

RELATIVE SUBANALYTIC SHEAVES II

TERESA MONTEIRO FERNANDES AND LUCA PRELLI

ABSTRACT. We give a new construction of sheaves on a relative site associated to a product $X \times S$ where S plays the role of a parameter space, expanding the previous construction by the same authors, where the subanalytic structure on S was required. Here we let this last condition fall. In this way the construction becomes much easier to apply when dimension of S is bigger than one. We also study the functorial properties of base change with respect to the parameter space.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Sheaves on a subanalytic site	3
2.2. Sheaves on a product of subanalytic sites	4
2.3. Sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact sites	5
2.4. c -soft sheaves	9
3. Relative subanalytic site	10
3.1. Relative subanalytic sheaves	10
3.2. Construction of relative sheaves	13
3.3. Behaviour of $(\bullet)^{RS}$ under pushforward and pull-back relatively to the parameter space	17
3.4. The S -locally constant case	18
3.5. The sheaves $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S}$, $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}$, $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}$, $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w, S}$	19
3.6. Functorial properties on the parameter space	21
3.7. Application	23
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

In a previous work ([11]), the authors introduced the notion of relative subanalytic sheaf associated to a sheaf on a subanalytic site of the form

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18F10, 18F20, 32B20 .

Key words and phrases. sheaves, subanalytic, relative.

The research of T. Monteiro Fernandes was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, under the project: UIDB/04561/2020. The second author is a member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM)..

$(X \times S)_{sa}$, where X and S are real analytic manifolds. Here "relative" concerns the projection $p : X \times S \rightarrow S$ so that S is regarded as a parameter space.

The background for all the constructions comes from the work of Kashiwara-Schapira [8].

The main purpose of [11] was to provide the subanalytic tools for a relative Riemann-Hilbert correspondence generalizing the famous Kashiwara's Riemann-Hilbert correspondence in the absolute framework (the absolute case meaning that S is a point). In that case both X and S are complex manifolds, and the new objects were naturally endowed with an action of $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules, \mathcal{D}_X being replaced by the sheaf of relative differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$. This correspondence was achieved in [3] under the assumption that S is a complex curve.

Our new goal is to provide the subanalytic tools to prove the relative Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for arbitrary dimension of S (noted by d_S). Let us explain the motivation:

While in the absolute case the reconstruction functor is based on the notion of "tempered distributions", "tempered holomorphic function", giving rise to the subanalytic sheaves $\mathcal{D}b_X^t$ and \mathcal{O}_X^t , in the relative case we needed to construct a "relative" version of those sheaves as sheaves on a relative site "forgetting" the growth conditions on S . In [11], our idea was to construct $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ respecting both subanalytic structures in X and S , and there live the subanalytic sheaves $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{\sharp, t, S}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{\sharp, t, S}$ on $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$.

However it became clear that such a construction was too strict:

As a kind of example, assume that S is complex. We see that $p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$, as a sheaf on $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ is not concentrated in degree zero unless $d_S = 1$, since otherwise Stein subanalytic open subsets do not form a basis for S_{sa} . So, for arbitrary d_S , a new site should be constructed solving this obstruction.

The main idea was then to replace $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ by $X_{sa} \times S$ which means that we consider S as a site with the usual topology. In this new setting we are able to consider infinite coverings of open subsets in S and, in particular, we have a basis of the topology consisting of products of subanalytic open subsets of X and Stein open subsets of S . Working with this construction is not trivial and requires some technical results (Propositions 3.1 and 3.5). In order to obtain them we introduce the notion of locally weakly quasi-compact site.

Now the relative sheaves "live" on $X_{sa} \times S$ and we note $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}$ the relative sheaf associated to $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S}$ the relative sheaf associated to $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^t$.

We study the behavior of these sheaves under morphisms of the parameter space and we end with an application to a key particular case of the relative Riemann-Hilbert functor (the S -locally constant case studied in [13]).

For a general setting applying to several examples including the relative case we introduce here the notion of locally weakly quasi compact site.

The contents of this paper are as follows.

In Section 2 we prepare preliminaries to the study of the relative site. We recall some results about the subanalytic site $(X \times S)_{sa}$ and the site $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ to be used in the second part of the paper. After that we introduce the notion of locally weakly quasi compact site which will apply to the relative subanalytic site $X_{sa} \times S$. Using this generalization it is easier to establish some basic results about sheaves (in particular working with limits) and acyclic objects.

In Section 3 we apply the previous framework to the case of a product $X \times S$ where X and S are complex manifolds. In the first part we re-state and re-prove the technical tools of [11] in this new framework. In order to do that we first need to prove some results to describe sections and acyclic objects in $X_{sa} \times S$ (Propositions 3.1 and 3.5) and relate this site with $X \times S$ and $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ (Propositions 3.3 and 3.6). After that we are able to study the relativization functor $(\bullet)^{RS}$ starting from the results of [11]. In the second part we study the functorial properties of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}$ in view of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we state some results needed to study the relative site of Section 3. First we recall the constructions of [8] and [11]. Then we define sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact sites and we consider a useful family of acyclic objects. Throughout all the section, k will denote a field.

2.1. Sheaves on a subanalytic site. The results of this section are extracted from [8] (see also [14] for a more detailed study).

Let X be a real analytic manifold and let k be a field. Denote by $\text{op}(X_{sa})$ the category of open subanalytic subsets of X . One endows $\text{op}(X_{sa})$ with the following topology: $S \subset \text{op}(X_{sa})$ is a covering of $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$ if for any compact K of X there exists a finite subset $S_0 \subset S$ such that $K \cap \bigcup_{V \in S_0} V = K \cap U$. We will call X_{sa} the subanalytic site.

Let $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ denote the category of sheaves on X_{sa} and let $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ (resp. $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^c(k_X)$) be the abelian category of \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves on X (resp. \mathbb{R} -constructible with compact support).

We denote by $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{sa}$ the natural morphism of sites. We have functors

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X) \subset \text{Mod}(k_X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\rho^{-1}} \end{array} \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}).$$

The functors ρ^{-1} and ρ_* are the functors of inverse image and direct image associated to ρ . The functor ρ^{-1} admits a left adjoint, denoted by $\rho_!$. The sheaf $\rho_! F$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $\text{op}(X_{sa}) \ni U \mapsto F(\overline{U})$.

The functor ρ_* is fully faithful and exact on $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and we identify $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ with its image in $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ by ρ_* .

Thanks to \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves we have some structure results for subanalytic sheaves, namely

- (i) Let $G \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^c(k_X)$ and let $\{F_i\}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then we have the isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_i \text{Hom}_{k_X}(\rho_* G, F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_* G, \varinjlim_i F_i).$$

In particular, if $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$ is relatively compact

$$\varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i).$$

- (ii) Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. There exists a small filtrant inductive system $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^c(k_X)$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$.

In order to find suitable acyclic resolutions, let us recall the definition of quasi-injective sheaves. $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ is quasi-injective, if for any relatively compact $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$ the restriction morphism $\Gamma(X; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F)$ is surjective.

Let $G \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. Then the following hold:

- (i) The family of quasi-injective sheaves is injective with respect to the functor $\text{Hom}(G, \bullet)$. In particular, it is injective with respect to the functor $\Gamma(U; \bullet)$, for each $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$.
- (ii) The family of quasi-injective sheaves is injective with respect to the functor $\mathcal{H}om(G, \bullet)$. In particular, it is injective with respect to the functor Γ_U , for each $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$.

