

SOME RESULTS OF GEOMETRY IN HENSEL MINIMAL STRUCTURES

KRZYSZTOF JAN NOWAK

ABSTRACT. We deal with Hensel minimal, non-trivially valued fields K of equicharacteristic zero, whose axiomatic theory was introduced in a recent paper by Cluckers–Halupczok–Rideau. We additionally require that the classical algebraic language be induced for the imaginary sort RV . This condition is satisfied by the majority of classical tame structures on Henselian fields, including Henselian fields with analytic structure. The main purpose here is to carry over many results of our previous papers to the general axiomatic settings described above, including, among others, the theorem on existence of the limit, curve selection, the closedness theorem and several non-Archimedean versions of the Lojasiewicz inequalities. We give examples that curve selection and the closedness theorem, a key result for numerous applications, may be no longer true after expanding the language for the leading term structure RV . In the case of Henselian fields with analytic structure, we establish a more precise version of the theorem on existence of the limit (a version of Puiseux’s theorem).

1. INTRODUCTION

We are concerned with geometry of Hensel minimal, non-trivially valued fields K of equicharacteristic zero, whose axiomatic theory was introduced in the recent paper [10]. We fix a language \mathcal{L} expanding the language \mathcal{L}_{vf} of valued fields, and require additionally that \mathcal{L} induce only the classical algebraic language \mathcal{L}_{rv} for the imaginary sort RV (see Section 2). This condition is satisfied by the majority of classical tame structures on Henselian fields, including Henselian fields with analytic structure.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 12J25, 14G22, 14G27; Secondary 03C10, 32P05, 32B05.

Key words and phrases. Hensel minimal fields, curve selection, closedness theorem, cell decomposition, quantifier elimination, Lojasiewicz inequalities, piecewise continuity, Hölder continuity, analytic structures.

The main purpose here is to carry over many results of our previous papers [30, 31, 32, 33] to the general settings of Hensel minimality. The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we provide basic model-theoretic terminology and facts (including the algebraic language for the leading term structure RV) and next, following the paper [10], some results from Hensel minimality needed in our approach. In Section 3, we establish the theorem on existence of the limit (together with its resplendent version), stated below.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : E \rightarrow K$ be a 0-definable function on a subset E of K . Suppose that 0 is an accumulation point of E . Then there is a subset F of E , definable over algebraic closure of \emptyset , with accumulation point 0, and a point $w \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f|_F(x) = w,$$

and the set

$$\{(v(x), v(f(x))) : x \in F \setminus \{0\}\} \subset \Gamma \times (\Gamma \cup \{\infty\})$$

is contained either in an affine line with rational slope

$$\{(k, l) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma : q \cdot l = p \cdot k + \beta\}$$

with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q > 0$, $\beta \in \Gamma$, or in $\Gamma \times \{\infty\}$.

In Section 4, we prove a non-Archimedean version of curve selection and the closedness theorem. The latter has numerous applications in geometry and topology of Henselian fields. In particular, it makes possible to use resolution of singularities in much the same way as over the locally compact fields. We state these results below.

Theorem 1.2. *Consider a definable subset A of K^n and a point $a \in K^n$ lying in the closure of A . Then there is a continuous definable function $a : E \rightarrow K^n$ such that 0 is an accumulation point of E , $a(E \setminus \{0\}) \subset A$ and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} a(t) = a.$$

Theorem 1.3. *Given a definable subset D of K^n , the canonical projection*

$$\pi : D \times \mathcal{O}_K^m \longrightarrow D$$

is definably closed in the K -topology, i.e. if $A \subset D \times \mathcal{O}_K^m$ is a closed definable subset, so is its image $\pi(A) \subset D$.

Note that Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 may be no longer true after expansion of the language for the leading term structure RV , as demonstrated in Examples 4.4 and 4.5. What remains true then is only fiber shrinking, being a relaxed version of curve selection, introduced in our previous papers [30, 31].

Remark 1.4. Since the notions of limit, continuity, closedness etc. are first order properties, one can prove the above theorems by passage to elementary extensions. Therefore one can assume that the Henselian field K under study is \aleph_1 -saturated and, consequently, that an angular component map \overline{ac} (also called coefficient map, after van den Dries [16]) exists. We shall sometimes make use of this fact in the proofs given in this paper.

Section 5 is devoted to several applications, including piecewise continuity, several non-Archimedean versions of the Łojasiewicz inequalities and Hölder continuity.

In the case of Henselian fields with analytic structure, we establish in Section 6 a version of Puiseux’s theorem, being a more precise version of the theorem on existence of the limit, whose proof relies on the term structure of definable functions.

Soon after o-minimality had become a fundamental concept in real algebraic geometry (realizing the postulate of both tame topology and tame model theory), numerous attempts were made to find similar approaches in algebraic geometry of valued fields. This led to axiomatically based notions such as C-minimality [22, 29], P-minimality [23], V-minimality [24], b-minimality [14], tame structures [7, 8], and eventually Hensel minimality [10].

The concept of Hensel minimal theories seems to enjoy most natural and desirable properties, being relatively broad and easily verifiable at the same time. It is tame with respect to the leading term structure RV and provides, likewise o-minimality, powerful geometric tools as, for instance, cell decomposition, a good dimension theory or the Jacobian property (an analogue of the o-minimal monotonicity theorem).

Actually, several variants of Hensel minimality are introduced, abbreviated by l -h-minimality with $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$. The l -h-minimality condition is the stronger, the larger the number l is. The majority of the results from [10], including those applied in our paper, hold for 1-h-minimal theories. Note also that most classical examples of Hensel minimal theories are in fact even ω -h-minimal, as for instance (*op.cit.*, Section 7):

- 1) Henselian valued fields in the (algebraic) language of valued fields (ω -h-minimal);
- 2) Henselian valued fields with (strictly convergent or separated) analytic structure (ω -h-minimal);
- 3) T -convex valued fields, where T is a power-bounded o-minimal theory in an expansion \mathcal{L} of the language of ordered fields and \mathcal{O}_K is a T -convex subring of K (1-h-minimal); whether this theory is ω -h-minimal is an open question as yet.

2. VALUATION- AND MODEL-THEORETICAL PRELIMINARIES.

We begin with basic notions from valuation theory. By (K, v) we mean a field K endowed with a valuation v . Let

$$\Gamma = vK, \mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{M}_K \text{ and } \tilde{K} = Kv$$

denote the value group, valuation ring, its maximal ideal and residue field, respectively. Let $r : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow Kv$ be the residue map. In this paper, we shall consider the equicharacteristic zero case, i.e. the characteristic of the fields K and Kv are assumed to be zero. For elements $a \in K$, the value is denoted by va and the residue by av or $r(a)$ when $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \{a \in K : va \geq 0\}, \quad \mathcal{M}_K = \{a \in K : va > 0\}.$$

For a ring R , let R^\times stand for the multiplicative group of units of R . Obviously, $1 + \mathcal{M}_K$ is a subgroup of the multiplicative group K^\times . Let

$$rv : K^\times \rightarrow G(K) := K^\times / (1 + \mathcal{M}_K)$$

be the canonical group epimorphism. Since $vK \cong K^\times / \mathcal{O}_K^\times$, we get the canonical group epimorphism $\bar{v} : G(K) \rightarrow vK$ and the following exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \tilde{K}^\times \rightarrow G(K) \rightarrow vK \rightarrow 0.$$

We put $v(0) = \infty$ and $\bar{v}(0) = \infty$.

For simplicity, we shall write

$$v(a) = (v(a_1), \dots, v(a_n)) \text{ or } rv(a) = (rv(a_1), \dots, rv(a_n))$$

for an n -tuple $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$.

We adopt the following 2-sorted algebraic language \mathcal{L}_{hen} on Henselian fields (K, v) of equicharacteristic zero, which goes back to Basarab [4].

