

THE DIVISORS OF PRYM SEMICANONICAL PENCILS

CARLOS MAESTRO PÉREZ AND ANDRÉS ROJAS

ABSTRACT. In the moduli space \mathcal{R}_g of double étale covers of curves of a fixed genus g , the locus of covers of curves with a semicanonical pencil decomposes as the union of two divisors \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o . Adapting arguments of Teixidor for the divisor of curves having a semicanonical pencil, we prove that both divisors are irreducible and compute their cohomology classes in the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be a double étale cover between smooth curves of genus $g = g(C)$ and $\tilde{g} = g(\tilde{C}) = 2g - 1$, and denote by (P, Ξ) its (principally polarized) Prym variety.

In his fundamental work [12], Mumford classified the singularities of the theta divisor Ξ . More precisely, in the canonical presentation (P^{can}, Ξ^{can}) of the Prym variety in $\text{Pic}^{2g-2}(\tilde{C}) = \text{Pic}^{\tilde{g}-1}(\tilde{C})$, one can find two types of singularities of the theta divisor: the *stable* ones ($M \in \Xi^{can}$ with $h^0(\tilde{C}, M) \geq 4$), and the *exceptional* ones ($M = \pi^*L \otimes A \in \Xi^{can}$ such that $h^0(C, L) \geq 2$ and $h^0(\tilde{C}, A) > 0$).

Let L be a semicanonical pencil on C , that is, an even theta-characteristic with $h^0(C, L) \geq 2$ (in the literature, this is also frequently referred to as a *vanishing theta-null*). If $h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*L)$ is furthermore even, then $M = \pi^*L \in \Xi^{can}$ is an example of exceptional singularity. In that case, L is called an *even semicanonical pencil* for the cover π , and the Prym variety (P, Ξ) belongs to the divisor $\theta_{null} \subset \mathcal{A}_{g-1}$ of principally polarized abelian varieties whose theta divisor contains a 2-torsion point.

In the paper [1], Beauville showed that the Andreotti-Mayer locus

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \{(A, \Xi) \in \mathcal{A}_4 \mid \text{Sing}(\Xi) \text{ is non-empty}\}$$

in \mathcal{A}_4 is the union of two irreducible divisors: the (closure of the) Jacobian locus \mathcal{J}_4 and θ_{null} . An essential tool for the proof is the extension of the Prym map $\mathcal{P}_g : \mathcal{R}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g-1}$ to a proper map $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_g : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g-1}$, by considering admissible covers instead of only smooth covers. In the case $g = 5$, this guarantees that every 4-dimensional principally polarized abelian variety is a Prym variety (i.e. the dominant map \mathcal{P}_5 is replaced by the surjective map $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_5$).

Then, one of the key points in Beauville's work is an identification of the coverings with Prym variety in θ_{null} . The results in [1, Section 7] together with [1, Theorem 4.10] show that

$$\mathcal{T}^e = (\text{closure in } \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_5 \text{ of}) \left\{ [\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C] \in \mathcal{R}_5 \mid \text{the cover } \pi \text{ has an even semicanonical pencil} \right\}$$

C. M. P. was supported by a German DFG/BMS Phase II scholarship granted through the Humboldt-Universität. A. R. was supported by the Spanish MINECO grants MDM-2014-0445, RYC-2015-19175 and PID2019-104047GB-I00.

is irreducible and equals $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_5^{-1}(\theta_{null})$. Indeed, the irreducibility of θ_{null} is obtained from the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}^e , whose proof starts by noticing that

$$\mathcal{T} = \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_5 \mid C \text{ has a semicanonical pencil}\}$$

is an irreducible divisor of \mathcal{M}_5 .

Now, we consider the following situation: for a fixed genus $g \geq 3$, let

$$\mathcal{T}_g = \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g \mid C \text{ has a semicanonical pencil}\}$$

be the divisorial component of the locus of curves admitting a theta-characteristic of positive (projective) dimension. The general element of \mathcal{T}_g has a unique such theta-characteristic (which is a semicanonical pencil L with $h^0(C, L) = 2$), and the pullback of \mathcal{T}_g to \mathcal{R}_g decomposes as a union $\mathcal{T}_g^e \cup \mathcal{T}_g^o$ according to the parity of $h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*L)$. In other words, the general element of \mathcal{T}_g^e (resp. \mathcal{T}_g^o) is a cover with an even semicanonical pencil (resp. an odd semicanonical pencil).

In view of Beauville's work, it is natural to ask whether \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o are irreducible divisors, and to ask about the behaviour of the restricted Prym maps $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_g|_{\mathcal{T}_g^e}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_g|_{\mathcal{T}_g^o}$.

This paper exclusively deals with the first question, and studies the divisors \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o of even and odd semicanonical pencils. Aside from its independent interest, it provides tools for attacking the second question; a study of the restricted Prym maps $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_g|_{\mathcal{T}_g^e}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_g|_{\mathcal{T}_g^o}$ will appear in the forthcoming paper [9].

Coming back to the first question, the divisor $\mathcal{T}_g \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ was studied by Teixidor in [16]. Using the theory of limit linear series on curves of compact type developed by Eisenbud and Harris in [3], Teixidor proved the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_g and computed the cohomology class of its closure in the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. Following closely this approach, we obtain natural analogues of these results for the two divisors of Prym semicanonical pencils:

Theorem A. *Let $[\mathcal{T}_g^e], [\mathcal{T}_g^o] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the cohomology classes of (the closures of) \mathcal{T}_g^e , \mathcal{T}_g^o in the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$. Then, the following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{T}_g^e] &= a\lambda - b'_0\delta'_0 - b''_0\delta''_0 - b_0^{ram}\delta_0^{ram} - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} (b_i\delta_i + b_{g-i}\delta_{g-i} + b_{i:g-i}\delta_{i:g-i}), \\ [\mathcal{T}_g^o] &= c\lambda - d'_0\delta'_0 - d''_0\delta''_0 - d_0^{ram}\delta_0^{ram} - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} (d_i\delta_i + d_{g-i}\delta_{g-i} + d_{i:g-i}\delta_{i:g-i}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2^{g-3}(2^{g-1} + 1), & c &= 2^{2g-4}, \\ b'_0 &= 2^{2g-7}, & d'_0 &= 2^{2g-7}, \\ b''_0 &= 0, & d''_0 &= 2^{2g-6}, \\ b_0^{ram} &= 2^{g-5}(2^{g-1} + 1), & d_0^{ram} &= 2^{g-5}(2^{g-1} - 1), \\ b_i &= 2^{g-3}(2^{g-i} - 1)(2^{i-1} - 1), & d_i &= 2^{g+i-4}(2^{g-i} - 1), \\ b_{g-i} &= 2^{g-3}(2^{g-i-1} - 1)(2^i - 1), & d_{g-i} &= 2^{2g-i-4}(2^i - 1), \\ b_{i:g-i} &= 2^{g-3}(2^{g-1} - 2^{i-1} - 2^{g-i-1} + 1), & d_{i:g-i} &= 2^{g-3}(2^{g-1} - 2^{g-i-1} - 2^{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem B. *For every $g \neq 4$ the divisors \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o are irreducible.*

