | [\partial k_y, H] | m \rangle \langle m | \tau_z | n \rangle \frac{\partial n}{\partial k_y}}{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n} - c.c.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the first subscript labels the force by an induced lattice effect, to be described later. For the latter, $\tau_z$ weights the observable $i \partial x_\alpha$ with opposite sign in each layer:

$$f_{x_\alpha} = i e E \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle m | [\tau_z \partial x_\alpha, H] | m \rangle \langle m | \partial n \partial x_\alpha \rangle}{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n} - c.c.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

Both of these can be written as curvatures $K^{(\tau_z)}_\alpha$ in Equation 2 and thus they are equivalent [9]. Numerical calculation for each mass channel $\alpha$ is plotted as a function of $q_y$ in Figure 3.

Equations 2, 3 and 4 are the main results of this work and generalize the constitutive relations for a medium with natural optical activity [9-12]. The different mass channels each target the region of charge density confined by the associated mass inversion. This is shown concretely in Figure 3, where the AA peaks defined by $\gamma_{14}$ inversion are shifted by $x_{14}$ (i) and the $AB/BA$ peaks defined by $\gamma_{4}$ inversion are shifted with $x_4$ (ii). $x_{32}$ displaces little charge (iii) because $\gamma_{32}$ does not confine charge via a sign change [8]. The equivalence of Equations 3 and 4 identifies a reciprocity relation stating that a force induced by a multipole-weighted field is equal in magnitude to the multipole-weighted force induced by a uniform field. For our example of a $z$-directed dipole $\tau_z$, these responses are driven by a layer-antisymmetric field $E_{\tau_z}$ and a layer symmetric field $E_{\tau_z}$ respectively. For the former (Equation 3), summing over mass channels gives a force depinning charge in the negative $x$ direction as shown in Figure 3 iv. This can produce a counterforce on the lattice that acts to bring the moiré back in phase with the charge by sliding the layers relative to each other.
produced layer shear then acts at a general angle \( \phi \) as a function of \( k \) in Figure 4. A constant \( \phi \) by \( xy \) plane, which by reciprocity of the curvature is given by the ratio \( AB/BA \) in the energies of the mass terms together, inducing a constant shift.

\[ \gamma \]

energy behavior of spatially varying \( k \) is nearly constant over the width of the band-inverted region. Oscillations have a smoothly evolving period which is nearly constant over the width of the band-inverted region \( |q_s| < 1 \) in momentum space. We can understand this in a minimal model by examining the low-energy behavior of spatially varying \( \gamma_4 \) potential, shown in Figure 4. A constant \( \gamma_4 \) potential displaces the two hybridized Dirac cones in energy so that they intersect on a line degeneracy to form a low energy critical ring. Under zone folding from the moiré structure, this would produce a series of zero-energy bands crossing whose spacing evolves independently for bands originating on the \( \pm q_x \) sides of the ring and rapidly decreases toward an accumulation point at the edge of the critical ring \( q_r = 1 \).

By contrast, a spatially varying \( \gamma_4 \) potential produces instead a regular, slowly evolving pattern of band crossings as seen in Figure 4. This can be understood by squaring the low-energy Dirac equation,

\[ (i\hbar v_F \gamma_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hbar v_F k_y \gamma_{25} + \nu_4(x) \gamma_4) \psi = \epsilon \psi \]

to form the effective potential problem

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi = \left( -\frac{\nu_4(x)^2}{\hbar^2 v_F^2} + k_y^2 \right) \psi \equiv V_{\text{eff}} \psi \]

The tight-binding solutions shown in Figure 4 are found to oscillate in the region where \( V_{\text{eff}} \) is negative and decay in regions where it is positive. Wavelengths of the oscillating part of the wavefunctions match the value of \( \sqrt{V_{\text{eff}}} \) averaged over each period. Consecutive zero energy band crossings differ by a single oscillation in the wavefunctions confined within the \( AA \) basin. Thus the regular band spacing of a varying \( \gamma_4 \) potential arises from a resonance condition for the zero energy solutions within this effective potential.

FIG. 3. (a) Reciprocal systems described by i. Equation 3 and ii. Equation 4 (b) Curvatures \( K^{(x)} \) for each of the mass channels. (c) Change of the charge density from i. \( x_{14} \), primarily affecting the \( AB/BA \) peaks, ii. \( x_4 \), primarily affecting the \( AB/BA \) energy crossings intrinsic to the \( \gamma_4 \) term. iii. \( x_{32} \), causing little displacement, and iv. all the mass terms together, inducing a constant shift.

