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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the properties of weak approximation with BrauerManin obstruction and the Hasse principle with Brauer-Manin obstruction for surfaces with respect to field extensions of number fields. We assume a conjecture of M. Stoll. For any nontrivial extension of number fields $L / K$, we construct two kinds of smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surfaces defined over $K$. For the surface of the first kind, it has a $K$-rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with BrauerManin obstruction off $\infty_{K}$, while its base change by $L$ does not so off $\infty_{L}$. For the surface of the second kind, it is a counterexample to the Hasse principle explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction, while the failure of the Hasse principle of its base change by $L$ cannot be so. We illustrate these constructions with explicit unconditional examples.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Background. For a proper scheme $X$ over a number field $K$, if its $K$-rational points set $X(K) \neq \emptyset$, then its adelic points set $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \neq \emptyset$. The converse, as has been known, does not always hold. We say that $X$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle if the set $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \neq \emptyset$ whereas the set $X(K)=\emptyset$. Let $S \subset \Omega_{K}$ be a finite subset. By the diagonal embedding, we always view $X(K)$ as a subset of $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ (respectively of $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{S}\right)$ ). We say that $X$ satisfies weak approximation (respectively weak approximation off $S$ ) if $X(K)$ is dense in $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ (respectively in $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{S}\right)$ ), cf. [Sko01, Chapter 5.1]. Manin Man71] used the Brauer group of $X$ to define a closed subset $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \subset X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$, and showed that this closed subset can explain some failures of the Hasse principle and nondensity of $X(K)$ in $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{S}\right)$. The global reciprocity law gives an inclusion: $X(K) \subset X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}$. We say that the failure of the Hasse principle of $X$ is explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction if the set $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and the set $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}=\emptyset$. We say that $X$ satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction (respectively with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$ ) if $X(K)$ is dense in $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}$ (respectively in $\left.p r^{S}\left(X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}\right)\right)$. For a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ defined over a number field $K$, assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group and the rational points set of its Jacobian are both finite. By the dual sequence of CasselsTate, Skorobogatov [Sko01, Chapter 6.2] and Scharaschkin Sch99] independently observed that $C(K)=p r^{\infty_{K}}\left(C\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}\right)$. In particular, if this curve $C$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, then this failure can be explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction. Stoll Sto07] generalized this observation, and made a conjecture that for any smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve, it satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $\infty_{K}$ : see Conjecture 3.0.1 for more details.
1.2. Questions. Let $L / K$ be a nontrivial extension of number fields. Let $S \subset \Omega_{K}$ be a finite subset, and let $S_{L} \subset \Omega_{L}$ be the subset of all places above $S$. Given a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected variety $X$ over $K$, let $X_{L}=X \times_{\text {Spec } K} \operatorname{Spec} L$ be its base change by $L$. In this paper, we consider the following questions.

Question 1.2.1. If the variety $X$ has a $K$-rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$, must $X_{L}$ also satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S_{L}$ ?

[^0]Question 1.2.2. Assume that the varieties $X$ and $X_{L}$ are counterexamples to the Hasse principle. If the failure of the Hasse principle of $X$ is explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction, must the failure of the Hasse principle of $X_{L}$ also be explained by the BrauerManin obstruction?
1.3. Main results. In this paper, we will construct smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surfaces to give negative answers to Questions 1.2
1.3.1. A negative answer to Question 1.2.1. For any number field $K$, assuming Stoll's conjecture, Liang Lia18 found a quadratic extension $L$, and constructed a 3 -fold to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.1. When $L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and $K=\mathbb{Q}$, using the construction method, he gave an unconditional example with explicit equations in loc. cit. The author Wu21 generalized his argument to any nontrivial extension of number fields. The varieties constructed there, are 3-folds. In this paper, we will prove the same statement for smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surfaces.
For any nontrivial extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming Stoll's conjecture, we have the following theorem to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.1
Theorem 1.3.1.1 (Theorem 4.1.7). For any nontrivial extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming Stoll's conjecture, there exists a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ defined over $K$ such that

- the surface $X$ has a $K$-rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $\propto_{K}$,
- the surface $X_{L}$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $T$ for any finite subset $T \subset \Omega_{L}$.

When $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(i)$, using the construction method given in Theorem 4.1.7, we give an explicit unconditional example in Subsection 5.2. The smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ is defined by the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(w_{0} w_{2}+w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(w_{0} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right)=0 \\
w_{1}^{2} w_{2}=w_{0}^{3}-16 w_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with bi-homogeneous coordinates $\left(w_{0}: w_{1}: w_{2}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)$.
1.3.2. A negative answer to Question 1.2.2. For any number field $K$, suppose that Stoll's conjecture holds. Assuming some conditions on the nontrivial extension $L$ over $K$, the author Wu21 constructed a 3 -fold to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.1. Unconditional examples with explicit equations were given in loc. cit. The varieties constructed there, are 3 -folds. In this paper, we will prove the same statement for smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surfaces.

For any nontrivial extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming Stoll's conjecture, we have the following theorem to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.2,

Theorem 1.3.2.1 (Theorem 4.2.9). For any nontrivial extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming Stoll's conjecture, there exists a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ defined over $K$ such that

- the surface $X$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, and its failure of the Hasse principle is explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction,
- the surface $X_{L}$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, but its failure of the Hasse principle cannot be explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

When $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(i)$, using the construction method given in Theorem 4.2.9, we give an explicit unconditional example in Subsection 5.3 The smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ is defined by the following two equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(w_{0} w_{2}+w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-41 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-3 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-123 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-13 y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-41 y_{1}^{3}\right) \\
+\left(w_{0} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-17 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-13 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-221 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-53 y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-53 y_{1}^{3}\right)=0 \\
w_{1}^{2} w_{2}=w_{0}^{3}-16 w_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with tri-homogeneous coordinates $\left(w_{0}: w_{1}: w_{2}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$.
1.3.3. Main ideas behind our constructions in the proof of theorems. Let $L / K$ be a nontrivial extension of number fields. We find a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ such that $C(K)$ and $C(L)$ are both finite, nonempty, and that $C(K) \neq C(L)$. Then we construct a pencil of curves parametrized by the curve $C: \beta: X \rightarrow C$ such that the fiber of each $C(K)$ point is isomorphic to one given curve denoted by $C_{\infty}$, and that the fiber of each $C(L) \backslash C(K)$ point is isomorphic to another given curve denoted by $C_{0}$. By combining some fibration arguments with the functoriality of Brauer-Manin pairing, the arithmetic properties of $C_{\infty}$ and $C_{0}$ will determine the arithmetic properties of $X$. We carefully choose the curves $C_{\infty}$ and $C_{0}$ to meet the needs of theorems.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $K$ be a number field, and let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ be the ring of its integers. Let $\Omega_{K}$ be the set of all nontrivial places of $K$. Let $\infty_{K} \subset \Omega_{K}$ be the subset of all archimedean places, and let $\Omega_{K}^{f}=\Omega_{K} \backslash \infty_{K}$. Let $\infty_{K}^{r} \subset \infty_{K}$ be the subset of all real places, and let $2_{K} \subset \Omega_{K}$ be the subset of all 2-adic places. For $v \in \Omega_{K}$, let $K_{v}$ be the completion of $K$ at $v$. For $v \in \infty_{K}^{r}$, let $\tau_{v}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the embedding of $K$ into its completion. Given a finite subset $S \subset \Omega_{K}$, let $\mathbb{A}_{K}$ (respectively $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{S}$ ) be the ring of adèles (adèles without $S$ components) of $K$. We say that an element is a prime element, if the ideal generated by this element is a prime ideal. For a prime element $p \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$, we denote its associated place by $v_{p}$. We fix an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of $K$, and let $\Gamma_{K}=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$. We always assume that a field $L$ is a finite extension of $K$. Let $S_{L} \subset \Omega_{L}$ be the subset of all places above $S$.
In this paper, a $K$-scheme will mean a reduced, separated scheme of finite type over $K$, and all geometric objects are $K$-schemes. A $K$-curve will mean a proper $K$-scheme such that every irreducible components are of dimension one. In particular, a $K$-curve may have more than one irreducible component, and may have singular points. We say that a $K$-scheme is a $K$-variety if it is geometrically integral. Be cautious that in our definition, a integral $K$-scheme may be not a variety, i.e. it may have multiple geometrically irreducible components. Given a proper $K$-scheme $X$, if $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \neq \emptyset$, let $p r^{S}: X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \rightarrow X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{S}\right)$ be the projection induced by the natural projection $p r^{S}: \mathbb{A}_{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{K}^{S}$. All cohomology groups in this paper are Galois or étale cohomology groups, and let $\operatorname{Br}(X)=H_{\text {êt }}^{2}\left(X, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$.
By combining the Čebotarev density theorem with global class field theory, we have the following lemma to choose prime elements. This lemma is a generalization of Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions.

Lemma 2.0.1. Given an extension of number fields $L / K$, let $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}$ be a proper nonzero ideal. Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Suppose that the image of $x$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K} / \mathfrak{I}$ is invertible. Then there exists a prime element $p \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that
(1) $p \equiv x \bmod \mathfrak{I}$,
(2) $\tau_{v}(p)>0$ for all $v \in \infty_{K}^{r}$,
(3) additionally, if $x=1$, then $p$ splits completely in $L$.

And the set of places associated to such prime elements has positive density.
Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ be the product of all places in $\infty_{K}^{r}$, and let $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{I m}_{\infty}$ be a modulus of $K$. Let $K_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the ray class field of modulus $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the group of fractional ideals that are prime to $\mathfrak{I}$. Let $P_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the subgroup of principal ideals generated by some $a \in K^{\times}$with $a \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{I}$ and $\tau_{v}(a)>0$ for all $v \in \infty_{K}^{r}$. Then by Artin reciprocity law (cf. Neu99, Theorem 7.1 and Corollary 7.2]), the classical Artin homomorphism $\theta$ gives an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{m}} \hookrightarrow I_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\theta} \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\mathfrak{m}} / K\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By the generalized Dirichlet density theorem (cf. [Neu99, Theorem 13.2]), the set of places associated to the prime elements satisfying conditions (1) and (2), has density $1 /\left[K_{\mathfrak{m}}: K\right]$. Let $M$ be a smallest Galois extension of $K$ containing $L$, then a place of $K$ splits completely
in $L$ if and only if it splits completely in $M$. Let $M K_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be a composition field of $M$ and $K_{\mathfrak{m}}$. If $x=1$, then by the Čebotarev density theorem (cf. [Neu99, Theorem 13.4]), the set of places associated to the prime elements satisfying all these conditions (1), (2) and (3), has density $1 /\left[M K_{\mathfrak{m}}: K\right]$.
2.1. Hilbert symbol. For $a, b \in K_{v}^{\times}$and $v \in \Omega_{K}$, we use Hilbert symbol $(a, b)_{v} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. By definition, $(a, b)_{v}=1$ if and only if the curve defined over $K_{v}$ by the equation $x_{0}^{2}$ $a x_{1}^{2}-b x_{2}^{2}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(w_{0}: w_{1}: w_{2}\right)$, has a $K_{v}$-point.