Main sort: a valued field with the language of rings $(K, 0, 1, +, -, \cdot)$ or with the language \mathcal{L}_{vf} of valued fields $(K, 0, 1, +, -, \cdot, \mathcal{O}_K)$.

Auxiliary sort: $RV(K) := G(K) \cup \{0\}$ with the language specified as follows: (multiplicative) language of groups $(1, \cdot)$ and one unary predicate \mathcal{P} so that $\mathcal{P}_K(\xi)$ iff $\bar{v}(\xi) \geq 0$; here we put $\xi \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $\xi \in RV(K)$. The predicate

$$\mathcal{R} := (\mathcal{P} \wedge \neg \mathcal{P})$$

will be construed as the residue field $Kv = \tilde{K}$ with the language of rings $(0, 1, +, \cdot)$; obviously, $\mathcal{R}_K(\xi)$ iff $\bar{v}(\xi) = 0$. The sort RV binds together the residue field and value group.

One connecting map: $rv : K \rightarrow RV(K)$, $rv(0) = 0$.

The valuation ring can be defined by putting $\mathcal{O}_K = rv^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_K)$. The residue map $r : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow Kv$ will be identified with the map

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} rv(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{M}_K. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.1. Addition in the residue field $\mathcal{R}_K \cup \{0\}$ is the restriction of the following algebraic operation on $RV(K)$:

$$rv(x) + rv(y) = \begin{cases} rv(x + y) & \text{if } v(x + y) > \min\{v(x), v(y)\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in K^\times$; clearly, we put $\xi + 0 = \xi$ for every $\xi \in RV(K)$.

Remark 2.2. The language for the sort RV , whose vocabulary has just been introduced, is of course interdefinable with the language of rings $(0, 1, +, \cdot)$ from Remark 2.1. In particular, the unary predicate $\mathcal{P}_K(\xi)$ can be defined by the formula $1 + \xi = 1$ involving the above $+$ operation on $RV(K)$. This language of rings for RV will be denoted by \mathcal{L}_{rv} .

It is well known that exact sequence 2.1 splits whenever the residue field Kv is \aleph_1 -saturated. In this case, there is a section $\theta : G(K) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^\times$ of the monomorphism $\iota : \tilde{K}^\times \rightarrow G(K)$ and the map

$$(\theta, \bar{v}) : G(K) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^\times \times vK$$

is an isomorphism. Generally, the existence of such a section θ is equivalent to that of an angular component map $\bar{ac} = \theta \circ rv$.

Remark 2.3. It is easy to check that the language \mathcal{L}_{rv} with the section θ is interdefinable with the language which consists of two maps

$$\theta : RV(K) \rightarrow Kv, \theta(0) = 0, \text{ and } \bar{v} : RV(K) \rightarrow vK \cup \{\infty\}, \bar{v}(0) = \infty,$$

of the language of rings $(0, 1, +, -, \cdot)$ on the residue field Kv , and of the language of ordered groups $(0, +, -, <)$ on the value group vK .

In view of the above remark, the residue field is orthogonal to the value group, i.e. every definable subset $C \subset (Kv)^p \times (vK)^q$ is a finite union of Cartesian products

$$(2.2) \quad C = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i \times Y_i$$

for some definable subsets $X_i \subset (Kv)^p$ and $Y_i \subset (vK)^q$.

Remark 2.4. The \mathcal{L}_{hen} -theory \mathfrak{T} of Henselian, non-trivially valued fields of equicharacteristic zero eliminates valued field quantifiers resplendently. More precisely, consider an expansion \mathcal{L}'_{rv} of the language \mathcal{L}_{rv} for the auxiliary sort RV ; put

$$\mathcal{L}'_{hen} := \mathcal{L}_{hen} \cup \mathcal{L}'_{rv}.$$

Let $\omega(x, \xi)$ be an \mathcal{L}'_{hen} -formula with K -variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and RV -variables $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$. Then $\omega(x)$ is \mathfrak{T} -equivalent to a finite disjunction of formulae of the form:

$$\phi(x) \wedge \psi(rv(p(x)), \xi),$$

where ϕ is a quantifier-free formula in the language of rings, ψ is an \mathcal{L}'_{rv} -formula, and $p(x)$ is a tuple of polynomials with integer coefficients.

The conclusion of Remark 2.4 can be proven through arguments due to Basarab [4], which rely on an embedding theorem and a relative (with respect to RV) version of the Ax–Kochen–Ershov theorem. The research on this topic has a long history, let us mention some papers as [2, 3, 19, 5, 16, 25, 26, 38, 20, 21, 1]. Note that relative quantifier elimination, based on two auxiliary sorts (value group and residue field) with an angular component map, was achieved by Pas [37].

In our geometric approach, most essential is which (not how) sets are definable, and thus languages may usually be specified up to interdefinability. We shall work with a language \mathcal{L} which is an expansion of the language \mathcal{L}_{vf} of valued fields, and with some auxiliary imaginary sorts, usually with the sort RV . The words 0-definable and A -definable shall mean \mathcal{L} -definable and \mathcal{L}_A -definable in a fixed language \mathcal{L} ; "definable" will refer to definable in \mathcal{L} with arbitrary parameters.

Fix a language \mathcal{L} which is an expansion of \mathcal{L}_{hen} , and a model K of a 1-h-minimal (complete) \mathcal{L} -theory T . For the reader's convenience, we recall below the following three results of Hensel minimality from the paper [10], which are crucial for our approach:

- 1) Domain and range preparation (*op.cit.*, Proposition 2.4.6), which can be derived from a weak form of the Jacobian property, the valuative Jacobian property (*loc.cit.*, Lemma. 2.4.5]);
- 2) Reparametrized cell decomposition (*op.cit.*, Theorem 5.7.3 ff.);
- 3) Cell decomposition (*op.cit.*, Theorem 5.2.4 ff.).

Proposition 2.5. (*Valuative Jacobian Property*) *Let $f : K \rightarrow K$ be a 0-definable function. Then there exists a finite 0-definable set $C \subset K$ such that for every ball B 1-next to C , either f is constant on B , or there exists a $\mu_B \in vK$ such that*

(1) *for every open ball $B' \subset B$, $f(B')$ is an open ball of radius $\mu_B + \text{rad}(B')$;*

(2) *for every $x_1, x_2 \in B$, we have $v(f(x_1) - f(x_2)) = \mu_B + v(x_1 - x_2)$.*

Proposition 2.6. (*Domain and Range Preparation*). *Let $f : K \rightarrow K$ be a 0-definable function and let $C_0 \subset K$ be a finite, 0-definable set. Then there exist finite, 0-definable sets $C, D \subset K$ with $C_0 \subset C$ such that $f(C) \subset D$ and for every ball B 1-next to C , the image $f(B)$ is either a singleton in D or a ball 1-next to D ; moreover, the conclusions (1) and (2) of the Valuative Jacobian Property hold.*

For $m \leq n$, denote by $\pi_{\leq m}$ or $\pi_{< m+1}$ the projection $K^n \rightarrow K^m$ onto the first m coordinates; put $x_{\leq m} = \pi_{\leq m}(x)$. Let $C \subset K^n$ be a non-empty 0-definable set, $j_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$c_i : \pi_{< m}(X) \rightarrow K$$

be 0-definable functions $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then C is called a 0-definable cell with center tuple $c = (c_i)_{i=1}^n$ and of cell-type $j = (j_i)_{i=1}^n$ if it is of the form:

$$C = \{x \in K^n : (rv(x_i - c_i(x_{< i})))_{i=1}^n \in R\},$$

for a (necessarily 0-definable) set

$$R \subset \prod_{i=1}^n j_i \cdot G(K),$$

where $0 \cdot G(K) = 0 \subset RV(K)$ and $1 \cdot G(K) = G(K) \subset RV(K)$. One can similarly define A -definable cells.

In the absence of the condition that algebraic closure and definable closure coincide in $T = \text{Th}(K)$ (i.e. the algebraic closure $\text{acl}(A)$ equals the definable closure $\text{dcl}(A)$ for any Henselian field $K' \equiv K$ and every $A \subset K'$), a concept of reparameterized cells must come into play. Let us mention that one can ensure the above condition via an expansion of the language for the sort RV .

