arXiv:2103.00775v2 [math.SP] 10 Mar 2021

Similar transformation of one class of correct restrictions

B.N. Biyarov

December 6, 2021

Key words: maximal (minimal) operator, correct restriction, correct extension, similar operators, singular coefficients, Riesz basis with brackets.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A05, 47A10.

Abstract. The description of all correct restrictions of the maximal operator are considered in a Hilbert space. A class of correct restrictions are obtained for which a similar transformation has the domain of the fixed correct restriction. The resulting theorem is applied to the study of n-order differentiation operator with singular coefficients.

1 Introduction

Let a closed linear operator L be given in a Hilbert space H. The linear equation

$$Lu = f \tag{1.1}$$

is said to be *correctly solvable* on $R(L)$ if $\|u\| \leq C\|Lu\|$ for all $u \in D(L)$ (where $C > 0$ does not depend on u) and *everywhere solvable* if $R(L) = H$. If (1.1) is simultaneously correct and solvable everywhere, then we say that L is a *correct operator*. A correctly solvable operator L_0 is said to be *minimal* if $R(L_0) \neq H$. A closed operator $\widehat{L}$ is called a *maximal operator* if $R(\widehat{L}) = H$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \widehat{L} \neq \{0\}$. An operator A is called a *restriction* of an operator B and B is said to be an *extension* of A if $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and $Au = Bu$ for all $u \in D(A)$.

Note that if one of the correct restriction L of a maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ is known, then the inverses of all correct restrictions of $\widehat{L}$ have in the form [1]

$$L_K^{-1} f = L^{-1} f + Kf, \tag{1.2}$$

where K is an arbitrary bounded linear operator in H such that $R(K) \subset \operatorname{Ker} \widehat{L}$.

Let L_0 be some minimal operator, and let M_0 be another minimal operator related to L_0 by the equation $(L_0 u, v) = (u, M_0 v)$ for all $u \in D(L_0)$ and $v \in D(M_0)$. Then $\widehat{L} = M_0^*$ and $\widehat{M} = L_0^*$ are maximal operators such that $L_0 \subset \widehat{L}$ and $M_0 \subset \widehat{M}$. A correct

restriction L of a maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ such that L is simultaneously a correct extension of the minimal operator L_0 is called a *boundary correct extension*. The existence of at least one boundary correct extension L was proved by Vishik in [2], that is, $L_0 \subset L \subset \widehat{L}$.

The inverse operators to all possible correct restrictions L_K of the maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ have the form (1.2), then

$$D(L_K) = \left\{u \in D(\widehat{L}) : (I - K\widehat{L})u \in D(L)\right\}$$

is dense in H if and only if $\operatorname{Ker}(I + K^*L^*) = \{0\}$. All possible correct extensions M_K of M_0 have inverses of the form

$$M_K^{-1} f = (L_K^*)^{-1} f = (L^*)^{-1} f + K^* f,$$

where K is an arbitrary bounded linear operator in H with $R(K) \subset \operatorname{Ker} \widehat{L}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Ker}(I + K^*L^*) = \{0\}.$$

The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let L be boundary correct extension of L_0, that is, $L_0 \subset L \subset \widehat{L}$. If L_K is densely defined in H and*

$$R(K^*) \subset D(L^*) \cap D(L_K^*),$$

where K and L are the operators in representation (1.2), then $\overline{KL}_K$ is bounded in H and a correct restriction L_K of the maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ is similar to the correct operator

$$A_K = L - \overline{KL}_K L \ \ on \ \ D(A_K) = D(L).$$

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some results for correct restrictions and extensions [3] which are used in Section 3.

Let A and B be bounded operators in a Hilbert space H. An operators A and B are said to be *similar* if there exist an invertible operator P such that $P^{-1}AP = B$. Similar operators have the same spectrum. If at least one of two operators A and B is invertible, then the operators AB and BA are similar.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a densely defined correct restriction of the maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ in a Hilbert space H. Then the operator KL is bounded on $D(L)$ (that is, $\overline{KL}$ is bounded in H) if and only if*

$$R(K^*) \subset D(L^*).$$

Proof. Let $R(K^*) \subset D(L^*)$. Then, by virtue of $(KL)^* = L^*K^*$, we have that $\overline{KL}$ is bounded in H, where $\overline{KL}$ is the closure of the operator KL in H. Here we have used the boundedness of the operator L^*K^*. Then the operator KL is bounded on $D(L)$. Conversely, let KL be bounded on $D(L)$. Then $\overline{KL}$ is bounded on H, by virtue of