## 3. Stoll's conjecture for curves

For a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ defined over a number field $K$, if the Tate-Shafarevich group and the rational points set of its Jacobian are both finite, then by combining the Cassels-Tate pairing with the Brauer evaluation pairing, Skorobogatov [Sko01, Chapter 6.2] and Scharaschkin [Sch99] independently observed that $C(K)=p r^{\infty_{K}}\left(C\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}\right)$. In particular, if this curve $C$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, then this failure can be explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction. Stoll Sto07, Theorem 8.6] generalized this observation. Furthermore, he [Sto07, Conjecture 9.1] made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.0.1. Sto07, Conjecture 9.1] For any smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ defined over a number field $K$, the set $C(K)$ is dense in $p r^{\infty_{K}}\left(C\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}\right)$. In particular, if $C(K)$ is finite, then $C(K)=p r^{\infty_{K}}\left(C\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}\right)$.
Remark 3.0.2. It is well known that for an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ of analytic rank 0 , its Mordell-Weil group and Tate-Shafarevich group are both finite. By the dual sequence of Cassels-Tate, Conjecture 3.0.1 holds for this elliptic curve.

The following definition and lemma have already been stated in the paper Wu21. We give them below for the convenience of reading.

Definition 3.0.3. ([Wu21, Definition 4.0.3]) Given a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ defined over a number field $K$, let $L / K$ be a nontrivial extension of number fields. We say that a triple $(C, K, L)$ is of type $I$ if

- the sets $C(K)$ and $C(L)$ are both finite and nonempty,
- $C(K) \neq C(L)$,
- Stoll's conjecture 3.0.1 holds for the curve $C$.

Lemma 3.0.4. (Wu21, Lemma 4.0.4]) Let $L / K$ be a nontrivial extension of number fields. Suppose that Conjecture 3.0.1 holds for all smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curves defined over $K$. Then there exists a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ defined over $K$ such that the triple ( $C, K, L$ ) is of type $I$.

The following lemma is a strong form of Wu21, Lemma 6.1.3]. It will be used to choose a dominant morphism from a given curve to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Lemma 3.0.5. Let $L / K$ be a nontrivial extension of number fields. Given a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ defined over $K$, suppose that the triple $(C, K, L)$ is of type $I$ (Definition 3.0.3). For any finite $K$-subscheme $R \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}$, there exists a dominant $K$-morphism $\gamma: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that

- $\gamma(C(K))=\{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(K)$,
- $\gamma(C(L) \backslash C(K))=\{0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(K)$,
- $\gamma$ is étale over $R$.

Proof. The proof is along the same idea as the proof of Wu21, Lemma 6.1.3], where the statement was shown for $R \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{0, \infty\}$. We will put one more condition for choosing a rational function. Let $K(C)$ be the function field of $C$. For $C(K)$ and $C(L)$ are both finite nonempty, and $C(K) \neq C(L)$, by Riemann-Roch theorem, we can choose a rational function $\phi \in K(C)^{\times} \backslash K^{\times}$such that

- the set of its poles contains $C(K)$,
- the set of its zeros contains $C(L) \backslash C(K)$,
- all poles and zeros are of multiplicity one.

Then this rational function $\phi$ gives a dominant $K$-morphism $\gamma_{0}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that

- $\gamma_{0}(C(L) \backslash C(K))=\{0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(K)$,
- $\gamma_{0}(C(K))=\{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(K)$,
- $\gamma_{0}$ is étale over $\{0, \infty\}$.

Then the branch locus of $\gamma_{0}$ is finite and contained in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{0, \infty\}$. We can choose an automorphism $\varphi_{\lambda_{0}}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1},(u: v) \mapsto\left(\lambda_{0} u: v\right)$ with $\lambda_{0} \in K^{\times}$such that the branch locus of $\gamma_{0}$ has no intersection with $\varphi_{\lambda_{0}}(R)$. Let $\gamma=\left(\varphi_{\lambda_{0}}\right)^{-1} \circ \gamma_{0}$. Then the morphism $\gamma$ is étale over $R$, and satisfies other conditions.

## 4. Main Results

In this section, we will construct smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surfaces to give negative answers to Questions 1.2 ,
4.1. Non-invariance of weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction for surfaces. For any number field $K$, assuming Conjecture 3.0.1, Liang Lia18, Theorem 4.5] found a quadratic extension $L$, and constructed a 3 -fold to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.1. The author Wu21, Theorem 6.2.1] generalized his result to any nontrivial extension of number fields. Although the strategies of these two papers are different, the methods used there are combining the arithmetic properties of Châtelet surfaces with a construction method from Poonen Poo10. Thus the varieties constructed there, are 3 -folds. For any extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming Conjecture 3.0.1 in this subsection, we will construct a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.1. The method that we will use, is to combine some fibration lemmas with the arithmetic properties of curves, whose irreducible components are projective lines.
4.1.1. Preparation Lemmas. We state the following lemmas, which will be used for the proof of Theorem 4.1.7
The following fibration lemma has already been stated in the paper Wu21. We give them below for the convenience of reading.

Lemma 4.1.1. (Wu21, Lemma 6.1.1]) Let $K$ be a number field, and let $S \subset \Omega_{K}$ be a finite subset. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $K$-morphism of proper $K$-varieties $X$ and $Y$. Suppose that
(1) the set $Y(K)$ is finite,
(2) the variety $Y$ satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$,
(3) for any $P \in Y(K)$, the fiber $X_{P}$ of $f$ over $P$ satisfies weak approximation off $S$.

Then the variety $X$ satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$.
The following fibration lemma can be viewed as a modification of Wu21, Lemma 6.1.2] to fit into our context.

Lemma 4.1.2. Let $K$ be a number field, and let $S \subset \Omega_{K}$ be a finite subset. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $K$-morphism of proper $K$-varieties $X$ and $Y$. We assume that
(1) the set $Y(K)$ is finite,
(2) there exists some $P \in Y(K)$ such that the fiber $X_{P}$ of $f$ over $P$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$.
Then the variety $X$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$.

Proof. By Assumption (2), take a $P_{0} \in Y(K)$ such that the fiber $X_{P_{0}}$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$. Then there exist a finite nonempty subset $S^{\prime} \subset \Omega_{K} \backslash S$ and a nonempty open subset $L=\prod_{v \in S^{\prime}} U_{v} \times \prod_{v \notin S^{\prime}} X_{P_{0}}\left(K_{v}\right) \subset$ $X_{P_{0}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ such that $L \cap X_{P_{0}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \neq \emptyset$, but that $L \cap X_{P_{0}}(K)=\emptyset$. By Assumption (1), the set $Y(K)$ is finite, so we can take a Zariski open subset $V_{P_{0}} \subset Y$ such that $V_{P_{0}}(K)=\left\{P_{0}\right\}$. For any $v \in S^{\prime}$, since $U_{v}$ is open in $X_{P_{0}}\left(K_{v}\right) \subset f^{-1}\left(V_{P_{0}}\right)\left(K_{v}\right)$, we can take an open subset $W_{v}$ of $f^{-1}\left(V_{P_{0}}\right)\left(K_{v}\right)$ such that $W_{v} \cap X_{P_{0}}\left(K_{v}\right)=U_{v}$. Consider the open subset $N=\prod_{v \in S^{\prime}} W_{v} \times \prod_{v \notin S^{\prime}} X\left(K_{v}\right) \subset X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$, then $L \subset N$. By the functoriality of BrauerManin pairing, we have $X_{P_{0}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \subset X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}$. So the set $N \cap X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \supset L \cap X_{P_{0}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}$, is nonempty. But $N \cap X(K)=N \cap X_{P_{0}}(K)=L \cap X_{P_{0}}(K)=\emptyset$, which implies that $X$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$.

The following lemma states that a $K$-scheme with multiple geometrically irreducible components will violate weak approximation.

Lemma 4.1.3. Let $K$ be a number field, and let $S \subset \Omega_{K}$ be a finite subset. Let $X$ be a $K$ scheme, which is not a $K$-variety, i.e. it has multiple geometrically irreducible components. We assume $\prod_{v \in \Omega_{K}} X\left(K_{v}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then the variety $X$ does not satisfy weak approximation off $S$.

Proof. Let $X^{0}$ be the smooth locus of $X$. Claim that $X^{0} \subset X$ is an open dense subscheme. We prove the claim first. For $X$ is reduced and $K$ is of characteristic 0 , the scheme $X$ is geometrically reduced. For any geometrically irreducible component of $X$, by Har97, Chapter II. Corollary 8.16], its smooth locus is open dense in this geometrically irreducible component. So the claim follows. From this claim, we have $X$ and $X^{0}$ have the same number of geometrically irreducible components.
By assumption that $X$ has multiple geometrically irreducible components, let $X_{1}^{0}$ and $X_{2}^{0}$ be two different geometrically irreducible components of $X^{0}$, defined over the number fields $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ respectively. By Lang-Weil estimate [LW54], the varieties $X_{1}^{0}$ and $X_{2}^{0}$ have local points for almost all places of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ respectively. By the Čebotarev density theorem, we can take two different places $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \Omega_{K}^{f} \backslash S$ such that $v_{1}, v_{2}$ split in $K_{1}$ and also in $K_{2}$, and that $X_{1}^{0}\left(K_{v_{1}}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{2}^{0}\left(K_{v_{2}}\right) \neq \emptyset$. For $\prod_{v \in \Omega_{K}} X\left(K_{v}\right) \neq \emptyset$, we consider a nonempty open subset $L=X_{1}^{0}\left(K_{v_{1}}\right) \times X_{2}^{0}\left(K_{v_{2}}\right) \times \prod_{v \in \Omega_{K} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}} X\left(K_{v}\right) \subset \prod_{v \in \Omega_{K}} X\left(K_{v}\right)$. For $X^{0}$ is smooth, and the varieties $X_{1}^{0}, X_{2}^{0}$ are different geometrically irreducible components, we have $X_{1}^{0}\left(K_{v_{1}}\right) \cap X_{2}^{0}\left(K_{v_{1}}\right)=\emptyset$, which implies $X(K) \cap L=\emptyset$. Hence $X$ does not satisfy weak approximation off $S$.

