

BOUNDED NEGATIVITY AND BOUNDING COHOMOLOGY ON A SMOOTH PROJECTIVE SURFACE WITH PICARD NUMBER TWO

SICHEN LI

ABSTRACT. A conjecture of the bounding cohomology on a smooth projective surface X asserts that there exists a positive constant c_X such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq c_X h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$ for every prime divisor C on X . When the Picard number $\rho(X) = 2$, we prove that if the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ and X has a negative curve, then this conjecture holds for X .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. By a (negative) curve on a surface we will mean a reduced, irreducible curve (with negative self-intersection). By a $(-k)$ -curve, we mean a negative curve C with $C^2 = -k < 0$.

The bounded negativity conjecture (BNC for short) is one of the most intriguing problems in the theory of projective surfaces and can be formulated as follows.

Conjecture 1.1. [2, Conjecture 1.1] *For a smooth projective surface X there exists an integer $b(X) \geq 0$ such that $C^2 \geq -b(X)$ for every curve $C \subseteq X$.*

Let us say that a smooth projective surface X has

$$b(X) > 0$$

if there is at least one negative curve on X .

The following conjecture (implying the BNC) of the bounding cohomology on a smooth projective surface is due to Harbourne, Roé, Ciliberto and Miranda in [1, Conjecture 2.5.3].

Conjecture 1.2. *Let X be a smooth projective surface. Then there exists a positive constant c_X such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq c_X h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$ for every curve C on X .*

Note that there is a counterexample of surface X of general type in [1, Corollary 3.1.2] for Conjecture 1.2 when X has a large Picard number. However, the authors of [1] pointed out that it could be true that Conjecture 1.2 holds when restricted to rational surfaces. Indeed, they showed in [1, Proposition 3.1.3] that the smooth projective rational surfaces with an effective anticanonical divisor satisfy Conjecture 1.2. In [6, 7], the author tried to study the bounded negativity and bounding cohomology on a smooth projective surface X with the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 14C20 .

Key words and phrases. bounded negativity, bounding cohomology, Picard number 2.

Picard number $\rho(X) = 2$. In particular, the author showed in [7] that every surface X with $\rho(X) = 2$ satisfies Conjecture 1.2 if either the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = 1$ and X has a negative curve or X has two negative curves.

Now we quote the following definition in [7].

Definition 1.3. [7, Defintion 2.2] Let X be a smooth projective surface.

(1) For every \mathbb{R} divisor D with $D^2 \neq 0$ on X , we define a value l_D of D as follows:

$$l_D := \frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{\max \left\{ 1, D^2 \right\}}.$$

(2) For every \mathbb{R} -divisor D with $D^2 = 0$ on X , we define a value l_D of D as follows:

$$l_D := \frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{\max \left\{ 1, h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \right\}}$$

A numerical characterization of Conjecture 1.2 was given in [7, Proposition 2.3].

Proposition 1.4. *Let X be a smooth projective surface. If X satisfies the BNC and there exists a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_C \leq m(X)$ for every curve C on X and $D^2 \leq m(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$ for every curve D with $l_D > 1$ and $D^2 > 0$ on X , then X satisfies Conjecture 1.2.*

Remark 1.5. One of the crucial part of Proposition 1.4 is the following:

(*) There exists a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_C \leq m(X)$ for every curve C on X .

We will give two results (cf. Propositions 2.2 and 2.3) of (*) and the following Question 1.7.

In the end of [7], the author asked the following questions.

Question 1.6. [7, Question 3.5] Is Conjecture 1.2 true for any smooth projective surface X with $\rho(X) = 2$ and $b(X) > 0$?

Question 1.7. [7, Question 3.6] Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\rho(X) = r$ and C_i some curves on X . Suppose that $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i]$. Is Conjecture 1.2 true for X ?

Remark 1.8. For Question 1.7, we show in Proposition 2.3 that X has finitely many negatively curves (hence satisfies the BNC) and there is a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_C \leq m(X)$ for every curve C with $C^2 \neq 0$ on X .

Now we give the main result to answer Question 1.6.

