

ON THE DEGREE OF THE CANONICAL MAP OF A SURFACE OF GENERAL TYPE

MARGARIDA MENDES LOPES AND RITA PARDINI

A Ciro, maestro e amico.

ABSTRACT. Let X be a minimal complex surface of general type such that its image Σ via the canonical map φ is a surface; we denote by d the degree of φ .

In this expository work, first of all we recall the known possibilities for Σ and d when φ is not birational, which are quite a few, and then we consider the question of producing concrete examples for all of them. We present the two main methods of construction of such examples and we give several instances of their application. We end the paper outlining the state of the art on this topic and raising several questions.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J29.

Keywords: surface of general type, canonical map, canonical degree

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The canonical map	2
3. Two constructions	4
4. Examples	12
5. Remarks and open questions	18
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

In his epochal paper [Be79] Beauville undertook the study of the canonical map of surfaces of general type, bringing to light the great variety of possible behaviours of this map. In spite of some later refinements (see for instance [Xi86], [Xi85]) and of the great many examples in the literature (too many to be listed here), several questions still remain open.

Research partially supported by FCT/Portugal through UID/MAT/04459/2020 and by project PRIN 2017SSNZAW_004 “Moduli Theory and Birational Classification” of Italian MIUR. The first author is a member of Centro de Análise Matemática, Geometria e Sistemas Dinâmicos of Técnico/ Universidade de Lisboa. The second author is a member of GNSAGA of INDAM.

In this paper we focus on the case when the canonical image is a surface and the canonical map is not birational, with the aim to offer the reader a quick guide to the topic. In section 2 we summarize the known general results and in section 3 we describe the two main methods of construction of examples found in the literature, that is, generating pairs and abelian covers. In section 4 we apply the methods of section 3 to construct an assortment of examples, with the aim of giving a taste of how the methods work. In section 5 we collect some final remarks and several open questions.

We have tried to keep the exposition reader's friendly, hoping that the paper may serve as an introduction to this fascinating topic, besides being a useful reference for surface experts.

Notations and Conventions: We work over the complex numbers. All varieties are assumed to be projective and irreducible, unless otherwise specified. For a product variety $X_1 \times X_2$ we denote by $p_i: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$, $i = 1, 2$, the two projections and, given $L_i \in \text{Pic}(X_i)$, we denote by $L_1 \boxtimes L_2$ the line bundle $p_1^*L_1 \otimes p_2^*L_2$ on $X_1 \times X_2$. We denote linear equivalence of divisors by \equiv .

For a smooth surface X we write as usual $p_g(X) := h^0(K_X) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $q(X) := h^0(\Omega_X^1) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$; in case X is singular we set $p_g(X) = p_g(Y)$ and $q(X) = q(Y)$ for Y any desingularization of X . As usual an effective divisor on a surface is said to be *normal crossings* if its only singularities are ordinary double points and it is said to be *simple normal crossings* if it is normal crossings and in addition all its irreducible components are smooth.

2. THE CANONICAL MAP

In this section, we denote by X a surface of general type with $p_g := p_g(X) \geq 3$ and by $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{p_g-1}$ the canonical map; we assume that the image Σ of φ is a surface and we set $d := \deg \varphi$.

2.1. The canonical image. The main result on the canonical image in case it is a surface is due to Beauville and is the following:

Theorem 2.1 ([Be79], Thm. 3.1). *There are the following possibilities:*

- (A) $p_g(\Sigma) = 0$;
- (B) $p_g(\Sigma) = p_g(X)$ and Σ is a canonical surface, namely it is the canonical image of a surface of general type Z with birational canonical map.

As explained in [Be79] (see Example 4.7) for any surface T with $p_g = 0$ one can find minimal surfaces of general type X such that the image of the canonical map of X is T , by taking a suitable \mathbb{Z}_2 -cover. On the other hand, surfaces in case (B) with $d \geq 2$ were thought for a long time not to exist. The first example of such a phenomenon is a surface with $d = 2$ whose canonical image is a quintic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 with 20 double points (see [Be79], [Ca81]).

2.2. Bounds on the canonical degree. Here we look at the bounds on the degree d of the canonical map. Some of the examples referred to below can be found in §4.

Recall that writing $K_X \equiv M + Z$, where Z is the fixed part of the canonical linear system $|K_X|$, one has

$$M^2 \geq d \deg \Sigma.$$

Minimal surfaces S of general type with birational canonical map satisfy $K_S^2 \geq 3p_g(S) + q(S) - 7$ (see [De82, Thm. 3.2]). So in case (B) one has $\deg \Sigma \geq 3p_g(X) + q(\Sigma) - 7$ whilst in case (A) one has $\deg \Sigma \geq p_g(X) - 2$, since Σ generates \mathbb{P}^{p_g-1} .

Assume now that X is minimal. Then one has $K_X^2 \geq M^2$ and so in case (A),

$$K_X^2 \geq d(p_g(X) - 2)$$

and in case (B)

$$K_X^2 \geq d(3p_g(X) + q(\Sigma) - 7).$$

Combining the above inequalities with the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau bound $K_X^2 \leq 9\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ one obtains for case (A)

$$(2.1) \quad 27 - 9q(X) \geq (d - 9)(p_g(X) - 2)$$

and for case (B)

$$(2.2) \quad 30 - 9q(X) - dq(\Sigma) \geq (d - 3)(3p_g(X) - 7)$$

Thus, as already pointed out in [Be79], in case (A) $d \leq 9$ if $p_g \geq 30$ and in case (B) $d \leq 3$ if $p_g(X) \geq 13$. Later on Xiao Gang in [Xi86] showed that in fact in case (A) if $p_g(X) > 132$ then $\deg \varphi \leq 8$. For degree 8 there exist examples with unbounded p_g as shown by Beauville (see Example 4.8). More recently in [Ng20] Nguyen Bin produced several other such examples.

Surfaces in case (B) with $d \geq 2$ are much more difficult to find. Note that minimal surfaces in case (B) with $d = 2$ must satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad K_X^2 \geq 6p_g(X) + 2q(\Sigma) - 14$$

whilst if $d = 3$ they must satisfy

$$(2.4) \quad K_X^2 \geq 9p_g(X) + 3q(\Sigma) - 21$$

and as such are very near the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau bound. Families with unbounded p_g and $d = 2$ are known (see §4) but for $d \geq 3$ one knows only sporadic examples.

Many other consequences of the above formulas can be drawn. To point a few:

- (1) $d \leq 9$ whenever $q(X) \geq 3$;
- (2) as noted first in [Pe77], the maximum possible degree is 36 and can be attained only if $p_g = 3, q = 0$;
- (3) if $q(X) > 0$ the maximum possible degree is 27 and can be attained only if $p_g = 3, q = 1$;

- (4) in case (B) the maximum possible degree is 9 and can be attained only if $p_g = 4, q = 0$;
 (5) in case (B) if $d = 3, q(X) \leq 3$.

C. Rito in [Ri19] uses the Borisov-Keum equations of a fake projective plane and the Borisov-Yeung equations of the Cartwright-Steger surface (the unique known minimal surface of general type with $K^2 = 9, p_g = q = 1$) to show the existence of a surface with $p_g = 3, q = 0$ and canonical map of degree 36, and of a surface with $p_g = 3, q = 1$ and canonical map of degree 27 (as in (2) and (3) above). The first surface is an étale \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cover of the fake projective plane and the second an étale \mathbb{Z}_3 -cover of the Cartwright-Steger surface.

Let us also remark that (again as explained in [Be79]) that if $p_g \geq 25$ and Σ has Kodaira dimension ≥ 0 then $d \leq 4$. This is an immediate consequence of the fact that a surface in \mathbb{P}^n of degree less than $2n - 2$ has Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ and if the degree is $2n - 2$ either it has Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ or it is a K3-surface that, having $p_g = 1$, cannot be the image of a canonical map by Theorem 2.1.

3. TWO CONSTRUCTIONS

We describe here the two main constructions used in the literature to produce examples of surfaces with non birational canonical map.

