arXiv:2103.00732v3 [math.DS] 3 Mar 2021

PRIMITIVE TUNING FOR NON-HYPERBOLIC POLYNOMIALS

YIMIN WANG

Abstract. Let f_0 be a polynomial of degree d_1+d_2 ($d_1\geq 2, d_2\geq 1$)with a periodic critical point 0 of multiplicity d_1-1 and a Julia critical point of multiplicity d_2. We show that if f_0 is primitive, free of neutral periodic points and non-renormalizable at the Julia critical point, then the straightening map $\chi_{f_0}:\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})\to\mathcal{C}_{d_1}$ is a bijection. More precisely, f^{m_0} has a polynomial-like restriction which is hybrid equivalent to some polynomial in $\mathcal{C}_{d_1}$ for each map $f\in\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, where m_0 is the period of 0 under f_0. On the other hand, f_0 can be tuned with any polynomial $g\in\mathcal{C}_{d_1}$. As a consequence, we conclude that the straightening map χ_{f_0} is a homeomorphism from $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ onto the Mandelbrot set when $d_1=2$. This together with the main result in [24] solve the problem for primitive tuning for cubic polynomials with connected Julia sets thoroughly.

1. Introduction

Tuning and straightening were first introduced by Douady-Hubbard [6] to prove the existence of baby Mandelbrot sets in the quadratic family. Roughly speaking, tuning is a procedure to replace the bounded superattracting Fatou components with the copies of a filled Julia set of another polynomial and respect some combinatorial properties. Douady-Hubbard proved any quadratic polynomial which has a periodic critical point can be tuned with any quadratic polynomials in the Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{M}$.

In [8], Inou-Kiwi generalized the definition of the straightening maps for higher degree polynomials. They used some combinatorial argument to show that any primitive postcritically finite hyperbolic polynomial can be tuned with any hyperbolic generalized polynomial with fiber-wise connected Julia set. In [24], Shen and the author used quasiconformal surgery and ran Thurston's Algorithm to prove that any primitive postcritically finite hyperbolic polynomial can be tuned with any generalized polynomial with fiber-wise connected Julia set. Therefore, the problem for primitive tuning had been solved thoroughly for hyperbolic polynomials.

The question which remains is whether primitive non-hyperbolic polynomials can be tuned with generalized polynomials or not. Let us consider the family $\mathcal{P}_d$ of polynomials of degree d ($d\geq 2$) normalized as the following form

$$f: z\mapsto z^d+a^{d-1}z^{d-1}+\cdots+a_2z^2+a_0.$$

Note that each map $f\in\mathcal{P}_d$ is monic and has a critical point 0. The set

$$\mathcal{C}:=\{f\in\mathcal{P}_d\mid J_f \text{ is connected}\}$$

is called the *connectedness locus of degree d*. Let $f_0\in\mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ be a polynomial with a periodic critical point 0 of multiplicity d_1-1 and a Julia critical point c_0 of multiplicity d_2. Let λ_{f_0} denote the rational lamination of f_0. We believe that if f_0 is renormalizable at the free critical point c_0, then there is a hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial $f_*\in\mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ with non-trivial rational lamination such that $\lambda_{f_*}\subset\lambda_{f_0}$. The primitive tuning for such a hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial f_* had been studied thoroughly in [24]. So we will restrict our attention to the case that f_0 is non-renormalizable at the free critical point c_0. There is no loss of generality

Date: December 3, 2021.

in assuming f_0 is free of neutral periodic points by [8, Theorem 5.17]. Let $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ denote the set of *combinatorially λ_{f_0}- renormalizable* maps. For the precise definition of $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, see §2. Set $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$. We say f_0 *can be tuned with* g if there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ such that f^{m_0} has a polynomial-like restriction which is hybrid equivalent to g (and the hybrid conjugacy respects the corresponding external markings). Such an f is called a *tuning* of f_0 by g. We say f_0 is *primitive* if any two Fatou components of f_0 have disjoint closures.

The aim of this paper is to show:

Theorem A. *Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ be a primitive polynomial with a periodic critical point 0 of multiplicity $d_1 - 1$ and a Julia critical point c_0 of multiplicity d_2. Fix an internal angle system α for f_0. If f_0 has no neutral periodic points and f_0 is non-renormalizable at c_0, then the straightening map $\chi_{f_0} : \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0}) \to \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$ induced by f_0 and α is a bijection.*

The proof falls naturally into two parts: surjectivity and injectivity. To show the surjectivity of χ_{f_0}, it suffices to show:

Theorem B. *Under the assumptions in the Theorem A, f_0 can be tuned with any polynomial $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$.*

The basic idea is to use qc surgery and apply [24, Theorem 5.1]. The main difficulty is that we cannot construct a desired tuning by using qc surgery and Thurston's Algorithm directly. In [24], one can construct a quasiregular map with certain dynamical properties so that all the ramification points lie in the union of all the small filled Julia sets. Thus the postcritical set will not affect the combinatorics (the landing relation for external rays). However, f_0 has a free Julia critical point in our case. This does affect the combinatorics when we do qc surgery. To overcome this difficulty, we construct the tuning by approximation instead of constructing it directly. More precisely, we first control the orbit of the free critical point carefully when we do qc surgery to show there is a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of polynomials such that f_k^m is hybrid equivalent to a given $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$ and f_k has the same combinatorics as f_0 up to depth k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we show the limit f of $\{f_k\}$ is our desired tuning.

It is worth pointing out that Douady-Hubbard's method does not work since $\mathcal{C}_{d_1}$ may contain multi-critical maps. Even for the case $d_1 = 2$, one cannot apply Douady-Hubbard's Theorem directly since $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ is not an analytic family in general.

The proof of the injectivity of χ_{f_0} is based on the arguments developed in [1]. For any $f, \widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ with $\chi_{f_0}(f) = \chi_{f_0}(\widetilde{f})$, we show that f and $\widetilde{f}$ are conformally conjugate. To this end, We first construct a sequence $\{\Phi_k\}$ of qc maps such that Φ_k is a weak pseudo-conjugacy between f and $\widetilde{f}$ up to depth k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we prove some technical lemmas to show that there exists a sequence $\{k_n\}$ so that we can make Φ_{k_n} be a pseudo-conjugacy between f and $\widetilde{f}$ up to depth k_n by adjustment. Moreover, $\{\Phi_{k_n}\}$ can be made uniformly qc. Finally, we show the limit Φ of $\{\Phi_{k_n}\}$ is a conformal conjugacy between f and $\widetilde{f}$.

Combining Theorem A, [20, proposition 4.7] and [8, Theorem 8.1], we conclude:

Theorem C. *Under the assumptions in Theorem A, if $d_1 = 2$ then the straightening map $\chi_{f_0} : \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0}) \to \mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{M}_2$ is a homeomorphism, where $\mathcal{M}_2$ is the Mandelbrot set.*

Theorem C together with the main results in [24] solve the problem for primitive tuning for cubic maps with connected Julia sets thoroughly. For more related topics on qc surgery, we refer the readers to [2, 4, 23].

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we review some known facts on rational laminations and give the precise definition of tuning. In §3 and §4, we follow the ideas in [24] to construct a specific Yoccoz puzzle which satisfies some certain dynamical properties and prove a useful lemma on qc distortion. Our case is slightly different from that of [24] by virtue of the existence

of the free Julia critical point. But it is fair to say the proofs are similar in spirit. The readers who are familiar with the results in [24] may skip the proofs in these two section. In § 5, we build up qc weak pseudo-conjugacies between f and maps in $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, which turns out to be one of the most powerful tool in the proof of Theorem A. The aim of section 6 is to prove Theorem B. To this end, we first use qc surgery and apply [24, Theorem 5.1] to construct a sequence $\{f_k\}$ from f_0 and a given polynomial $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$ such that f_k has the same combinatorics as f_0 up to depth k and $f_k^{m_0}$ has a polynomial-like restriction hybrid equivalent to g for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we show the limit of such a sequence is a tuning of f_0 by g. In §7, we prove some technical lemmas and then prove Theorem A.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Hiroyuki Inou and Weixiao Shen for helpful discussions and comments. This work was supported by **CSC**.

2. Laminations and Tuning

Throughout this paper we will fix a primitive non-hyperbolic polynomial $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ with a periodic critical point 0 of multiplicity $d_1 - 1$ and a free Julia critical point c_0 of multiplicity d_2.

In this section, we will recall some background about laminations and give the definition of tuning.

2.1. **Rational laminations.** For each polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_d$, the *Green function* is defined as

$$G_f(z) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ |f^n(z)|,$$

where $\log^+ = \max(\log, 0)$.

The set

$$D_f(\infty) := \{z \mid f^n(z) \to \infty\}$$

is called the *basin of infinity* of f. The complement $K(f) = K_f = \mathbb{C} \setminus D_f(\infty)$ of the basin of infinity is called the *filled Julia set* of f. The common boundary of $K(f)$ and $D_f(\infty)$ is called the *Julia set* of f and denoted by $J(f) = J_f$.

There exists a unique conformal map

$$\phi_f : D_f(\infty) \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid G_f(z) \le r_f\} \to \{z \mid |z| > r_f\}$$

such that $\phi(z)/z \to 1$ as $z \to \infty$ and such that $\phi_f \circ f(z) = (\phi_f(z))^d$ on $D_f(\infty) \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid G_f(z) \le r_f\}$, where $r_f = \max\{G_f(c) \mid c \text{ is a critical point of } f\}$. Moreover, $G_f(z) = \log|\phi_f(z)|$.

The *equipotential curve of height h of f* is defined as

$$E_f(h) = \{z \mid G_f(z) = h\},$$

and the *external ray of angle $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$* as

$$\mathcal{R}_f(t) = \{\phi_f^{-1}(re^{i2\pi t}) \mid r_f < r < \infty\}.$$

An external ray $R_f(t)$ which is not bifurcated has a smooth extension: $\mathcal{R}_f(t) = \{\phi_f^{-1}(re^{i2\pi t}) \mid 1 < r < \infty\}$. We say the external ray $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ *lands* at some point z_0 if it is not bifurcated and $\lim_{r\to 1} \phi_f^{-1}(re^{i2\pi t}) = z_0$.

Definition 2.1 (Rational lamination)**.** *The rational lamination λ_f of $f \in \mathcal{C}_d$ is the equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ so that $s \sim t$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_f(s)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ land at a common point.*

We say $f \in \mathcal{C}_d$ is *combinatorially λ_{f_0}-renormalizable* if $\lambda_f \supset \lambda_{f_0}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ denote the set of all the combinatorially λ_{f_0}-renormalizable maps. By [8, Theorem 8.1], $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ is compact.

2.2. **Renormalization and Tuning.** Recall that *a polynomial-like map* is a holomorphic proper map $g : U \to V$, where $U \Subset V$ are quasidisks in $\mathbb{C}$. We call the set $K(g) := \{z \in U \mid f^k(z) \in U \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ *the filled Julia set of* g. Two polynomial-like maps g and $\widetilde{g}$ are said to be *qc conjugate* if there exists a qc map $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi \circ g = \widetilde{g} \circ \varphi$ near $K(g)$. We say g and $\widetilde{g}$ are *hybrid equivalent* if they are qc conjugate and there exists a corresponding qc conjugacy φ between them such that $\partial\bar{\varphi} = 0$ a.e. on $K(g)$. For a more detailed treatment, we refer the reader to [6, 13].

Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_d$ and let $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ denote the set of critical points of f. We say f is *renormalizable at* c for some $c \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ if there exist quasidisks $c \in \Omega \Subset \Omega'$ and $p \geq 1$ such that

- $f^p|_\Omega : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is a polynomial-like map with connected Julia set;
- for any $c' \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$, there is at most one $0 \leq j < p-1$ such that $c' \in f^j(\Omega)$;
- $p > 1$ or $\mathrm{Crit}(f) \not\subset \Omega$.

The Douady-Hubbard Straightening Theorem says that each polynomial-like map g with connected Julia set is hybrid to a unique polynomial up to an affine conjugacy. To determine the straightening uniquely, it is convenient to introduce an external marking for g.

Definition 2.2 (Access and external marking)**.** *Let $g : U \to V$ be a polynomial-like map connected filled Julia set. A path to $K(g)$ is a continuous map $\gamma : [0,1] \to U$ such that $\gamma((0,1]) \subset U \setminus K(g)$ and $\gamma(0) \in J(g)$. We say two paths γ_0 and γ_1 to $K(g)$ are homotopic if there exists a continuous map $\tilde{\gamma} : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to U$ such that*

1. *$t \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}(s,t)$ is a path to $K(g)$ for all $s \in [0,1]$;*
2. *$\tilde{\gamma}(0,t) = \gamma_0(t)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(1,t) = \gamma_1(t)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$;*
3. *$\tilde{\gamma}(s,0) = \gamma_0(0)$ for all $s \in [0,1]$.*

An access to $K(g)$ is a homotopy class of paths to $K(g)$.

An external marking of f is an access Γ to $K(g)$ which is forward invariant in the following sense. For every representative γ of Γ, the connected component of $g(\gamma) \cap U$ which intersects $J(f)$ is also a representative of Γ.

The *standard external marking* for a polynomial f with connected Julia set is defined as the homotopy class of $\mathcal{R}_f(0)$ in the sense of paths to $K(f)$.

Recall that $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ is a primitive polynomial with a periodic critical point 0 of multiplicity $d_1 - 1$ and a free Julia critical point c_0 of multiplicity d_2. Let U_0 be the Fatou component of f_0 which contains the superattracting periodic point 0. It is well-known that ∂U_0 is a Jordan curve (see [22]). Let m_0 be the period of 0 under f_0. Note that $f^{m_0}|_{U_0}$ has a polynomial-like extension $F_0 : U \to V$ such that $K(F_0) = \overline{U_0}$.

A polynomial $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ is said to be λ_{f_0}*-renormalizable* if there exist quasidisks $U' \Subset V'$ such that $F = f^{m_0}|_{U'} : U' \to V'$ is a polynomial-like map with the filled Julia set

$$K(F) = K(U_0, f) := \bigcap_{\theta \sim_{\lambda_{f_0}} \theta'} S(\theta, \theta', U_0) \cap K(f),$$

where $S(\theta, \theta', U_0)$ is the component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{R}_f(\theta) \cup \mathcal{R}_f(\theta')}$ which contains external rays landing on ∂U_0. Such a polynomial-like F is called a λ_{f_0}*-renormalization for* f. Note that a λ_{f_0}-renormalization F for f is unique up to the hybrid conjugacy.

To define a canonical external marking for the λ_{f_0}-renormalization F, we need the definition of internal angle system which was introduced by Inou-Kiwi [8]. An *internal angle system for* f_0 is a homeomorphism $\alpha : \partial U_0 \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha \circ f^{m_0} = m_{d_1} \circ \alpha \mod 1$ on ∂U_0. Such a homeomorphism is determined by $\alpha^{-1}(0)$ which is a $f_0^{m_0}$-fixed point. Choose an external angle θ_0 such that $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0)$ land at $\alpha^{-1}(0)$. The *external marking for F induced by α* is defined as the homotopy class of the component of $\overline{\mathcal{R}_f(\theta)} \cap U$ which intersects $K(F)$ in the sense of paths to

$K(F)$. The homotopy class does not depend on the choice of θ_0 (see [8, Remark 3.16]), so it is well defined.

