Super-resonant transport of topological surface states subjected to in-plane magnetic fields
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Magnetic oscillations of Dirac surface states of topological insulators are expected to be associated with the formation of Landau levels or the Aharonov–Bohm effect. We instead study the conductance of Dirac surface states subjected to an in-plane magnetic field in presence of a barrier potential. Strikingly, we find that, in the case of large barrier potentials, the surface states exhibit pronounced oscillations in the conductance when varying the magnetic field, in the absence of Landau levels or the Aharonov–Bohm effect. These novel magnetic oscillations are attributed to the emergence of super-resonant regimes by tuning the magnetic field, in which almost all propagating electrons cross the barrier with perfect transmission. In the case of small and moderate barrier potentials, we also identify a positive magnetoconductance which is due to the increase of the Fermi surface by tilting the surface Dirac cone. Moreover, we show that for weak magnetic fields, the conductance displays a shifted sinusoidal dependence on the field direction with period $\pi$ and phase shift determined by the tilting direction with respect to the field direction. Our predictions can be applied to many topological insulators, such as HgTe and Bi$_2$Se$_3$, and provide important insights into exploring and understanding exotic magnetotransport properties of topological surface states.

Introduction.—Topological insulators host gapless surface states which stem from nontrivial bulk topology [1–3]. These surface states can be modeled by a single Dirac cone. Over the last two decades, topological insulators have been discovered in numerous materials [4–12] including HgTe [13], Bi$_{1-x}$Sb$_x$ [14] and Bi$_2$Se$_3$ [15–18]. Magnetotransport on Dirac surface states has been an active research topic [19–51], theoretically and experimentally, since the discovery of topological insulators. It provides vital features, which include particularly magnetic oscillations, to detect and characterize Dirac surface states. Magnetic oscillations are usually associated with the formation of Landau levels or the Aharonov–Bohm effect [19–27, 33–38]. Thus, a fundamentally intriguing question is whether magnetic oscillations of topological surface states can appear in the absence of Landau levels or the Aharonov–Bohm effect.

Notably, in typical topological insulators, electron-hole symmetry in the energy spectrum of surface states is broken by the presence of higher-order momentum corrections [52–54]. To fully understand the transport properties of surface states in realistic systems, the consideration of this electron-hole asymmetry is important. Interestingly, the interplay of electron-hole asymmetry and in-plane magnetic fields tilts the surface states at low energies [50].

In this Letter, we study the conductance of Dirac surface states in presence of a barrier potential and an external in-plane magnetic field, taking into account the electron-hole asymmetry of the energy spectrum. We find that for small and moderate barrier potentials (comparable to the Fermi energy), the surface states exhibit a positive magnetoconductance due to the increase of the Fermi surface by the tilting in any direction. Remarkably, for larger barrier potentials, super-resonant regimes of surface states appear by tuning the magnetic field, which enable almost all surface propagating electrons to tunnel through the barrier without backscattering. These super-resonant regimes result in pronounced oscillations in the conductance as strength or direction of the magnetic field are varied. Moreover, we show that for weak magnetic
fields, the conductance is a sinusoidal function of field direction with period of \( \pi \) and phase shift dependent on the angle between the tilting and field directions. Our predictions are applicable to many topological insulators including HgTe and Bi$_2$Se$_3$.

**Effective Hamiltonian of surface states.—** The states of a topological insulator on a surface can be described by a single Dirac cone \([15, 52, 53]\)

\[
H(k) = mk^2 + v(k_x s_y - k_y s_x),
\]

where \( k = (k_x, k_y) \) are momenta in the vicinity of the \( \Gamma \) point, \( v \) is the Fermi velocity, \( s_x \) and \( s_y \) are Pauli matrices acting on spin space. Moreover, in certain topological insulators, for instance, HgTe with zinc-blende crystal structure, bulk inversion symmetry is broken, leading to extra terms \( H_{BIA} = v_b(k_x s_x + k_y s_y) + \gamma k_x k_y \) [55]. Note that we have included the quadratic terms in momentum, \( mk^2 \) and \( \gamma k_x k_y \), which preserve time-reversal symmetry. These terms are often ignored in previous studies for simplicity. However, they break electron-hole symmetry in the energy spectrum and can lead to interesting physics as we show below.