3.1. Generating pairs. The first instance of this construction is due to Beauville (cf. [Ca87], §2.9), who used it to produce the first known unbounded sequence of surfaces falling in case (B) of Theorem 2.1. Later the construction was studied systematically in [CPT00] and [CPT03], producing more unbounded sequences of such examples.

The idea is to start with a finite map $h: V \rightarrow W$ of surfaces and a line bundle L on W satisfying certain conditions (cf. Definition 3.1 below) and use it to construct a sequence of surfaces $X_n, n \geq 3$ such that the canonical image Σ_n of X_n is a surface and:

- $p_g(\Sigma_n) = 0$ and the canonical map of X_n has degree twice the degree of h if the general curve $C \in |L|$ is hyperelliptic;
- $p_g(\Sigma_n) = p_g(X_n)$ and the canonical map of X_n has degree equal to the degree of h if the general curve $C \in |L|$ is not hyperelliptic;
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_g(X_n) = +\infty$

More precisely, we formulate the following:

Definition 3.1. A *generating pair of degree ν* is a pair $(h: V \rightarrow W, L)$ where V and W are surfaces with at most canonical singularities, $h: V \rightarrow W$ is a finite morphism of degree $\nu \geq 2$ and L is a line bundle on W such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $q(W) = 0, p_g(W) = p_g(V)$;
- $L^2 > 0, h^0(L) \geq 2$;

- the general curve $C \in |L|$ is smooth and irreducible of genus $g \geq 2$ and h^*C is also smooth;
- the natural pull back map $H^0(K_W + L) \rightarrow H^0(K_V + h^*L)$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 3.2. In the original construction by Beauville (Example 4.1) the surface V is an abelian surface with an irreducible principal polarization, $h: V \rightarrow W$ is the quotient map to the Kummer surface and L is the line bundle induced on W by twice the principal polarization of V .

Remark 3.3. The definition of generating pair given here is slightly different from the one in [CPT00]: we do not require V to be smooth nor the map h to be unramified in codimension 1. On the other hand, for the sake of simplicity, we require $q(W) = 0$ instead of deducing this property from the other conditions. Very few examples of generating pairs $(h: V \rightarrow W, L)$ are known (cf. [CPT00], §3), and they fit both definitions. In addition, they all have $p_g(V) > 0$. In view of the bounds given in §2.2, Corollary 3.5 below implies that the degree ν of a generating pair is at most 3 if C is not hyperelliptic and at most 4 otherwise. In addition, in [CPT00, §7] a detailed analysis is carried out, showing that also the numerical possibilities for L^2 , $h^0(W, L)$ and the genus g of C are very limited if h^*C is not hyperelliptic. Note that if h^*C is hyperelliptic, the construction below produces surfaces with canonical map of degree 2ν onto a rational surface.

Consider now a generating pair $(h: V \rightarrow W, L)$ of degree ν . Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and consider the linear system $|M_n| := |L \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)|$ on $W \times \mathbb{P}^1$, let $\Sigma_n \in |M_n|$ be a general element, let $X_n \subset V \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the preimage of Σ_n and let $f: X_n \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ the induced map. We have the following (cf. [CPT00]):

Proposition 3.4. *In the above assumptions and notations, one has:*

- (i) Σ_n and X_n are surfaces of general type with at most canonical singularities;
- (ii) Σ_n is minimal if $K_W + L$ is nef and X_n is minimal if $K_V + h^*L$ is nef;
- (iii) $p_g(X_n) = p_g(\Sigma_n)$, hence the canonical map of X is composed with f ;
- (iv) the canonical image of Σ_n is a surface;
- (v) the canonical map of Σ_n is birational if C is not hyperelliptic and it has degree 2 onto a rational surface otherwise.

Sketch of proof. We just outline the proof and refer the reader to §2 of [CPT00] for full details.

The statement in (i) on the singularities follows by Bertini's theorem because of the assumptions on $|L|$; the fact that X_n and Σ_n are of general type follows from (iv).

By adjunction, we have that K_{Σ_n} is the restriction of $(K_W + L) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n - 2)$ and K_{X_n} is the restriction of $(K_V + h^*L) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n - 2)$, so (ii) follows

immediately. Standard computations and Kawamata-Viehweg's vanishing give $p_g(\Sigma_n) = (n-1)h^0(K_W + L) + p_g(W)$ and $p_g(X_n) = (n-1)h^0(K_V + h^*L) + p_g(V)$, so (iii) follows immediately from Definition 3.1.

Denote by $f_n: \Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the fibration induced by the second projection $W \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$: since $n \geq 3$ it is easy to see that $|K_{\Sigma_n}|$ separates the fibers of f_n . In addition, the general fiber F_n of f_n is isomorphic to the general curve $C \in |L|$ and the restriction map $H^0((K_W + L) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n-2)) \rightarrow H^0(K_F)$ corresponds to the restriction $H^0(K_W + L) \rightarrow H^0(K_C)$. The latter map is surjective, since $q(W) = 0$, hence the canonical map of Σ_n restricts to the canonical map of F and statements (iv) and (v) follow immediately. \square

The main consequence of Proposition 3.4 is that, as explained at the beginning of the section, a generating pair gives rise to an unbounded sequence of surfaces whose canonical map has a given behaviour:

Corollary 3.5. *Let $(h: V \rightarrow W, L)$ be a generating pair of degree ν and let C be the general curve of $|L|$. Then:*

- (i) *if C is hyperelliptic, then for all $n \geq 3$ the surface X_n is an example of case (A) of Theorem 2.1 with degree $d = 2\nu$ and the canonical image of X_n is a rational surface;*
- (ii) *if C is not hyperelliptic, then X_n is an example of case (B) of Theorem 2.1 with degree $d = \nu$ and Σ_n is the canonical image of X_n .*

For later reference, we record the following:

Lemma 3.6. *Let g be the genus of C and \bar{g} the genus of h^*C ; then the surfaces X_n and Σ_n have the following invariants:*

$$\begin{aligned} p_g(X_n) &= p_g(\Sigma_n) = np_g(W) + (n-1)g, \\ q(\Sigma_n) &= 0, \quad q(X_n) = q(V) = \bar{g} - g > 0, \\ K_{\Sigma_n}^2 &= n(K_W^2 - L^2) + 8(n-1)(g-1) \\ K_{X_n}^2 &= n(K_V^2 - \nu L^2) + 8(n-1)(\bar{g}-1) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Definition 3.1 implies that L is nef and big, so $L \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)$ is also nef and big, and using Kawamata-Viehweg's vanishing one obtains easily $q(\Sigma_n) = q(W) = 0$, $q(X_n) = q(V)$, $h^0(K_W + L) = \chi(K_W + L)$ and $h^0(K_V + h^*L) = \chi(K_V + h^*L)$. Riemann-Roch and the condition $h^0(K_W + L) = h^0(K_V + h^*L)$ in Definition 3.1 now give $q(V) = \bar{g} - g$. Then the remaining formulae are easily computed, recalling from the proof of Proposition 3.4 that $p_g(\Sigma_n) = (n-1)h^0(K_W + L) + p_g(W)$ and applying the adjunction formula to compute $K_{\Sigma_n}^2$ and $K_{X_n}^2$. \square

Remark 3.7. Lemma 3.6 shows that all the examples of surfaces with non birational canonical map arising from a generating pair are irregular. However, in [CPT03] unbounded sequences Y_n of surfaces as in case (B) of Theorem 2.1 with $d = 2$ and $q(Y_n) = 0$ are constructed: one starts with a

carefully chosen sequence X_n of surfaces arising from a generating pair and admitting a \mathbb{Z}_3 -action, and sets $Y_n := X_n/\mathbb{Z}_3$. The action of \mathbb{Z}_3 kills the irregularity but preserves the features of the canonical map.

3.2. Abelian covers. The standard reference for abelian covers is [Pa91a]; in this section we summarize the properties we need and analyze in detail the base locus of the canonical system of a cover.

