

# A VLA CENSUS OF THE GALACTIC H II REGION POPULATION

W. P. ARMENTROUT<sup>1,2,3</sup>, L. D. ANDERSON<sup>2,3,1</sup>, TREY V. WENGER<sup>4,5,6</sup>, DANA S. BALSER<sup>5</sup>, AND T. M. BANIA<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Green Bank Observatory, P.O. Box 2, Green Bank, WV 24944, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26505, USA

<sup>3</sup>Center for Gravitational Waves and Cosmology, West Virginia University, Chestnut Ridge Research Building, Morgantown, WV 26505, USA

<sup>4</sup>Astronomy Department, University of Virginia, P.O. Box 400325, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4325, USA

<sup>5</sup>National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903-2475, USA

<sup>6</sup>Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory, Herzberg Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Centre, National Research Council, P.O. Box 248, Penticton, BC V2A 6J9, Canada

<sup>7</sup>Institute for Astrophysical Research, Department of Astronomy, Boston University, 725 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA

## ABSTRACT

The Milky Way contains thousands of H II region candidates identified by their characteristic mid-infrared morphology, but lacking detections of ionized gas tracers such as radio continuum or radio recombination line emission. These targets thus remain unconfirmed as H II regions. With only  $\sim 2500$  confirmed H II regions in the Milky Way, Galactic surveys are deficient by several thousand nebulae when compared to external galaxies with similar star formation rates. Using sensitive 9 GHz radio continuum observations with the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA), we explore a sample of H II region candidates in order to set observational limits on the actual total population of Galactic H II regions. We target all infrared-identified “radio quiet” sources from the *WISE* Catalog of Galactic H II regions between  $245^\circ \geq \ell \geq 90^\circ$  with infrared diameters less than  $80''$ . We detect radio continuum emission from 50% of the targeted H II region candidates, providing strong evidence that most of the radio quiet candidates are bona fide H II regions. We measure the peak and integrated radio flux densities and compare the inferred Lyman continuum fluxes using models of OB-stars. We conclude that stars of approximately spectral type B2 and earlier are able to create H II regions with similar infrared and radio continuum morphologies as the more luminous H II regions created by O-stars. From our 50% detection rate of “radio quiet” sources, we set a lower limit of  $\sim 7000$  for the H II region population of the Galaxy. Thus the vast majority of the Milky Way’s H II regions remain to be discovered.

*Keywords:* Galaxy: structure – ISM: H II regions – Radio: surveys

## 1. INTRODUCTION

High-mass stars are the archetypical tracers of spiral structure across the Galactic disk. H II regions surround OB-type stars and are among the most luminous objects in the Galaxy at mid-infrared through radio wavelengths. With relatively short lifetimes of  $\sim$ tens of millions of years, these OB-type stars are zero-age objects compared to the age of the Milky Way. Their H II regions thus reveal the locations where Galactic high-mass stars have formed most recently. The H II region chemical abundances indicate the present state of the interstellar medium (ISM) and reveal the elemental enrichment caused by the nuclear processing of many stellar generations (e.g., Balser et al. 2015). Despite considerable effort, the census of Galactic H II regions remains incomplete (e.g., Anderson et al. 2015).

### 1.1. HII Region Surveys To Date

Using the Palomar optical survey plates, Sharpless (1953) conducts the first Galactic H II region survey. In this study, they detect 142 “emission nebulae” between Galactic longitudes  $105^\circ \geq \ell \geq -45^\circ$ . As with most Galactic plane surveys, the Sharpless regions are constrained to within a few degrees of the Galactic plane. Relying on optical data, these surveys are also severely sensitivity-limited due to interstellar extinction.

The discovery of the H109 $\alpha$  radio recombination line (RRL) by Höglund & Mezger (1965) brings spectral line studies of H II regions into the radio regime. Because the ISM is optically thin at centimeter wavelengths, this enables spectroscopic studies of H II regions across the entire Galactic disk. Lockman (1989) and Caswell & Haynes (1987) conduct H II region RRL surveys in the

northern and southern skies, respectively, but their detections are biased toward the largest and brightest H II region complexes.

The goal of the H II Region Discovery Survey (HRDS, Bania et al. 2010; Anderson et al. 2011) is to detect a new population of fainter Galactic H II regions. The HRDS selects its targets based on spatially coincident  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  (*Spitzer* MIPS GAL; Carey et al. 2009) and  $\sim 20$  cm continuum (VGPS and NVSS; Stil et al. 2006; Condon et al. 1998) emission in the range  $67^\circ \geq \ell \geq -17^\circ$ ,  $|b| \leq 1^\circ$ . The  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  emission traces hot, small dust grains, a signature of high-mass stars at H II regions’ cores. The  $\sim 20$  cm continuum emission traces thermal bremsstrahlung radiation from the interaction of free electrons and ions in the H II region plasma. This selection criterion proves to be extremely successful: the HRDS detected RRL emission from 95% of all targets. We find that nearly all of the infrared-identified candidates are confirmed as H II regions *when we also detect spatially coincident radio continuum emission*.

Even with this high detection rate, there remain many more undiscovered H II regions throughout the Galaxy. This is partly because the *Spitzer* legacy surveys used for HRDS target selection are limited to within  $|b| \lesssim 1^\circ$  with  $|\ell| \lesssim 65^\circ$ . The all-sky *Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer* (*WISE*) satellite eliminates these restrictions. Anderson et al. (2014) identifies thousands of additional H II region candidates in the *WISE* Catalog of Galactic H II Regions, extending the H II region candidate sample to cover all Galactic longitudes with a latitude extent of  $\pm 8^\circ$ . *WISE* is comparable in wavelength coverage with *Spitzer* MIPS GAL (*WISE*:  $22\ \mu\text{m}$ , *Spitzer*:  $24\ \mu\text{m}$ ), though *WISE* has slightly lower resolution and sensitivity (*WISE*:  $12''$  and 6 mJy, *Spitzer*:  $6''$  and 1.3 mJy). Anderson et al. (2014) show that *WISE* at  $22\ \mu\text{m}$  can in principle detect infrared emission from H II regions two orders of magnitude fainter than that expected for an H II region created by a single B0 star at 20 kpc in the Galactic plane. This resolution and sensitivity should be sufficient to detect the mid-infrared emission from *all* classical H II regions across the entire Galactic disk (i.e., evolved and not ultra- or hyper-compact). The *WISE* Catalog (V2.2) contains 8412 objects classified as either known H II regions with ionized gas spectroscopic detections (2210 objects) or objects with the characteristic infrared-identified morphology of previously known H II regions (6202 objects). Here, we call all 6202 infrared-identified objects “candidates,” while in previous HRDS papers the term “candidate” is reserved for objects with both coincident infrared and radio continuum emission detections. *The WISE Catalog population shows that all H II regions and candidates appear to have the same characteristic infrared morphology*, with quite different

radio continuum emission intensities (Figure 1).


To date, the HRDS has discovered 887 H II regions, bringing the total number of known Galactic H II regions over the survey range to 1603, more than doubling the previous census (Bania et al. 2010; Anderson et al. 2011, 2014, 2015, 2018). The Southern H II Region Discovery Survey (SHRDS) extends this work into the Southern hemisphere with the Australia Telescope Compact Array, detecting 295 new H II regions to date with an additional  $\sim 100$ -200 more detections expected in the next data release (Wenger et al. 2019b). Across the entire Galaxy, the full *WISE* Catalog (V2.2) census now includes 2210 known H II regions.

## 1.2. The Missing Galactic H II Regions

Extragalactic observations of H II regions suggest that Galactic H II region surveys are still incomplete. For instance, the galaxy NGC 628 (M74) has 4285 H II regions identified through  $\text{H}\alpha$  observations (Rousseau-Nepton et al. 2017). This archetypical Grand Design spiral galaxy has a star formation rate of  $0.68\ M_\odot\ \text{yr}^{-1}$  (Kennicutt et al. 2011). While NGC 628 is producing stars at a slower rate than the Milky Way ( $\sim 1.9\ M_\odot\ \text{yr}^{-1}$  for the Milky Way, Chomiuk & Povich 2011), it has approximately double the number of identified H II regions. Additionally, many faint or clustered H II regions in NGC 628 would be confused and indistinguishable from each other at the survey resolution of 35 pc in Rousseau-Nepton et al. (2017). The tally of H II regions in NGC 628 could thus be even greater, dwarfing the number of known H II regions in our Galaxy. Where are the missing Milky Way H II regions?

The H II region candidates in the *WISE* Catalog represent some of these missing nebulae. Of the  $\sim 6000$  Galactic H II region candidates, over 2000 have coincident radio continuum emission while 4000 are only apparent in the infrared, with no detected radio continuum emission (hereafter “radio quiet”). The 2000 candidates with coincident radio continuum emission are *very likely* to be H II regions, since previous HRDS surveys confirmed that 95% of our infrared-identified candidates with detected coincident radio continuum emission were H II regions. We simply have not yet searched for RRL emission from these candidates to confirm that they are indeed H II regions. The 4000 radio quiet objects could also represent a significant population of yet to be identified H II regions. Radio quiet candidates do not necessarily lack the radio continuum signature of H II regions, but may have radio continuum emission fainter than the sensitivity limit of current Galactic plane surveys.

