

# Super-Penrose process for nonextremal black holes

O. B. Zaslavskii

*Department of Physics and Technology,*

*Kharkov V.N. Karazin National University,*

*4 Svoboda Square, Kharkov 61022, Ukraine and*

*Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Kazan Federal University,*

*18 Kremlyovskaya St., Kazan 420008, Russia\**

We consider particle collisions in the background of nonextremal spherically symmetric static black holes. It is shown that debris of collision can have indefinitely large energy at infinity, i.e. the super-Penrose process (SPP) can occur. This property is sharply contrasted with that of rotating black holes for which it is already established that the SPP is forbidden. The Reissner-Nordström black hole serves as an example. If an external central force exerts on particles, even the Schwarzschild background is suitable for the SPP.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Bw, 97.60.Lf

## I. INTRODUCTION

The interest to high energy processes near black holes increased significantly after the work [1]. It was shown there that if two particles move towards the Kerr extremal black hole and collide in its vicinity, the energy  $E_{c.m.}$  in their center of mass frame can become unbounded, provided one of two particle (called critical) has fine-tuned parameters. This is called the Bañados-Silk-West (BSW) effect. The close analogy of this effect exists also for extremal charged static black holes [2]. However, as far as the Killing energy  $E$  of debris detected at infinity is concerned, the situation differs radically for two aforementioned cases. For rotating black holes, the energy  $E$  of an escaping particle at infinity is bounded [3], [4], [5]. Meanwhile, there is no such a bound for the extremal RN black hole. This was obtained

---

\*Electronic address: zaslav@ukr.net

in [6] and later confirmed in [7]. The process with unbounded  $E$  at infinity is called the super-Penrose process (SPP).

As far as nonextremal black holes is concerned, two problems existed here. First, it was wide-spread belief that extremality is a necessary condition for the BSW effect, so deviation from extremality weakens the effect [8], [9]. However, it was shown in [10] that if instead of one particle being exactly critical, near-critical particle is used, and deviation from the critical state is adjusted to the proximity of the point of collision to the horizon in a special way, the effect survives. Moreover, one can add a force acting on particles and this is consistent with the BSW effect [11]. Second, it was unclear how to realize the BSW effect physically. The most relevant situation corresponds to particles falling from infinity. However, for rotating black holes, the centrifugal barrier prevents the critical particle from reaching the nonextremal horizon [10] (see also case 2i in [12], Sec.2 of [13] and [14]). This can be repaired, provided additional constraints are imposed on the scenario, because of which the turning point is situated closely to the horizon [15].

However, there is an interesting question that, to the best of our knowledge, was not posed up to now: whether or not the SPP is possible for nonextremal black holes. It is considered in the present work. We show that this is indeed possible. In this sense, there is a sharp contrast between extremal and nonextremal black holes. One can think that this observation may be useful for astrophysically relevant black holes since they are nonextremal. It possesses some universal features in what any particles moving in the background of a nonextremal black hole (even in the Schwarzschild metric) and experiencing the action of some force exhibit this effect. In what follows, we use the geometric system of units in which fundamental constants  $G = c = 1$ .

## II. BASIC FORMULAS

Let us consider the black hole metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + N^{-2} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

where the horizon is located at  $r = r_+$ , so  $N(r_+) = 0$ . We assume that a particle having mass  $m$  moves within this background with the force  $F = ma$  exerted on it that causes the acceleration  $a^\mu$ ,  $a_\mu a^\mu \equiv a^2$ , by definition  $a \geq 0$ . We also assume that  $a = a(r)$  depends on  $r$

only.

Then, for four-velocity  $u^\mu$  we have

$$mu^t = \frac{X}{N^2}, \quad (2)$$

$$mu^r = \sigma P, \quad P = \sqrt{X^2 - m^2 N^2}, \quad (3)$$

$\sigma = \pm 1$ .

$$X = E - b(r). \quad (4)$$

Here,  $E$  is a constant of integration,

$$b = \alpha \int_r^\infty dr' F(r'), \quad (5)$$

$\alpha = \pm 1$ . The above formulas generalize those for the Reissner-Nordström (RN) metric. Their derivation is quite direct and can be found in [16], [17], [18], [19].