The method of proof explained in [section 4](#) essentially works for $g \geq 5$, whereas for $g = 3$ it is immediately checked in terms of hyperelliptic curves ([Example 2.1](#)). In the case $g = 4$, the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_4^e and \mathcal{T}_4^o will be obtained in the paper [\[9\]](#) as a consequence of the study of the restricted Prym maps $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_4|_{\mathcal{T}_4^e}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_4|_{\mathcal{T}_4^o}$.

Acknowledgements. The authors developed parts of this work independently as part of their doctoral research, and they would like to thank their respective advisors, Gavril Farkas, Martí Lahoz and Joan Carles Naranjo for their help and guidance. Thanks are also due to Alessandro Verra for suggesting the computation of cohomology classes as a tool for the study of the Prym map on these divisors.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$. This part is a brief review of the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ and its boundary divisors. We follow the presentation of [\[5, Section 1\]](#); the reader is referred to it for further details.

Let \mathcal{M}_g be the moduli space of smooth curves of genus g , and let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ be its Deligne-Mumford compactification by stable curves. Following the standard notations, we denote by Δ_i ($i = 0, \dots, \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$) the irreducible divisors forming the boundary $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \setminus \mathcal{M}_g$. The general point of Δ_0 is an irreducible curve with a single node, whereas the general point of Δ_i (for $i \geq 1$) is the union of two smooth curves of genus i and $g - i$, intersecting transversely at a point.

The cohomology classes δ_i of the divisors Δ_i , together with the Hodge class λ , are well known to form a basis of the rational Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

We denote by \mathcal{R}_g the moduli space of double étale covers of smooth curves of genus g . In other words, \mathcal{R}_g parametrizes isomorphism classes of pairs (C, η) , where C is smooth of genus g and $\eta \in JC_2 \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_C\}$. It comes with a natural forgetful map $\pi : \mathcal{R}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ which is étale of degree $2^{2g} - 1$. Then, the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ is obtained as the normalization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ in the function field of \mathcal{R}_g . This gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_g & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_g & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ is normal and the morphism $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ (that we will denote by π as well) is finite.

Beauville's partial compactification $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_g$ by admissible covers admits a natural inclusion into $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$. The variety $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ parametrizes isomorphism classes of *Prym curves* of genus g , that is, isomorphism classes of triples (X, η, β) where:

- X is a quasi-stable curve of genus g , i.e. X is semistable and any two of its exceptional components are disjoint¹.
- $\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ is a line bundle of total degree 0, such that $\eta|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)$ for every exceptional component $E \subset X$.
- $\beta : \eta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is generically nonzero over each non-exceptional component of X .

In case that β is clear from the context, by abuse of notation the Prym curve (X, η, β) will be often denoted simply by (X, η) .

Then the morphism $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ sends (the class of) (X, η, β) to (the class of) the *stable model* $\text{st}(X)$, obtained by contraction of the exceptional components of X .

Using pullbacks of the boundary divisors of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, the boundary $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g \setminus \mathcal{R}_g$ admits the following description (see [5, Examples 1.3 and 1.4]):

- (1) Let (X, η, β) be a Prym curve, such that $\text{st}(X)$ is the union of two smooth curves C_i and C_{g-i} (of respective genus i and $g-i$) intersecting transversely at a point P . In such a case $X = \text{st}(X)$, and giving a 2-torsion line bundle $\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(X)_2$ is the same as giving a nontrivial pair $(\eta_i, \eta_{g-i}) \in (JC_i)_2 \times (JC_{g-i})_2$.

Then the preimage $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_i)$ decomposes as the union of three irreducible divisors (denoted by Δ_i , Δ_{g-i} and $\Delta_{i:g-i}$), which are distinguished by the behaviour of the 2-torsion bundle. More concretely, their general point is a Prym curve (X, η) , where $X = C_i \cup_P C_{g-i}$ is a reducible curve as above and the pair $\eta = (\eta_i, \eta_{g-i})$ satisfies:

- $\eta_{g-i} = \mathcal{O}_{C_{g-i}}$, in the case of Δ_i .
- $\eta_i = \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$, in the case of Δ_{g-i} .
- $\eta_i \neq \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ and $\eta_{g-i} \neq \mathcal{O}_{C_{g-i}}$, in the case of $\Delta_{i:g-i}$.

- (2) Let (X, η, β) be a Prym curve, such that $\text{st}(X)$ is the irreducible nodal curve obtained by identification of two points p, q on a smooth curve C of genus $g-1$.

If $X = \text{st}(X)$ and $\nu : C \rightarrow X$ denotes the normalization, then $\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(X)_2$ is determined by the choice of $\eta_C = \nu^*(\eta) \in JC_2$ and an identification of the fibers $\eta_C(p)$ and $\eta_C(q)$.

- If $\eta_C = \mathcal{O}_C$, there is only one possible identification of $\mathcal{O}_C(p)$ and $\mathcal{O}_C(q)$ (namely identification by -1) giving a nontrivial $\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(X)_2$. The corresponding element (X, η) may be regarded as a Wirtinger cover of X .
- If $\eta_C \neq \mathcal{O}_C$, for each of the $2^{2g-2} - 1$ choices of η_C there are two possible identifications of $\mathcal{O}_C(p)$ and $\mathcal{O}_C(q)$. The $2(2^{2g-2} - 1)$ corresponding Prym curves (X, η) are non-admissible covers of X .

If $X \neq \text{st}(X)$, then X is the union of C with an exceptional component E through the points p and q . The line bundle $\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ must satisfy $\eta|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)$ and $\eta|_C^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_C(-p-q)$, which gives 2^{2g-2} possibilities. The corresponding Prym curves (X, η) give Beauville admissible covers of $\text{st}(X)$.