Higher sensitivity observations can determine whether there is associated radio continuum emission from these radio quiet candidates. In Armentrout et al. (2017), we target 65 H II region candidates with the National



**Figure 1.** Infrared and radio morphologies of known and candidate H II regions. The infrared morphologies of known H II regions and our faint H II region candidates are very similar, though their continuum emission intensities can differ by several orders of magnitude. From left to right, we show a known H II region, a candidate H II region with detected continuum emission, and a “radio quiet” candidate H II region. Infrared *WISE* bands W2 ( $4.6\ \mu\text{m}$ ), W3 ( $12\ \mu\text{m}$ ), and W4 ( $22\ \mu\text{m}$ ) are represented by blue, green, and red respectively. Very Large Array (VLA) continuum contours from 4 minute integrations at X-band in D configuration are overplotted, with contours at 33%, 66%, and 90% of the peak continuum flux. The VLA beam is shown in red in the bottom right corner. Snapshots are  $4'$  on a side. One example of an observed source by the VLA through this work is shown in Figure 4, while the full figure set is shown in the Appendix in Figure A1.

Science Foundation’s Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA). These candidates are all coincident with the Outer Scutum-Centaurus Spiral Arm (OSC, Dame & Thaddeus 2011) in the first Galactic quadrant, and 47 of the 65 targets are marked radio quiet. We detect radio continuum emission in 43% of the formerly radio quiet sources. This high detection rate suggests that many radio quiet candidates have the expected radio continuum emission signature of *bona fide* H II regions. If these faint sources truly are H II regions, they could either be produced by B-stars, with fewer photons able to ionize the surrounding ISM, or they could be especially distant. Either case would result in radio fluxes below the sensitivity limits of extant radio surveys of the Galactic disk. An H II region created by a single B1.5 star at a heliocentric distance of 22 kpc, the farthest detected distance for a Galactic H II region to date, would have an integrated 9 GHz flux density of  $\sim 300\ \mu\text{Jy}$  (Armentrout et al. 2017). This is below the  $3\sigma$  sensitivity limits of existing Galactic plane surveys. Future Galactic plane surveys will well surpass this sensitivity, including the VLA Sky Survey with  $\text{RMS} \simeq 69\ \mu\text{Jy}$  (VLASS, Condon 2015; VLA Survey Science Group 2016). With a largest resolvable angular scale of  $58''$ , VLASS will be able to detect the most compact H II regions in the *WISE* Catalog, but it will be insensitive to extended, low surface brightness regions.

## 2. VLA OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Here, we study a more complete sample of radio quiet H II region candidates than in Armentrout et al. (2017). We target all infrared-identified *WISE* Catalog radio

quiet sources in  $245^\circ \geq \ell \geq 90^\circ$  with infrared diameters less than  $80''$ . Determining how many of these radio quiet sources are *bona fide* H II regions will allow us to estimate the size of the H II region population of the Milky Way. By systematically targeting all compact regions in this range, we will characterize the Galaxy-wide radio quiet population and infer a lower limit for the number of H II regions in the Milky Way. We also estimate the stellar types of stars ionizing these faint H II regions, placing limits on the lowest mass stars able to produce an H II region with our characteristic infrared and radio morphologies.

We choose this longitude range in the second and third Galactic quadrants ( $245^\circ \geq \ell \geq 90^\circ$ ) because the outer Galaxy has significantly less star formation than the inner Galaxy, so there are fewer sources to observe as well as less source confusion. Additionally, heliocentric distances are limited to within  $\sim 10$  kpc so their fluxes are on average higher compared to inner Galaxy sources, which can be twice as far away. The H II region size constraint ( $< 80''$ ) was chosen because the VLA filters out large-scale emission, dependent on telescope configuration and observing frequency. Over one quarter (26.6%) of the radio quiet H II regions in our survey range are smaller than  $80''$ . Over the full Galaxy, 71.7% of radio quiet sources are smaller than  $80''$ , since sources in the first and fourth Galactic quadrants are further away on average than those in our survey range in the second and third Galactic quadrants.

Observing the smallest class of radio quiet candidates biases our results slightly towards detecting H II regions surrounding later spectral type stars and more

distant H II regions, both of which would appear angularly smaller on the sky. One of our main science goals is to characterize the latest type star able to produce a classical H II region, and the bias does not affect this result.

### 2.1. VLA Observations

We observe the 9 GHz ( $\sim 3$  cm) continuum emission from 146 compact (infrared diameter  $< 80''$ ) radio quiet H II region candidates with the VLA in D-configuration (Project ID: VLA/16A-371). We follow the observing procedures of [Armentrout et al. \(2017\)](#) who use the VLA to search for distant H II regions in the OSC Arm. Our configuration has two overlapping 2 GHz bands, covering 8.012 to 10.041 GHz. We use the X-band receiver and the Wideband Interferometric Digital ARchitecture (WIDAR) correlator. These observations were conducted between February and May 2017, split into two-hour scheduling blocks by source longitude. 3C48 is used as both a bandpass and flux density calibrator for all observations. Our gain calibrators vary based on RA, including J0154+4743, J0512+4041, J0559+2353, J0730-1141, J2137+5101, and J2148+6107. The observations are summarized in Table 1.

We observe all 146 radio quiet sources with 4 minute snapshots per source. We reobserve 21 of the 146 sources between  $173^\circ \geq \ell \geq 128^\circ$  for an additional 12 minutes each. The main purpose of these longer observations is to see if deeper integrations recover flux from even more radio quiet H II regions. We also reobserve four sources to increase signal to noise and confirm that measured flux values from our initial snapshots do not change significantly as we fill out the  $u$ - $v$  plane with longer integrations.

We match the target sizes to the maximum size of recoverable flux in the VLA D-configuration. The VLA data have a typical angular resolution of  $10''$  and are sensitive to angular scales of up to  $70''$ . The mid-infrared emission traces the diffuse photo-dissociation region surrounding the fully ionized thermal radio continuum emission. [Bihr et al. \(2016\)](#) show that *WISE* infrared H II region sizes are on average twice as large as the sizes measured through radio observations. Therefore, an H II region with an infrared diameter of  $80''$  would have an expected radio diameter of  $\sim 40''$ . Our targets are shown in Figure 2, overlaid on *WISE* infrared emission. The distribution of all *WISE* radio quiet candidates is shown in Figure 3; about 15% of all radio quiet candidates in the *WISE* Catalog are in our survey range in the outer Galaxy.

**Table 1.** Observation Summary

Number of Targets	146
Longitude Range	$245^\circ \geq \ell \geq 90^\circ$
Frequency Range	8.012–10.041 GHz
Resolution	$\sim 10''$
Largest Angular Scale	$\sim 70''$
Integration Time	4–16 minutes
Peak RMS	$\gtrsim 10 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$

### 2.2. VLA Data Reduction

Our data are automatically calibrated by the Common Astronomy Software Applications (CASA; [McMullin et al. 2007](#)) VLA pipeline ([Kent et al. 2018](#))<sup>1</sup>. This pipeline applies bandpass, gain, and flux calibrations to our VLA data and flags suspected corrupted data. The pipeline does not produce imaged data products.


We therefore also use CASA to image and analyze our VLA data. We use the CASA *clean* task to image our data. We produce  $300 \times 300$  pixel images with  $1''$  cells. The interactive process completes 10,000 *clean* cycles with Briggs weighting and a robust parameter of 0.5. Typically, we end the *clean* process after one full iteration of 10,000 cycles. Within CASA, we also correct for the primary beam (*impbcor*) and regrid the images into Galactic coordinates (*imregrid*, template='GALACTIC').

With these cleaned and regridded images, we smooth all of our maps with a  $15''$  boxcar filter and then identify, or mask, emission by hand in each continuum snapshot. We averaged data from the two orthogonal polarizations, and calculate the RMS noise, given as  $\sigma$ , outside of any masked regions in the image. We calculate peak and integrated fluxes inside each masked region using the *imstat* routine. In the case of no detection, there is no masked region and  $\sigma$  is therefore the noise across the entire image. VLA continuum parameters for the  $15''$  spatial resolution images are given in the Appendix in Table A1, which lists integrated and peak flux densities, the RMS noise for integrated and peak fluxes, and the area of each source mask.