Definition 2.3 (Tuning)**.** *Let f_0 and an internal angle system α be given. We say f_0 can be* tuned with a polynomial $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$ *if there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ and quasidisks $U' \Subset V'$ with the following properties:*

- *$F = f^{m_0}|_{U'} : U' \to V'$ is a λ_{f_0}-renormalization for f which is hybrid equivalent to g;*
- *there exists a corresponding hybrid conjugacy φ between F and g which respects the external markings, that is, φ maps the external marking for F induced by α to the standard external marking for g.*

Such an f is called a tuning *of f_0 by g.*

Clearly, f_0 can be tuned with $z \mapsto z^{d_1}$ and f_0 itself is a tuning of f_0 by $z \mapsto z^{d_1}$. In this paper, we show that f_0 can be tuned with any $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$:

Theorem B. *Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ be a primitive polynomial with a periodic critical point 0 of multiplicity $d_1 - 1$ and a Julia critical point c_0 of multiplicity d_2. Fix an internal angle system α for f_0. If f_0 has no neutral periodic points and f_0 is non-renormalizable at c_0, then f_0 can be tuned with any $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$.*

The proof of Theorem B will be given in section 6.

3. Yoccoz puzzle

From this section to the end of this paper, we assume f_0 is not renormalizable at c_0 and has no neutral periodic points. A finite set Z is said to be *f_0-admissible* if the following hold:

- $f_0(Z) \subset Z$,
- each periodic point in Z is repelling and does not lie in the orbit of the critical point c_0;
- for each $z \in Z$, there exist at least two external rays landing at z.

Note that the definition differs slightly from that of [24] as we require that the periodic points in Z do not lie in the orbit of the free critical point. This condition is used to guarantee the free critical point is not contained in the boundary of any Yoccoz puzzle pieces which are defined as following.

Let $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0^Z$ denote the union of all the external rays landing on Z, the set Z itself and the equipotential curve of height 1. For each $n \geq 1$, define $\Gamma_n^Z = f_0^{-n}(\Gamma_0^Z)$. A bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_n^Z$ is called a *Z-puzzle piece* of depth n. Let $Y_Z^{(n)} = Y_Z^{(n)}(0)$ and $X_Z^{(n)} = Y_Z^{(n)}(c_0)$ denote the puzzle pieces of depth n which contains 0 and c_0 respectively.

The aim of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ be a primitive polynomial with a periodic critical point 0 of multiplicity $d_1 - 1$ and a Julia critical point c_0 of multiplicity d_2. If f_0 has no neutral cycles and f_0 is non-renormalizable at c_0, then there exists a buried biaccessible repelling periodic point τ_0 with the following properties:*

1. *$Z_0 := \mathrm{orb}(\tau_0)$ is an f_0-admissible finite set;*
2. *$\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_0}^{(n)} = \overline{U_0}$, where U_0 is Fatou component of f_0 which contains 0;*
3. *$\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} X_{Z_0}^{(n)} = \{c_0\}$;*

The proof is slightly different from that of [24, Theorem 3.1] since f_0 has a free Julia critical point in this case. However, the methods of the proofs are similar in spirit. To make this section self-contained, we give a proof of Theorem 3.1 here. The readers who are familiar with the results and proofs in [24] may skip the proof of Theorem 3.1.

We say a point $w \in J_{f_0}$ is *bi-accessible* if it is the common landing points of two distinct external rays. A point in J_{f_0} is called *buried* if it is not in the boundary of any bounded Fatou component.

Lemma 3.1 ([24, Lemma 3.1])**.** *Let f be a polynomial with connected Julia set. Then any bi-accessible point in the boundary of a bounded Fatou component is eventually periodic.*

Proof. See the proof of [24, Lemma 3.1]. □

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumption in Theorem 3.1, f_0 has a bi-accessible repelling periodic point on ∂U_0.*

Proof. Since all the periodic point of f_0 are non-neutral and f_0 is not renormalizable at c_0, the Julia set J_{f_0} of f_0 is locally connected ([11, Theorem 1.1]). Then the lemma follows by the same method as in the proof of [24, Lemma 3.2].

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{d'}$ for some $d' \geq 2$ and let U be a fixed Fatou component of f. If p is a fixed point lying on ∂U and $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ lands at p, then $d't = t \mod 1$.*

Proof. Let Θ denote the set of the arguments θ for which $\mathcal{R}_f(\theta)$ lands at p. Note that $m_{d'}$ maps Θ onto itself and preserves cyclic order, where $m_{d'} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $x \mapsto d'x \mod 1$. Thus all the arguments $\theta \in \Theta$ have the same period under m'_d. It suffices to show there exists $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ such that $m_{d'}(\theta_0) = \theta_0$. If Θ is a singleton, then $d't = t \mod 1$ since both t and $m_{d'}(t)$ belong to Θ. Now we assume $\#\Theta \geq 2$. Let Γ be the closure of the union of all the external rays with arguments $\theta \in \Theta$. Let Ω be the component of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Gamma$ which contains U and let Ω' be the component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ which contains U. Then $\partial\Omega \subset \partial\Omega'$ and $f : \Omega' \to \Omega$ is a holomorphic proper map. It follows that f must fix the boundary of Ω. In other words, the external rays in ∂V are fixed under f.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumption in Theorem 3.1, f_0 has a buried biaccessible repelling periodic point which does not lie in the orbit of the critical point c_0.*

Proof. The proof is based on the pigeonhole principle. By Lemma 3.2, there is a bi-accessible repelling periodic point p on ∂U_0. Since f_0 is primitive, the period of p must be a multiple of the period of U_0. Without loss of generality, we assume U_0 is fixed by f_0. By Lemma 3.3, the ray period of p is the same as the period of p. In other words, if the period of p is ℓ, then each external ray landing at p has external angle θ with $d^\ell\theta = \theta \mod 1$, where $d = d_1 + d_2$.

Note that the polynomial f_0^ℓ has d^ℓ fixed point and 0 is its unique attracting fixed point. As f_0 has no neutral periodic points, we know all the fixed points of f_0^ℓ are repelling except 0. Since p is bi-accessible, by Lemma 3.3, there are at least two f_0^ℓ-fixed external rays landing at p. Thus there are at most $(d^\ell - 3)$ f_0^ℓ-fixed external rays landing at other $d^\ell - 2$ repelling fixed points. Since each f_0^ℓ-fixed external ray must land at a repelling f_0^ℓ-fixed point, there exists a repelling fixed point $\widehat{\alpha}$ of f_0^ℓ at which there are no f_0^ℓ-fixed external rays landing. Thus, there are at least two external rays (which are not f_0^ℓ-fixed) landing at $\widehat{\alpha}$. It follows $\widehat{\alpha} \notin \partial U_0$ from Lemma 3.3. Since f_0 is primitive, and so is f_0^ℓ, $\widehat{\alpha}$ cannot lie on the boundary of any preimage of U_0 under f_0^ℓ. Note that all the Fatou component of f_0^ℓ is a preimage of U_0 under f_0^ℓ. We conclude that $\widehat{\alpha}$ is a buried repelling fixed point of f_0^ℓ. If $\widehat{\alpha}$ does not lie in the orbit of c_0, then we are done.

Now assume $\widehat{\alpha} \in \mathrm{orb}(c_0)$. Let $\ell' > \ell$ denote the ray period of $\widehat{\alpha}$. Let $P(\ell')$ denote the set of all the repelling periodic points with period ℓ'. For any $z \in P(\ell')$, there is at least one external ray with period divisible by ℓ' landing at z. As there are at least two external rays of period ℓ' landing at $\widehat{\alpha} \notin P(\ell')$, there are at most $d^{\ell'} - d^{\ell'-1} - 1$ external rays with period ℓ' possible

to land on $P(\ell')$. Since $\#P(\ell') = d^{\ell'} - d^{\ell'-1}$, there exists an $\widehat{\alpha}' \in P(\ell')$ such that there are no $f_0^{\ell'}$-fixed external rays landing at $\widehat{\alpha}'$. So $\widehat{\alpha}'$ is a biaccessible repelling $f_0^{\ell'}$-fixed point. Again by Lemma 3.3 and the primitive property of f_0, we can conclude that $\widehat{\alpha}'$ is buried. Since $\widehat{\alpha} \in \mathrm{orb}(c_0)$ and $\ell' > \ell$, $\widehat{\alpha}'$ cannot lie in the critical orbit $\mathrm{orb}(c_0)$.

□

Proof of (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.1. Recall that m_0 is the period of 0. By Lemma 3.4, f_0 has a buried biaccessible repelling periodic point ξ_1 such that $\xi_1 \notin \mathrm{orb}(c_0)$. We use $Z_1 = \mathrm{orb}(\xi_1)$ to make Yoccoz puzzle. Let $s_1 \leq m_0$ be the smallest positive integer such that $f_0^{s_1}(0) \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_1}^{(n)}$. Then there exist positive integers q_1 and u such that $f_0^{s_1} : Y_{Z_1}^{(n+s_1)} \to Y_{Z_1}^{(n)}$ is a holomorphic proper map of degree u for all $n \geq q_1$. We claim $u = d_1$. Indeed, if $u > d_1$ then there exists $0 \leq t_1 < s_1$ such that $c_0 \in f_0^{t_1}(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_1}^{(n)})$. This is impossible since f_0 is non-renormalizable at c_0.

By the thickening technique ([21]), $f_0^{s_1} : Y_{Z_1}^{(q_1+s_1)} \to Y_{Z_1}^{(q_1)}$ can extend to a polynomial-like map g_1 with filled Julia set $K(g_1) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_1}^{(n)}$. By Douady-Hubbard Straightening Theorem, g_1 is hybrid to a primitive postcritically finite hyperbolic polynomial Q_1 of degree d_1. If $s_1 = m_0$, then $Q_1 = z^{d_1}$ and so $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_1}^{(n)} = \overline{U_0}$. Then we take $\tau_0 = \xi_1$ and we are done. If $s_1 \neq m_0$, then $Q_1 \neq z^{d_1}$. It follows [24, Lemma 3.3] that Q_1 has a buried biaccessible repelling periodic point ζ_1. Let h_1 denote the hybrid conjugacy between g_1 and Q_1. Then $\xi_2 = h_1^{-1}(\zeta_1)$ is accessible along at least two curves (the preimage of two external rays of Q_1 under h_1^{-1}) which are not homotopy equivalent relative to $\mathbb{C} \setminus K(f_0)$. By [18, Theorem 2], there are at least two f_0-external rays landing at ξ_2. So ξ_2 is a buried biaccessible repelling periodic point of f_0. By using a similar argument in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we can further assume $\xi_2 \notin \mathrm{orb}(c_0)$.

Now let $Z_2 = \mathrm{orb}(\xi_2)$ and construct Yoccoz puzzle. Let s_2 be the smallest positive integer such that $f_0^{s_2}(0) \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_2}^{(n)}$. Note that $s_2 > s_1$. Indeed, the external rays landing at ξ separate 0 from $f_0(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_1}^{(n)}), \ldots, f_0^{s_1-1}(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_1}^{(n)})$ and $f_0^{s_1}(0)$.

Inductively, we can obtain a strictly increasing sequence $\{s_k\}$ and a sequence $\{Z_k = \mathrm{orb}(\xi_k)\}$ with the following properties:

- ξ_k is a buried biaccessible repelling periodic point of f_0 which lies outside the orbit of c_0;
- $s_k \leq m_0$ is the smallest positive integer such that $f_0^{s_k}(0) \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{Z_k}^{(n)}$.

Since s_k is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers bounded by m_0, there is a first moment k_0 such that $s_k = m_0$. Take $\tau_0 = \xi_k$ and we are done. Indeed, $f_0^{m_0} : Y_{Z_k}^{(m_0+n)} \to Y_{Z_k}^{(n)}$ has a polynomial-like extension which is hybrid to $z \mapsto z^{d_1}$ for all sufficiently large n. Thus the filled Julia set of $f_0^{m_0}|_{Y^{(m_0+n)}}$ must equal to $\overline{U_0}$.

□

From now on, we will fix an f_0-admissible set $Z_0 = \mathrm{orb}(\tau_0)$ which is given by the above proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y^{(n)}$ and $X^{(n)}$ denote the critical puzzle pieces $Y_{Z_0}^{(n)}(0)$ and $Y_{Z_0}^{(n)}(c_0)$ respectively. We complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 by showing that $X^{(n)}$ shrinks to a point:

Lemma 3.5. $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X^{(n)} = \{c_0\}$.

We say c_0 is *combinatorially recurrent* if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $j \geq 1$ such that $f_0^j(c_0) \in X^{(n)}$. The proof for the non-combinatorially recurrent case is simple, and is treated in the same way as in the quadratic case. See [21, Lemma 1.8,Theorem 1.9] for an example. To deal with the combinatorially recurrent case, we need the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *A generalized polynomial-like map is a holomorphic proper map $g : U \to V$ with the following properties:*

- *V is a quasidisk;*
- *each component of U is a quasidisk contained compactly in V;*
- *g has finitely many critical points.*

For more details for generalized polynomial-like map, we refer the readers to [9]. Now we use the Yoccoz puzzle induced by Z_0 to associate a generalized polynomial-like map to f_0.

Definition 3.2. *An* APL *map $g : U \to V$ is a holomorphic proper map which satisfies the following : $U \subset V$ and $K(g) := \bigcap_n g^{-n}(U)$ is compactly contained in U.*

It follows from [16, Lemma 2.4] that every APL map has a polynomial-like restriction with the filled Julia set equal to $K(g)$.

Lemma 3.6. *If c_0 is combinatorially recurrent, then there exists a positive integer ν_0 such that the component of the domain of the first return map to $X^{(\nu_0)}$ which contains c_0 is compactly contained $X^{(\nu_0)}$.*

Proof. By the proof of (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.1, there exists $\kappa_0 > 0$ large enough so that the following holds:

- $\overline{Y^{(\kappa_0)}}, \overline{f_0(Y^{(\kappa_0)})}, \dots, \overline{f_0^{m_0-1}(Y^{(\kappa_0)})}$ are disjoint;
- $f_0^{m_0} : Y^{(\kappa_0)} \to Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)}$ is an APL map with filled Julia set $\overline{U_0}$.

