

THE NUMERICAL RANGE OF SOME PERIODIC TRIDIAGONAL OPERATORS IS THE CONVEX HULL OF THE NUMERICAL RANGES OF TWO FINITE MATRICES

BENJAMÍN A. ITZÁ-ORTIZ, RUBÉN A. MARTÍNEZ-AVENDAÑO, AND HIROSHI NAKAZATO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a conjecture stated by the first two authors establishing the closure of the numerical range of a certain class of $n + 1$ -periodic tridiagonal operators as the convex hull of the numerical ranges of two tridiagonal $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices. Furthermore, when $n + 1$ is odd, we show that the size of such matrices simplifies to $\frac{n}{2} + 1$.

INTRODUCTION

Tridiagonal operators and matrices have long been of interest to researchers for a wide variety of reasons, such as solving linear systems of equations, applications of finite differences for the numerical solution of differential equations, and finding roots of polynomials, just to name a few. They also have found applications in Physics. For example, a tridiagonal operator is used as the “hopping sign model” introduced in [8] and studied by many other authors, such as in [2–5, 9–11]. Though it may seem natural to try finding the numerical range of arbitrary tridiagonal operators and matrices, this turns out to be a hard problem. However, under specific additional conditions, some progress has been made [7, 11–13]. In [2], Bebiano et al. introduced the symbol matrix of a biperiodic banded operator and expressed the closure of the numerical range of such an operator as the convex hull of the union of the numerical ranges of an infinite number of symbol matrices. In [12], the first two authors of this paper defined the symbol matrix of an $(n + 1)$ -periodic tridiagonal operator and showed that the closure of the numerical range of such an operator is also the convex hull of the union of the numerical ranges of an infinite number of symbol matrices. They also provided an expression for the closure of the numerical range of a specific tridiagonal operator as the convex hull of the numerical ranges of two concrete matrices. In [13] it is shown that the closure of the numerical range of an $(n + 1)$ -periodic tridiagonal operator may be expressed as the numerical range of a single but abstract $2(n + 1) \times 2(n + 1)$ matrix. In this paper, we find explicit expressions for the matrix above when the tridiagonal operator satisfies certain conditions.

Specifically, for a fixed natural number n , consider the $(n + 1)$ -periodic sequence of real numbers $a = \cdots a_{-1} a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$; that is, $a_k = a_{k+n+1}$ for every integer k . We define A_a to be the

Date: January 2021.

The second author’s research is partially supported by the Asociación Mexicana de Cultura A.C..

The numerical range of a bounded operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with inner product denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, is the set of complex numbers given by

$$W(A) = \{\langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\}.$$

This set is a bounded convex subset of \mathbb{C} , by the Toeplitz–Hausdorff Theorem, and it is closed if the Hilbert space is finite dimensional. It follows from the convexity that the closure of the numerical range can be seen as the intersection of the closed half-planes containing $W(A)$.

In [16] (see also [17]), R. Kippenhahn showed that, for a square matrix A , the vertical lines $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \lambda_1(A)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \lambda_n(A)$ are support lines of $W(A)$. Here $\lambda_1(A)$ and $\lambda_n(A)$ are the largest and smallest eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Re}(A)$, respectively. (Recall that $\operatorname{Re}(A) := \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(A) := \frac{1}{2i}(A - A^*)$.) In fact, he showed that if $\alpha \in W(A)$ then $\lambda_n(A) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \leq \lambda_1(A)$ and the equalities hold for some points $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in W(A)$.

