Nanoscale continuous quantum light sources based on driven dipole emitter arrays
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Regular arrays of two-level emitters at distances smaller than the transition wavelength collectively scatter, absorb and emit photons. The strong inter-particle dipole coupling creates large energy shifts of the collective delocalized excitations, which generates a highly nonlinear response at the single and few photon level. This should allow to implement nanoscale non-classical light sources via weak coherent illumination. At the generic tailored examples of regular chains or polygons we show that the fields emitted perpendicular to the illumination direction exhibit a strong directional confinement with genuine quantum properties as antibunching. For short interparticle distances superradiant directional emission can enhance the radiated intensity by an order of magnitude compared to a single atom focused to a strongly confined solid angle but still keeping the anti-bunching parameter at the level of $g^{(2)}(0) \approx 10^{-2}$.

INTRODUCTION

A coherently driven single two-level quantum emitter is well known to radiate non-classical light, which shows perfect anti-bunching[1,2] as well as squeezing[3,4]. It is, however, very difficult to efficiently harness these properties directly. Usually it requires complex optical elements such as high-Q cavities, high aperture lenses[5,6] or tailored optical structures[7]. Using larger ensembles of identical emitters increases the radiative output power but the nonclassical properties typically average out to create narrow band radiation but with close to thermal statistics[8,9]. For small interparticle dipole interactions in a dilute gas, the dynamics of the whole ensemble in the low excitation regime then can be mapped to an effective harmonic oscillator using the Holstein Primakoff transformation[10,11].

However, recent experimental advances allow implementing and controlling precise arrays of individual quantum emitters at very close distances on the wavelength scale[12,13]. Here, collective radiation effects as sub- and super-radiance play a central role and exhibit a wealth of new physical phenomena[14,15]. These unusual radiative properties of sub-wavelength structures of dipole coupled quantum emitters have recently been studied theoretically in great detail in various context[16,17]. This lead to several suggestions for novel platforms for light matter coupling surpassing current limitations of quantum information protocols[18,19,20], precision spectroscopy[21,22] or opto-mechanics[23,24]. Some first experimental confirmations of such collective effects were also experimentally observed, where superradiance proved more accesible than subradiance[25].

Recently we have predicted that a ringlike sub-wavelength structure with a single atom pumped at its center providing for gain can be tuned to emit spatially and temporarily coherent light[26]. Using other operating parameters the system is also predicted to generate non-classical light with strong photon anti-bunching. In closely related foundational work it was pointed out that scattering a simple plane wave off a regular dipole array with strong dipole-dipole interaction is already sufficient to tailor the quantum statistical properties of the scattered photons[27,28]. The emerging non-classical radiative properties here can strongly vary depending on whether the excitation frequency is tuned to superradiant or subradiant collective excitations.

Here we extend such studies to explore the potential of ordered structures of dipolar quantum emitters as the basis to implement minimal non-classical light sources. In particular we study how size, geometric shape of the structure and the orientation and polarization of the individual dipoles can be used to tailor the spatial and temporal distribution of the scattered radiation as well as its genuine quantum properties. As has been seen even for a single atom[29,30,31], quantum properties of the scattered radiation depend on the emission direction. In tailored larger ensembles this can be tailored to direct a large fraction of the emission towards a small angle, still keeping its special quantum statistical properties. This could e.g. point towards a high flux directional single photon source of subwavelength dimensions.

Note that the commonly used classical coupled dipole model[32] is not sufficient to account for and describe these special radiation properties, as the origin is tied to the restriction of excitations to low energy manifolds due to the strongly varying collective exciton shifts. This supression of multiple exciation could also be at the heart of recent obervations of antibunching in biological light harvesting structures[33].

For the sake of simplicity we will mainly focus on the two cases of an ordered linear string or a regular polygon of dipoles.