Applying an in-plane magnetic field \( B = B(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \) introduces a Zeeman term \( H_Z = g \mu_B B \cdot s \), where \( g \) is the \( g \)-factor, \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton, \( B \) and \( \theta \) denote the strength and direction of the magnetic field, respectively. The Zeeman term not only shifts the Dirac cone away from the \( \Gamma \) point in momentum space but also tilts the Dirac cone [50]. Considering that \( v_b \) is typically much smaller than \( v \), we can find the position shift of the Dirac point as \( k_s = k_s(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta) \) with \( k_s = g \mu_B B / v \) [56]. It is perpendicular to the magnetic field, i.e., \( k_s \cdot B = 0 \). Near the Dirac point, the effective model for surface states can be written as [55]

\[
\mathcal{H}(k) = v(\tilde{k}_x s_y - \tilde{k}_y s_x) + t_x \tilde{k}_x + t_y \tilde{k}_y,
\]

where \( \tilde{k} = k - k_s \) and the tilting vector \( t \equiv (t_x, t_y) \) is given by

\[
t = k_s(\gamma \cos \theta - 2m \sin \theta, 2m \cos \theta - \gamma \sin \theta).
\]

(3)

The eigen-energies are thus tilted as \( E_\pm(k) = t \cdot \tilde{k} \pm v|k| \). The tilting strength \( |t| \) is proportional to the field strength and the tilting direction is controllable by the field direction. We focus on the realistic case with small tilting \( |t| < |v| \) throughout.

**Transmission probability.—** We consider the surface states with a barrier potential \( V_0 \) extending over a length of \( L \) in \( x \)-direction, as sketched in Fig. 1. The in-plane magnetic field is applied to the whole system. This setup can be described by

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{H}(-i\partial_x) - E_F + V(x)
\]

with \( E_F \) the Fermi energy and the local electronic potential \( V(x) = V_0 \) for \( |x| \leq L/2 \) and 0 otherwise [57]. \( V_0 \) may be created by local gating [58]. It can be positive or negative. For simplicity, we assume the system to be large in \( y \)-direction such that the transverse momentum \( k_y \) is conserved.

To study the transport properties of the system, we employ the scattering approach. In each region, we find two eigenstates for given energy \( E \) and momentum \( k_y \). In the regions away from the barrier, their wavefunctions can be written as

\[
\psi_\pm(x, y) = e^{ik_y y} e^{ik_x x} (e^{i\theta_\pm} - 1)^T / N_\pm,
\]

where \( e^{i\theta_\pm} = (k_x + i k_y)/(E_{k_y} - t_x k_y) \), \( E_{k_y} = E + E_F - t_y k_y \), \( N_\pm = \sqrt{1 + |e^{i\theta_\pm}|^2} \), and the wave numbers \( k_\pm \) in \( x \)-direction are given by

\[
k_\pm = [-t_x E_{k_y} \pm v \sqrt{E_{k_y}^2 - (v^2 - t_y^2)k_y^2}] / (v^2 - t_y^2).
\]

In the barrier region, the wavefunctions have the same form as Eq. (5) but with \( E_{k_y} \), replaced by \( E_{k_y}^B = E_{k_y} - V_0 \). Correspondingly, we use the superscript \( B \) to indicate the angles \( \theta_\pm \) and wave numbers \( k_\pm \) inside the barrier region.