$(KL)^* = (\overline{KL})^*$ and that $(KL)^*$ is defined on the whole space H. Then the operator K^* transfers any element f in H to $D(L^*)$. Indeed, for any element g of $D(L)$ we have

$$(Lg, K^*f) = (KLg, f) = (g, (KL)^*f).$$

Therefore, K^*f belongs to the domain $D(L^*)$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let L_K be a densely defined correct restriction of the maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ in a Hilbert space H. Then $D(L^*) = D(L_K^*)$ if and only if $R(K^*) \subset D(L^*) \cap D(L_K^*)$, where L and K are the operators from representation* (1.2).

Proof. If $D(L^*) = D(L_K^*)$, then from representation (1.2) we easily get

$$R(K^*) \subset D(L^*) \cap D(L_K^*) = D(L^*) = D(L_K^*)$$

Let us prove the converse. If

$$R(K^*) \subset D(L^*) \cap D(L_K^*),$$

then we obtain

$$(L_K^*)^{-1}f = (L^*)^{-1}f + K^*f = (L^*)^{-1}(I + L^*K^*)f, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(L^*)^{-1}f = (L_K^*)^{-1}f - K^*f = (L_K^*)^{-1}(I - L_K^*K^*)f, \tag{2.2}$$

for all f in H. It follows from (2.1) that $D(L_K^*) \subset D(L^*)$, and taking into account (2.2) this implies that $D(L^*) \subset D(L_K^*)$. Thus $D(L^*) = D(L_K^*)$. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let L_K be any densely defined correct restriction of the maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ in a Hilbert space H. If $R(K^*) \subset D(L^*)$ and $\overline{KL}$ is a compact operator in H, then*

$$D(L^*) = D(L_K^*).$$

Proof. Compactness of $\overline{KL}$ implies compactness of L^*K^*. Then $R(I + L^*K^*)$ is a closed subspace in H. It follows from the dense definiteness of L_K that $R(I + L^*K^*)$ is a dense set in H. Hence $R(I + L^*K^*) = H$. Then from equality (2.1) we get $D(L^*) = D(L_K^*)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *If $R(K^*) \subset D(L^*) \cap D(L_K^*)$, then bounded operators $I + L^*K^*$ and $I - L_K^*K^*$ from* (2.1) *and* (2.2)*, respectively, have a bounded inverse defined on H.*

Proof. By virtue of the density of the domains of the operators L_K^* and L^* it follows that the operators $I + L^*K^*$ and $I - L_K^*K^*$ are invertible. Since from (2.1) and (2.2) we have $\mathrm{Ker}\,(I + L^*K^*) = \{0\}$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\,(I - L_K^*K^*) = \{0\}$, respectively. From representations (2.1) and (2.2) we also note that $R(I + L^*K^*) = H$ and $R(I - L_K^*K^*) = H$, since $D(L^*) = D(L_K^*)$. The inverse operators $(I + L^*K^*)^{-1}$ and $(I - L_K^*K^*)^{-1}$ of the closed operators $I - L_K^*K^*$ and $I + L^*K^*$, respectively, are closed. Then the closed operators $(I + L^*K^*)^{-1}$ and $(I - L_K^*K^*)^{-1}$, defined on the whole of H, are bounded. □

Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.3 the operators KL and KL_K will be (see [4]) restrictions of the bounded operators $\overline{KL}$ and $\overline{KL}_K$, respectively, where the bar denotes the closure of operators in H. Thus $(I - L_K^* K^*)^{-1} = I + L^* K^*$ and $(I - \overline{KL}_K)^{-1} = I + \overline{KL}$.

In what follows, we need the following theorem

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 1.1 [5, p. 307]). *The sequence $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ biorthogonal to a basis $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of a Hilbert space H is also a basis of H.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove our main result Theorem 1.1.