Integrated noise values are calculated according to  $\sigma_{\text{int}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{peak}}^2 N_{\text{beams}} + (0.1 S_{\text{int}})^2}$ , where  $N_{\text{beams}}$  is the number of synthesized beams contained within the masked region, or the mask area divided by beam area,  $\sigma_{\text{peak}}$  is the RMS noise of the image, and  $S_{\text{int}}$  is the integrated flux within the masked region. This form assumes

<sup>1</sup> VLA Data Processing Pipeline,  
<https://science.nrao.edu/facilities/vla/data-processing/pipeline>





**Figure 2.** Observed radio quiet H II region candidates between Galactic longitudes of  $90^\circ - 245^\circ$ . We observe every radio quiet candidate in this longitude range that has a measured infrared diameter  $< 80''$  (expected radio diameter  $< 40''$ ). Detections are shown by white circles while non-detections are marked by red “x”s. Of our 146 VLA pointings, we detect radio continuum emission from 73 sources, giving us a detection rate of 50%. The background image is from *WISE*, showing bands W2 ( $4.6 \mu\text{m}$ ), W3 ( $12 \mu\text{m}$ ), and W4 ( $22 \mu\text{m}$ ) in blue, green, and red, respectively. The  $12 \mu\text{m}$  emission traces polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and dominates the sky coverage of *WISE* in the outer Galaxy.



**Figure 3.** Distribution of known H II regions (red) and radio quiet candidates (black) as identified through *WISE* infrared emission. There are over 4000 radio quiet candidates distributed throughout the Galactic plane, with  $\sim 15\%$  of the radio quiet population in our survey range, marked in grey. This plot also shows the relative lack of known H II regions in the Southern sky, which is caused by a dearth Southern hemisphere H II region surveys. This will be rectified in part by Wenger et al. (2019b) and ongoing surveys with the Australian Telescope Compact Array.


10% flux calibration error and includes the contribution for the variance in the sum of brightnesses within a region, as detailed in (Wenger et al. 2019a). Since our targets are located towards the center of the primary beam in each snapshot image, we assume noise does not vary across the source and are able to use the simplified form of Equation 3 from Wenger et al. (2019a).

### 3. RESULTS

We detect 73 of the 146 sources (50%) in 9 GHz radio continuum from 4 minute integrations with the VLA. We require peak continuum fluxes  $\gtrsim 3\sigma$  and for the continuum emission to be coincident with and morphologically similar to the infrared emission. Coupled with their common infrared morphologies, detection of radio continuum emission *strongly* suggests an H II region candidate is an H II region. This 50% detection rate is slightly higher than the detection rate of radio quiet sources in the first quadrant in Armentrout et al. (2017) where we detect 43% of radio quiet sources. In the first

quadrant survey, we target especially distant ( $\sim 20$  kpc) H II regions, which are fainter on average. One example VLA detection is shown in Figure 4, while the full figure set (146 images) is shown in the Appendix in Figure A1.

We detect continuum emission from 10 of our 21 “long integrations” of 16 minutes each. Four of these are also detected in shorter 4 minute observations, while most (17) of the 21 sources are not detected with 4 minute integrations. We detect 6 new sources (of the 17 previously undetected) from 16 minute observations. This represents an additional detection rate of 35% for 16 minute integrations which were not detected previously in 4 minutes (6/17). While this is a small sample size, it indicates that deeper observations will continue to uncover even fainter continuum emission across our survey range. We mainly focus on our sample of 4 minute observations in this work to derive limits on the total population of Galactic H II regions, because it is a larger, more complete sample.



**Figure 4.** *WISE* composite images of a VLA snapshot observation for a previously “radio quiet” candidate. The complete figure set (146 images) is shown in the Appendix. Bands W2 (4.6  $\mu\text{m}$ ), W3 (12  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and W4 (22  $\mu\text{m}$ ) are represented by blue, green, and red, respectively. VLA radio continuum contours at X-band in D configuration are overplotted for regions with newly detected continuum emission. Contours are placed at 33%, 66%, and 90% peak radio continuum flux for sources detected in radio continuum. Peak radio continuum intensities are shown in the bottom left of each panel (in  $\mu\text{Jy}$ ) for each detected source and infrared sizes are shown by a scale bar. The VLA beam is shown in red in the bottom right of each image. Most integrations were 4 minute snapshots, but 16 minute integrations are available for 21 sources. These 16 minute integrations are indicated by “Deep Integration” labels. Each image is 6' on a side, and scale bars represent the regions' infrared angular diameters as cataloged in the *WISE* Catalog of Galactic HII Regions (Anderson et al. 2014). Measured radio diameters are on average half that of infrared diameters. We smoothed radio continuum emission with a 15'' boxcar filter for display. Since these objects are all exceedingly faint, there are often much brighter targets in the field that are saturated. When there are multiple candidates within one frame, we only report continuum parameters for the central region.

### 3.1. Comparison with Known HII Regions

We show a comparison of the radio continuum emission versus the mid-infrared emission in Figure 5 for both this work and the *WISE* Catalog of Galactic HII Regions, including every source with a recorded flux density at both 22  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10 GHz. The mid-infrared flux densities for the known HII regions are taken from Makai et al. (2017). We calculate the mid-infrared flux densities for radio quiet sources by integrating the 22  $\mu\text{m}$  *WISE* emission within a circular mask determined by the *WISE* Catalog region size, and we determine the background level within a 5''-wide annulus surrounding each region.

Figure 5 shows that there is significant scatter in the 22  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10 GHz flux densities of both the radio quiet


and known samples, and that these flux densities span five orders of magnitude at both infrared and radio wavelengths. Makai et al. (2017) describe the scatter at low flux densities as likely due to photometric uncertainties from background subtraction. While the samples do overlap, the radio quiet sources naturally lie at lower radio and infrared fluxes. We expect “radio loud” candidates from the *WISE* Catalog to fill in the space between the radio quiet and known samples in this plot, but accurate 10 GHz flux densities are not available for the radio loud candidate sample.

To compare our known and radio quiet samples, we split the angular size of known HII regions shown in Figure 5 into those larger and smaller than 80''. We do not have distance estimates for our radio quiet sources and therefore do not know the physical sizes of these regions. We perform a least squares fit to each sample, according to the form  $F_{22\mu\text{m}} = A \times (F_{10\text{GHz}})^\alpha$ , where  $F_{22\mu\text{m}}$  is the integrated 22  $\mu\text{m}$  flux density,  $F_{10\text{GHz}}$  is the integrated 10 GHz flux density,  $A$  is a scaling factor, and  $\alpha$  is the power law slope of the distribution. The power law fits for our radio quiet HII region sample (<80'', red in Figure 5) and known HII regions smaller than 80'' (black in Figure 5) are consistent with each other, although they have low individual coefficients of determination, or  $R^2$  values. Radio quiet HII regions have a power law slope of  $\alpha = 0.339 \pm 0.105$  ( $A = 2.319 \text{ Jy} \pm 0.379$ ,  $R^2 = 0.131$ ), while compact known HII regions have a power law slope of  $\alpha = 0.290 \pm 0.073$  ( $A = 1.760 \text{ Jy} \pm 0.631$ ,  $R^2 = 0.070$ ). When both the compact known and radio quiet HII region samples are fit together (all red and black points), the distribution has a power law slope of  $\alpha = 0.241 \pm 0.027$  ( $A = 2.177 \text{ Jy} \pm 0.268$ ,  $R^2 = 0.216$ ). This fit is shown in blue in Figure 5.

If we include HII regions larger than 80'', the power law fit steepens. Makai et al. (2017) find a power law fit of  $\alpha = 0.822 \pm 0.017$  ( $A = 0.082 \text{ Jy} \pm 0.001$ ,  $R^2 = 0.526$ ) when comparing 24  $\mu\text{m}$  *Spitzer* data with 1.4 GHz radio continuum data for all Galactic HII regions in the *WISE* Catalog. We scale this Makai et al. (2017) fit from 1.4 GHz to 10 GHz, assuming an HII region spectral index of  $-0.1$  and plot it in Figure 5 in orange. When Makai et al. (2017) restrict their analysis to regions larger than 1 pc, the power law steepens to  $\alpha = 1.096 \pm 0.024$  ( $A = 0.069 \text{ Jy} \pm 0.001$ ,  $R^2 = 0.716$ ). It seems that compact HII region samples have a shallower infrared-radio correlation than larger, and typically more luminous, HII region samples. The HII region luminosity function is further explored in Mascoop et al. (2021).

The radio quiet and known HII region samples smaller than 80'' have very similar power law fits, and the overall fit to both samples together is consistent with the slope of each independent sample. The radio quiet and known HII region samples may be drawn from the same par-

ent population, but the significant scatter in their flux density measurements precludes us from making that determination.



**Figure 5.** Comparison of the integrated 10 GHz continuum flux density and integrated *WISE* 22  $\mu$ m flux density for radio quiet candidates in this study ( $<80''$ , red circles) and the known H II regions from the *WISE* Catalog of Galactic H II Regions smaller than  $80''$  (black crosses). The red solid line and black dashed line represent power law fits to the radio quiet and known samples, respectively, while the blue dashed line represents a power law fit to both samples together. Also shown are the known H II regions that are larger than  $80''$  (orange circles). The Makai et al. fit shown in orange includes all of the known regions (both the black and orange points). The distribution of flux densities for radio quiet and known H II regions smaller than  $80''$  have similar power law slopes, although with significant scatter. When larger, more luminous known H II regions are also included, the power law fit steepens.