Theorem 1.9. *Let X be a smooth projective surface with the Picard number $\rho(X) = 2$. If the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ and $b(X) > 0$, then Conjecture 1.2 is true for X .*

2. STUDY FOR l_C

Definition 2.1. [5, Defintion 2.1.1] Let L be a line bundle on the irreducible projective variety X . The *semigroup* of L consists of those non-negative powers of L that have a non-zero section:

$$\mathbf{N}(L) = \mathbf{N}(X, L) = \{m \geq 0 \mid H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \neq 0\}.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{N}(L) = (0)$ if $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) = 0$ for all $m > 0$. Assuming $\mathbf{N}(L) \neq (0)$, all sufficiently large elements of $\mathbf{N}(X, L)$ are multiples of a largest single natural number $e = e(L) \geq 1$, which we may call the *exponent* of L and all sufficiently large multiples of $e(L)$ appear in $\mathbf{N}(X, L)$. The semigroup $\mathbf{N}(X, D)$ and exponent $e = e(D)$ of a Cartier divisor D are defined analogously, or equivalently by passing to $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let C be a curve on a smooth projective surface X . Suppose $C^2 = 0$. Then there exists a constant a_C such that $l_D \leq a_C$ for every curve $D \in |nC|$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}(X, C)$.*

Proof. Take a curve $D \in |nC|$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}(X, C)$. Then $n = e(L)n_D$ with $n_D \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\kappa(X, C) = 0$, then the set

$$\left\{ D \text{ is a curve} \mid D \in |nC|, n \in \mathbf{N}(X, C) \right\}$$

consists an element. Therefore, we may assume that $\kappa(X, C) = 1$. By [5, Corollary 2.1.38], there exists a constant a and sufficiently large integer $M = M(C)$ such that

$$an \leq h^0(X, nC)$$

for all sufficiently large $n \geq M$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}(X, C)$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= \frac{n(K_X \cdot C)}{h^0(X, nC)} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} \{l_{mC}\}, \frac{(K_X \cdot C)}{a} \right\} := a_C. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2. \square

To answer Question 1.7, we may show the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a smooth projective surface and some curves C_i on X . Suppose that $\overline{\mathbf{NE}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho(X)} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i]$. Then X has finitely many negative curves and there exists a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_D \leq m(X)$ for every curve D with $D^2 \neq 0$ on X .*

Proof. Let $D = \sum_{i=0}^{\rho(X)} a_i C_i$ be a curve with $D^2 \neq 0$ on X and each $a_i \geq 0$. Denote by $A := (C_i \cdot C_j)_{1 \leq j, j \leq r}$ the intersection matrix is invertible by the Hodge index theorem. Then there is some $C = \sum b_i C_i$ such that $C \cdot C_i = D \cdot C_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each i . Since $(b_1, \dots, b_r) \in A^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^r) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^r / \det(A)$. Then $\det(A)a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for each i . So we may assume that each

$a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. If $D^2 > 0$, then D is nef and big. Then $D \cdot C_i \geq 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $C(X) := \max\{|K_X \cdot C_i|\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= \frac{K_X \cdot D}{D^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i (K_X \cdot C_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i (D \cdot C_i)} \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left\{ \frac{|K_X \cdot C_i|}{D \cdot C_i} \right\} := m. \end{aligned}$$

If $D^2 < 0$, then $[D]$ is extremal in $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho(X)} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i]$. Let $J = \{j | C_j^2 < 0\}$. As a result, $[D] = [C_j]$ and then $l_D := l_{C_j}$ with $j \in J$. In particular, X has finitely many negative curves. In all, there exists a positive constant $m(X) = \max\{C(X), \max_{j \in J}\{l_{C_j}\}\}$ such that $l_D \leq m(X)$ for every curve D with $D^2 \neq 0$ on X . \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.9

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a ruled surface over a smooth curve B of genus g , with invariant e . Let $C \subset X$ be a section, and let f be a fibre. If $b(X) > 0$, then X satisfies Conjecture 1.2.*

Proof. We first show that $e > 0$ if and only if $b(X) > 0$. By [3, Proposition V.2.3 and 2.9],

$$\text{Pic } X \cong \mathbb{Z}C \oplus \pi^* \text{Pic } B, C \cdot f = 1, f^2 = 0, C^2 = -e, K_X \equiv -2C + (2g - 2 - e)f.$$

If $e > 0$, then $C^2 < 0$ (hence $b(X) > 0$). Conversely, we may assume that $b(X) > 0$. Then there exists a negative curve $C' = aC + bf$ on X . As a result, $C' = C$ by [3, Propositions 2.20 and 2.21]. Therefore, we have $e = -(C')^2 > 0$.