Proof. We transform (1.2) to the form

$$L_K^{-1} = L^{-1} + K = (I + KL)L^{-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 the operators KL and KL_K are bounded and $I + KL$ is invertible with

$$(I + KL)^{-1} = I - KL_K.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_K^{-1} &= (I + KL)^{-1} L_K^{-1} (I + KL) \\ &= (I + KL)^{-1}(I + KL)L^{-1}(I + KL) = L^{-1}(I + KL). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Corollary 1 [6, p. 259] we have $D(A_K) = D(L)$ and

$$A_K = (I - KL_K)L = L - KL_K L.$$

□

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. Then a correct extension L_K^* of a minimal operator M_0 is similar to the correct operator*

$$A_K^* = L^*(I - L_K^* K^*),$$

on

$$D(A_K^*) = \left\{v \in H : (I - L_K^* K^*)v \in D(L^*)\right\}.$$

4 An application of Theorem 1.1 to n-order differentiation operator

In this section, we give some applications of the main result to differential operators.

As a maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ in $L^2(0,1)$, we consider

$$\widehat{L}y = y^n,$$

with domain $D(\widehat{L}) = W_2^n(0,1),\ n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the minimal operator L_0 is the restriction of $\widehat{L}$ on $D(L_0) = \mathring{W}_2^n(0,1)$. As a fixed boundary correct extension L of the minimal operator L_0, we take the restriction of $\widehat{L}$ on

$$D(L) = \big\{y \in W_2^n(0,1) :\ y^{(i)}(0) + y^{(i)}(1) = 0,\ \ i = 1,2,\dots,n-1\big\}.$$

We find the inverse to all correct restrictions of $L_K \subset \widehat{L}$

$$L_K^{-1} = L^{-1} + K,$$

where

$$Kf = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(x) \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma}_i(t)\,dt,\ \ \sigma_i \in L^2(0,1),$$

and $w_i \in \operatorname{Ker}\widehat{L},\ i = 1,2,\dots,n$ are linearly independent functions with the properties

$$w_i^{(k-1)} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = k, \\ 0, & i \neq k, \end{cases} \qquad i,k = 1,2,\dots,n.$$

Then the operator L_K is the restriction of $\widehat{L}$ on

$$D(L_K) = \big\{u \in W_2^n(0,1) :\ u^{(k-1)}(0) + u^{(k-1)}(1) = \int_0^1 u^{(n)}(t)\overline{\sigma}_k(t)\,dt,\ \ k = 1,2,\dots,n\big\}.$$

We will consider restrictions of L_K with dense domains in $L^2(0,1)$, that is,

$$\overline{D(L_K)} = L^2(0,1).$$

If $R(K^*) \subset D(L^*)$, then by Corollary 3.1 the operators $\overline{KL}$ and $\overline{KL}_K$ will be bounded in $L^2(0,1)$ (where bar denotes closure). Since $\overline{KL}$ is a compact operator, then by Lemma 2.3 the operator $I + KL$ is invertible and $(I + KL)^{-1} = I - KL_K$. The operator $\overline{KL}$ is bounded if and only if

$$\sigma_i \in D(L^*) = \big\{\sigma_i \in W_2^n(0,1) :\ \sigma_i^{(k-1)}(0) + \sigma_i^{(k-1)}(1) = 0,\ \ i,k = 1,2,\dots,n\big\}.$$

Hence, we have

$$KLy = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(x) \int_0^1 y^{(n)}(t)\overline{\sigma}_i(t)\,dt = (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(x) \int_0^1 y(t)\overline{\sigma}_i^{(n)}(t)\,dt.$$

We find the operator KL_K. For this, we invert the operator

$$(I + KL)y = y + (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(x) \int_0^1 y(t)\overline{\sigma}_i^{(n)}(t)\,dt = u,$$

where $y \in D(L),\ u \in D(L_K)$. Then we can write

$$y = (I - KL_K)u = u - (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(x) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{ij} \int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_j^{(n)}(t)\,dt,$$

where $\beta_{ij},\ \ i,j=1,2,\dots,n$ is an elements of the inverse matrix U^{-1} of a matrix U.