The following two lemmas state that two projective lines meeting at one point will violate weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction.
Lemma 4.1.4. Let $C$ be a curve defined over a number field $K$ by a homogeneous equation: $x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)$. Then the natural restriction map $\operatorname{Br}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}(C)$, is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be two irreducible components of $C$. Let $i_{1}, i_{2}$ and $i_{3}$ be the natural embeddings of $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ in $C$ respectively. Then we have the following sequence of étale sheaves on $C$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow i_{1 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{1}} \oplus i_{2 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}} \rightarrow i_{3 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{1} \cap C_{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

where the map $i_{2 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}} \rightarrow i_{3 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{1} \cap C_{2}}$ is the opposite of the restriction map, and other maps are canonical restriction maps. By checking the exactness of this sequence at each geometric point of $C$, and Mil80, Chapter II. Theorem 2.15], it is exact. It gives rise to an exact sequence of étale sheaves on $C$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, C} \rightarrow i_{1 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1}} \oplus i_{2 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{2}} \rightarrow i_{3 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1} \cap C_{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

For the intersection $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ is a rational point, this sequence splits. Using étale cohomology, for any integer $n \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{n}\left(C, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{n}\left(C, i_{1 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1}} \oplus i_{2 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{n}\left(C, i_{3 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1} \cap C_{2}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

For $i_{1}, i_{2}$ and $i_{3}$ are closed embeddings, by Mil80, Chapter II. Corollary 3.6], the functors $i_{1 *}, i_{2 *}$ and $i_{3 *}$ are exact. Since $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, we have the following commutative diagram:

with exact rows. By taking $n=2$, we have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}(C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}(K) \oplus \operatorname{Br}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}(K) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

So we have $\operatorname{Br}(K) \cong \operatorname{Br}(C)$.
Remark 4.1.5. In HS14, Harpaz and Skorobogatov used another exact sequence of étale sheaves on $C$ (cf. Proposition 1.1 in loc. cit.) to calculate the Brauer group of $C$. By easy computation, this lemma can be gotten from their Corollary 1.5 in loc. cit.

Lemma 4.1.6. Let $K$ be a number field, and let $S \subset \Omega_{K}$ be a finite subset. Let $C$ be a curve defined over $K$ by a homogeneous equation: $x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)$. Then the curve $C$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$.

Proof. For the curve $C$ has $K$-rational points and two irreducible components, by Lemma 4.1.3, it does not satisfy weak approximation off $S$. By Lemma 4.1.4, we have $\operatorname{Br}(K) \cong$ $\operatorname{Br}(C)$. So the curve $C$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $S$.

Theorem 4.1.7. For any nontrivial extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming that Conjecture 3.0.1 holds over $K$, there exists a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ defined over $K$ such that

- the surface $X$ has a $K$-rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $\infty_{K}$,
- the surface $X_{L}$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $T$ for any finite subset $T \subset \Omega_{L}$.

Proof. We will construct a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$. Let $C_{\infty}$ be a projective line defined over $K$ by a homogeneous equation: $x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)$. Let $C_{0}$ be a curve defined over $K$ by a homogeneous equation: $x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)$. Let $\left(u_{0}: u_{1}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$, and let $s^{\prime}=u_{0}\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right)+u_{1}\left(x_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.x_{1}^{2}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}(1,2)\right)$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the locus defined by $s^{\prime}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$. For the curves $C_{\infty}$ and $C_{0}$ meet transversally, the locus $X^{\prime}$ is smooth. Let $R$ be the locus over which the composition $X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \xrightarrow{p r_{1}} \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is not smooth. Then by Har97, Chapter III. Corollary 10.7], it is finite over $K$. By the assumption that Conjecture 3.0.1 holds over $K$, and Lemma 3.0.4 we can take a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ defined over $K$ such that the triple $(C, K, L)$ is of type $I$. By Lemma 3.0.5, we can choose a $K$-morphism $\gamma: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $\gamma(C(L) \backslash C(K))=\{0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(K), \gamma(C(K))=\{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(K)$, and that $\gamma$ is étale over $R$. Let $B=C \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$, and let $(\gamma, i d): B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $\mathcal{L}=(\gamma, i d)^{*} \mathcal{O}(1,2)$, and let $s=(\gamma, i d)^{*}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{L})$. Let $X$ be the zero locus of $s$ in $B$. For $\gamma$ is étale over the locus $R$, the surface $X$ is smooth. Since $X$ is defined by the support of the global section $s$, it is an effective divisor. The invertible sheaf $\mathscr{L}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(1,2)$, which is a very ample sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$. And $(\gamma, i d)$ is a finite morphism, so the pull back of this ample sheaf is again ample, which implies that the invertible sheaf $\mathscr{L}(X)$ on $C \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is ample. By Har97, Chapter III. Corollary 7.9], the surface $X$ is geometrically connected. So the surface $X$ is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. Let
$\beta: X \hookrightarrow B=C \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \xrightarrow{p r_{1}} C$ be the composition morphism. By our construction, we have the following Cartesian diagram:


Next, we will check that the surface $X$ has the properties.
We will show that $X$ has a $K$-rational point. For any $P \in C(K)$, the fiber $\beta^{-1}(P) \cong C_{\infty}$. The projective line $C_{\infty}$ has a $K$-rational point, so the set $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.
We will show that $X$ satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $\infty_{K}$. Since the projective line $C_{\infty}$ satisfies weak approximation, also weak approximation off $\infty_{K}$, we consider the morphism $\beta$, then Assumption (3) of Lemma 4.1.1 holds. Since Conjecture 3.0.1 holds for the curve $C$, using Lemma 4.1.1 for the morphism $\beta$, the surface $X$ satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $\infty_{K}$.
For any finite subset $T \subset \Omega_{L}$, we will show that $X_{L}$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $T$. We take a point $Q \in C(L) \backslash C(K)$, by the choice of the curve $C$ and morphism $\beta$, the fiber $\beta^{-1}(Q) \cong C_{0 L}$. By Lemma 4.1.6, the curve $C_{0 L}$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $T \cup \infty_{L}$. By Lemma 4.1.2 the surface $X_{L}$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $T \cup \infty_{L}$. So it does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $T$.
4.2. Non-invariance of the failures of the Hasse principle explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction for surfaces. For an extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming that the degree $[L: K]$ is odd, or that the field $L$ has one real place, also assuming Conjecture 3.0.1, the author Wu21, Theorem 6.3.1 and Theorem 6.3.2] constructed 3-folds to give negative answers to Question 1.2.2. The method used there is combining the arithmetic properties of Châtelet surfaces with a construction method from Poonen Poo10. Thus the varieties constructed there, are 3-folds. For any extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming Conjecture 3.0.1 in this subsection, we will construct a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.2,
4.2.1. Preparation lemmas. We state the following lemmas, which will be used for Choosing curves.

Lemma 4.2.1. Let $K$ be a number field. Let $p_{1}, p_{2}$ be two odd prime elements, and $v_{p_{1}} \neq v_{p_{2}}$. If $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)_{v_{p_{1}}}=1$, then $p_{2} \in K_{v_{p_{1}}}^{\times 2}$. Otherwise, if $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)_{v_{p_{1}}}=-1$, then $p_{2} \notin K_{v_{p_{1}}}^{\times 2}$.

Proof. Consider the case $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)_{v_{p_{1}}}=1$. By definition, the equation $x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} x_{1}^{2}-p_{2} x_{2}^{2}=0$ has a nontrivial solution in $K_{v_{p_{1}}}$. Let $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(a, b, c)$ be a primitive solution of this equation. By comparing the valuations, we have $v_{p_{1}}(a)=v_{p_{1}}(c)=0$. So $a^{2}-p_{2} c^{2} \equiv 0$ $\bmod p_{1}$. For $p_{1}$ is an odd prime element, by Hensel's lemma, we have $p_{2} \in K_{v_{p_{1}}}^{\times 2}$. This proves the first part of this lemma. If $p_{2} \in K_{v_{p_{1}}}^{\times 2}$, then $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)_{v_{p_{1}}}=1$, which implies the last argument.

Lemma 4.2.2. Let $K$ be a number field, and let $v \in \Omega_{K}^{f}$. Then there exists a proper nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that for any $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$, if $a \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{I}$, then $a \in K_{v}^{\times 2}$.

Proof. Let $p$ be the prime number such that $v \mid p$ in $K$. Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be the ideal generated by $p^{3}$. Then by Hensel's lemma, we have $1+p^{3} \mathcal{O}_{K_{v}} \subset K_{v}^{\times 2}$, which implies this lemma.

Lemma 4.2.3. Let $K$ be a number field. Let $p_{1}, p_{2}$ be two odd prime elements, and $v_{p_{1}} \neq v_{p_{2}}$. Let $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}$ be the ideal generated by $p_{1} p_{2}$. Then there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that

- the image of $x$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K} / \mathfrak{I}$ is invertible,
- for any $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$, if $a \equiv x \bmod \mathfrak{I}$, then $\left(p_{1}, a\right)_{v_{p_{1}}}=-1$ and $\left(p_{2}, a\right)_{v_{p_{2}}}=1$.

Proof. We take an element $\overline{x_{1}} \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / p_{1}\right) \backslash\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / p_{1}\right)^{2}$, and let $x_{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ be a lift of $\overline{x_{1}}$. By Chinese remainder theorem, we choose an element $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $x \equiv x_{1} \bmod p_{1}$ and $x \equiv 1 \bmod p_{2}$. By the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2.1, this element $x$ satisfies the conditions.
4.2.2. Choosing one curve with respect to an extension. In this subsubsection, we will choose one curve with some given arithmetic properties. Given an extension of number fields $L / K$, by Lemmas 4.2 .2 and 2.0.1, we can choose an odd prime element $p_{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\tau_{v}\left(p_{1}\right)>0$ for all $v \in \infty_{K}^{r}$,
- $p_{1} \in K_{v}^{\times 2}$ for all $v \in 2_{K}$,
- $p_{1}$ splits in $L$.