It follows that $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_0) = \Delta'_0 \cup \Delta''_0 \cup \Delta_0^{\text{ram}}$, where Δ'_0 (resp. Δ''_0 , resp. Δ_0^{ram}) is an irreducible divisor whose general point is a non-admissible (resp. Wirtinger, resp. Beauville admissible) cover. Moreover, Δ_0^{ram} is the ramification locus of π .

¹Recall that a smooth rational component $E \subset X$ is called *exceptional* if $\sharp E \cap \overline{X \setminus E} = 2$, namely if it intersects the rest of the curve in exactly two points.

In terms of cohomology classes, we have equalities

$$\pi^*(\delta_i) = \delta_i + \delta_{g-i} + \delta_{i:g-i}, \quad \pi^*(\delta_0) = \delta'_0 + \delta''_0 + 2\delta_0^{ram}$$

where of course $\delta_i, \delta_{g-i}, \delta_{i:g-i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$) and $\delta'_0, \delta''_0, \delta_0^{ram}$ are the cohomology classes of the boundary divisors of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$. These boundary classes, together with the pullback (also denoted by λ) of the Hodge class of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, form a basis of the rational Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

2.2. Divisors of Prym semicanonical pencils. If C is a smooth curve of genus g , by *semicanonical pencil* on C we mean an even, effective theta-characteristic. By *dimension* of a theta-characteristic L we mean the (projective) dimension $h^0(C, L) - 1$ of the linear system $|L|$. The locus of smooth curves admitting a semicanonical pencil is a divisor in \mathcal{M}_g , whose irreducibility was proved in [16, Theorem 2.4]. In the same paper, the cohomology class of its closure \mathcal{T}_g in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ was computed.

Since the parity of theta-characteristics remains constant in families ([13]), the pullback of \mathcal{T}_g to $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ decomposes as $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_g) = \mathcal{T}_g^e \cup \mathcal{T}_g^o$, where \mathcal{T}_g^e (resp. \mathcal{T}_g^o) is the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ of the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(C, \eta) \in \mathcal{R}_g \mid C \text{ has a semicanonical pencil } L \text{ with } h^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \text{ even}\} \\ & \text{(resp. } \{(C, \eta) \in \mathcal{R}_g \mid C \text{ has a semicanonical pencil } L \text{ with } h^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \text{ odd}\}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that both \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o have pure codimension 1 in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$, since their union is the pullback by a finite map of an irreducible divisor. Furthermore, the restriction

$$\pi|_{\mathcal{T}_g^e} : \mathcal{T}_g^e \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_g \quad \text{(resp. } \pi|_{\mathcal{T}_g^o} : \mathcal{T}_g^o \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_g)$$

is surjective and generically finite of degree $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) - 1$ (resp. of degree $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$). This follows from the fact that a general element of \mathcal{T}_g has a unique semicanonical pencil ([15, Theorem 2.16]), as well as from the number of even and odd theta-characteristics on a smooth curve.

Example 2.1. When $g = 3$ a semicanonical pencil is the same as a g_2^1 , and thus the divisor $\mathcal{T}_3 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$ equals the hyperelliptic locus \mathcal{H}_3 . Of course, the semicanonical pencil on every smooth curve $C \in \mathcal{T}_3$ is unique. The 63 non-trivial elements of $J\mathcal{C}_2$ can be represented by linear combinations of the Weierstrass points R_1, \dots, R_8 as follows:

- Those represented as a difference of two Weierstrass points, $\eta = \mathcal{O}_C(R_i - R_j)$, form a set of $\binom{8}{2} = 28$ elements. Observe that in this case the theta-characteristic $g_2^1 \otimes \eta = \mathcal{O}_C(2R_j + R_i - R_j) = \mathcal{O}_C(R_i + R_j)$ is odd.
- Those expressed as a linear combination of four distinct Weierstrass points, $\eta = \mathcal{O}_C(R_i + R_j - R_k - R_l)$, form a set of $\frac{\binom{8}{4}}{2} = 35$ elements². According to the number of odd and even theta-characteristics on a genus 3 curve, in this case $g_2^1 \otimes \eta$ is even.

²Division by 2 comes from the fact that any two complementary sets of four Weierstrass points induce the same two-torsion line bundle.

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_3^o &= (\text{closure of}) \{(C, \eta) \in \mathcal{R}_3 \mid C \text{ hyperelliptic, } \eta = \mathcal{O}_C(R_i - R_j)\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}}_3 \\ \mathcal{T}_3^e &= (\text{closure of}) \{(C, \eta) \in \mathcal{R}_3 \mid C \text{ hyperelliptic, } \eta = \mathcal{O}_C(R_i + R_j - R_k - R_l)\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}}_3\end{aligned}$$

and, since monodromy on hyperelliptic curves acts transitively on Weierstrass points, it turns out that both divisors \mathcal{T}_3^o and \mathcal{T}_3^e are irreducible.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

We denote by $[\mathcal{T}_g^e], [\mathcal{T}_g^o] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the cohomology classes in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ of the divisors \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o . This section is entirely devoted to proving [Theorem A](#).

First of all, observe that the pullback of the class $[\mathcal{T}_g] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (computed in [[16](#), Proposition 3.1]) expresses $[\mathcal{T}_g^e] + [\mathcal{T}_g^o]$ as

$$\pi^*[\mathcal{T}_g] = 2^{g-3} \left((2^g + 1)\lambda - 2^{g-3}(\delta'_0 + \delta''_0 + 2\delta_0^{ram}) - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} (2^{g-i} - 1)(2^i - 1)(\delta_i + \delta_{g-i} + \delta_{i:g-i}) \right).$$

This relation, together with the linear independence of the basic classes considered in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$, simplifies the computations: if we know a coefficient for one of the divisors, then we also know the coefficient corresponding to the same basic class for the other divisor. Keeping this in mind, the coefficients of [Theorem A](#) can be determined by essentially following three steps:

- (1) The pushforward $\pi_*[\mathcal{T}_g^e]$ easily gives the coefficient a (hence c), as well as a relation between b'_0, b''_0 and b_0^{ram} (hence between d'_0, d''_0 and d_0^{ram}).
- (2) We adapt an argument of Teixidor [[16](#)] to compute the coefficients b_i, b_{g-i} and $b_{i:g-i}$ for every $i \geq 1$: first we describe the intersection of \mathcal{T}_g^e with the boundary divisors Δ_i, Δ_{g-i} and $\Delta_{i:g-i}$, and then we intersect \mathcal{T}_g^e with certain test curves.
- (3) Finally, d'_0 and d''_0 are obtained intersecting \mathcal{T}_g^o with test curves contained inside Δ'_0 and Δ''_0 respectively. The relation obtained in (1) determines d_0^{ram} as well.