$$U=\begin{pmatrix} 1+(-1)^{n-1}\overline{\sigma}_1^{(n-1)}(0) & (-1)^{n-2}\overline{\sigma}_1^{(n-2)}(0) & \cdots & \overline{\sigma}_1^{(2)}(0) & -\overline{\sigma}_1^{(1)}(0) & \overline{\sigma}_1(0) \\ (-1)^{n-1}\overline{\sigma}_2^{(n-1)}(0) & 1+(-1)^{n-2}\overline{\sigma}_2^{(n-2)}(0) & \cdots & \overline{\sigma}_2^{(2)}(0) & -\overline{\sigma}_2^{(1)}(0) & \overline{\sigma}_2(0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (-1)^{n-1}\overline{\sigma}_n^{(n-1)}(0) & (-1)^{n-2}\overline{\sigma}_n^{(n-2)}(0) & \cdots & \overline{\sigma}_n^{(2)}(0) & -\overline{\sigma}_n^{(1)}(0) & 1+\overline{\sigma}_n(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the conditions $R(K^*)\subset D(L^*)$ and $\overline{D(L_K)}=L^2(0,1)$ imply that $\det U\neq 0$. Thereby, the operator

$$KL_Ku=(-1)^n\sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x)\sum_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}\int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_j^{(n)}(t)\,dt,$$

is a bounded operator in $L^2(0,1)$. Then the operator A_K has the form

$$A_Kv=Lv-\overline{KL}_KLv=v^{(n)}-(-1)^n\sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x)\sum_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}\int_0^1 v^{(n)}(t)\overline{\sigma}_j^{(n)}(t)\,dt,$$

on

$$D(A_K)=D(L)=\left\{v\in W_2^n(0,1):\ v^{(k-1)}(0)+v^{(k-1)}(1)=0,\ \ k=1,2,\dots,n\right\}.$$

The operator A_K can be written as

$$A_Kv=v^{(n)}-(-1)^n\sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x)\sum_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}F_j(v),$$

where

$$F_j(v)=<F_j,v>=\int_0^1 v^{(n)}(t)\overline{\sigma}_j^{(n)}(t)\,dt,\ \ j=1,2,\dots,n.$$

It can be seen that $F_j\in W_2^{-n}(0,1)$ in the sense of Lions-Margins (see [7]).

We transform the boundary conditions of L_K to the form

$$U\begin{pmatrix} u(0)+u(1) \\ u^{(1)}(0)+u^{(1)}(1) \\ \vdots \\ u^{(n-1)}(0)+u^{(n-1)}(1) \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_1^{(n)}(t)\,dt \\ \int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_2^{(n)}(t)\,dt \\ \vdots \\ \int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_n^{(n)}(t)\,dt \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we get

$$u^{(i-1)}(0)+u^{(i-1)}(1)=\sum_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}\int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_j^{(n)}(t)\,dt,\ \ i=1,2,\dots,n, \tag{4.1}$$

where $u\in D(L_K),\ \ \sigma_j^{(n)}\in L^2(0,1),\ j=1,2,\dots,n.$ The boundary condition (4.1) is regular in Shkalikov sense (see [8]). Then, by virtue of [8], the operator L_K has a

system of root vectors forming a Riesz basis with brackets in $L^2(0,1)$. Thereby the operator A_K, being similar to the operator L_K, also has a basis with brackets property. The eigenvalues of these operators coincide. If $\{u_k\}_1^\infty$ are eigenfunctions of the operator L_K, then the eigenfunctions v_k of the operator A_K are related to them by the relations

$$u_k = (I+KL)v_k = v_k + (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(x) \int_0^1 v_k(t)\overline{\sigma}_i^{(n)}(t)\,dt, \quad k = 1,2,\dots,n.$$

If, in particular, we take

$$\sigma_i^{(n)}(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x-x_i), \quad 0 < x_i < 1, \quad i = 1,2,\dots,n,$$

then we get

$$F_i(v) = -2v^{(n-1)}(x_i), \quad i = 1,2,\dots,n.$$

By Corollary 3.1, Theorem 2.1, and [9, p. 928], we can assert that the system of root vectors of the adjoint operator

$$A_K^* v = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n}\left[v(x) - (-1)^n \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \overline{\beta}_{ij}\sigma_j^{(n)}(x) \int_0^1 v(t)w_i(t)\,dt\right],$$

on

$$D(A_K^*) = \left\{v \in L^2(0,1) :\ v(x) - (-1)^n \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \overline{\beta}_{ij}\sigma_j^{(n)}(x) \int_0^1 v(t)w_i(t)\,dt \in D(L)\right\},$$

form a Riesz basis with brackets in $L^2(0,1)$.