Consider a 0-definable function $\sigma : C \rightarrow RV(K)^k$. Then (C, σ) is called a 0-definable reparameterized (by σ) cell if each set $\sigma^{-1}(\xi)$, $\xi \in \sigma(C)$, is a ξ -definable cell with some center tuple c_ξ depending definably on ξ and of cell-type independent of ξ .

Remark 2.7. If the language \mathcal{L} has an angular component map, then one can take σ from the above definition to be residue field valued (instead of RV-valued).

Theorem 2.8. (*Reparameterized Cell Decomposition*) *For every 0-definable set $X \subset K^n$, there exists a finite decomposition of X into 0-definable reparameterized cells (C_k, σ_k) . Moreover, given finitely many 0-definable functions $f_j : X \rightarrow K$, one can require that the restriction of every function f_j to each cell $\sigma_k^{-1}(\xi)$ be continuous.*

It is of importance that 0-, 1- or ω -h-minimality has the resplendency property, i.e. if the \mathcal{L} -theory of a Henselian field K is 0-, 1- or ω -h-minimal, then so is its \mathcal{L}' -theory for any RV-expansion \mathcal{L}' of the language \mathcal{L} (*op.cit.*, Section 4). Suppose that $\text{Th}(K)$ is 0-h-minimal. If algebraic closure and definable closure coincide in $RV(K)$, then so does in K .

Theorem 2.9. (*Cell Decomposition*) *Suppose that algebraic closure and definable closure coincide in a 1-h-minimal theory $T = \text{Th}(K)$. For every 0-definable set $X \subset K^n$, there exists a finite decomposition of X into 0-definable cells C_k .*

Furthermore, there exists a finite decomposition of X into 0-definable subsets C_k such that each cell C_k is, after some permutation of the variables, a 0-definable cell of type $(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with 1-Lipschitz continuous centers c_1, \dots, c_n . Such cells shall be called 1-Lipschitz cells.

Concluding, one has definable, 1-Lipschitz cell decomposition for any 1-h-minimal theory after a suitable expansion of the language of the leading term structure RV .

3. EXISTENCE OF THE LIMIT

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. By Remarks 1.4 and 2.3 ff., we can assume that the field K has a coefficient map, exact sequence 2.1 splits and the residue field is orthogonal to the value group. Then we have the isomorphism

$$(\theta, \bar{v}) : G(K) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^\times \times vK,$$

and thus we can identify $G(K)$ with $\widetilde{K}^\times \times vK$. This isomorphism is of significance because topological properties of the valued field K are described in terms of the value group vK .

By Proposition 2.6, there exist finite 0-definable subsets $C \subset K$ with $0 \in C$ and $D \subset K$ such that $f(C) \subset D$ and, for every ball B 1-next to C , the image $f(B)$ is either a singleton in D or a ball 1-next to D . After partitioning of the domain E , we can assume without loss of generality that there is a point $d \in D$, say $d = 0$, such that the image $f(B)$ is either $\{0\}$ or a ball 1-next to 0 for every balls $B \subset E$ which are 1-next to 0. In the first case we are done. So suppose the second case. Obviously, the balls 1-next to 0 are of the form $\{rv(x) = \xi\}$, $\xi \in G(K)$.

Now consider the 0-definable set $X \subset G(K)^2$ defined by the formula $\{(\xi, \eta) \in G(K)^2 : \{rv(x) = \xi\} \subset E, f(\{rv(x) = \xi\}) = \{rv(y) = \eta\}\}$. By Remark 2.3 (orthogonality property), X is defined by a finite disjunction of conjunctions of the form:

$$\phi(\theta(\xi), \theta(\eta)) \wedge \psi(\bar{v}(\xi), \bar{v}(\eta)).$$

We can assume, without loss of generality, that X is defined by one from those conjunctions and is of the form:

$$\theta(\eta) = \alpha(\theta(\xi)) \wedge \bar{v}(\eta) = \beta(\bar{v}(\xi))$$

for some 0-definable functions α and β , where the domain of β is a subset Δ of vK with accumulation point ∞ .

Now we apply the following theorem from [9, Corollary 1.10] to the effect that functions definable in ordered abelian groups are piecewise linear.

Proposition 3.1. *Consider an ordered abelian group G with the language of ordered abelian groups $\mathcal{L}_{oag} = (0, +, <)$. Let $f : G^n \rightarrow G$ be an A -definable function for a subset $A \subset G$. Then there exists a partition of G^n into finitely many A -definable subsets such that, on each subset S of them, f is linear; more precisely, there exist $r_1, \dots, r_n, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \neq 0$, and an element γ from the definable closure of A such that*

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot (r_1 a_1 + \dots + a_n r_n + \gamma)$$

for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S$.

For $\bar{v}(\xi) \in \Delta$, we thus get the equivalence

$$\bar{v}(\eta) = \beta(\bar{v}(\xi)) \iff \bar{v}(\eta) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot (r \cdot \beta(\bar{v}(\xi)) + \gamma).$$

Then the set

$$F := \{a \in K : \alpha(\overline{ac}(a)), v(a) \in \Delta\}$$

is a 0-definable subset of E with accumulation point 0. We encounter three cases:

Case 1. If $r/s > 0$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f|F(x) = 0.$$

Case 2. If $r/s < 0$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f|F(x) = \infty.$$

Case 3. Were $r/s = 0$, then $\beta = \delta(\alpha) = \gamma/s$, we would get

$$f(\{rv(x) = (1, \alpha)\}) = \{rv(y) = \gamma/s\}.$$

Then, for any point $b \in K$ with $rv(b) = \gamma/s$, the set $(f|F)^{-1}(b)$ would be an isolated subset of K with accumulation point 0, which is impossible. This contradiction shows that Case 3 cannot happen, which finishes the proof. \square

We are now going to strengthen Theorem 1.1 by taking an arbitrary expansion \mathcal{L}'_{rv} of the algebraic language \mathcal{L}_{rv} for the leading term structure RV ; put $\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}'_{rv}$. Clearly, every \mathcal{L}' -formula $\chi(x, \xi)$, with K -variables x and RV -variables ξ , is T -equivalent to a finite disjunction of formulae of the form:

$$(3.1) \quad \phi(x) \wedge \psi(rv(p(x)), \xi),$$

where ϕ is an \mathcal{L} -formula in the language of the valued field sort, ψ is an \mathcal{L}'_{rv} -formula, and $p(x) = (p_1(x), \dots, p_r(x))$ is a tuple of terms in the valued field sort.

Remark 3.2. Note that one can replace the above formulae by ones of the form:

$$(3.2) \quad \phi(x) \wedge p_1(x) \neq 0 \wedge \dots \wedge p_r(x) \neq 0 \wedge \psi(rv(p(x)), \xi),$$

because in the cases $p_i(x) = 0$ one can substitute 0 for $p_i(x)$ in the formula $\psi(rv(p(x)), \xi)$.

In our problem, we consider an \mathcal{L}' -formula $\chi(x_1, x_2)$ that defines the graph of the function $f : E \rightarrow K$, denoted also by f for simplicity. We may of course shrink the set E , keeping the assumption that 0 is an accumulation point of E . Therefore we can assume that E is defined by one formula of the form 3.2.