Let G be a finite abelian group; we denote by G^* the group $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ of characters of G . Here by a G -cover we mean a finite map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ that is the quotient map for a faithful G -action on X , with Y irreducible and X connected. If we assume X normal and Y smooth, then f is automatically flat and the G -action induces a decomposition

$$(3.1) \quad f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \bigoplus_{\chi \neq 1} L_\chi^{-1},$$

where the L_χ are line bundles. Note that L_χ is non-trivial for $\chi \neq 1$ by the assumption that X be connected. Since f is flat, the (reduced) branch locus of f is a divisor D . For a component Δ of D we define the *inertia subgroup* of Δ as the subgroup $H < G$ consisting of the elements fixing $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ pointwise. The group H is cyclic and the natural representation of H on the normal bundle to $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ at a general point defines a generator ψ of H^* . So we can group together the components of D with same inertia group and character and write $D = \sum_{(H,\psi)} D_{(H,\psi)}$, where (H, ψ) runs over all the pairs (cyclic subgroup $H < G$, generator of H^*). The line bundles L_χ and the effective divisors $D_{(H,\psi)}$ are the *building data* of the cover and satisfy the following *fundamental relations*:

$$(3.2) \quad L_\chi + L_{\chi'} \equiv L_{\chi\chi'} + \sum \varepsilon_{\chi,\chi'}^{(H,\psi)} D_{(H,\psi)}, \quad \forall \chi, \chi' \in G^*,$$

where the coefficient $\varepsilon_{\chi,\chi'}^{(H,\psi)}$ is defined as follows. Denote by m_H the order of H . Let $r_\chi^{(H,\psi)}$ be the smallest nonnegative integer such that

$$\chi|_H = \psi^{r_\chi^{(H,\psi)}}.$$

Then we have $\varepsilon_{\chi,\chi'}^{(H,\psi)} = 1$ if $r_\chi^{(H,\psi)} + r_{\chi'}^{(H,\psi)} \geq m_H$ and $\varepsilon_{\chi,\chi'}^{(H,\psi)} = 0$ otherwise.

The building data encode all the information on a G -cover, as explained in the following structure theorem:

Theorem 3.8 ([Pa91a], Thm. 2.1). *(Notation as above).*

Let Y be a smooth irreducible projective variety, let $\{L_\chi\}_{\chi \neq 1}$ be line bundles of Y such that $L_\chi \neq \mathcal{O}_Y$ for every χ and let $D_{(H,\psi)}$ be effective divisors such that $D := \sum_{(H,\psi)} D_{(H,\psi)}$ is reduced. Then:

- (i) $\{L_\chi, D_{(H,\psi)}\}$ are the building data of an abelian cover $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with X normal if and only if they satisfy the fundamental relations (3.2);
- (ii) the building data determine $f: X \rightarrow Y$ up to G -equivariant isomorphism.

Theorem 3.8 is satisfactory from the theoretical point of view, however it is not so easy to apply, since the number of equations in 3.2 grows quadratically with the order of G . In fact, it is possible to reduce the number of equations to the rank of the group G ; in particular, cyclic covers are described by one relation. The price that one has to pay for this is that the formulation of the theorem is not intrinsic any more. So, choose characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_k \in G^*$ such that $G^* = \langle \chi_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \chi_k \rangle$. Denote by d_i the order of χ_i , write $L_i := L_{\chi_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $r_i^{(H, \psi)} := r_{\chi_i}^{(H, \psi)}$. We call $L_i, D_{(H, \psi)}$ the *reduced building data* of the cover and we have the following *reduced fundamental relations*:

$$(3.3) \quad d_i L_i \equiv \sum_{(H, \psi)} \frac{d_i r_i^{(H, \psi)}}{m_H} D_{(H, \psi)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

We have the following “reduced version” of Theorem 3.8:

Theorem 3.9 ([Pa91a], Prop. 2.1). *(Notation as above).*

Let Y be a smooth projective variety, let $\{L_i\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$ be line bundles of Y and let $D_{(H, \psi)}$ be effective divisors such that $D := \sum D_{(H, \psi)}$ is reduced.

Then:

- (i) $\{L_i, D_{(H, \psi)}\}$ can be extended to a set of building data $\{L_\chi, D_{(H, \psi)}\}$ satisfying (3.2) if and only if $\{L_i, D_{(H, \psi)}\}$ satisfy (3.3);
- (ii) $\{L_i, D_{(H, \psi)}\}$ uniquely determine the L_χ .

Remark 3.10. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a G -cover with reduced building data $\{L_i, D_{(H, \psi)}\}$. Given a character $\chi \in G^*$, it is possible to compute explicitly L_χ from the reduced building data as follows. Write $\chi = \chi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \chi_k^{\alpha_k}$ with $0 \leq \alpha_k < d_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and for every pair (H, ψ) set $\beta_{(H, \psi)} := \lfloor \frac{\sum \alpha_i r_i^{(H, \psi)}}{m_H} \rfloor$; then:

$$(3.4) \quad L_\chi \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i L_i - \sum_{(H, \psi)} \beta_{(H, \psi)} D_{(H, \psi)}.$$

If χ has order d and $\text{Pic}(Y)$ has no d -torsion (e.g., Y is simply connected), then L_χ can also be computed as the only solution in $\text{Pic}(Y)$ of the equation

$$(3.5) \quad dL_\chi \equiv \sum_{(H, \psi)} \frac{dr_\chi^{(H, \psi)}}{m_H} D_{(H, \psi)}.$$

Remark 3.11 (Cyclic covers). Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_d$, let $\chi \in G^*$ be a generator and let $L = L_\chi$. By Theorem 3.9 in this case the reduced building data have to satisfy just one relation. Choose a primitive d -th root ζ of 1 and let $g \in G$ be the generator such that $\chi(g) = \zeta$. Given a pair (H, ψ) , there is a unique integer $0 < \alpha < d$ such that g^α generates H and $\psi(g^\alpha) = \zeta^{d/m_H}$. Conversely, α determines the pair (H, ψ) completely. Hence we can decompose $D = \sum_\alpha D_\alpha$.

Here there is only one reduced fundamental relation:

$$(3.6) \quad dL \equiv \sum_{\alpha} \alpha D_{\alpha}$$

If $D = D_{\alpha}$ for some α such that $(\alpha, d) = 1$, then it is possible to choose χ in such a way that the reduced fundamental relation is:

$$dL \equiv D.$$

This is called a *simple cyclic cover*. Simple cyclic covers are especially easy to describe: they can be realized as hypersurfaces in the total space $V(L)$ of the line bundle L and the adjunction formula (3.11) below simplifies to:

$$(3.7) \quad K_X \equiv f^*(K_Y + (d-1)L)$$

Remark 3.12 (\mathbb{Z}_p^k -covers). Take $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^k$, with p a prime number. If we fix a p -th root $\zeta \neq 1$ of 1 we can take the “logarithm” of any character χ of G and regard G^* as a the dual vector space of G . In addition, there is a bijection of $G \setminus \{0\}$ with the set of pairs (character, subgroup) that associates to $v \in G \setminus \{0\}$ the subgroup H generated by v and the character $\psi \in H^*$ such that $\psi(v) = 1$ (recall that we are viewing characters as \mathbb{Z}_p -linear functionals). If we denote by \overline{m} the smallest non-negative representative of a class $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, the fundamental relations (3.2) read

$$(3.8) \quad L_{\chi} + L_{\chi'} \equiv L_{\chi+\chi'} + \sum_{v \neq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{\overline{\chi(v)} + \overline{\chi'(v)}}{p} \right\rfloor D_v, \quad \forall \chi, \chi' \in G^*,$$

and the reduced fundamental relations (3.3) read:

$$(3.9) \quad pL_i \equiv \sum_{v \neq 1} \overline{\chi_i(v)} D_v, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Similarly, (3.5) becomes

$$(3.10) \quad pL_{\chi} \equiv \sum_{v \neq 1} \overline{\chi(v)} D_v.$$

As one would expect in view of Theorem 3.8, geometrical properties of a G -cover can be read off the building data. For instance the cover is *totally ramified*, namely it does not factor through a non trivial étale cover of Y , iff the inertia subgroups of the components of D generate G . Here is the criterion for smoothness:

Proposition 3.13 ([Pa91a], Prop. 3.1). *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an abelian cover with branch divisor $D = \sum_{(H,\psi)} D_{(H,\psi)}$, let $y \in Y$ be a point, let D_1, \dots, D_s be the irreducible components of D that contain y and let (H_i, ψ_i) be the pair subgroup-character associated to D_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then X is smooth above y if and only if:*

- (1) D_i is smooth at y for every i ;
- (2) D is normal crossings at y ;

(3) the natural map $H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_s \rightarrow G$ is injective.