For step (1), note that on the one hand

$$\pi_*[\mathcal{T}_g^e] = \deg(\mathcal{T}_g^e \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g) \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g] = (2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) - 1)2^{g-3}((2^g + 1)\lambda - 2^{g-3}\delta_0 - \dots)$$

where \dots is an expression involving only the classes $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor}$. On the other hand

$$\pi_*[\mathcal{T}_g^e] = a\pi_*\lambda - b'_0\pi_*\delta'_0 - b''_0\pi_*\delta''_0 - b_0^{ram}\pi_*\delta_0^{ram} - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} (b_i\pi_*\delta_i + b_{g-i}\pi_*\delta_{g-i} + b_{i:g-i}\pi_*\delta_{i:g-i})$$

and, since $\pi_*\lambda = \pi_*(\pi^*\lambda) = \deg \pi \cdot \lambda$ and the divisors Δ'_0, Δ''_0 and Δ_0^{ram} of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ have respective degrees $2(2^{2g-2} - 1), 1$ and 2^{2g-2} over $\Delta_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, we obtain

$$\pi_*[\mathcal{T}_g^e] = a(2^{2g} - 1)\lambda - (2(2^{2g-2} - 1)b'_0 + b''_0 + 2^{2g-2}b_0^{ram})\delta_0 + \dots$$

where \dots again denotes a linear combination of $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor}$.

Using that $\lambda, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are linearly independent, we can compare the coefficients of λ and δ_0 . Comparison for λ yields

$$a = \frac{(2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) - 1)2^{g-3}(2^g + 1)}{2^{2g} - 1} = 2^{g-3}(2^{g-1} + 1),$$

therefore $c = 2^{2g-4}$ due to the relation $a + c = 2^{g-3}(2^g + 1)$.

Comparison for δ_0 gives

$$(2^{2g-1} - 2)b'_0 + b''_0 + 2^{2g-2}b_0^{ram} = 2^{2g-6}(2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) - 1),$$

or equivalently

$$(2^{2g-1} - 2)d'_0 + d''_0 + 2^{2g-2}d_0^{ram} = 2^{3g-7}(2^g - 1).$$

In step (2), the key point is the following description of the intersection of \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o with the preimages $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_i)$. It is nothing but an adaptation of [16, Proposition 1.2]:

Proposition 3.1. *For $i \geq 1$, the general point of the intersection $\mathcal{T}_g^e \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_i)$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_g^o \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_i)$) is a pair (C, η) where:*

- (i) *The curve C is the union at a point P of two smooth curves C_i and C_{g-i} of respective genera i and $g - i$, and satisfies one of these four conditions ($j = i, g - i$):*
 - α_j *C_j has a 1-dimensional (even) theta-characteristic L_j . In this case, the 1-dimensional limit theta-characteristics on C are determined by the aspects $|L_j| + (g - j)P$ on C_j and $|L_{g-j} + 2P| + (j - 2)P$ on C_{g-j} , where L_{g-j} is any even theta-characteristic on C_{g-j} .*
 - β_j *P is in the support of an effective (0-dimensional) theta-characteristic L_j on C_j . The aspects of the 1-dimensional limit theta-characteristics on C are $|L_j + P| + (g - j - 1)P$ on C_j and $|L_{g-j} + 2P| + (j - 2)P$ on C_{g-j} , where L_{g-j} is any odd theta-characteristic on C_{g-j} .*
- (ii) *$\eta = (\eta_i, \eta_{g-i})$ is a non-trivial 2-torsion line bundle on C , such that the numbers $h^0(C_i, L_i \otimes \eta_i)$ and $h^0(C_{g-i}, L_{g-i} \otimes \eta_{g-i})$ have the same (resp. opposite) parity.*

Proof. First of all, note that item (i) describes the general element of the intersection $\mathcal{T}_g \cap \Delta_i$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$: this is exactly [16, Proposition 1.2].

Moreover, if $(C, \eta) \in \mathcal{T}_g^e \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_i)$ (resp. $(C, \eta) \in \mathcal{T}_g^o \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_i)$), then there exists (a germ of) a 1-dimensional family $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S, H, \mathcal{L})$ of Prym curves (\mathcal{C}_s, H_s) endowed with a 1-dimensional theta-characteristic \mathcal{L}_s , such that:

- (1) For every $s \neq 0$, (\mathcal{C}_s, H_s) is a smooth Prym curve such that $\mathcal{L}_s \otimes H_s$ is an even (resp. odd) theta-characteristic on \mathcal{C}_s .
- (2) The family $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S, H)$ specializes to $(C, \eta) = (\mathcal{C}_0, H_0)$.

The possible aspects of the 1-dimensional limit series of \mathcal{L} on $C = \mathcal{C}_0$ are described by item (i). Now the result follows from the fact that, on the one hand, the aspects of the limit series of $\mathcal{L} \otimes H$ on $C = \mathcal{C}_0$ are the same aspects as the limit of \mathcal{L} , but twisted by $\eta = H_0$; and on the other hand, the parity of a theta-characteristic on the reducible curve C is the product of the parities of the theta-characteristics induced on C_i and C_{g-i} , by Mayer-Vietoris. \square

Remark 3.2. Fixed a general element C of the intersection $\mathcal{T}_g \cap \Delta_i$ (i.e. a curve C satisfying the condition (i) above), the number of $\eta = (\eta_i, \eta_{g-i})$ such that $(C, \eta) \in \mathcal{T}_g^e$ can be easily computed. Indeed, the number of η giving parities (even,even) is the product of the number of even theta-characteristics on C_i and the number of even theta-characteristics on C_{g-i} :

$$2^{i-1}(2^i + 1)2^{g-i-1}(2^{g-i} + 1) = 2^{g-2}(2^i + 1)(2^{g-i} + 1).$$

Similarly, the number of η giving parities (odd,odd) is

$$2^{i-1}(2^i - 1)2^{g-i-1}(2^{g-i} - 1) = 2^{g-2}(2^i + 1)(2^{g-i} - 1).$$

From all these, we have to discard the trivial bundle $(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}, \mathcal{O}_{C_{g-i}})$. Hence the number of η is

$$2^{g-2}(2^i + 1)(2^{g-i} + 1) + 2^{g-2}(2^i + 1)(2^{g-i} - 1) - 1 = 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) - 1,$$

which indeed coincides with the degree of \mathcal{T}_g^e over \mathcal{T}_g . Of course the configuration of the fiber $\pi|_{\mathcal{T}_g^e}^{-1}(C)$ along the divisors Δ_i , Δ_{g-i} and $\Delta_{i:g-i}$ will depend on whether C satisfies α_j or β_j .