We assume that the force and acceleration caused by it decrease rapidly enough at infinity. Then,  $E$  has the usual meaning of the energy at infinity. The forward-in-time condition  $u^t > 0$  requires

$$X \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

Let particles 1 and 2 move from infinity and collide in some point  $r_c$ . The energy in the center of mass frame

$$E_{c.m.}^2 = -(m_1 u_1^\mu + m_2 u_2^\mu)(m_1 u_{1\mu} + m_2 u_{2\mu}) = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2m_1 m_2 \gamma, \quad (7)$$

where  $\gamma = -u_{1\mu} u_2^\mu$  is the Lorentz factor of relative motion. It follows from the above equations that

$$\gamma = \frac{X_1 X_2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 P_1 P_2}{m_1 m_2 f}. \quad (8)$$

One reservation is in order. We assume that the background is described by the spherically symmetric metric (1). In doing so, backreaction of a particle and external sources on the metric is neglected. For the case of the Schwarzschild metric this implies that  $m \ll M$ , where  $M$  is a black hole mass.

### III. SCENARIOS OF COLLISION

We consider the case  $\alpha = +1$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = -1$ . In particular, for the RN black hole  $a = \frac{|qQ|}{mr^2}$ . However, we may leave a general  $a(r)$  not specifying it. In particular, one can consider motion of particles in the Schwarzschild background under the action of some force [18], [20]. This can also lead to the BSW effect [19]. Now we will see that this also admits the SPP.

Let us consider reaction  $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 + 4$ . For simplicity, we choose the case of pure radial motion of all particles. Then, it follows from the conservation laws of energy and radial momentum that (see Sec. IV of [21])

$$X_3 = \frac{1}{2m_0^2}(X_0\Delta_+ - P_0\sqrt{D})_c, \quad (9)$$

$$X_4 = \frac{1}{2m_0^2}(X_0\Delta_- + P_0\sqrt{D})_c, \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta_{\pm} = m_0^2 \pm (m_3^2 - m_4^2), \quad (11)$$

$$P_i = \sigma_i \sqrt{X_i^2 - m_i^2 N^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$X_i = E_i - b_i(r), \quad (13)$$

$$D = \Delta_+^2 - 4m_0^2 m_3^2. \quad (14)$$

Here, the integer  $i$  runs from 0 to 4,  $\sigma_i = \pm 1$ , subscription "c" refers to the point of collision,  $X_0 = X_1 + X_2$ ,  $m_0 = E_{c.m.}$

The outcome of collision depends strongly on the relation between particles' parameters, say the energy and charge in the RN case. Then, following a standard terminology, we can classify all particles depending on  $X(r_+)$ . If  $X(r_+) > 0$  is separated from zero, a particle is called usual. If  $X(r_+) = 0$ , a particle is called critical. If  $X(r_+) = O(N_c)$ , where  $N_c \ll 1$ , a particle is called near-critical.

We assume that particle 1 is near-critical and particle 2 is usual. More precisely, we specify deviation from the criticality in the form

$$b_1(r_+) = E_1(1 + \delta_1) \quad (15)$$

where

$$\delta_1 = C_1 N_c + O(N_c^2) \quad (16)$$

with  $C_1 < 0$  and  $N_c \ll 1$ . We also assume the validity of the Taylor expansion in the form

$$b_1(r_c) \approx b_1(r_+) + A(r_c - r_+), \quad (17)$$

where  $A$  is some constant. The nonextremal nature of a black hole comes into play just here: for nonextremal black holes  $N_c^2 \sim r_c - r_+$ . As a result, the contribution of corrections from  $b_1$  into (17) and hence in  $X_1$  has the order  $N^2$  and is negligible, so in the vicinity of the horizon

$$X_1 = E_1 |C_1| N_c + O(N^2). \quad (18)$$

Also, for near-horizon collisions,  $X_0 \approx X_2$ ,  $P_0 \approx X_0 - \frac{m_0^2 N^2}{2X_0}$  and all nonzero quantities can be taken on the horizon instead of  $r_c$ . It is seen from (8) that

$$m_0^2 \approx \frac{2B}{N_c} \approx \Delta_+, \quad (19)$$

$$B = (X_2)_+ (E_1 C - \sqrt{E_1^2 C_1^2 - m_1^2}). \quad (20)$$

Collecting all terms carefully, one obtains after simple algebra that

$$X_3 \approx \frac{N_c}{2} \left( z + \frac{m_3^2}{z} \right), \quad (21)$$

where

$$z = E_1 C_1 - \sqrt{E_1^2 C_1^2 - m_1^2}. \quad (22)$$

Thus particle 3 turns out to be near-critical. From the other hand, for a particle of such a type the approximate expression similar to (18) should be valid, so

$$X_3 \approx |C_3| E_3 N_c, \quad (23)$$

where  $\delta_3 = C_3 N$  and  $C_3 < 0$  controls relationship between parameters of particle 3.