It is clear that for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ we have $e^{i\theta}W(A) = W(e^{i\theta}A)$. Now, if $\alpha \in W(A)$, then $e^{-i\theta}\alpha \in W(e^{-i\theta}A)$ and hence $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta}\alpha) \leq \lambda_1(e^{-i\theta}A)$. Therefore the lines $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta}z) = \lambda_1(e^{-i\theta}A)$ are support lines of $W(A)$. It follows that $W(A)$, being a convex set, is uniquely determined by the real numbers $\lambda_1(e^{-i\theta}A)$, as θ varies on the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. In other words, the largest eigenvalue of $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta}A)$, which equals $\cos(\theta)\operatorname{Re}(A) + \sin(\theta)\operatorname{Im}(A)$, determines the set $W(A)$. Therefore, the largest roots of the family of characteristic polynomials

$$\det(tI_n - \cos(\theta)\operatorname{Re}(A) - \sin(\theta)\operatorname{Im}(A)),$$

completely characterizes the convex set $W(A)$.

We can then define the Kippenhahn polynomial of A as the homogeneous polynomial $F_A(t, x, y) = \det(tI_n + x\operatorname{Re}(A) + y\operatorname{Im}(A))$. It is then clear that two matrices have the same numerical range if their Kippenhahn polynomials coincide. In fact,

$$\max\{t \in \mathbb{R} : F_A(t, -\cos(\theta), -\sin(\theta)) = 0\} = \max\{\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta}z) : z \in W(A)\}$$

for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

For the rest of this paper we will use the following notation. For $0 \leq j < n$ we define

$$\alpha_j = \frac{c_j + \overline{a_{j+1}}}{2}, \quad \gamma_j = \frac{c_j - \overline{a_{j+1}}}{2i},$$

and

$$\alpha_n = \frac{a_0 + \overline{c_n}}{2}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{a_0 - \overline{c_n}}{2i}.$$

The following Proposition, which was shown in [13], will be useful in what follows.

Proposition 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $T(a, b, c)$ is an $n+1$ -periodic tridiagonal operator acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Let P be the real homogeneous polynomial of degree $2(n+1)$ given by

$$P(t, x, y) = \left(G_n(t, x, y) - |\alpha_n x + \gamma_n y|^2 H_n(t, x, y) \right)^2 - 4 \prod_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j x + \gamma_j y|^2.$$

Then

$$\sup\{\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta}z) : z \in W(T(a, b, c))\} = \max\{t \in \mathbb{R} : P(t, -\cos \theta, -\sin \theta) = 0\},$$

for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Here $G_n(t, x, y)$ is the determinant of the tridiagonal $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,1} & \lambda_{1,2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{2,1} & \lambda_{2,2} & \lambda_{2,3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{3,2} & \lambda_{3,3} & \lambda_{3,3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{4,3} & \lambda_{4,4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n,n} & \lambda_{n,n+1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n+1,n} & \lambda_{n+1,n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and, where we set $H_n(t, x, y) = 1$ when $n = 1$, and, for $n \geq 2$, we set $H_n(t, x, y)$ to be the determinant of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ tridiagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{2,2} & \lambda_{2,3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{3,2} & \lambda_{3,3} & \lambda_{3,4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{4,3} & \lambda_{4,4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n-1,n-1} & \lambda_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n,n-1} & \lambda_{n,n} \end{pmatrix},$$

and we have set, for $1 \leq j \leq n+1$,

$$\lambda_{j,j} = t + \operatorname{Re}(b_{j-1})x + \operatorname{Im}(b_{j-1})y,$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\lambda_{j,j+1} = \alpha_{j-1}x + \gamma_{j-1}y \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{j+1,j} = \overline{\alpha_{j-1}}x + \overline{\gamma_{j-1}}y.$$

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. Consider the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ “almost tridiagonal” matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} & u_{1,2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & u_{1,n+1} \\ u_{2,1} & u_{2,2} & u_{2,3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_{3,2} & u_{3,3} & u_{3,4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{4,3} & u_{4,4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n-1,n-1} & u_{n-1,n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n,n-1} & u_{n,n} & u_{n,n+1} \\ u_{n+1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & u_{n+1,n} & u_{n+1,n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where every $u_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, $\det(U)$ equals