Lemma 3.3. *If C is a smooth curve of genus g and $\eta \in JC_2$ is a non-trivial 2-torsion line bundle, then there are exactly $2^{g-1}(2^{g-1} - 1)$ odd theta-characteristics L on C such that $L \otimes \eta$ is also odd.*

Proof. This can be checked using the theory of syzygetic triads (see for instance [2, Section 5.4.1]). Note that three odd theta-characteristics L, M, N form a syzygetic triad if and only if the theta-characteristic $L \otimes M \otimes N^{-1}$ is odd.

Fix an odd theta-characteristic N such that $M = N \otimes \eta$ is also odd (i.e. N is a fixed solution to our problem); then the required odd theta-characteristics L are those extending the pair M, N to a syzygetic triad. The number of such L (different from M and N) is

$$2(2^{g-1} + 1)(2^{g-2} - 1) = 2^{g-1}(2^{g-1} - 1) - 2$$

(see [2, Proposition 5.4.3]); to this number we add, of course, the two solutions M and N . \square

Now, given an integer $i \geq 1$, we proceed to compute the coefficients b_i , b_{g-i} and $b_{i:g-i}$ of the cohomology class $[\mathcal{T}_g^e]$. We follow the argument in [16, Proposition 3.1].

Fix two smooth curves C_i and C_{g-i} of respective genera i and $g-i$ having no theta-characteristic of positive dimension, as a well as a point $p \in C_i$ lying in the support of no effective theta-characteristic. We denote by F the curve (isomorphic to C_{g-i} itself) in $\Delta_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, obtained by identifying p with a variable point $q \in C_{g-i}$. This curve has the following intersection numbers with the basic divisor classes of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$:

$$F \cdot \lambda = 0, \quad F \cdot \delta_j = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i, \quad F \cdot \delta_i = -2(g - i - 1)$$

(for a justification of these intersection numbers, see [8, page 81]).

Since the curve $F \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ does not intersect the branch locus of the morphism π , it follows that the preimage $\pi^{-1}(F)$ has $2^{2g} - 1$ connected components; each of them is isomorphic to F , and corresponds to the choice of a pair $\eta = (\eta_i, \eta_{g-i})$ of 2-torsion line bundles on C_i and C_{g-i} being not simultaneously trivial.

Let \tilde{F}_i be one of the components of $\pi^{-1}(F)$ contained in the divisor Δ_i of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$; it is attached to an element $\eta = (\eta_i, \mathcal{O}_{C_{g-i}})$, for a fixed non-trivial $\eta_i \in (JC_i)_2$.

On the one hand, clearly δ_i is the only basic divisor class of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ that intersects \tilde{F}_i . The projection formula then says that the number $\tilde{F}_i \cdot \delta_i$ in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ equals the intersection $F \cdot \delta_i = -2(g-i-1)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{F}_i \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g^e] = \tilde{F}_i \cdot (a\lambda - b'_0\delta'_0 - \dots) = 2(g-i-1)b_i.$$

On the other hand, according to [Proposition 3.1](#) an element $(C, \eta) \in \tilde{F}_i$ belongs to \mathcal{T}_g^e if and only if the two following conditions are satisfied:

- The point $q \in C_{g-i}$ that is identified with p lies in the support of an effective theta-characteristic. That is, C satisfies β_{g-i} .
- The odd theta-characteristic L_i of C_i , when twisted by η_i , remains odd.

This gives the intersection number

$$\tilde{F}_i \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g^e] = (g-i-1)2^{g-i-1}(2^{g-i}-1)2^{i-1}(2^{i-1}-1),$$

where we use [Lemma 3.3](#) to count the possible theta-characteristics L_i .

Comparing both expressions for $\tilde{F}_i \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g^e]$, it follows that $b_i = 2^{g-3}(2^{g-i}-1)(2^{i-1}-1)$.

With a similar argument (considering a connected component of $\pi^{-1}(F)$ contained in Δ_{g-i} or $\Delta_{i:g-i}$), one can find the numbers

$$b_{g-i} = 2^{g-3}(2^{g-i-1}-1)(2^i-1), \quad b_{i:g-i} = 2^{g-3}(2^{g-1}-2^{i-1}-2^{g-i-1}+1).$$

Now we proceed with step [\(3\)](#). We will determine the constants d'_0, d''_0, d_0^{ram} of the class $[\mathcal{T}_g^o]$ by using the test curve of [\[7, Example 3.137\]](#).

Fix a general smooth curve D of genus $g-1$, with a fixed general point $p \in D$. Identifying p with a moving point $q \in D$, we get a curve G (isomorphic to D) which lies in $\Delta_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. As explained in [\[7\]](#), the following equalities hold:

$$G \cdot \lambda = 0, G \cdot \delta_0 = 2 - 2g, G \cdot \delta_1 = 1, G \cdot \delta_i = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 2,$$

where the intersection of G and Δ_1 occurs when q approaches p ; in that case the curve becomes reducible, having D and a rational nodal curve as components.

Combining this information with the known cohomology class $[\mathcal{T}_g]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, we have

$$G \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g] = 2^{g-3}((g-3) \cdot 2^{g-2} + 1).$$

In order to compute d''_0 , let \tilde{G}'' be the connected component of $\pi^{-1}(G)$ obtained by attaching to every curve $C = D_{pq}$ the 2-torsion line bundle $e = (\mathcal{O}_D)_{-1}$ (i.e. \mathcal{O}_D glued by -1 at the points p, q). Indeed e is well defined along the family G , so \tilde{G}'' makes sense and is isomorphic to G .

Then:

- By the projection formula, $\tilde{G}'' \cdot \lambda = 0$.