This work is organized as follows. After an introduction and short review of our quantum coupled
FIG. 1. (a) Scheme of a regular linear chain of two-level emitters of transition frequency $\omega_0$ and spontaneous emission rate $\Gamma_0$ trapped in a lattice along the y axis with the transition dipole moment pointing along the chain direction. A coherent drive with linear polarization along the chain impinging from the z direction is applied to all emitters and the scattered light is detected perpendicular to the chain in the far field x direction. (b) The normalized field intensity distribution in steady state for a chain of 20 quantum emitters with $d = \lambda_0/10$ spacing, each being linear polarized in the chain direction. The laser is tuned to the most superradiant single excitation state and the cut in z direction is taken at $z = 20d$. (c) The emitters are linear polarized perpendicular to the chain in z direction with a coherent drive along the y direction.

dipole model we study the spatial distribution of the emitted radiation as a function of geometry and size of the linear chain of emitters and relate it to the directional $g^{(2)}(0)$-function. In section IV we then concentrate on the non-classical aspects of the photon statistics which is closely tied to the strong nonlinear suppression of the ensemble excitations to the second and third excitation manifolds. In the supplement we discuss 2D geometries, which can confine the emission in two dimensions towards implementing higher collection efficiencies.

MODEL

We consider $N$ identical two-level atoms with excited state $|e\rangle$ and ground state $|g\rangle$ each, separated in frequency by $\omega_0$ with an inter-atomic distance $d \lesssim \lambda_0 = 2\pi c/\omega_0$. The emitters are coherently pumped by a laser at rate $\Omega_p$, polarization $\epsilon_p$ and detuning $\Delta_p$ with respect to $\omega_0$. The corresponding raising (lowering) operators of the $i$th atom are $\sigma^+_i$ ($\sigma^-_i$) for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, its dipole orientation is denoted by $\hat{\mu}_i$ and the positions are fixed at $\mathbf{r}_i$. The excited state is subject to spontaneous emission with rate $\Gamma_0$.

At the considered distances, the fields emitted by each of the atoms interfere resulting in effective dipole-dipole interactions [61]. Using standard quantum optical techniques [62] we obtain a master equation for the internal dynamics of the emitters, $\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\mathcal{H}, \rho] + \mathcal{L}_\Gamma [\rho]$, where the photonic part has been eliminated.

The corresponding Hamiltonian in a frame rotating at the atomic transition frequency $\omega_0$ is

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_j \Delta_p \sigma^+_j \sigma^-_j + \sum_{i,j: i \neq j} \Omega_{ij} \sigma^+_i \sigma^-_j + \Omega_p \epsilon_p \cdot \sum_j \hat{\mu}_j \left( e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j} \sigma^+_j + e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j} \sigma^-_j \right),
\]

where we have assumed that the incident field is a plane wave with wave-vector $\mathbf{k}$ ($|\mathbf{k}| \approx 2\pi/\lambda_0$), while the Lindblad operator accounting for collective spontaneous emission reads

\[
\mathcal{L}_\Gamma [\rho] = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\Gamma_{ij}}{2} \left( 2 \sigma^-_i \rho \sigma^+_j - \sigma^+_i \sigma^-_j \rho - \rho \sigma^+_i \sigma^-_j \right).
\]

The collective coupling rates $\Omega_{ij}$ and $\Gamma_{ij}$ are given as the real and imaginary part of the overlap of the transition dipole moment of the $i$th atom with the electric field emitted by the $j$th atom (see Appendix).

After solving for the atomic density matrix the electric field generated at position $\mathbf{r}$ can be found from a generalized input-output relation which in the absence of external fields is given by [36, 63]:

\[
\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0 \omega_0^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j, \omega_0) \cdot \hat{\mu}_j \sigma^-_j.
\]
The field intensity can then be evaluated from the emitted electric field operator $\hat{E}^+(\mathbf{r})$ at position $\mathbf{r}$:

$$I(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \hat{E}^+(\mathbf{r})\hat{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

For typical operating conditions, we show the emitted light intensity of the atomic array steady state in Fig. 1.