### 3.2. VLA Continuum Properties

Following our analysis in Armentrout et al. (2017), we determine the spectral type of the ionizing stars in H II regions. We first calculate source luminosities,  $L_\nu$ , using:

$$L_\nu [\text{W Hz}^{-1}] = 1.2 \times 10^{14} \left[ \frac{D}{\text{kpc}} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{S_{\text{int}}}{\text{Jy}} \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $D$  is the distance to the source and  $S_{\text{int}}$  is the integrated continuum flux density.

We do not have distance measurements for these sources. While we can examine integrated flux density distributions from the sample, we cannot provide an estimate of individual source luminosities without distance measurements. Instead of providing luminosity estimates for individual sources, we analyze the distribution assuming several uniform distances. The maximum distance of sources in the 2nd and 3rd Galactic quadrants is approximately 10 kpc. The majority of our targets are likely in the Perseus arm, which ranges in distance from 2 to 4 kpc from the Sun across our survey range (Reid et al. 2019).

We then determine the number of Lyman continuum photons emitted from the central ionizing source,  $N_{\text{Ly}}$ , assuming thermal and ionization equilibrium (LTE) (Rubin 1968; Condon & Ransom 2016) :

$$N_{\text{Ly}} [\text{s}^{-1}] = 6.3 \times 10^{32} \left[ \frac{T_e}{10^4 \text{ K}} \right]^{-0.45} \left[ \frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right]^{0.1} \left[ \frac{L_\nu}{\text{W Hz}^{-1}} \right]. \quad (2)$$

In LTE, the electron temperature,  $T_e$ , is a measure of the Maxwellian kinetic energy distribution of colliding electrons in the H II region. We assume an average H II region  $T_e$  of  $\sim 10^4$  K. This value is consistent with the measured  $T_e$  distribution for  $R_{\text{Gal}}$  of 14 kpc in Balser et al. (2015), and it is slightly above the average Galactic  $T_e$  derived through that work ( $\sim 9000$  K). Our central observing frequency,  $\nu$ , is 9 GHz.

We estimate the spectral type of the central ionizing sources directly from the number of Lyman continuum photons using the stellar models of Smith et al. (2002) and Martins et al. (2005), detailed in Table 2. Both of these models assume expanding stellar atmospheres and convert from Lyman-continuum photon count to spectral type using non-LTE line-blanketing. Where the models overlap, their Lyman continuum fluxes are consistent to within  $\sim 50\%$  on average for solar metallicity and luminosity class V, differing at most by  $\sim 150\%$ . We use Smith et al. (2002) for B-type stars and Martins et al. (2005) for O-type stars, as indicated by bold font in the table. We also include Panagia (1973) in Table 2 as an example of trends for later-type stellar ionization fluxes. However, Panagia (1973) differs by up to an order of magnitude from the other models for B- and late-type O-stars, so we do not use the model for stellar type determination.

**Table 2.** Single-Star H II Region Parameters

Spectral Type	Log <sub>10</sub> [N <sub>Ly</sub> ] (s <sup>-1</sup> )			Spectral Type	Log <sub>10</sub> [N <sub>Ly</sub> ] (s <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Panagia	Smith	Martins		Panagia	Smith	Martins
B3	43.91	—	—	O8	48.59	48.50	<b>48.29</b>
B2	44.89	—	—	O7.5	48.70	48.70	<b>48.44</b>
B1.5	—	<b>46.10</b>	—	O7	48.86	49.00	<b>48.63</b>
B1	45.52	<b>46.50</b>	—	O6.5	49.02	—	<b>48.80</b>
B0.5	46.50	<b>47.00</b>	—	O6	49.24	—	<b>48.96</b>
B0	47.63	<b>47.40</b>	—	O5.5	49.50	—	<b>49.11</b>
O9.5	48.08	—	<b>47.56</b>	O5	49.71	49.20	<b>49.26</b>
O9	48.32	47.90	<b>47.90</b>	O4	49.93	49.40	<b>49.47</b>
O8.5	48.45	—	<b>48.10</b>	O3	—	49.50	<b>49.63</b>

NOTE—Results from [Panagia \(1973\)](#), [Smith et al. \(2002\)](#), and [Martins et al. \(2005\)](#) for the conversion between number of Lyman continuum photons and spectral type for Luminosity Type V stars. The Smith and Martin models assume expanding stellar atmospheres and non-LTE line-blanketing. We use Smith for B-type stars and Martins for O-type stars, as indicated by bold font in the table. Panagia models later type stars than Smith or Martin, but the Panagia results are up to an order of magnitude discrepant from the more recent models of Smith and Martins. We do not use Panagia for spectral type determination, only as an example of trends in earlier spectral types.

The distribution of integrated and peak radio continuum flux densities for our detections are shown in Figure 6. The peak of the integrated 10 GHz flux density histogram for our sample (Figure 6) is 400  $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . Using Equations 1 and 2 we can determine average luminosities for varying source populations at the Perseus spiral arm and at the maximum expected distance of outer Galaxy sources, as detailed in Table 3.

Spectral type determinations for sources with total  $\log_{10}[N_{\text{Ly}}]$  of less than 46.10 are especially uncertain because of lack of intermediate-mass star modeling. [Smith et al. \(2002\)](#) shows a decrease in Lyman continuum photons of  $\log_{10}[N_{\text{Ly}}] = 0.9$  between type B0 and B1 stars. The spacing between adjacent spectral types is not quite uniform and becomes steeper at lower spectral types, as shown in all three models. An additional decrease of 0.9 from B1 to B2 would indicate that the B2 cutoff should be lower than  $\log_{10}[N_{\text{Ly}}] = 45.60$  (i.e. 0.9 lower than the  $\log_{10}[N_{\text{Ly}}] = 46.50$  estimate for a B1 star in the Smith model). These trends in the stellar models suggest that our predicted ionizing flux of  $\log_{10}[N_{\text{Ly}}] \simeq 45.57$  from the peak of the distribution in Figure 6 and the assumed maximum distance of 10 kpc roughly corresponds to a spectral type B2 star, while the distance of the Perseus arm across our survey range (2-4 kpc) likely corresponds to a B3 star.

**Table 3.** Peak Lyman Continuum Luminosity at Various Distances H II Region Parameters


Distance (kpc)	Log <sub>10</sub> [N <sub>Ly</sub> ] (s <sup>-1</sup> )	Spectral Type
2 kpc	44.18	B3
3 kpc	44.53	B3
4 kpc	44.78	B3
10 kpc	45.58	B2

NOTE—Using Equations 1 and 2 and assuming an integrated 10 GHz flux density of 400  $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ , we determine average luminosities for distances of 2, 3, 4, and 10 kpc. The Perseus arm ranges from 2-4 kpc across our survey area, while the maximum expected distance for a star formation region in this part of the Galactic plane is 10 kpc.

[Panagia \(1973\)](#) lists a B2 star ionizing flux of  $\log_{10}[N_{\text{Ly}}] = 44.89$ . The discrepancies between [Panagia \(1973\)](#) and the models of [Smith et al. \(2002\)](#) and [Martins et al. \(2005\)](#) are possibly due to more thorough modeling of line-blanketing and winds in non-LTE models of expanding stellar atmospheres. A more accurate spectral type assignment will require more complete stellar modeling of later type B-stars using these updated methods and distances to individual sources in the sample.

Approximately one third of our 16 minute “deep integrations” without previously detected radio continuum





**Figure 6.** Distribution of integrated and peak fluxes for H II regions detected by the VLA in 4 minute snapshots. Each of these regions was identified as a radio quiet candidate based on its characteristic infrared morphology but lack of detected coincident radio continuum emission in Galactic plane surveys. The vertical dashed line shows the expected integrated flux for a B2 star at a distance of 10 kpc or a B3 star at distances of 2-4 kpc coincident with the 400  $\mu$ Jy peak of the integrated flux distribution for our radio quiet sample.

emission yield detections (6 of 17 sources), with flux densities at the  $\sim 200$   $\mu$ Jy level. Assuming a distance of 10 kpc, these regions would produce ionizing radiation of at least  $\log_{10}[N_{Ly}] = 45.27$ . The spectral types of these lower ionizing flux regions are especially uncertain because of the lack of recent, non-LTE line-blanketing stellar models for stars later than type B1.5. VLA continuum parameters for these deep integrations are given in the Appendix in Table A1. If we expect approximately 6/17 all of our non-detections from 4 minute integrations to be detected with deeper integrations, we might expect 68% of all of our 146 radio quiet candidates to have detected radio continuum emission at the 200  $\mu$ Jy level or above ( $50\% + 50\% \times 35\% \approx 68\%$ ). Since our deep integrations represent a small sample size, we will use the 50% detection rate from the larger sample for

future estimates of the total population of Galactic H II regions in this paper, but it is clear that our population estimate is a lower limit.