One can compute the numerical invariants of X in terms of the corresponding invariants of Y and of the building data. Let d denote the exponent of G , namely the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of G . Then dK_X is Cartier and one has:

$$(3.11) \quad dK_X \equiv f^*(dK_Y + \sum \frac{d(m_H - 1)}{m_H} D_{(H,\psi)})$$

As a consequence, it makes sense to compute:

$$(3.12) \quad K_X^n = |G| \left(K_Y + \sum_{(H,\psi)} \frac{(m_H - 1)}{m_H} D_{(H,\psi)} \right)^n.$$

In addition one has:

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) &= h^i(\mathcal{O}_Y) + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} h^i(L_\chi^{-1}), \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \chi(L_\chi^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

The group G acts also on the canonical sheaf $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ and the sheaf $f_*\omega_X$ decomposes under the action of G as follows:

$$(3.14) \quad f_*\omega_X = \omega_Y \oplus \bigoplus_{\chi \neq 1} \omega_Y \otimes L_\chi$$

where G acts trivially on ω_Y and acts on $\omega_Y \otimes L_\chi$ via the character χ^{-1} .

Remark 3.14. Equation (3.14) gives the following G -equivariant decomposition:

$$(3.15) \quad H^0(X, K_X) = H^0(Y, K_Y) \oplus \bigoplus_{\chi \neq 1} H^0(Y, K_Y + L_{\chi^{-1}}),$$

where G acts on $H^0(Y, K_Y + L_{\chi^{-1}})$ via the character χ . So if we have a G -cover of smooth varieties $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $h^0(K_Y + L_\chi) \neq 0$ for precisely one character χ , then the canonical system $|K_X|$ is pulled back from Y and the canonical map of X factorizes through f . If in addition the system $|K_Y + L_\chi|$ is birational, then f is birationally equivalent to the canonical map of X . This observation can be used to construct examples of case (A) of Theorem 2.1 (see §4.2).

Assume now instead that Γ is a subgroup of G such that $h^0(K_Y + L_\chi) = 0$ for every $\chi \in \Gamma^\perp$. Then the canonical map of X factorizes via the quotient map $X \rightarrow Z := X/\Gamma$. The surface Z thus constructed is in general singular, but it has rational singularities and $p_g(Z) = p_g(X)$. So the canonical map of X is the composition of $X \rightarrow Z$ with the canonical map of (a smooth model of) Z ; in particular, if the canonical map of Z is birational then $X \rightarrow Z$ is essentially the canonical map of X . This observation can be used

to construct examples of case (B) of Theorem 2.1 (see §4.2). The difficulty in applying this method is that one needs L_χ to be “very small” for $\chi \notin \Gamma^\perp$, in order to satisfy condition 3.15, and at the same time the building data of the cover must be sufficiently positive for X to be a surface of general type.

We close this section with a result that is useful in determining the base locus of the canonical system of a G -cover:

Proposition 3.15. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a G -cover of smooth varieties with building data $\{L_\chi, D_{(H,\psi)}\}$ and write $f^*D_{(H,\psi)} = m_H R_{(H,\psi)}$. Then the zero locus of the map $f^*(\omega_Y \otimes L_\chi) \rightarrow \omega_X$ induced by the decomposition (3.14) is equal to*

$$\sum_{(H,\psi)} (m_H - 1 - r_\chi^{(H,\psi)}) R_{(H,\psi)}.$$

Proof. Denote by d the exponent of G ; by (3.11), we have an isomorphism

$$\omega_X^{\otimes d} \cong f^*(\omega_Y^{\otimes d}(\sum_{(H,\psi)} \frac{d}{m_H} (m_H - 1) D_{(H,\psi)})),$$

(the d -canonical forms on Y with poles on $\sum_{(H,\psi)} \frac{d}{m_H} (m_H - 1) D_{(H,\psi)}$ pull back to regular d -canonical forms on X). In addition, by (3.5) we have an isomorphism

$$(\omega_Y \otimes L_\chi)^{\otimes d} \cong \omega_Y^{\otimes d} \left(\sum_{(H,\psi)} \frac{d}{m_H} r_\chi^{(H,\psi)} D_{(H,\psi)} \right)$$

The map $f^*(\omega_Y \otimes L_\chi)^{\otimes d} \rightarrow \omega_X^{\otimes d}$ given by taking the d -th tensor power of $f^*(\omega_Y \otimes L_\chi) \rightarrow \omega_X$ is induced via f^* by the natural inclusion

$$\omega_Y^{\otimes d} \left(\sum_{(H,\psi)} \frac{d}{m_H} r_\chi^{(H,\psi)} D_{(H,\psi)} \right) \longrightarrow \omega_Y^{\otimes d} \left(\sum_{(H,\psi)} \frac{d}{m_H} (m_H - 1) D_{(H,\psi)} \right)$$

and so it vanishes along

$$f^* \left(\sum_{(H,\psi)} \frac{d}{m_H} (m_H - 1 - r_\chi^{(H,\psi)}) D_{(H,\psi)} \right) = d \left(\sum_{(H,\psi)} (m_H - 1 - r_\chi^{(H,\psi)}) R_{(H,\psi)} \right).$$

Since the above divisor is equal to d times the zero divisor of $f^*(\omega_Y \otimes L_\chi)$, the claim is proven. \square

Remark 3.16. By Proposition 3.15, $|K_X|$ is generated by all the effective divisors $|f^*(\omega_Y \otimes L_\chi)| + \sum_{(H,\psi)} (m_H - 1 - r_\chi^{(H,\psi)}) R_{(H,\psi)}$ such that $h^0(\omega_Y \otimes L_\chi) \neq 0$. So we obtain an effective way of understanding the base locus of the canonical map of X (for an application see Examples 4.5 and 4.9).

4. EXAMPLES

In this section we present a sampling of examples of the various possibilities for the degree and the canonical image of a surface of general type. We do not aim at completeness, but rather at giving the reader a feeling for how the methods of §3.1 and §3.2 work. For this reason we have chosen to list separately the examples obtained via generating pairs and via abelian covers.

4.1. Examples arising from generating pairs. Here we list examples obtained as in §3.1, using freely the notation introduced there. We refer the reader to [CPT00, §3] for a detailed description of the various generating pairs.

Example 4.1 (Case (B), $d = 2$ - Beauville's example). We take V an abelian surface with an irreducible principal polarization, $h: V \rightarrow W$ the quotient map to the Kummer surface and L the line bundle on W induced by twice the principal polarization. This gives a generating pair with $L^2 = 4$, $\nu = 2$ and C a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3.

By Proposition 3.4, the construction produces a sequence of minimal surfaces X_n whose canonical map is 2-to-1 onto a canonically embedded minimal surface Σ_n . By Lemma 3.6 we have:

$$K_{\Sigma_n}^2 = 3p_g(\Sigma_n) - 7; \quad K_{X_n}^2 = 6p_g(X_n) - 14, \quad q(X_n) = 2.$$

As seen in inequality (2.3) of §2.2, a surface as in case (B) of Theorem 2.1 satisfies $K_X^2 \geq 6p_g(X) - 14$, so this is a limit case. In [MP98a] it is proven that these are essentially the only surfaces as in case (B) of Theorem 2.1 with $K^2 = 6p_g - 14$ and $q \geq 2$.