2.2. Sheaves on a product of subanalytic sites. Let us recall the construction of [11]. Let X and S be two real analytic manifolds. It is possible to consider the product of sites $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$. A basis \mathcal{T} of this topology consists of products $U \times V$ where $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$ and $V \in \text{op}(S_{sa})$ are relatively compact. Then $W \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa})$ if it is a locally finite union of elements of \mathcal{T} . A subset $T \subset \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa})$ is a covering of $U \times V \in \mathcal{T}$ if it admits a finite subcover. More generally, $T \in \text{Cov}(W)$, $W \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa})$ if for any $\mathcal{T} \ni U \times V \subset W$ one has $\{W' \cap (U \times V), W' \in T\} \in \text{Cov}(U \times V)$ (i.e. the intersection of T with $U \times V$ admits a finite subcover). We denote by $\rho' : X \times S \rightarrow X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ the natural morphism of sites. There are well defined functors:

$$\text{Mod}(k_{X \times S}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\rho'_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\rho'^{-1}} \end{array} \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}}).$$

The functor ρ'_* is fully faithful. The functor ρ'^{-1} admits a left adjoint, denoted by $\rho'_!$. It is fully faithful, exact and commutes with \otimes . Given

$F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}})$, $\rho'_! F$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $\mathcal{T} \ni U \times V \mapsto F(\overline{U} \times \overline{V})$.

Let us consider the category $\text{coh}(\mathcal{T})$ of sheaves of $\text{Mod}(k_{X \times S})$, admitting a finite resolution of sums $\bigoplus_{i \in I} k_{U_i \times V_i}$ with I finite and $U_i \times V_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for each i . The category $\text{coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is additive and stable by kernels and cokernels.

Moreover:

- The restriction of ρ'_* to $\text{coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is exact. Hence it can be identified as a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}})$.
- A sheaf $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}})$ can be seen as a filtrant inductive limit $\varinjlim_i \rho'_* F_i$ with $F_i \in \text{coh}(\mathcal{T})$.
- The functors $\text{Hom}(G, \bullet)$, $\mathcal{H}om(G, \bullet)$, with $G \in \text{coh}(\mathcal{T})$, commute with filtrant \varinjlim .

Finally, recall (cf [2]) that $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}})$ is \mathcal{T} -flabby if the restriction morphism $\Gamma(X; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(W; F)$ is surjective for each $W \in \mathcal{T}$. \mathcal{T} -flabby objects are $\text{Hom}(G, \bullet)$ -acyclic and $\mathcal{H}om(G, \bullet)$ -acyclic for each $G \in \text{coh}(\mathcal{T})$.

2.3. Sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact sites. Let $\mathcal{C}_X \subset \text{op}(X)$, the category open subsets of a topological space. Until the end of the section we will assume that \mathcal{C}_X is stable under finite unions and intersections. As a category, if $U, V \in \mathcal{C}_X$ one has $U \rightarrow V$ if $U \subset V$. If $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ we denote by \mathcal{C}_U the category of \mathcal{C}_X consisting of opens $V \subset U$. We suppose that a Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{C}_X is given and for each $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ the category $\text{Cov}(U)$ is given by a subfamily of topological coverings of U . We denote by X the associated site. Given a covering S of U , and $V \rightarrow U$, we set $S \times_U V = \{W \cap V, W \in S\}$.

Definition 2.1. One defines the site X^f as follows: S is a covering of $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ in X^f if it admits a finite refinement. We set $\text{Cov}^f(U)$ the family of coverings of U in X^f .

Definition 2.2. (i) Let $U, V \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and let $V \rightarrow U$. One says that V is weakly quasi-compact (= wc) in U if, for any $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$, we have $S \times_U V \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$. We will write $V \xrightarrow{wc} U$ to say that $V \rightarrow U$ is wc in U .

(ii) Let $U, V \in \mathcal{C}_X$ with $V \rightarrow U$ and let $T \in \text{Cov}(V)$, $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$. We write $T \xrightarrow{wc} S$ if T is a refinement of $S \times_U V$ and for any $V' \in T$, $U' \in S$ one has $V' \rightarrow U'$ iff $V' \xrightarrow{wc} U'$.

Note that when $S = U \in \mathcal{C}_U$ and $T = V \in \mathcal{C}_V$ we recover Definition 2.2 (i).

Definition 2.3. A site X is locally weakly quasi-compact if:

- LWQC1 for each $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ $\{V \xrightarrow{wc} U\} \in \text{Cov}(U)$,
- LWQC2 for each $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}_X$, if $W \xrightarrow{wc} U$ and $W \xrightarrow{wc} V$ one has $W \xrightarrow{wc} U \times V$,
- LWQC3 for each $V \xrightarrow{wc} U$ there exists $W \xrightarrow{wc} U$ with $V \xrightarrow{wc} W$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $V \xrightarrow{wc} U$. Then for each $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$ there exists $T \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$ such that $T \xrightarrow{wc} S$.*

Proof. By LWQC3 there exists $V' \xrightarrow{wc} U$ with $V \xrightarrow{wc} V'$. By LWQC1 for each $U_j \in S$ we have $\{W_{ij} \xrightarrow{wc} U_j \times V'\} \in \text{Cov}(U_j \times V')$, hence $\bigcup_j \{W_{ij} \xrightarrow{wc} U_j \times V'\} \in \text{Cov}(V')$ is a refinement of $S \times_U V'$. We have $T := \bigcup_j \{W_{ij} \xrightarrow{wc} U_j \times V' \Leftrightarrow W_{ij} \rightarrow V'\} \in \text{Cov}(V')$ and $T \times_{V'} V \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$. This implies $T \xrightarrow{wc} S$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *For $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$, and let $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$. If F is a sheaf on X^f , then for any $V \xrightarrow{wc} U$ the morphism*

$$(2.1) \quad F^+(U) \rightarrow F^+(V)$$

factors through $F(V)$.

Proof. Let $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$. There is a finite refinement $T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$ of $S \times_U V$. Then the morphism (2.1) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F^+(U) &\simeq \varprojlim_{S \in \text{Cov}(U)} F(S) \\ &\rightarrow \varprojlim_{S \in \text{Cov}(U)} F(S \times_U V) \\ &\rightarrow \varprojlim_{T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)} F(T^f) \\ &\rightarrow \varprojlim_{T \in \text{Cov}(V)} F(T) \\ &\simeq F^+(V). \end{aligned}$$

F is a sheaf on X^f , hence $F(T^f) \simeq F(V)$ and the result follows. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 2.5, let $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$ and $T \in \text{Cov}(V)$. If $T \xrightarrow{wc} S$, then the morphism*

$$(2.2) \quad F^+(S) \rightarrow F^+(T)$$

factors through $F(T)$. In particular, if $T \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$, then the morphism (2.2) factors through $F(V)$.

From now on we assume that X is locally weakly quasi-compact.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$. Then we have the isomorphism*

$$\varprojlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \varprojlim_{U \xrightarrow{wc} W} F(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} F(V).$$

Proof. The result follows since for each $V \xrightarrow{wc} U$ there exists $W \xrightarrow{wc} U$ such that $V \xrightarrow{wc} W$ by LWQC3. Let $U \xrightarrow{wc} V$. The restriction morphism $F(U) \rightarrow F(V)$ factors through $\varprojlim_{W \xrightarrow{wc} V} F(W)$. Taking the projective limit we obtain the result. \square

Lemma 2.8. *For $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$, and let $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$. If F is a sheaf on X^f , then for any $V \xrightarrow{w_c} U$ the morphism*

$$(2.3) \quad F^{++}(U) \rightarrow F^{++}(V)$$

factors through $F(V)$.