*It is very likely that the objects we detect with the VLA are H II regions.* Over 95% of our H II region targets with this characteristic infrared morphology and coincident continuum emission were confirmed to be H II regions through their RRL emission in Anderson et al. (2011). In most cases, the VLA continuum emission we detect is morphologically similar to infrared emission, indicating that the continuum emission is associated with the infrared sources and not just spatially coincident along the line of sight. These radio quiet sources may be consistent with the same distribution of objects as the known H II regions in the WISE catalog of Galactic H II regions, as shown in Figure 5, but the significant scatter in our flux density measurements prevents us from making that determination. Detecting RRL emission for the faintest radio quiet sources would be challenging, but evidence suggest that given enough sensitivity we could do so.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

##### 4.1. How Many WISE Catalog Objects are H II Regions?

We can estimate a lower limit for how many H II regions there are in the Galaxy based on the proportion of radio quiet regions we detect with the VLA. The WISE Catalog (V2.2) contains 2210 known H II regions, 2422 candidate regions with coincident radio continuum emission, and 3780 radio quiet candidates. Extrapolating our 50% detection rate from 4 minute VLA integrations, we expect 1890 of the 3780 WISE Catalog radio quiet H II region candidates to have detectable radio continuum emission. If we assume that all H II region candidates with detected thermal radio continuum emission are H II regions, we would expect there to be 6522 Galactic H II regions in the WISE Catalog ( $2210 + 2422 + 1890$ ).

This prediction already dwarfs the number of known Galactic H II regions, and it is likely an underestimate. Most H II regions produced by later type stars (i.e.  $\sim$ B2) are likely not in the WISE Catalog because we only include radio quiet objects that are angularly resolved by WISE; point sources are excluded. Because young H II regions and those created by later type stars are preferentially more compact, the true number of Galactic H II regions is likely far greater still. The WISE Catalog may in fact be missing some compact radio quiet H II regions created by distant, later type stars as suggested by the “yellowball” analysis in Kerton et al. (2015). We have also shown that deeper integrations recover radio continuum emission from more radio quiet candidates. We explore our non-detections further in Section 4.3.

To estimate the total population of Galactic H II re-

regions, we need population synthesis models of Milky Way star formation (W. Armentrout et al., *in prep*). Such models rely on the Galactic initial mass function (IMF), the high-mass end of the cluster mass function (CMF), and our catalogs of Galactic star formation.

A natural link to this work is the study of Galactic OB stars, since the full population of Galactic OB stars clearly sets the upper bound for the total number of Galactic H II regions. There is not, however, a well defined conversion between the number of Galactic OB stars and the number of H II regions, for reasons including OB-clustering and the population of isolated runaway stars. The majority ( $\gtrsim 80\%$ ) of OB stars form in close binary systems (Chini et al. 2012). Oey et al. (2004) show that this OB clustering appears to follow a power law, but there are large uncertainties. *Gaia* observations of many young, nearby clusters have begun constraining high-mass star cluster assembly and dispersion processes. Future *Gaia* data releases are expected to improve astrometry measurements in many more nearby clusters (Kuhn et al. 2019). The proportion of “runaway” OB stars (i.e. stars ejected from their natal systems) is also uncertain. Early interpretation of *Gaia* data suggests that 10-12% of O-stars are runaways (Maíz Apellániz et al. 2018), while historical estimates put the runaway fraction between 20-30% (Blaauw 1961; Stone 1979). These high-velocity stars in low-density intercloud regions were thought able to produce compact H II regions, ionizing new gas reservoirs along the stars’ trajectories (Elmergreen 1976). More recent simulations confirm this and show that runaway stars can create roughly spherical H II regions, with the star near the center of the H II region (Raga et al. 1997). The H II regions produced by these runaway stars would always be dynamically young, leading to their compact, spherical morphology (Mackey et al. 2013). It is still unclear how many Galactic H II regions may be caused by isolated runaway O-stars.

The VLASS should be able to image an additional  $\sim 2500$  radio quiet candidates across the Galaxy (i.e. expected radio size  $< 58''$  in the VLASS range). In addition, the GLOSTAR survey (Medina et al. 2019) will cover an additional  $\sim 400$  radio quiet candidates between  $85^\circ \geq \ell \geq -2^\circ$  and  $|b| \leq 2^\circ$ . Based on our results here, we expect to detect continuum emission from roughly half of our current radio quiet candidates in these ranges, greatly expanding the number of faint H II region candidates for which we have radio observations. Because infrared point sources are excluded from the *WISE* Catalog if they do not also have detected coincident radio continuum emission, we can also add new H II region candidates to the *WISE* Catalog that are infrared point sources. This potentially adds a large new sample of candidates to the catalog. A more complete census of

Galactic H II regions will give us a global view of high-mass star formation, allow us to constrain the Milky Way luminosity function, and refine Galactic structural details, together with many other Milky Way-wide parameters.

#### 4.2. Radio Quiet Contribution to the Total Ionizing Radiation of the Milky Way

H II regions created by intermediate-mass central stars may dominate the total population of Galactic H II regions by number, but they represent a small percentage of the total Lyman continuum emission of the Milky Way. This will be dominated by mostly large, well-known H II regions and the warm ionized medium (WIM).

Through Galactic H II region population synthesis modeling (W. Armentrout et al. 2021, *in prep*) and work on the Galactic H II region luminosity function (Mascoo et al. 2021), we are also able to estimate the total ionizing radiation of Galactic B-stars compared to the rest of the Milky Way. From this work, under 1% of the Milky Way’s ionizing photons come from H II regions with a central stellar type of B2 or later. Under 5% of the Milky Way’s ionizing photons come from H II regions with a central stellar type of B0 or earlier.

#### 4.3. VLA Continuum Non-Detections

We hypothesize that the infrared sources that we do not detect with the VLA represent either early stages of star formation or less massive star formation regions. Radio continuum emission from earlier stages of star formation has steep, positive spectral indices ( $\alpha \sim 1$ ) near 9 GHz, which is not characteristic of optically thin H II regions ( $\alpha \sim -0.1$ ). Rosero et al. (2019) explores 70 radio continuum sources associated with dust clumps that are thought to be areas of young high-mass star formation, most of which have positive spectral indices between 5 and 23 GHz. These sources may be optically thick, pressure confined H II regions. The favored creation scenario, however, is that the positive spectral indices are the results of shock ionized jets associated with new star formation.

In the lower-mass regime (e.g. mid-type B-stars), Cyganowski et al. (2011) studies a sample of Extended Green Objects (EGOs), thought to be massive young stellar objects. These are morphologically similar to our sample, though they are “greener” than our candidates in general. The authors found the two EGOs with the highest Lyman continuum photon flux in their sample to be H II regions created by early B-type stars. These regions also have fewer emitted Lyman continuum photons ( $\log_{10}[N_{\text{Ly}}] \lesssim 45$ ) and would be below our current sensitivity limits for 10 kpc distances. Lundquist et al. (2014) also studies a population of intermediate-mass

star forming regions with strong  $12\ \mu\text{m}$  emission. These are again “greener” than our candidates here. The most massive central stars in this sample are spectral type B2 or later.

There is also significant source overlap between our radio quiet H II regions and the yellowballs cataloged in [Kerton et al. \(2015\)](#) from the Milky Way Project ([Simpson et al. 2012](#)), which could be a mix of early stages of star formation and less massive regions. These yellowball sources are identified by citizen science volunteers as *Spitzer* GLIMPSE/MIPSGAL targets that appear compact and yellow from their 4.5-, 8-, and  $24\ \mu\text{m}$  emission shown in blue, green, and red respectively. [Kerton et al. \(2015\)](#) find that 65% of their inner Galaxy ( $|\ell| \leq 65^\circ, |b| \leq 1^\circ$ ) sample are spatially coincident with sources in the *WISE* Catalog. These are split between known, candidate, group, and radio quiet sources in the catalog, but radio quiet sources have the largest overlap. In total, 208 of their 928 yellowballs (24%) are coincident with a *WISE* radio quiet source. The authors interpret the yellowballs as a mix of less evolved and less massive H II regions, which matches our interpretation of the radio quiet sources in our sample. They also suggest that the *WISE* Catalog may be missing a significant number of radio quiet sources based on the low-luminosities of the overlapping yellowball-radio quiet sources (B-type stars). While the Milky Way Project does not cover the same Galactic longitudes as our current survey, many outer Galaxy radio quiet sources also have compact yellow morphologies and would likely have been identified as yellowballs. This could include G093.015+01.235, G096.546+01.358, G097.131+03.225 and many more compact objects for our analysis.

Based on the above discussion, it appears that all of our infrared-identified candidates are tracing star formation regions, but our radio quiet H II regions provide a rough boundary between high- and intermediate-mass star formation, with early-type B stars serving as the dividing spectral types. In general, stars less massive than approximately type B2 produce faint and compact H II regions that we can not easily see in radio continuum surveys of the Galactic plane.