Example 4.2 (Case (B), $d = 2$). We consider a generating pair constructed as follows. Take A an abelian surface with an irreducible principal polarization, let M be a symmetric theta divisor and let $V \rightarrow A$ be the double cover given by the relation $2M \equiv B$, where $B \in |2M|$ is general. The surface V is smooth of general type with $K_V^2 = 4$, $p_g(V) = q(V) = 2$; using the fact that all curves in $|2M|$ are symmetric one shows the existence of an involution ι of V that lifts multiplication by -1 in A . The involution ι has 20 isolated fixed points and acts trivially on $|K_V|$. We let $h: V \rightarrow W := V/\iota$ be the quotient map: the surface W has canonical singularities and is minimal of general type with $K_W^2 = 2$, $p_g(W) = 2$ and $q(W) = 0$. So we have $h^0(2K_W) = h^0(2K_V) = 5$ and setting $L := K_W$ one obtains a generating pair. Using the fact that W is by construction a double cover of the Kummer surface of A one can show that the general $C \in |L|$ is non hyperelliptic.

By Proposition 3.4, the construction produces a sequence of minimal surfaces X_n whose canonical map is 2-to-1 onto a canonically embedded minimal surface Σ_n . By Lemma 3.6 we have:

$$5K_{\Sigma_n}^2 = 16p_g(\Sigma_n) - 32; \quad 5K_{X_n}^2 = 32p_g(X_n) - 64, \quad q(X_n) = 2.$$

Example 4.3 (Case (B), $d = 2$). This example is similar to the previous one, in that the map $h: V \rightarrow W$ is the bicanonical map of V and $L = K_W$. Here we consider a non-hyperelliptic curve Γ of genus 3 and take as V the symmetric product $S^2\Gamma$. The surface V is smooth minimal of general type with $K_V^2 = 6$ and $p_g(V) = q(V) = 3$; the bicanonical map $h: V \rightarrow W$ is the quotient map for the involution ι of V that sends $p + q \in V$ to the only effective divisor linearly equivalent to $K_\Gamma - p - q$. The surface W is minimal, has canonical singularities and invariants $K_W^2 = 3$, $p_g(W) = 3$, $q(W) = 0$.

By Proposition 3.4, the construction produces a sequence of minimal surfaces X_n whose canonical map is 2-to-1 onto a canonically embedded minimal surface Σ_n . By Lemma 3.6 we have:

$$7K_{\Sigma_n}^2 = 24p_g(\Sigma_n) - 72; \quad 7K_{X_n}^2 = 48p_g(X_n) - 144, \quad q(X_n) = 3.$$

Example 4.4 (Case (A), $d = 6$). For a detailed description of this generating pair see [BL94]. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a sextic curve obtained as the dual curve of a smooth plane cubic and let $W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the double cover branched on B . The singularities of B are 9 cusps, that give 9 singularities of type A_2 on W , so W is a K3 surface with canonical singularities. We denote by L the pull back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ on W , so we have $L^2 = 2$ and the general curve C of $|L|$ is smooth of genus 2. There exists a \mathbb{Z}_3 -cover $h: V \rightarrow W$ branched precisely over the singularities of V . The surface V is abelian and h^*L is a polarization of type $(1, 3)$, so $h^0(K_V + h^*L) = h^0(h^*L) = 3 = h^0(L) = h^0(K_W + L)$ and we have a generating pair with C hyperelliptic.

By Proposition 3.4, the construction produces a sequence of minimal surfaces X_n whose canonical map is 6-to-1 onto a rational surface Σ_n . By Lemma 3.6 we have:

$$K_{\Sigma_n}^2 = 2p_g(\Sigma_n) - 4; \quad K_{X_n}^2 = 6p_g(X_n) - 12, \quad q(X_n) = 2.$$

4.2. Examples constructed as abelian covers. While all the constructions of Section 4.1 give sequences of examples with unbounded invariants, some of the constructions in this section give sporadic examples.

In all these examples we have $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ with p a prime and we use the additive notation for characters as explained in Remark 3.12; the group of characters is identified with \mathbb{Z}_p^k via the dual basis of the canonical basis of $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^k$.

Example 4.5 (case (A), $p_g = 3$, $d = 3, \dots, 9$). We take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and Y a del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 3$. For every $0 \neq v \in G$ we choose a curve D_v in $|-K_Y|$ in such a way that $D := \sum_v D_v$ is a simple normal crossings divisor. The reduced fundamental relations are $2L_{10} \equiv 2L_{01} \equiv -2K_Y$, so that $L_{10} \equiv L_{01} \equiv -K_Y$ is the only solution. By Remark 3.10 we get $L_{11} \equiv -K_Y$, too. The corresponding cover $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth by Proposition 3.13 and using equations (3.13) and (3.12) we get $K_X^2 = d$, $q(X) = 0$ and $p_g(X) = 3$. By (3.11) we have that $2K_X \equiv f^*(-K_Y)$ is ample and thus X is minimal of general type. Finally, by Proposition 3.15 we see that the

system $|K_X|$ is spanned by the three curves $R_v := f^{-1}D_v$, $0 \neq v \in G$. So $|K_X|$ is free and maps X d -to-1 to \mathbb{P}^2 .

Example 4.6 (Case (A), $p_g = 3$, $d = 16$). This example is due to Persson, [Pe77]. Let $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$ and denote by h the class of a line. Take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^4$, let $\chi_0 \in G^*$ be the character that maps $v \in G$ to the sum of its coordinates and consider the following building data:

- D_v is a line if $\chi_0(v) = 1$, and $D_v = 0$ otherwise;
- $L_{\chi_0} \equiv 4h$ and $L_\chi \equiv 2h$ if $\chi \neq \chi_0$.

We also assume that the lines D_v are in general position. The surface X is smooth by Proposition 3.13; by (3.11) we have $2K_X \equiv f^*(2h)$ and therefore X is minimal of general type with $K_X^2 = 16$. Using (3.13) one computes $q(X) = 0$ and $p_g(X) = 3$. One has $h^0(K_Y + L_\chi) = 0$ for every $\chi \neq \chi_0$, so the canonical map of X coincides with f (see Remark 3.14).

Example 4.7 (case (A), $d = 2$, unbounded p_g). Any surface Y with $p_g(Y) = 0$ occurs as the canonical image of a minimal surface X . This fact was noted for the first time in [Be79].

Choose a line bundle L of Y such that $|2L|$ is base point free and $K_Y + L$ is very ample and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be the double cover given by the relation $2L \equiv D$, where D is a general element of $|2L|$. The surface X is smooth by Proposition 3.13 and $K_X \equiv f^*(K_Y + L)$ is ample, so X is minimal of general type. In addition we have $|K_X| = f^*|K_Y + L|$ (cf. Remark 3.14) and therefore the canonical map of Y is just f followed by the embedding defined by $|K_Y + L|$.

Example 4.8 (Case (A), $d = 8$, unbounded p_g). This example is due to Beauville ([Be79, Exemple 4.3]) and the construction is similar to the previous one. Take $Y = C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where C is a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3. Let $\eta \in \text{Pic}(C)$ be a non-zero 2-torsion element and set $L = \eta \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$, $m \geq 3$; pick a smooth divisor $D \in |2L| = |2mF|$, where F is a fiber of the projection $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. We denote by $f: X \rightarrow Y$ the double cover given by the relation $2L \equiv D$. As in the previous example, one checks that X is a smooth minimal surface of general type and that the canonical map of X is the composition of f with the map given by the system $|K_Y + L| = |(K_C + \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m-2)|$. Since C is not hyperelliptic, the system $|K_C + \eta|$ is free of degree 4. So $|(K_C + \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m-2)|$ gives a degree 4 map to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and the canonical map of X has degree 8.

The invariants of X are: $K_X^2 = 16(m-2)$, $p_g(X) = 2m-2$, $q(X) = 3$.