The closure $Z \in K^2$ of the set of those points where the terms $p(x)$ are not continuous is \mathcal{L} -definable (without parameters) of dimension < 1 . Then the set

$$U := \{a \in K^2 \setminus Z : p_1(a) \neq 0, \dots, p_r(a) \neq 0, RV(K) \models \psi(rv(p(a)))\}$$

is an open 0-definable subset of K^2 . Further, the set of those points $a_1 \in K$, over which the fiber of Z is infinite, is finite. Since we are interested in what happens in the vicinity of $0 \in K$, we may thus assume that the fibres of the projection $\pi_{<2} : Z \rightarrow K$ are finite. Hence we get

$$f \subset (\{a \in K^2 : K \models \phi(a)\} \cap U) \cup Z.$$

Therefore the graph of f is contained in the \mathcal{L} -definable set Y of those points $a \in K^2$ which are isolated in the fibre of the set

$$\{a \in K^2 : K \models \phi(a)\}$$

over a_1 . Clearly, the projection $\pi_{<2} : Y \rightarrow K$ is finite-to-one. By Theorem 2.8, Y is a finite union of \mathcal{L} -definable cells of type $(1, 0)$, reparametrized by residue field valued functions σ (cf. Remark 2.7). We can, as before, assume that Y is one of those cells, $Y = C$. Then

$$f \subset C = \bigcup_{\xi} C_{\xi}, \quad C_{\xi} = \sigma^{-1}(\xi),$$

and each cell C_{ξ} is the graph of the center $c_{\xi,2} : \pi_{<2}(C_{\xi}) \rightarrow K$.

It follows easily from the orthogonality of the residue field and value group (cf. Remark 2.3 ff.) that $\sigma(C)$ is the disjoint union of \mathcal{L} -definable sets Σ_1 and Σ_2 such that 0 is not an accumulation point of the set

$$\pi_{<2} \left(\bigcup_{\xi \in \Sigma_2} C_{\xi} \right),$$

but 0 is an accumulation point of $\pi_{<2}(C_{\xi})$ for every $\xi \in \Sigma_1$.

Let B_{ξ} be the set of all points from the closure of any cell C_{ξ} , $\xi \in \Sigma_1$, lying over 0. Then the union

$$B := \bigcup_{\xi \in \Sigma_1} B_{\xi}$$

is a finite \mathcal{L} -definable (without parameters) set, say $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$. By the orthogonality property and Theorem 1.1, we can partition, in a common vicinity of 0, the domains of the centers $c_{\xi,2}$, $\xi \in \Sigma_1$, into pieces \mathcal{L} -definable over the algebraic closure of \emptyset , in order to obtain new functions $c_{\xi,2,j}$ such that

$$c_{\xi,2,j} \subset c_{\xi} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow 0} c_{\xi,2,j}(x_1) = b_j$$

for all $j \in B_\xi$. Again by the orthogonality property, the centers $c_{\xi,2,j}$, $\xi \in \Sigma_1$, $j \in B_\xi$, are equally continuous at 0. Therefore, since

$$f \subset \bigcup_{\xi \in \Sigma_1} c_{\xi,2}$$

in a neighbourhood of 0, it is not difficult to check that at least one point from the set B is an accumulation point of the graph of f . In this fashion, we have established a resplendent version of Theorem 1.1.

4. PROOF OF CURVE SELECTION AND THE CLOSEDNESS THEOREM

To prove Theorem 1.2, we may of course assume that A is a subset of \mathcal{O}_K^n and $a \notin A$, the case $a \in A$ being trivial. We begin by stating the following

Lemma 4.1. *Consider a definable family X_ξ , $\xi \in (Kv)^k$, of subsets of K^n and a point $a \in K^n$. Then a lies in the closure of the union $\bigcup_\xi X_\xi$ iff a lies in the closure of X_{ξ_0} for some ξ_0 .*

Proof. Apply the orthogonality of the residue field and value group (cf. Remark 2.3 ff.) to the set

$$\bigcup_{\xi \in (Kv)^k} \{\xi\} \times v(X_\xi - a).$$

□

Hence and by decomposition into cells with residue field valued reparametrization, we are reduced to the case where A is a ξ -definable cell C_ξ for some $\xi \in Kv$:

$$C_\xi = \{x \in K^n : (rv(x_i - c_i(x_{<i})))_{i=1}^n \in R\},$$

for a ξ -definable set

$$R \subset \prod_{i=1}^n j_i \cdot G(K), \quad j_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Clearly, the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied for each projection $\pi_{\leq i}(C_\xi)$ and $\pi_{\leq i}(a)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore it suffices, via induction procedure, to consider the case $n = 2$.

We may of course assume that $a \notin A$. Then $a_1 = c_1$ because otherwise a would not lie in the closure of A . Therefore

$$C_\xi = \{x \in K^2 : (rv(x_1 - c_1), rv(x_2 - c_2(x_1))) \in R\},$$

for a ξ -definable set $R \subset G(K) \times (j_2 \cdot RV(K))$.

The case $j_2 = 0$ is easy, because then the cell C_ξ is the graph of the center $c_2(x_1)$, and thus the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1. So consider the case $j_2 = 1$.

Again by Lemma 4.1, we can assume that $A = C_\xi$ is the set of all points in K^2 for which

$$(\overline{ac}(x_1 - c_1), \overline{ac}(x_2 - c_2(x_1))) = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad (v(x_1 - c_1), v(x_2 - c_2(x_1))) \in P$$

for an $\eta \in (Kv \setminus \{0\})^2$ and a 0-definable set $P \subset (vK)^2$.

We still need the following

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be an ordered abelian group, P a definable subset of G_+^n with $G_+ := \{\gamma \in G : \gamma \geq 0\}$, and*

$$\pi : G^n \rightarrow G, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1$$

be the projection onto the first factor. Suppose that ∞ is an accumulation point of $\pi(P)$. Then there is an affine semi-line

$$L = \{(r_1\tau + \gamma_1, \dots, r_n\tau + \gamma_n) : \tau \in G, \tau \geq 0\}$$

with $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1 > 0$, passing through a point $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in P$ and such that ∞ is an accumulation point of $\pi(P \cap L)$ too.

This lemma can be established, by relative quantifier elimination for ordered abelian groups (cf. [9]) in a similar way as we proved [31, Lemma 6.2], recalled below.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be an ordered abelian group and P be a definable subset of G^n . Suppose that (∞, \dots, ∞) is an accumulation point of P , i.e. for any $\delta \in G$ the set*

$$\{x \in P : x_1 > \delta, \dots, x_n > \delta\} \neq \emptyset$$

is non-empty. Then there is an affine semi-line

$$L = \{(r_1\tau + \gamma_1, \dots, r_n\tau + \gamma_n) : \tau \in G, \tau \geq 0\}$$

with $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, passing through a point $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in P$ and such that (∞, \dots, ∞) is an accumulation point of the intersection $P \cap L$ too.

Apply Lemma 4.2 to $G = vK$ and $P \subset (vK)_+ \times (vK)_+$. Therefore the subset $P \cap L$ has an accumulation point (∞, ρ_2) , where $\rho_2 = \infty$ or $\rho_2 = \gamma_2$, according as $r_2 > 0$ or $r_2 = 0$.

Now take an element $w \in K^2$ such that $\overline{ac}(w) = \eta$ and $v(w) = \gamma$. Put

$$\Delta := \{\tau \in vK : \tau \geq 0, (r_1\tau + \gamma_1, r_2\tau + \gamma_2) \in P\}$$

and

$$E := \{t \in K : \overline{ac}(t) = 1 \text{ and } v(t) \in \Delta\}.$$

Then

$$\{(c_1 + w_1 t^{r_1}, c_2(c_1 + w_1 t^{r_1}) + w_2 t^{r_2}) \in K^2 : t \in E\} \subset A = C_\xi.$$

Now it follows from Theorem 1.1 that, after perhaps shrinking the domain E , the function $a : E \rightarrow K^2$ given by the formula

$$a(t) := (c_1 + w_1 t^{r_1}, c_2(c_1 + w_1 t^{r_1}) + w_2 t^{r_2})$$

is the one we are looking for. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Example 4.4. Theorem 1.2 must fail for any expansion \mathcal{L}'_{rv} of the language \mathcal{L}_{rv} for the sort RV , whenever the family of definable sets in the value group sort contains the graph of a non-linear (ultimately at infinity) function $\omega : vK \rightarrow vK$ such that