Let $P_0 = X^{(\kappa_0)}$. For $n \geq 1$, we define inductively P_n as the component of the domain of first return map to P_{n-1} which contains c_0. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the first return map $R_n|_{P_{n+1}} : P_{n+1} \to P_n$ is d_2-to-1. Note that there exists a first moment s_0 such that $P_{s_0+1} \Subset P_{s_0}$. It is well known since f_0 is non-renormalizable at c_0, see the proof of [11, Lemma 2.2] for an example. Let $X^{(\nu_0)} = P_{s_0}$ and we are done. □

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Assume c_0 is combinatorially recurrent and let $X^{(\nu_0)} = P_{s_0}$, P_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ be as in the proof Lemma 3.6. It suffices to show P_n shrinks to c_0. Let $V = P_{s_0+1}$ and let $R : U \to V$ be the first return map to V under f_0. Note that $R : U \to V$ is a generalized polynomial map with a unique critical point c_0. Since f_0 is non-renormalizable at $-c_0$, so is $R : U \to V$. This implies the diameter of the puzzle piece $X^{(n)}$ shrinks to 0 and J_{f_0} is locally connected at c_0, see [9] and [11, Theorem 1.5]. □

Every puzzle piece which attaches Z_0 also shrinks to a point:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $z \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} f_0^{-j}(Z_0)$. Then*

$$\sup\{\mathrm{diam}(Y) \mid Y \text{ is a puzzle piece of depth } n \text{ such that } z \in \overline{Y}\} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 3.1, there exists n_0 large such that $Y \cap (X^{(n_0)} \cup Y^{(n_0)}) = \emptyset$. Then the proposition follows by [21, Lemma 1.8]. □

For a more general result by Kozlovski and van Strien, see [11, Theorem 1.1]. The following corollary is a combinatorial version for Proposition 3.1:

Corollary 3.1. *Let $z \in \bigcup_{j\geq 0} f_0^{-j}(Z_0)$ and let $\Theta(z)$ be the set of angles θ for which $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta)$ lands at z. Then for each component (θ_1, θ_2) of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \Theta(z)$, there exist two monotone sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ in (θ_1, θ_2) such that $a_n \sim_{\lambda_{f_0}} b_n$ for all $n > 0$ and $a_n \to \theta_1$ and $b_n \to \theta_2$ as n tends to infinity.*

As an application, we prove:

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose two external rays $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(s)$ of f_0 land at z_s and z_t respectively. If $z_t, z_s \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_0^{-n}(Z_0)$ and $z_t \neq z_s$, then $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f(s)$ land at two different points for every $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$.*

Proof. Let $\Theta(z_t)$ and $\Theta(z_s) \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of landing angles for z_t and z_s under f_0 respectively. There are two component C and D of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus (\Theta(z_t) \cup \Theta(z_s))$ such that $C = (\theta_{\max}(s), \theta_{\min}(t))$ and $D = (\theta_{\max}(t), \theta_{\min}(s))$ where $\theta_{\min}(t), \theta_{\max}(t) \in \Theta(z_t)$ and $\theta_{\min}(s), \theta_{\max}(s) \in \Theta(z_s)$. By Corollary 3.1, there exist $\{a_n\} \subset C$ and $\{b_n\} \subset D$ such that $a_n \sim_{\lambda_{f_0}} b_n$ and $a_n \to \theta_{\min}(t)$, $b_n \to \theta_{\max}(t)$ as $n \to \infty$. Now assume $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f(s)$ land at a common point w. Since $\lambda_{f_0} \subset \lambda_f$, $\mathcal{R}_f(a_n)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f(b_n)$ land at a common point. Moreover, this point must be w by virtue of the construction. Hence, there are infinitely many external rays of f landing at w, which is a contradiction. □

The above lemma implies all the $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ have the same puzzle structure with respect to Z_0.




figure 3.1

4. Kahn's quasiconformal distortion bounds

From this section to the end of this paper, we fix an f_0-admissible set $Z_0 = orb(\tau_0)$ which is given by Theorem 3.1.

In this section, we will modify the argument in [24] to obtain a K-qc extension principle. This enables us to use the criterion for convergence of Thurston's algorithm which was established in [24].

Let L_n denote the domain of the first landing map to $Y^{(n)}$:

$$L_n = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \exists k \geq 0 \text{ such that } f_0^k(z) \in Y^{(n)} \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Our main goal in the section is to show:

Theorem 4.1. *For any puzzle piece Y, there exists a positive integer N such that*

$$\mathcal{QD}(L_N \cap Y, Y) < \infty.$$

As in [24], the difficulty in proving the above theorem is that the landing domains L_N may come arbitrarily close to the boundary of Y. To deal with the situation, we shall need a toy

model developed by Kahn ([17]). We first construct an expanding map which is quasiconformally conjugate to Kahn's recursively notched square model, which will imply Theorem 4.1 holds for all the puzzle pieces of depth 0. To complete the proof, we use a pullback argument. The proof is adapted from [24, Section 4]. The readers who are familiar with that of [24] may skip the proof. The main difference is the following. In [24], $\partial Y'$ is disjoint from the post-critical set for any puzzle piece Y' since f_0 is post-critically finite. Thus for each $z \in J_{f_0} \cap Y'$, we can pullback a definite small neighborhood of z univalently to an arbitrary depth. In our case, f_0 has a free Julia critical point c_0 which may accumulate on the boundaries of all the puzzle pieces. Thus we must choose a neighborhood of τ_0 carefully so that we can pullback it univalently to some given depth. To make it self-contained, we give an independent proof in this section.

4.1. **Quasiconformal Distortion Bounds.** Let us first recall some terminology from [17]. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Jordan domain and A be a measurable subset of U. We say that (A, U) has *bounded qc distortion* if there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ with the following property: if $\varphi : U \to \varphi(U)$ is a quasiconformal map and $\bar{\partial}\varphi = 0$ a.e. outside A, then there is a K-q.c map $\tilde{\varphi} : U \to \varphi(U)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$ on ∂U. Let $\mathcal{QD}(A, U)$ denote the smallest K satisfying the property.

We shall need the following easy facts.

Lemma 4.1. [17, Fact 1.3.6] *If $A \subset U$ is compact, then $\mathcal{QD}(A, U) < \infty$.*

Lemma 4.2. [17, Fact 1.3.4] *Let U and V be Jordan domains in $\mathbb{C}$ and let A be a measurable subset of U. If there exists a L-qc map $g : U \to V$ and $\mathcal{QD}(A, U) < \infty$, then*

$$\mathcal{QD}(g(A), V) \leq L^2 \mathcal{QD}(A, U).$$

Lemma 4.3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{QD}(A, U) = C < \infty$;
(ii) *For any qc map $\varphi : U \to \varphi(U)$, if $\mathrm{Dil}(\varphi) \leq K$ for some $K \geq 1$ a.e. outside A, then there is a KC-qc map $\widetilde{\varphi} : U \to \varphi(U)$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi$ on ∂U.*

See [24, Lemma 4.3] for a proof.

Lemma 4.4. *Let U be a Jordan domain and let A be a measurable subset of U. Assume there is an open subset W of U with the following properties:*

- *W is a disjoint union of finitely many Jordan domains;*
- *for each component T of W, $\partial T \cap A = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{QD}(A \cap T, T) < \infty$;*
- *$A \setminus W$ is compactly contained in U.*

Then $\mathcal{QD}(A, U) < \infty$.

Proof. Let $\phi : U \to \phi(U)$ be a quasiconformal map with $\bar{\partial}\phi = 0$ a.e. outside A. For each component T of W, there exists a K_T-qc map $\phi_T : T \to \phi(T)$ such that $\phi_T|_{\partial T} = \phi|_{\partial T}$ since $\mathcal{QD}(A \cap T, T) < \infty$. Let $K = \max_T K_T$. Replace ϕ by ϕ_T on each component T, we get a qc map φ which is K-qc a.e. outside $A \setminus W$ and $\varphi|_{\partial U} = \phi|_{\partial U}$. Since $A \setminus W$ is compactly contained in U, $\mathcal{QD}(A \setminus W, U) = K' < \infty$. By Lemma 4.3, there exists a KK'-qc map with the same boundary value as ϕ. □

We shall now recall the *recursively notched square model* developed in [17]. Let $S = (0, 1) \times (-1/2, 1/2)$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the collection of the components of $(0, 1) \setminus \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is the ternary Cantor set. Let

$$\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{I} \times [-|I|/2, |I|/2] \tag{4.2}$$

which is a countable disjoint union of closed squares. The following is [17, Lemma 2.1.1]:

Theorem 4.2. $\mathcal{QD}(\mathcal{N}, S) < \infty$.

4.2. **Reduce to the toy model.** In the following we write $\mathcal{R}(\theta)$ for $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta)$. A *combinatorial ray-pair* is, by definition, a pair $(\theta, \tilde{\theta})$ such that $\theta \neq \tilde{\theta}$ and $\theta \sim \tilde{\theta}$. The union $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\theta)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{R}(\tilde{\theta})}$ is called the *geometric ray-pair* with respect to $(\theta, \tilde{\theta})$ and we denote it by $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \tilde{\theta})$. By a *slice* we mean an open set $S(\theta_1, \theta_2, \tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{\theta}_1)$ bounded by two disjoint geometric ray-pairs $\mathcal{R}(\theta_i, \tilde{\theta}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ such that $\theta_1, \theta_2, \tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{\theta}_1$ lie in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ in the anticlockwise order.

Let τ_0 be given by Theorem 3.1, the external rays landing at τ_0 cut the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ into finitely many sectors $S_0, \cdots, S_{q-1}$ where q is the number of external rays landing at τ_0. Without loss of generality, we assume $0 \in S_0$. The boundary of S_0 is a geometric ray-pair: there exists $\theta^-, \theta^+ \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\partial S_0 = \mathcal{R}(\theta^-, \theta^+)$. By Proposition 3.1, for n sufficiently large, there exists a unique puzzle piece V_n lying in S_0 such that $\tau_0 \in \partial V_n$ and $0 \notin V_n$. The boundary of V_n consists of portions of an equipotential curve and a finite set of geometric ray-pairs, cut off at that potential height. Among these geometric ray-pairs, there exists a unique ray-pair $\mathcal{R}(t_n, s_n)$ which separates τ_0 from 0. Let $S(n)$ be the slice bounded by $\mathcal{R}(t_n, s_n)$ and ∂S_0. Let $\widehat{S}(n) = \{z \in S(n) : G_{f_0}(z) < (d_1 + d_2)^{-n}\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\theta^-, t_n, s_n, \theta^+$ lie in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ in the anticlockwise order. The following lemma is a consequence of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 4.5. *$diam(\widehat{S}(n)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Let p be the period of θ^+. By Lemma 4.5, we can choose N_1 sufficiently large so that the closure of $\widehat{S} := \widehat{S}(N_1)$ is disjoint from the set $\bigcup_{j=0}^{p} f_0^j(\{0, c_0\})$. Thus we can define a single-valued univalent branch g of f_0^{-p} on a neighborhood of $\widehat{S}(N_1)$ such that $g(\tau_0) = \tau_0$.

Let τ_0' be the landing point of the external ray $\mathcal{R}(t_{N_1})$ and let r be the first moment for which $f_0^r(R(t_{N_1}, s_{N_1})) = \partial S_0$. Note that $g^k(\widehat{S}(N_1)) \subset \widehat{S}(N_1 + kp)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.5, the closure of $\widehat{S}(N_1 + kp)$ is disjoint from the set $\bigcup_{j=0}^{r} f_0^j(\{0, c_0\}$ for all k large. Thus we can define a single-valued univalent branch h_k of f_0^{-r} on a neighborhood of $\widehat{S}(N_1 + kp)$ such that $h_k(\tau_0) = \tau_0'$ for all k large. Let $\widehat{A} = g(\widehat{S}(N_1))$ and $\widehat{B}_k = h_k \circ g^{kp}(\widehat{S}(N_1))$. Note that A and B_k are quasidisks. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that there exists k_0 such that $\widehat{A} \cap \overline{\widehat{B}_k} = \emptyset$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Let $\widehat{B} = \widehat{B}_{k_0}$ and $h = h_{k_0}$. Define a map $\widehat{F} : \widehat{A} \cup \widehat{B} \to \widehat{S}$ with $\widehat{F}|_{\widehat{A}} = f_0^p$ and $\widehat{F}|_{\widehat{B}} = f_0^{r+k_0 p}$.

Without loss generality, we assume $\widehat{A}$ and $\widehat{B}$ are truncations of slices $S(\theta^-, t, s, \theta^+)$ and $S(t_{N_1}, t', s', s_{N_1})$ by equipotential curves respectively for some $t, s, t', s' \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, we may assume $f_0^r(\mathcal{R}(t_{N_1})) = \mathcal{R}(\theta^-)$. For any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\kappa > 0$, let $\xi(\eta, \kappa)$ denote the intersection point of the external ray $\mathcal{R}(\eta)$ and the equipotential curve of height κ. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{H}_+ \cap \{z \mid 0 < \Im z < 1\} \to \mathbb{C} \setminus K(f_0)$ be a map defined as $\Phi(z) = \phi_{f_0}^{-1}(e^{2\pi i z})$ where $\mathbb{H}_+$ is the right half-plane and ϕ_{f_0} is the Böttcher coordinate of f_0. Let γ_- and γ_+ be the line segment with endpoints $\{\Phi^{-1}(\xi(t, d^{-N_1-p})), \Phi^{-1}(\xi(t', d^{-N_1-k_0p-r}))\}$ and $\{\Phi^{-1}(\xi(s, d^{-N_1-p})), \Phi^{-1}(\xi(s', d^{-N_1-k_0p-r}))\}$ respectively, where $d = d_1 + d_2$. Let $\widehat{C}$ be the closure of the bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \cup \Phi(\gamma_-) \cup \Phi(\gamma_+))$.

Let $A = (0, 1/3) \times (-1/6, 1/6)$, $B = (2/3, 1) \times (-1/6, 1/6)$, $C = [1/3, 2/3] \times [-1/6, 1/6]$ and $S = (0, 1) \times (-1/2, 1/2)$ and define a map

$$F : A \cup B \to S,$$

as follows:

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} 3z, & \text{if } z \in A; \\ 3(1 - z), & \text{if } z \in B. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.6. *There is a qc homeomorphism $H : S \to \widehat{S}$ such that*

(i) *$H(A) = \widehat{A}, H(B) = \widehat{B}, H(C) = \widehat{C}$,*
(ii) *$H \circ F = \widehat{F} \circ H$ holds on $\overline{A \cup B}$.*

Proof. We claim that there is qc map $H_0 : S \to \widehat{S}$ such that (i) holds and (ii) holds on $\partial A \cup \partial B$ (with H replaced by H_0). Indeed, one can easiy construct a homeomorphism with the desired properties by using Böttcher coordinate. It can be made global qc by Beurling-Ahlfors extension since $\partial S, \partial A, \partial B, \partial C$ are all quasi-circles.

Now we can construct inductively a sequence $\{H_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of qc maps by pull-back which has the following properties:

- $H_{n+1} = H_n$ on $S \setminus (A \cup B)$;
- $H_n \circ F = \widehat{F} \circ H_{n+1}$ holds on $\overline{A \cup B}$.

These maps H_n have the same maximal dilatation as H_0, and they eventually stablize for any point in the set $X = \{z \in S : F^n(z) \notin \bigcup A \cup B \text{ for some } n\}$. Since F is uniformly expanding, the set X is dense in S, it follows that H_n converges to a qc map H which satisfies the requirements. □

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{N}} = \{z \in \widehat{S} : \exists n \geq 1$ such that $\widehat{F}^n(z)$ is well-defined and belongs to $\widehat{C}\}$. Note that $H(\mathcal{N}) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is as in (4.2). The following Lemma follows immediately by Lemma 4.6, Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.7. $\mathcal{QD}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}, \widehat{S}) < \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. For each $z \in \partial Y \cap J(f_0)$, there exists a unique geometric ray-pair $\Gamma(z) \ni z$ such that each componet of $\Gamma(z) \setminus \{z\}$ intesects $\overline{Y}$. Let $m(z)$ be the first moment for which $f_0^{m(z)}(\Gamma(z)) = \partial S_0 = \mathcal{R}(\theta^-, \theta^+)$. Recall that g is a single-valued univalent branch of f_0^{-p} on a neighborhood of $\widehat{S}(N_1)$ such that $g(\tau_0) = \tau_0$ and $h = h_{k_0}$ is a single-valued univalent branch of f_0^{-r} on a neighborhood of $\widehat{S}(N_1 + k_0 p)$ such that $h(\tau_0) = \tau_0'$. As $g^k(\overline{\widehat{S}(N_1))}$ shrinks to τ_0 as k tends to infinity, there exists $k_1 = k_1(z) > k_0$ large enough so that $g^k(\widehat{S}(N_1))$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{j=0}^{m} f_0^j(\{0, c_0\})$. So we can define a single-valued univalent branch ψ of $f_0^{-m(z)}$ on a neighborhood of $\overline{g^{k_1}(\widehat{S}(N_1))}$ such that $\psi(\tau_0) = z$.