FIG. 4. (a) Zero energy crossings of the tight-binding spectrum for the \( \nu_4(x) \) potential. Tight-binding wavefunctions plotted against the effective potential for (b) \( k_y = .08 \) and (c) \( k_y = .086 \). As wavefunctions from consecutive bands, they differ by one peak.

These observations carry over to the full DH Hamiltonian. Incorporating a term proportional to \( \gamma_{14} \sin(Gx) \) opens a gap around the isolating the lowest energy band manifold without changing the spacing of these zero energy crossings. Away from the \( SP \) region, \( \gamma_{32} \) decreases to zero. Therefore, oscillatory behavior in the energies is inherited from the \( \hbar k_y \) spacing of zero energy crossings intrinsic to the \( \gamma_4 \) part of the potential. Although the full moiré \( AB/BA \) wavefunctions decay within the positive effective potential region, the sensitivity of the energies to these special values of \( k_y \) suggests that the wavefunctions retain a small oscillatory
part stretching over the positive potential region flanked by the $AB/BA$ charge peaks. Interestingly these oscillations would be absent from chiral models for twisted bilayer graphene which exclude this coupling to enforce a chiral symmetry [14]. Here we see that they control a physically measurable response and measurement of these oscillations can be used to determine the scale of the breaking of the chiral symmetry.

The sympletic forms developed here can also be applied to two dimensional twisted bilayers. In this case the DH theory generalizes to a two dimensional theory in an moiré supercell and the forces driving the multipole distributions analogous to Equations 3 and 4 are similarly promoted to two dimensional vectors obtained by integrating over a population in the 2D folded Brillouin zone. The simplest case couples the driving fields to the total charge density recovering the charge pumping phenomena proposed for twisted graphene bilayers [13, 15]. The generalized Berry curvature provides a unified formulation that associates this electromechanical response with the more general problem of manipulating multipole distributions using applied fields. The generalized constitutive relations for electric field-driven multipole responses also provides a geometric formulation of the natural optical activity of twisted graphene bilayers studied experimentally [6] and theoretically [3, 5] and to chiral Weyl semimetals [10].
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STRUCTURES

The kinetic terms \( t_0 \) and \( t \) in main text derive from the tight-binding model for single-layer graphene

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \cos(x/L) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos(x/L) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cos(x/L) \\
0 & 0 & \cos(x/L) & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The interlayer coupling \( \nu(x) \) is given by a series in the lowest \( G \)-vectors fixing the three high-symmetry stacking configurations \( AA, AB, \) and \( BA \). The result is

\[
\nu(x) = t' \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos(2\pi x/L)) \gamma_4 + \frac{1}{4} (1 - 2 \cos(2\pi x/L)) \gamma_{32} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin(2\pi x/L) \gamma_{14} \right)
\]

LOCAL ANTIUNITARY SYMMETRY

Here we show in detail the conditions for vanishing curvature and multipole densities under a local antiunitary symmetry \( UK \), where \( \mathcal{K} \) denotes complex conjugation and \( U \) is a unitary operator. First, we choose an orthonormal basis of \( UK \) eigenstates with eigenvalues +1. In the case of shear-strained graphene, the energy bands are two-fold degenerate, so we can construct these explicitly with the following algorithm. Readers who are satisfied that such a basis can be constructed may skip this part.

First we pick an arbitrary state \( |v\rangle \) in the band subspace. Since \( UK \) is a symmetry of the Hamiltonian, \( UK|v\rangle \) is an eigenstate with the same energy. Then we construct within the band subspace

\[
|u_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|v\rangle + UK|v\rangle), \quad |u_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\pi/2} (|v\rangle - UK|v\rangle)
\]

These are eigenstates of \( UK \) both with eigenvalue +1. To make them orthogonal, we observe that

\[
\langle u_1|u_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} e^{i\pi/2} (\langle v|v \rangle - \langle v^*|v^* \rangle + \langle v^*|U|v \rangle - \langle v|U|v^* \rangle) = \frac{1}{2} e^{i\pi/2} (\langle v^*|U|v \rangle - \langle v|U|v^* \rangle) = e^{i\pi/2} \text{Im}(\langle v^*|U|v \rangle)
\]

This means that \( |u_1\rangle \) and \( |u_2\rangle \) are orthogonal if and only if \( \langle v^*|U|v \rangle \) is real. We can enforce this by replacing \( |v\rangle \to e^{-i\theta/2} |v\rangle \) where \( \theta = \arg(\langle v^*|U|v \rangle) \) in the above construction of the \( |u\rangle \).