By Lemmas 4.2.1, 4.2.2 and 2.0.1, we can choose an odd prime element $p_{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)_{v_{p_{1}}}=1$,
- $p_{2}$ splits in $L$,
- $v_{p_{2}} \neq v_{p_{1}}$.

Let $L^{\prime}=L\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{p_{2}}\right)$. By Lemma 2.0.1, we can choose an odd prime element $p_{3} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $v_{p_{3}} \notin\left\{v_{p_{1}}, v_{p_{2}}\right\}$, and that $v_{p_{3}}$ splits in $L^{\prime}$. Let $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.p_{2} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} p_{2} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-p_{3} y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-p_{3} y_{1}^{3}\right)$ be a bi-homogeneous polynomial, and let $Z^{f}$ be the zero locus of $f$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with bi-homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$. With the notation, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.2.4. Let $Z^{f} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the zero locus defined over $K$ by the bi-homogeneous polynomial $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)$. Then the curves $Z^{f}$ and $Z_{L}^{f}$ violate the Hasse principle.

Proof. By the condition that the prime elements $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ split in $L$, the set $Z^{f}(K)=$ $Z^{f}(L)=\emptyset$. It will be suffice to prove that for any $v \in \Omega_{K}$, the equation $\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.p_{2} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} p_{2} x_{1}^{2}\right)=0$ has a $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)$.
Suppose that $v \in \infty_{K} \cup 2_{K}$. Then, by the choice of $p_{1}$, we have $p_{1} \in K_{v}^{\times 2}$, so the equation $x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} x_{1}^{2}=0$ has a $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
Suppose that $v=v_{p_{1}}$. Then, by the choice of $p_{2}$, we have $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)_{v_{p_{1}}}=1$. By Lemma 4.2.1, we have $p_{2} \in K_{v_{p_{1}}}^{\times 2}$. Hence the equation $x_{0}^{2}-p_{2} x_{1}^{2}=0$ has a $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
Suppose that $v=v_{p_{2}}$. Using the product formula $\prod_{v \in \Omega_{K}}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)_{v}=1$, we have $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)_{v_{p_{2}}}=$ 1. By Lemma 4.2.1, we have $p_{1} \in K_{v_{p_{2}}}^{\times 2}$. Hence the equation $x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} x_{1}^{2}=0$ has a $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
Suppose that $v \in \Omega_{K} \backslash\left(\infty_{K} \cup 2_{K} \cup\left\{v_{p_{1}}, v_{p_{2}}\right\}\right)$, then, by the quadratic reciprocity law, at least one of equations: $x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} x_{1}^{2}=0, x_{0}^{2}-p_{2} x_{1}^{2}=0, x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} p_{2} x_{1}^{2}=0$, has a $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
So $Z^{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
Lemma 4.2.5. The natural restriction map $\operatorname{Br}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(Z_{L}^{f}\right)$, is an isomorphism.
Proof. Let $C_{1}$ (respectively $C_{2}$ ) be the locus defined over $L$ by the equation $\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.p_{2} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} p_{2} x_{1}^{2}\right)=0$ (respectively $\left.\left(y_{0}^{2}-p_{3} y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-p_{3} y_{1}^{3}\right)=0\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with bihomogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$. Then $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are smooth curves in $Z_{L}^{f}$, and $Z_{L}^{f}=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$. Let $i_{1}, i_{2}$ and $i_{3}$ be the natural embeddings of $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ in
$C$ respectively. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1.4, we have the following exact sequence of étale sheaves on $Z_{L}^{f}$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_{L}^{f}} \rightarrow i_{1 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{1}} \oplus i_{2 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}} \rightarrow i_{3 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{1} \cap C_{2}} \rightarrow 0,
$$

where the map $i_{2 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}} \rightarrow i_{3 *} \mathcal{O}_{C_{1} \cap C_{2}}$ is the opposite of the restriction map, and other maps are canonical restriction maps. This sequence gives rise to an exact sequence of étale sheaves on $C$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, Z_{L}^{f}} \rightarrow i_{1 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1}} \oplus i_{2 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{2}} \rightarrow i_{3 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1} \cap C_{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

By the long exact sequence of étale cohomology, we have the following exact sequence:

$$
H_{\mathrm{et}}^{1}\left(Z_{L}^{f}, i_{3 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1} \cap C_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{2}\left(Z_{L}^{f}, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2}\left(Z_{L}^{f}, i_{1 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1}} \oplus i_{2 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{2}\left(Z_{L}^{f}, i_{3 *} \mathbb{G}_{m, C_{1} \cap C_{2}}\right) .
$$

For $i_{1}, i_{2}$ and $i_{3}$ are closed embeddings, it gives the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {êt }}^{1}\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2}, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(Z_{L}^{f}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Br}\left(C_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our choice, two different places $v_{p_{1}}$ and $v_{p_{2}}$ split in $L$, so we have number fields $L\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}\right)$, $L\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}\right), L\left(\sqrt{p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$, denoted by $L_{10}, L_{20}, L_{30}$ respectively. And

$$
C_{1} \cong\left(\operatorname{Spec} L_{10} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} L} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \bigsqcup\left(\operatorname{Spec} L_{20} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} L} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \bigsqcup\left(\operatorname{Spec} L_{30} \times \times_{\operatorname{Spec} L} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)
$$

So $\operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i 0}\right)$.
Similarly, we have number fields $L\left(\sqrt{p_{3}}\right), L\left(\sqrt[3]{p_{3}}\right)$, denoted by $L_{01}, L_{02}$ respectively. And

$$
C_{2} \cong\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} L} \operatorname{Spec} L_{01}\right) \bigsqcup\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} L} \operatorname{Spec} L_{02}\right)
$$

Then $\operatorname{Br}\left(C_{2}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{0 j}\right)$.
Since the different places $v_{p_{1}}, v_{p_{2}}$ and $v_{p_{3}}$ split in $L$, for any $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and any $j \in\{1,2\}$, we have number fields $L_{i 0} \otimes_{L} L_{0 j}$, denoted by $L_{i j}$. Then

$$
C_{1} \cap C_{2} \cong \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{3} \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Spec} L_{i j} .
$$

So $\operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i j}\right)$.
By Hilbert's Theorem 90 , we have $H_{\text {êt }}^{1}\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2}, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=0$. By the exact sequence (11), we have an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(Z_{L}^{f}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Br}\left(C_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.2.4, the set $Z_{L}^{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, from the prove of Lemma 4.2.4, the set $C_{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right) \neq \emptyset$. We take an adelic point $\left(P_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \in C_{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$, then the evaluation of elements in $\operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right)$ on this adelic point gives a map: $\operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right)$, which makes the following diagram:

commutative. By the reciprocity law of global class field theory, the map $\operatorname{Br}(L) \rightarrow$ $\bigoplus_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right)$ is injective, so the natural map $\operatorname{Br}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(Z_{L}^{f}\right)$ is injective. We have the
following commutative diagram:

with exact rows. Next, we will prove that the natural map $\operatorname{Br}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(Z_{L}^{f}\right)$ is surjective. By the commutative diagram, we need to prove that the sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Br}(L) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i 0}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{0 j}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i j}\right)
$$

is exact. Notice that by our choice, the map $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{0 j}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i j}\right)$ is the opposite of the restriction map, and other maps are canonical restriction maps. Take an element $\left(\alpha_{i 0}, \alpha_{0 j}\right) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i 0}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{0 j}\right)$. Suppose that it goes to zero in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i j}\right)$. So the restrictions of $\alpha_{i 0}$ and $\alpha_{0 j}$ to $\operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i j}\right)$ coincide. Also consider the adelic point $\left(P_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}}$ and the map: $\operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right)$. $\operatorname{By} \operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right) \cong$ $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i 0}\right)$, we view ( $\alpha_{i 0}$ ) as an element in $\operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right)$ and let $\left(a_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}}$ be its image in $\bigoplus_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right)$. Then for any $j \in\{1,2\}$, we have the following commutative diagram:


By the reciprocity law of global class field theory, two rows of this diagram are exact. For the restrictions of $\alpha_{i 0}$ and $\alpha_{0 j}$ to $\operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i j}\right)$ coincide, the restrictions of $\left(a_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}}$ and $\alpha_{0 j}$ to $\bigoplus_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{0 j} \otimes_{L} L_{v^{\prime}}\right)$ coincide. So $\left[L_{0 j}: L\right] \sum_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{inv}_{v^{\prime}}\left(a_{v^{\prime}}\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$. For the degrees $\left[L_{01}: L\right]=2$ and $\left[L_{02}: L\right]=3$, we have $\sum_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{inv}_{v^{\prime}}\left(a_{v^{\prime}}\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$. By the exact sequence of the first row, let $a \in \operatorname{Br}(L)$ be the element such that its image in $\bigoplus_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right)$ equals $\left(a_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}}$. Let $\left.a\right|_{L_{0 j}}$ and $\left.a\right|_{L_{i 0}}$ be the restrictions of $a$ to $\operatorname{Br}\left(L_{0 j}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i 0}\right)$ respectively. Then from the diagram (3), we have $\left.a\right|_{L_{0 j}}=\alpha_{0 j}$. For any $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, we consider the element $\alpha_{i 0}-\left.a\right|_{L_{i 0}}$. For the restrictions of $\alpha_{i 0}-\left.a\right|_{L_{i 0}}$ and $\alpha_{0 j}-\left.a\right|_{L_{0 j}}=0$ to $\operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i j}\right)$ coincide, they are zero in $\operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i j}\right)$. By the standard restrictioncorestriction argument, we have $\left[L_{0 j}: L\right]\left(\alpha_{i 0}-\left.a\right|_{L_{i 0}}\right)=0$ in $\operatorname{Br}\left(L_{i 0}\right)$. For the degrees $\left[L_{01}: L\right]=2$ and $\left[L_{02}: L\right]=3$, we have $\left.a\right|_{L_{i 0}}=\alpha_{i 0}$. So the element $a$ maps to the element $\left(\alpha_{i 0}, \alpha_{0 j}\right)$, which implies that the map $\operatorname{Br}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(Z_{L}^{f}\right)$ is surjective.