Take $N(z) = 3(m(z) + N_1 + k_1 p)$ and let $W = W(z) := \psi \circ g^{k_1}(\widehat{S}(N_1))$. Then any landing domain of $Y^{(N(z))}$ does not intersect ∂W and $\partial A \cup \partial B$. Therefore, $L_{N(z)} \cap \widehat{S} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{N}}$, which implies

$$\mathcal{QD}(L_{N(z)} \cap \widehat{S}, \widehat{S}) \leq \mathcal{QD}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}, \widehat{S}) = Q < \infty.$$

Note that $f_0^{m(z)+k_1 p}$ maps a neighborhood of W conformally onto a neighborhood of $\widehat{S}$ and $f_0^{m(z)+k_1 p}(L_{N(z)} \cap W) \subset L_{N(z)} \cap \widehat{S}$. For otherwise there exists a moment $0 \leq T < m(z) + k_1 p$ such that $f_0^T(W)$ contains a critical point. This contradicts that $f_0^{m(z)+k_1 p}|_W$ is a conformal map. Therefore, by Lemma 4.2,

$$\mathcal{QD}(L_{N(z)} \cap W, W) \leq \mathcal{QD}(L_{N(z)} \cap \widehat{S}, \widehat{S}) < Q.$$

Let $E := \{z \mid z \in \partial Y \cap J(f_0)\}$. Take $N = \max\{N(z) \mid z \in E\}$. Then

$$\sup_{z \in E} \mathcal{QD}(L_N \cap W(z), W(z)) \leq \sup_{z \in E} \mathcal{QD}(L_{N(z)} \cap W(z), W(z) < Q.$$

Since $\min\{k_1(z) \mid z \in E\}$ can be taken arbitrarily large, we may assume $W(z)$'s are mutually disjoint. Note that $L_N \setminus \bigcup_{z \in E} W(z)$ is compactly contained in Y. By Lemma 4.4,

$$\mathcal{QD}(L_N \cap Y, Y) < \infty.$$

□

5. Maps in $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$

Recall that $Z_0 = \mathrm{orb}(\tau_0)$ is an f_0-admissible given by Theorem 3.1. In this section, we study the combinatorial properties for the maps in $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$. More precisely, we show all the maps in $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ have the same puzzle structure as f_0. Moreover, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ there is a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 and f up to an arbitrarily given depth.

5.1. **Weak pseudo-conjugacy and pseudo-conjugacy.** We say a polynomial $\widetilde{f}$ *has the same combinatorics as* f_0 *up to depth* n if there exists a homemorphism $H : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies the following:

- H is identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f_0 and $\widetilde{f}$ near ∞,i.e., $H = \phi_{\widetilde{f}}^{-1} \circ \phi_{f_0}$ in a neighborhood of infinity, where ϕ_{f_0} and $\phi_{\widetilde{f}}$ are Böttcher map for f_0 and $\widetilde{f}$ respectively;
- $H \circ f_0 = \widetilde{f} \circ H$ outside the union of all the f_0-puzzle pieces of depth n. (Note that all the puzzle pieces are open in our definition.)

Such a homeomorphism H is called a *weak pseudo-conjugacy up to depth* n between f_0 and $\widetilde{f}$. If $H \circ f_0 = \widetilde{f} \circ H$ holds everywhere outside all the critical puzzle pieces of depth n, then such an H is called a *pseudo-conjugacy up to depth* n .

Lemma 5.1. *For any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, f has the same combinatorics as f_0 up to an arbitrary depth.*

Proof. By definition, it suffices to show that for any k, there exists a homeomorphism $H_k : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:

- H_k is identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f_0 and f near ∞, i.e., $H_k = \phi_f^{-1} \circ \phi_{f_0}$ in a neighborhood of infinity, where ϕ_{f_0} and ϕ_f are Böttcher map for f_0 and f respectively;
- $H_k \circ f_0 = f \circ H_k$ holds everywhere outside any puzzle piece of depth k.

Indeed, such a homeomorphism can be constructed as following. First let $H_k = \phi_f^{-1} \circ \phi_{f_0}$ outside the union of puzzle pieces of depth k. By Lemma 3.7, such an H_k is well defined. Then for each puzzle piece Y of depth k, we extend $H_k|_{\partial Y}$ into Y to be a homeomorphism in an arbitrary way. □

Lemma 5.2. *If an f_0-external ray $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t)$ lands at τ_0, then the f-external ray $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ lands at a repelling periodic point of f for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$.*

Proof. To obtain a contradiction, we suppose that the landing point τ_f of $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ is parabolic. Then τ_f must attract at least one of the critical points of f. Let $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ denote the set of the critical points of f. By Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that $\partial Y^{(k-m_0)} \cap \mathrm{orb}(\tau_0) = \emptyset$ and $f_0^{m_0} : Y^{(k)} \to Y^{(k-m_0)}$ is an APL map for all $k \geq k_0$. For any $k \geq k_0$, let H_k be a weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 and f. We claim $\mathrm{Crit}(f) \subset H_k(Y^{(k)} \cup X^{(k)})$. Indeed, $f_0 : Y \to f_0(Y)$ is a conformal map for any puzzle piece $Y \notin \{Y^{(k)}, X^{(k)}\}$ of depth k. Thus $f_0|_{\partial Y} : \partial Y \to \partial f_0(Y)$ is a homeomorphism, and so is $f : \partial H_k(Y) \to \partial H_k(f_0(Y)) = \partial f(H_k(Y))$ since H_k is a conjugacy between f_0 and f on ∂Y. This implies $f : H_k(Y) \to f(H_k(Y))$ is a conformal map. Hence $H_k(Y)$ contains none of the critical points of f.

By a similar argument, $f : H_k(Y^{(k_0)}) \to H_k(f(Y^{(k_0)}))$ is a holomorphic proper map of degree d_1. Moreover, the orbit of any $c \in \mathrm{Crit}(f) \cap H_k(Y^{(k_0)})$ lies in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m_0} f^j(H_k(Y^{(k_0)})) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_0} H_k(f_0^j(Y^{(k_0)}))$. Since $\partial Y^{(k-m_0)} \cap \mathrm{orb}(\tau_0) = \emptyset$ and $\tau_f = H_k(\tau_0)$, one can conclude $\mathrm{orb}(\tau_f)$ cannot attract any critical point of f which lies in $H_k(Y^{(k)})$.

On the other hand, it can be proved that $\bigcap_{k \geq k_0} H_k(X^{(k)})$ is a singleton by the same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Note that such a singleton is a Julia critical point of f, which cannot be attracted by $\mathrm{orb}(\tau_f)$ either.

As we have showed that none of the critical points of f can be attracted to the orbit of τ_f, a contradiction.

□

Lemma 5.3. *If $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 to f up to depth k.*

Proof. Let Θ denote the angles θ for which $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta)$ lands at τ_0. By Lemma 5.2, for each $\theta \in \Theta$, the landing point of $\mathcal{R}_f(\theta)$ is a repelling periodic point of f.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can construct such a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy H_k between f_0 and f up to depth k by hand. First we define H_k as identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f_0 and f outside the union of the puzzle pieces of depth k. It is well-known that for each puzzle piece Y of depth k, $H_k|_{\partial Y}$ can extend to a qc homeomorphism form $\overline{Y}$ onto $\overline{H_k(Y)}$ since $J_f \cap \partial Y$ are repelling (pre)-periodic points (see [12, Lemma 5.3]).

□

Lemma 5.4. *Let $n_0 > m_0$ be an integer such that $Y^{(n_0)}, f_0(Y^{(n_0)}), \dots, f_0^{m_0-1}(Y^{(n_0)})$ are disjoint and $F_0 = f_0^{m_0}|_{Y^{(n_0)}} : Y^{(n_0)} \to Y^{(n_0-m_0)}$ is an APL map. Suppose $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{P}_{d_1+d_2}$ has the same combinatorics as f_0 up to depth n_0. Assume there exists a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 and $\widetilde{f}$ up to depth n_0 such that*

$$\widetilde{F} = \widetilde{f}^{m_0}|_{\Phi(Y^{(n_0)})} : \Phi(Y^{(n_0)}) \to \Phi(Y^{(n_0-m_0)})$$

is an APL map of degree d_1 with connected filled Julia set $K(\tilde{F})$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(s)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(t)$ land at a common point if $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(s)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t)$ land at a common point which belongs to ∂U_0.

Proof. We define a new qc map $H : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(F) \to \mathbb{C} \to \setminus K(\widetilde{F})$ such that $H = \Phi$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus Y^{(n_0)}$ and $H \circ F = \widetilde{F} \circ H$ on $Y^{(n_0)} \setminus K(F)$. The construction of H is the following.

Let $U = Y^{(n_0)}$, $V = Y^{(n_0-m_0)}$, $\widetilde{U} = \Phi(Y^{(n_0)})$ and $\widetilde{V} = \Phi(Y^{(n_0-m_0)})$. First choose quasidisks W, W' and $\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}'$ such that $K(F) \Subset W \Subset W' \Subset U$ and $K(\widetilde{F}) \Subset \widetilde{W} \Subset \widetilde{W}' \Subset \widetilde{U}$ respectively. Choose a qc homeomorphism $\Psi : W \setminus K(F) \to \widetilde{W} \setminus K(\widetilde{F})$. Define $H_0 = \Phi$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus W'$ and $H_0 = \Psi$ on $W \setminus K(F)$. Then interpolate quasiconformally to obtain a qc homeomorphism $H_0 : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(F) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus K(\widetilde{F})$. Set $\widehat{H}_0 = H_0|_{V \setminus K(F)}$. Since $F : U \setminus K(F) \to V \setminus K(F)$ and $\widetilde{F} : \widetilde{U} \to K(\widetilde{F})$ are coverings of the same degree , we lift $\widehat{H}_0$ to a K-qc map $\widehat{H}_1 : U \setminus K(F) \to \widetilde{U} \setminus K(\widetilde{F})$ such that $\widehat{H}_1|_{\partial U} = \widehat{H}_0 = H_0 = \Phi$. Let $H_1 : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(F) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus K(\tilde{F})$ be a K-qc map which is obtained by glueing $H_0|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus U}$ and $\widehat{H}_1$ together. By definition, $\widetilde{F} \circ H_1 = H_0 \circ F$ on $U \setminus K(F)$. Inductively, we can obtain a sequence of K-qc maps $H_n : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(F) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus K(\tilde{F})$ such that $\widetilde{F} \circ H_{n+1} = H_n \circ F$ on $U \setminus K(F)$. Since H_n are K-qc and eventually stable on $\mathbb{C} \setminus K(F)$, H_n converges to a K-qc map $H : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(F) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus K(\tilde{F})$ which is desired.

Note that H maps $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(s)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t)$ onto $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(s)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(t)$ respectively. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \mathbb{C} \setminus K(\widetilde{F})$ denote a Riemann mapping. Since $\partial K(F) = \partial U_0$ is a Jordan curve, $\varphi^{-1} \circ H$ extends to a homeomorphism from $\mathbb{C} \setminus K(F)$ onto $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$. Let $\zeta(\theta)$ and $\widetilde{\zeta}(\theta)$ denote the landing point of the external ray $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(\theta)$ of angle $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ respectively. Note that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(s)) = \varphi^{-1} \circ H(\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(s))$ lands at $x = \varphi^{-1} \circ H(\zeta(s)) = \varphi^{-1} \circ H(\zeta(t))$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(s)$ lands at $\widetilde{\zeta}(s)$. By Lindelöf Theorem (see [19, Theorem 6.3]), the hyperbolic geodesic $\varphi([0, x])$ lands at $\widetilde{\zeta}(s)$. In the same manner we can see that $\varphi([0, x])$ also lands at $\widetilde{\zeta}(t)$. Thus $\widetilde{\zeta}(s) = \widetilde{\zeta}(t)$, in other words, $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(s)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{f}}(t)$ land at a common point. □

6. Quasiconformal surgery

Recall f_0 is a primitive polynomial with a periodic critical point 0 of period m_0 and a Julia critical critical point c_0 at which f_0 is non-renormalizable. Let $Z_0 = \mathrm{orb}(\tau_0)$ be given by Theorem 3.1. Fix κ_0 large enough so that the following holds:

- $\overline{Y^{(\kappa_0)}}, \overline{f_0(Y^{(\kappa_0)})}, \dots, \overline{f_0^{m_0-1}(Y^{(\kappa_0)})}$ are disjoint;
- $f_0^{m_0} : Y^{(\kappa_0)} \to Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)}$ is an APL map with filled Julia set $\overline{U_0}$.

The existence of κ_0 follows from Theorem 3.1 and the primitive property of f_0.

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem B. In [24], qc surgery and Thurston Algorithm was applied successfully to construct the desired tuning directly. Unfortunately, we cannot construct our desired tuning in our case directly. As the orbit of the free critical point may accumulate on the boundary ∂U_0 of the Fatou component U_0, it is impossible to respect all the combinatorics when one does qc surgery. Instead, we first show that for an arbitrary given depth there is a polynomial P which has the same combinatorics as f_0 up to this depth and has some other dynamical properties. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ be a primitive polynomial with a periodic critical point 0 of multiplicity d_1-1 and a Julia critical point c_0 of multiplicity d_2. Assume f_0 has no neutral cycle and is not renormalizable at c_0. Fix an internal angle system α for f_0 and choose an external angle θ_0 such that $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0)$ lands at $\alpha^{-1}(0)$. Then for any $k > \kappa_0$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$, there exists a polynomial $f_k \in \mathcal{P}_{d_1+d_2}$ with the following properties:*

1. *there exists a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy Φ_k between f_0 and f_k up to depth k;*
2. *$\max\{G_{f_k}(c') \mid c'$ is a critical point of $f_k\} \le d^{-k}$, where $d = d_1 + d_2$;*
3. *$f_k^{m_0} : \Phi_k(Y^{(\kappa_0)}) \to \Phi_k(Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)})$ is an APL map with a polynomial-like restriction which is hybrid equivalent to g and the hybrid conjugacy φ_k can be chosen so that φ_k sends $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)$ to $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$.*

Remark 6.1. *In (3) of Theorem 6.1, the statement φ_k sends $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)$ to $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$ means that there exist neighborhoods W_k and W of the landing points of $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$ respectively such that φ_k maps the connected component of $\overline{\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)} \cap W_k$ which intersects J_{f_k} onto the connected component of $\overline{\mathcal{R}_g(0)} \cap W$ which intersects J_g.*

The proof is based on quasiconformal surgery and techniques developed in [24]. Then we take a suitable limit and use some combinatorial facts to show that:

Theorem B. *Under the assumptions in the Theorem A, f_0 can be tuned with any polynomial $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$.*

6.1. **Constructions of quasiregular maps.** Let us recall some terminologies and notations in [24], we say a quasiregular map $\widetilde{f}$ is a *quasi-polynomial* if $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(\infty) = \infty$ and $\widetilde{f}$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of ∞. An open set $\mathcal{U}$ is called *nice with respect to* $\widetilde{f}$ if each component of $\mathcal{U}$ is a Jordan domain and $\widetilde{f}^k(\partial\mathcal{U}) \cap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ for each $k \ge 1$. For a nice open set U, let

$$D(\mathcal{U}, \widetilde{f}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \exists n \ge 1 \text{ such that } \widetilde{f}^n(z) \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

denote the domain of the first entry map to $\mathcal{U}$ under $\widetilde{f}$.