Similarly, we can obtain from Eq. (11), normalized (zero-time delay) second-order correlation functions, defined as:

$$g^{(2)}(0) = \frac{\langle \hat{E}^+(\mathbf{r})\hat{E}^+(\mathbf{r})\hat{E}^-(\mathbf{r})\hat{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}{|\langle \hat{E}^+(\mathbf{r})\hat{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \rangle|^2}. \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

We will evaluate $g^{(2)}(0)$ in the far field ($|\mathbf{r}| = r \gg \lambda_0$) and as a function of the emission azimuthal angle $\theta$, defined in the plane containing the array and perpendicular to the incident field (see Fig. 1b.).

The steady state excited state population $\langle \hat{n}_{ex} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- \rangle$ is closely related to the photon emission rate $\Gamma_{out} = \sum_{ij} N \Gamma_{ji} \langle \sigma_j^+ \sigma_i^- \rangle$ which for $N$ initially fully inverted two-level emitters is simply given by $NT_{ii} = N\Gamma_0$. On the other hand a single dipole in free space coherently driven by a laser at rate $\Omega$ and detuning $\Delta_\rho$ with respect to the atomic transition frequency has an effective decay rate of $\Gamma_0\Omega^2/(4\Delta_\rho^2 + \Gamma_0^2 + 2\Omega^2)$ which can be derived by solving the atomic master equation in the steady state. The effective rate saturates at $\Gamma_0/2$ which constitutes the maximum steady state emission rate of a single two level emitter. The total photon emission rate of closely spaced quantum emitters can be many times that value as is demonstrated in the following sections.

**SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF RESONANTLY SCATTERED LIGHT**

Similar to [64-67], the directional intensity is calculated by integrating over an angular width $\Delta \theta = 0.01\pi$ covered by a detector in the far field,

$$J(\theta) = \frac{\Delta \Omega}{\Delta \theta} \int_{\theta-\Delta \theta}^{\theta+\Delta \theta} \langle \hat{E}^+(\mathbf{r})\hat{E}^-(\mathbf{\hat{r}}) \rangle d\theta.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Here $\Delta \Omega$ is the solid angle of a square detector varying over the emission angle $\theta$.

In Fig. 2 the directional emission pattern for a linear chain is normalized by the maximal emission $J_{\text{max}}$ over the emission angle $\theta$. As can be clearly seen in Fig. 3 the emission becomes highly directional for growing chain lengths [68]. The normalized $g^{(2)}(0)$ on the other hand shows strong anti-bunching at smaller spacings between the emitters as opposed to a overall small chain length. Directional photon statistics of this kind have been recently observed for two emitters by varying the detector position [69]. As described in [36, 70] the $N$ single excitation eigenstates of a linear chain are spin waves with a well defined wave-vector and corresponding eigenfrequencies. For finite chains the spin wave solution is only approximately valid but still provides a qualitatively insightful picture in order to better understand the energy spectrum. In all examples the laser is on resonance with the most superradiant
mode of these spin waves in order to maximize the photon emission rate. To separate the scattered quantum light from the driving laser light, the laser illuminates the ensemble from the z direction and is subsequently scattered at maximal intensity orthogonal to the chain in x direction. Another scheme is shown in (see Appendix) where a ring of linear polarized emitters with a small circular polarization component acts as a sort of filter for incoming circular polarized laser light by scattering light of linear polarization.

A decrease of the emission angle with increasing emitter number has been discussed in [36] for subradiant states in a linear chain. Similarly we show in Fig. 3 how increasing the total length Nd by either increasing the spacing d in Fig. 3(a,b) or the emitter number N in Fig. 3(c,d), the light emission becomes more focused by targeting the superradiant mode of the chain [71-75]. To increase the total photon emission rate it is reasonable to increase the number of emitters, but as seen in Fig. 4 the increased chain lengths leads to a higher $g^{(2)}(0)$ in the steady state. By keeping the total chain length at less than $\lambda_0/2$ an excellent single photon source can be obtained with an emission rate around six times that of a single dipole and a purity in the steady state density matrix approaching unity. The purity indeed shows that we can target the superradiant single excitation eigenstate efficiently and continuously.

