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#### Abstract

Given a simple undirected graph $G$, an orientation of $G$ is to assign every edge of $G$ a direction. Borradaile et al gave a greedy algorithm SC-Path-Reversal (in polynomial time) which finds a strongly connected orientation that minimizes the maximum indegree, and conjectured that SC-Path-Reversal is indeed optimal for the "minimizing the lexicographic order" objective as well. In this note, we give a positive answer to the conjecture, that is we show that the algorithm SC-PATH-REVERSAL finds a strongly connected orientation that minimizes the lexicographic order of indegrees.


## 1 Introduction

Graph orientation has long been studied and is a rich field under different conditions. In this note we mainly concern about the strongly-connected orientation with minimum lexicographic order. This objective arises from a telecommunication network design problem [2, 4]. Let $G=(V, E)$ be an undirected simple graph. An orientation $\Lambda$ of $G$ is an assignment to each edge a direction. By a strongly-connected orientation, we mean the digraph that we obtain is strongly-connected. In a digraph $D=(V, \Lambda)$, the indegree of a vertex $v$ is the number of arcs that are directed to $v$, denoted by $d_{\Lambda}^{-}(v)$. The indegree sequence of a digraph (or an orientation) is defined as a non-increasing sequence of the indegrees of all the vertices, that is, we place the indegrees of vertices in a non-increasing order. To compare distinct indegree sequences of two orientations of an undirected graph, we apply the lexicographic order, i.e. let $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ and $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ be the

[^0]indegree sequences of two distinct orientations of an undirected graph, respectively, we say $s$ is smaller than $t$ if there exists an integer $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $s_{k}<t_{k}$ and $s_{i}=t_{i}$ for all $i<k$, and vise versa.

Let $D=(V, \Lambda)$ be a digraph and $u, v \in V$, we say $u$ two-reaches to $v$ (or $v$ is tworeachable from $u$ ) if there are two arc-disjoint directed paths from $u$ to $v$ in $D$. A directed path from $u$ to $v$ is called reversible if $d^{-}(u)<d^{-}(v)-1$, and is called strongly reversible if $d^{-}(u)<d^{-}(v)-1$ and $u$ two-reaches $v$ in $D$.

The following greedy algorithm was first given by de Fraysseix and de Mendez [3]. It has

```
Algorithm 1.1: PATH-REVERSAL
    Input: Undirected simple graph G
    Output: Orientation \Lambda
    1 Arbitrarily orient every edge of G.
    2 While there is a reversible path, reverse it.
    Repeat step 2.
```

been shown that the algorithm finds an orientation that minimizes the maximum indegree, which is proved by Venkateswaran [4, Asahiro et al. [1, and de Fraysseix and de Mendez [3), respectively. In fact, Path-Reversal can do something more, Borradaile et al. [2] showed that Path-Reversal indeed finds an orientation that minimizes the lexicographic order of the indegrees.

Lemma 1 (Lemma 2 in [2]). Reversing a directed path from $u$ to $v$ maintains the strong connectivity if and only if $u$ two-reaches $v$. Particularly, $v$ two-reaches $v$ itself.

By Lemma [1 we know that reversing a strongly reversible direct path remains the resulting digraph strongly-connected. Based on PATH-REVERSAL, Borradaile et al. [2] gave a modified version of it as shown in the following.

```
Algorithm 1.2: SC-PATH-REVERSAL
    Input: Undirected simple graph \(G\) admitting a strongly connected orientation
    Output: Orientation \(\Lambda\)
    1 Find an arbitrary strongly-connected orientation.
    2 If there exists a strongly reversible path, reverse it.
    Repeat step 2.
```

Borradaile et al [2] showed that the algorithm SC-Path-Reversal finds (in polynomial time) a strongly connected orientation that minimizes the maximum indegree, and conjectured that SC-Path-Reversal is indeed optimal for the the "minimizing the lexicographic order" objective as well.