Remark 4.2.6. In our proof, the map $\operatorname{Br}\left(C_{1}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}} \operatorname{Br}\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right)$, depends on the choice of the adelic point $\left(P_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}}$ in $C_{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$. We use this adelic point to illustrate that the map $\operatorname{Br}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(Z_{L}^{f}\right)$ is injective. In order to prove this injection, by using the information from $C_{2}$, the curve $Z_{L}^{f}$ contains closed points of degree 2 and 3 , then one can use the standard restriction-corestriction argument to get this injection. The idea to proof that this map is surjective, comes from [HS14, Proposition 3.1].
4.2.3. Choosing another curve with respect to an extension. In this subsubsection, we will choose another curve with some given arithmetic properties. Given an extension of number fields $L / K$, similar to the choice of $p_{1}$, we can choose an odd prime element $p_{4} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\tau_{v}\left(p_{4}\right)>0$ for all $v \in \infty_{K}^{r}$,
- $p_{4} \in K_{v}^{\times 2}$ for all $v \in 2_{K}$,
- $p_{4}$ splits in $L$,
- $v_{p_{4}} \notin\left\{v_{p_{1}}, v_{p_{2}}, v_{p_{3}}\right\}$.

By Lemmas 4.2.3 and 2.0.1 we choose an odd prime element $p_{5} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\left(p_{4}, p_{5}\right)_{v_{p_{4}}}=-1$,
- $v_{p_{5}} \notin\left\{v_{p_{1}}, v_{p_{2}}, v_{p_{3}}, v_{p_{4}}\right\}$.

Similarly, by Lemmas 4.2 .3 and 2.0.1 we choose an odd prime element $p_{6} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\left(p_{4}, p_{6}\right)_{v_{p_{4}}}=-1$,
- $\left(p_{5}, p_{6}\right)_{v_{p_{5}}}=1$,
- $v_{p_{6}} \notin\left\{v_{p_{1}}, v_{p_{2}}, v_{p_{3}}, v_{p_{4}}, v_{p_{5}}\right\}$.

Let $g\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{5} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} p_{5} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-p_{6} y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-p_{4} y_{1}^{3}\right)$ be a bihomogeneous polynomial, and let $Z^{g}$ be the zero locus of $g$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with bi-homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$. With the notation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2.7. Let $Z^{g} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the zero locus defined over $K$ by the bi-homogeneous polynomial $g\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)$. Then $Z^{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\left\{v_{p_{4}}\right\}}\right) \neq \emptyset$ but $Z^{g}\left(K_{v_{p_{4}}}\right)=\emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that $v \in \infty_{K} \cup 2_{K}$. Then, by the choice of $p_{4}$, we have $p_{4} \in K_{v}^{\times 2}$. So the equation $x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} x_{1}^{2}=0$ has a $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)$. Suppose that $v=v_{p_{5}}$. Then, by the choice of $p_{6}$, we have $\left(p_{5}, p_{6}\right)_{v_{p_{5}}}=1$. By Lemma 4.2.1, we have $p_{6} \in K_{v_{p_{5}}}^{\times 2}$. So the equation $y_{0}^{2}-p_{6} y_{1}^{2}=0$ has a $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$.
Suppose that $v \in \Omega_{K} \backslash\left(\infty_{K} \cup 2_{K} \cup\left\{v_{p_{4}}, v_{p_{5}}\right\}\right)$, then, by the quadratic reciprocity law, at least one of equations: $x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} x_{1}^{2}=0, x_{0}^{2}-p_{5} x_{1}^{2}=0, x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} p_{5} x_{1}^{2}=0$, has a $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)$.
So $Z^{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\left\{v_{p_{4}}\right\}}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
Suppose that $v=v_{p_{4}}$. Then the equations $x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} x_{1}^{2}=0, x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} p_{5} x_{1}^{2}=0$ and $y_{0}^{3}-p_{4} y_{1}^{3}=0$ has no $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)$ and ( $y_{0}: y_{1}$ ) respectively. By the choice of $p_{5}, p_{6}$, we have $\left(p_{4}, p_{5}\right)_{v_{p_{4}}}=-1$ and $\left(p_{4}, p_{6}\right)_{v_{p_{4}}}=-1$. By Lemma 4.2.1, we have $p_{5} \notin K_{v_{p_{4}}}^{\times 2}$ and $p_{6} \notin K_{v_{p_{4}}}^{\times 2}$. So the equations $x_{0}^{2}-p_{5} x_{1}^{2}=0$ and $y_{0}^{2}-p_{6} y_{1}^{2}=0$ have no $K_{v}$-solution in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)$ and ( $y_{0}: y_{1}$ ) respectively. So $Z^{g}\left(K_{v_{p_{4}}}\right)=\emptyset$.

Example 4.2.8. For $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(i)$, let prime elements $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}, p_{6}\right)=$ $(17,13,53,41,3,13)$. Then they satisfy all chosen conditions of Subsubsections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. They will be used for construction of our explicit unconditional example.

Theorem 4.2.9. For any nontrivial extension of number fields $L / K$, assuming that Conjecture 3.0 .1 holds over $K$, there exists a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ defined over $K$ such that

- the surface $X$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, and its failure of the Hasse principle is explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction,
- the surface $X_{L}$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, but its failure of the Hasse principle cannot be explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

Proof. We will construct a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$. For the extension $L / K$, we choose odd prime elements $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}, p_{6} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ as in Subsubsections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. Let $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{2} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{1} p_{2} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.p_{3} y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-p_{3} y_{1}^{3}\right)$ and $g\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{5} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-p_{4} p_{5} x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-p_{6} y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-\right.$ $p_{4} y_{1}^{3}$ ) be two bi-homogeneous polynomials, and let $Z^{f}$ and $Z^{g}$ be the zero loci of $f$ and $g$ respectively in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with bi-homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$. Let $\left(u_{0}: u_{1}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and let $s^{\prime}=$ $u_{0} g\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)+u_{1} f\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}(1,6,5)\right)$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the locus defined by $s^{\prime}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. For the curves $Z^{f}$ and $Z^{g}$ meet transversally, the locus $X^{\prime}$ is smooth. Let $R$ be the locus over which the composition $X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{p r_{1}} \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is not smooth. Then by Har97, Chapter III. Corollary 10.7], it is finite over $K$. By the assumption that Conjecture 3.0.1 holds over $K$, and Lemma 3.0.4, we can take a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve $C$ defined over $K$ such that the triple $(C, K, L)$ is of type $I$. By Lemma 3.0.5, we can choose a $K$-morphism $\gamma: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $\gamma(C(L) \backslash C(K))=\{0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(K), \gamma(C(K))=\{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(K)$, and that $\gamma$ is étale over $R$. Let $B=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and let $(\gamma, i d): B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $\mathcal{L}=(\gamma, i d)^{*} \mathcal{O}(1,6,5)$, and let $s=(\gamma, i d)^{*}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{L})$. Let $X$ be the zero locus of $s$ in $B$. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.7 the surface $X$ is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. Let $\beta: X \hookrightarrow B=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{p r_{1}} C$ be the composition morphism. By our construction, we have the following Cartesian diagram:


Next, we will check that the surface $X$ has the properties.
We will show $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \neq \emptyset$. For any $P \in C(K)$, the fiber $\beta^{-1}(P) \cong Z^{g}$. By Lemma 4.2.7, the set $Z^{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\left\{v_{p_{4}}\right\}}\right) \neq \emptyset$. So the set $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\left\{v_{p_{4}}\right\}}\right) \neq \emptyset$. For $v_{p_{4}}$ splits in $L$, we take a place $v^{\prime} \in \Omega_{L}^{f}$ above $v_{p_{4}}$ such that $K_{v_{p_{4}}}=L_{v^{\prime}}$. By Lemma 4.2.4, the set $Z^{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Take a point $Q \in C(L) \backslash C(K)$, then the fiber $\beta^{-1}(Q) \cong Z_{L}^{f}$. We have $X\left(K_{v_{p_{4}}}\right)=X_{L}\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right) \supset$ $\beta^{-1}(Q)\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right) \cong Z^{f}\left(L_{v^{\prime}}\right) \neq \emptyset$. So the set $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
We will show $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}=\emptyset$. By our choice and Conjecture 3.0.1, the set $C(K)$ is finite, and $C(K)=p r^{\infty}{ }_{K}\left(C\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}\right)$. By the functoriality of Brauer-Manin pairing, we have $p r^{\infty_{K}}\left(X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}\right) \subset \bigsqcup_{P \in C(K)} \beta^{-1}(P)\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\infty}\right)$. But by Lemma 4.2.7, the set $Z^{g}\left(K_{v_{p_{4}}}\right)=\emptyset$, so we have $p^{\infty_{K}}\left(X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}\right) \subset \bigsqcup_{P \in C(K)} \beta^{-1}(P)\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\infty_{K}}\right) \cong Z^{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\infty_{K}}\right) \times C(K)=\emptyset$, which implies that $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}=\emptyset$.
So, the surface $X$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, and its failure of the Hasse principle is explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.
We will show $X_{L}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. Take a point $Q \in C(L) \backslash C(K)$. By Lemma 4.2.5, the set $Z_{L}^{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}=Z_{L}^{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$. By Lemma 4.2.4 it is nonempty. By the functoriality of BrauerManin pairing, the set $X_{L}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}$ contains $\beta^{-1}(Q)\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \cong Z_{L}^{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}$, so $X_{L}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. We will show $X(L)=\emptyset$. By Lemma 4.2.7 and the condition that $v_{p_{4}}$ splits in $L$, we have $Z^{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)=\emptyset$, so the set $Z^{g}(L)=\emptyset$. By Lemma 4.2.4, the set $Z^{f}(L)=\emptyset$. Since each $L$ rational fiber of $\beta$ is isomorphic to $Z_{L}^{g}$ or $Z_{L}^{f}$, the set $X(L)=\emptyset$.
So, the variety $X_{L}$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, but its failure of the Hasse principle cannot be explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