5 Example in case $n = 2$

If the maximal operator $\widehat{L}$ acts as

$$\widehat{L}y = -y''$$

on the domain $D(\widehat{L}) = W_2^2(0,1)$, then the minimal operator L_0 is the restriction of $\widehat{L}$ on $D(L_0) = \mathring{W}_2^2(0,1)$. As a fixed operator L we take the restriction of $\widehat{L}$ on

$$D(L) = \big\{y \in W_2^2(0,1) :\ y(0) = y(1) = 0\big\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_K^{-1} f = L^{-1} f + Kf = &- \int_0^x (x-t)f(t)\,dt + x\int_0^1 (1-t)f(t)\,dt \\ &+ (1-x)\int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma}_1(t)\,dt + x\int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma}_2(t)\,dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$Kf = (1-x)\int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma}_1(t)\,dt + x\int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma}_2(t)\,dt.$$

KL is bounded in $L^2(0,1)$, if $R(K^*) \subset D(L^*) = D(L)$, that is,

$$\sigma_1,\, \sigma_2 \in D(L) = \big\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in W_2^2(0,1) :\, \sigma_1(0) = \sigma_1(1) = \sigma_2(0) = \sigma_2(1) = 0\big\},$$

and has the form

$$KLy = -(1-x)\int_0^1 y(t)\overline{\sigma}_1''(t)\,dt - x\int_0^1 y(t)\overline{\sigma}_2''(t)\,dt.$$

The operator KL_K is also bounded in $L^2(0,1)$ and

$$KL_K u = -\frac{1-x}{\Delta}\Big[\big(1-\overline{\sigma}_2'(1)\big)\int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_1''(t)\,dt + \overline{\sigma}_1'(1)\int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_2''(t)\,dt\Big]$$
$$+\frac{x}{\Delta}\Big[\big(1+\overline{\sigma}_1'(0)\big)\int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_2''(t)\,dt - \overline{\sigma}_2'(0)\int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_1''(t)\,dt\Big],$$

where

$$\Delta = \big(1+\overline{\sigma}_1'(0)\big)\big(1-\overline{\sigma}_2'(1)\big) + \overline{\sigma}_2'(0)\,\overline{\sigma}_1'(1).$$

Then the operator A_K has the form

$$A_K v = -v'' - \frac{1}{\Delta}\Big[\big((1-x)(1-\overline{\sigma}_2'(1)) - x\overline{\sigma}_2'(0)\big)\int_0^1 v''(t)\overline{\sigma}_1''(t)\,dt$$
$$+\big((1-x)\overline{\sigma}_1'(1) + x(1+\overline{\sigma}_1'(0))\big)\int_0^1 v''(t)\overline{\sigma}_2''(t)\,dt\Big],$$

on

$$D(A_K) = D(L) = \big\{v \in W_2^2(0,1) :\, v(0) = v(1) = 0\big\},$$

where $\sigma_1'',\, \sigma_2'' \in L^2(0,1)$.

We rewrite the operator A_K in the form

$$A_K v = -v'' + a(x)F_1(v) + b(x)F_2(v), \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$a(x) = -\frac{1}{\Delta}\big((1-x)(1-\overline{\sigma}_2'(1)) - x\overline{\sigma}_2'(0)\big),\quad F_1(v) = \int_0^1 v''(t)\overline{\sigma}_1''(t)\,dt,$$
$$b(x) = -\frac{1}{\Delta}\big((1-x)\overline{\sigma}_1'(1) + x(1+\overline{\sigma}_1'(0)),\quad F_2(v) = \big)\int_0^1 v''(t)\overline{\sigma}_2''(t)\,dt.$$

Note that $F_1, F_2 \in W_2^{-2}(0,1)$ in the sense of Lions-Margins (see [7]).

Further, we see that the operator L_K acts as $\widehat{L}$ on the domain

$$D(L_K) = \Big\{u \in W_2^2(0,1) :$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1+\overline{\sigma}_1'(0) & 0 & -\overline{\sigma}_1'(1) & 0 \\ \overline{\sigma}_2'(0) & 0 & 1-\overline{\sigma}_2'(1) & 0 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} u(0) \\ u'(0) \\ u(1) \\ u'(1) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} -\int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_1''(t)\,dt \\ -\int_0^1 u(t)\overline{\sigma}_2''(t)\,dt \end{array}\right)\Bigg\},$$

and

$$J_{13} = \big(1+\overline{\sigma}_1'(0)\big)\big(1-\overline{\sigma}_2'(1)\big) + \overline{\sigma}_2'(0)\,\overline{\sigma}_1'(1) = \Delta \neq 0,$$