Conjecture 2 (Borradaile et al [2]). The algorithm SC-Path-Reversal finds a strongly connected orientation that minimizes the lexicographic order of indegrees.

In this note, we give a positive answer to Conjecture 2. The following is our main theorem.

Theorem 3. The algorithm SC-PATH-REVERSAL finds an orientation that minimizes the lexicographic order of the indegrees.

In the rest of the note, we give the proof of Theorem 3.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 3

Before proving that the orientation output has the minimum lexicographic order, we introduce two lemmas given in [2].

Lemma 4 (Lemma 2 in [2]). In a digraph, let $s$ and $t$ be two vertices (can be identical) that 2-reach a vertex $v$. If there exists a vertex $u$ such that one $u \rightarrow s$ and one $u \rightarrow t$ paths are arc-disjoint, then $u$ two-reaches $v$.

The following lemma is a variable version of Lemma 4 in [2], the proof is the same as the one of that lemma, so we omit it here.

Lemma 5. Let $v$ be a vertex in a strongly connected digraph $D=(V, \Lambda)$ and let $U$ be the set of vertices that 2-reach $v$. Then for any component $C$ of $D[V \backslash U]$, there is exactly one arc from $C$ to $U$.

Remark 1. By a component we mean a connected component instead of a strongly-connected component.

Now we are ready to give the proof of the main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 图 Let $G=(V, E)$ be the underlying graph. Let $D_{p r}=\left(V, \Lambda_{p r}\right)$ be the orientation founded by the SC-PATH-REVERSAL, and let $D_{\text {lex }}=\left(V, \Lambda_{\text {lex }}\right)$ be a strongly connected orientation that minimizes the lexicographic order of indegrees among all strongly connected orientations of $G$. Write $d_{p r}^{-}(v)$ and $d_{l e x}^{-}(v)$ for the indegree of $v$ in $D_{p r}$ and $D_{l e x}$, respectively. Define

$$
\Delta:=\sum_{v \in V}\left|d_{l e x}^{-}(v)-d_{p r}^{-}(v)\right|
$$

and

$$
S:=\left\{v \mid d_{l e x}^{-}(v) \neq d_{p r}^{-}(v)\right\} .
$$

Choose $\Lambda_{\text {lex }}$ such that it minimizes $\Delta$. If $S=\emptyset$, then $\Lambda_{p r}$ is a strongly-connected orientation having the same lexicographic order of indegree as $\Lambda_{\text {lex }}$, we are done. So assume $S \neq \emptyset$. Denote $M_{1}=\max \left\{d_{l e x}^{-}(v) \mid v \in S\right\}$ and $S_{1}=\left\{u \in S \mid d_{l e x}^{-}(u)=M_{1}\right\}$. Denote $M_{2}=$ $\max \left\{d_{p r}^{-}(u) \mid u \in S_{1}\right\}$. Choose $v \in S_{1}$ such that $d_{p r}^{-}(v)=M_{2}$.

Case 1: $d_{l e x}^{-}(v)>d_{p r}^{-}(v)$.
Let $U$ be the set of vertices that two-reach $v$ in $D_{\text {lex }}$. By Lemma there is exactly one arc from each component of $G[V \backslash U]$ to $U$. Thus, on the one hand,

$$
\sum_{u \in U} d_{l e x}^{-}(u)=|E(G[U])|+c(G[V \backslash U]),
$$

where $c(G)$ denotes the number of components of a graph $G$.
While, on the other hand, since $D_{p r}$ is strongly connected, there is at least one arc from each component of $G[V \backslash U]$ to $U$. Thus