## 5. SUMMARY

The *WISE* Catalog of Galactic H II Regions contains nearly 4000 H II region candidates that lack detected radio continuum emission. Based on their infrared morphologies, we hypothesize that the majority of these candidates are bona fide H II regions, but that they are less luminous populations of distant late-type OB

stars or that they represent earlier stages of high-mass star formation. We observe all such compact radio quiet candidates (infrared diameters  $< 80''$ ) between  $245^\circ \geq \ell \geq 90^\circ$  with the VLA at 9 GHz. We detect radio continuum emission from 50% of these 146 H II region candidates with 4 minute integrations. From a smaller sample of longer integrations, we might expect to detect as many as  $\sim 68\%$  of our radio quiet sources with 16 minute integrations. These radio continuum detections suggest that there is a large population of uncataloged, faint Galactic H II regions and they suggest that central stars of approximately type  $\sim \text{B2}$  create H II regions with the characteristic infrared and radio continuum morphologies common to those in the H II Region Discovery Survey papers ([Bania et al. 2010](#); [Anderson et al. 2011, 2015, 2018](#); [Wenger et al. 2019b](#)). Future sensitive radio Galactic plane surveys will allow us to identify radio continuum emission from many more radio quiet H II regions across the Galactic disk, improving the completeness of this work. We here estimate a lower limit for the population of Galactic H II regions to be  $\sim 7000$ .

The Green Bank Observatory and National Radio Astronomy Observatory are facilities of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. We thank the staff of the Very Large Array for providing server space for on-site data reduction. The anonymous referee provided valuable feedback and helped us craft a more robust paper. This work was supported by NSF grant AST1516021 to LDA. TMB is supported in part by NSF grant AST-1714688. TVW was supported by the NSF through the Grote Reber Fellowship Program administered by Associated Universities, Inc./National Radio Astronomy Observatory, the D.N. Batten Foundation Fellowship from the Jefferson Scholars Foundation, the Mars Foundation Fellowship from the Achievement Rewards for College Scientists Foundation, and the Virginia Space Grant Consortium through the bulk of this work. This research has made use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services, Astropy, a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy ([Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013](#)), and also APLpy, an open-source plotting package for Python ([Robitaille & Bressert 2012](#)).

*Facility:* VLA

*Software:* Matplotlib ([Hunter 2007](#)), Astropy ([Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013, 2018](#)), APLpy ([Robitaille & Bressert 2012](#)), CASA ([McMullin et al. 2007](#))

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, L. D., Bania, T. M., Balser, D. S., et al. 2014, *ApJS*, 212, 1
- Anderson, L. D., Bania, T. M., Balser, D. S., & Rood, R. T. 2011, *ApJS*, 194, 32
- Armentrout, W. P., Anderson, L. D., Balser, D. S., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 841, 121
- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A33
- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 156, 123
- Balser, D. S., Wenger, T. V., Anderson, L. D., & Bania, T. M. 2015, *ApJ*, 806, 199
- Bania, T. M., Anderson, L. D., Balser, D. S., & Rood, R. T. 2010, *ApJL*, 718, L106
- Bihl, S., Johnston, K. G., Beuther, H., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 588, A97
- Blaauw, A. 1961, *BAN*, 15, 265
- Carey, S. J., Noriega-Crespo, A., Mizuno, D. R., et al. 2009, *PASP*, 121, 76
- Caswell, J. L., & Haynes, R. F. 1987, *A&A*, 171, 261
- Chini, R., Hoffmeister, V. H., Nasser, A., Stahl, O., & Zinnecker, H. 2012, *MNRAS*, 424, 1925
- Chomiuk, L., & Povich, M. S. 2011, *AJ*, 142, 197
- Condon, J. 2015, *ArXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1502.05616
- Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693
- Condon, J. J., & Ransom, S. M. 2016, *Essential Radio Astronomy* (Princeton University Press)
- Cyganowski, C. J., Brogan, C. L., Hunter, T. R., & Churchwell, E. 2011, *ApJ*, 743, 56
- Dame, T. M., & Thaddeus, P. 2011, *ApJL*, 734, L24+
- Elmergreen, B. G. 1976, *ApJ*, 205, 405
- Höglund, B., & Mezger, P. G. 1965, *Science*, 150, 339
- Hunter, J. D. 2007, *Computing in Science and Engineering*, 9, 90
- Kennicutt, R. C., Calzetti, D., Aniano, G., et al. 2011, *PASP*, 123, 1347
- Kent, B. R., Masters, J. S., Chandler, C. J., et al. 2018, in *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts*, Vol. 231, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #231, 342.14
- Kerton, C. R., Wolf-Chase, G., Arvidsson, K., Lintott, C. J., & Simpson, R. J. 2015, *ApJ*, 799, 153
- Kuhn, M. A., Hillenbrand, L. A., Sills, A., Feigelson, E. D., & Getman, K. V. 2019, *ApJ*, 870, 32
- Lockman, F. J. 1989, *ApJS*, 71, 469
- Lundquist, M. J., Kobulnicky, H. A., Alexander, M. J., Kerton, C. R., & Arvidsson, K. 2014, *ApJ*, 784, 111
- Mackey, J., Langer, N., & Gvaramadze, V. V. 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 859
- Maíz Apellániz, J., Pantaleoni González, M., Barbá, R. H., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 616, A149
- Makai, Z., Anderson, L. D., Mascoop, J. L., & Johnstone, B. 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 64
- Martins, F., Schaerer, D., & Hillier, D. J. 2005, *A&A*, 436, 1049
- Mascoop, J. L., Anderson, L. D., Wenger, T. V., et al. 2021, *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2102.09033
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in *ASPC*, Vol. 376, 2007ASPC 376, ed. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, 127
- Medina, S. N. X., Urquhart, J. S., Dzib, S. A., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 627, A175
- Oey, M. S., King, N. L., & Parker, J. W. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 1632
- Panagia, N. 1973, *AJ*, 78, 929
- Raga, A. C., Noriega-Crespo, A., Cantó, J., et al. 1997, *RMxAA*, 33, 73
- Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Brunthaler, A., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 885, 131
- Robitaille, T., & Bressert, E. 2012, *APLpy: Astronomical Plotting Library in Python*, Astrophysics Source Code Library, , ascl:1208.017
- Rosero, V., Hofner, P., Kurtz, S., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 880, 99
- Rousseau-Nepton, L., Robert, C., Drissen, L., Martin, R. P., & Martin, T. 2017, *ArXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1704.05121
- Rubin, R. H. 1968, *ApJ*, 154, 391
- Sharpless, S. 1953, *ApJ*, 118, 362
- Simpson, R. J., Povich, M. S., Kendrew, S., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 424, 2442
- Smith, L. J., Norris, R. P. F., & Crowther, P. A. 2002, *MNRAS*, 337, 1309
- Stil, J. M., Taylor, A. R., Dickey, J. M., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 132, 1158
- Stone, R. C. 1979, *ApJ*, 232, 520
- VLA Survey Science Group. 2016, *VLAASS Project Memo 3*. [https://safe.nrao.edu/wiki/pub/JVLA/VLAASS/VLAASS\\_Memo\\_003\\_Science\\_Case.pdf](https://safe.nrao.edu/wiki/pub/JVLA/VLAASS/VLAASS_Memo_003_Science_Case.pdf)
- Wenger, T. V., Balser, D. S., Anderson, L. D., & Bania, T. M. 2019a, *ApJ*, 887, 114
- Wenger, T. V., Dickey, J. M., Jordan, C. H., et al. 2019b, *ApJS*, 240, 24

## APPENDIX

Continuum emission parameters for all 4- and 16-minute integrations are listed in Table A1. The table includes positions, integrated and peak flux densities, RMS noise, and the area of each source mask for our 146 sources. Parameters for both 4- and 16- minute integrations are listed where available.

Snapshot images of each source observed with the VLA are shown in the Figure Set A1. Peak fluxes are listed for detected sources. We only show the 16-minute snapshot image for sources with “deep integrations.” When there are multiple candidates within one frame, we only report on the central region. There are occasionally multiple “radio loud” candidates or known regions within one field. Those regions are outside the scope of this work and often add noise or interferometer relics to the images.

The *WISE* Catalog of Galactic H II Regions<sup>2</sup> contains an interactive map of the Galactic plane, showing all detected and candidate H II regions cataloged to date, along with detected source velocities and known parameters.

<sup>2</sup> <http://astro.phys.wvu.edu/wise/>



NOTE—Parameters in this table stem from the CASA routine *imstat* with user-defined masks. Images were smoothed to a common resolution of  $15''$  with a boxcar filter before these parameters were calculated. Entries filled with “...” have no detections. Areas were determined from hand drawn CASA masks for each detected region. Most integrations were 4 minute snapshots, but 16 minute integrations are available for 21 sources.