A nice open set $\mathcal{U}$ is said to be *$\widetilde{f}$-free* if $\mathcal{U} \cap P(\widetilde{f}) = \emptyset$, where $P(\widetilde{f})$ is the closure of the orbit of the ramification points of $P(\widetilde{f})$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nice open set with respect to $\widetilde{f}$. We say $\mathcal{B}$ is *M-nice with respect to* $\widetilde{f}$ if $\mathcal{B}$ is nice with respect to $\widetilde{f}$ and for each component B of $\mathcal{B}$, the following three conditions hold:

$$\mathrm{diam}(B)^2 \le M\mathrm{area}(B); \tag{6.1}$$

$$\frac{\text{area}(B \setminus D(\mathcal{B}, \widetilde{f}))}{\text{area}(B)} > M^{-1}; \tag{6.2}$$

$$\mathcal{QD}(D(\mathcal{B}, \widetilde{f}) \cap B, B) \leq M, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\mathcal{QD}$ is as defined in §4.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$. Then for any positive integer $k > \kappa_0$, there is a quasi-polynomial $\widetilde{f}_k$ of degree $d_1 + d_2$ and an open set $\mathcal{B}_k \Subset Y^{(k)}$ satisfying the following properties:*

- *$\widetilde{f}_k = f_0$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus Y^{(k)}$;*
- *$\mathcal{B}_k$ is $\widetilde{f}_k$-free and M_k-nice for some $M_k > 0$;*
- *there exists a positive integer T_k such that for every z and $n \geq 1$, if $\widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \notin \mathcal{B}_k$ for each $0 \leq j < n$, then $\#\{0 \leq j < n : \widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_k\} \leq T_k$, where $\mathcal{A}_k = \{z \mid \bar{\partial}\widetilde{f}_k(z) \neq 0\}$;*
- *$\widetilde{F}_k = \widetilde{f}_k^{m_0}|_{Y^{(\kappa_0)}} : Y^{(\kappa_0)} \to Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)}$ is a quasiregular map of degree d_1 with a polynomial-like restriction P_k which is hybrid equivalent to g. Furthermore, $K(\widetilde{F}_k) := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{F}_k^{-n}(Y^{(\kappa_0)})$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_k)$ and each orbit of $\widetilde{F}_k$ passes through $\mathcal{A}_k$ at most T_k times.*
- *there exists a $\widetilde{f}_k^{m_0}$-invariant ray γ_k landing on $K(\widetilde{F}_k)$ such that $\gamma_k \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus Y^{(\kappa_0)}) = \mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0)$ and there exists a hybrid conjugacy ψ_k between P_k and g which sends γ_k to $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$.*

We postpone the proof of this lemma to the end of this subsection and show now how it implies Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Note that $\widetilde{f}_k$ satisfies the assumption of [24, Theorem 5]. Thus it follows there exists a polynomial $f_k \in \mathcal{P}_{d_1+d_2}$ and a continuous surjection $h_k : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f_k \circ h_k = h_k \circ \widetilde{f}_k$. Moreover, h_k coincides with some qc map λ_k on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k)$ and h_k is comformal in a neighborhood of ∞. Since $\widetilde{f}_k = f_0$ outside $Y^{(k)}$ and h_k is a conformal conjugacy between f_k and $\widetilde{f}_k$ near infinity, $f_k \circ h_k = h_k \circ f_0$ in a neighborhood of ∞. Thus $h_k = \lambda_k$ is identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f_0 and f_k near infinity. This implies the $f_k^{m_0}$-invariant ray $h_k(\gamma_k)$ is the external ray $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)$.

First we show that λ_k is a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 and f_k up to depth k. Let $\mathcal{Y}_k$ denote the set of puzzle pieces of depth k and let $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}_k} Y$. It remains to show that $\lambda_k \circ f_0 = f_k \circ \lambda_k$ on $\mathcal{V}_k$. It suffices to show $\lambda_k = h_k$ on $\mathcal{V}_k$, which follows easily from the fact that $\mathcal{V}_k$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k)$. Indeed, $\mathcal{V}_k$ is disjiont from $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(Y^{(k)})$ since $\widetilde{f}_k = f_0$ outside $Y^{(k)}$.

Now we turn to prove that $\max\{G_{f_k}(c') \mid c' \text{ is a critical point of } f_k\} \leq 1/d^k$, where $d = d_1 + d_2$. Note that $\phi := \lambda_k^{-1}$ is qc and identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f_k and f_0 on $\{z \mid G_{f_k}(z) > 1/d^k\}$. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that there is a critical point c_k of f_k with $G_{f_k}(c_k) > 1/d^k$. Then there exists a small disk D_k around c_k such that $G_{f_k}(z) > 1/d^k$ for all $z \in D_k$. Then $\phi \circ f_k = f_0 \circ \phi$ on D_k since D_k is disjoint from $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k)$. It follows $\phi(c_k)$ is a critical point of f_0 and $G_{f_0}(\phi(c_k)) = G_{f_k}(c_k) > 1/d^k > 0$. Thus $\phi(c_k)$ is in the basin of infinity of f_0. This is absurd since J_{f_0} is connected.

We proceed to show that $F_k := f_k^{m_0} : h_k(Y^{(\kappa_0)}) \to h_k(Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)})$ is an APL map with a polynomial-like restriction hybrid equivalent to g. Since $Y^{(\kappa_0)}$ and $Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)}$ are nice w.r.t. $\widetilde{f}_k$ and $\mathcal{B}_k \Subset Y^{(\kappa_0)} \subset Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)}$, $\partial Y^{(\kappa_0)}$ and $\partial Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)}$ lie outside $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k)$. Thus $h_k = \lambda_k$ on $\partial Y^{(\kappa_0)} \cup \partial Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)}$. Moreover, $h_k = \lambda_k$ and $\bar{\partial}\lambda_k = 0$ a.e. on $K(\widetilde{F}_k)$ since $K(\widetilde{F}_k)$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_k)$. Note that $\widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k)$ is a countable union of disjoint Jordan domains which are nice w.r.t $\widetilde{f}_k$ and $h_k = \lambda_k$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k)$. It follows λ_k is homotopic to h_k rel $K(\widetilde{F}_k)$. Therefore,

by homotopy lifting, there is a sequence of qc maps $H_j : Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)} \to \lambda_k(Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)})$ homotopic to h_k rel $K(\widetilde{F}_k)$ such that $H_j = \lambda_k$ on $Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)} \setminus \overline{Y^{(\kappa_0)}}$ and $F_k \circ H_{j+1} = H_j \circ \widetilde{F}_k$. Since F_k is holomorphic and each orbit of $\widetilde{F}_k$ can pass through $\mathcal{A}_k$ at most T_k times, the maximal dilatation of H_j is uniformly bounded. As $H_j(z)$ eventually stablizes for all $z \in Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)}$, H_j converges to a qc map H. Clearly, $\bar\partial H = 0$ holds a.e. on $K(\widetilde{F}_k)$ since so does H_j for all j. Assume $P_k = \widetilde{F}_k|_{\Omega_k} : \Omega_k \to \Omega'_k$ is a polynomial-like restriction of $\widetilde{F}_k$ which is hybrid equivalent to g and ψ_k is a hybrid conjugacy between P_k and g which sends γ_k to $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$. Then $F_k|_{H(\Omega_k)} : H(\Omega_k) \to H(\Omega'_k)$ is also a polynomial-like map hybrid equivalent to g. Note that $H(\gamma_k \cap Y^{(\kappa_0)}) = h_k(\gamma_k) \cap H(Y^{(\kappa_0)})$, which is a part of the external ray $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)$. Thus $\psi_k \circ H^{-1}$ sends the external ray $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)$ to $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$. □

Now we prove Lemma 6.1 to finish this subsection.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Since f_0 is primitive and non-renormalizable at c_0, the critical orbit $\mathrm{orb}(c_0) \cap \overline{U_0} = \emptyset$. By Theorem 3.1, $Y^{(n)}$ shrinks to $\overline{U_0}$ as n tends to infinity. Thus for any positive integer $k > \kappa_0$, we can choose a positive integer $\ell = \ell(k) > k$ with the following properties:

- $\overline{Y^{(\ell)}}, \overline{f_0(Y^{(\ell)})}, \dots, \overline{f_0^{m_0-1}(Y^{(\ell)})}$ are disjoint;
- $f_0^{m_0} : Y^{(\ell+m_0)} \to Y^{(\ell)}$ is an APL map with filled Julia set $\overline{U_0}$.

By Theorem 4.1, there exists a positive integer $N_0 = N_0(\ell) > \ell + m_0$ such that

$$\max\{\mathcal{QD}(L_{N_0} \cap Y^{(\ell)}, Y^{(\ell)}), \mathcal{QD}(L_{N_0} \cap X^{(\ell)}, X^{(\ell)}\} < \infty.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that there exists a positive integer i_0 such that $Y^{(N_0+i_0m_0)} \Subset Y^{(N_0)}$. Let A denote the annuli $Y^{(N_0)} \setminus \overline{Y^{(N_0+i_0m_0)}}$.

Case 1. There exists a first moment s_0 such that $x_0 := f_0^{s_0}(c_0) \in A$.

We define a quasiregular map $\widetilde{f}_0 : A \to f_0(A)$ such that $d^{-N_0} < G_{f_0}(\widetilde{f}_0(x_0)) < d^{-N_0+1}$, $d = d_1 + d_2$ and $\widetilde{f}_0 = f_0$ on $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0) \cap A$ as following. Fix $y_0 \in f_0(A')$ with $G_{f_0}(y_0) > d^{-N_0}$. Define $\widetilde{f}_0 = f_0$ on $\partial A \cup (\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0) \cap A)$ and interpolate quasiregularly on A so that $\widetilde{f}_0(x_0) = y_0$.

Now choose r_0 large enough so that $\{c_0, f_0(c_0), \dots, f_0^{s_0}(c_0)\} \cap \overline{Y^{(r_0)}} = \emptyset$. Note that $f_0^{m_0} : Y^{(r_0+m_0)} \to Y^{(r_0)}$ is an APL map with filled Julia set $\overline{U_0}$. Since $\overline{U_0} \Subset Y^{(r_0+m_0)}$, by [16, Lemma 2.4], there exist quasidisks $U \Subset V \subset Y^{(r_0+m_0)}$ such that $f_0^{m_0} : U \to V$ is a polynomial-like map with filled Julia set $\overline{U_0}$. Then take a polynomial-like restriction $g|_{\widehat{W}} : \widehat{W} \to \widehat{U}$ of g. The quasidisk U can be chosen so that $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0)$ intersects ∂U transversally (at a unique point). Let ξ denote the corresponding intersecting point. Similarly, we can assume $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$ intersects $\partial\widehat{U}$ at a unique point ζ. Let $\xi' \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0)$ and $\zeta' \in \mathcal{R}_g(0)$ so that $f_0^{m_0}(\xi') = \xi$ and $g(\zeta') = \zeta$. Let $\varphi : U \to \widehat{U}$ be the unique conformal map from U onto $\widehat{U}$ with $\varphi(\xi') = \zeta'$ and $\varphi(\xi) = \zeta$. Set $W = \varphi^{-1}(\widehat{W})$.

Let us define $\widehat{F}_k = \varphi^{-1} \circ g \circ \varphi$ on $\overline{W}$ and $\widehat{F}_k = f_0^{m_0}$ on ∂U. Then we interpolate quasiregularly on $U \setminus \overline{W}$ so that $\widehat{F}_k(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_g(0) \cap (\widehat{U} \setminus \widehat{W}))) = f_0^{m_0}(\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0))$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the inverse map of the first entry map $f_0^{m_0-1} : f_0(U) \to V$ which is conformal. Then we define $\widetilde{f}_k$ as following:

$$\widetilde{f}_k(z) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{f}_0(z), & z \in A \\ \mathcal{I} \circ \widehat{F}_k(z), & z \in U \\ f_0(z), & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (A \cup U). \end{cases}$$

Note that $\widetilde{f}_k(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_g(0) \cap (\widehat{U} \setminus \widehat{W}))) = f_0(\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0))$.

In this case, $\bar\partial\widetilde{f}_k = 0$ holds almost everywhere outside $\mathcal{A}_k = A \cup U \setminus \overline{W}$. Let $R(\mathcal{A}_k)$ denote the return domian to $\mathcal{A}_k$ under $\widetilde{f}_k$. For any $z \in \mathcal{A}_k$, there exists a smallest positive integer $\iota(z)$

such that $\widetilde{f}_k^{\iota(z)}(z) \in Y^{(\ell)} \setminus \overline{Y^{(\ell+m_0)}}$. It is easy to see $T := \sup_{z\in\mathcal{A}_k} \iota(z) < \infty$. Set

$$\Omega := \{z \in Y^{(\ell)} \setminus \overline{Y^{(\ell+m_0)}} \mid \exists j > 1 \text{ such that } \widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \in Y^{(\ell)} \cup X^{(\ell)}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_k$ be the union of the component of $D(\Omega)$ which intersects $R(\mathcal{A}_k)$, where $D(\Omega)$ is the domian of the first entry map to Ω under $\widetilde{f}_k$.

Fact 1. *$\mathcal{B}_k$ is $\widetilde{f}_k$-free.*

Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_k \subset Y^{(N_0)}$ since $A \subset Y^{(N_0)}$ and $\partial Y^{(N_0)}$ is nice with respect to $\widetilde{f}$. Note that $\widetilde{f}_k^j(c_0) = f_0^{j-s_0-1} \circ \widetilde{f}_0(x_0)$ for all $j > s_0$ by the definition of $\widetilde{f}_k$. Thus $G_{f_0}(\widetilde{f}_k^j(c_0)) > d^{-N_0}$ for all $j > s_0$, which implies $\widetilde{f}_k^j(c_0) \notin \overline{Y^{(N_0)}}$ for all $j > s_0$. So $Y^{(N_0)} \cap \{\widetilde{f}^j(c_0)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} = \{x_0\}$ by the definition of s_0 and $\widetilde{f}_k$. It suffices to show $x_0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{B}_k}$. If $x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_k}$, then there exists a sequence $\{z_j\} \subset \mathcal{B}_k$ such that $z_j \to x_0$. So $\widetilde{f}_k(z_j) \to f_0(y_0)$. Since $d^{-N_0} < G_{f_0}(y_0) < d^{N_0+1}$, $d^{-N_0} < G_{f_0}(\widetilde{f}_k(z_j)) < d^{-N_0+1}$ for all j large. Thus the orbit of $\widetilde{f}_k(z_j)$ under $\widetilde{f}_k$ can never pass through Y^ℓ after it enters into $Y^{(\ell)} \setminus \overline{Y^{(\ell+m_0)}}$. This implies $\widetilde{f}_k(z_j) \notin D(\Omega)$ and so does z_j for j large, a contradiction.

Fact 2. *$\mathcal{B}_k$ is M_k-nice with respect to $\widetilde{f}_k$ for some $M_k > 0$.*

We first observe that Ω and hence $\mathcal{B}_k$ is nice with respect to $\widetilde{f}_k$. Fix a component B of $\mathcal{B}_k$, let s' be the first entry time of B into Ω, and let t denote the return time of $\widetilde{f}_k^{s'}(B)$ into $Y^{(\ell)} \cup X^{(\ell)}$. Then $\widetilde{f}_k^{s'+t}$ maps B homeomorphically onto a component of $Y^{(\ell)} \cup X^{(\ell)}$ and the map $\widetilde{f}_k^{s'+t}|_B$ is K^T-qc, where K is the maximal dilatation of $\widetilde{f}_k$. Indeed, $s' \le T$ since B intersect $\mathcal{A}_k$. Note that $\widetilde{f}_k^{s'+t}(D(\mathcal{B}_k) \cap B) \subset L_{N_0}$ since $\mathcal{B}_k \subset Y^{(N_0)}$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.1 that

$$\mathcal{QD}(D(\mathcal{B}) \cap B, B) \le (K^T)^2 \max\{\mathcal{QD}(L_{N_0} \cap Y^{(\ell)}, Y^{(\ell)}), \mathcal{QD}(L_{N_0} \cap X^{(\ell)}, X^{(\ell)})\} =: M_k' < \infty.$$

Note that there is $M > 0$ such that

$$M^2 \text{area}(Y^{(\ell)} \setminus L_{N_0}) \ge M \text{area}(Y^{(\ell)}) \ge \text{diam}(Y^{(\ell)})^2$$

and

$$M^2 \text{area}(X^{(\ell)} \setminus L_{N_0}) \ge M \text{area}(X^{(\ell)}) \ge \text{diam}(X^{(\ell)})^2$$

since $Y^{(\ell)}$ and $X^{(\ell)}$ are quasidisks and $(Y^{(\ell)} \setminus L_{N_0}) \cap D_{f_0}(\infty) \neq \emptyset$, $(X^{(\ell)} \setminus L_{N_0}) \cap D_{f_0}(\infty) \neq \emptyset$. As $\widetilde{f}_k^{s'+t}|_B$ has a K^T-qc extension from B onto a neighborhor of $\widetilde{f}_k^{s'+t}(B)$, there is $M' > 0$ (only depends on M and K^T) such that

$$M'^2 \text{area}(B) \setminus D(\mathcal{B}_k)) \ge M' \text{area}(B) \ge \text{diam}(B)^2$$

by area distortion of K^T-qc maps. Indeed, $\partial Y^{(\ell)}$ and $\partial X^{(\ell)}$ does not intersect the orbit of the ramification points of $\widetilde{f}_k$. Thus there are definite neighborhoods $V(0)$ and $V(c_0)$ of $\partial Y^{(\ell)}$ and $\partial X^{(\ell)}$ respectively so that $P(\widetilde{f}_k) \cap (V(0) \setminus Y^{(\ell)}) = \emptyset$ and $P(\widetilde{f}_k) \cap (V(c_0) \setminus X^{(\ell)}) = \emptyset$. Hence $\widetilde{f}_k^{s'+t}|_B$ has a K^T-qc extension from B onto a definite neighborhood of $Y^{(\ell)}$ or $X^{(\ell)}$. Let $M_k = \max\{M_k', M'\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_k$ is M_k-nice.