## 5. Explicit unconditional examples

In this section, let $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(i)$. For this extension $L / K$, we will give explicit examples without assuming Conjecture 3.0.1 for Theorem 4.1.7 and Theorem 4.2.9.
5.1. Choosing an elliptic curve and a dominant morphism. For the extension $L / K$, as in the proof of Theorem4.1.7 and Theorem4.2.9, we can choose a common elliptic curve over $K$ for these examples.
5.1.1. Choosing an elliptic curve. For the extension $L / K$, we will choose an elliptic curve such that the triple $(E, K, L)$ is of type $I$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ by a homogeneous equation:

$$
w_{1}^{2} w_{2}=w_{0}^{3}-16 w_{2}^{3}
$$

in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left(w_{0}: w_{1}: w_{2}\right)$. Its quadratic twist $E^{(-1)}$ is isomorphic to an elliptic curve defined by a homogeneous equation: $w_{1}^{2} w_{2}=w_{0}^{3}+16 w_{2}^{3}$. The elliptic curves $E$ and $E^{(-1)}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, are of analytic rank 0 . Then the Tate-Shafarevich group $\amalg(E, K)$ is finite, so the curve $E$ satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $\infty_{K}$. The Mordell-Weil groups $E(K)$ and $E^{(-1)}(K)$ are both finite, so the group $E(L)$ is finite. Using Ste12, SageMath], we check that the Mordell-Weil group $E(K)=\{(0: 1: 0)\}$ and $E(L)=\{(0: \pm 4 i: 1),(0: 1: 0)\}$. So the triple $(E, K, L)$ is of type $I$.
5.1.2. Choosing a dominant morphism. We choose the following dominant morphism from the elliptic curve $E$ to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, which satisfies some conditions of Lemma 3.0.5
Let $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\{(1: 0: 0),(-16: 0: 1),(-1: \pm \sqrt{15} i: 1)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a morphism over $\mathbb{Q}$ given by $\left(w_{0}: w_{1}: w_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(w_{0} w_{2}+w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}: w_{0} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{2}\right)$. Composing the natural inclusion $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\{(1: 0: 0),(-16: 0: 1),(-1: \pm \sqrt{15} i: 1)\}$ with it, we get a morphism $\gamma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, which is a dominant morphism of degree 6 . The dominant morphism $\gamma$ maps $E(K)$ to $\{\infty\}=\{(1: 0)\}$, and maps $(0: \pm 4 i: 1)$ to $0:=(0: 1)$. By Bézout's Theorem Har97, Chapter I. Corollary 7.8] and calculation, the branch locus of $\gamma$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{\infty\}$. Let $\left(u_{0}: 1\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a branch point of $\gamma$. For fixed $u_{0}$, we use Jacobian criterion for the intersection of two curves $E$ and $w_{0} w_{2}+w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}=\left(w_{0} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{2}\right) u_{0}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. For the point $(0: 1: 0) \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is not in this intersection, we let $w_{2}=1$ to dehomogenize these two curves. By Jacobian criterion, the branch locus satisfies the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{1}^{2}=w_{0}^{3}-16 \\
w_{1}^{2}+w_{0}+16=w_{1}\left(w_{0}+1\right) u_{0} \\
3\left(2 w_{1}-w_{0} u_{0}-u_{0}\right) w_{0}^{2}+2 w_{1}\left(1-w_{1} u_{0}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the branch locus equals
$\left\{\left(u_{0}: 1\right) \left\lvert\, u_{0}^{12}+\frac{60627 u_{0}^{10}}{4913}+\frac{159828 u_{0}^{8}}{4913}-\frac{3505917 u_{0}^{6}}{19652}-\frac{42057961 u_{0}^{4}}{58956}+\frac{76076 u_{0}^{2}}{14739}-\frac{4112}{132651}=0\right.\right\}$.
Let $\left(u_{0}: 1\right)$ be a branch point, then the degree $\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(u_{0}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=12$.
5.2. An explicit unconditional example for Theorem 4.1.7. For $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=$ $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, in this subsection, we will construct a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface having properties of Theorem 4.1.7
5.2.1. Construction of a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface. We will construct a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ as in Theorem4.1.7. Let $\left(u_{0}: u_{1}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$, and let $s^{\prime}=u_{0}\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right)+$ $u_{1}\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}(1,2)\right)$. The locus $X^{\prime}$ defined by $s^{\prime}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is smooth. Let $R$ be the locus over which the composition $X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \xrightarrow{p r_{1}} \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is not smooth. By calculation, the locus $R=\{(0: 1),( \pm 1: 1)\}$. Let $B=E \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$, and let $(\gamma, i d): B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $\mathcal{L}=(\gamma, i d)^{*} \mathcal{O}(1,2)$, and let $s=(\gamma, i d)^{*}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{L})$. Let $X$ be the zero locus of $s$ in $B$. For the locus $R$ does not intersect with the branch locus of $\gamma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, the surface $X$ is smooth. So it is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. By our construction, the surface $X$ is defined by the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(w_{0} w_{2}+w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(w_{0} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right)=0 \\
w_{1}^{2} w_{2}=w_{0}^{3}-16 w_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with bi-homogeneous coordinates $\left(w_{0}: w_{1}: w_{2}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)$. For this surface $X$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2.1. For $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(i)$, the smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ has the following properties.

- The surface $X$ has a $K$-rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $\infty_{K}$.
- The surface $X_{L}$ does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off $T$ for any finite subset $T \subset \Omega_{L}$.

Proof. This is the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.7
5.3. An explicit unconditional example for Theorem 4.2.9, For $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=$ $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, in this subsection, we will construct a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface having properties of Theorem 4.2.9.
5.3.1. Construction of a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface. We choose odd prime elements $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}, p_{6}\right)=(17,13,53,41,3,13)$ as in Example 4.2.8. Then they satisfies all chosen conditions of Subsubsections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. Let $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=$ $\left(x_{0}^{2}-17 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-13 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-221 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-53 y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-53 y_{1}^{3}\right)$ and $g\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.41 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-3 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-123 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-13 y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-41 y_{1}^{3}\right)$ be two bi-homogeneous polynomials. Let $Z^{f}$ and $Z^{g}$ be the zero loci of $f$ and $g$ respectively in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with bi-homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$. Let $\left(u_{0}: u_{1}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and let $s^{\prime}=u_{0} g\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)+u_{1} f\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}(1,6,5)\right)$. The locus $X^{\prime}$ defined by $s^{\prime}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is smooth. Let $R$ be the locus over which the composition $X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{p r_{1}} \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is not smooth. It is finite over $\mathbb{Q}$. We can use computer to calculate this locus, and we give the calculation in Appendix 6. Let $B=E \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and let $(\gamma, i d): B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $\mathcal{L}=(\gamma, i d)^{*} \mathcal{O}(1,6,5)$, and let $s=(\gamma, i d)^{*}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{L})$. Let $X$ be the zero locus of $s$ in $B$. For the locus $R$ does not intersect with the branch locus of $\gamma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, the surface $X$ is smooth. So it is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. By our construction, the surface $X$ is defined by the following two equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(w_{0} w_{2}+w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-41 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-3 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-123 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-13 y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-41 y_{1}^{3}\right) \\
+\left(w_{0} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-17 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-13 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-221 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-53 y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-53 y_{1}^{3}\right)=0 \\
w_{1}^{2} w_{2}=w_{0}^{3}-16 w_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with tri-homogeneous coordinates $\left(w_{0}: w_{1}: w_{2}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$. For this surface $X$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3.1. For $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(i)$, the smooth, projective, and geometrically connected surface $X$ has the following properties.

- The surface $X$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, and its failure of the Hasse principle is explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.
- The surface $X_{L}$ is a counterexample to the Hasse principle, but its failure of the Hasse principle cannot be explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

Proof. This is the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.2.9.

## 6. Appendix

6.1. The locus $\boldsymbol{R}$ in Example 5.3, Let $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2}-17 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-13 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.221 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-53 y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-53 y_{1}^{3}\right)$ and $g\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2}-41 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-3 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2}-123 x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.13 y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{3}-41 y_{1}^{3}\right)$ be two bi-homogeneous polynomials. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the locus defined by $u_{0} g\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)+u_{1} f\left(x_{0}, x_{1} ; y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with tri-homogeneous coordinates $\left(u_{0}: u_{1}\right) \times\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right) \times\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$. Let $R$ be the locus over which the composition $X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{p r_{1}} \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is not smooth. We will calculate this finite locus $R$. For $Z^{f}$ and $Z^{g}$ are
curves with singularity, we have $\{(0: 1),(1: 0)\} \subset R$. Next, let $u_{1}=1$. We consider affine pieces of $X^{\prime}$.
Let $x_{1}=1$ and $y_{1}=1$. Then this gives an affine piece of $X^{\prime}$ by $u_{0} g\left(x_{0}, 1 ; y_{0}, 1\right)+$ $f\left(x_{0}, 1 ; y_{0}, 1\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ with affine coordinates $\left(u_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. For fixed $u_{0}$, we use Jacobian criterion to calculate the singularity. Then $u_{0}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} g\left(x_{0}, 1 ; y_{0}, 1\right)+f\left(x_{0}, 1 ; y_{0}, 1\right)=0 \\
u_{0} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{0}, 1 ; y_{0}, 1\right)}{\partial x_{0}}+\frac{\partial f\left(x_{0}, 1 ; y_{0}, 1\right)}{\partial x_{0}}=0 \\
u_{0} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{0}, 1 ; y_{0}, 1\right)}{\partial y_{0}}+\frac{\partial f\left(x_{0}, 1 ; y_{0}, 1\right)}{\partial y_{0}}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using computer to calculate, we have $u_{0}=0$, or $-10553413 / 620289$ or satisfies one of the following three equations:
$u_{0}^{4}+\frac{442306822591}{11644065108} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{15378563320976329}{38789291891025} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{8833702498605138892}{6891564192638775} u_{0}+\frac{1151555233848533056}{7244977740979225}=0$, $u_{0}^{6}-\frac{795599865190}{114691361} u_{0}^{5}-\frac{852352831544631911}{5205002102707} u_{0}^{4}+\frac{304535075034759072450076}{2362620380435562609} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{23484429357868605046160829719}{3971564859512180745729} u_{0}^{2}+$ $\frac{8311232379540782587276725670120990}{180257414278679347506402123} u_{0}+\frac{959341731692466689320791603186246739999}{8181343261866419545273073156601}=0$, $u_{0}^{24}-\frac{1282484299432205}{828072168642} u_{0}^{23}+\frac{3122323546639431087642188987593}{5017342803508279669201200} u_{0}^{22}-$