Example 4.9 (Case (A), $d = 6$, unbounded p_g). We take $G = \mathbb{Z}_3^2$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$; we denote by $|F_1|$ and $|F_2|$ the two rulings of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

We consider the G -cover $f: X \rightarrow Y$ given by the following choice of building data:

- $D_{10}, D_{20}, D_{01}, D_{02}$ are distinct fibers of $|F_1|$;
- D_{11} and D_{22} are distinct fibres of $|F_2|$;

- D_{12} and D_{21} are general elements of $|mF_2|$, $m \geq 3$;
- $L_{10} \equiv L_{01} \equiv L_{20} \equiv L_{02} \equiv F_1 + (m+1)F_2$;
- $L_{11} \equiv L_{22} \equiv 2F_1 + F_2$;
- $L_{12} \equiv L_{21} \equiv 2F_1 + mF_2$.

The surface X is smooth by Proposition 3.13; by (3.11) we have $3K_X \equiv f^*(2F_1 + (4m-2)F_2)$ and therefore X is minimal of general type with $K_X^2 = 16m - 8$. Using (3.13) one computes $q(X) = 0$ and $p_g(X) = 2m - 2$.

Denote by $\Gamma < G$ the cyclic subgroup generated by $(1, 1)$; one has $h^0(K_Y + L_\chi) = 0$ for every $\chi \notin \Gamma^\perp$, so the canonical map of X is the composition of the quotient map $X \rightarrow Z := X/\Gamma$ with the canonical map of a smooth model of Z (see Remark 3.14). The surface Z is a \mathbb{Z}_3 -cover of Y with building data $D_1 = D_{10} + D_{02} + D_{21}$ and $D_2 = D_{20} + D_{01} + D_{12}$, $L_1 = L_{12}$, $L_2 = L_{21}$. The singularities of Z are either of type A_2 , occurring over the singular points of D_1 and D_2 , or of type $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1)$, occurring over the intersection points of D_1 and D_2 ; the minimal desingularization \tilde{Z} satisfies $K_{\tilde{Z}}^2 = 4m - 8$, $q(\tilde{Z}) = 0$, $p_g(\tilde{Z}) = 2m - 2$. In addition, it is not hard to check that $K_{\tilde{Z}}$ is nef, hence \tilde{Z} is minimal with $K^2 = 2p_g - 4$, namely it is a Horikawa surface. By [Ho, Lemma 1.1] the canonical map of \tilde{Z} is 2-to-1 onto a minimal ruled surface of \mathbb{P}^{2m-3} (note that the fibration $|F_2|$ of Y induces a genus 2 fibration on \tilde{Z}). So the canonical map of X has degree 6.

For $v \in G \setminus \{0\}$ we write as usual $f^*D_v = 3R_v$. By Proposition 3.15 the canonical system $|K_X|$ is generated by the following linear subsystems:

$$f^*|K_Y + L_{12}| + R_{10} + R_{02} + R_{21} + 2R_{11} + 2R_{22}$$

and

$$f^*|K_Y + L_{21}| + R_{20} + R_{01} + R_{12} + 2R_{11} + 2R_{22}.$$

Since the systems $|K_Y + L_{12}|$ and $|K_Y + L_{21}|$ are base point free, it follows that the fixed locus of $|K_X|$ consists of the divisor $2R_{11} + 2R_{22}$ and of $4m$ simple base points which are the inverse images of the intersection points of D_1 and D_2 .

Example 4.10 (Case (B), $d = 3$). This example is due to Tan ([Ta92]). We take as Y the del Pezzo surface of degree 6, that is, the blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at three non collinear points; we denote by $|F_i|$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ the three pencils of rational curves of Y induced by the pencils of lines through the three blown up points. Recall that $K_Y \equiv -(F_1 + F_2 + F_3)$. We take $G = \mathbb{Z}_3^2$ and consider the G -cover $f: X \rightarrow Y$ given by the following choice of building data:

- $D_{10} \in |3F_1|$, $D_{01} \in |3F_2|$, $D_{22} \in |3F_3|$, and $D_v = 0$ for the remaining $v \in G^*$;
- $L_{10} \equiv F_1 + 2F_3$, $L_{01} \equiv F_2 + 2F_3$.

In addition we assume that $D = D_{10} + D_{01} + D_{22}$ is a simple normal crossings divisor. It is easy to check (cf. Remarks 3.10 and 3.12) that $L_{11} \equiv F_1 + F_2 + F_3$, $L_{22} \equiv 2(F_1 + F_2 + F_3)$ and for the remaining χ one has $L_\chi \equiv F_i + 2F_j$ for some $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The surface X is smooth by Proposition 3.13;

by (3.11) we have $3K_X \equiv f^*(3(F_1 + F_2 + F_3))$ and therefore X is minimal of general type with $K_X^2 = 54$. Using (3.13) one computes $q(X) = 0$ and $p_g(X) = 8$.

Denote by $\Gamma < G$ the cyclic subgroup generated by $(1, 2)$; one has $h^0(K_Y + L_\chi) = 0$ for every $\chi \notin \Gamma^\perp$, so the canonical map of X is the composition of the quotient map $X \rightarrow Z := X/\Gamma$ with the canonical map of a smooth model of Z (see Remark 3.14). The surface Z is a simple \mathbb{Z}_3 -cover of Y with branch locus $D = D_{10} + D_{01} + D_{22}$ with canonical singularities (it has A_2 points over the singular points of D). We claim that the canonical map φ_Z of Z is birational. Indeed, by (3.7) the canonical bundle K_Z is the pullback of $K_Y + 2L_{11} \equiv F_1 + F_2 + F_3$, so the covering map $Z \rightarrow Y$ is composed with φ_Z . Since $p_g(Z) = 8 > 7 = h^0(F_1 + F_2 + F_3)$, we conclude that φ_Z is not equal to the covering map and therefore it has degree 1.

Example 4.11 (Case (B), $d = 3$). This example is taken from [Pa91b]. We take $G = \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$; we denote by χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 the canonical basis of the space of characters and by h the class of a line in Y . We consider the G -cover $f: X \rightarrow Y$ given by the following choice of reduced building data:

- D_v is a line if $\chi_1(v) = 1, \chi_2(v) = 0$ or $\chi_1(v) = 0, \chi_2(v) = 1$, and $D_v = 0$ otherwise;
- $L_{100} \equiv L_{010} \equiv h, L_{001} \equiv 2h$;

In addition we assume that the lines D_v are in general position. The surface X is smooth by Proposition 3.13; by (3.11) we have $3K_X \equiv f^*(3h)$ and therefore X is minimal of general type with $K_X^2 = 27$.

Let Γ be the subgroup of G generated by $(0, 0, 1)$: it is not hard to check (cf. Remarks 3.10 and 3.12) that for all $\chi \notin \Gamma^\perp$ one has one has $L_\chi \equiv 2h$ and therefore $h^0(K_Y + L_\chi) = 0$. Using (3.13) one computes $q(X) = 0$ and $p_g(X) = 5$. By Remark 3.14 the canonical map of X is composed with the quotient map $X \rightarrow Z := X/\Gamma$. The surface Z is a \mathbb{Z}_3^2 -cover with reduced building data:

- $D_{10} = D_{100} + D_{101} + D_{102}$ and $D_{01} = D_{010} + D_{011} + D_{012}$;
- $L_{10} \equiv L_{01} \equiv h$.

So Z is the fibered product of two simple cyclic \mathbb{Z}_3 -covers, each branched on the union of three lines; it is easily seen to be isomorphic to the complete intersection of two cubics of \mathbb{P}^4 . The singularities of Z are A_2 -points, occurring over the singular points of D_{10} and D_{01} . So Z is a canonically embedded surface and so the canonical map of X has degree 3.

Example 4.12 (Case (B), $d = 5$). This example has been found both in [Ta92] and [Pa91b], independently.