In this basis \( |u\rangle \), we now have that

\[
UK|u\rangle = |u\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad |u^*\rangle = U^\dagger |u\rangle
\]

The unitary part \( U \) can then be inserted into the curvature form as follows

\[
\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} M \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} UU^\dagger MUU^\dagger \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (U^\dagger MU)^* \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} \right)^* \equiv \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} M^* \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} \right)^*
\]

which is the result from the main text.

For the multipole density, we follow a similar procedure on the \( x \)-projected wavefunctions \( u(x) \). Since we assume \( UK \) is local in \( x \), we have

\[
UKu(x) = u(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad u^*(x) = U^\dagger u(x)
\]

Then the multipole density satisfies

\[
\rho_m = u^*(x)mu(x) = u^*(x)UU^\dagger mUU^\dagger u(x) = u(x)U^\dagger mU^\dagger u^*(x)
\]

\[
= (u^*(x)U^\dagger mU)^* u(x)) \equiv (u^*(x)m^* u(x))^* = \rho_m^*
\]

as desired.
KUBO FORMULAE

Here we derive the curvature form (Equation 3 of main text) from Equations 3 and 4 of the main text. Starting with Equation 3, we have

\[ f_{\gamma\alpha} = i e E \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle n| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}, H |m\rangle \langle m| \tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle}{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n} - \frac{\langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |m\rangle \langle m| \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |n\rangle}{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n} \right) \]

Since \(|n\) and \(|m\) are orthogonal, the \(\frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha}\) terms drop out. Then we can cancel the energy denominator, giving

\[ = i e E \sum_{m \neq n} \left( \langle n| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} |m\rangle \langle m| \tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |m\rangle \langle m| \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |n\rangle \right) \]

Integrating the first term by parts, we have

\[ = i e E \sum_{m \neq n} \left( -\langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |n\rangle \langle n| \tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |m\rangle \langle m| \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |n\rangle \right) \]

Now we can add and subtract \(\frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |n\rangle \langle n| \tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |n\rangle \langle n| \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} \) to complete the sum.

\[ = i e E \sum_{m} \left( -\langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |m\rangle \langle m| \tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |m\rangle \langle m| \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} \right) = i e E \left( -\langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |\tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} \rangle \right) \]

as desired. Equation 4 follows a similar derivation,

\[ f_{\alpha\alpha} = i e E \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle n| \tau_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}, H |m\rangle \langle m| \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle}{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n} - \frac{\langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |m\rangle \langle m| \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |n\rangle}{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n} \right) \]

\[ = i e E \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle n| \tau_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} + \tau_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} |m\rangle \langle m| \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle}{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n} - \frac{\langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |m\rangle \langle m| \tau_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} + \tau_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} |n\rangle}{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n} \right) \]

\[ = i e E \sum_{m \neq n} \left( \langle n| \tau_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} |m\rangle \langle m| \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |m\rangle \langle m| \tau_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} |n\rangle \right) \]

\[ = i e E \left( -\langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} |\tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial m}{\partial k_y} |\tau_z |\frac{\partial m}{\partial x_\alpha} \rangle \right) \]

again as desired.

WILSON LOOP

To calculate the generalized Berry curvature using a Wilson loop, we encounter a difficulty from the vanishing of the zeroth order term in each link. The usual matrix-valued Wilson loop is

\[ \text{Im} \log det \prod_{i=1}^{N} U_i U_{i+1} \]
where \( U_i \) is a matrix of \( n \) states in the band at the \( i \)-th point on the loop, and \( N + 1 \equiv 1 \). The determinant is invariant under unitary transformations of the \( U_i \), so we can pick a bases for the \( U_i \) in which the zeroth order part of each link is the identity. Taking the first order approximation \( U_{i+1} 
bigsim U_i + \delta U_i \), the Wilson loop becomes

\[
\text{Im} \log \det \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbb{I} + U_i^\dagger \delta U_i)
\]

Taking the first order terms from each subsequent operation, we have

\[
\text{Im} \log \det \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbb{I} + U_i^\dagger \delta U_i) \approx \text{Im} \log \det \left( \mathbb{I} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i^\dagger \delta U_i \right) \approx \text{Im} \log \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle u_{ij} | \delta u_{ij} \rangle \right)
\]

where \( u_{ij} \) is the \( j \)-th diagonal element of \( U_i^\dagger \delta U_i \). Finally, the first order part of the log gives us the desired result

\[
\text{Im} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle u_{ij} | \delta u_{ij} \rangle \right)
\]