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} & u_{1,2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ u_{2,1} & u_{2,2} & u_{2,3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_{3,2} & u_{3,3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n-1,n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n,n} & u_{n,n+1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n+1,n} & u_{n+1,n+1} \end{pmatrix} - u_{1,n+1}u_{n+1,1} \det \begin{pmatrix} u_{2,2} & u_{2,3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ u_{3,2} & u_{3,3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n-1,n-1} & u_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n,n-1} & u_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ (-1)^n u_{n+1,1} u_{1,2} u_{2,3} \cdots u_{n-1,n} u_{n,n+1} + (-1)^n u_{1,n+1} u_{2,1} u_{3,2} \cdots u_{n,n-1} u_{n+1,n}.$$

Proof. This lemma can be proved by successive applications of the multilinearity of the determinant function and the Laplace Expansion Theorem. We leave the long, but straightforward proof, to the reader. \square

2. PROOF OF THE CONJECTURE

We shall prove that Conjecture 3.7 of [12] is true by using the polynomial given in Proposition 1.1. We first state some lemmas which will be useful.

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, let $r_0, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and consider the two $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices

$$(2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} t & r_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \pm r_n \\ r_0 & t & r_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 & t & r_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_2 & t & r_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_3 & t & r_4 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_4 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{n-2} & t & r_{n-1} \\ \pm r_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{n-1} & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If $n+1$ is odd, assume that $r_j = r_{n-j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ (we make no assumption on r_0). Then the determinant of each of the matrices in (2) equals the product

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t \pm r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2r_{\frac{n}{2}} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t \mp r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that, if $n+1 = 3$, the matrix in the determinant in the right-hand-side of the expression above is the 1×1 matrix $(t \mp r_0)$.

- If $n+1$ is even, assume that $r_j = r_{n-j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ (we make no assumption on r_0 , nor on $r_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$). Then the determinant of each of the matrices in (2) equals the product

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t \pm r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} & t + r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t \mp r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} & t - r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let Q_{\pm} be the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each of the matrices in (2), we left-multiply by Q_+ (respectively, Q_-) and right-multiply by Q_+^{-1} (respectively, Q_-^{-1}) to obtain two matrices which are centrosymmetric (see, e.g., [1], for the definition). It is known that the determinant of a centrosymmetric matrix can be written as the product of the determinants of two smaller matrices (see, [1, p. 125, eq. (9)]) from which the result follows. (See [14] for the full details of the proof.) \square

Lemma 2.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, let $r_0, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and consider the two $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices

$$(3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} t \pm r_0 & r_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & r_3 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_3 & t & r_4 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_4 & t & r_5 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_5 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{n-1} & t & r_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_n & t \pm r_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If $n+1$ is odd, assume that $r_j = r_{n-j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ (we make no assumption on r_0). Then the determinant of each of the matrices in (3) equals the product

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t \pm r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t \pm r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2r_{\frac{n}{2}} & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that, if $n+1 = 3$, the matrix in the determinant in the left-hand-side of the expression above is the 1×1 matrix $(t \pm r_0)$.

- If $n+1$ is even, assume that $r_j = r_{n-j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ (we make no assumption on r_0 , nor on $r_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$). Then the determinant of each of the matrices in (3) equals the

product

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t \pm r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & t + r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t \pm r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & t - r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The matrices in (3) are centrosymmetric. Again, applying the factorization given in [1, p. 125, eq. (9)], the result follows. (See [14] for the full details of the proof.) \square

Now we prove the main theorem of this section. We use the notation $\text{conv}(A \cup B)$ to denote the convex hull of the sets A and B .