Say, for the RN metric,  $b = q\varphi$ , where  $\varphi = \frac{Q}{r}$  is the electric potential of a black hole,  $Q$  being its charge. Then, for the exactly critical particle  $q = \frac{Er_+}{Q}$ , for the nonextremal black hole  $r_+ > Q$ . For near-critical particle 3, we can choose

$$q_3 = E_3 \frac{r_+}{Q} (1 + \delta_3). \quad (24)$$

By substitution in (4) and discarding the terms  $O(N^2)$ , we see that (23) does hold true.

Comparing (21) and (23), we find that

$$E_3 \approx \frac{1}{2|C_3|} \left( z + \frac{m_3^2}{z} \right). \quad (25)$$

If we choose  $C_3 \rightarrow 0$ , then formally  $E_3 \rightarrow \infty$  becomes unbounded, as it should be for the SPP.

This is not the end of story. We must also check that the scenario under consideration is able to describe the process when particle 3 escapes to infinity instead of fall in a black hole. This requires that  $P_3 = +|P_3| > 0$  for particle 3. The list of possible scenarios is given in eqs. 29, 30 of [21]. It is shown there that the aforementioned condition requires

$$\Delta_+ N_c - 2m_3 X_0 > 0. \quad (26)$$

In the main approximation using (19), (20) we have

$$m_3 < z < m_1. \quad (27)$$

Thus, although there is no upper bound on  $E_3$ , there is such a bound on  $m_3$ . In doing so, one obtains from (12) that

$$P_3 \approx \frac{N}{2} \left( z - \frac{m_3^2}{z} \right). \quad (28)$$

#### IV. CHOICE OF INITIAL STATE

The formulas written above are valid for the process as such, independently of the origination of the initial state. This implies that combination of one near-critical and one usual particles were created somehow in the vicinity of the horizon. Meanwhile, the most physically interesting situation arises when particles 1 and 2 come from infinity. However, in this respect severe restrictions are relevant since in general the critical or near-critical particle cannot overcome the potential barrier in the nonextremal black hole background [10], [12], [13], [14]. Happily, there are two particular cases when this becomes possible. (i) The nonextremal black hole is close to extremality, its surface gravity  $\kappa$  is small. (ii) Both particles 1 and 2 have big energy from the very beginning. This does not depreciate such a scenario since the outcome is more "profitable" than income in any case. Cases (i) and (ii) are discussed in detail in [15], so we do not repeat them here. What is important for us is that at least with some additional requirements scenarios of high energy collisions of particle 1 and 2 for nonextremal black holes work, so the present scenario describing behavior of particles 3 and 4 also works.

## V. EXTREMAL BLACK HOLE VERSUS NONEXTREMAL ONE

It is instructive to compare the results with those for the extremal RN black hole. Then,

$$X_3 = -E\delta + EN + O(N^2), \quad (29)$$

$$X_3(N_c) \approx (1 - C_3)E_3N_c \quad (30)$$

- see eq. (19) in [6]. Therefore, the limit  $C_3 \rightarrow 0$  is of no use in that case and more refined analysis was required there, with account of all possible  $C_3$  (positive, negative and zero). It was shown that there are different scenarios, and only the ones with  $C_3 \geq 0$  give rise to the SPP process [6]. In doing so, there are two options. If immediately after collision particle 3 moves to infinity, there is no upper bound on  $E_3$  but there exists such a bound on  $m_3$ . If it moves towards a black hole, bounces from the barrier and escapes to infinity, there is an upper bound neither for  $E_3$ , nor for  $m_3$ . For the nonextremal case, the relevant scenario, as we saw, is more simple, eq. (18), (23) are valid instead of (29), (30). There is no turning point, particle 3 relevant for the SPP, moves to infinity at once after collision.

## VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We showed that a nonextremal static black hole is pertinent to the SPP. In doing so, there is a restriction on a mass escaping to infinity but there is no upper bound on its energy. (This holds true as long as backreaction is negligible, so test particle approximation is valid.) Thus the SPP exists both for the extremal and nonextremal RN black hole. Moreover, all this consideration applies even to the Schwarzschild black hole, provided some force is exerted on the critical particle. This includes both any external force or electric attractive force in the RN metric, if this force is small enough. The latter means that the force does not change background significantly (that remains approximately Schwarzschildian) but affects particle's motion. It is worth noting that the latter situation occurs also in a quite different context when the Schwarzschild black hole is immersed in a weak magnetic field that can lead to high energy collisions [22].

In the present context, we would like to make a technical but important remark. As we saw above (see discussion around eq. (18)), the difference between nonextremal and extremal black holes manifest itself in the different role of corrections that come from the

force for the escaping particle. In the extremal case, they have the same order as the term due to the deviation of parameters from the critical state. In the nonextremal one, they are negligible and this simplifies consideration greatly.