**PHOTON STATISTICS**

In the following, we will discuss photon statistics in terms of the occupations in the various excitation manifolds since we have eliminated the photonic modes during the derivation of the atomic master equation, however, the output intensity is proportional to the atomic raising/lowering operators weighted by the Green’s tensor. In Fig. 5 it can be seen that single photon states of a high quality can be obtained at $d \leq \lambda_0/10$ for a laser tuned both to the super- and subradiant eigenstates. For the subradiant case the total emission rate for a linear chain of 9 emitters at a spacing of 0.05$\lambda_0$ shows that a steady state occupation of 50% in the single excitation manifold is reached. The total emission rate indicates a lifetime 500 times longer than that of a single excited atom. The steady state purity in Fig. 4 indicates that only individual eigenstates with super- or subradiant emission are prepared. Pulsed on-demand production of photon number states is proposed in [76] with a single multi-level atom in a cavity.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have shown that the collective excitations in regular sub-wavelength structures of dipolar quantum
emitters can behave like a designer two-state atom with a strongly enhanced effective dipole moment and a tailorable spatial radiation pattern. When illuminated with weak coherent light their strong nonlinear response at the single or few photon level leads to directional emission of strongly anti-bunched light without the help of any additional optical elements. While we restricted ourselves here to the most simple generic cases of regular chains and polygons, more general structures can be envisaged for specific applications and in particular as the basis of minimalist nanoscale single photon sources. We highlight these surprising features for the generic examples of a regular polygon with titled polarization and a half circle array in the supplement.

Even at fairly high excitation powers, in strongly interacting configurations the second excitation manifold is only very weakly excited, which might be a hint on the origin of anti-bunching found in bio-molecular dipole configurations. Interestingly, as shown for a ring with slightly tilted dipoles, besides the spatial dipole arrangement, polarization can be an efficient tool to separate excitation light and emitted photons in addition to directional spatial filtering. Note that while atoms in tweezers might be the most straightforward test bed for these ideas, alternatives used synthetic molecules or quantum dot nano-structures should largely exhibit similar physics as long as the couplings are comparable with environmental decoherence effects.

FIG. 5. Excitation energy distribution for a coherently driven chain of 9 emitters in steady state for pump amplitude $\Omega_p = \Gamma_0$ in the geometry as above. The $x$ axis denotes the excitation manifolds. For (a) and (b) in the first row the laser is tuned to resonance with the maximally superradiant single excitation eigenstate while for (c) and (d) in the second row we target the most subradiant single excitation state. Note very strong (nonlinear) suppression of higher excitation numbers for short inter-particle distances.
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The electric field generated by an ensemble of atoms is mediated by the electromagnetic Green’s tensor, moreover in the weak excitation limit the Hamiltonian can be rewritten in a form which allows for analytic solutions of its eigenvalue equation. These steps are described below for the special case of an infinite chain. For a finite chain the procedure is only valid if dissipative interaction rates between emitter modes that do not decay into free space. The Green’s tensor in free space acting on an oscillating unite dipole is given by

\[
\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \omega_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\mu}} = \frac{e^{ik_0 r}}{4\pi r}
\left[
\left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{\mu}} \right) \times \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \left(\frac{1}{k_0^2 r^2} - \frac{i}{k_0 r}\right)(3\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}) - \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}\right].
\]

Here, \( \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r} / |\mathbf{r}| \) is the unit vector in the direction where the Green’s tensor is evaluated, \( k_0 = \omega_0 / c \) is the wavenumber of the emitted light by the dipole and \( \hat{\mathbf{\mu}} = \mathbf{\mu} / |\mathbf{\mu}| \) is the unit dipole orientation. The coherent and dissipative interaction rates between emitter \( i \) and \( j \) read

\[
\Omega_{ij} = -\frac{3\pi\Gamma_0}{k_0} \text{Re}\{\hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_i^* \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j, \omega_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_j\},
\]

\[
\Gamma_{ij} = -\frac{3\pi\Gamma_0}{k_0} \text{Im}\{\hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_i^* \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j, \omega_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_j\}
\]

where \( \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_i \) is the unit dipole moment associated with the transition of atom \( i \). The single atom spontaneous emission rate is given by \( \Gamma_0 = \omega_0^2 |\mathbf{\mu}|^2 / 3\pi\epsilon_0\mu_0 c^3 \).