$$
\sum_{u \in U} d_{p r}^{-}(u) \geq|E(G[U])|+c(G[V \backslash U])
$$

So we get $\sum_{u \in U} d_{l e x}^{-}(u) \leq \sum_{u \in U} d_{p r}^{-}(u)$. Since $d_{l e x}^{-}(v)>d_{p r}^{-}(v)$, there exists a vertex $w \in U$ such that $d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(w)<d_{p r}^{-}(w)$. Clearly, $w \in S$. By the choice of $v$, we have $d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(w) \leq d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(v)$. We claim that $d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(w)<d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(v)$. If not, $w \in S_{1}$. But $d_{p r}^{-}(w)>d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(w)=d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(v)>d_{p r}^{-}(v)$, a contradiction to the choice of $v$. If $d_{l e x}^{-}(w)<d_{l e x}^{-}(v)-1$, then reversing a directed path from $w$ to $v$ remains strong connectivity by Lemma [1 but the resulting orientation has a smaller lexicographic order of indegree, a contradiction to the choice of $\Lambda_{\text {lex }}$. Thus $d_{l e x}^{-}(w)=d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(v)-1$. Now, reversing a directed path from $w$ to $v$ in $D_{\text {lex }}$, we get another orientation with minimum lexicographic order, and however, with $d_{l e x}^{-}(v)>d_{p r}^{-}(v)$ and $d_{l e x}^{-}(w)<d_{p r}^{-}(w)$ before the reverse, we get a smaller $\Delta$, which contradicts to the choice of $\Lambda_{\text {lex }}$, too.

Case 2: $d_{l e x}^{-}(v)<d_{p r}^{-}(v)$.
Let $U$ be the set of vertices that 2-reach $v$ in $D_{p r}$. With a similar discussion as in Case 1 , we get $\sum_{u \in U} d_{l e x}^{-}(u) \geq \sum_{u \in U} d_{p r}^{-}(u)$. Since $d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(v)<d_{p r}^{-}(v)$, there exists a vertex $w \in U$ such that $d_{l e x}^{-}(w)>d_{p r}^{-}(w)$. Then we have

$$
d_{p r}^{-}(v)>d_{\text {lev }}^{-}(v) \geq d_{\text {lex }}^{-}(w)>d_{p r}^{-}(w) .
$$

This implies that $d_{p r}^{-}(w)<d_{p r}^{-}(v)-1$. So there is a strongly reversible directed path in $D_{p r}$, which contradicts to the property $D_{p r}$ has no strongly reversible directed path.

We conclude that $S=\emptyset$. Therefore the orientation $\Lambda_{p r}$ found by the SC-PATHREVERSAL algorithm has the minimum lexicographic order of indegree.
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## A Proof of Lemma 5

Let $C$ be a component of $G[V \backslash U]$. Note that there is no arc between $C$ and the other components of $G[V \backslash U]$. Since $D$ is strongly connected, there is at least one arc from $C$ to $U$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ be the tails of the arcs from $C$ to $U$ and let $W_{k}$ be the set of vertices in $C$ that reach $v_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, p$. Note that there is exactly one arc from $v_{i}$ to $U$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$, otherwise $v_{i} 2$-reaches $v$ by Lemma 4, which is a contradiction to the choice of $U$. So in the following it is sufficient to show that $p=1$. Denote the head vertex of the arc from $v_{i}$ to $U$ by $u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p$. We first claim that $W_{i} \cap W_{j} \neq \emptyset$ for any pair of different $W_{i}$ and $W_{j}$. If not, suppose there is $x \in W_{i} \cap W_{j}$, then there exists a directed path $P_{i}$ from $x$ to $v_{i}$ and a directed path $P_{j}$ from $x$ to $v_{j}$ in $C$. Let $y$ be the last common vertex in $V\left(P_{i}\right) \cap V\left(P_{j}\right)$ along the direction of $P_{i}$. Then $y \in W_{i} \cap W_{j}$ and there are two arc-disjoint directed paths from $y$ to $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ in $C$ and hence from $y$ to $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ in $G$, respectively. By Lemma 4, $y$ 2-reaches $v$, a contradiction to $y \notin U$. Thus $W_{i} \cap W_{j}=\emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$. The claim also implies that there is no arc between $W_{i}$ and $W_{j}$. However, $C$ is connected (not necessarily strongly connected). This forces that $p=1$. The proof is complete.
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