Proof. Let $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$. Thanks to Lemma 2.4 we can construct a refinement $T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$ of $S \times_U V$ such that $T^f \xrightarrow{w_c} S$. The morphism (2.1) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F^{++}(U) &\simeq \varinjlim_{S \in \text{Cov}(U)} F^+(S) \\ &\rightarrow \varinjlim_{S \in \text{Cov}(U)} F^+(S \times_U V) \\ &\rightarrow \varinjlim_{T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)} F^+(T^f) \\ &\rightarrow \varinjlim_{T \in \text{Cov}(V)} F^+(T) \\ &\simeq F^{++}(V). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 2.6, the morphism

$$\varinjlim_{S \in \text{Cov}(U)} F^+(S) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)} F^+(T^f)$$

factors through $F(V)$ and the result follows. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$. If F is a sheaf on X^f , then:*

- (i) *for any $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ one has the isomorphism $\varinjlim_{U \xrightarrow{w_c} V} F(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{U \xrightarrow{w_c} V} F^{++}(V)$.*
- (ii) *for any $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ one has the isomorphism $\varprojlim_{V \xrightarrow{w_c} U} F(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{V \xrightarrow{w_c} U} F^{++}(V)$.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.8 for each $U \xrightarrow{w_c} V$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{++}(U) & \longrightarrow & F^{++}(V) \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ F(U) & \longrightarrow & F(V) \end{array}$$

Passing to the inductive (resp. projective) limit we obtain $\varinjlim_{U \xrightarrow{w_c} V} F(U) \xrightarrow{\sim}$

$\varinjlim_{U \xrightarrow{w_c} V} F^{++}(U)$ (resp. $\varprojlim_{V \xrightarrow{w_c} U} F(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{V \xrightarrow{w_c} U} F^{++}(V)$) as required. \square

Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$. One sets

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_i F_i &= \text{inductive limit in the category of presheaves,} \\ \varprojlim_i F_i &= \text{inductive limit in the category of sheaves.} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\varprojlim_i F_i = (\varinjlim_i F_i)^{++}$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$. Then $\varinjlim_i F_i$ is a sheaf on X^f .*

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and let S be a finite covering of U . Since \varinjlim_i commutes with finite projective limits we obtain the isomorphism $(\varinjlim_i F_i)(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_i F_i(S)$ and $F_i(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_i(S)$ since $F_i \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ for each i . Moreover the family of finite coverings of U is cofinal in $\text{Cov}(U)$. Hence $\varinjlim_i F_i \xrightarrow{\sim} (\varinjlim_i F_i)^+$ on X^f . Applying once again the functor $(\bullet)^+$ we get

$$\varinjlim_i F_i \simeq (\varinjlim_i F_i)^+ \simeq (\varinjlim_i F_i)^{++} \simeq \varprojlim_i F_i$$

on X^f and the result follows. \square

Now, setting $F = \varinjlim_i F_i$ on Lemma 2.8, Corollary 2.9 we obtain the following results.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$ and let $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$. Then for any $V \xrightarrow{w_C} U$ the morphism*

$$\Gamma(U; \varprojlim_i F_i) \rightarrow \Gamma(V; \varprojlim_i F_i)$$

factors through $\varprojlim_i \Gamma(V; F_i)$.

Corollary 2.12. *Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$.*

(i) *For any $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ one has the isomorphism*

$$\varprojlim_{U \xrightarrow{w_C} V, i} \Gamma(V; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{U \xrightarrow{w_C} V} \Gamma(V; \varprojlim_i F_i)$$

(ii) *For any $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ one has the isomorphism*

$$\varprojlim_{V \xrightarrow{w_C} U} \varprojlim_i \Gamma(V; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{V \xrightarrow{w_C} U} \Gamma(V; \varprojlim_i F_i)$$

2.4. c-soft sheaves. Let X be a locally weakly quasi-compact site.

Definition 2.13. We say that a sheaf F on X is c-soft if the restriction morphism $\Gamma(W; F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \Gamma(U; F)$ is surjective for each $V, W \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ ($Y \in \mathcal{C}_X$) with $V, W \xrightarrow{wc} Y$.

Proposition 2.14. Let $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$, and assume that F' is c-soft. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \Gamma(U; F') \rightarrow \varinjlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \Gamma(U; F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \Gamma(U; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any $V \in \mathcal{C}_X$.

Proof. Let $s'' \in \varinjlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \Gamma(U; F'')$. Then there exists $V \xrightarrow{wc} U$ such that s'' is represented by $s''_U \in \Gamma(U; F'')$. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \in \text{Cov}(U)$ such that there exists $s_i \in \Gamma(U_i; F)$ whose image is $s''_U|_{U_i}$ for each i . There exists $W \xrightarrow{wc} U$ with $V \xrightarrow{wc} W$, a finite covering $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of W and a map $\varepsilon : J \rightarrow I$ of the index sets such that $W_j \subset U_{\varepsilon(j)}$. We may argue by induction on n . If $n = 2$, set $U_i = U_{\varepsilon(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $(s_1 - s_2)|_{U_1 \cap U_2}$ belongs to $\Gamma(U_1 \cap U_2; F')$, and its restriction defines an element of $\varinjlim_{W' \xrightarrow{wc} W_1 \cap W_2} \Gamma(W'; F')$, hence it extends to $s' \in \Gamma(U; F')$. By replacing s_1 with $s_1 - s'$ on W_1 we may assume that $s_1 = s_2$ on $W_1 \cap W_2$. Then there exists $s \in \Gamma(W_1 \cup W_2; F)$ with $s|_{W_i} = s_i$. Thus the induction proceeds. \square

Proposition 2.15. Let $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$, and assume F', F c-soft. Then F'' is c-soft.

Proof. Let $V, W, Y \in \mathcal{C}_X$ with $V \xrightarrow{wc} W \xrightarrow{wc} Y$ and let us consider the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(W; F) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(W; F'') \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \varinjlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \Gamma(U; F) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \varinjlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \Gamma(U; F''). \end{array}$$

The morphism α is surjective since F is c-soft and β is surjective by Proposition 2.14. Then γ is surjective. \square

Proposition 2.16. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}_X$. The family of c-soft sheaves is injective respect to the functor $\varinjlim_{V \xrightarrow{wc} U} \Gamma(U; \bullet)$.

Proof. The family of c-soft sheaves contains injective sheaves, hence it is cogenerating. Then the result follows from Propositions 2.14 and 2.15. \square

Remark 2.17. Remark that when X is a locally compact space and we consider the category $\text{Mod}(k_X)$, the above definition of c -soft sheaves coincides with the classical one.

3. RELATIVE SUBANALYTIC SITE

This section resumes the purpose of [11], allowing the dimension d_S of the parameter space to be arbitrary.

3.1. Relative subanalytic sheaves. Let X and S be two real analytic manifolds. Let us denote by $p : X \times S \rightarrow S$ the projection. We consider the following Grothendieck topologies on $X \times S$.

- The one generated by the usual topology.
- The subanalytic topology of [8] and [14], defining the site $(X \times S)_{sa}$, where $\text{op}((X \times S)_{sa})$ are relatively compact open subanalytic subsets of $X \times S$ and the coverings are those admitting a finite refinement.
- The product of sites $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ of [11]. This is the site where the open sets are finite unions of products $U \times V$ with U and V relatively compact subanalytic open respectively in X and S , and the coverings are the families of such open sets admitting a finite subcovering.
- The product of sites $X_{sa} \times S$ for which the elements of $\text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ are finite unions of products $U \times V$, with $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$ and $V \in \text{op}(S)$. Let us describe the coverings:

We have the following commutative diagram, where the arrows are natural morphisms of sites induced by the inclusion of families of open subsets.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & X_{sa} \times S & \\ \rho_S \nearrow & & \searrow a \\ X \times S & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & X_{sa} \times S_{sa} \\ \rho \searrow & & \nearrow \eta \\ & (X \times S)_{sa} & \end{array}$$

$T \subset \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ is a covering of $W = U \times V \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ if it admits a refinement $\{U_i \times V_j\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ with $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \in \text{Cov}(U)$ (in X_{sa}) and $\{V_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}(V)$ (in S). In particular, when U is relatively compact I is finite. For a general $W \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$, $T \subset \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ is a covering of W if for each $U \times V \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ with $U \times V \subset W$ one has $T \times_W (U \times V) \in \text{Cov}(U \times V)$.