Theorem 2.3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let a and c be $n+1$ -periodic infinite sequences in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$. Let $a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ and $c_0 c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ be the period words of a and c , respectively. Suppose that

$$c_0 = a_1, \quad |c_1 + a_2| = |c_n + a_0|, \quad |c_1 - a_2| = |c_n - a_0|,$$

$$|c_j + a_{j+1}| = |c_{n-j+1} + a_{n-j+2}|, \quad \text{and} \quad |c_j - a_{j+1}| = |c_{n-j+1} - a_{n-j+2}|,$$

for all $j = 2, 3, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Then

$$\overline{W(T(a, 0, c))} = \text{conv}(W(A^+) \cup W(A^-)),$$

where A^\pm are the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices

$$A^\pm := \begin{pmatrix} \pm a_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & c_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 & c_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_n & 0 & c_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_0 & \pm c_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.1,

$$\sup \left\{ \text{Re}(e^{-i\theta} z) : z \in W(T(a, 0, c)) \right\} = \max \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : P(t, -\cos \theta, -\sin \theta) = 0 \},$$

for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where

$$P(t, x, y) = \left(G_n(t, x, y) - |\alpha_n x + \gamma_n y|^2 H_n(t, x, y) \right)^2 - 4 \prod_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j x + \gamma_j y|^2,$$

where $G_n(t, x, y)$ and $H_n(t, x, y)$ are as in the mentioned proposition.

Let $A := \begin{pmatrix} A^+ & 0 \\ 0 & A^- \end{pmatrix}$, then clearly $F_A(t, x, y) = F_{A^+}(t, x, y) \cdot F_{A^-}(t, x, y)$. We will show that $F_A(t, x, y)$ equals $P(t, x, y)$ and hence

$$\overline{W(T(a, 0, c))} = W(A).$$

But since A is block-diagonal, we also have

$$W(A) = \text{conv}(W(A^+) \cup W(A^-)),$$

which will complete the proof.

To achieve this, we will find a suitable factorization of $P(t, x, y)$ and then we will observe that one of the factors is $F_{A^+}(t, x, y)$ and the other is $F_{A^-}(t, x, y)$.

By the hypotheses, we have

$$(4) \quad |\alpha_j| = |\alpha_{n-j+1}|, \quad \text{and} \quad |\gamma_j| = |\gamma_{n-j+1}|,$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. It is also clear that $\overline{\lambda_{j,j+1}} = \lambda_{j+1,j}$ for every j .

Since x and y are real variables and by hypothesis $c_0 = a_1$, we have $\gamma_0 = 0$ and so $\lambda_{1,2} = \alpha_0 x = \lambda_{2,1}$, it follows that

$$G_n(t, x, y) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,1} & \lambda_{1,2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{1,2} & \lambda_{2,2} & |\lambda_{2,3}| & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |\lambda_{2,3}| & \lambda_{3,3} & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{n-1,n-1} & |\lambda_{n-1,n}| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & |\lambda_{n-1,n}| & \lambda_{n,n} & |\lambda_{n,n+1}| \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & |\lambda_{n,n+1}| & \lambda_{n+1,n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and, when $n+1 \geq 3$,

$$H_n(t, x, y) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{2,2} & |\lambda_{2,3}| & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ |\lambda_{2,3}| & \lambda_{3,3} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{n-1,n-1} & |\lambda_{n-1,n}| \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & |\lambda_{n-1,n}| & \lambda_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to simplify notation, let us set $r_0 = \lambda_{1,2} = \alpha_0 x$, set $r_j = |\lambda_{j+1,j+2}| = |\alpha_j x + \gamma_j y|$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and set $r_n = |\alpha_n x + \gamma_n y|$.