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\gamma) = \infty.$$

Indeed, the set

$$A := \{(x, y) \in (K \setminus \{0\})^2 : rv(y) = \omega(rv(x))\}$$

has an accumulation point $(0, 0)$. Suppose that there is a continuous definable function $a : E \rightarrow K^n$ such that 0 is an accumulation point of E , $a(E \setminus \{0\}) \subset A$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} a(t) = 0.$$

After a suitable expansion of the language for $RV(K)$, definable cell decomposition is available. As before, the set $a(E)$ is a finite union of sets of the form (cf. Remark 3.2 ff.):

$$F := \{x \in C : RV(K) \models \psi(rv(p(a)))\},$$

where $C \subset K^2$ is an \mathcal{L} -definable cell, ψ is an \mathcal{L}'_{rv} -formula, and

$$p(x) = (p_1(x), \dots, p_r(x))$$

is a tuple of terms in the valued field sort, which are continuous on C and $p(C) \subset (K \setminus \{0\})^r$. Then F is an open subset of C , and thus C is a cell of dimension 1, say of type $(1, 0)$, with \mathcal{L} -definable centers $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2(x_1)$. Then the set

$$\{(v(x_1), v(c_2(x_1))) \in (vK)^2 : x_1 \in \pi_{<2}(C)\}$$

would contain (ultimately at infinity) the graph of ω , which contradicts the condition imposed on the language \mathcal{L} that it induces the algebraic language \mathcal{L}_{rv} for the sort RV .

Now we can readily prove the closedness theorem. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $m = 1$ and $n = 1$. The first reduction is obvious. The latter can be achieved by means of curve selection (Theorem 1.2) in exactly the same way, as it was achieved by means of fiber shrinking in the proof of the algebraic versions of the closedness theorem in our papers [30, 31]. Note that fiber shrinking is a relaxed version of curve selection. So consider that case $m = n = 1$.

We must show that if A is an \mathcal{L}' -definable subset of $D \times \mathcal{O}$, with $D \subset K$ and a point $b = 0 \in K$ lies in the closure of $B := \pi_{<2}(A)$, then there is a point a in the closure of A such that $\pi_{<2}(a) = 0$. As before, we can assume that A is an \mathcal{L}' -definable cell of type $(1, j_2)$ with centers $0, c_2(x_1)$. The case $j_2 = 0$ is obvious by virtue of Theorem 1.1.

So consider the case $j_2 = 1$. Then

$$A = \{x \in K^2 : (rv(x_1), rv(x_2 - c_2(x_1))) \in R\}$$

for a subset R of $G(K) \times G(K)$ such that $\bar{v}(R) \subset (vK)_+ \times (vK)_+$. By the orthogonality of the residue field and value group (cf. Remark 2.3 ff.), R is a finite union of Cartesian products

$$(4.1) \quad C = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i \times Y_i$$

for some non-empty definable subsets

$$X_i \subset Kv \times Kv \quad \text{and} \quad Y_i \subset (vK)_+ \times (vK)_+.$$

Let $\pi : (vK)^2 \rightarrow vK$ be the projection onto the first factor. Then ∞ is an accumulation point of the union $\pi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k Y_i\right)$, and thus of $\pi(Y_{i_0})$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then we can replace the set A by the set

$$\{x \in K^2 : (rv(x_1), rv(x_2 - c_2(x_1))) \in \{\eta\} \times P\},$$

where $\eta \in X_{i_0}$ and $P = Y_{i_0}$. It follows immediately from Lemma 4.2 that there is an affine semi-line

$$L = \{(r_1\tau + \gamma_1, r_2\tau + \gamma_2) : \tau \in vK, \tau \geq 0\}$$

with $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1 > 0$, $r_2 \geq 0$, passing through a point $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in P$ and such that ∞ is an accumulation point of $\pi(P \cap L)$ too. Again we can replace the set A by the set

$$\{x \in K^2 : (rv(x_1), rv(x_2 - c_2(x_1))) \in \{\eta\} \times (P \cap L)\}.$$

Now we shall argue likewise we did in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

The subset $P \cap L$ has an accumulation point (∞, ρ_2) , where $\rho_2 = \infty$ or $\rho_2 = \gamma_2$, according as $r_2 > 0$ or $r_2 = 0$. Take an element $w \in K^2$ such that $\overline{ac}(w) = \eta$ and $v(w) = \gamma$. Put

$$\Delta := \{\tau \in vK : \tau \geq 0, (r_1\tau + \gamma_1, r_2\tau + \gamma_2) \in P\}$$

and

$$E := \{t \in K : \overline{ac}(t) = 1 \text{ and } v(t) \in \Delta\}.$$

Then

$$\{(w_1t^{r_1}, c_2(w_1t^{r_1}) + w_2t^{r_2}) \in K^2 : t \in E\}$$

is contained in A . It follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 that the graph of the center $c_2(x_1)$ has an accumulation point $(0, c_2(0))$. Hence the closure of the set A contains the point $(0, c_2(0))$ or $(0, c_2(0) + w_2)$ according as $r_2 > 0$ or $r_2 = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

Finally, we give an example which demonstrates that the closedness theorem may fail after expansion of the language for the leading term structure RV .

Example 4.5. Suppose that the exact sequence 2.1 splits. Then we have an isomorphism $G(K) \simeq Kv \times vK$ (cf. Remark 2.2 ff.). Further suppose that the value group $vK = \mathbb{Z}$ and take an infinite subset $\xi_k \in Kv$ of pairwise distinct elements from the residue field Kv . Then the set

$$A := \{(x, y) \in K^2 : rv(x, y) = ((1, k), (\xi_k, 0)), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a closed subset of K^2 , but its projection

$$\pi_{<2}(A) = \{x \in K : rv(x) = (1, k), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is not a closed subset of K , having $0 \in K$ as an accumulation point.

5. APPLICATIONS OF THE CLOSEDNESS THEOREM

We begin with the following full version of the theorem on existence of the limit.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ be an \mathcal{L} -definable function on a subset E of K , and suppose that 0 is an accumulation point of E . Then there is a finite partition of E into \mathcal{L} -definable sets E_1, \dots, E_r and points $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f|_{E_i}(x) = w_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Proof. We may of course assume that $0 \notin E$. Put

$$F := \text{graph}(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in E\} \subset K \times \mathbb{P}^1(K);$$

obviously, F is of dimension 1. It follows from the closedness theorem that the frontier $\partial F \subset K \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ is non-empty, and thus of dimension zero. Say

$$\partial F \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)) = \{(0, w_1), \dots, (0, w_r)\}$$

for some $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$. Take pairwise disjoint neighborhoods U_i of the points w_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$, and set

$$F_0 := F \cap \left(E \times \left(\mathbb{P}^1(K) \setminus \bigcup_i^r E_i \right) \right).$$

Let

$$\pi : K \times \mathbb{P}^1(K) \longrightarrow K$$

be the canonical projection. Then

$$E_0 := \pi(F_0) = f^{-1} \left(\mathbb{P}^1(K) \setminus \bigcup_i^r E_i \right).$$

Clearly, the closure $\overline{F_0}$ of F_0 in $K \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and $\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ are disjoint. Hence and by the closedness theorem, $0 \notin \overline{E_0}$, the closure of E_0 in K . The set E_0 is thus irrelevant with respect to the limit at $0 \in K$. Therefore it remains to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f|_{E_i}(x) = w_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Otherwise there is a neighborhood $V_i \subset U_i$ such that 0 would be an accumulation point of the set

$$f^{-1}(U_i \setminus V_i) = \pi(F \cap (E \times (U_i \setminus V_i))).$$

Again, it follows from the closedness theorem that $\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and the closure of $F \cap (E \times (U_i \setminus V_i))$ in $K \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ would not be disjoint. This contradiction finishes the proof. \square

Now we prove the theorem on piecewise continuity.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $A \subset K^n$ and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ be an \mathcal{L} -definable function. Then f is piecewise continuous, i.e. there is a finite partition of A into \mathcal{L} -definable locally closed subsets A_1, \dots, A_s of K^n such that the restriction of f to each A_i is continuous.*

Proof. Consider an \mathcal{L} -definable function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and its graph

$$E := \{(x, f(x)) : x \in A\} \subset K^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(K).$$

We shall proceed with induction with respect to the dimension

$$d = \dim A = \dim E$$

of the source and graph of f .