since $R(K^*) \subset D(L^*)$ and $\overline{D(L_K)} = L^2(0,1)$. Then the left hand of this boundary condition is non-degenerate according to Marchenko [10], hence regularly according to Birkhoff (see [8]). By virtue of Theorem (see [8, p. 15]), the system of root vectors of the operator L_K form a Riesz basis with brackets in $L^2(0,1)$. Thus, by virtue of Theorem (1.1) the system of root vectors of A_K also form a Riesz basis with brackets and the eigenvalues of L_K and A_K coincide, and the eigenfunctions are related to each other as follows

$$u_k = v_k - (1-x)\int_0^1 v_k(t)\overline{\sigma}_1''(t)\,dt - x\int_0^1 v_k(t)\overline{\sigma}_2''(t)\,dt, \;\; k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If in the particular case we take

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\sigma}_1''(x) &= \operatorname{sign}(x-x_1) - \operatorname{sign}(x-x_2), \\ \overline{\sigma}_2''(x) &= x\big[\operatorname{sign}(x-x_1) - \operatorname{sign}(x-x_2)\big], \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $0 < x_1 < x_2 < 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(v) &= 2v'(x_2) - 2v'(x_1), \\ F_2(v) &= 2x_2v'(x_2) - 2x_1v'(x_1) - 2v(x_2) + 2v(x_1), \end{aligned}$$

in (5.1).

In the case $n = 2$, by Corollary 3.1, Theorem 2.1, and [9, p. 928], we can assert that the system of root vectors of the operator

$$A_K^* v = (-1)^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\Big[v(x) - c(x)\int_0^1 (1-t)v(t)\,dt - d(x)\int_0^1 tv(t)\,dt\Big],$$

on

$$D(A_K^*) = \Big\{v \in L^2(0,1):\; v(x) - c(x)\int_0^1 (1-t)v(t)\,dt - d(x)\int_0^1 tv(t)\,dt \in D(L)\Big\},$$

form a Riesz basis with brackets in $L^2(0,1)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} c(x) &= -\frac{1}{\Delta}\Big[\big(1-\sigma_2'(1)\big)\sigma_1''(x) + \sigma_1'(1)\sigma_2''(x)\Big], \\ d(x) &= \frac{1}{\Delta}\Big[\big(1+\sigma_1'(0)\big)\sigma_2''(x) - \sigma_2'(0)\sigma_1''(x)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sigma_1'', \sigma_2'' \in L^2(0,1), \quad D(L) = \big\{ y \in W_2^2(0,1) : \, y(0) = y(1) = 0 \big\}.$$

For clarity, we consider the special case (5.2), then we have

$$c(x) = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(x - x_1) - \operatorname{sign}(x - x_2)}{\Delta} \Big[1 + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3} - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} x \Big],$$

$$d(x) = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(x - x_1) - \operatorname{sign}(x - x_2)}{\Delta} \Big[\big(1 + x_2 - x_1 - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2}\big) x - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3} \Big].$$

The domain of A_K^* will have the form

$$D(A_K^*) = \Big\{ v \in L^2(0,1) \cap W_2^2(0,x_1) \cap W_2^2(x_1,x_2) \cap W_2^2(x_2,1) : \, v(0) = v(1) = 0,$$

$$v(x_1 - 0) - v(x_1 + 0) = -c(x_1 + 0) \int_0^1 (1-t) v(t)\, dt - d(x_1 + 0) \int_0^1 t v(t)\, dt,$$

$$v(x_2 + 0) - v(x_2 - 0) = -c(x_2 - 0) \int_0^1 (1-t) v(t)\, dt - d(x_2 - 0) \int_0^1 t v(t)\, dt,$$

$$v'(x_1 - 0) - v'(x_1 + 0) = -c'(x_1 + 0) \int_0^1 (1-t) v(t)\, dt - d'(x_1 + 0) \int_0^1 t v(t)\, dt,$$

$$v'(x_2 + 0) - v'(x_2 - 0) = -c'(x_2 - 0) \int_0^1 (1-t) v(t)\, dt - d'(x_2 - 0) \int_0^1 t v(t)\, dt \Big\},$$

where

$$c(x_1 + 0) = \frac{2}{\Delta} \Big(1 + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3} - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} x_1 \Big),$$