Observe that, since α_j is real and γ_j is purely imaginary, then it follows by equation (4) that

$$r_j^2 = |\alpha_j|^2 x^2 + |\gamma_j|^2 y^2 = |\alpha_{n-j+1}|^2 x^2 + |\gamma_{n-j+1}|^2 y^2 = r_{n-j+1}^2,$$

and hence $r_j = r_{n-j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

We then obtain

$$G_n(t, x, y) = \det \begin{pmatrix} t & r_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_0 & t & r_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{n-2} & t & r_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{n-1} & t \end{pmatrix}$$

and, when $n + 1 \geq 3$,

$$H_n(t, x, y) = \det \begin{pmatrix} t & r_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{n-2} & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, x, y) &= \left(G_n(t, x, y) - r_n^2 H_n(t, x, y) \right)^2 - 4 \prod_{j=0}^n r_j^2 \\ &= \left(G_n(t, x, y) - r_n^2 H_n(t, x, y) - 2 \prod_{j=0}^n r_j \right) \left(G_n(t, x, y) - r_n^2 H_n(t, x, y) + 2 \prod_{j=0}^n r_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

We assume, for the moment, that $n + 1 \geq 3$. Then $F_A(t, x, y)$ equals, by Lemma 1.2,

$$(5) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} t & r_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & r_n \\ r_0 & t & r_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{n-2} & t & r_{n-1} \\ r_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{n-1} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t & r_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -r_n \\ r_0 & t & r_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{n-2} & t & r_{n-1} \\ -r_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{n-1} & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

We need to consider two cases:

Case $n + 1$ is even. By Lemma 2.1, since $r_j = r_{n-j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$, the above product (5) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} & t+r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} & t-r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} & t+r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} & t-r_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 2.2 to the above equality, we conclude that $P(t, x, y)$ equals

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & r_3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_3 & t & r_4 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_4 & t & r_5 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_5 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{n-1} & t & r_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_n & t+r_0 \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & r_3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_3 & t & r_4 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_4 & t & r_5 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_5 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{n-1} & t & r_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_n & t-r_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case $n+1$ is odd. By Lemma 2.1, since $r_j = r_{n-j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$, the product (5) equals

$$(6) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2r_{\frac{n}{2}} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2r_{\frac{n}{2}} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying Lemma 2.2 to the above equality, we conclude that $P(t, x, y)$ equals

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & r_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_3 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{n-1} & t & r_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_n & t+r_0 \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & r_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_3 & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & r_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{n-1} & t & r_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_n & t-r_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lastly, if $n+1 = 2$, then it is straightforward to check that

$$P(t, x, y) = \det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 \\ r_1 & t+r_0 \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 \\ r_1 & t-r_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the polynomial $P(t, x, y)$ has the same factorization.

Now, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\operatorname{Re}(A^\pm) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm a_1 & \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & \alpha_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{n-1} & 0 & \alpha_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha_n & \pm c_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Im}(A^\pm) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma_1 & 0 & \gamma_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_2 & 0 & \gamma_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \gamma_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\gamma_{n-1} & 0 & -\gamma_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \gamma_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $F_{A^\pm}(t, x, y) = \det(tI_{n+1} + x\operatorname{Re}(A^\pm) + y\operatorname{Im}(A^\pm))$ equals

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t \pm r_0 & \lambda_{2,3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{3,2} & t & \lambda_{3,4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{4,3} & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & \lambda_{n,n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n+1,n} & t & \lambda_{n+1,n+2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{n+2,n+1} & t \pm r_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$P(t, x, y) = F_{A^+}(t, x, y) \cdot F_{A^-}(t, x, y) = F_A(t, x, y),$$

as desired. \square

The same type of conclusion given in the above theorem can be deduced if, instead of assuming $c_0 = a_1$ (and the rest of the equalities) one assumes, for example, that $c_1 = a_2$ (and other, similar, equalities).

We illustrate the use of the above theorem with a couple of examples.