The construction is similar to the previous one. We take $G = \mathbb{Z}_5^2$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$; we denote by χ_1, χ_2 the canonical basis of the space of characters and by h the class of a line in Y . We consider the G -cover $f: X \rightarrow Y$ given by the following choice of reduced building data:

- D_v is a line if $\chi_1(v) = 1$ and $D_v = 0$ otherwise;

$$- L_{10} \equiv h, L_{01} \equiv 2h.$$

In addition we assume that the lines D_v are in general position. The surface X is smooth by Proposition 3.13; by (3.11) we have $5K_X \equiv f^*(5h)$ and therefore X is minimal of general type with $K_X^2 = 25$.

Let Γ be the subgroup of G generated by $(1, 0)$: it is not hard to check (cf. Remarks 3.10 and 3.12) that for all $\chi \notin \Gamma^\perp$ one has $L_\chi \equiv 2h$ and therefore $h^0(K_Y + L_\chi) = 0$. Using (3.13) one computes $q(X) = 0$ and $p_g(X) = 4$. By Remark 3.14 the canonical map of X is composed with the quotient map $X \rightarrow Z := X/\Gamma$. The surface Z is a simple \mathbb{Z}_5 -cover of the plane branched over the union D of the 5 branch lines; its singularities are A_4 -points, occurring over the singular points of D . It is immediate to see Z is isomorphic to a quintic in \mathbb{P}^3 , so it is a canonically embedded surface and the canonical map of X has degree 5.

Example 4.13 (Case (B), $d = 2$, unbounded p_g). This example is one of the examples constructed by Nguyen Bin in [Ng21].

We take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$; we denote by χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 the canonical basis of the space of characters, by F_1 and F_2 the classes of the two rulings of Y . We consider the G -cover $f: X \rightarrow Y$ given by the following choice of reduced building data:

$$\begin{aligned} & - D_{100}, D_{101} \in |2F_1 + 2F_2|, D_{110} \in |2mF_1|, D_{111} \in |2nF_2| \text{ with} \\ & \quad m, n \geq 2, \text{ and } D_v = 0 \text{ otherwise;} \\ & - L_{100} \equiv (m+2)F_1 + (n+2)F_2, L_{010} \equiv mF_1 + nF_2, L_{001} \equiv F_1 + (n+1)F_2. \end{aligned}$$

In addition we assume that the divisor $D = \sum_v D_v$ is a simple normal crossings divisor. The surface X is smooth by Proposition 3.13; by (3.11) we have $2K_X \equiv f^*(2mF_1 + 2nF_2)$ and therefore X is minimal of general type with $K_X^2 = 16mn$. Denote by Γ the subgroup generated by (001) . Writing out (3.10) for all the remaining characters of G , one sees that for every χ one has: (1) $L_\chi \equiv aF_1 + bF_2$ with $a, b > 0$ for every $0 \neq \chi \in G^*$; (2) if $\chi \notin \Gamma^\perp$ then either a or b is equal to 1. Condition (1) gives $q(X) = 0$ (cf. (3.13)). Condition (2) implies that $h^0(K_Y + L_\chi) = 0$ for all $\chi \notin \Gamma^\perp$, so by Remark 3.14 the canonical map of X is composed with the quotient map $X \rightarrow Z := X/\Gamma$. The surface Z is a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cover with building data:

$$\begin{aligned} & - D_{10} = D_{100} + D_{101} \text{ and } D_{11} = D_{110} + D_{111} \text{ and } D_{01} = 0; \\ & - L_{10} \equiv (m+2)F_1 + (n+2)F_2, L_{01} \equiv mF_1 + nF_2 \text{ and } L_{11} \equiv 2F_1 + 2F_2 \end{aligned}$$

The singularities of Z are A_1 points occurring above the singular points of D_{10} and D_{11} . The cover $Z \rightarrow Y$ can be factored as a composition of two double covers $Z \rightarrow W := Z/\Gamma \rightarrow Y$, where Γ is the subgroup generated by (11) . The double cover $h: W \rightarrow Y$ is branched on $D_{10} \equiv 4(F_1 + F_2)$, so W is a K3 surface with singularities of type A_1 . The double cover $p: Z \rightarrow W$ is a flat double cover given by the relation $2L \equiv B$, where $L := h^*L_{01}$ and $B = h^*(D_{11})$. We have $K_Z \equiv p^*(K_W + L) \equiv p^*L$, so $K_Z^2 = 8mn$ and $p_g(Z) = h^0(K_W) + h^0(K_W + L) = 1 + (2 + 2mn) = 3 + 2mn$.

The last step is to prove that the canonical map of Z is birational. One looks first at the system $|L|$ on W : the line bundle L is the pull back of the very ample line bundle $mF_1 + nF_2$ of Y and in addition $h^0(L) = 2mn + 2 > (m + 1)(n + 1) = h^0(mF_1 + nF_2)$, so $|L|$ gives a birational map. A similar argument applied to $K_Z = p^*L$ shows that $|K_Z|$ is birational.

The invariants of X are: $K_X^2 = 16mn$, $p_g(X) = mn + 3$ and $q(X) = 0$.

5. REMARKS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

The examples of the previous section show the great variety of possible behaviours of the canonical map. In this final section we try to give a synthesis of the situation and formulate some questions.

5.1. Case (A) of Theorem 2.1 ($p_g(\Sigma) = 0$). We have seen in §2.2 that if p_g is large enough (≥ 30) the degree d of the canonical map is at most 8.

Unbounded families of examples are known for all the even values $d \leq 8$. As explained in §4, Example 4.7, for $d = 2$ there are examples with any p_g obtained by taking suitable double covers of surfaces with $p_g = 0$.

The product of two hyperelliptic curves gives rise to examples with unbounded p_g and $d = 4$.

Examples 4.4, 4.9 and [Be79, Exemple 4.4] give families with $d = 6$ and unbounded p_g .

As already mentioned in §2.2, [Be79] (see Example 4.8) gives a family with $d = 8$, unbounded p_g and $q = 3$, whilst more recently several such families with $q = 0$ and $q = 1$ have been constructed in [Ng20] as \mathbb{Z}_2^3 -covers of \mathbb{P}^2 blown-up in one point.

On the other hand, for $d = 3, 5, 7$ only sporadic examples are known so far, all having relatively small p_g . In [MP98b] surfaces with canonical map of degree 3, having a smooth canonical curve and whose image is a surface of minimal degree $n - 1$ in \mathbb{P}^n are completely classified and explicitly constructed. In particular surfaces with $K^2 \leq 3p_g - 5$ and canonical map of degree 3 necessarily have $p_g \leq 5$ (cf. [Zu97]). So we are led to ask:

Question 5.1. For $d = 3, 5, 7$, are the invariants of surfaces in case (A) of Theorem 2.1 bounded?

Another interesting situation is $p_g = 3$, since in this case the bound on the degree is very large, i.e. $d \leq 36$ (cf. §2.2).

Question 5.2. For $p_g = 3$, are there examples for every possible $2 \leq d \leq 36$?

For $d \leq 9$, $q = 0$ the answer is affirmative (see, e.g., Example 4.5). As already mentioned in §2.1, the limit values 36 for $q = 0$ and 27 for $q = 1$ given by inequality (2.1) were shown to be effective in [Ri19]. In recent times this question has been the subject of intense activity and, without being exhaustive, we would like to mention the example in [Ri17b] with $d = 24, K^2 = 24, p_g = 3, q = 0$ and the examples in [GPR] with $d = 32, K^2 = 32, p_g = 3, q = 0$ and $d = 24, K^2 = 24, p_g = 3, q = 1$. However we

believe that there are many gaps to be filled, for instance many of the cases of odd degree.

We note that if $p_g = q = 3$ the possible degrees allowed by inequality (2.1) vary between 2 and 9 but by the classification of surfaces with $p_g = q = 3$ (see [HP], [Pi02]) only $d = 4$, $d = 6$ and $d = 8$ occur (see [CCM98]).

Many other such questions arise. For instance:

Question 5.3. What pairs (p_g, d) with $d \geq 9$ actually occur?