We would like to modify this derivation with a multipole moment \( M \) weighting each of the links. The previous section showed that a local antiunitary symmetry \( C_{2x} \mathcal{T} \) implies that nonvanishing curvatures correspond to vanishing densities, so the crucial zeroth order diagonal term in the link \( U_i^\dagger M U_{i+1} \) vanishes. However, we also have the relation that nonvanishing densities correspond to vanishing curvatures, suggesting that if we weight the links by \( (\mathbb{I} + M) \), the contribution of \( M \) to the curvature will vanish. This way, we can acquire a zeroth order diagonal term in the links without changing the first-order result.

We must be careful about zeroth order off-diagonal terms \( \langle u_i | M | u_j \rangle, i \neq j \) which are allowed to be nonzero, imaginary. Due to the complication of tracking these terms, we only prove the following result within a single two-fold degenerate band subspace where each \( C_{2y} \) symmetry sector contains only a single state. This restriction can be implemented for low-energy states in Figure 1b of the main paper where the bands do not cross over a region well-within the gap.

For the following derivation, we utilize a basis with simultaneous \( C_{2x} \mathcal{T} \) and \( C_{2y} \) symmetry. Starting with a \( C_{2x} \mathcal{T} \) eigenbasis \( | u_1 \rangle, | u_2 \rangle \) constructed using the algorithm in the previous section, we observe that any linear combination of the basis states with real coefficients is again a \( C_{2x} \mathcal{T} \) eigenstate. We would like to diagonalize \( C_{2y} \) in the band subspace

\[
( C_{2y} )_{ij} = \langle u_i | C_{2y} | u_j \rangle, \quad i, j \in \{ 1, 2 \}
\]

Using \( C_{2x} \mathcal{T} \) symmetry, we have

\[
\langle u_i | C_{2y} | u_j \rangle = \langle u_i | C_{2x} C_{2x}^\dagger C_{2y} C_{2x} | u_j \rangle = \langle u_i^\dagger | C_{2x}^\dagger C_{2y} C_{2x} | u_j^\dagger \rangle = \langle u_i^\dagger | C_{2y} | u_j^\dagger \rangle
\]

This shows that \( C_{2y} \) is real in the \( C_{2x} \mathcal{T} \) basis. It follows that eigenstates of \( C_{2y} \) will be real linear combinations of \( | u_1 \rangle, | u_2 \rangle \), which thus remain simultaneous \( C_{2x} \mathcal{T} \) eigenstates.

Now suppose that \( | u_i \rangle, | v_i \rangle \) is a basis of simultaneous \( C_{2x} \mathcal{T} \), \( C_{2y} \) eigenstates on the \( i \)-th point of the Wilson loop, where we adopt the convention that \( | u_i \rangle \) is the +1 \( C_{2y} \) eigenstate and \( | v_i \rangle \) is the −1 \( C_{2y} \) eigenstate. Let \( U_i \) be the matrix with columns \( | u_i \rangle, | v_i \rangle \). We introduce \( M \) with a perturbative parameter \( \lambda \).

\[
\text{Im} \log \det \prod_{i=1}^{N} U_i^\dagger (\mathbb{I} + \lambda M) U_{i+1}
\]

\[
= \text{Im} \log \det \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \langle u_i | (\mathbb{I} + \lambda M) | u_{i+1} \rangle \langle u_{i+1} | (\mathbb{I} + \lambda M) | v_{i+1} \rangle \langle v_{i+1} | (\mathbb{I} + \lambda M) | v_i \rangle \langle v_i | (\mathbb{I} + \lambda M) | u_i \rangle \right)
\]

\[
= \text{Im} \log \det \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( 1 + \langle u_i | \delta u_i \rangle + \lambda \langle u_i | M | \delta u_i \rangle + \lambda \langle u_i | M | v_i \rangle + \lambda \langle u_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle \right) \left( 1 + \langle v_i | \delta v_i \rangle + \lambda \langle v_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( 1 + \langle v_i | \delta v_i \rangle + \lambda \langle v_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle \right) \left( 1 + \langle v_i | \delta v_i \rangle + \lambda \langle v_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle \right)
\]
where the $\langle u_i | v_{i+1} \rangle$, $\langle v_i | u_{i+1} \rangle$ terms vanished because $u$ and $v$ are in different $C_{2g}$ symmetry sectors, and the $\langle u_i | M | u_i \rangle$, $\langle v_i | M | v_i \rangle$ terms vanished because $M$ is assumed to be odd under $C_{2g}T$. The term $\langle u_i | M | v_i \rangle$ is pure imaginary by $C_{2g}T$ symmetry, so let us write it as $\delta v_i$.