Now by [3, Proposition V.2.20(a)], $a > 0, b \geq ae$. As a result, every curve $D (\neq C, f)$ has $D^2 > 0, (C \cdot D) \geq 0$ and $(f \cdot D) > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= \frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{D^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2(C \cdot D) + |2g - 2 - e|(f \cdot D)}{a(C \cdot D) + b(f \cdot D)} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{a}, \frac{|2g - 2 - e|}{b} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, a and b are positive integers. So there exists a positive constant $m = \max\{2, |2g - 2 - e|, l_C, l_f\}$ such that $l_F \leq m$ for every curve F on X .

Note that $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - C)) \leq p_g(X)$ by Serre duality (cf. [3, Corollary III.7.7 and III.7.12]). As a result,

$$h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq q(X) - 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Here $p_g(X)$ and $q(X)$ are the geometric genus and the irregularity of X .

If $b \geq 2g - 2 - e$, then

$$(K_X - D)D = -(2 + a)(C \cdot D) + (2g - 2 - e - b)(f \cdot D) \leq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

By Riemann-Roch theorem and eqs. (3.1) and (3.2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) &= h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) + \frac{(K_X - D)D}{2} - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ &\leq q(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)). \end{aligned}$$

If $b < 2g - 2 - e$, then $a < (2g - 2 - e)e^{-1}$ by $b \geq ae$. As a result, $D^2 < 2(2g - 2 - e)^2e^{-1}$. Hence, by Proposition 1.4, X satisfies Conjecture 1.2. \square

It is well-known that the smooth projective surfaces satisfy the minimal model conjecture (cf. [3, 4]) as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective surface. If the canonical divisor K_X is pseudo-effective, then the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \geq 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let S be a relatively minimal model of X . A smooth projective surface S is relatively minimal if it has no (-1) -rational curves. By the Enriques-Kodaira classification of relatively minimal surfaces (cf. [3, 4]), it must be one of the following cases: a surface with nef canonical divisor, a ruled surface or \mathbb{P}^2 . Since $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, by Lemma 3.2, K_X is not nef. Therefore, S is either a ruled surface or \mathbb{P}^2 . As a result, $\rho(X) = 2$ implies that X is either a ruled surface or one point blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 . If X is ruled surface, then the proof follows from Lemma 3.1. Now suppose $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is one point blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 with a exceptional curve E and $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$, where $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Then $K_X = \pi^*(-3H) + E$ and $C = \pi^*(dH) - mE$, where $m := \text{mult}_p(\pi_*C)$ and C is a curve on X . Note that $d \geq m$ since π_*C is a plane projective curve. Thus, every curve C (not E) on X has $C^2 \geq 0$ and then C is nef. Since $-K_X$ is ample, $C - K_X$ is ample. Therefore, by Kodaira vanishing theorem, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 0$. Therefore, X satisfies Conjecture 1.2. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. Meng Chen for his constant encouragement and the anonymous referee for several suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bauer, C. Bocci, S. Cooper, S. D. Rocci, M. Dumnicki, B. Harbourne, K. Jabbusch, A. L. Knutsen, A. Küronya, R. Miranda, J. Roé, H. Schenck, T. Szemberg, and Z. Teithler, *Recent developments and open problems in linear series*, In: Contributions to Algebraic Geometry, p. 93-140, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012. [↑ 1](#)
- [2] T. Bauer, B. Harbourne, A. L. Knutsen, A. Küronya, S. Müller-Stach, X. Roulleau, and T. Szemberg, *Negative curves on algebraic surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **162**(10)(2013), 1877-1894. [↑ 1](#)
- [3] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. [↑ 4, 5](#)

- [4] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **134**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. ↑ [5](#)
- [5] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry, I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. **48**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. ↑ [3](#)
- [6] S. Li, *A note on a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2*, Math. Nachr. **292** (2019), no. 12, 2637-2642. ↑ [1](#)
- [7] S. Li, *Bounding cohomology on a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2*, to appear in Commun. Algebra, DOI:[10.1080/00927872.2021.1888962](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2021.1888962), arXiv:[2007.12855](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.12855). ↑ [1](#), [2](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: sichenli@fudan.edu.cn