With this topology, $X_{sa} \times S$ is a locally weakly quasi-compact site. Let $W, W' \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ and suppose that $W = \cup_{j \in J} (U_j \times V_j)$ with J finite. Then $W \xrightarrow{w_c} W'$ if U_j and V_j are relatively compact and $U_j \times \overline{V_j} \subset W'$ for each $j \in J$.

It follows from Theorem 3.9.2 of [16] that the functor a_* is fully faithful and $a^{-1} \circ a_* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Id}$. Similarly, the functor ρ_{S*} is fully faithful and $\rho_S^{-1} \circ \rho_{S*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Id}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $U \times V \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ and let $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}})$. Then*

$$\Gamma(U \times V; a^{-1}F) \simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; F)$$

with V' subanalytic.

Proof. First suppose that U is relatively compact. Remark that $\{V' \subset\subset V, V' \in \text{op}(S_{sa})\}$ is a covering of V and

$$\Gamma(U \times V; a^{-1}F) \simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; a^{-1}F)$$

with V' subanalytic. There exists a filtrant family $\{F_i\}$ of \mathcal{T} -coherent sheaves such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho'_* F_i$. Remark that $\rho' = a \circ \rho_S$ and we have

$$a^{-1} \varinjlim_i \rho'_* F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i a^{-1} \circ a_* \circ \rho_{S*} F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_{S*} F_i.$$

We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U \times V; a^{-1}F) &\simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; a^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; a^{-1} \varinjlim_i \rho'_* F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; \varinjlim_i \rho_{S*} F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \varinjlim_i \Gamma(U \times V'; \rho_{S*} F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \varinjlim_i \Gamma(U \times V'; \rho'_* F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; \varinjlim_i \rho'_* F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; F) \end{aligned}$$

with V' subanalytic. The fourth and sixth isomorphisms follow from Corollary 2.9 and the fifth follows since U and V' are subanalytic.

Suppose now that U is not relatively compact. Let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a covering of X consisting of open subanalytic subsets such that $U_n \subset\subset U_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U \times V; a^{-1}F) &\simeq \varprojlim_n \Gamma(U \cap U_n \times V; a^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{n, V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \cap U_n \times V'; F) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; F). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let $W \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ and let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}})$. Then*

$$\Gamma(W; a^{-1}F) \simeq \varprojlim_{W' \xrightarrow{w\mathcal{C}} W} \Gamma(W'; F)$$

with $W' \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa})$.

We shall need to introduce a left adjoint to the functor ρ_S^{-1} .

Proposition 3.3. *The functor ρ_S^{-1} has a left adjoint, denoted by $\rho_{S!}$. The functor $\rho_{S!}$ is exact and commute with tensor products.*

Proof. With the notations of (3.1) $\rho'^{-1} \circ a_* \simeq \rho_S^{-1} \circ a^{-1} \circ a_* \simeq \rho_S^{-1}$, where the second isomorphism follows from Theorem 3.9.2 of [16]. By Proposition 2.4.3 of [2] the functor ρ'^{-1} has a left adjoint $\rho'_!$, so the composition $\rho'^{-1} \circ a_* \simeq \rho_S^{-1}$ admits a left adjoint $a^{-1} \circ \rho'_! := \rho_{S!}$. Remark that by definition (see also Proposition 2.4.4 of [2]) $\rho_{S!}$ is exact and commute with tensor products. \square

Remark 3.4. Thanks to Proposition 3.1 and the fact that $a^{-1} \circ \rho'_! := \rho_{S!}$, one can prove that $\rho_{S!}F$ (with $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_X)$) is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \times V \mapsto \varinjlim_{U'} \Gamma(U' \times V; F)$, with $\overline{U} \subset U'$. An alternative proof can be obtained adapting the proof of Proposition 6.6.3 of [8].

Proposition 3.5. *The category of c -soft sheaves is injective respect to the functor $\Gamma(U \times V; \bullet)$, $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V \in \text{op}(S)$.*

Proof. Let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) be a covering of X (resp. V) consisting of open subanalytic subsets such that $U_n \subset\subset U_{n+1}$ (resp. $V_n \subset\subset V_{n+1}$) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa} \times S})$ we have

$$\Gamma(U \times V; G) \simeq \varprojlim_n \Gamma(U \cap U_n \times V_n; G) \simeq \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{V'_n \supset\supset V_n} \Gamma(U \cap U_n \times V'_n; G).$$

Set for short $W_n := U \cap U_n \times V'_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\varinjlim_{W_n} \Gamma(W_n; G) := \varinjlim_{V'_n \supset\supset V_n} \Gamma(U \cap U_n \times V'_n; G).$$

Take an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$, and suppose F' c -soft. All the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{W_n} \Gamma(W_n; F') \rightarrow \varinjlim_{W_n} \Gamma(W_n; F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{W_n} \Gamma(W_n; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

are exact by Proposition 2.14, and the morphism

$$\varinjlim_{W_{n+1}} \Gamma(W_{n+1}; F') \rightarrow \varinjlim_{W_n} \Gamma(W_n; F')$$

is surjective for all n . Then by Proposition 1.12.3 of [6] the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{W_n} \Gamma(W_n; F') \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{W_n} \Gamma(W_n; F) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{W_n} \Gamma(W_n; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}})$ be \mathcal{T} -flabby. Then $a^{-1}F$ is c -soft.*

Proof. Let us first prove that, if $W \xrightarrow{wc} W'$, then there exists $W'' \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa})$ with $W \xrightarrow{wc} W'' \xrightarrow{wc} W'$. W is a finite union of $U_j \times V_j \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ with U_j and V_j relatively compact and $U_j \times \overline{V_j} \subset W'$. Being $\overline{V_j}$ compact, it has a subanalytic neighborhood V_j'' such that $U_j \times V_j'' \xrightarrow{wc} W'$. Then $W'' = \cup_j (U_j \times V_j'') \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa})$ and $W \xrightarrow{wc} W'' \xrightarrow{wc} W'$.

This implies that, given $W, W' \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S)$ with $W \rightarrow W'$ and $G \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa} \times S})$, when we write

$$\varinjlim_{W \xrightarrow{wc} W''} \Gamma(W''; G)$$

we may assume that $W'' \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa})$.

Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa} \times S_{sa}})$ be \mathcal{T} -flabby. Then

$$\varinjlim_{W \xrightarrow{wc} W''} \Gamma(W''; a^{-1}F) \simeq \varinjlim_{W \xrightarrow{wc} W''} \Gamma(W''; F)$$

as a consequence of Proposition 3.1. Since F is \mathcal{T} -flabby the morphism $\Gamma(X \times S; F) \rightarrow F(W'')$ is surjective for each $W'' \in \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa})$ with $W \xrightarrow{wc} W''$. So the morphism $\Gamma(X \times S; F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{W \xrightarrow{wc} W''} \Gamma(W''; F) \simeq \varinjlim_{W \xrightarrow{wc} W''} \Gamma(W''; a^{-1}F)$ is

surjective as well and factors through $\Gamma(W'; a^{-1}F)$ by Proposition 3.1. Then the result follows. \square

3.2. Construction of relative sheaves. In the situation above, let be given a sheaf F on $(X \times S)_{sa}$. As in [11], we denote by $F^{S;\#}$ the sheaf on $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ associated to the presheaf

$$\begin{aligned} \text{op}(X_{sa} \times S_{sa}) &\rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}) \\ U \times V &\mapsto \Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_{U \times S}F) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_U \boxtimes \rho_!\mathbb{C}_V, F) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{W \subset \subset V, W \in \text{op}(S_{sa})} \Gamma(U \times W; F). \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$(3.2) \quad F^S := a^{-1}F^{S;\#}$$

and call it the relative sheaf associated to F . It is a sheaf on $X_{sa} \times S$. It is easy to check that $(\bullet)^S$ defines a left exact functor on $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$. We will denote by $(\bullet)^{RS;\#}$ and $(\bullet)^{RS} \simeq a^{-1} \circ (\bullet)^{RS;\#}$ the associated right derived functors.