Fact 3. *For every z and $n \ge 1$, if $\widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \notin \mathcal{B}_k$ for each $0 \le j < n$, then $\#\{0 \le j < n : \widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_k\} \le 1$.*

By the definition of $\mathcal{B}_k$, $R(\mathcal{A}_k) \subset \mathcal{B}_k$. So if $\widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \notin \mathcal{B}_k$ for each $0 \le j < n$, then $\widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \notin R(\mathcal{A}_k)$ for each $0 \le j < n$. This implies $\widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \notin \mathcal{A}_k$ for each $1 \le j < n$.

Fact 4. *$\widetilde{F}_k := \widetilde{f}_k^{m_0} : Y^{(\kappa_0)} \to Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)}$ is a quasiregular map of degree d_1 with a polynomial-like restriction which is hybrid equivalent to g. Moreover, $K(\widetilde{F}_k) := \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \widetilde{F}_k^{-n}(W_k)$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_k)$ and each orbit of $\widetilde{F}_k$ passes through $\mathcal{A}_k$ at most $T+1$ times.*

Since $\widetilde{f}_k : Y^{(\kappa_0)} \to f_0(Y^{(\kappa_0)})$ is a qusiregular map of degree d_1 and $f_0^{m_0-1} : f_0(Y^{(\kappa_0)}) \to Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)}$ is a univalent map, $\widetilde{f}_k^{m_0} : Y^{(\kappa_0)} \to Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)}$ is a quasiregular map of degree d_1. Note that $\widetilde{f}_k^{m_0}|_W = \widehat{F}_k|_W = \varphi^{-1} \circ g \circ \varphi|_W$ is conformally conjugate to g and $K(\widetilde{F}_k) = K(\widehat{F}_k|_W) = \varphi^{-1}(K(g))$. Clearly, $\varphi^{-1}(K(g))$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_k^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_k)$. For each point $z \in Y^{(\kappa_0)} \setminus K(\widetilde{F}_k)$, its orbit will first pass through $Y^{(\ell)} \setminus \overline{Y^{(\ell+m_0)}}$ and eventually pass through $Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)} \setminus \overline{Y^{(\kappa_0)}}$ under $\widetilde{F}_k$. Let t' be the first moment so that $\widetilde{F}_k^{t'}(z) \in \mathcal{A}_k$. Then the orbit of $\widetilde{F}_k^{t'}(z)$ under $\widetilde{F}_k$ can pass through $\mathcal{A}_k$ at most $\iota(F_k^{t'}(z)) \leq T$ times.

Let γ_k denote the union of $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\theta_0) \setminus U$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_g(0)) \cap U$. Clearly, φ is a hybrid conjugacy between $\widehat{F}_k|_W$ to g which sends γ_k to $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$.

Case 2. The critical orbit $\mathrm{orb}(c_0)$ does not pass through the annulus A. Then there exists ℓ' large such that $Y^{(\ell')} \cap \overline{\{c_0, f_0(c_0), \ldots\}} = \emptyset$. By Theorem 4.1, there exists a positive integer $N_0' = N_0'(\ell') > \ell' + m_0$ such that $\mathcal{QD}(L_{N_0'} \cap Y^{(\ell')}, Y^{(\ell')}) < \infty$.

Now choose $r_0 > N_0'$. Without loss of generality, we assume r_0 is the same as in Case 1. Let $W, U, \widehat{W}, \widehat{U}$, $\widehat{F}_k$ and $\mathcal{I}$ be as in Case 1. This time, we define

$$\widetilde{f}_k(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I} \circ \widehat{F}_k(z), & z \in U \\ f_0(z), & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

In this case, $\bar{\partial} \widetilde{f}_k = 0$ holds almost everywhere outside $\mathcal{A}_k = U \setminus \overline{W}$. Again let $R(\mathcal{A}_k)$ denote the return domian to $\mathcal{A}_k$ under $\widetilde{f}_k$. Let

$$\Omega' := \{z \in Y^{(\ell')} \setminus \overline{Y^{(\ell'+m_0)}} \mid \exists j > 1 \text{ such that } \widetilde{f}_k^j(z) \in Y^{(\ell')}\}$$

and let $\mathcal{B}_k$ be the union of the component of $D(\Omega')$ which intersects $R(\mathcal{A}_k)$, where $D(\Omega')$ is the domian of the first entry map to Ω' under $\widetilde{f}_k$. Similar to Case 1, one can check $\widetilde{f}_k$ is a desired map in Lemma 6.1. □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem B.** Fix $g \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1}$. It follows from Theorem 6.1 that there exists a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of polynomials and a sequence of $\{\Phi_k\}$ of qc maps satisfies the following:

(1) Φ_k is a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 and f_k up to depth k;
(2) $\max\{G_{f_k}(c') \mid c' \text{ is a critical point of } f_k\} \leq d^{-k}$;
(3) $f_k^{m_0} : \Phi_k(Y^{(\kappa_0)}) \to \Phi_k(Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)})$ is an APL map with a polynomial-like restriction which is hybrid equivalent to g.

By [3, Proposition 3.6], $\{f_k\}$ lies in a compact set. Thus $\{f_k\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Without loss of generality, we assume $f_k \to f$ as $k \to \infty$. We now finish the proof of Theorem B by claiming that f is our desired tuning.

Proof of Theorem B. Note that $G_f(c) = 0$ for any critical point c of f since

$$\max\{G_{f_k}(c') \mid c' \text{ is a critical point of } f_k\} \leq d^{-k}$$

holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $f \in \mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the set of all the equivalence classes of λ_{f_0}. We say $E \in \mathcal{E}$ is admissible if $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ does not land at the grand orbit of a parabolic periodic point or a critical point of f_0 or f for all $t \in E$. Let $\mathcal{E}' := \{E \in \mathcal{E} \mid E \text{ is admissible}\}$. We claim that for each $E \in \mathcal{E}'$, E is contained in an equivalence class of λ_f.

Assume $t, s \in E \in \mathcal{E}'$, we prove that $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f(s)$ land at a common point. Let ζ and ξ be the landing point of $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f(s)$ respectively. By the definition of $\mathcal{E}'$, ζ and ξ are repelling periodic point of f. By holomorphic motion, we know there exists $\zeta_k \to \zeta$ and $\xi_k \to \xi$ so that $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(s)$ land at ζ_k and ξ_k respectively for all k larege (see also [7, Lemma B.1]).

Case 1. $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t)$ does not land on $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_0^{-n}(\partial U_0)$. Recall that U_0 is the Fatou component of f_0 which contains 0. In this case, there exists k large such that $f_0^n(\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_{f_0}(s))$ lies outside $Y^{(k)} \cup X^{(k)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known that we can adjust the weak pseudo-conjugacy Φ_k to be a pseudo conjugacy. See [1, Lemma 4.3] and [12, section 5] for examples, see also Lemma 7.4. Thus there is no loss of generality in assuming Φ_k is pseudo-conjugacy between to f_0 and f_k up to depth k. This implies that $\mathcal{R}_f(t) = \Phi_k^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t))$ and $\mathcal{R}_f(s) = \Phi_k^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(s))$ land at a common point for all k large. So $\zeta_k = \xi_k$ for k large. Hence $\zeta = \xi$.

Case 2. $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t)$ lands on ∂U_0. It follows from Lemma 5.4 that $\zeta_k = \xi_k$ for all k, and so $\zeta = \xi$.

Case 3. $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t)$ lands on $f_0^{-k_0}(\partial U_0)$ for some $k_0 \geq 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume k_0 is the first moment so that $f_0^{k_0}(\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t))$ lands on ∂U_0. Let k_1 be the smallest positive integer such $f_0^j(\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_{f_0}(s))$ lies outside $Y^{(k_1)} \cup X^{(k_1)}$ for $0 \leq j < k_0 - 1$. Such an integer k_1 exists since $Y^{(n)}$ shrinks to $\overline{U_0}$ and $X^{(n)}$ shrinks to $\{c_0\}$. Let X be the puzzle piece of depth $k_0 + k_1$ which contains the landing point of $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(t)$. Then $f_0^{k_0} : X \to Y^{(k_1)}$ is a conformal map. Note that $f_k^{k_0} : \Phi_k^{-1}(X) \to \Phi_k^{-1}(Y^{(k_1)})$ is a holomorphic proper map for all k large since Φ_k is a weak pseudo conjugacy between f_0 and f_k up to depth k. Since $\Phi_k \circ f_m^{k_0} = f_k^{k_0} \circ \Phi_k$ on ∂X, $f_k^{k_0}|_{\partial \Phi_k^{-1}(X)}$ is a homeomorphism for k large. Thus $f_k^{k_0} : \Phi_k^{-1}(X) \to \Phi_k^{-1}(Y^{(k_0)})$ is conformal. Moreover, $f_k^{k_0}|_{\Phi_k^{-1}(X)}$ maps the landing points of $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(s)$ to a common point which is a common landing point of $f_k^{k_0}(\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(t))$ and $f_k^{k_0}(\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(s))$ (see Case 2). This implies $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(s)$ land at a common point for all k large, and so do $\mathcal{R}_f(t)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f(s)$, since $f_k^{k_0}|_{\Phi_k^{-1}(X)}$ is conformal for k large.

By [8, Lemma 8.3], λ_{f_0} is the smallest equivalence relation in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ contains $(\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}'} E) \times (\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}'} E)$. Thus $\lambda_{f_0} \subset \lambda_f$.

It remains to show there exists quasidisks $U \Subset V$ and a qc map $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F := f^{m_0}|_U : U \to V$ is a polynomial-like map with filled Julia set $K(F) = K(U_0, f)$ which is hybrid equivalent to g and φ is a hybrid conjugacy between F and g respecting the external markings.

Let Θ denote the angles η for which $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}(\eta)$ lands at τ_0. By Lemma 5.2, for each $\eta \in \Theta$, the landing point of $\mathcal{R}_f(\eta)$ is a repelling periodic point of f. By Lemma 5.3, there is a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy Ψ between f_0 and f up to depth κ_0. Note that $f_0^{m_0} : Y^{(\kappa_0)} \to Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)}$ is holomorphic proper map of degree d_1, so is $F := f^{m_0} : \Psi(Y^{(\kappa_0)}) \to \Psi(Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)})$. Since $J_f \cap \partial\Psi(Y^{(\kappa_0)})$ are repelling (pre-)periodic points of f, by thickening technique [21], there are quasidisks $\Psi(Y^{(\kappa_0)}) \subset U, \Psi(Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)}) \subset V$ such that $f^{m_0} : U \to V$ is a polynomial-like map of degree d_1. Similarly, there are quasidisks $\Phi_k(Y^{(\kappa_0)}) \subset U_k, \Phi_k(Y^{(\kappa_0 - m_0)}) \subset V_k$ such that $F_k := f_k^{m_0} : U_k \to V_k$ is a polynomial-like map of degree d_1. Moreover, we can choose U_k, V_k carefully so that $\mathrm{mod}(V_k \setminus \overline{U_k}) \geq \mathrm{mod}(V \setminus \overline{U})/2$ for k large since $f_k \to f$. By Theorem 6.1, there exists qc maps $\psi_k : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that ψ_k is a hybrid conjugacy between F_k and g and ψ_k sends the homotopy class of $\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)$ to the homotopy class of $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$. Note that we can assume ψ_k are uniformly K-qc for some $K \geq 1$ since $\mathrm{mod}(V_k \setminus \overline{U_k}) \geq \mathrm{mod}(V \setminus \overline{U})/2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By the compactness of normalized K-qc maps, without loss of generality, we may assume ψ_k converges uniformly to some K-qc map φ. Note that $F_k \circ \psi_k^{-1} = \psi_k^{-1} \circ g$ near $K(g)$ and $\bar{\partial}\psi_k^{-1} = 0$ a.e. on $K(g)$. Thus $F \circ \varphi^{-1} = \varphi^{-1} \circ g$ near $K(g)$ and $\bar{\partial}\varphi^{-1} = 0$ a.e. on $K(g)$. This implies φ is a hybrid conjugacy between F and g.

We now proceed to show that φ sends the homotopy class of $\mathcal{R}_f(\theta)$ to the homotopy class of $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$. One can easily check that $\overline{\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0)}$ converges uniformly to $\overline{\mathcal{R}_f(\theta_0)}$ in the sense of Hausdorff topology by using the fact that ψ_k converges uniformly to φ and the local dynamical property near the landing point of $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$. Thus $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi_k(\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0))$ is homotopic to $\mathcal{R}_f(\theta_0)$ in

the sense of paths to $K(F)$ for k sufficiently large. On the other hand, $\varphi^{-1}\circ\psi_k(\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0))$ is homotopic to $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_g(0))$ since $\psi_k(\mathcal{R}_{f_k}(\theta_0))$ is homotopic to $\mathcal{R}_g(0)$ by the definition of ψ_k. Thus $\mathcal{R}_f(\theta_0)$ is homotopic to $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_g(0))$.

The proof is complete by showing $K(F)=K(U_0,f)$. This is easy by using the weak pesudo-conjugacies between f_0 and f. The details are left to the readers.

□

Remark 6.2. *There is another way to prove Theorem B (suggested by Hiroyuki Inou). One can first find a sequence $\{F_k\}\subset\mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ of post-critically finite polynomials such that F_k has the same combinatorics as f_0 up to depth k for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. See* [10, Section 7] *for a combinatorial argument and this can also been done by qc surgery. Then we use qc surgery to construct quasiregular maps $\widetilde{F}_k$ satisfies all the conditions in Lemma 6.1 with f_0 and $\widetilde{f}_k$ replaced by F_k and $\widetilde{F}_k$ respectively. The construction is essentially the same as that in Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. By* [24, Theorem 5.1]*, there exists $f_k\in\mathcal{C}_{d_1+d_2}$ such that f_k has the same combinatorics as f_0 up to depth k and $f_k^{m_0}$ has a polynomial-like restriction which is hybrid equivalent to $g\in\mathcal{C}_{d_1}$. Again, the limit f of f_k is our desired tuning. We mention here that using this method one can prove Theorem B more easily when $d_1=2$. Indeed, $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{F_k})$ is an analytic family for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. So one may apply Douady-Hubbard's Theorem to obtain f_k when $d_1=2$.*

Remark 6.3. *To fix the idea and not to make the notations too complicate, we only deal with the case that f_0 has one periodic critical point and one Julia critical point. Actually the method of the proof of Theorem B also works for the following case. Let $f\in\mathcal{C}_d$ be a polynomial with only periodic critical points and non-renormalizable Julia critical points. Following* [8]*, one can define the reduced mapping scheme $T(f)$ for f. It can be proved by the same method as in proof of Theorem B that f can be tuned with any generalized polynomial $g\in\mathcal{C}(T(f))$. The proof is left to the readers who are interested in it.*

7. Bijectivity for the Straightening map

Let f_0 and α be given as in the assumption of Theorem A.