- Again by projection, $\tilde{G}'' \cdot (\pi^* \delta_0) = 2 - 2g$. Actually, since $\tilde{G}'' \subset \Delta_0''$ and \tilde{G}'' intersects neither Δ_0' nor Δ_0^{ram} , the following equalities hold:

$$\tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_0'' = 2 - 2g, \quad \tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_0' = 0 = \tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_0^{ram}.$$

- We have $\tilde{G}'' \cdot (\pi^* \delta_1) = 1$, with $\tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_1 = 1$ and $\tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_{g-1} = 0 = \tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_{1:g-1}$.
Indeed, the intersection $G \cap \Delta_1$ occurs when $p = q$; for that curve, the 2-torsion that we consider is trivial on D but not on the rational component. Hence the lift to \tilde{G}'' of the intersection point $G \cap \Delta_1$ gives a point in $\tilde{G}'' \cap \Delta_1$.
- It is clear that $\tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_i = \tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_{g-i} = \tilde{G}'' \cdot \delta_{i:g-i} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.
- Since twisting by e changes the parity of any theta-characteristic in any curve of the family G by [6, Theorems 2.12 and 2.14], it follows that all the intersection points of G and \mathcal{T}_g lift to points of $\tilde{G}'' \cap \mathcal{T}_g^o$.

All in all, we have

$$2^{g-3}((g-3) \cdot 2^{g-2} + 1) = \tilde{G}'' \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g^o] = (2g-2)d_0'' - 2^{g-3}(2^{g-1} - 1)$$

and solving the equation we obtain $d_0'' = 2^{2g-6}$.

For the computation of d_0' , we consider $\tilde{G}' = \pi^{-1}(G) \cap \Delta_0'$ in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$. Note that for an element $(C = D_{pq}, \eta) \in \tilde{G}'$, η is obtained by gluing a nontrivial 2-torsion line bundle on D at the points p, q . Then:

- $\tilde{G}' \cdot \lambda = 0$ by the projection formula.
- Again by projection, $\tilde{G}' \cdot (\pi^* \delta_0) = \deg(\tilde{G}' \rightarrow G)(G \cdot \delta_0) = 2(2 - 2g)(2^{2g-2} - 1)$. Moreover, since $\tilde{G}' \subset \Delta_0'$ intersects neither Δ_0'' nor Δ_0^{ram} it follows that

$$\tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_0' = 2(2 - 2g)(2^{2g-2} - 1), \quad \tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_0'' = 0 = \tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_0^{ram}.$$

- $\tilde{G}' \cdot (\pi^* \delta_1) = \deg(\tilde{G}' \rightarrow G)(G \cdot \delta_1) = 2(2^{2g-2} - 1)$. We claim that $\tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_{g-1} = 2^{2g-2} - 1 = \tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_{1:g-1}$.

Indeed, $G \cap \Delta_1$ occurs when $p = q$; when such a point is lifted to \tilde{G}' , the 2-torsion is nontrivial on D (by construction). This gives $\tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_1 = 0$.

Moreover, triviality on the rational nodal component will depend on which of the two possible gluings of the 2-torsion on D we are taking; in any case, since $\tilde{G}' = \pi^{-1}(G) \cap \Delta_0'$ considers simultaneously all possible gluings of all possible non-trivial 2-torsion line bundles on D , we have $\tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_{g-1} = \tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_{1:g-1}$. This proves the claim.

- Of course, $\tilde{G}' \cdot (\pi^* \delta_i) = \tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_{g-i} = \tilde{G}' \cdot \delta_{i:g-i} = 0$ whenever $i \geq 2$.
- Finally, we use again that the parity of a theta-characteristic on a nodal curve of the family G is changed when twisted by $e = (\mathcal{O}_D)_{-1}$. Since the two possible gluings of a non-trivial 2-torsion bundle on D precisely differ by e , the sets $\tilde{G}' \cap \mathcal{T}_g^e$ and $\tilde{G}' \cap \mathcal{T}_g^o$ will have the same number of points, with the union of both giving the lift of $G \cap \mathcal{T}_g$ to \tilde{G}' . That is,

$$\tilde{G}' \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g^e] = \tilde{G}' \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g^o] = (2^{2g-2} - 1) \cdot 2^{g-3}((g-3) \cdot 2^{g-2} + 1).$$

Putting this together with the coefficients $d_{g-1} = 2^{2g-5}$ and $d_{1:g-1} = 2^{g-3}(2^{g-2} - 1)$ obtained in step (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2^{2g-2} - 1) \cdot 2^{g-3}((g-3) \cdot 2^{g-2} + 1) &= \tilde{G}' \cdot [\mathcal{T}_g^o] = \\ &= 2(2g-2)(2^{2g-2} - 1)d'_0 - 2^{2g-5}(2^{2g-2} - 1) - 2^{g-3}(2^{g-2} - 1)(2^{2g-2} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $d'_0 = 2^{2g-7}$.

Finally, to compute d_0^{ram} we simply combine the relation

$$(2^{2g-1} - 2)d'_0 + d''_0 + 2^{2g-2}d_0^{ram} = 2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)2^{2g-6}$$

obtained in step (1) with the coefficients d'_0, d''_0 just found, to obtain $d_0^{ram} = 2^{g-5}(2^{g-1} - 1)$.

This concludes step (3) and hence the proof of [Theorem A](#).

Remark 3.4. The divisor \mathcal{T}_g has a more natural interpretation in the compactification of the moduli space \mathcal{S}_g^+ of even spin curves (i.e. curves equipped with an even theta-characteristic). In the same way, it would be preferable to discuss the divisors \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o in a space of curves endowed with both a Prym and a spin structure. In particular, if a good compactification of $\mathcal{R}_g \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \mathcal{S}_g^+$ were constructed and studied, then the cohomology classes of \mathcal{T}_g^e and \mathcal{T}_g^o could also be derived from the diagram

$$\mathcal{R}_g \longleftarrow \mathcal{R}_g \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \mathcal{S}_g^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_g^+$$

and the fact that the cohomology class of (the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ of) the divisor

$$\{(C, L) \in \mathcal{S}_g^+ \mid L \text{ is a semicanonical pencil on } C\}$$

was computed by Farkas in [4, Theorem 0.2]. Following the ideas of [14], a candidate space for such a compactification is proposed in [10, Section 2.4], although it remains to check that this space is indeed a smooth and proper Deligne-Mumford stack. Under the assumption that it is, a study of its boundary reveals the same expressions obtained in [Theorem A](#). Further details can be found in [10].