**Table A1.** VLA Radio Continuum Parameters

Name	$\alpha_{J2000}$	$\delta_{J2000}$	$S_{\text{int}}$	$\sigma_{\text{int}}$	$S_{\text{peak}}$	$\sigma_{\text{peak}}$	Region Area	Integration Time
	(hh:mm:ss)	(dd:mm:ss)	(mJy)	(mJy)	(mJy beam $^{-1}$ )	(mJy beam $^{-1}$ )	(arcsec $^2$ )	(min.)
G090.192+01.887	21:04:19.84	49:44:54.1	0.524	0.093	0.021	0.008	4350	4
G090.912+01.599	21:08:39.66	50:05:14.7	2.195	0.261	0.165	0.019	2880	4
G090.919+01.493	21:09:10.58	50:01:16.2	2.068	0.225	0.178	0.017	1260	4
G091.467+02.826	21:05:17.29	51:19:26.3	...	...	...	0.009	...	4
G091.582+01.303	21:12:52.54	50:22:30.2	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
G091.590+02.742	21:06:13.20	51:21:31.2	...	...	...	0.011	...	4
G092.658+03.054	21:09:23.19	52:21:21.1	...	...	...	0.251	...	4
G092.677+03.053	21:09:28.69	52:22:10.0	...	...	...	0.290	...	4
G092.707+03.072	21:09:31.03	52:24:16.1	...	...	...	0.346	...	4
G093.015+01.235	21:19:29.95	51:21:25.2	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
G093.370+02.604	21:14:44.11	52:33:58.8	...	...	...	0.014	...	4
G094.045+01.746	21:21:52.04	52:26:47.7	1.219	0.144	0.038	0.007	5700	4
G094.474+02.178	21:21:51.60	53:03:19.5	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
G094.712+01.994	21:23:50.72	53:05:31.2	...	...	...	0.008	...	4
G095.868+03.990	21:19:47.88	55:19:12.7	...	...	...	0.019	...	4
G096.076+02.562	21:27:47.70	54:26:56.1	0.752	0.108	0.036	0.009	3810	4
G096.538+01.314	21:35:54.73	53:50:57.2	3.720	0.811	0.091	0.081	3990	4
G096.546+01.358	21:35:44.92	53:53:16.0	6.445	1.277	0.280	0.098	6050	4
G097.105+03.165	21:30:05.50	55:35:38.2	1.822	0.214	0.045	0.011	4960	4
G097.131+03.225	21:29:55.93	55:39:19.1	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
G097.186+03.768	21:27:32.90	56:05:10.8	0.286	0.050	0.025	0.009	1000	4
G097.240+03.300	21:30:07.74	55:47:03.6	23.253	2.777	0.256	0.091	27270	4
G097.276+03.298	21:30:19.86	55:48:27.6	...	...	...	0.136	...	4
G097.284+03.179	21:30:56.92	55:43:36.8	0.415	0.062	0.065	0.011	1700	4
G097.325+03.226	21:30:56.03	55:47:18.7	1.556	0.215	0.453	0.053	790	4
G097.693+01.994	21:38:42.39	55:07:33.1	...	...	...	0.020	...	4
G097.701+03.821	21:29:57.99	56:28:46.7	0.759	0.093	0.055	0.008	4870	4
G097.704+02.048	21:38:30.97	55:10:25.7	1.154	0.148	0.137	0.017	3090	4
G097.786+01.373	21:42:02.64	54:43:12.9	4.614	0.502	0.145	0.021	9260	4
G097.802+01.350	21:42:14.00	54:42:48.9	0.124	0.051	0.048	0.023	490	4
G097.974+01.494	21:42:28.58	54:56:05.8	164.067	17.096	7.997	0.901	3080	4
G098.908+02.716	21:41:48.36	56:28:12.1	...	...	...	0.009	...	4
G100.161+01.766	21:53:11.12	56:32:30.7	...	...	...	0.022	...	4
G100.168+02.082	21:51:46.89	56:47:34.0	...	...	...	0.057	...	4
G100.213+01.883	21:52:57.40	56:39:57.2	...	...	...	0.026	...	4
G100.338+01.691	21:54:31.89	56:35:34.9	1.460	0.149	0.475	0.011	760	4
G102.311+03.677	21:56:57.46	59:22:21.3	0.359	0.062	0.102	0.021	560	4
G102.334+03.608	21:57:25.99	59:19:55.6	...	...	...	0.010	...	4
G103.483+01.998	22:12:02.04	58:42:52.8	0.243	0.039	0.039	0.008	1350	4
G103.640+01.087	22:16:56.28	58:03:01.0	...	...	...	0.008	...	4
G103.690+00.434	22:19:56.87	57:32:01.0	7.547	0.942	0.212	0.064	7250	4
G103.745+02.182	22:12:53.10	59:00:56.6	9.235	1.051	0.299	0.053	8640	4

*Table A1 continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Name	$\alpha_{J2000}$	$\delta_{J2000}$	$S_{\text{int}}$	$\sigma_{\text{int}}$	$S_{\text{peak}}$	$\sigma_{\text{peak}}$	Region Area	Integration Time
	(hh:mm:ss)	(dd:mm:ss)	(mJy)	(mJy)	(mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	(mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	(arcsec <sup>2</sup> )	(min.)
G103.954+01.097	22:18:52.72	58:13:55.8	...	...	...	0.011	...	4
G105.433+09.949	21:42:46.27	66:10:48.7	...	...	...	0.009	...	4
G105.962+00.420	22:34:40.10	58:42:40.6	2.355	0.261	0.094	0.014	4890	4
G106.142+00.129	22:36:58.70	58:32:53.3	0.871	0.103	0.072	0.009	2750	4
G107.156-00.988	22:47:49.02	58:02:49.7	51.334	5.327	2.100	0.171	5110	4
G107.298+05.638	22:21:27.04	63:51:33.8	0.703	0.074	0.237	0.010	470	4
G108.394-01.046	22:56:27.66	58:32:34.8	...	...	...	0.351	...	4
G110.054-00.107	23:05:15.81	60:05:01.2	...	...	...	0.155	...	4
G110.094-00.064	23:05:25.36	60:08:18.7	1.301	0.401	0.308	0.100	1060	4
G110.160+00.040	23:05:34.69	60:15:36.6	16.48	12.479	1.667	2.312	2110	4
G110.812-00.799	23:12:58.07	59:44:10.3	1.900	0.242	0.213	0.033	1510	4
G111.046+01.085	23:08:55.66	61:34:06.2	0.521	0.060	0.112	0.009	830	4
G111.567+00.751	23:14:01.62	61:27:19.3	...	...	...	1.857	...	4
G111.774+00.689	23:15:49.87	61:28:21.4	...	...	...	0.033	...	4
G111.860+00.800	23:16:10.13	61:36:26.0	...	...	...	0.048	...	4
G111.870+00.881	23:16:00.05	61:41:12.4	0.138	0.042	0.046	0.015	540	4
G111.941+00.677	23:17:10.37	61:31:17.8	0.177	0.036	0.060	0.012	520	4
G113.566-00.698	23:33:37.82	60:45:06.1	...	...	...	0.047	...	4
G113.569-00.657	23:33:33.24	60:47:30.6	...	...	...	0.188	...	4
G114.569+00.290	23:39:17.26	61:59:04.5	1.074	0.152	0.134	0.029	1020	4
G116.719+03.536	23:50:38.02	65:40:20.3	...	...	...	0.011	...	4
G119.844+01.518	00:22:59.34	64:13:10.4	...	...	...	0.008	...	4
G121.750+02.423	00:40:08.62	65:16:08.5	...	...	...	0.008	...	4
G121.755+02.640	00:40:06.01	65:29:09.0	...	...	...	0.008	...	4
G123.809-01.781	00:58:41.39	61:04:43.9	0.599	0.066	0.061	0.006	1390	4
G125.331+02.050	01:14:00.34	64:49:00.7	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
G125.513+02.033	01:15:41.59	64:47:01.2	0.228	0.035	0.039	0.007	930	4
G127.916+00.657	01:35:43.13	63:05:59.4	0.355	0.051	0.035	0.008	1250	4
G128.315+01.667	01:40:53.01	64:01:15.7	0.621	0.086	0.056	0.008	3280	4
G128.539+01.497	01:42:35.46	63:48:38.4	0.537	0.064	0.055	0.005	3280	16
			0.252	0.043	0.024	0.006	2110	4
			0.208	0.027	0.014	0.003	2110	16
G129.101+01.965	01:48:28.91	64:09:07.7	0.347	0.079	0.033	0.016	1240	4
G129.806+01.561	01:53:52.16	63:35:48.6	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
G129.830+01.549	01:54:03.74	63:34:46.2	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
G131.343-01.188	02:00:39.20	60:32:57.6	...	...	...	0.004	...	16
			...	...	...	0.013	...	4
			...	...	...	0.009	...	16
G132.361-01.021	02:08:57.98	60:25:27.2	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
G132.399-00.575	02:10:20.76	60:50:19.2	0.303	0.048	0.020	0.006	2470	16
			0.356	0.053	0.029	0.008	1460	4
			...	...	...	0.229	...	4
G133.733+01.498	02:26:41.98	62:21:19.9	...	...	...	0.242	...	16
G136.348+00.823	02:44:40.35	60:42:39.1	5.140	0.539	0.095	0.016	6010	4
G143.611-01.410	03:24:18.32	55:12:09.5	...	...	...	0.008	...	4
G145.573+04.336	04:01:14.66	58:35:52.2	0.594	0.076	0.027	0.006	4170	16
			0.553	0.084	0.025	0.007	4890	4