For any $f\in\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, by Lemma 5.3, there is a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy Ψ_f between f and f_0 up to depth κ_0. Note that $f^{m_0}: Y^{(\kappa_0)}\to Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)}$ is an APL map of degree d_1, and so is $F:=f^{m_0}:\Psi_f(Y^{(\kappa_0)})\to\Psi_f(Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)})$. By [16, Lemma 2.4], F has a polynomial-like restriction with connected filled Julia set $K(F)$. By the discussions in section 2, there is a unique external marking Γ for F induced by the internal angle system α. It follows Douady-Hubbard Straightening Theorem that there is a unique polynomial $\chi(f)\in\mathcal{C}_{d_1}$ such that the polynomial-like restriction of F is hybrid equivalent to $\chi(f)$ if the external markings are respected (the conjugacy sends Γ to the standard marking for $\chi(f)$). Therefore, we have a well defined map:

$$\chi:\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})\to\mathcal{M}, f\mapsto\chi(f).$$

We call χ *the straightening map induced by* f_0. Clearly, f is a tuning of f_0 by $\chi(f)$. Theorem B implies that:

Theorem 7.1. *The staightening map χ is a surjection.*

Now we use the arguments developed in [1] to show the injectivity of χ:

Theorem 7.2. *χ is an injection.*

We postpone the proof to the end of this section and first prove some useful lemmas.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $f,\widetilde{f}\in\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$. For any $k\geq\kappa_0$, let H_k (resp. $\widetilde{H}_k$) be a qc weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 and f (resp. $\widetilde{f}$) up to depth k and let $\Lambda_k=\widetilde{H}_k\circ H_k^{-1}$. If $\chi(f)=\chi(\widetilde{f})$,*

then there exists $K = K(f) > 0$ such that $\Lambda_k|_{\partial H_k(Y^{(k)})}$ has a K-qc extension $\widehat{\Lambda}_k$ from $H_k(Y^{(k)})$ onto $\widetilde{H}_k(Y^{(k)})$ for all $k \geq \kappa_0 + m_0$.

Proof. It is easy to see there exists $K > 0$ such that $\Lambda_{\kappa_0+j}|_{\partial H_{\kappa_0+j}(Y^{(\kappa_0+j)})}$ has a desired K-qc extension $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\kappa_0+j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m_0$. Indeed, one can choose polynomial-like restrictions $F = f^{m_0} : U \to V$ and $\widetilde{F} = \widetilde{f}^{m_0} : \widetilde{U} \to \widetilde{V}$ such that $U \Subset H_{(\kappa_0+m_0)}(Y^{(\kappa_0+m_0)})$ and $\widetilde{U} \Subset \widetilde{H}_{\kappa_0+m_0}(Y^{(\kappa_0+m_0)})$. Let $\psi : U \to \widetilde{U}$ be a hybrid conjugacy between f and $\widetilde{f}$ respecting the canonical external marking. Define $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\kappa_0+j} = \psi$ on U and $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\kappa_0+j} = \Lambda_{\kappa_0+j}$ on $\partial\Lambda_{\kappa_0+j}(Y^{(\kappa_0+j)})$. Then interpolate quasiconformally. Let K be the maxium of the maximal dilatation of $\widehat{H}_{\kappa_0+j}$.

Now the Lemma follows easily by induction. Note that $f^{k-\kappa_0-j} : H_k(Y^{(k)}) \setminus K(F) \to H_k(Y^{\kappa_0+j}) \setminus K(F)$ and $\widetilde{f}^{k-\kappa_0-j} : \widetilde{H}_k(Y^{(k)}) \setminus K(\widetilde{F}) \to \widetilde{H}_k(Y^{\kappa_0+j}) \setminus K(\widetilde{F})$ are coverings of the same degree for all such k that $m_0 \mid k - \kappa_0 - j$. We now define $\widehat{\Lambda}_k|_{H_k(Y^{(k)})\setminus K(F)}$ as the lift of $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\kappa_0+j}|_{H_k(Y^{(\kappa_0+j)})\setminus K(F)}$ (this part is K-qc) and $\widehat{\Lambda}_k|_{K(F)} = \psi$ (this part is conformal). It follows [13, Lemma 10.4] and [6, Lemma 2, page 303] that $\widehat{\Lambda}_k$ is a K-qc map. □

Remark 7.1. *In the proof of the above lemma, we know that the K-qc extension $\widehat{\Lambda}_k$ of Λ_k can be made conformal a.e. on $K(F)$. Moreover, $\widehat{\Lambda}_k \circ f^{m_0} = \widetilde{f}^{m_0} \circ \widehat{\Lambda}_k$.*

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\Omega, \Omega_*, \widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\Omega}_*$ be quasidisks. Let $H_* : \Omega_* \to \widetilde{\Omega}_*$ be a qc map and let $H : \partial\Omega \to \partial\widetilde{\Omega}$ be a homeomorphism. Assume A and $\widetilde{A}$ are compact subset of Ω_* and $\widetilde{\Omega}_*$ respectively. If there exist holomorphic proper maps $g : \Omega \to \Omega_*$ and $\widetilde{g} : \widetilde{\Omega} \to \widetilde{\Omega}_*$ of the same degree with the following properties:*

- *the critical values of g and $\widetilde{g}$ lie in A and $\widetilde{A}$ respectively;*
- *$H \circ g = \widetilde{g} \circ \widetilde{H}$ on $\partial\Omega$.*

Then H has a K-qc extension from Ω onto $\widetilde{\Omega}$ where K only depends on H, A and A'.

Proof. Fix some $x \in \Omega_*$. Let $\phi_* : \Omega_* \to \mathbb{D}$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_* : \widetilde{\Omega}_* \to \mathbb{D}$ be conformal maps such that $\phi_*(x) = 0$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_*(H_*(x)) = 0$. Choose $y \in g^{-1}(x)$ and $\widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{g}^{-1}(H_*(x))$. Let $\phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{D}$ and $\widetilde{\phi} : \widetilde{\Omega} \to \mathbb{D}$ be conformal maps such that $\phi(y) = 0$ and $\widetilde{\phi}(\widetilde{y}) = 0$. Let $\widehat{H}_* = \widetilde{\phi}_* \circ H \circ {\phi_*}^{-1} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ and $\widehat{H} = \widetilde{\phi} \circ H \circ \phi^{-1} : \partial\mathbb{D} \to \partial\mathbb{D}$. Since A and $\widetilde{A}$ lie compactly in Ω_* and $\widetilde{\Omega}_*$ respectively, there exists $0 < r = r(A, A', x, H_*(x)) < 1$ such that $\phi_*(A), \widetilde{\phi}_*(\widetilde{A}) \subset \mathbb{D}_{r^2}$. By [1, Lemma 3.2], $\widehat{H}$ has a K-qc extension $\widehat{H} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$. Thus H has a K-qc extension from Ω onto $\widetilde{\Omega}$. □

Lemma 7.3. *Let $f, \widetilde{f}$ and $\{\Lambda_k\}$ be as in Lemma 7.1. Then there exists $K > 0$ and a sequence $\{k_n\}$ such that $\Lambda_{k_n}|_{X^{(k_n)}}$ has a K-qc extension from $H_{k_n}(X^{(k_n)})$ onto $\widetilde{H}_{k_n}(X^{(k_n)})$.*

Proof. Recall that there exists $\kappa_0 > 0$ with the following properties.

- $\overline{Y^{(\kappa_0)}}, \overline{f_0(Y^{(\kappa_0)})}, \ldots, \overline{f_0^{m_0-1}(Y^{(\kappa_0)})}$ are disjoint;
- $f_0^{m_0} : Y^{(\kappa_0)} \to Y^{(\kappa_0-m_0)}$ is an APL map with filled Julia set $\overline{U_0}$.

We can further assume κ_0 is large enough so that $\tau_0 \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_0} f_0^j(\overline{Y^{(\kappa_0)}})$.

If c_0 is combinatorially recurrent, i.e., for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\ell > 0$ such that $f_0^\ell(c_0) \in X^{(n)}$, then the lemma follows from [1, Theorem 4.4].

Now we assume c_0 is combinatorially non-recurrent. Then there exists $\ell_0 > \kappa_0$ such that $f_0^j(c_0) \notin X^{(\ell_0)}$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let us denote $f_0^j(c_0)$ by c_j.

Case 1. $\partial U_0 \cap \omega(c_0) \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $n > \ell_0$, there exists a smallest non-negative integer k_n' such that $c_{k_n'} \in Y^{(n)}$. Let $k_n = k_n' + \ell_0$. Consider the map $f_0^{k_n'} : Y^{(k_n)}(c_0) \to Y^{(\ell_0)}(c_{k_n'}) = Y^{(\ell_0)}$. Note that this map is d_1-to-1. For otherwise, there exists a smallest positive integer $0 < s < k_n'$

such that $f_0^s(Y^{(k_n)}(c_0)) = Y^{(k_n-s)}(c_s)$ contains 0. Thus $c_s \in Y^{(k_n-s)}$. By the definition of k'_n, $c_s \in Y^{(k_n-s)} \setminus Y^{(n)}$. Note that $F_0 := f_0^{m_0} : Y^{(\ell_0+m_0)} \to Y^{(\ell_0)}$ is a first return map. Since $f_0^{k'_n-s}(Y^{(k_n-s)}) = Y^{(\ell_0)}$, there exists u such that $F_0^u(Y^{(k_n-s)}) = Y^{(\ell_0)}$. So $c_{k'_n} = f_0^{k'_n-s}(c_s) = F_0^u(c_s) \notin Y^{(n)}$ since $F_0^{-1}(Y^{(n)}) \subset Y^{(n)}$ and $c_s \notin Y^{(n)}$.

Now take n_0 large so that $Y^{(n)} \Subset Y^{(\ell_0)}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Since $f, \widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, take a sufficiently large positive integer k, we know that

- $H_k(Y^{(n)}) \subset H_{n_0}(Y^{(n_0)}) \Subset H_{n_0}(Y^{(\ell_0)})$;
- $f^{k_n-\ell_0} : H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)}(c_0)) \to H_{k_n}(Y^{(\ell_0)})$ is d_1-to-1 and its critical value lies in $H_k(Y^{(n)}) \subset H_{n_0}(Y^{(n_0)}) \Subset H_{n_0}(Y^{(\ell_0)})$.

This statement also holds for the corresponding objects with tildes. Since $\widetilde{f}^{k'_n}\Lambda_{\ell_0} = \Lambda_{k'_n} \circ f^{k'_n}$ on $\partial H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)}(c_0))$, by Lemma 7.2, H_{k_n} has a K-qc extension where K only depends on $\Lambda_{\ell_0}, H_{n_0}(Y^{(n_0)})$ and $\widetilde{H}_{n_0}(Y^{(n_0)})$.

Case 2. $\tau_0 \in \omega(c_0)$. Let q be the ray period of τ_0. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let Y_*^n be the interior of the closure of the union of all the puzzle pieces of depth n which attaches τ_0. Since τ_0 is a repelling fixed point of f_0^q, there exist small disks $\tau_0 \in D \Subset D' \Subset Y_*^{\ell_0}$ such that $f_0^q : D \to D'$ is a conformal map. Let g denote the inverse map of $f_0^q : D \to D'$. Since Y_*^n shrinks to τ_0, there exists $n_1 > \ell_0$ large such that $Y_*^{n_1} \subset D'$. Then there exists s_1 and n_2 such that $Y_*^{n_2} = g^{s_1}(Y_*^{n_1}) \Subset Y_*^{n_1}$ as g is contracting. Since $\tau_0 \in \omega(c_0)$, for any n, there exists $t > n + n_2$ such that $c_t \in Y_*^{n_2}$. Then there exists $t' > t$ so that $c_{t'} \in Y_*^{n_1} \setminus Y_*^{n_2}$. Note that τ_0 lies on the boundary of $Y^{(\ell_0)}(c_{t'})$ since $n_1 > \ell_0$. Let $k_n = t' + \ell_0$. We consider the map $f_0^{t'} : Y^{(k_n)}(c_0) \to Y^{(\ell_0)}(c_{t'})$. We claim this map is (d_2+1)-to-1. For otherwise, there exists $0 < s' < t'$ such that $c_{s'} \in Y^{(t'+\ell_0-s')} \subset Y^{(\kappa_0)}$. Since $\tau_0 \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_0} f_0^j(\overline{Y^{(\kappa_0)}})$, we conclude that τ_0 does not lie on the boundary of $Y^{(\ell_0)}(c_{t'}) = f_0^{t'-s'}(Y^{(t'+\ell_0-s')})$. This is a contradiction. Again take a sufficiently large positive integer k, we know that

- $H_k(Y_*^{n_1} \setminus Y_*^{n_2}) = H_{n_2}(Y_*^{n_1} \setminus Y_*^{n_2}) \Subset H_{n_2}(Y_*^{(\ell_0)})$;
- $f^{k_n-\ell_0} : H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)}(c_0)) \to H_{k_n}(Y^{(\ell_0)}(c_{k_n-\ell_0}))$ is (d_2+1)-to-1 and its critical value lies in $H_{n_2}(Y_*^{n_1} \setminus Y_*^{n_2})$.

We claim that the critical value v_n of $f^{k_n-\ell_0}|_{H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)}(c_0))}$ lies in a definite compact subset of $H_{\ell_0}(Y^{(\ell_0)}(c_{k_n-\ell_0}))$. For otherwise, $\{v_n\}$ accumulates on the boundary of $H_{\ell_0}(Y^{(\ell_0)}(c_{k_n-\ell_0}))$. Since $v_n \in H_{n_2}(Y_*^{n_1} \setminus Y_*^{n_2})$, the only possibility is that $\{v_n\}$ accumulates on the external rays truncated by height $(d_1+d_2)^{-n_1}$ and $(d_1+d_2)^{-n_2}$. This implies v_n lies in the Fatou set of f for infinitely many n, which is a contradiction. The above statements also hold for the corresponding objects with tildes. Since $\widetilde{f}^{k_n-\ell_0}\Lambda_{\ell_0} = \Lambda_{k_n-\ell_0} \circ f^{k_n-\ell_0}$ on $\partial H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)}(c_0))$, by Lemma 7.2, H_{k_n} has a K-qc extension.