The key difference between rotating and static black holes and in the context under discussion lies in the role of the centrifugal barrier. One of two new particles after collision should be near-critical. But this barrier the critical particle with very high energy to reach infinity after collision in the first case that destroys the SPP both in the extremal and nonextremal cases (see Sec. VII in [21]). However, for static black holes (say, the RN one) there is no such a barrier at all. The results of the present work extends essentially the area of validity of high energy processes since astrophysically relevant black holes are nonextremal. Although the electric charge is very small for such black holes, one can hope that the problem considered above for static black holes can model more complicated configurations where rotation of black holes is negligible. It is of interest to understand, how the effects of such a kind can reveal themselves in the accretion discs around black holes. This needs separate treatment.

### Acknowledgments

This work is performed according to the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University.

- 
- [1] M. Bañados, J. Silk and S.M. West, Kerr black holes as particle accelerators to arbitrarily high energy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 111102 [arXiv:0909.0169].
  - [2] O. B. Zaslavskii, Acceleration of particles by nonrotating charged black holes. *Pis'ma ZhETF* **92**, 635 (2010) (*JETP Letters* **92**, 571 (2010)), [arXiv:1007.4598].
  - [3] M. Bejger, T. Piran, M. Abramowicz, and F. Håkanson, Collisional Penrose process near the horizon of extreme Kerr black holes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 121101 [arXiv:1205.4350].
  - [4] T. Harada, H. Nemoto and U. Miyamoto, Upper limits of particle emission from high-energy collision and reaction near a maximally rotating Kerr black hole, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 024027 [Erratum *ibid.* *D* **86** (2012) 069902] [arXiv:1205.7088].



- [5] O. B. Zaslavskii, On energetics of particle collisions near black holes: BSW effect versus Penrose process, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 084030 [arXiv:1205.4410].
- [6] O. B. Zaslavskii, Energy extraction from extremal charged black holes due to the BSW effect. *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 124039 (2012) [arXiv:1207.5209].
- [7] H. Nemoto, U. Miyamoto, T. Harada, and T. Kokubu, Escape of superheavy and highly energetic particles produced by particle collisions near maximally charged black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 127502 (2013) [arXiv:1212.6701].
- [8] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, L. Gualtieri, F. Pretorius, U. Sperhake, Comment on "Kerr black holes as particle accelerators to arbitrarily high energy", *Phys. Rev.Lett.* **103**, 239001 (2009), [arXiv:0911.2243].
- [9] T. Jacobson, T.P. Sotiriou, Spinning black holes as particle accelerators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 021101 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3363].
- [10] A. A. Grib and Yu. V. Pavlov, On particles collisions in the vicinity of rotating black holes, *Pis'ma v ZhETF* **92**, 147 (2010) [*JETP Letters* **92**, 125 (2010)].
- [11] I. V. Tanatarov, O. B. Zaslavskii, Bañados-Silk-West effect with nongeodesic particles: Nonextremal horizons, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 067502 (2014), [arXiv:1407.7463].
- [12] O. B. Zaslavskii, Acceleration of particles as universal property of rotating black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 083004 [arXiv:1007.3678]
- [13] S. Gao and C. Zhong. Non-extremal Kerr black holes as particle accelerators, *Phys.Rev. D* **84**, 044006 (2011) [arXiv:1106.2852].
- [14] S. Krasnikov and M. V. Skvortsova, Is the Kerr black hole a super accelerator?, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 044019 (2018) [arXiv:1711.11099].
- [15] O. B. Zaslavskii, Can a nonextremal black hole be a particle accelerator? *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 104004 (2020) [ arXiv:2007.09413].
- [16] G. F. Lewis and J. Kwan, arXiv:0705.1029, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust.* **24**, 46, 2007 [arXiv:0705.1029].
- [17] P. Gusin, A. Augousti, F. Formalik, and A. Radosz, The (A)symmetry between the Exterior and Interior of a Schwarzschild Black Hole, *Symmetry* **10**, 366 (2018).
- [18] K. Paithankar and S. Kolekar, Bound on Rindler trajectories in a black hole spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 064012 (2019), [arXiv:1901.04674].
- [19] O. B. Zaslavskii, Schwarzschild black hole as accelerator of accelerated particles, *JETP Letters*

- 111**, 260 (2020), [arXiv:1910.04068].
- [20] K. Paithankar and S. Kolekar, Rindler horizons in the Schwarzschild spacetime, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 084029 (2019), [arXiv:arXiv:1906.05134].
- [21] O. B. Zaslavskii, Center of mass energy of colliding electrically neutral particles and super-Penrose process Phys. Rev. D **100**, 024050 (2019), [arXiv:1904.04874].
- [22] V. P. Frolov, Weakly magnetized black holes as particle accelerators, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 024020 (2012) [arXiv:1110.6274].