$$\lambda Q_M + \lambda \langle v_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle$$

If we carry out the product keeping only terms to first order in $\lambda$ and/or $\delta$, we get

$$= \text{Im log det} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & b^* \\ b & c \end{array} \right)$$

for

$$a = 1 + \sum_{i<j} (\langle u_i | \delta u_i \rangle + \langle u_i | \delta u_i \rangle \langle u_j | \delta u_j \rangle + \lambda \langle u_i | M | \delta u_i \rangle + \lambda^2 Q_M^2)$$

$$b = -i \sum_{i=1}^N (1 + \langle v_i | \delta v_i \rangle) + \lambda \langle v_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle)$$

$$c = 1 + \sum_{i<j} (\langle v_i | \delta v_i \rangle + \langle v_i | \delta v_i \rangle \langle v_j | \delta v_j \rangle + \lambda \langle v_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle + \lambda^2 Q_M^2)$$

To take the determinant, we keep terms up to second order from $ac - |b|^2$.

$$\sum_{i<j} (\langle u_i | \delta u_i \rangle + \langle u_i | \delta u_i \rangle \langle u_j | \delta u_j \rangle + \lambda \langle u_i | M | \delta u_i \rangle + \lambda \langle u_i | M | \delta u_i \rangle + \lambda \langle u_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle + \lambda^2 Q_M^2)$$

Now we expand the log in small orders in $\delta$ and $\lambda$, keeping only imaginary terms. The only imaginary terms in the expression are $\lambda \langle u_i | M | \delta u_i \rangle$ and $\lambda \langle v_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle$. Therefore the result is

$$\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \langle u_i | M | \delta u_i \rangle + \langle v_i | M | \delta v_i \rangle$$

This can be divided by $\lambda$ to yield the desired loop. Thus the multipole-weighted Wilson loop is given by

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \text{Im log det} \prod_{i=1}^N U_i (\mathbb{I} + \lambda M) U_{i+1}$$

**ENERGY OSCILLATIONS**

Here we offer further evidence that the oscillations found in the curvatures and energies originate from an effective potential constructed out of the $\gamma_4$ part of the potential. To clarify the different character of the low-energy spectrum of a constant versus varying $\gamma_4$ potential, these are plotted in Figures S1 and S2 respectively. One can observe that for the constant potential, not only do the spacings of the bands decrease rapidly to the critical ring edge, but there are two independently-evolving spacing periods. This is because the nodal ring, formed by vertical displacement of the Dirac cones, is not perfectly symmetric. Under zone-folding, bands displaced from $\pm G$ do not perfectly coincide but instead evolve out of phase. In contrast, the varying $\gamma_4$ potential gives rise to a single, slowly evolving spacing period. The qualitative difference suggests different mechanisms determining the energy spacing in these two situations. The close agreement between the numerical wavefunctions and the effective potential formulated in Equation 5 of the main paper for differing values of $k_y$ (Figure S3) gives strong evidence that the spacing for the varying potential is determined by a resonance condition within the effective potential.

The preservation of the $\gamma_4$ zero energy crossings under incorporation of the $\gamma_{14}$ part of the potential (Figure S4) shows that this mechanism carries over to the full moiré. Similary between this spectrum and the low bands of the full moiré shown in Figure 1 of the main paper support our claim that the $\gamma_{32}$ part of the potential only exerts perturbative influence on this part of the spectrum.
FIG. S1. Low energy bands for a zone-folded critical ring in a constant $\gamma_4$ potential, showing the two independently-evolving band spacings.

FIG. S2. Low energy bands for a varying $\gamma_4$ potential, displaying a single slowly-evolving band spacing in contrast with the constant $\gamma_4$ potential.

FIG. S3. Effective potentials and numerical charge densities for $k_y = 0.06$ and $k_y = .086$ indicating close agreement as the range of the AA basin changes.

FIG. S4. Low energy bands with the $\gamma_4$ and $\gamma_{14}$ components of the moiré potential. The zero-energy crossings line up with those of the varying $\gamma_4$ potential.