The scattering state of injecting an electron from the one lead to the junction can be expanded in terms of the basis wavefunctions, Eq. (5). Matching the wavefunction of the scattering state at the interfaces, we derive the transmission coefficient as

\[
t_{k_y} = e^{-ik_y L} e^{i(k_x^B + k_y^B)L} (e^{i\theta^B_+} - e^{i\theta^B_-})(e^{i\theta^B_+} - e^{i\theta^B_-}) / Z(T),
\]

where \( Z = e^{ik_y L}(e^{i\theta^B_+} - e^{i\theta^B_-})(e^{i\theta^B_+} - e^{i\theta^B_-}) - e^{ik_y L}(e^{i\theta_-} - e^{i\theta_+})(e^{i\theta_-} - e^{i\theta_+}) \). The transmission probability is given by \( T_{k_y} = |t_{k_y}|^2 \). More details of derivation are presented in the Supplemental Material (SM) [55]. For the incident modes with \( k_y = 0 \), we always have \( \theta_\pm = \theta^B \pm \pm \pi/2 \) and hence \( T_{k_y = 0} = 1 \). This perfect transmission results from spin conservation and is related to Klein tunneling, similar to the case of graphene [59]. Notably, without tilting, the results are independent of the magnetic field. This indicates that a simple position shift of the Dirac cone in momentum space does not change the transport properties of surface electrons.

**Positive magnetoconductance.**—With the transmission probability, the (differential) conductance \( G \) (per unit length) at zero temperature and zero bias voltage can be evaluated as

\[
G = \frac{e^2}{h} \int \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} T_{k_y} (E = 0),
\]

where the sum runs over all modes distinguished by \( \tilde{k}_y \).

We first look at the case of small and moderate barrier potentials, i.e., \( |V_0| \lesssim |E_F| \), as shown in Fig. 2. Notably, \( G \) increases as we increase the tilting strength in any direction. Recalling that the tilting strength grows linearly with increasing the magnetic field \( B \), this indicates a positive magnetoconductance. For small barrier potentials,
|\[V_0| \ll |E_F|\], \(G\) increases monotonically with increasing \(B\). A larger barrier potential suppresses \(G\) and induces slight oscillations. However, \(G\) increases overall with increasing \(B\), see Fig. 2(a). These oscillations are closely related to the super-resonant regimes of tilted surface electrons, which we explain below.

The positive magnetoconductance can be attributed to the enhanced Fermi surface of tilted surface states. To understand this, it is instructive to consider the zero barrier limit \(V_0 = 0\). In this limit, all propagating modes transmit through the junction without reflection. Thus, the conductance is simply given by the number \(N_k\) of propagating modes, i.e., \(G = (e^2/h)N_k\). \(N_k\) is determined by the size of the Fermi surface in \(k_y\)-direction, as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 2(b). Tilting the surface Dirac cone in any direction enlarges the Fermi surface and hence the number of propagating modes. As shown by the circles in Fig. 2(b), we calculate \(N_k\) numerically as a function of the tilting strength in three different directions as considered in Fig. 2(a). Evidently, this dependence nicely agrees with the magnetoconductance (solid curves). When the tilting occurs in \(x\)- or \(y\)-direction, we can also obtain \(N_k\) analytically from the tilted spectrum. Namely, \(N_k = |E_F|/\pi v^2 - t_x^2\) for tilting in \(x\)-direction and \(N_k = v|E_F|/\pi (v^2 - t_y^2)\) for tilting in \(y\)-direction.

Super-resonant regime and conductance oscillations.—Next, we consider larger barrier potentials, \(|V_0| > |E_F|\), and analyze the pronounced oscillations of the conductance. These oscillations can be understood as the appearance of super-resonant regimes of surface states, where almost all propagating modes perfectly transmit through the barrier at the same magnetic field (i.e., the same tilting). To make this clearer and simplify the analysis, we focus on the large barrier limit, \(|V_0| \gg |E_F|\). In this limit, we can approximate \(\theta^B \approx \pm \pi/2\) in Eq. (7) and simplify

\[
T_{k_y} = \frac{1 - \cos(\theta_+ - \theta_-)}{1 - \sin \theta_+ \sin \theta_- - \cos[(k_+^B - k_-^B)L] \cos \theta_+ \cos \theta_-}.
\]