The bounds on p_g given by inequality (2.1) for $d \geq 10$ and the one for $d = 9$ by [Xi86] (see §2.1) may not be the best possible and it would be interesting to know how sharp they are.

As an example, for $d = 16$ we know, by inequality (2.1), that $p_g \leq 5$ and if $p_g = 5$ then $q = 0$. However although examples with $d = 16$ are known for $p_g = 3, q = 0$ (see Example 4.6), $p_g = 3, q = 2$ ([Ri17a]) and $p_g = 4, q = 1$ ([Ng19a]) the question of the existence of a surface with $d = 16$ and $p_g = 5$ is still open.

5.2. Case (B) of Theorem 2.1 ($p_g(\Sigma) = p_g(X)$). The case when the canonical map has degree $d > 1$ and its image is a canonically embedded surface is perhaps the most intriguing one. We have seen in §2.2 that for $p_g \geq 13$ one has $d \leq 3$ and that there are unbounded sequences of such surfaces with $d = 2$ (Examples 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.13).

On the other hand, for $d = 3$ the examples we are aware of (Examples 4.10 and 4.11, [Ta92], [Ri16], [Ng19b]) satisfy $p_g \leq 8$. So the first question one is led to ask is:

Question 5.4. For $d = 3$, are the invariants of surfaces in case (B) of Theorem 2.1 unbounded?

Note that possible examples have irregularity $q \leq 3$ (see §2.2). This shows that one cannot hope to construct a sequence of examples using a generating pair as in §3.1: by Corollary 3.5 the general curve of $|L|$ would have genus $g \geq 3$ and so by Lemma 3.6 the examples would have irregularity $\bar{g} - g \geq 2(g - 1) \geq 4$, a contradiction. In addition, for $d = 3$ the only possible accumulation point for the slope K^2/χ is 9.

These remarks suggest the following questions:

Question 5.5. For $d = 2$ is there an upper bound for the irregularity of a surface in case (B) of Theorem 2.1?

Question 5.6. For $d = 2$ what are the accumulation points of the slopes K^2/χ of surfaces in case (B) of Theorem 2.1?

We know by inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) of §2.2 that these accumulation points must lie in the interval $[6, 9]$. The sequences of surfaces constructed in Examples 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 have slopes tending to $6, \frac{32}{5}, \frac{48}{7}$, respectively; the examples in [CPT00] are obtained from these by taking the quotient by a

suitable $\mathbb{Z}/3$ action and their slopes have the same accumulation points. Example 4.13 and the other examples of [Ng21] have slopes tending to 8.

For small values of p_g , in principle it is possible to have $3 < d \leq 9$ in case (B); more precisely we have the following bounds (see 2.2):

- if $d = 4$, then $p_g \leq 9$;
- if $d = 5$, then $p_g \leq 7$;
- if $d = 6$, then $p_g \leq 5$;
- if $d = 7, 8$ or 9 , then $p_g = 4$.

However except for Example 4.12 that satisfies $d = 5$ and $p_g = 4$ we do not know other examples with $d > 3$; the obvious question is:

Question 5.7. For what pairs (d, p_g) , with $d > 3$, are there examples of surfaces in case (B) of Theorem 2.1?

REFERENCES

- [Be79] A. Beauville, *L'application canonique pour les surfaces de type général*. Inv. Math. **55** (1979), 121–140.
- [BL94] Ch. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *A family of abelian surfaces and curves of genus four*, Manuscr. math., 85 (1994), 393–407.
- [Ca81] F. Catanese, *Babbage's conjecture, contact of surfaces, symmetric determinantal varieties and applications*, Invent. Math., **63** (1981), 433–465.
- [Ca87] F. Catanese, *Canonical rings and "special" surfaces of general type*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. **46** (1987), 175–194.
- [CCM98] F. Catanese, C. Ciliberto, M. Mendes Lopes *On the classification of irregular surfaces of general type with non birational bicanonical map*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1) (1998), 275–308.
- [CPT00] C. Ciliberto, R. Pardini, F. Tovena, *Prym varieties and the canonical map of surfaces of general type*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze, XXIX (2000), 905–938.
- [CPT03] C. Ciliberto, R. Pardini, F. Tovena, *Regular canonical covers*, Math. Nachrichten 251 (2003), 19–27.
- [De82] O. Debarre, *Inégalités numériques pour les surfaces de type général*, with an appendix by A. Beauville, Bull. Soc. Math. France **110** 3 (1982), 319–346.
- [GPR] C. Gleissner, R. Pignatelli, C. Rito, *New surfaces with canonical map of high degree*, Commun. Anal. Geom, to appear, arXiv:1807.11854 [math.AG]
- [HP] C. Hacon, R. Pardini, *Surfaces with $p_g = q = 3$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (7) (2002), 2631–2638.
- [Ho] E. Horikawa, *Algebraic surfaces of general type with small c_1^2 , I*, Annals of Mathematics, **104** (1976), 357–387.
- [MP98a] M. Mendes Lopes, R. Pardini, *Irregular canonical double surfaces*, Nagoya J. of Math., 152 (1998), 203–230.
- [MP98b] M. Mendes Lopes, R. Pardini, *Triple canonical surfaces of minimal degree*, International Journal of Mathematics, **152** (1998), 203–230.
- [Ng19a] Bin Nguyen, *A new example of an algebraic surface with canonical map of degree 16*, Archiv der Mathematik, volume 113, 385–390(2019)
- [Ng19b] Bin Nguyen, *New examples of canonical covers of degree 3*, Math. Nach., to appear, arXiv:1910.03526 [math.AG]
- [Ng20] Bin Nguyen, *Some unlimited families of minimal surfaces of general type with the canonical map of degree 8*, manuscripta math. **163**, 13–25 (2020).

- [Ng21] Bin Nguyen, *Some infinite sequences of canonical covers of degree 2*, Advances in Geometry, **21** (1) (2021), 143–148.
- [Pa91a] R. Pardini, *Abelian covers of algebraic varieties*, J. reine angew. Math. **417** (1991), 191–213.
- [Pa91b] R. Pardini, *Canonical images of surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math., **417** (1991), 215–219.
- [Pe77] U. Persson, *Double coverings and surfaces of general type*, Algebraic geometry (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Tromsø, Tromsø, 1977), vol. 687 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin (1978), 168–195.
- [Pi02] G.P. Pirola, *Surfaces with $p_g = q = 3$* , Manuscripta Math., **108** (2002), 163–170.
- [Ri16] C. Rito, *Cuspidal quintics and surfaces with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 3$ and 5-torsion*, LMS J. Comput. Math. **19** (1) (2016), 42–53.
- [Ri17a] C. Rito, *A surface with $q=2$ and canonical map of degree 16*, Michigan Math. J., **66** (1), (2017), 99–105.
- [Ri17b] C. Rito, *A surface with canonical map of degree 24*, Int. J. Math. **284** (6), (2017), 75–84.
- [Ri19] C. Rito, *Surfaces with canonical map of maximum degree*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry, to appear, arXiv:1903.03017 [math.AG]
- [Ta92] S. Tan *Surfaces whose canonical maps are of odd degrees*, Math. Ann. **292** (1992), 13–29.
- [Xi85] G. Xiao, *L'irrégularité des surfaces de type général dont le système canonique est composé d'un pinceau*, Compositio Math., **56** (2):251–257, 1985.
- [Xi86] G. Xiao, *Algebraic surfaces with high canonical degree*, Math. Ann. **274** (1986), 473–483.
- [Zu97] F. Zucconi, *Surfaces with canonical map of degree 3 and $K^2 = 3p_g - 5$* , Osaka J. Math., **34** (2), (1997), 411–428.

Margarida Mendes Lopes
Departamento de Matemática
Instituto Superior Técnico
Universidade de Lisboa
Av. Rovisco Pais
1049-001 Lisboa, PORTUGAL
mmendeslopes@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Rita Pardini
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Pisa
Largo B. Pontecorvo, 5
56127 Pisa, Italy
rita.pardini@unipi.it