Example 2.4. Let a be the periodic sequence with period word $0100 \cdots 0$ of length $n+1$ and let c be the periodic sequence with period word $1111 \cdots 1$ of length $n+1$. Then, we have $1 = c_0 = a_1$, $1 = |c_1 + a_2| = |c_n + a_0|$, $1 = |c_1 - a_2| = |c_n - a_0|$, $1 = |c_j + a_{j+1}| = |c_{n-j+1} + a_{n-j+2}|$ and $1 = |c_j - a_{j+1}| = |c_{n-j+1} - a_{n-j+2}|$ for all $j = 2, 3, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Therefore, the closure of the numerical

operator of the form $A(\infty, -1)$ as defined in [6]. We have that the closure of the numerical range of this T is the convex hull of the numerical ranges of the matrices $A^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 1 \\ -1 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$, that is, it is the convex hull of the sets $W(A^+) = \{1 + yi: -1 \leq y \leq 1\}$ and $W(A^-) = \{-1 + yi: -1 \leq y \leq 1\}$, thus recovering Theorem 9 in [6].

3. FURTHER SIMPLIFICATION, IF $n + 1$ IS ODD

For the rest of this section, we assume that $n + 1$ is odd. In Theorem 2.3 we have written the closure of the numerical range of T as the convex hull of the union of the numerical ranges of two matrices of size $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$. Here we simplify this result replacing the matrices by matrices of sizes $(\frac{n}{2} + 1) \times (\frac{n}{2} + 1)$. Assume that we have obtained the factorization we did in the proof of Theorem 2.3, specifically, we have obtained Equation (6):

$$P(t, x, y) = \det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2r_{\frac{n}{2}} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t \end{pmatrix} \\
 \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2r_{\frac{n}{2}} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r_1 & t & r_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{\frac{n}{2}-2} & t & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting back the values of $\lambda_{i,j}$, given in the proof of Theorem 2.3, it now follows that $P(t, x, y)$ equals the product

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & \lambda_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{3,2} & t & \lambda_{3,4} & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{4,3} & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}} & t & \sqrt{2}\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sqrt{2}\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+2, \frac{n}{2}+1} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & \lambda_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{3,2} & t & \lambda_{3,4} & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{4,3} & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-1} & t & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}} & t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} t-r_0 & \lambda_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{3,2} & t & \lambda_{3,4} & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{4,3} & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}} & t & \sqrt{2}\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sqrt{2}\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+2, \frac{n}{2}+1} & t \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} t+r_0 & \lambda_{2,3} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{3,2} & t & \lambda_{3,4} & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{4,3} & t & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-1} & t & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}} & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, consider the $(\frac{n}{2} + 1) \times (\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ matrices

$$B^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm a_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & c_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 & c_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{\frac{n}{2}} & 0 & \sqrt{2}c_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sqrt{2}a_{\frac{n}{2}+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its $\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2}$ submatrices

$$B_1^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm a_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & c_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 & c_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Given the factorization above, it is then straightforward to check that

$$P(t, x, y) = F_{B^+}(t, x, y) \cdot F_{B_1^-}(t, x, y) \cdot F_{B^-}(t, x, y) \cdot F_{B_1^+}(t, x, y),$$

and hence, the numerical range of the tridiagonal operator T is the convex hull of the numerical ranges of the four matrices B^+ , B^- , B_1^+ , and B_1^- . But, since the matrices B_1^\pm are submatrices of B^\pm , the closure of the numerical range of T is the convex hull of the

numerical ranges of the two matrices B^\pm , which are of size $(\frac{n}{2}+1) \times (\frac{n}{2}+1)$. We have proved the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n+1$ odd, let a and c be $n+1$ -periodic infinite sequences in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$. Let $a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ and $c_0 c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ be the period words of a and c , respectively. Suppose that

$$c_0 = a_1, \quad |c_1 + a_2| = |c_n + a_0|, \quad |c_1 - a_2| = |c_n - a_0|, \\ |c_j + a_{j+1}| = |c_{n-j+1} + a_{n-j+2}|, \quad \text{and} \quad |c_j - a_{j+1}| = |c_{n-j+1} - a_{n-j+2}|,$$

for all $j = 2, 3, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$. Then

$$\overline{W(T(a, 0, c))} = \text{conv}(W(B^+) \cup W(B^-)),$$

where B^\pm are the $(\frac{n}{2}+1) \times (\frac{n}{2}+1)$ matrices

$$B^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm a_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & c_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 & c_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{\frac{n}{2}-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{\frac{n}{2}} & 0 & \sqrt{2}c_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{2}a_{\frac{n}{2}+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We illustrate this with an example.