As described in the main text after solving for the atomic density matrix the electric field generated at position \( \mathbf{r} \) can be obtained from a generalized input-output relation \[80\] \[82\], which in the absence of external fields is given by

\[
\hat{\mathbf{E}}^+ = \mu_0 \omega_0^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j, \omega_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_j \sigma_j^-.
\]

To obtain this equation the Markovian approximation has been made, therefore retardation effects arising from the physical separation between the quantum emitters can be ignored. As long as the ensemble stays within the length scales of \( \approx 1 \) meter this assumption is well founded.\[80\] \[82\]

We will consider the weak excitation limit in which only the single-excitation manifold is significantly occupied and neglecting external driving fields for the moment. In this case the recycling term which is the first term in the Lindblad expression can be neglected. This term accounts for the change in the ground state population. Then, the system can be fully understood from the properties of the eigenstates of an non-Hermitian Hamiltonian that reads (setting \( \hbar = 1 \))

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\mu_0 \omega_0^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_i^* \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j, \omega_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_j \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- + \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( \Omega_{ij} - i \frac{\Gamma_{ij}}{2} \right) \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^-,
\]

where \( \Omega_{ii} = 0 \), as it only leads to a finite global energy shift in the Hamiltonian for identical emitters. This model will now be used to to investigate the properties of a linear chain of atoms in free space without external driving.

For an infinite chain that extents along the \( y \) direction the eigenstates of \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} \) are spin waves with a well defined wave vector \( k_y \in [-\pi/d, \pi/d] \). The collective spin operators \( \hat{S}_j^k \) satisfy the eigenvalue equation \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} \hat{S}_j^k |\psi\rangle \otimes N = \omega_{k_y} \hat{S}_j^k |\psi\rangle \otimes N \), where \( y_j \) is the position along the chain of emitter \( j \) and \( |\psi\rangle \otimes N \) the total ground state of the system. The complex eigenvalues read

\[
\omega_{k_y} = \omega_0 - \frac{3\pi\Gamma_0}{k_0} \hat{\mathbf{\mu}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{G}}(k_y) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\mu}},
\]

assuming all quantum emitters have the same unit dipole moment \( \hat{\mathbf{\mu}} \). The imaginary part of \( \omega_{k_y} \) corresponds to the decay rate of the spin wave, and its real part accounts for the frequency shift with respect to the bar atomic frequency \( \omega_0 \). In the above equation \( \hat{\mathbf{G}}(k_y) = \sum_j e^{-ik_y y_j} \mathbf{G}(y_j, \omega_0) \) is the discrete Fourier transformation of the vacuum Green’s tensor.

Fig. 1(a) shows the real and imaginary part of \( \omega_{k_y} \) as well as the light line beyond which (\( k_y > k_0 = \omega_0 / c \)) the spin line mode becomes extremely subradiant.
as opposed to modes inside the light line \((k_y < k_0)\) which acquire a finite life time and some even become superradiant. In fact for the special case of infinitesimal emitter distances there will be \(N - 1\) subradiant states and a single superradiant state. It is the superradiant mode closest to the lower energy band of Fig. 1(a) which we address with a coherent driving laser such that the mode to show strong anti-bunching in the scattered light the chain length should not exceed the wavelength \(\lambda_0\) of the emitted light of a single atom.