Observe first that every \mathcal{L} -definable subset E of K^n is a finite disjoint union of locally closed \mathcal{L} -definable subsets of K^n . This can be easily proven by induction on the dimension of E . Therefore we can assume that the graph E is a locally closed subset of $K^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ of dimension d and that the conclusion of the theorem holds for functions with source and graph of dimension $< d$.

Let F be the closure of E in $K^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and $\partial E := F \setminus E$ be the frontier of E . Since E is locally closed, the frontier ∂E is a closed subset of $K^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ as well. Let

$$\pi : K^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(K) \longrightarrow K^n$$

be the canonical projection. Then, by virtue of the closedness theorem, the images $\pi(F)$ and $\pi(\partial E)$ are closed subsets of K^n . Further,

$$\dim F = \dim \pi(F) = d$$

and

$$\dim \pi(\partial E) \leq \dim \partial E < d.$$

Putting

$$B := \pi(F) \setminus \pi(\partial E) \subset \pi(E) = A,$$

we thus get

$$\dim B = d \quad \text{and} \quad \dim (A \setminus B) < d.$$

Clearly, the set

$$E_0 := E \cap (B \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)) = F \cap (B \times \mathbb{P}^1(K))$$

is a closed subset of $B \times \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and is the graph of the restriction

$$f_0 : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(K)$$

of f to B . Again, it follows immediately from the closedness theorem that the restriction

$$\pi_0 : E_0 \longrightarrow B$$

of the projection π to E_0 is a definably closed map. Therefore f_0 is a continuous function. But, by the induction hypothesis, the restriction of f to $A \setminus B$ satisfies the conclusion of the theorem, whence so does the function f . This completes the proof. \square

We immediately obtain

Corollary 5.3. *The conclusion of the above theorem holds for any \mathcal{L} -definable function $f : A \rightarrow K$.*

Algebraic non-Archimedean versions of the Łojasiewicz inequality, established in our papers [30, 31], can be carried over to the general settings considered here with proofs repeated almost verbatim. We thus state only the results (Theorems 11.2, 11.5 and 11.6, Proposition 11.3 and Corollary 11.4 from [31]). The main ingredients of the proofs are the closedness theorem, the orthogonality property and relative quantifier elimination for ordered abelian groups. They allow us to reduce the problem under study to that of piecewise linear geometry. We first state the following version, which is closest to the classical one.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $f, g_1, \dots, g_m : A \rightarrow K$ be continuous \mathcal{L} -definable functions on a closed (in the K -topology) bounded subset A of K^m . If*

$$\{x \in A : g_1(x) = \dots = g_m(x) = 0\} \subset \{x \in A : f(x) = 0\},$$

then there exist a positive integer s and a constant $\beta \in \Gamma$ such that

$$s \cdot v(f(x)) + \beta \geq v((g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x)))$$

for all $x \in A$. Equivalently, there is a $C \in |K|$ such that

$$|f(x)|^s \leq C \cdot \max\{|g_1(x)|, \dots, |g_m(x)|\}$$

for all $x \in A$.

A direct consequence of Theorem 5.4 is the following result on Hölder continuity of definable functions.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $f : A \rightarrow K$ be a continuous \mathcal{L} -definable function on a closed bounded subset $A \subset K^n$. Then f is Hölder continuous with a positive integer s and a constant $\beta \in \Gamma$, i.e.*

$$s \cdot v(f(x) - f(z)) + \beta \geq v(x - z)$$

for all $x, z \in A$. Equivalently, there is a $C \in |K|$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(z)|^s \leq C \cdot |x - z|$$

for all $x, z \in A$.

We immediately obtain

Corollary 5.6. *Every continuous \mathcal{L} -definable function $f : A \rightarrow K$ on a closed bounded subset $A \subset K^n$ is uniformly continuous.*

Now we formulate another, more general version of the Łojasiewicz inequality for continuous definable functions of a locally closed subset of K^n .

Theorem 5.7. *Let $f, g : A \rightarrow K$ be two continuous \mathcal{L} -definable functions on a locally closed subset A of K^n . If*

$$\{x \in A : g(x) = 0\} \subset \{x \in A : f(x) = 0\},$$

then there exist a positive integer s and a continuous \mathcal{L} -definable function h on A such that $f^s(x) = h(x) \cdot g(x)$ for all $x \in A$.

Finally, put

$$\mathcal{D}(f) := \{x \in A : f(x) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}(f) := \{x \in A : f(x) = 0\}.$$

The following theorem may be also regarded as a kind of the Łojasiewicz inequality, which is, of course, a strengthening of Theorem 5.7.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $f : A \rightarrow K$ be a continuous \mathcal{L} -definable function on a locally closed subset A of K^n and $g : \mathcal{D}(f) \rightarrow K$ a continuous \mathcal{L} -definable function. Then $f^s \cdot g$ extends, for $s \gg 0$, by zero through the set $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ to a (unique) continuous \mathcal{L} -definable function on A .*

6. A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN VERSION OF PUISEUX'S THEOREM

Here we give a non-Archimedean version of Puiseux's theorem for Henselian fields with analytic structure, being a more precise version of Theorem 1.1. We begin by recalling, following the paper [11], the concept of a separated analytic structure. The study of analytic structures was initiated by [15, 18, 17] and continued thereafter by e.g. [27, 28, 13, 11, 12].

Let A be a commutative ring with unit and with a fixed proper ideal $I \subsetneq A$; put $\tilde{A} = A/I$. A *separated (A, I) -system* is a certain system \mathcal{A} of A -subalgebras $A_{m,n} \subset A[[\xi, \rho]]$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$; here $A_{0,0} = A$ (op. cit., Section 4.1). Two kinds of variables, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ and $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$, play different roles. Roughly speaking, the variables ξ vary over the valuation ring (or the closed unit disc) \mathcal{O}_K of a valued field K , and the variables ρ vary over the maximal ideal (or the open unit disc) \mathcal{M}_K of K .

The (A, I) -system \mathcal{A} is called a *separated pre-Weierstrass system* if two usual Weierstrass division theorems hold with respect to division by each $f \in A_{m,n}$ which is ξ_m -regular or ρ_n -regular. A pre-Weierstrass system \mathcal{A} is called a *separated Weierstrass system* if the rings C of fractions enjoy a certain weak Noetherian property.

Let \mathcal{A} be a separated Weierstrass system and K a valued field. A *separated analytic \mathcal{A} -structure* on K is a collection of homomorphisms $\sigma_{m,n}$ from $A_{m,n}$ to the ring of \mathcal{O}_K -valued functions on $(\mathcal{O}_K)^m \times (\mathcal{M}_K)^n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

- 1) $\sigma_{0,0}(I) \subset \mathcal{M}_K$;
- 2) $\sigma_{m,n}(\xi_i)$ and $\sigma_{m,n}(\rho_j)$ are the i -th and $(m+j)$ -th coordinate functions on $(\mathcal{O}_K)^m \times (\mathcal{M}_K)^n$, respectively;
- 3) $\sigma_{m+1,n}$ and $\sigma_{m,n+1}$ extend $\sigma_{m,n}$, where functions on the product $(\mathcal{O}_K)^m \times (\mathcal{M}_K)^n$ are identified with those functions on

$$(\mathcal{O}_K)^{m+1} \times (\mathcal{M}_K)^n \quad \text{or} \quad (\mathcal{O}_K)^m \times (\mathcal{M}_K)^{n+1}$$

which do not depend on the coordinate ξ_{m+1} or ρ_{n+1} , respectively.