4. PROOF OF [THEOREM B](#)

In this section we study the irreducibility of the divisors \mathcal{T}_g^o and \mathcal{T}_g^e . We make no claim of originality about the arguments: essentially we adapt those of Teixidor in [16, Section 2], used to prove the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_g in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

When $g = 3$, we already saw in [Example 2.1](#) that the divisors \mathcal{T}_3^o and \mathcal{T}_3^e are irreducible. For the general case ($g \geq 5$), we will intersect \mathcal{T}_g^o with the boundary divisor Δ_1 (this intersection being described by [Proposition 3.1](#)). Before that, we need some previous considerations:

Remark 4.1. In a neighborhood of a given point, the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_g^o (resp. \mathcal{T}_g^e) is implied by the irreducibility of the scheme X^o (resp. X^e) parametrizing pairs $((C, \eta), L)$, where (C, η) is a Prym curve and L is a semicanonical pencil on C such that $L \otimes \eta$ is odd (resp. even). This follows from the surjectivity of the forgetful map $X^o \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g^o$ (resp. $X^e \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g^e$).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{R}_g$ be a divisor, where $g \geq 5$. Then the closure $\overline{\mathcal{D}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ intersects Δ_1 and Δ_{g-1} .*

Proof. We borrow the construction from [11, Section 4], where (a stronger version of) the corresponding result for divisors in \mathcal{M}_g is proved.

Fix a complete integral curve $B \subset \mathcal{M}_{g-2}$, two elliptic curves E_1, E_2 and a certain 2-torsion element $\eta \in JE_1 \setminus \{0\}$. If Γ_b denotes the smooth curve of genus $g-2$ corresponding to $b \in B$, one defines a family of Prym curves parametrized by Γ_b^2 as follows.

If $(p_1, p_2) \in \Gamma_b^2$ is a pair of distinct points, glue to Γ_b the curves E_1 and E_2 at the respective points p_1 and p_2 (this is independent of the chosen point on the elliptic curves). To this curve attach a 2-torsion bundle being trivial on Γ_b and E_2 , and restricting to η on E_1 .

To an element $(p, p) \in \Delta_{\Gamma_b} \subset \Gamma_b^2$, we attach the curve obtained by gluing a \mathbb{P}^1 to Γ_b at the point p , and then E_1, E_2 are glued to two other points in \mathbb{P}^1 . Of course, the 2-torsion bundle restricts to η on E_1 , and is trivial on the remaining components.

Moving b in B , this construction gives a complete threefold $T = \bigcup_{b \in B} \Gamma_b^2$ contained in $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_{g-1}$.

Let also $S = \bigcup_{b \in B} \Delta_{\Gamma_b^2}$ be the surface in T given by the union of all the diagonals; it is the intersection of T with Δ_2 . Then, the following statements hold:

- (1) $\delta_{1|S} = 0$ and $\delta_{g-1|S} = 0$ (the proof of [11, Lemma 4.2] is easily translated to our setting).
- (2) $\lambda|_{\Delta_{\Gamma_b^2}} = 0$ for every $b \in B$, since all the curves in $\Delta_{\Gamma_b^2}$ have the same Hodge structure.
- (3) If $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the coefficient of λ for the cohomology class $[\overline{\mathcal{D}}] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $a \neq 0$. Indeed, $2^{2g-1}a \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the coefficient of λ for the cohomology class $[\pi(\mathcal{D})] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$; then [11, Remark 4.1] proves the claim.

These are the key ingredients in the original proof of [11, Proposition 4.5]. The same arguments there work verbatim in our case and yield the analogous result: $[\overline{\mathcal{D}}]|_T \neq m \cdot S$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Q}$. In particular, the intersection $\overline{\mathcal{D}} \cap T$ is non-empty (and not entirely contained in S). \square

Remark 4.3. Let C be a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus g , with Weierstrass points R_1, \dots, R_{2g+2} .

Then, it is well-known that the theta-characteristics on C have the form $r \cdot g_2^1 + S$, r being its dimension (with $-1 \leq r \leq [\frac{g-1}{2}]$) and S being the fixed part of the linear system (which consists of $g-1-2r$ distinct Weierstrass points).

Moreover, given a 2-torsion line bundle of the form $\eta = \mathcal{O}_C(R_i - R_j)$, theta-characteristics changing their parity when twisted by η are exactly those for which $R_i, R_j \in S$ (the dimension increases by 1) or $R_i, R_j \notin S$ (the dimension decreases by 1).

Proposition 4.4. *For $g \geq 5$, the divisor \mathcal{T}_g^o is irreducible.*

Proof. According to Proposition 3.1, the intersection $\mathcal{T}_g^o \cap \Delta_1$ consists of two pieces α and β . The general point of each of these pieces is the union at a point P of a Prym elliptic curve (E, η) and a smooth curve C_{g-1} (with trivial line bundle) of genus $g-1$, such that:

- In the case of α , the curve C_{g-1} has a 1-dimensional theta-characteristic, i.e, $C_{g-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{g-1}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1}$. There is no assumption on (E, η) : η will be the theta-characteristic on E induced by the 1-dimensional limit theta-characteristic on $C_{g-1} \cup_P E$.

By irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_{g-1} , we may assume that α is irreducible.

- In the case of β , P is in the support of a 0-dimensional theta-characteristic on C_{g-1} . Again, there is no condition on (E, η) : the induced theta-characteristic on E is \mathcal{O}_E .

Now we consider a reducible Prym curve $(C, \eta) \in \Delta_1$ constructed as follows: C is the join of an elliptic curve E and a general smooth hyperelliptic curve C' of genus $g - 1$ at a Weierstrass point $P \in C'$, whereas the line bundle η is trivial on C' . Note that (C, η) is the general point of the intersection $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g \cap \Delta_1$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g \subset \mathcal{T}_g^o$ is the locus of pairs formed by an hyperelliptic curve and a difference of two Weierstrass points on it.

Of course (C, η) belongs to α and β ; we claim that it actually belongs to any component of β .

Indeed, the rational map between a component of β and \mathcal{M}_{g-1} is generically surjective. As argued in [16, Remark 1.3], the reason is that the locus in \mathcal{M}_{g-1} of curves with an odd theta-characteristic of dimension ≥ 2 has codimension 3.