Remark 3.7. When X is reduced to a point, and F is a sheaf on S_{sa} then F^S is nothing more than $\rho^{-1}F$ where ρ is the morphism of sites $S \rightarrow S_{sa}$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V \in \text{op}(S)$ be relatively compact. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U \times V; F^S) &\simeq \Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_{U \times S}F) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_U \boxtimes \rho_!\mathbb{C}_V, F). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second isomorphism follows by adjunction. Let us prove the first one. Let U, V' be open subanalytic in X and S respectively. By Lemma 4.2 of [11] we have

$$\Gamma(U \times V'; F^{S, \#}) \simeq \Gamma(X \times V'; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_{U \times S}F) \simeq \varprojlim_{W \subset\subset V'} \Gamma(U \times W; F).$$

By definition and Proposition 3.1

$$\Gamma(U \times V; F^S) = \Gamma(U \times V; a^{-1}F^{S, \#}) \simeq \varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \times V'; F^{S, \#})$$

with V' subanalytic. We have

$$\varprojlim_{V' \subset\subset V} \varprojlim_{W \subset\subset V'} \Gamma(U \times W; F) \simeq \varprojlim_{W \subset\subset V'} \Gamma(U \times W; F) \simeq \Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_{U \times S}F).$$

This implies that $\Gamma(U \times V; F^S) \simeq \Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_{U \times S}F)$ as required. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let $G \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$ and $H \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Let $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}(G \boxtimes H, F^{RS}) &\simeq \text{RHom}(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F) \\ &\simeq \text{RHom}(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, F)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second isomorphism follows by adjunction. We are going to prove the first one in several steps.

(a) Suppose that F is injective. Then $F^{S, \#}$ is \mathcal{T}' -flabby by Lemma 4.4 of [11] and $F^S = a^{-1}F^{S, \#}$ is c -soft by Proposition 3.6. Let $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V \in \text{op}(S)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(U \times V; F^{RS}) &\simeq \Gamma(U \times V; F^S) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_U \boxtimes \rho_!\mathbb{C}_V, F) \\ &\simeq \text{RHom}(\mathbb{C}_U \boxtimes \rho_!\mathbb{C}_V, F), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.8.

(b) Suppose that $G \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^c(\mathbb{C}_X)$ and $H \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^c(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Then G (resp. H) is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex G^\bullet (resp. H^\bullet) consisting of finite sums $\oplus \mathbb{C}_W$ with W subanalytic and relatively compact on X (resp. S). Let $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$ and let F^\bullet be a complex of injective objects quasi-isomorphic to F . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}(G \boxtimes H, F^{RS}) &\simeq \text{Hom}(G^\bullet \boxtimes H^\bullet, (F^\bullet)^S) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}(G^\bullet \boxtimes \rho_!H^\bullet, F^\bullet) \\ &\simeq \text{RHom}(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from (a).

(c) Suppose that $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$ and $H \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^c(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Then $G \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* G_i$, with $G_i \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^c(\mathbb{C}_X)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}(\varinjlim_i \rho_* G_i \boxtimes H, F^{RS}) &\simeq R\varprojlim_i \text{RHom}(G_i \boxtimes H, F^{RS}) \\ &\simeq R\varprojlim_i \text{RHom}(G_i \boxtimes \rho_! H, F) \\ &\simeq \text{RHom}(\varinjlim_i \rho_* G \boxtimes \rho_! H, F), \end{aligned}$$

where for the first and the third isomorphism we refer to [15] and the second one follows from (b).

(d) Suppose that $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$ and $H \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Then $H \simeq \varinjlim_i H_i$, with $H_i \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^c(\mathbb{C}_X)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}(G \boxtimes \varinjlim_i H_i, F^{RS}) &\simeq R\varprojlim_i \text{RHom}(G \boxtimes H_i, F^{RS}) \\ &\simeq R\varprojlim_i \text{RHom}(G_i \boxtimes \rho_! H_i, F) \\ &\simeq \text{RHom}(\varinjlim_i \rho_* G \boxtimes \rho_! \varinjlim_i H_i, F), \end{aligned}$$

where for the first and the third isomorphism we refer to [15] (we also used the fact that $\rho_!$ commutes with \varinjlim) and the second one follows from (c).

(e) Suppose that $G \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$ and $H \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Then G (resp. H) is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex G^\bullet (resp. H^\bullet) of sheaves on X_{sa} (resp. S). By dévissage, we may reduce to the case $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$ and $H \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_S)$ and the result follows from (d). \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$, $H \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_S)$ and let F be an injective sheaf on $(X \times S)_{sa}$. Then F^S is $\text{Hom}(G \boxtimes H, \bullet)$ -acyclic.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.24 that $\text{RHom}(G \boxtimes H, F^{RS}) \simeq \text{RHom}(G \boxtimes \rho_! H, F)$ is concentrated in degree 0, as required. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$. Let $G \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$ and $H \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^{RS}) &\simeq \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_! H, F) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, F)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $G = \mathbb{C}_X$ and $H = \mathbb{C}_S$ we have $\rho^{-1} F \simeq \rho_S^{-1} F^{RS} \simeq \rho'^{-1} F^{RS, \#}$.

Proof. The second isomorphism follows by adjunction. Let us prove the first one.

(a) Let $K \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$ and $K' \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa} \times S})$. A morphism

$$\eta_* K \rightarrow a_* H$$

defines a morphism

$$a^{-1}\eta_*K \rightarrow K'$$

by adjunction and, composing with ρ_S^{-1} , a morphism

$$\rho_S^{-1}a^{-1}\eta_*K \rightarrow \rho_S^{-1}K'.$$

Remark that if $K \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$ then $\rho^{-1}K \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_S^{-1}a^{-1}\eta_*K$. Indeed, for each $y \in X \times S$,

$$(\rho^{-1}K)_y \simeq \varinjlim_{U \times V \ni y} K(U \times V) \simeq (\rho_S^{-1}a^{-1}\eta_*K)_y$$

with $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V \in \text{op}(S_{sa})$.

(b) Let us first suppose that F, G, H are concentrated in degree zero. Hence, setting $K = \mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F)$ and $K' = \mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^S)$ in (a), to any morphism

$$\eta_*\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F) \rightarrow a_*\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^S)$$

one associates a morphism

$$\rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F) \rightarrow \rho_S^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^S).$$

Note that the natural morphism of functors $\rho_!(H_V) \rightarrow (\rho_!H)_V$ induces a morphism $\text{Hom}(G_U \boxtimes (\rho_!H)_V, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_U \boxtimes \rho_!(H_V), F)$ hence a morphism $\psi : \eta_*\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F) \rightarrow a_*\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^S)$, which defines a morphism $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F) \rightarrow \rho_S^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^S)$.