If the ground field K is trivially valued, then $\mathcal{M}_K = (0)$ and the analytic structure reduces to the algebraic structure given by polynomials. A separated analytic \mathcal{A} -structure on a valued field K can be uniquely extended to any algebraic extension K' of K (op. cit., Theorem 4.5.11). Every valued field with separated analytic structure is Henselian (op. cit., Proposition 4.5.10).

One may assume without loss of generality that $\ker \sigma_{0,0} = (0)$, because replacing A by $A/\ker \sigma_{0,0}$ yields an equivalent analytic structure on K with this property. Then $A = A_{0,0}$ can be regarded as a subring of \mathcal{O}_K . We shall assume that the ground field K is non-trivially valued and that $\sigma_{0,0}$ is injective. Under these assumptions, for any subfield F of K containing A , one can canonically obtain, by extension of parameters, a (unique) separated Weierstrass system $\mathcal{A}(F)$ over $(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{M}_F)$ so that K has separated analytic $\mathcal{A}(F)$ -structure (op. cit., Theorem 4.5.7).

The analytic language $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{Hen, \mathcal{A}}$ is the semialgebraic language \mathcal{L}_{Hen} augmented on the valued field sort K by the reciprocal function $1/x$ (with $1/0 := 0$) and the names of all functions of the system \mathcal{A} , together with the induced language on the auxiliary sort RV (op. cit., Section 6.2). A power series $f \in A_{m,n}$ is construed via the analytic \mathcal{A} -structure on their natural domains and as zero outside them. More precisely, f is interpreted as a function

$$\sigma(f) = f^\sigma : (\mathcal{O}_K)^m \times (\mathcal{M}_K)^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K,$$

extended by zero on $K^{m+n} \setminus (\mathcal{O}_K)^m \times (\mathcal{M}_K)^n$.

In the equicharacteristic case, the induced language on the sort RV coincides with the algebraic language \mathcal{L}_{rv} .

Denote by \mathcal{L}^* the analytic language \mathcal{L} augmented by all Henselian functions

$$h_m : K^{m+1} \times RV(K) \rightarrow K, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

which are defined by means of a version of Hensel's lemma (cf. [11], Section 6).

Let $\mathcal{T}_{Hen, \mathcal{A}}$ be the \mathcal{L} -theory of all Henselian valued fields of characteristic zero with separated analytic \mathcal{A} -structure. Two crucial results about analytic structures are Theorems 6.3.7 and 6.3.8 from [11], stated below.

Theorem 6.1. *The theory $\mathcal{T}_{Hen, \mathcal{A}}$ eliminates valued field quantifiers, is ball-minimal with centers and preserves all balls. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}_{Hen, \mathcal{A}}$ has the Jacobian property.*

Theorem 6.2. *Let K be a Henselian field with separated analytic \mathcal{A} -structure. Let $f : X \rightarrow K$, $X \subset K^n$, be an $\mathcal{L}(B)$ -definable function for some set of parameters B . Then there exist an $\mathcal{L}(B)$ -definable function $g : X \rightarrow S$ with S auxiliary and an $\mathcal{L}^*(B)$ -term t such that*

$$f(x) = t(x, g(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

It follows from Theorem 6.1 that the theory $\mathcal{T}_{Hen, \mathcal{A}}$ admits reparametrized cell decompositions with centers (cf. [14]).

Now we can readily prove the following version of Puiseux's theorem, which is a more precise, analytic version of the theorem on existence of the limit.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $f : E \rightarrow K$ be an \mathcal{L} -definable function on a subset E of K . Suppose that 0 is an accumulation point of E . Then there is an $\mathcal{L}(B)$ -definable subset F of E definable over algebraic closure of \emptyset , with accumulation point 0 , and a point $w \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f|_F(x) = w.$$

Moreover, we can require that

$$\{(x, f(x)) : x \in F\} \subset \{(x^r, \phi(x)) : x \in G\},$$

where r is a positive integer and ϕ is an \mathcal{L} -definable function on a subset G of K , being a composite of some functions induced by series from \mathcal{A} and of some algebraic power series over K (coming from the implicit function theorem). Then, in particular, the definable set

$$\{(v(x), v(f(x))) : x \in F \setminus \{0\}\} \subset \Gamma \times (\Gamma \cup \{\infty\})$$

is contained either in an affine line with rational slope

$$\{(k, l) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma : q \cdot l = p \cdot k + \beta\}$$

with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q > 0$, $\beta \in \Gamma$, or in $\Gamma \times \{\infty\}$.

Proof. The proof relies on term structure (Theorem 6.2), which enables induction with respect to the complexity of a term t corresponding to the function f , on ball-minimality (Theorem 6.1) and on Lemma 4.2.

By Remarks 1.4 and 2.3 ff., we can as before assume that the field K has a coefficient map, exact sequence 2.1 splits and the residue field is orthogonal to the value group.

Therefore, after shrinking E , we can assume that $\overline{ac}(E) = \{1\}$ and the function g goes into $\{\xi\} \times \Gamma^s$ with a $\xi \in \tilde{K}^s$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\xi = (1, \dots, 1)$; similar reductions were considered in our papers [30, 31]. For simplicity, we look at g as a function into Γ^s . We shall briefly explain the most difficult case where

$$t(x, g(x)) = h_m(a_0(x), \dots, a_m(x), (1, g_0(x))),$$

assuming that the theorem holds for the terms a_0, \dots, a_m ; here g_0 is one of the components of g .

By our assumption, each function $a_i(x)$ has, after taking a suitable subset F of E , a limit, say, $a_i(0) \in K$ when x tends to zero. Due to Lemma 4.2, we can assume that

$$(6.1) \quad pv(x) + qg_0(x) + v(a) = 0$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q > 0$, and $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$. By the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\{(x, a_i(x)) : x \in F\} \subset \{(x^r, \alpha_i(x)) : x \in G\}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

for some power series $\alpha_i(x)$ as stated in the theorem. Put

$$P(x, T) := \sum_{i=0}^m a_i(x)T^i.$$

By the very definition of h_m and since we can take a smaller subset F of E with accumulation point 0, we may assume that there is an $i_0 = 0, \dots, m$ such that

$$\forall x \in F \exists u \in K \quad v(u) = g_0(x), \quad \overline{ac} u = 1,$$

and the following formulae hold

$$(6.2) \quad v(a_{i_0}(x)u^{i_0}) = \min \{v(a_i(x)u^i), i = 0, \dots, m\},$$

$$v(P(x, u)) > v(a_{i_0}(x)u^{i_0}), \quad v\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}(x, u)\right) = v(a_{i_0}(x)u^{i_0-1}).$$

Then $h_m(a_0(x), \dots, a_m(x), (1, g_0(x)))$ is a unique $b(x) \in K$ such that

$$P(x, b(x)) = 0, \quad v(b(x)) = g_0(x), \quad \overline{ac} b(x) = 1.$$

Via quantifier elimination for ordered abelian groups, we see in view of [31, Remarks 7.2, 7.3] that the set F contains the set of points of the form $c^r t^{Nqr}$ for some $c \in K$ with $\overline{ac} c = 1$, a positive integer N and all $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ small enough with $\overline{ac} t = 1$. Hence and by equation 6.1, we get

$$g_0(c^r t^{Nqr}) = g_0(c^r) - v(t^{Npr}).$$

Take $d \in K$ such that $g_0(c^r) = v(d)$ and $\overline{ac} d = 1$. Then

$$g_0(c^r t^{Nqr}) = v(dt^{-Npr}).$$

Thus the homothetic change of variable

$$Z = T/dt^{-Npr} = t^{Npr} T/d$$

transforms the polynomial

$$P(c^r t^{Nqr}, T) = \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i (ct^{Nq}) T^i$$

into a polynomial $Q(t, Z)$ to which Hensel's lemma applies (cf. [37, Lemma 3.5]):