$$d(x_1 + 0) = -\frac{2}{\Delta} \Big(\big(1 + x_2 - x_1 - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2}\big) x_1 - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3} \Big),$$

$$c(x_2 - 0) = \frac{2}{\Delta} \Big(1 + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3} - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} x_2 \Big),$$

$$d(x_2 - 0) = -\frac{2}{\Delta} \Big(\big(1 + x_2 - x_1 - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2}\big) x_2 - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3} \Big),$$

$$c'(x_1 + 0) = -\frac{1}{\Delta} \big(x_2^2 - x_1^2 \big),$$

$$d'(x_1 + 0) = \frac{2}{\Delta} \Big(1 + x_1 - x_2 + \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} \Big),$$

$$c'(x_2 - 0) = c'(x_1 + 0), \quad d'(x_2 - 0) = d'(x_1 + 0),$$

$$\Delta = 1 + x_2 - x_1 - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3} + \frac{x_2 - x_1}{12} \big((x_2 - x_1)^3 + 6 x_1 x_2 \big) \neq 0,$$

since $x_1, x_2 \in (0,1)$.

And the operator A_K^* acts as follows

$$A_K^* v = -v''(x) + c''(x) \int_0^1 (1-t) v(t)\, dt + d''(x) \int_0^1 t v(t)\, dt,$$

where

$$c''(x) = \frac{2}{\Delta}\left[1 + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3} + \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2}(x_2 - x_1)\right]\big(\delta'(x - x_1) - \delta'(x - x_2)\big)$$
$$- \frac{1}{\Delta}\big(x_2^2 - x_1^2\big)\big(\delta(x - x_1) - \delta(x - x_2)\big),$$

$$d''(x) = \frac{2}{\Delta}\left[\Big(1 + x_2 - x_1 - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2}\Big)(x_1 - x_2) - \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2} + \frac{x_2^3 - x_1^3}{3}\right]$$
$$\times \big(\delta'(x - x_1) - \delta'(x - x_2)\big)$$
$$- \frac{1}{\Delta}\Big(1 + x_2 - x_1 + \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2}\Big)\big(\delta(x - x_1) - \delta(x - x_2)\big),$$

here δ is the Dirac delta function.

References

[1] B.K. Kokebaev, M. Otelbaev, A.N. Shynibekov, *About expansions and restrictions of operators in Banach space.* Uspekhi Matem. Nauk **37** (1982), no. 4, 116–123 (in Russian). Google Scholar

[2] M.I. Vishik, *On general boundary problems for elliptic differential equations.* Tr. Mosk. Matem. Obs. 1 (1952), 187–246 (in Russian). English transl.: Am. Math. Soc., Transl., II, 24 (1963), 107–172. Google Scholar

[3] B.N. Biyarov, G.K. Abdrasheva, *Relatively bounded perturbations of correct restrictions and extensions of linear operators.* In: T.Sh. Kalmenov et al. (eds.) Functional Analysis in Interdisciplinary Applications. FAIA 2017. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol. 216, 213-221, Springer (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67053-9_20

[4] B.N. Biyarov, G.K. Abdrasheva, *Bounded perturbations of the correct restrictions and extensions.* AIP Conf. Proc. 1759, (020116). (2016). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4959730

[5] I.C. Gohberg, M.G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators in Hilbert space.* Nauka, Moscow, 1965; Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1969. MR 0246142

[6] P. Fillmore, J. Williams, *On operator ranges.* Advances in Math. **7**, 3 (1971), 254–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708(71)80006-3

[7] J.L. Lions, E. Magenes *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications I.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-65161-8

[8] A.A. Shkalikov, *Basis property of eigenfunctions of ordinary differential operators with integral boundary conditions*. Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh., (1982), no. 6, 12–21. MR 685257 Zbl 0565.34020

[9] A.A. Shkalikov, *Perturbations of self-adjoint and normal operators with discrete spectrum*. Russ. Math. Surv. **71** (2016), no. 5, 907–964. MR 3588930 Zbl 06691825 https://doi.org/10.1070/RM9740

[10] V.A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville operators and their applications*. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1977 (in Russian). MR 0481179

Bazarkan Nuroldinovich Biyarov,
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics
L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
13 Munaitpasov St,
010008 Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan
E-mail: bbiyarov@gmail.com