Example 3.2. Let a be the periodic sequence $(0, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ of length $n+1$ odd and let c be the periodic sequence $(1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ of length $n+1$. Then, the closure of the numerical range of $T(a, 0, c)$ is the convex hull of the numerical ranges of the $(\frac{n}{2}+1) \times (\frac{n}{2}+1)$ matrices

$$B^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that if $n = 2$, then $B^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This is the case $n+1 = 3$ in Conjecture 3.7 in [12] and illustrates the reason why the numerical range of $W(T(a, 0, c))$ is the convex hull of two ellipses, as shown in Figure 2 in [12].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. C. Aitken. Determinants and Matrices. Third Edition. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1944.
- [2] N. Bebiano, J. da Providência, and A. Nata. The numerical range of banded periodic Toeplitz operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 398:189–197, 2013.
- [3] S.N. Chandler-Wilde, R. Chonchaiya, and M. Lindner. Eigenvalue problem meets Sierpinski triangle: computing the spectrum of a non-self-adjoint random operator. *Oper. Matrices*, 5:633–648, 2011.

- [4] S. N. Chandler-Wilde, R. Chonchaiya, and M. Lindner. On the spectra and pseudospectra of a class of non-self-adjoint random matrices and operators. *Oper. Matrices*, 7:739–775, 2013.
- [5] S. N. Chandler-Wilde and E. B. Davies. Spectrum of a Feinberg-Zee random hopping matrix. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 2:147–179, 2012.
- [6] M. T. Chien and H. Nakazato. The numerical range of a tridiagonal operator. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 373:297–304, 2011.
- [7] R.T. Chien and I.M. Spitkovsky. On the numerical ranges of some tridiagonal matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 470:228–240, 2015.
- [8] J. Feinberg and A. Zee. Spectral curves of non-hermitean Hamiltonians. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 552:599–623, 1999.
- [9] R. Hagger. The eigenvalues of tridiagonal sign matrices are dense in the spectra of periodic tridiagonal sign operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 269:1563–1570, 2015.
- [10] R. Hagger. On the spectrum and numerical range of tridiagonal random operators. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 6:215266, 2016.
- [11] C. Hernández-Becerra and B. A. Itzá-Ortiz. A class of tridiagonal operators associated to some sub-shifts. *Open Math.*, 14:2391–5455, 2016.
- [12] B. A. Itzá-Ortiz and R. A. Martínez-Avenidaño. The numerical range of a class of periodic tridiagonal operators. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*. DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2019.1706438, to appear.
- [13] B. A. Itzá-Ortiz, R. A. Martínez-Avenidaño and H. Nakazato. The numerical range of a periodic tridiagonal operators reduces to the numerical range of a finite matrix. Preprint.
- [14] B. A. Itzá-Ortiz, R. A. Martínez-Avenidaño and H. Nakazato. An identity involving determinants of centrosymmetric matrices and its application to almost tridiagonal matrices. Preprint.
- [15] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [16] R. Kippenhahn, Über den wertevorrat einer Matrix. *Math. Nachr.*, 6:193-228, 1951.
- [17] R. Kippenhahn. On the numerical range of a matrix. Translated from the German by Paul F. Zachlin and Michiel E. Hochstenbach. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 56:185–225, 2008.

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DEL ESTADO DE HIDALGO, PACHUCA, HIDALGO, MEXICO

DEPARTAMENTO ACADÉMICO DE MATEMÁTICAS, INSTITUTO TECNOLÓGICO AUTÓNOMO DE MÉXICO, MEXICO CITY, MEXICO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, HIROSAKI UNIVERSITY, HIROSAKI CITY, JAPAN