Case 3. $\tau_0 \notin \omega(c_0)$ and $\partial U_0 \cap \omega(c_0) = \emptyset$. In this case we can choose $\ell' > \ell_0$ large enough so that $c_j \notin Y^{(\ell')}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $k_n = n + \ell'$. Let us consider $f_0^{k_n-\ell'} : Y^{(k_n)}(c_0) \to Y^{\ell'}(c_n)$. Clearly, it is unicritical and (d_2+1)-to-1. Since $\tau_0 \notin \omega(c_0)$, the critical vaule c_n lies in a definite compact subset of $Y^{\ell'}(c_n)$ (by a similar argument in Case 2). Since $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, we know $f^{k_n-\ell'} : H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)}(c_0)) \to H_{\ell'}(Y^{\ell'}(c_n))$ is also (d_2+1)-to-1 and its critical value lies in a definite compact subset $H_{\ell'}(Y^{\ell'}(c_n))$ (by a similar argument in Case 2). This statement also holds for the corresponding objects with tildes. Since $\widetilde{f}^{k_n-\ell'}\Lambda_{\ell'} = \Lambda_{k_n-\ell'} \circ f^{k_n-\ell'}$ on $\partial H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)}(c_0))$, by Lemma 7.2, H_{k_n} has a K-qc extension. □

Lemma 7.4. *Let $f, \widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$. Assume H (resp. $\widetilde{H}$) is weak pseudo-conjugacy up to depth n between f_0 and f (resp. $\widetilde{f}$) for some $n > 0$. Let $\Lambda = \widetilde{H} \circ H^{-1}$. If $\Lambda|_{\partial H(Y^{(n)} \cup \partial X^{(n)})}$ admits a*

K-qc extension to $\widetilde{H}(Y^{(n)} \cup X^{(n)})$, then there exists a K-qc map $\widehat{\Lambda}$ such that $\widehat{\Lambda}$ is identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f and $\widetilde{f}$ near ∞ and $\widehat{\Lambda} \circ f = \widetilde{f} \circ \widehat{\Lambda}$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus H(Y^{(n)} \cup \partial X^{(n)})$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume Λ is K-qc on $H(Y^{(n)} \cup X^{(n)})$. Let L_n denote the domain of the first landing map to $H(Y^{(n)} \cup X^{(n)})$:

$$L_n = \{z \mid \exists k \geq 0 \text{ such that } f^k(z) \in H(Y^{(n)} \cup X^{(n)})\}.$$

For each component W of L_n, we define ϕ_W be identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f and $\widetilde{f}$ on ∂W and interpolate homemorphicly. Note that $\phi_W(W)$ is a first landing domain of $H(Y^{(n)} \cup X^{(n)})$ since $\lambda_{f_0} \subset \lambda_f \cap \lambda_{\widetilde{f}}$. Thus we can define $\widehat{\Lambda}|_W : W \to \phi_W(W)$ as the univalent pullback of H. Then define $\widehat{\Lambda}$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (K(f) \cup L_n)$ as identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f and $\widetilde{f}$. These two maps match on the common boundary since $\Lambda|_{\partial H(Y^{(n)} \cup X^{(n)})}$ is identity in the Böttcher coordinate for f and $\widetilde{f}$. Note that $\widehat{\Lambda}$ is K-qc. By [12, Fact 5.1], the residual set

$$E(H(Y^{(n)} \cup X^{(n)}) := \{z \in K(f) \mid f^j(z) \notin H(Y^{(n)} \cup X^{(n)}) \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a nowhere dense compact set with Lebesgue measure 0. Thus $\widehat{\Lambda}$ admits a K-qc extension to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. □

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let H_n be a weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 and f up to depth n. Define $\mathcal{K}(f) := \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n(Y^{(n)})$ and $\mathcal{S}(f) := \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n(X^{(n)})$. By using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, one can show $\mathcal{S}(f)$ is a singleton which is a Julia critical point c'_f of f.

Lemma 7.5. *The set $E(f) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{K}(f) \mid \omega(z) \cap (\mathcal{K}(f) \cup \mathcal{S}(f)) \neq \emptyset\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$.*

Proof. **Case 1.** c_0 is combinatorially recurrent.

We first show that for $E'(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \omega(z) \cap \mathcal{S}(f) \neq \emptyset\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure. To this end, we construct a generalized polynomial like map (complex box map) as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $P_0 = X^{(\kappa_0)}$. For $n \geq 1$, we define P_n as the component of the first return map to P_{n-1} which contains c_0 inductively. It is well known this is a moment n_0 so that $P_{n_0+1} \Subset P_{n_0}$ since f_0 is not renormalizable at c_0 (see [11, Lemma 2.2] for an example). Let H_{n_0+1} be a weak pseudo-conjugacy between f_0 and f up to depth $n_0 + 1$. Let $V_f := H_{n_0+1}(P_{n_0+1})$ and let U_f be the domain of the first return to V_f under f. The first return map $R_f : U_f \to V_f$ is our desired generalized polynomial-like map. Similarly, let $V_0 := P_{n_0+1}$ and $R_0 : U_0 \to V_0$ be the first return map under f_0. Since f_0 is non-renormalizable at c_0, so is $R_0 : U_0 \to V_0$. As $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$, $R_f : U_f \to V_f$ is also non-renormalizable. By [11, Theorem 1.5] (see also [15]), $K(R_f)$ has Lebesgue measure 0 where $K(R_f) := \{z \in U_f \mid R_f^n(z) \in U_f \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that $E'(f) \subset K(R_f)$, so $E'(f)$ has zero Lebesgue measure.

Now we show $E(f) \setminus E'(f)$ has zero Lebesgue measure. It suffices to show that for any $z \in E(f) \setminus E'(f)$, z is not a density point of $E(f) \setminus E'(f)$. Let $z_k := f^k(z)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $z \notin \mathcal{S}(f)$, there exists $\ell > 0$ such that $\text{orb}(z) \cap H_\ell(X^{(\ell)})) = \emptyset$. Now consider the APL map $f^{m_0} : H_{\ell+m_0}(Y^{(\ell+m_0)}) \to H_\ell(Y^{(\ell)})$. Choose n_1 large so that $H_{n_1}(Y^{(n_1)}) \Subset H_\ell(Y^{(\ell)})$. Let δ denote the Hausdorff distance between $\overline{H}_{n_1}(Y^{(n_1)}) \setminus H_{n_1+m_0}(Y^{(n_1+m_0)})$ and $\partial H_\ell(Y^{(\ell)}) \cup \mathcal{K}(f)$. As they are disjoint closed set, $\delta > 0$. Since $\omega(z) \cap \mathcal{K}(f) \neq \emptyset$, there is a strictly increasing sequence $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $z_{k_n} \in H_{n_1}(Y^{(n_1)}) \setminus \overline{H_{n_1+m_0}(Y^{(n_1+m_0)})}$. Let D_n be the component of $f^{-k_n}(\mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta))$ which contains z for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $f^{k_n} : D_n \to \mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta)$ is conformal. Indeed, any pullback of $\mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta)$ cannot intersect $H_\ell(X^{(\ell)}))$ since $\text{orb}(z) \cap H_\ell(X^{(\ell)})) = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta) \subset H_\ell(Y^{(\ell)})$. By the Koebe Distortion Theorem, z is not a density point of $K(f)$.

Case 2. c_0 is combinatorially non-recurrent. Fix $\ell > \kappa_0$ large so that $f_0^j(c_0) \notin X^{(\ell)}$ for all $j \geq 1$.

In this case, we first show $E''(f) = \{z \in E(f) \mid \omega(z) \cap \mathcal{K}(f) \neq \emptyset\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure. The proof is similar to the second part of Case 1. Take $z \in E''(f)$ and let $z_k := f^k(z)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose n_1 large so that $H_{n_1}(Y^{(n_1)}) \Subset H_\ell(Y^{(\ell)})$. Let δ denote the Hausdorff distance between $\overline{H}_{n_1}(Y^{(n_1)}) \setminus H_{n_1+m_0}(Y^{(n_1+m_0)})$ and $\partial H_\ell(Y^{(\ell)}) \cup \mathcal{K}(f)$. As they are disjoint closed set, $\delta > 0$. Since $\omega(z) \cap \mathcal{K}(f) \neq \emptyset$, there is a strictly increasing sequence $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $z_{k_n} \in H_{n_1}(Y^{(n_1)}) \setminus \overline{H_{n_1+m_0}(Y^{(n_1+m_0)})}$. Let D_n and D_n' be the component of $f^{-k_n}(\mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta))$ and $f^{-k_n}(\mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta/2))$ which contains z for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ respectively. Note that $f^{k_n} : D_n \to \mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta)$ is a holomorphic proper map of degree at most $d_2 + 1$ (since c_0 is combinatorially non-recurrent). Thus $f^{k_n} : D_n' \to \mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta/2)$ can be written as $\psi_n \circ Q_0 \circ \phi_n$ where $Q_0(z) = z^{d_2+1}$, ψ_n and ϕ_n are conformal maps with uniformly bounded distortion. Then it follows z is not a density point of $E''(f)$ by a standard argument.

It remains to show that $E(f) \setminus E''(f)$ has zero Lebesgue measure. Take $z \in E(f) \setminus E''(f)$ and let $z_k := f^k(z)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix n_2 large so that $H_{n_2}(X^{(n_2)}) \Subset H_\ell(X^{(\ell)})$. Let δ_1 denote the Hausdorff distance between $\omega(z)$ and $\mathcal{K}(f)$ and let δ_2 denote the Hausdorff distance between $\partial H_{n_2}(X^{(n_2)})$ and $\partial H_\ell(X^{(\ell)})$. Take $\delta < \min(\delta_1, \delta)$. Since $\omega(z) \cap \mathcal{S}(f) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{k_n\}$ such that $z_{k_n} \in H_{n_2}(X^{(n_2)})$. Let U_n be the component of $f^{-k_n}(\mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta))$ which contains z for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $f^{k_n} : U_n \to \mathbb{D}(z_{k_n}, \delta)$ is conformal by the construction. It follows from Koebe Distortion Theorem that z is not a density point of $E(f) \setminus E''(f)$. □

We are now in a position to show Theorem 7.2.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. Assume there are $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ and $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ such that $\chi(f) = \chi(\widetilde{f})$. We prove that $f = \widetilde{f}$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let H_n be a qc weak pesudo-conjugacy between f_0 and f and let $\widetilde{H}_n$ be a qc weak pesudo-conjugacy between f_0 and $\widetilde{f}$. Let $\Lambda_n = \widetilde{H}_n \circ H_n^{-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follow there exists a sequence $\{k_n\}$ and $K > 0$ with the following properties:

- Λ_{k_n} has a K-qc extension from $H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)} \cup X^{(k_n)})$ onto $\widetilde{H}_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)} \cup X^{(k_n)})$;
- $\bar{\partial}\Lambda_{k_n} = 0$ a.e. on $\mathcal{K}(f)$

by Lemma 7.1, Lemma 7.3 and Remark 7.1. Then by Lemma 7.4, we can further assume Λ_{k_n} is global K-qc and $\Lambda_{k_n} \circ f = \widetilde{f} \circ \Lambda_{k_n}$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus H(Y^{(n)} \cup \partial X^{(n)})$. By the compactness of normalized K-qc maps, $\{\Lambda_{k_n}\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Without loss of generality, we assume Λ_{k_n} converges to a K-qc map $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. Clearly $\Phi \circ f = \widetilde{f} \circ \Phi$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathcal{K}(f) \cup \mathcal{S}(f))$. By the construction in the proof of Lemma 7.4, we know that $\bar{\partial}\Lambda_{k_n}$ only supports on $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{-j}(H_{k_n}(Y^{(k_n)} \cup X^{(k_n)} \setminus \mathcal{K}(f)))$. Since these sets shrink to $E(f)$ which has zero Lebesgue measure by Lemma 7.5, we conclude Φ is conformal. By the proof of Lemma 7.1 and Remark 7.1, we know $\Phi \circ f^{m_0} = \widetilde{f}^{m_0} \circ \Phi$ on $\mathcal{K}(f)$. Since $\Phi \circ f = \widetilde{f} \circ \Phi$ on $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m_0-1} f^j(\mathcal{K}(f))$, we have

$$\widetilde{f}^{m_0-1} \circ \widetilde{f}_0 \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ f^{m_0} = \Phi \circ f^{m_0-1} \circ f = \widetilde{f}^{m_0-1} \circ \Phi \circ f$$

on $\mathcal{K}(f)$. As $\widetilde{f}^{m_0-1}|_{\widetilde{f}(\mathcal{K}(\widetilde{f}))}$ is one-to-one, we conclue $\Phi \circ f = \widetilde{f} \circ \Phi$ on $\mathcal{K}(f)$. Thus, $\Phi \circ f = \widetilde{f} \circ \Phi$ on the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Since Φ is conformal and is tangent to id at ∞, Φ is identity. □

Theorem A follows immediately from Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.2. Theorem C is a consequence of Theorem A, [20, Propsotion 4.7] and the compactness of $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{f_0})$ ([8, Theorem 8.1]).

References

[1] Avila, A., Kahn, J., Lyubich, M., Shen, W. *Combinatorial rigidity for unicritical polynomials.* Annals of mathematics, (2009), 783-797.

[2] Branner B, Fagella N. *Homeomorphisms between limbs of the Mandelbrot set.* The Journal of Geometric Analysis, 1999, 9(3): 327-390.

[3] B. Branner, J. Hubbard. *The iteration of cubic polynomials Part I: The global topology of parameter space.* Acta Math., **160** (1988), 143-206.

[4] A. Epstein and M. Yampolsky. *Geography of the cubic connectedness locus: intertwining surgery.* In Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **32**, 151-185.

[5] A. Douady and H. Hubbard, *Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes.* Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, Université de Paris-Sud, Département de Mathematiques, Orsay, 1984

[6] A. Douady and H. Hubbard, *On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **18** (1985) 287-343.

[7] Goldberg L R, Milnor J. *Fixed points of polynomial maps. Part II. Fixed point portraits.* Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale supérieure. 1993, 26(1): 51-98.

[8] H. Inou, J. Kiwi, *Combinatorics and topology of straightening maps I: compactness and bijectivity.* Adv. Math. **231** (2012), 2666-2733

[9] Kahn J, Lyubich M. *Local connectivity of Julia sets for unicritical polynomials.* Annals of mathematics, 2009: 413-426.

[10] Kiwi J. *Rational laminations of complex polynomials.* Contemporary Mathematics, 2001, 269: 111-154.

[11] O. Kozlovski, S. van Strien. *Local connectivity and quasi-conformal rigidity of non-renormalizable polynomials*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society., **99(2)** (2009), 275-296

[12] O. Kozlovski, W. Shen, S. van Strien. *Rigidity for real polynomials*, Ann. Math., **165** (2007), 749-841

[13] M. Lyubich. *Dynamics of quadratic polynomials I-II*, Acta Mathematica 178.2 (1997): 185-297.

[14] M. Lyubich, *Feigenbaum-Coullet-Tresser universality and Milnor's hairiness conjecture.* Annals of Mathematics (1999): 319-420.

[15] M.Lyubich. *On the Lebesgue measure of the Julia set of a quadratic polynomial.* arXiv preprint math/9201285 (1991).

[16] M. Lyubich and M. Yampolsky. *Dynamics of quadratic polynomials: complex bounds for real maps.* Ann. Inst. Fourier **47** (1997), 1219-1255.

[17] J. Kahn, *Holomorphic Removability of Julia Sets.* arXiv:math/9812164

[18] G.Levin, F.Przytycki. *External rays to periodic points*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 1996, 94(1): 29-57.

[19] C. McMullen, *Complex dynamics and renormalization*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 135, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994

[20] C. McMullen, *Renormalization and 3-Manifolds which Fiber over the Circle*, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 135, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1996.

[21] J. Milnor, *Local connectivity of Julia sets: expository lectures.* The Mandelbrot Set, Theme and Variations, volume **274** of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 67-116. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

[22] P.Roesch, Y. Yin. *The boundary of bounded polynomial Fatou components.* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **346**, 877-880.

[23] M.Shishikura, *On the quasiconformal surgery of rational functions.* Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **20** (1987), 1-29.

[24] W. Shen and Y. Wang, *Primitive tuning via quasiconformal surgery.* To appear in Israel Journal of Mathematics. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.05199, 2020.

Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, No. 2005 Songhu Road, Shanghai 200438, China

Email address: yiminwang16@fudan.edu.cn