**Appendix 2: Nano-Ring as polarization filter**

As another example of ordered arrays the ring provides closed boundary conditions, namely rotation symmetry thereby allowing for an explicit calculation of eigenmodes.\[^8^3\] As in the case of the chain the Hamiltonian can be cast into a non Hermitian form where the complex eigenvalues read

\[
\omega_m = \omega_0 - \frac{3\pi\Gamma_0}{k_0} \hat{\mu} \cdot \hat{G}(m) \cdot \hat{\mu},
\]

where \(\hat{G}(m) = \sum_{j} e^{-im(\varphi_j - \varphi_{j-1})} G(r_1 - r_j, \omega_0)\) is again the discrete Fourier transformation of the Green’s tensor for the ring. The angle associated with position \(j \in \{1, ..., N\}\) is denoted by \(\varphi_j = 2\pi (j - 1)/N\) and \(m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., \pm (N - 1)/2\) corresponds to the angular momentum of the mode.\[^8^3\] The collective energy shifts and emission rates of the mode are given by the real part \(\Omega_m = \text{Re}\{\omega_m\}\) and the imaginary part \(\Gamma_m = \text{Im}\{\omega_m\}\) of the eigenvalue \(\omega_m\). From Eq. [16] it is easy to see that the eigenvalue spectrum will be symmetric under the exchange \(m \leftrightarrow -m\), meaning, \(\omega_m = \omega_{-m}\). Relevant for the present case is the superradiant \(m = 0\) mode which is non-degenerate and will be targeted by
a coherent pump of rate $\Omega_p = \Gamma_0$. The corresponding eigenstate has the form

$$|\Psi_{m=0}\rangle = 1/\sqrt{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j^+ |g\rangle^\otimes N$$

with a superradiant emission rate $\Gamma_{sup} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma_{1j}$. For infinitesimal emitter spacing the ensemble approaches the Dicke Limit \[17\] for which the superradiant mode decays with rate $N\Gamma_0$. Now we will investigate a ring of emitters each having linear polarization in $z$ direction with a small circular polarized component, namely $\mu = (\epsilon \hat{x}, i\epsilon \hat{y}, 2)$. At the same time the coherent drive has a circular polarization $\epsilon = (\hat{x} + i\hat{y})/\sqrt{2}$ coming in from the $z$ direction with a rate $\Omega_p = \Gamma_0$ and being resonant with the superradiant $m = 0$ mode. The resulting scattered field stemming from the non-zero overlap between emitter and laser polarization extents out into the $xy$ plane, which results from the mostly linear polarization of the emitters. In this sense the nano-ring acts as a polarization filter only letting linear anti-bunched light through. In Fig. 2(b) the steady state electric field distribution is shown for a ring of $N = 10$ emitters with a spacing of $d = \lambda_0/10$ between the emitters. The emission angle in (a) shows maximal emission in the $xy$ plane except for distances $d \sigma_{xy} \lambda_0/5$ where the emission maximum splits and radiates mostly into an $\pi/2 \pm \pi/4$ angle. The second order correlation $g^{(2)}(0)_{\theta=\pi/2}$ is calculated in the ring plane and shows strong anti-bunching for $d = \lambda_0/50$. The polarization tilt in the emitters is $\epsilon = 0.1$, after which $\mu$ is renormalized. Scaling at various emitter spacings versus $N$ is shown in (c) where it can be seen that for $d \leq \lambda_0/10$ the purity $\text{Tr}(\rho^2)$ of the steady state atomic density matrix approaches unity with increasing $N$. In these regimes the total emission rate is essentially given by the emission rate of the superradiant mode times the steady state population, namely $\Gamma_m = \sum_j \langle \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j \rangle_{ss}$. This explains the jumps observed in Fig. 2(c) originating from the oscillatory behaviour of the distance dependent decay rates $\Gamma_m$.