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Name	$\alpha_{J2000}$	$\delta_{J2000}$	$S_{\text{int}}$	$\sigma_{\text{int}}$	$S_{\text{peak}}$	$\sigma_{\text{peak}}$	Region Area	Integration Time
	(hh:mm:ss)	(dd:mm:ss)	(mJy)	(mJy)	(mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	(mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	(arcsec <sup>2</sup> )	(min.)
			0.426	0.056	0.021	0.004	4885	16
G145.583+04.347	04:01:21.35	58:35:57.5	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
			...	...	...	0.003	...	16
G147.832+00.694	03:56:30.51	54:21:47.4	0.624	0.079	0.028	0.006	3590	4
G148.225+02.241	04:05:36.55	55:16:28.0	0.196	0.031	0.027	0.007	690	4
G148.449+00.160	03:57:22.55	53:33:25.1	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
G148.533+01.952	04:05:51.56	54:51:12.4	2.278	0.242	0.120	0.012	2690	4
G148.566+02.162	04:07:00.42	54:59:13.6	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
			0.152	0.024	0.015	0.004	1364	16
G148.809+02.073	04:07:50.63	54:45:30.3	0.292	0.041	0.023	0.005	1870	4
G151.633-00.431	04:10:24.68	51:00:15.4	9.787	1.104	0.972	0.106	1350	4
G155.503+02.640	04:41:21.84	50:22:24.4	...	...	...	0.011	...	4
			...	...	...	0.007	...	16
G160.104+00.958	04:51:33.02	45:46:56.0	...	...	...	0.019	...	4
			...	...	...	0.016	...	16
G163.899+04.748	05:21:47.64	45:01:42.9	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
			...	...	...	0.004	...	16
G164.069+00.436	05:03:02.51	42:21:23.4	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
			0.265	0.036	0.017	0.004	2002	16
G169.936-00.589	05:16:53.45	37:01:41.3	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
			...	...	...	0.003	...	16
G169.951-00.587	05:16:56.65	37:01:02.3	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
G170.204+01.990	05:28:23.72	38:16:05.9	...	...	...	0.010	...	4
			0.172	0.044	0.015	0.007	1668	16
G170.830+00.009	05:21:54.08	36:38:19.5	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
			...	...	...	0.005	...	16
G171.602+00.563	05:26:20.47	36:18:46.7	...	...	...	0.008	...	4
			0.686	0.085	0.023	0.005	5292	16
G171.692+00.564	05:26:35.75	36:14:20.5	...	...	...	0.008	...	4
			...	...	...	0.007	...	16
G173.328+02.754	05:40:06.26	36:03:28.1	0.386	0.056	0.049	0.009	1070	4
G173.418+02.760	05:40:22.09	35:59:06.4	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
			...	...	...	0.005	...	16
G173.482+02.445	05:39:12.93	35:45:48.9	1.466	0.344	0.277	0.073	980	4
G173.485+02.430	05:39:09.62	35:45:10.9	1.301	0.201	0.195	0.042	720	4
G173.583+02.444	05:39:28.63	35:40:40.1	0.366	0.059	0.025	0.007	2360	4
			0.403	0.052	0.024	0.005	2360	16
G173.722+02.693	05:40:52.74	35:41:30.7	...	...	...	0.152	...	4
G173.779+02.683	05:40:59.02	35:38:16.9	2.894	0.326	0.234	0.033	1240	4
G174.376-00.363	05:30:05.60	33:29:30.1	0.221	0.033	0.024	0.006	940	4
G174.384-00.371	05:30:04.98	33:28:50.5	0.215	0.038	0.018	0.006	1520	4
G176.462-01.674	05:30:20.81	31:01:43.7	...	...	...	0.039	...	4
G176.475-01.685	05:30:20.12	31:00:41.8	...	...	...	0.026	...	4
G181.101+04.223	06:04:56.65	30:06:45.8	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
G189.032+00.809	06:08:46.74	21:31:44.8	0.466	0.067	0.121	0.020	370	4
G189.684+00.715	06:09:46.88	20:54:47.8	0.308	0.041	0.026	0.006	1280	4
G192.601-00.048	06:12:54.31	17:59:22.6	13.264	4.228	3.733	0.975	1090	4

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Name	$\alpha_{J2000}$	$\delta_{J2000}$	$S_{\text{int}}$	$\sigma_{\text{int}}$	$S_{\text{peak}}$	$\sigma_{\text{peak}}$	Region Area	Integration Time
	(hh:mm:ss)	(dd:mm:ss)	(mJy)	(mJy)	(mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	(mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	(arcsec <sup>2</sup> )	(min.)
G192.617−00.067	06:12:52.03	17:57:59.1	...	...	...	0.854	...	4
G192.908−00.627	06:11:23.57	17:26:31.8	71.533	7.296	1.269	0.126	7870	4
G194.935−01.222	06:13:16.34	15:22:42.2	0.563	0.063	0.096	0.008	770	4
G195.666−00.043	06:19:00.88	15:17:47.0	...	...	...	0.038	...	4
G195.699−00.127	06:18:46.50	15:13:40.5	...	...	...	0.095	...	4
G195.811−00.215	06:18:40.25	15:05:14.2	...	...	...	0.010	...	4
G195.822−00.566	06:17:24.75	14:54:40.5	0.401	0.058	0.038	0.010	1020	4
G196.178−00.142	06:19:39.65	14:47:52.6	...	...	...	0.017	...	4
G197.792−02.356	06:14:47.14	12:19:40.3	...	...	...	0.103	...	4
G203.373+02.040	06:41:12.88	09:26:06.8	4.452	0.482	0.185	0.031	2320	4
G209.208−00.127	06:44:11.16	03:15:20.9	0.130	0.024	0.036	0.007	540	4
G211.790−01.354	06:44:32.29	00:23:56.4	...	...	...	0.012	...	4
G211.896−01.203	06:45:16.06	00:22:24.3	5.535	0.594	0.196	0.027	4310	4
G212.270−01.079	06:46:23.58	00:05:50.8	0.336	0.042	0.084	0.009	500	4
G212.420−01.126	06:46:29.77	−00:03:28.3	2.027	0.217	0.183	0.016	1600	4
G214.456−11.026	06:14:46.74	−06:20:26.0	...	...	...	0.006	...	4
G217.258−00.032	06:59:13.67	−03:51:49.7	0.402	0.057	0.035	0.009	1410	4
G217.302−00.059	06:59:12.76	−03:54:54.8	...	...	...	0.018	...	4
G217.307−00.042	06:59:16.84	−03:54:43.7	...	...	...	0.013	...	4
G217.657−00.193	06:59:23.05	−04:17:32.5	...	...	...	0.007	...	4
G221.678−01.897	07:00:40.59	−08:38:48.9	...	...	...	0.020	...	4
G229.569+00.154	07:23:02.23	−14:41:22.5	0.458	0.049	0.163	0.008	460	4
G229.598+00.159	07:23:06.83	−14:42:45.2	0.721	0.083	0.040	0.006	4120	4
G232.620+00.995	07:32:09.59	−16:58:12.3	3.066	0.344	0.991	0.061	630	4
G233.676−00.186	07:29:56.64	−18:27:50.1	...	...	...	0.050	...	4
G233.727−00.318	07:29:33.67	−18:34:17.8	1.539	0.198	0.064	0.015	5630	4
G234.717−00.913	07:29:21.31	−19:43:33.2	0.198	0.028	0.033	0.006	890	4
G234.729−00.752	07:29:58.90	−19:39:32.6	0.509	0.064	0.061	0.010	1190	4
G234.732+00.907	07:36:08.45	−18:51:34.7	...	...	...	0.183	...	4
G237.261−01.306	07:33:07.18	−22:08:41.3	...	...	...	0.035	...	4
G243.335−00.012	07:51:18.61	−26:46:36.0	0.290	0.045	0.039	0.008	1980	4



**Figure A1.** *WISE* composite images of all 146 radio continuum VLA snapshot observations for previously “radio quiet” candidates. Bands W2 ( $4.6\ \mu\text{m}$ ), W3 ( $12\ \mu\text{m}$ ), and W4 ( $22\ \mu\text{m}$ ) are represented by blue, green, and red, respectively. VLA radio continuum contours at X-band in D configuration are overplotted for regions with newly detected continuum emission. Contours are placed at 33%, 66%, and 90% peak radio continuum flux for sources detected in radio continuum. Peak radio continuum intensities are shown in the bottom left of each panel (in  $\mu\text{Jy}$ ) for each detected source and infrared sizes are shown by a scale bar. The VLA beam is shown in red in the bottom right of each image. Most integrations were 4 minute snapshots, but 16 minute integrations are available for 21 sources. These 16 minute integrations are indicated by “Deep Integration” labels. Each image is  $6'$  on a side, and scale bars represent the regions’ infrared angular diameters as cataloged in the *WISE* Catalog of Galactic HII Regions (Anderson et al. 2014). Measured radio diameters are on average half that of infrared diameters. We smoothed radio continuum emission with a  $15''$  boxcar filter for display. Since these objects are all exceedingly faint, there are often much brighter targets in the field that are saturated. When there are multiple candidates within one frame, we only report continuum parameters for the central region.