Let us check on the fibers that it is an isomorphism. Let $y \in X \times S$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F))_y &\simeq \varinjlim_{U \times V \ni y} \text{Hom}(G_U \boxtimes (\rho_!H)_V, F) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{U \times V \ni y} \varprojlim_{W \subset V} \text{Hom}(G_U \boxtimes (\rho_!H)_W, F) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{U \times V \ni y} \text{Hom}(G_U \boxtimes \rho_!(H_V), F) \\ &\simeq (\rho_S^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^S))_y \end{aligned}$$

with $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V, W \in \text{op}(S_{sa})$.

(c) Suppose now that F is injective and that G, H are concentrated in degree 0. Let $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V \in \text{op}(S_{sa})$. The complex

$$R\Gamma(U \times V; R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^S)) \simeq R\text{Hom}(G_U \boxtimes H_V, F^S)$$

is concentrated in degree 0 by Corollary 3.10. Then F^S is $R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \cdot)$ -acyclic.

(d) Let $G \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$ and $H \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Let $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$ and let F^\bullet be a complex of injective objects quasi-isomorphic to F . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F) &\simeq \rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_!H, F^\bullet) \\ &\simeq \rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, (F^\bullet)^S) \\ &\simeq \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, F^{RS}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from (b) and the third one from (c). \square

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose that $F \in \text{Mod}(\rho! \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^\infty)$ is $\Gamma(W; \bullet)$ -acyclic for each $W \in \text{op}((X \times S)_{sa})$. Then F is $(\bullet)^S$ -acyclic.*

Proof. Being $(\bullet)^{RS} \simeq a^{-1} \circ (\bullet)^{RS, \#}$, it is enough to show that $H^k F^{RS, \#} = 0$ if $k \neq 0$. It is enough to prove that F is $(\bullet)^{S, \#}$ -acyclic. This follows from Corollary 4.9 of [11]. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Suppose that $F \in \text{Mod}(\rho! \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^\infty)$ is $\Gamma(W; \bullet)$ -acyclic for each $W \in \text{op}((X \times S)_{sa})$. Then for each $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V \in \text{op}(S)$ we have $R^k \Gamma(U \times V; F^{RS}) = 0$ if $k \neq 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.11 we have $R\Gamma(U \times V; F^{RS}) \simeq R\Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1} R\Gamma_{U \times S} F)$. Since F is $\Gamma(W; \bullet)$ -acyclic for each $W \in \text{op}((X \times S)_{sa})$, the complex $R\Gamma_{U \times S} F$ is concentrated in degree zero. Since F is a $\rho! \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^\infty$ -module, $\rho^{-1} R\Gamma_{U \times S} F$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^\infty$ -module, hence c-soft and $\Gamma(X \times V; \cdot)$ -acyclic. This shows the result. \square

3.3. Behaviour of $(\bullet)^{RS}$ under pushforward and pull-back relatively to the parameter space. We consider a real analytic map $h : S' \rightarrow S$ and still denote by h the map $\text{Id} \times h : X \times S' \rightarrow X \times S$, $\text{Id} \times h : X_{sa} \times S' \rightarrow X_{sa} \times S$.

Proposition 3.14. (1) *Let $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$. Then there exists a natural morphism*

$$h^{-1}(F^{RS}) \rightarrow (h^{-1}F)^{RS'}$$

(2) *Let $G \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S')_{sa}})$. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$(Rh_* G)^{RS} \simeq Rh_*(G^{RS'})$$

Proof. 1) Let U be a relatively compact subanalytic subset of X and let V' be any open set in S' . Let V be any open subset of S such that $V \supset h(V')$. By Proposition 3.11 we have

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(U \times V; F^{RS}) &\simeq R\Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1} R\Gamma_{U \times S} F) \\ &\rightarrow R\Gamma(X \times V'; \rho^{-1} h^{-1} R\Gamma_{U \times S} F) \\ &\simeq R\Gamma(X \times V'; \rho^{-1} R\Gamma_{U \times S'}(h^{-1} F)) \\ &\simeq R\Gamma(U \times V'; (h^{-1} F)^{RS}) \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired morphism.

2) Given U and V as in 1), let us set $V' = h^{-1}(V)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(U \times V; (Rh_* G)^{RS}) &\simeq R\Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1} R\Gamma_{U \times S} Rh_* G) \\ &\simeq R\Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1} Rh_* R\Gamma_{U \times S'} G) \simeq R\Gamma(X \times V; Rh_* \rho^{-1} R\Gamma_{U \times S'} G) \\ &\simeq R\Gamma(X \times V'; \rho^{-1} R\Gamma_{U \times S'} G) \simeq R\Gamma(U \times V'; G^{RS'}) \\ &\simeq R\Gamma(U \times V; Rh_* G^{RS'}) \end{aligned}$$

where all the isomorphisms commute with restrictions. This gives the desired isomorphism. \square

3.4. The S -locally constant case. The result below is an improvement of [13, Prop. 3.3]. The latter was a step in the construction of the relative Riemann-Hilbert functor, denoted by RH_X^S where $d_S = 1$ was assumed. This construction relied on the relative site $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$. In loc.cit. one of the obstructions to approach the case $d_S > 1$ was the non existence of a basis of the topology of S_{sa} formed by Stein open (subanalytic) subsets (unless $d_S = 1$), difficulty overcome with the new site $X_{sa} \times S$.

Lemma 3.15. *The sheaf $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ is ρ_{S*} -acyclic.*

Proof. Suppose that $U \in \mathrm{op}(X_{sa})$ is contractible and $V \in \mathrm{op}(S)$ is Stein. Then $R\Gamma(U \times V; R\rho_{S*}p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \simeq R\Gamma(U \times V; p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \simeq R\Gamma(V; \mathcal{O}_S)$ is concentrated in degree 0. The result follows since the topology of $X_{sa} \times S$ admits a basis consisting of open subsets $U \times V$ with $U \in \mathrm{op}(X_{sa})$ contractible (as a consequence of [17]) and $V \in \mathrm{op}(S)$ Stein. \square

Proposition 3.16. *If $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ is locally isomorphic to $p^{-1}G$, where G is a coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module, then $R\rho_{S*}F \simeq \rho_{S!}F$.*

Proof. We have to show that, if $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ is locally isomorphic to $p^{-1}G$, where G is \mathcal{O}_S -coherent, the natural morphism

$$\rho_{S!}F \rightarrow R\rho_{S*}F,$$

defined by the adjunction morphism $\mathrm{Id} \rightarrow \rho_S^{-1}R\rho_{S*} \simeq \mathrm{Id}$ is an isomorphism. It is enough to check it on a basis for the topology of $X_{sa} \times S$. First of all, let us prove that $R\rho_{S*}F$ is concentrated in degree zero. There exists a covering \mathcal{U} of $X_{sa} \times S$ such that, for each $W \in \mathcal{U}$, one has $(R\rho_{S*}F)|_W \simeq (R\rho_{S*}p^{-1}G)|_W$, where G is \mathcal{O}_S -coherent. Then Lemma 3.15 implies that $R\rho_{S*}F$ is concentrated in degree zero.

Up to take a refinement, we may suppose that $W = U \times V$ with $U \in \mathrm{op}(X_{sa})$ relatively compact and connected and $V \in \mathrm{op}(S)$ connected. In this case $\rho_{S!}p^{-1}G$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \times V \mapsto \varinjlim_{U'} \Gamma(U' \times V; p^{-1}G)$$

with $\overline{U} \subset U'$. Moreover, if U is connected, we can suppose that U' is connected as well. Indeed, if U is subanalytic, every subanalytic neighborhood of \overline{U} contains a subanalytic neighborhood U' which is connected (as an easy consequence of the triangulation of subanalytic sets).

The result follows since, according to the following Lemma 3.17, $\Gamma(U \times V; p^{-1}G) \simeq \Gamma(V; G)$ if U and V are connected.