 $\frac{108130820023463922221144495558292235714854366747842200521525433599167408009425000843}{5} u_{0}^{16}-$ $\frac{4276548928854862536400602684047575693721206178955942137599822672373098084587625072121}{887529203508577895658109468528150053946347515447470322556769012500000} u_{0}^{15}-$ 8875292035085778956581094685281500539463475154474703225567690125000002028190429
10813844074966604099815180075415749687444209142215938657066367010854671051179202814
1849019173976203949287728059433645945721557323848896505326602109375000 $\begin{array}{r}1849019173976203949287728059433645945721557323848896505326602109375000 \\ 212274800596274205751056409361280330744666951660783687161854079450560419076576526369 \\ 2150022295321167382892707045853076681071578283545228494565816406250\end{array} u_{0}^{13}+$ $\frac{4060800858231832287928555050679913886279209156629626954732242784940147221340625071209607698108369}{17334554756026912024572450557190430741139599911083404737436894775390625} u_{0}^{12}+$ $\frac{20176896364376034775914854511315952401902515577025172947699198733258383180655210587584504}{1155636983735127468304830037146028716075973327405560315829126318359375} u_{0}^{11}+$ $\frac{158963792583731661630620955844842160301301960511243192646826835314389326180471483775057248}{5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875} u_{0}^{10}-$ $\begin{array}{r}5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875 \\ 1270266243361503789508103099955850762203604422488301533325846718541482312691517964711577728 \\ 5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875\end{array} u_{0}^{9}-$ $\begin{array}{r}5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875 \\ 2666552467620466751632153917355955257796687326989260716214069955543289610262819714744442624\end{array} u_{0}^{8}-$ $\begin{array}{r}1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625 \\ \frac{6882635355470258602823490665258239441168362415110817180409141527410617503796536388374673408}{} \\ 1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625\end{array} u_{0}^{7}-$ $\begin{array}{r}1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625 \\ \hline 9084247577733305667444515416361134105121434380512329964462666543221760153655964901019889664 \\ \hline 1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625\end{array} u_{0}^{6}-$ $\frac{200506323738234616331085970009338835768870364737332320830237673581818041659073075288342528}{7} u_{0}^{5}-$
 $\underline{2816647995777364092376808098177039066661618029531562491562800915395753104512565060304896} u_{0}^{3}+$ $\begin{array}{r}71335616279946140018816668959631402226911933790466686162291748046875 \\ 7665757353406683133913491047865070214413497147217395178477629570300922332642644328448\end{array} u_{0}^{2}+$ $\begin{array}{r}880686620740075802701440357526313607739653503586008471139404296875 \\ 251119825007641874397975890381670516864055856553441761611195723154227892347520155648 \\ u_{0}+ \\ \hline\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{r}528411972444045481620864214515788164643792102151605082683642578125 \\ 23272944755213194420743946309558908540171345437132830639580649605274861417105719296\end{array}=0$.
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Let $x_{1}=1$ and $y_{0}=1$. Then this gives an affine piece of $X^{\prime}$ by $u_{0} g\left(x_{0}, 1 ; 1, y_{1}\right)+$ $f\left(x_{0}, 1 ; 1, y_{1}\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ with affine coordinates $\left(u_{0}, x_{0}, y_{1}\right)$. For fixed $u_{0}$, we use Jacobian criterion to calculate the singularity. Then $u_{0}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} g\left(x_{0}, 1 ; 1, y_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{0}, 1 ; 1, y_{1}\right)=0 \\
u_{0} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{0}, 1 ; 1, y_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{0}}+\frac{\partial f\left(x_{0}, 1 ; 1, y_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{0}}=0 \\
u_{0} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{0}, 1 ; 1, y_{1}\right)}{\partial y_{1}}+\frac{\partial f\left(x_{0}, 1 ; 1, y_{1}\right)}{\partial y_{1}}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using computer to calculate, we have $u_{0}=0$, or $-48841 / 15129$ or satisfies one of the following three equations:
$u_{0}^{4}+\frac{157460599}{21846276} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{1949002009}{136539225} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{398554348}{45513075} u_{0}^{+} \frac{3125824}{15171025}=0$,
$u_{0}^{6}-\frac{795599865190}{1146914361} u_{0}^{5}-\frac{852352831544631911}{52055002102707} u_{0}^{4}+\frac{304535075034759072450076}{2362620380435562609} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{23484429357868605046160829719}{3971564859512180745729} u_{0}^{2}+$ $\frac{8311232379540782587276725670120990}{180257414278679347506402123} u_{0}^{+} \frac{959341731692466689320795962609}{8181343261866419545273073156601}=0$,
$u_{0}^{24}-\frac{1282484299432205}{828072168642} u_{0}^{23}+\frac{3122323546639431087642188987593}{5017342803508279669201200} u_{0}^{22}-$
$u_{0}-\frac{828072168642}{} u_{0}+\frac{5017342803508279}{}$
$\frac{9220867294873355192932709492986698418282151}{152002022053223167005295465603491600} u_{0}^{21}$
$\begin{aligned} & 152002022053223167005295465603491600 \\ & \frac{30999681746654846295693028728045879521729132080169271161}{7580165253814008879739256663670726076436640000}\end{aligned} u_{0}^{20}-$
$\begin{array}{r}7580165253814008879739256663670726076436640000 \\ 45212516638352229837933187085366694204283058079529344651463540951\end{array} u_{0}^{19}+$
$\begin{array}{r}2235578160515817023818667222976763042314131108360640000 \\ 18075149338451367526195790572251308674104245881489906934937358864775825826797 \\ 7325858627130126160176176715795586051349128034014867346496000000\end{array} u_{0}^{18}+$ $\frac{1929728458747328554854199670272434548177432513569626746401857766397194600755599}{37545025464041896570902905668452378513164281174326195150792000000} u_{0}^{17}+$ $\frac{10813082002346392222114449555829223571485436674784220052152543359916740809425000843}{57725476650964415977763217465245531963990082305526525044342700000000} u_{0}^{16}-$ $\frac{4276548928854862536400602684047575693721206178955942137599822672373098084587625072121}{887529203508577895658109468528150053946347515447470322556769012500000} u_{0}^{15}-$ $\begin{array}{r}8875292035085778956581094685281500539463475154474703225567690125000002028190429 \\ 108138440749666040998151800754157496874442091422159386570663670108546710511792028\end{array} u_{0}^{14}-$ $\begin{array}{r}1849019173976203949287728059433645945721557323848896505326602109375000 \\ 212274800596274205751056409361280330744666951660783687161854079450560419076576526369 \\ 215002295321167382892707045853076681071578283545228494565816406250\end{array} u_{0}^{13}+$
 $\frac{2017689636437603477591485451131595240190251557702517294769919873325839831806556250587584504}{1155636983735127468304830037146028716075973327405560315829126318359375} u_{0}^{11}+$ $\frac{158963792583731661630620955844842160301301960511243192646826835314389326180471483775057248}{5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875} u_{0}^{10}-$ $\frac{1270266243361503789508103099955850762203604422488301533325846718541482312691517964711577728}{5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875} u_{0}^{9}-$ $\frac{2666552467620466751632153917355955257796687326989260716214069955543289610262819714744442624}{1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625} u_{0}^{8}-$ $\frac{6882635355470258602823490665258239441168362415110817180409141527410617503796536388374673408}{1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625} u_{0}^{7}-$ $\frac{9084247577733305667444515416361134105121434380512329964462666543221760153655964901019889664}{1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625} u_{0}^{6}-$ $\frac{200506323738234616331085970009338835768870364737332320830237673581818041659073074075288342528}{71335616279946140018816668959631402226911933790466686162291748046875} u_{0}^{5}-$ $\frac{7334044106882599637223250735958076270786299935006967560560521012299445936011083944820736}{23778538759982046672938889653210467408970644596822228720763916015625} u_{0}^{4}+$ $\frac{28166479957773640923768080981770390666616180295315624915628009153957593104512565060304896}{71335616279946140018816668959631402226911933790466686162291748046875} u_{0}^{3}+$ $\begin{array}{r}71335616279946140018816668959631402226911933790466686162291748046875 \\ 7665757353406683133913491047865070214413497147217395178477629570300922332642644328448 \\ 880686620740075802701440357526313607739653503586008471139404296875\end{array} u_{0}^{2}+$ $\begin{array}{r}880686620740075802701440357526313607739653503586008471139404296875 \\ \frac{251119825007641874397975890381670516864055856553441761611195723154227892347520155648}{528411972444045481620864214515788164643792102151605082683642578125}\end{array} u_{0}+$ $\begin{array}{r}528411972444045481620864214515788164643792102151605082683642578125 \\ 23272944755213194420743946309558908540171345437132830639580649605274861417105719296\end{array} u_{0}=0$.
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Let $x_{0}=1$ and $y_{1}=1$. Then this gives an affine piece of $X^{\prime}$ by $u_{0} g\left(1, x_{1} ; y_{0}, 1\right)+$ $f\left(1, x_{1} ; y_{0}, 1\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ with affine coordinates $\left(u_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}\right)$. For fixed $u_{0}$, we use Jacobian criterion to calculate the singularity. Then $u_{0}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} g\left(1, x_{1} ; y_{0}, 1\right)+f\left(1, x_{1} ; y_{0}, 1\right)=0 \\
u_{0} \frac{\partial g\left(1, x_{1} ; y_{0}, 1\right)}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial f\left(1, x_{1} ; y_{0}, 1\right)}{\partial x_{1}}=0 \\
u_{0} \frac{\partial g\left(1, x_{1} ; y_{0}, 1\right)}{\partial y_{0}}+\frac{\partial f\left(1, x_{1} ; y_{0}, 1\right)}{\partial y_{0}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using computer to calculate, we have $u_{0}=0$, or $-2809 / 533$ or satisfies one of the following three equations:
$u_{0}^{4}+\frac{442306822591}{11644065108} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{15378563320976329}{38789291891025} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{8833702498605138892}{6891564192638775} u_{0}^{+} \frac{1151555233848533056}{7244977740979225}=0$,
$u_{0}^{6}-\frac{16289590}{75809} u_{0}^{5}-\frac{357314231}{227427} u_{0}^{4}+\frac{2613868156}{682281} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{4127069879}{75809} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{29904922990}{227427} u_{0}^{+} \frac{70675038317}{682281}=0$,
$u_{0}^{24}-\frac{1282484299432205}{828072168642} u_{0}^{23}+\frac{3122323546639431087642188987593}{5017342803508279669201200} u_{0}^{22}-$
$u_{0}-\frac{828072168642}{} u_{0}+\frac{50173428035082796}{} \frac{9220867294873355192932709492986698418282151}{152002022053223167005295465603491600} u_{0}^{21}-$
$\begin{aligned} & 152002022053223167005295465603491600 \\ & \frac{30999681746654846295693028728045879521729132080169271161}{75801652531400887973956663670726076436640000}\end{aligned} u_{0}^{20}-$
$\begin{array}{r}7580165253814008879739256663670726076436640000 \\ 223557816051581702381866726294204283058079529344651463540951\end{array} u_{0}^{19}+$
$\frac{18075149338451367526195790572251308674104245881489906934937358864775825826797}{7325858627130126160176176715795586051349128034014867346496000000} u_{0}^{18}+$ $\frac{1929728458747328554854199670272434548177432513569626746401857766397194600755599}{37545025464041896570902905668452378513164281174326195150792000000} u_{0}^{17}+$ $\frac{10813082002346392222114449555829223571485436674784220052152543359916740809425000843}{57725476650964415977763217465245531963990082305526525044342700000000} u_{0}^{16}-$ $\frac{4276548928854862536400602684047575693721206178955942137599822672373098084587625072121}{887529203508577895658109468528150053946347515447470322556769012500000} u_{0}^{15}-$ 887529203508577895658109468528150053946347515447470322556769012500000
108138440749666040998151800754157496874442091422159386570663670108546710511792028190429
1849019173976203949287728059433645945721557323848896505326602109375000
$u_{0}^{14}-$ $\frac{212274800596274205751056409361280330744666951660783687161854079450560419076576526369}{2150022295321167382892707045853076681071578283545228494565816406250} u_{0}^{13}+$ $\frac{40608008582318322879285505067991388627920915662962695473224278401472213071209607698108369}{17334554756026912024572450557190430741139599911083404737436894775390625} u_{0}^{12}+$ $\frac{17334554756026912024572450557190430741139599911083404737436894775390625}{20176896364376034775914854511315952401902515577025172947699198733258383180655210587584504} \operatorname{lin}_{0}^{155636983735127468304830037146028716075973327405560315829126318359375} u_{0}^{11}+$ $\begin{array}{r}1155636983735127468304830037146028716075973327405560315829126318359375 \\ 158963792583731661630620955844842160301301960511243192646826835314389326180471483775057248 \\ 5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875\end{array} u_{0}^{10}-$ $\begin{array}{r}5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875 \\ \frac{1270266243361503789508103099955850762203604422488301533325846718541482312691517964711577728}{5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875}\end{array} u_{0}^{9}-$ $\begin{array}{r}5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875 \\ \frac{2666552467620466751632153917355955257796687326989260716214069955543289610262819714744442624}{}\end{array} u_{0}^{8}-$ $\begin{array}{r}1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625 \\ 6882635355470258602823490665258239441168362415110817180409141527410617503796536388374673408\end{array} u_{0}^{7}-$ $\frac{908424757773330666749558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625}{1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625}-$
$\frac{200506323738234616331085970009338835768870364737332320830237673581818041659073075288342528}{71356162} u_{0}^{5}-$ $\begin{array}{r}71335616279946140018816668959631402226911933790466686162291748046875 \\ 7334044106882599637223250735958076270786299935006967560560521012299445936011083944820736\end{array} u_{0}^{4}+$ $\begin{array}{r}23778538759982046672938889653210467408970644596822228720763916015625 \\ 2816647995777364092376808098177039066661618029531562491562800915395753104512565060304896\end{array} u_{0}^{3}+$
 $\begin{array}{r}880686620740075802701440357526313607739653503586008471139404296875 \\ 251119825007641874397975890381670516864055856553441761611195723154227892347520155648 \\ 5284119724404548162086414515788164643792102151605082683642578125\end{array} u_{0}+$ $\begin{array}{r}528411972444045481620864214515788164643792102151605082683642578125 \\ 23272944755213194420743946309558908540171345437132830639580649605274861417105719296 \\ 2642059862220227408104321072578940823218960510758025413418212890625\end{array}=0$.