From this expression, we find that the barrier becomes transparent for the mode with index \(k_y\) when the resonance condition, \(\sin[(k_+^B - k_-^B)L/2] = 0\), is fulfilled. Plugging the expression for \(k_y^B\) from Eq. (6) into this equation, the resonance condition becomes

\[
(V_0 - t_y k_y)^2 - (v^2 - t_x^2)k_y^2 = [n\pi(v^2 - t_x^2)/(vL)]^2
\]

with \(n\) being an integer.

When the tilting is in junction (i.e., \(x\)-) direction, we find the solutions of \(t_x\) to Eq. (10) as

\[
t_x = \pm \sqrt{|v|V_0|L/(\pi n)| - v^2}
\]

for large integers \(n\) satisfying \(|v|k_y| \leq |E_F| \ll \{|V_0| - (\pi n v L)^2, (\pi n v L)^2\}\). Strikingly, these solutions are independent of the mode index \(k_y\). This indicates the super-resonant regimes, where all propagating modes with different \(k_y\) exhibit perfect transmission. As a result, we find resonance peaks in \(T_{k_y}\) and hence the maximal conductance \(G_{\text{max}} = (e^2/h)N_k\) at \(t_x \propto B\) given by Eq. (11). Moreover, we find that at \(t_x = \pm \sqrt{|v|V_0|L/(\pi n + 1/2)| - v^2}\), all modes have instead the lowest transmission probabilities given by \(T_{k_y} = 1 - (v^2 - t_x^2)k_y^2/E_F^2\) [55]. Summing over all modes, we hence obtain the minimal conductance, \(G_{\text{min}} = (2e^2/3h)N_k\). Therefore, we observe pronounced oscillations of \(G\) with magnitude \(\Delta G_{\text{osc}}\) as large as one third of the maximal conductance

\[
\Delta G_{\text{osc}} = G_{\text{max}}/3.
\]

Interestingly, the values of \(G_{\text{max}}, G_{\text{min}}\) and \(\Delta G_{\text{osc}}\) (in units of \(N_k\)) are universal and independent of the potential \(V_0\) and range \(L\) of the barrier. Considering the increase of \(N_k\), when strengthening \(B\), \(\Delta G_{\text{osc}}\) increases. In contrast, according to Eq. (11), the separations between the conductance peaks depend strongly on the product \(V_0L\), whereas they are insensitive to \(E_F\). Moreover, they decrease with increasing \(B\). All these results are in accordance with our numerical results displayed in Fig. 3(a), (b) and (g).

When the tilting is in transverse (i.e., \(y\)-) direction, the solutions to Eq. (10) are given by \(t_y = V_0/k_y - \sqrt{1 + (\pi n/k_y L)^2}\). For \(n\) close to \(n_0 \equiv \lfloor |V_0L/\pi v| \rfloor\), the greatest integer less than \(|V_0L/\pi v|\), we find that most of the propagating modes exhibit a resonance condition at

\[
t_y \approx \pm |V_0L/\pi v|/|E_F|L|.
\]
Hence, in this tilting direction, we can also observe the resonance peaks and pronounced oscillations in the conductance, as shown in Fig. 4(d). In contrast to the case with the tilting in junction direction, the separations between the conductance peaks are sensitive not only to \( L \) and \( V_0 \) individually but also to \( E_F \). Moreover, Eq. (13) indicates that the separations between the conductance peaks are almost constant with respect to \( B \). However, as increasing \( B \), the resonance positions for different propagating modes become more extended [Fig. 3(c) and (h)]. Consequently, the magnitude of oscillations is strongest for small \( B \) but suppressed for large \( B \).