Lemma 3.17. *Let U and V be connected non empty open subsets of X respectively of S . Let G be a coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module. Then*

$$\Gamma(U \times V; p^{-1}G) = \Gamma(V; G)$$

Proof. Firstly we treat the case $G = \mathcal{O}_S$.

Since p is an open map, we have $\Gamma(V; \mathcal{O}_S) \subset \Gamma(U \times V; p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Let now $f \in \Gamma(U \times V; p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and consider open coverings $(U_j)_{j \in J}$, $(V_k)_{k \in K}$, respectively of U and V , such that $f|_{U_j \times V_k} := f_{jk} \in \Gamma(V_k; \mathcal{O}_S)$. For each fixed (non empty) U_j , the $(f_{jk})_{k \in K}$ define a section of $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_S)$, hence a section \tilde{f} of $\Gamma(U \times V; p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ such that $\tilde{f}|_{U_j \times V} = f|_{U_j \times V}$. By the Identity Theorem we conclude $f = \tilde{f}$ as desired.

Let us now prove the general case. Let G be a coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module, let $f \in \Gamma(U \times V; p^{-1}G)$ and let $(V_k)_{k \in K}$ be an open covering of V by open Stein subsets such that there is an $\mathcal{O}_S|_{V_k}$ -epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_S^{l_k}|_{V_k} \rightarrow G|_{V_k}$. By the first part and the assumption on V_k , we conclude that $\Gamma(U \times V_k; p^{-1}G) = \Gamma(V_k; G)$. Therefore $f|_{U \times V_k} = f_k \in \Gamma(V_k; G)$. The family $(f_k)_{k \in K}$ thus defines a section $\tilde{f} \in \Gamma(V; G)$ coinciding with f . \square

\square

3.5. The sheaves $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S}$, $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}$, $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}$, $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w, S}$. Let X and S be real analytic manifolds. The construction given by (3.2) allows us to introduce the following sheaves:

- (1) $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S} := (\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t})^S$ as the relative sheaf associated to $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S} := (\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t)^S$ as the relative sheaf associated to $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t$,
- (3) $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S} := (\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w})^S$ as the relative sheaf associated to $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w}$.

Let us apply the results of the previous section to these sheaves.

Proposition 3.18. *Let $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V \in \text{op}(S_{sa})$. Then*

- (1) $\Gamma(U \times V; \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S}) \simeq \Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_{U \times S}\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t})$
 $\simeq \Gamma(X \times V; T\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{U \times S}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t}))$,
- (2) $\Gamma(U \times V; \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}) \simeq \Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_{U \times S}\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t)$
 $\simeq \Gamma(X \times V; T\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{U \times S}, \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t))$,
- (3) $\Gamma(U \times V; \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}) \simeq \Gamma(X \times V; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_{U \times S}\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w})$
 $\simeq \Gamma(X \times V; H^0 D' \mathbb{C}_U \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S \overset{w}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w})$.

Proposition 3.19. *i) Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w}$. Then \mathcal{F} is $(\bullet)^S$ -acyclic. Moreover $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t}$ are $\Gamma(U \times V; \bullet)$ -acyclic for each $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$, $V \in \text{op}(S)$.*

ii) $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}$ is $\Gamma(U \times V; \bullet)$ -acyclic for each $U \in \text{op}(X_{sa})$ locally cohomologically trivial and $V \in \text{op}(S)$.

Proposition 3.20. *Let $G \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$, $H \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Then*

- (1) $\rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S}) \simeq \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_! H, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t})$
 $\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, \rho^{-1} \mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t}))$,
- (2) $\rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}) \simeq \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_! H, \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t)$
 $\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, \rho^{-1} \mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t))$,

$$(3) \rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}) \simeq \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_! H, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w}) \\ \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, \rho^{-1} \mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w})).$$

When $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ we have

$$(1) \rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, T\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty})), \\ (2) \rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, T\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S})), \\ (3) \rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, D'G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S \overset{w}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty}).$$

In particular, when $G = \mathbb{C}_X$ and $H = \mathbb{C}_S$ we have $\rho_S^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty}$, $\rho_S^{-1} \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S} \simeq \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}$, $\rho^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty}$.

Now we are going to study the action of differential operators on these new sheaves.

Lemma 3.21. *There is a natural action of $\rho_{S!} \mathcal{D}_{X \times S}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S}$ and on $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}$.*

Proof. Let $K \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$. In order to prove the action of $\rho_{S!} \mathcal{D}_{X \times S}$ on K^S it is enough to prove the action of $\rho'_! \mathcal{D}_{X \times S}$ on $K^{S, \#}$. When $K = \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}$, it is a consequence of Lemma 5.4 of [11]. \square

Let us now assume that X and S are complex manifolds and consider the projection $p : X \times S \rightarrow S$. Let us denote as usual by $\overline{X} \times \overline{S}$ the complex conjugate manifold. Identifying the underlying real analytic manifold $X_{\mathbb{R}} \times S_{\mathbb{R}}$ to the diagonal of $(X \times S) \times (\overline{X} \times \overline{S})$, we have:

Lemma 3.22. *$\rho_{S*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ acts on $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}$, on $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t}$ and on $\mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{w, S}$.*

Proof. Let $K = \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t, S}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w, S}$. By Lemma 5.5 of [11] $\rho'_* p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ acts on $K^{S, \#}$. Note that $\rho'_* \simeq a_* \circ \rho_{S*}$, then $a^{-1} \rho'_* p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S \simeq \rho_{S*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ acts on $a^{-1} K^{S, \#} = K^S$ as required. \square

We also have natural actions of $\rho_{S!} \mathcal{D}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}, \rho_{S!} \mathcal{D}_{\overline{X} \times S}$ on $\mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t, S}$.

The construction given by (3.2) allows us to introduce the following objects of $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa} \times S})$:

(1) $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S} := (\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^t)^{RS}$, the relative sheaf associated to $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^t$, that is

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S} \simeq (R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_! \mathcal{D}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}}(\rho_! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}, \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^t))^{RS} \\ \simeq (R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_! \mathcal{D}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}}(\rho_! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, t}))^{RS}$$

(2) $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w, S} := (\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^w)^{RS}$, the relative sheaf associated to $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^w$, that is

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w, S} \simeq (R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_! \mathcal{D}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}}(\rho_! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty, w}))^{RS}.$$

The exactness of $\rho_{S!}$ together with Proposition 3.19 allow to conclude:

Proposition 3.23. *We have the following isomorphisms in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{(X \times S)_{sa}})$.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S} &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_{S!}\mathcal{D}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}}(\rho_{S!}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}, \mathcal{D}b_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_{S!}\mathcal{D}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}}(\rho_{S!}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty,t,S}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w,S} &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_{S!}\mathcal{D}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}}(\rho_{S!}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X} \times \overline{S}}, \mathcal{C}_{X \times S}^{\infty,w,S}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.11 together with Proposition 7.3.2 of [8] entail:

Proposition 3.24. *Let $G \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$, $H \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_S)$. Then*

- (1) $\rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \simeq \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_{S!}H, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^t)$
 $\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^t)),$
- (2) $\rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w,S}) \simeq \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \rho_{S!}H, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^w)$
 $\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, \rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^w)).$

When $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ we have

- (1) $\rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, T\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S})),$
- (2) $\rho_S^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G \boxtimes H, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w,S}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_X \boxtimes H, D'G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_S \overset{w}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}).$

In particular, when $G = \mathbb{C}_X$ and $H = \mathbb{C}_S$ we have $\rho_S^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$,
 $\rho_S^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w,S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$.

The examples given in [11] can now be stated in a more general case (V needs no longer to be subanalytic):

Example 3.25. Let $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \Im z > 0\}$, let V be open in \mathbb{C}^n and let $g(s)$ be a holomorphic function on V . Then, after a choice of a determination of $\log z$ on U , $z^{g(s)}$ defines a section of $\Gamma(U \times V; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}}^{t,S})$.