**Appendix 3: Confined Emission**

In this section we present the idea of confining the incoming coherent illumination into a single narrow directional beam. As seen in Fig. 8a, a half circle of 16 emitters exhibits a focused emission in one direction. Again the laser is tuned to the most superradiant single excitation state as was already the case for the chain and ring with a pumping rate $\Omega_p = \Gamma_0/2$ propagating along the $y$ direction with linear $z$ polarization while the emitter are polarized in $z$ direction. To see the confinement in 3D space the detector is varied over the whole unit sphere in Fig. 8b, placed in the far field and as can be seen the radiation is concentrated into a small portion of space having at the same time...
FIG. 8. A half circle of 16 quantum emitters linear polarized in z direction lead to a confined emission of radiation. In (a) the normalized intensity distribution of a half circle of emitters in the steady state is shown with $(\phi, \theta)$ varying over the whole unit sphere. The emitter spacing is $d = \lambda_0/10$ and the cut in z direction is $10d$. The driving laser is tuned to the most superradiant single excitation state with a rate $\Omega_p = \Gamma_0$ propagating along the y direction. In the far field the normalized intensity is plotted for $(\phi, \theta)$ ranging over the whole unit sphere in (b). In comparison the far field radiation of a single dipole in free space is shown in (c) radiating radially outwards in a donut-shaped pattern.

FIG. 9. Steady state scaling behaviour for a half circle of emitters shown in Fig. 8 for a emitter spacing of $d = \lambda_0/25$ and a coherent driving rate of $\Omega_p = \Gamma_0/2$. The total emission rate in (a) is shown in units of the maximal steady state emission of a single coherently driven two-level emitter. A decreasing excited state population in (b) indicates an increase in the decay rate of the superradiant state which is targeted by the laser. Vanishing 2. excitation population in (c) and a purity near unity in (d) indicate that the steady state density matrix consists mostly of a single superradiant state.

a photon emission rate more than twice that of the maximum of a single dipole emitting into free space (see Fig. 8b). In comparison the far field radiation pattern of a single dipole as seen in Fig. 8c shows the characteristic radiation radially outwards with a maximum steady state emission rate of $\Gamma_0/2$. In Fig. 8 the total emission rate for the confined case indicates emission which is many times that of a single dipole showing at the same time a purity in its atomic density matrix near unity and a strongly suppressed second excitation manifold. Looking at the steady state atomic population shows that the emission predominantly stems from a single collective state which is highly superradiant.

Appendix 4: Truncated Model

Throughout this work a truncated Hilbert space is used for most simulations by which the full quantum model is restricted only up to two excitations. In this way it is still possible to calculate second order correlations in normal order of the form $\langle \hat{\sigma}_i^+ \hat{\sigma}_j^+ \hat{\sigma}_k^- \hat{\sigma}_l^- \rangle$ and investigate larger system sizes with finite computational resources. In order to calculate the occupation in a given excitation manifold we have diagonalized the given Hamiltonian and summed up the projections of the steady state density matrix on the respective eigenstates. For instance in the first excitation manifold are $N$ eigenstates, so the population is given by $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \psi_j | \rho_{ss} | \psi_j \rangle$, where $| \psi_j \rangle$ denote the $N$ single excitation eigenstates and $\rho_{ss}$ is the density matrix for the emitters in the steady state. There are $N(N-1)/2$ eigenstates carrying two excitations and so forth with all excitation manifolds adding up to $2^N$ states. In Fig. 4 the full quantum model is compared to the truncated model for a linear chain of 5 emitters both for in population and total emission rate versus pumping rate $\Omega_p$. Good approximation to the full model for small enough coherent pumping rates $\Omega_p \leq \Gamma_0$ can be found. The vanishing population in the third excitation manifold of the full model shows that the truncated model describes the system sufficiently well from a physics viewpoint.
FIG. 10. A comparison of the full quantum model with the truncated model is shown in the steady state for a 5 emitter chain with spacing $d/\lambda_0 = 0.05$. The truncation includes only up to two excitation but it is seen that the third excitation manifold in the full model is almost negligible and the total emission rate shows good agreement up to a coherent driving rate of $\Gamma_0$. 