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} P(c^r t^{Nqr}, T) &= P(c^r t^{Nqr}, dt^{-Npr} Z) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i (ct^{Nq}) \cdot (dt^{-Npr} Z)^i = (\alpha_{i_0} (ct^{Nq}) \cdot (dt^{-Npr})^{i_0} \cdot Q(t, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, formulae 6.2 imply that the coefficients $b_i(t)$, $i = 0, \dots, m$, of the polynomial Q are power series (of order ≥ 0) in the variable t , and that

$$v(Q(t, 1)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial Z}(t, 1)\right) = 0$$

for $t \in K^0$ small enough. Hence

$$v(Q(0, 1)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial Z}(0, 1)\right) = 0.$$

But, for $x(t) = c^r t^{Nqr}$, the unique zero $T(t) = b(x(t))$ of the polynomial $P(x(t), T)$ such that

$$v(b(x(t))) = v(dt^{-Npr}) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{ac} b(x(t)) = 1$$

corresponds to a unique zero $Z(t)$ of the polynomial $Q(t, Z)$ such that

$$v(Z(t)) = v(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{ac} Z(t) = 1.$$

Therefore the conclusion of the theorem can be directly obtained via the implicit function theorem (see e.g. [31, Proposition 2.5]) applied to the polynomial

$$P(A_0, \dots, A_m, Z) = \sum_{i=0}^m A_i Z^i$$

in the variables A_i substituted for $a_i(x)$ at the point

$$A_0 = b_0(0), \dots, A_m = b_m(0), Z = 1.$$

□

We conclude this paper with the following comment. Our papers [34, 35, 36] give some theorems on the existence of definable retractions, which immediately yield some definable, non-Archimedean versions of the classical theorems on extending continuous functions such as the theorems of Tietze–Urysohn or Dugundji. The algebraic case is treated in [34]. The case of analytic structures, determined on complete rank one valued fields K by separated power series, is proven in [35]. Their proofs make use of the following basic tools: elimination of valued field quantifiers (due to Cluckers–Lipshitz–Robinson in the analytic settings), the closedness theorem and Bierstone–Milman’s algorithm [6] for global resolution of singularities. The general analytic case is established in [36] by means of the definable version of Bierstone–Milman’s algorithm from [36], which works for the general separated analytic structures. It is plausible that those results carry over to the settings of Hensel minimal structures.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschenbrenner, A. Chernikov, A. Gehret, M. Ziegler, *Distality in valued fields and related structures*, arXiv:2008.09889 [math.LO] (2020).
- [2] J. Ax, S. Kochen, *Diophantine problems over local fields*; I, II, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 605–648.
- [3] J. Ax, S. Kochen, *Diophantine problems over local fields*, III, Ann. Math. **83** (1966), 437–456.
- [4] S.A. Basarab, *Relative elimination of quantifiers for Henselian valued fields*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **53** (1991), 51–74.
- [5] S.A. Basarab, F.-V. Kuhlmann, *An isomorphism theorem for Henselian algebraic extensions of valued fields*, Manuscripta Math. **77** (1992), 113–126.
- [6] E. Bierstone, P.D. Milman, *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant*, Inventiones Math. **128** (1997), 207–302.
- [7] R. Cluckers, G. Comte, F. Loeser, *Non-Archimedean Yomdin–Gromov parametrizations and points of bounded height*, Forum Math. Pi **3** (2015), e5.

- [8] R. Cluckers, A. Forey, F. Loeser, *Uniform Yomdin–Gromov parametrizations and points of bounded height in valued fields*, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), 1423–1456.
- [9] R. Cluckers, E. Halupczok, *Quantifier elimination in ordered abelian groups*, Confluentes Math. **3** (4) (2011), 587–615.
- [10] R. Cluckers, E. Halupczok, S. Rideau, *Hensel minimality*, arXiv:1909.13792 [math.LO] (2019).
- [11] R. Cluckers, L. Lipshitz, *Fields with analytic structure*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **13** (2011), 1147–1223.
- [12] R. Cluckers, L. Lipshitz, *Strictly convergent analytic structures*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **19** (2017), 107–149.
- [13] R. Cluckers, L. Lipshitz, Z. Robinson, *Analytic cell decomposition and analytic motivic integration*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **39** (2006), 535–568.
- [14] R. Cluckers, F. Loeser, *b-minimality*, J. Math. Logic **7** (2) (2007), 195–227.
- [15] J. Denef, L. van den Dries, *p-adic and real subanalytic sets*, Ann. Math. **128** (1988), 79–138.
- [16] L. van den Dries, *Analytic Ax–Kochen–Ershov theorems*. In: Contemp. Math. **131**, AMS (1992), 379–392.
- [17] L. van den Dries, D. Haskell, D. Macpherson, *One dimensional p-adic subanalytic sets*, J. London Math. Soc. **56** (1999), 1–20.
- [18] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre, D. Marker, *The elementary theory of restricted analytic fields with exponentiation*, Ann. Math. **140** (1994), 183–205.
- [19] Yu. Ershov, *On the elementary theory of maximal normed fields*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **165** (1965), 21–23.
- [20] J. Flenner, *Relative decidability and definability in Henselian valued fields*, J. Symbolic Logic **76** (2011), 1240–1260.
- [21] Y. Halevi, A. Hassan, *Eliminating valued field quantifiers in strongly dependent Henselian fields*, Proc. AMS **147** (2019), 2213–2230.
- [22] D. Haskell, D. Macpherson, *Cell decomposition of C-minimal structures*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **66** (1994), 113–162.
- [23] D. Haskell, D. Macpherson, *A version of o-minimality for the p-adics*, J. Symbolic Logic **62** (1997), 1075–1092.
- [24] E. Hrushovski, D. Kazhdan, *Integration in valued fields*. In: Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory, Progr. Math. **253**, pp. 261–405. Birkhäuser, Boston, MMA (2006).
- [25] F.-V. Kuhlmann, *Quantifier elimination for Henselian fields relative to additive and multiplicative congruences*, Israel J. Math. **85** (1994), 277–306.
- [26] F.-V. Kuhlmann, *The algebra and model theory of tame valued fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **719** (2016), 1–43.
- [27] L. Lipshitz, Z. Robinson, *Rings of Separated Power Series and Quasi-Affinoid Geometry*, Astérisque **264** (2000).
- [28] L. Lipshitz, Z. Robinson, *Uniform properties of rigid subanalytic sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 4349–4377.
- [29] D. Macpherson, C. Steinhorn, *On variants of o-minimality*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **79** (1996), 165–209.
- [30] K.J. Nowak, *Some results of algebraic geometry over Henselian rank one valued fields*, Sel. Math. New Ser. **23** (2017), 455–495.

- [31] K.J. Nowak, *A closedness theorem and applications in geometry of rational points over Henselian valued fields*, J. Singul. **21** (2020), 212–233.
- [32] K.J. Nowak, *A closedness theorem over Henselian fields with analytic structure and its applications*. In: Algebra, Logic and Number Theory, Banach Center Publ. **121**, Polish Acad. Sci. (2020), 141–149.
- [33] K.J. Nowak, *Some results of geometry over Henselian fields with analytic structure*, arXiv:1808.02481 [math.AG] (2018).
- [34] K.J. Nowak, *Definable retractions and a non-Archimedean Tietze–Urysohn theorem over Henselian valued fields*, arXiv:1808.09782 [math.AG] (2018).
- [35] K.J. Nowak, *Definable retractions over complete fields with separated power series*, arXiv:1901.00162 [math.AG] (2019).
- [36] K.J. Nowak, *Definable transformation to normal crossings over Henselian fields with separated analytic structure*, Symmetry **11** (7) (2019), 934.
- [37] J. Pas, *Uniform p -adic cell decomposition and local zeta functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **399** (1989), 137–172.
- [38] T. Scanlon, *Quantifier elimination for the relative Frobenius*. In: *Valuation Theory and Its Applications*, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. II, pp. 323–352. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

Institute of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Jagiellonian University
ul. Profesora S. Łojasiewicza 6
30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: nowak@im.uj.edu.pl