For the general case with the tilting direction deviating from \( x \)- and \( y \)-directions, \( t_x = \varepsilon t_y \) with \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \), we can still observe magnetoconductance oscillations [Fig. 3(e) and (f)]. These oscillations can be similarly attributed to the super-resonant regimes of surface states as varying \( B \). However, they are less regular, compared to the two special cases discussed above. The oscillations are aperiodic in the field strength \( B \) and the positions of the peaks become hard to predict in general.

Note that although we focus on the large barrier limit in the above analysis, the conductance oscillations remain pronounced even when the barrier potential is of the same order as the Fermi energy, \( |V_0| \gtrsim |E_F| \), see Fig. 2(a) and more instances in the SM [55].

**Dependence on field direction.**—As we have discussed previously, the conductance depends on the tilting direction which, in turn, is determined periodically by the field direction \( \theta \), according to Eq. (3). Therefore, the conductance \( G \) depends periodically on \( \theta \). This field-direction dependence stems from two origins: (i) the anisotropic Fermi surface and (ii) the barrier transparency for conducting channels. In Fig. 4, we choose typical parameters for surface states (see the caption), for instance, in HgTe,
and calculate numerically $G$ as a function of $\theta$. Several interesting features can be observed.

First, $G$ has a period of $\pi$ in $\theta$. For small field strengths $B < B_c$, $G(\theta)$ displays approximately a sinusoidal dependence, $G(\theta) \sim G_0 \sin(2(\theta - \theta_0))$, where $G_0$ is a ($\theta$-independent) constant and $B_c$ corresponds to the field strength at which the first conductance peak is located [60]. If the tilting direction is parallel ($m = 0$) or perpendicular ($\gamma = 0$) to the field direction, the phase shift becomes $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\pi/2$, respectively. On the other hand, if the tilting direction is neither parallel nor perpendicular to the field direction ($m\gamma \neq 0$), then $\theta_0$ is different from 0 and $\pi/2$ [Fig. 4(b)]. Second, it is evident that if we increase the field strength $B$, the dependence on $\theta$ becomes more pronounced [Fig. 4(a)]. This reflects that the anisotropy of surface states is enhanced by increasing $B$ via the tilting effect. Finally, for stronger field strengths $B > B_c$, $G$ oscillates with a number of peaks and valleys in each period $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ (blue curve). These dense oscillations with respect to $\theta$ can also be related to the super-resonant regimes of surface states analyzed before.

Conclusion and discussion.—We have identified a positive magnetoconductance of Dirac surface states, which stems from the increase of the Fermi surface by applying in-plane magnetic fields. We have unveiled super-resonant regimes of surface states by tuning the magnetic field, in which almost all propagating electrons transmit a barrier potential without backscattering. This super-resonant effect results in pronounced oscillations in the magnetoconductance.

We emphasize that the appearance of positive magnetoconductance and conductance oscillations can be directly attributed to the deformation of the surface Dirac cone by in-plane magnetic fields. In this work, the crucial role of deforming is played by tilting the Dirac cone via the Zeeman effect. Particularly, the anomalous conductance oscillations arising from the super-resonant regimes of surface states are essentially different from conventional magnetic oscillations, which typically stem from the formation of Landau levels or the Aharonov–Bohm effect.

Our predictions are applicable to various candidate materials including HgTe and Bi$_2$Se$_3$ where in-plane magnetic fields have been successfully applied to surface states [27, 44, 61–64]. The barrier potential can be created by local gating, as done in Ref. [58]. Appropriate numbers to estimate the magnitude of our predictions in HgTe-based samples are addressed in the SM [55].
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[56] We note, however, that the main results discussed below are not restricted to this condition.

[57] The local potential creates a Fermi-surface mismatch at the interfaces. This allows us to properly use the scattering approach to solve the transport problem, as discussed in Ref. [65].


[60] From Eqs. (11) and (13), we may estimate $B_c$ as $\min(1/|\gamma|, \pi n'_c F/(m E_F L) v_F/(g \mu_B))$ with $F = \sqrt{V_0 L / \pi n'_c - v}$ and $n'_c = n_c - 1$.