Let $x_{0}=1$ and $y_{0}=1$. Then this gives an affine piece of $X^{\prime}$ by $u_{0} g\left(1, x_{1} ; 1, y_{1}\right)+$ $f\left(1, x_{1} ; 1, y_{1}\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ with affine coordinates $\left(u_{0}, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. For fixed $u_{0}$, we use Jacobian criterion to calculate the singularity. Then $u_{0}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} g\left(1, x_{1} ; 1, y_{1}\right)+f\left(1, x_{1} ; 1, y_{1}\right)=0 \\
u_{0} \frac{\partial g\left(1, x_{1} ; 1, y_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial f\left(1, x_{1} ; 1, y_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}=0 \\
u_{0} \frac{\partial g\left(1, x_{1} ; 1, y_{1}\right)}{\partial y_{1}}+\frac{\partial f\left(1, x_{1} ; 1, y_{1}\right)}{\partial y_{1}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using computer to calculate, we have $u_{0}=0$, or -1 or satisfies one of the following three equations:
$u_{0}^{4}+\frac{157460599}{21846276} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{1949002009}{136539225} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{398554348}{45513075} u_{0}^{+} \frac{3125824}{15171025}=0$,
$u_{0}^{6}-\frac{16289590}{75809} u_{0}^{5}-\frac{357314231}{227427} u_{0}^{4}+\frac{2613868156}{682281} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{4127069879}{75809} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{29904922990}{227427} u_{0}^{+} \frac{70675038317}{682281}=0$,
$u_{0}^{24}-\frac{1282484299432205}{828072168642} u_{0}^{23}+\frac{3122323546639431087642188987593}{5017342803508279669201200} u_{0}^{22}-$

$\frac{30999681746654546295693027728045879521729132000169271161}{75801655381400879392566636707260764364000} u_{0}^{20}-$







 $\frac{20176896364376034775914854511315952401902515577025172947699198733258383180655210587584504}{1155636983735127468304830037146028716075973327405560315829126318359375} u_{0}^{11}+$ $\frac{158963792583731661630620955844842160301301960511243192646826835314389326180471483775057248}{5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875} u_{0}^{10}-$ $\frac{1270266243361503789508103099955850762203604422488301533325846718541482312691517964711577728}{5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875} u_{0}^{9}-$ $\begin{array}{r}5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875 \\ 2666552467620466751632153917355955257796687326989260716214069955543289610262819714744442624 \\ \hline 1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625\end{array} u_{0}^{8}-$ $\begin{array}{r}1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625 \\ \frac{6882635355470258602823490665258239441168362415110817180409141527410617503796536388374673408}{} \\ 1926061639558545780508050061910047860126622212342600526381877197265625\end{array} u_{0}^{7}-$


 $\begin{array}{r}23778538759982046672938889653210467408970644596822228720763916015625 \\ 2816647995777364092376808098177039066661618029531562491562800915395753104512565060304896\end{array} u_{0}^{3}+$ $\begin{array}{r}766575735340668313279946140018816668959631402226911933790466686162291748046875 \\ \hline 880686620740075802701440214413497147217395178477629570300922332642644328448\end{array} u_{0}^{2}+$ $\begin{array}{r}880686620740075802701440357526313607739653503586008471139404296875 \\ 251119825007641874397975890381670516864055856553441761611195723154227892347520155648 \\ 528411972444045481620864214515788164643792102151605082683642578125\end{array} u_{0}+$ $\frac{23272944755213194420743946309558908540171345437132830639580649605274861417105719296}{2642059862220227408104321072578940823218960510758025413418212890625}=0$.

$$
2642059862220227408104321072578940823218960510758025413418212890625
$$

In summary, the locus $R=\{(0: 1),(1: 0),(-10553413: 620289),(-48841: 15129),(-2809:$ $533),(-1: 1)\} \cup\left\{\left(u_{0}: 1\right) \mid u_{0}\right.$ satisfies one of the following five equations $\}$.
$u_{0}^{4}+\frac{442306822591}{11644065108} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{15378563320976329}{38789291891025} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{8833702498605138892}{6891564192638775} u_{0}+\frac{1151555233848533056}{7244977740979225}=0$,
$u_{0}^{4}+\frac{157460599}{21846276} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{1949002009}{136539225} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{398554348}{45513075} u_{0}^{+} \frac{3125824}{15171025}=0$,
$u_{0}^{6}-\frac{795599865190}{1146914361} u_{0}^{5}-\frac{852352831544631911}{52055002102707} u_{0}^{4}+\frac{304535075034759072450076}{2362620380435562609} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{23484429357868605046160829719}{3971564859512180745729} u_{0}^{2}+$ $\frac{8311232379540782587276725660120990}{180257414278679347506402123} u_{0}+\frac{959341731692466689320791603186246739997}{8181343261866419545273073156601}=0$,
$u_{0}^{6}-\frac{16289590}{75809} u_{0}^{5}-\frac{357314231}{227427} u_{0}^{4}+\frac{2613868156}{682281} u_{0}^{3}+\frac{4127069879}{75809} u_{0}^{2}+\frac{29904922990}{227427} u_{0}^{+} \frac{70675038317}{682281}=0$,

```
u
```



```
#
```



```
18075149353845136752619579057262513086764104245588148990069349000558864775825826797
732585862713012616017617671579558605134912803401486673464960000000
108130820023463922221144495558292235714854366747842200521525433599167440809425000843 
427654892885486253640060268404757569372120061789559421375999822672373098084587625072121
1081384407496660409981518007541574968744420914221593865706636701085467110511792028190429
184901917397620394992877280594336459457215573238848896505326602109375000}
```




```
15896379258373166163062095584484216030130196051124319264682683531443893261800471483775057248}\mp@subsup{|}{0}{2}\mp@subsup{u}{0}{10}
```



```
5778184918675637341524150185730143580379866637027801579145631591796875 (
688263535547025860282349066555823944116836241511108171800409141527410617503796536388374673408
90842475777333056674444515441636113410512144343805123299644626665432217601536555964901019889664
200506323738234616331085970009338835768870364737332320830237673581818041659073075288342528
7334044106882599637223250735958076270786299935006967560560521012299445936011083944820736
```




```
$511198250076441874397497589038167051686405585655344417616111195723154542278892347520155648
```



Let $\left(u_{0}: 1\right)$ be a closed point in $R$, then the degree $\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(u_{0}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right] \in\{1,4,6,24\}$.
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