Thus we can assume that every component of β contains a Prym curve which is the union of C' (with trivial 2-torsion) and a Prym elliptic curve (E', η') at a Weierstrass point $Q \in C'$. Since the monodromy on hyperelliptic curves acts transitively on the set of Weierstrass points, we may replace Q by our original point P without changing the component of β . Using that $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_1$ is connected we can also replace (E', η') by (E, η) . This proves the claim.

Now, to prove the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_g^o we argue as follows: since \mathcal{T}_g^o has pure codimension 1, we know by Lemma 4.2 that each of its components intersects Δ_1 . As our point (C, η) belongs to all the irreducible components of $\mathcal{T}_g^o \cap \Delta_1$, it suffices to check the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_g^o in a neighborhood of (C, η) .

To achieve this, in view of Remark 4.1 we will check the irreducibility of the scheme X^o . In other words, we need to study the *limit semicanonical pencils on C changing parity when twisted by η* . We do this in the rest of the proof.

Let R_1, R_2, R_3 be the points on E differing from P by 2-torsion, and let R_4, \dots, R_{2g+2} be the Weierstrass points on C' that are different from P : reordering if necessary, we assume $\eta|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(R_1 - R_2)$. Note that R_1, \dots, R_{2g+2} are the limits on C of Weierstrass points on nearby smooth hyperelliptic curves, since they are the ramification points of the limit g_2^1 on C .

With this notation, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, the possible aspects on E of a *limit semicanonical pencil changing parity on (C, η)* are:

- Those of type α have aspect on E differing from the even theta-characteristic η by $(g - 1)P$, hence $\mathcal{O}_E(R_3 + (g - 2)P) = \mathcal{O}_E(R_1 + R_2 + (g - 3)P)$.
- Those of type β have aspect differing from the odd theta-characteristic \mathcal{O}_E by $(g - 1)P$, hence $\mathcal{O}_E((g - 1)P) = \mathcal{O}_E(R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + (g - 4)P)$.

Given a family of *semicanonical pencils changing parity* on nearby smooth curves of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g$, we can distinguish the type of its limit on C by knowing how many of the $g - 1 - 2r$ fixed Weierstrass

points in the moving theta-characteristic specialize to E . If this number is 0 or 3 (resp. 1 or 2) our limit is of type β (resp. of type α).

Hence, after using monodromy on smooth hyperelliptic curves to interchange the (limit) Weierstrass point R_3 with an appropriate (limit) Weierstrass point on C' , we obtain that monodromy on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g \subset \mathcal{T}_g^o$ interchanges any *limit semicanonical pencil changing parity* of type β with one of type α . The only possible exception is a limit of $\frac{g-1}{2} \cdot g_2^1$ when $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, since in that case there are no fixed points to interchange with R_3 .

By irreducibility of α , monodromy on α acts transitively on the set of *limit semicanonical pencils changing parity* of type α . Therefore to conclude the proof of the irreducibility of X near (C, η) it only remains to show that, if $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, the monodromy on \mathcal{T}_g^o interchanges the limit of $\frac{g-1}{2} \cdot g_2^1$ with a limit of theta-characteristics of lower dimension.

This can be achieved exactly with the same family of limit theta-characteristics as in [16, Proposition 2.4] for certain reducible Prym curves C_X (which in this case, have non-trivial 2-torsion only on the component E). This moves the limit of $\frac{g-1}{2} \cdot g_2^1$ as desired. \square

Remark 4.5. In the case of \mathcal{T}_g^e , the intersection $\mathcal{T}_g^e \cap \Delta_1$ consists only of the piece α and the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_g^e follows in a much simpler way.

All in all, we have showed the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_g^o and \mathcal{T}_g^e for every $g \neq 4$. As explained in the introduction, the irreducibility of \mathcal{T}_4^o and \mathcal{T}_4^e can be deduced from a study of the Prym map \mathcal{P}_4 restricted to these divisors, which will appear in [9].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beauville, *Prym varieties and the Schottky problem*. Invent. Math. 47 (1977), 149–196. [1](#)
- [2] I.V. Dolgachev, *Classical Algebraic Geometry. A Modern View*. Vol. xii. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012). [8](#)
- [3] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *Limit linear series: Basic theory*. Invent. Math. 85 (1986), 337–371. [2](#)
- [4] G. Farkas, *The birational type of the moduli space of even spin curves*. Adv. Math. 223(2) (2010), 433–443. [11](#)
- [5] G. Farkas and K. Ludwig, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of Prym varieties*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 12 (2010), 755–795. [3](#), [4](#)
- [6] J. Harris, *Theta-characteristics on algebraic curves*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 271 (1982), 611–638. [10](#)
- [7] J. Harris and I. Morrison, *Moduli of curves*. Graduate Texts in Math. 187. Springer, 1998 [9](#)
- [8] J. Harris and D. Mumford, *On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves*. Invent. Math. 67 (1982), 23–86. [8](#)
- [9] M. Lahoz, J.C. Naranjo and A. Rojas, *Geometry of Prym semicanonical pencils and an application to cubic threefolds*. In preparation. [2](#), [3](#), [14](#)
- [10] C. Maestro Pérez, *Aspects of the geometry of Prym varieties and their moduli*. PhD thesis, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultät (to be defended, 2021). [11](#)
- [11] V. Marucci, J.C. Naranjo and G.P. Pirola, *Isogenies of Jacobians*. Algebraic Geometry 3(4) (2016), 424–440. [12](#)
- [12] D. Mumford, *Prym varieties I*. Contributions to Analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers), 325–350, Academic Press, New York, 1974. [1](#)
- [13] D. Mumford, *Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 4 (1971), 181–192. [5](#)

- [14] E. C. Sertöz, *A compactification of the moduli space of multiple-spin curves*. Preprint (2019), arXiv:1701.02303v2. [11](#)
- [15] M. Teixidor i Bigas, *Half-canonical series on algebraic curves*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 302 (1987), 99–115. [5](#)
- [16] M. Teixidor i Bigas, *The divisor of curves with a vanishing theta-null*. Compositio Math. 66 (1988), 15–22. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [11](#), [13](#), [14](#)

C.M.P.: BMS – HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUDOWER CHAUSSEE 25, 10099 BERLIN, GERMANY

Email address: maestroc@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

A.R.: BGS MATH – UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, GRAN VIA DE LES CORTS CATALANES 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: andresrojas@ub.edu