Example 3.26. Let $U = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with a coordinate x , let V be an open set in \mathbb{R} and let $a(s)$ be any continuous function on V . Let $f \in \Gamma(\Omega \setminus V; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}})$, where Ω is an open neighborhood of V in \mathbb{C} , be such that a is the boundary value $vb(f)$ of f as an hyperfunction. Then $x_+^a := vb(z^f)$, with $\arg z \in]0, 2\pi[$, is a section of $\Gamma(U \times V; \mathcal{D}b_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}^{t,S})$.

3.6. Functorial properties on the parameter space. If S' is a closed submanifold of S we denote by $i_{X \times S'}$ the closed immersion $i_{X \times S'} : X \times S' \hookrightarrow X \times S$. We also denote by $Li_{S'}^*$ the functor on $D^b(\rho_{S*}(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S))$ given by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \rho_{S*}(p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m})) \otimes_{\rho_{S*}(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)}^L \mathcal{F}$ where \mathfrak{m} is the ideal of \mathcal{O}_S of functions vanishing on S' .

We denote by \mathbf{D}' the functor on $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ given by

$$\mathbf{D}'(F) = R\mathcal{H}om_{p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$$

Proposition 3.27 (Action by a closed immersion). *Let S' be a closed submanifold of S . There are natural morphisms in $D^b(\rho_{S*}(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S))$*

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S'}^{t, S'} \rightarrow i_{X \times S'}^{-1} Li_{S'}^* \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S}$
- (2) $Li_{S'}^* \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w, S} \rightarrow i_{X \times S', *}\mathcal{O}_{X \times S'}^{w, S'}$

which are isomorphisms.

Proof. Let us construct the morphism 1. (Note that for $\text{codim } S' = 0$ the result is trivial.)

This amounts to showing that the following morphism is an isomorphism

$$\Gamma(V; \mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_{\Gamma(V; \mathcal{O}_S)}^L R\Gamma(U \times V; \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(U \times W; \mathcal{O}_{X \times S'}^{t, S'})$$

for any relatively compact open subset $U \subset X$ and any open subset $V \subset S$ running on a basis of the topology of S consisting of Stein open relatively compact subsets and where we note $W = V \cap S'$. We note that, according to 2) of Proposition 3.18, we have

$$R\Gamma(U \times V; \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S}) = R\Gamma(X \times V; T\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{C}_{U \times S}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}))$$

Recall that, for a $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S}$ -module \mathcal{M} , the pull-back by $i_{X \times S'}$ is given by $D i^* \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_{X \times S'} \otimes_{i^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}}^L i^{-1} \mathcal{M}$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$ is a flat $p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ -module. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S'} &= i_{X \times S'}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}/\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}) \\ &= i_{X \times S'}^{-1}(p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_{p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, [7, (5.16), Th.5.8] applied to the closed embedding $i_{X \times S'}$ and to $F = \mathcal{C}_{U \times S}$ becomes a natural isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S'})$

$$(3.3) \quad T\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{C}_{U \times S'}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S'}) \simeq i_{X \times S'}^{-1} Li_{S'}^* T\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{C}_{U \times S}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}).$$

Up to a shrinking of V so that a family of holomorphic coordinates vanishing on S' is defined in V , by taking the corresponding Koszul resolution (\mathcal{O}_S -free) of $\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma(V; \mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_{\Gamma(V; \mathcal{O}_S)}^L R\Gamma(X \times V; T\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{C}_{U \times S}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S})) \\ &\simeq R\Gamma(X \times V; p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_{p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}^L T\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{C}_{U \times S}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S})) \end{aligned}$$

and this last term, according to (3.3), is isomorphic to

$$R\Gamma(X \times W; T\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{C}_{U \times S'}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S'})) \simeq R\Gamma(U \times W; \mathcal{O}_{X \times S'}^{t, S'})$$

hence the desired result follows since these isomorphisms are compatible with restrictions to open subsets.

The construction of morphism 2 is similar using 3 of Proposition 3.18 and (5.15) of Theorem 5.8 in [7]. \square

Proposition 3.28. *Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a closed submanifold of S of codimension d . Then we have an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S'/S'})$*

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_S * p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}(\rho_S * p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}), \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t, S}) \simeq i_{X \times S', *}\mathcal{O}_{X \times S'}^{t, S'}[-d]$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_{S*}p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(\rho_{S*}p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}), \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) &\simeq \rho_{S*}\mathbf{D}'(p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m})) \otimes_{\rho_{S*}p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}^L \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S} \\ &\simeq \rho_{S*}(p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}))[-d] \otimes_{\rho_{S*}p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}^L \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S} \\ &\simeq Li_{S'}^* \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}[-d] \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism is given by Lemma 3.22 of [13]. The result then follows by Proposition 3.27 since $i_{X \times S',*} i_{X \times S'}^{-1} Li_{S'}^* = Li_{S'}^*$. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 3.27 we conclude:

Proposition 3.29 (Restriction to the fibers). *Let $s_0 \in S$. We simply denote by $Li_{s_0}^*$ the functor $Li_{\{s_0\}}^*$ on $\mathbf{D}^b(\rho_{S*}(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S))$. There are natural morphisms*

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_X^t \rightarrow Li_{s_0}^* \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}|_{X \times \{s_0\}}$
- (2) $Li_{s_0}^* \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{w,S} \rightarrow i_{X \times \{s_0\},*} \mathcal{O}_X^w$

which are isomorphisms, where we identify X_{sa} with $X_{sa} \times \{s_0\}$.

We consider now a morphism $h : S' \rightarrow S$ of complex analytic manifolds and still denote by h the map $\text{Id}_X \boxtimes h : X \times S' \rightarrow X \times S$.

Proposition 3.30 (Inverse image). *There exists a natural morphism*

$$h^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times S'}^{t,S'}$$

which coincides with the usual morphism

$$h^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S'}$$

when $X = pt$.

Proof. We recall that the case of a ramification of finite degree was already treated in [3, Lem. 2.11] in a different but equivalent framework (as said above, there one considered the relative site $X_{sa} \times S_{sa}$ instead of $X_{sa} \times S$). The same argument allows us to reduce to prove the existence of a morphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(\rho_{S'*}h^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$

$$h^{-1} C_{X \times S}^{\infty,t,S} \rightarrow C_{X \times S'}^{\infty,t,S'}$$

In that case the morphism is nothing more than the composition with h which commutes with operators in $h^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ and keeps the growth conditions. \square

3.7. Application. Let Y be an hypersurface of the manifold X and assume that Y is a normal crossing divisor. We shall note $X^* := X \setminus Y$ and denote by j either the open inclusion $j : X^* \rightarrow X$ or $j : X^* \times S \rightarrow X \times S$. We also keep j to denote the associated morphism $j : X_{sa}^* \times S \rightarrow X_{sa} \times S$. We still denote by p the restriction of p to $X^* \times S$.

Recall that the notion of S -local system on $X^* \times S$ goes back to the work of Deligne ([1]) and was the object of a systematic study in [13, App.]. We shall denote by p_{X^*} the restriction of p to $X^* \times S$.

A sheaf of $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules is S -locally constant (or an S -local system for short) if there exists a coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module G such that, locally on $X^* \times S$, $F \simeq p^{-1}G$.

The following result is an improvement of a statement in [13, Lemma 3.25] where the locally free case was considered assuming $d_S = 1$; it is one important step to the relative Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for any d_S (the case $d_S = 1$ was proved in [3]).

Proposition 3.31. *Let F be an S -local system on $X^* \times S$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M} := \rho_S^{-1} Rj_*(\rho_{S^*} F \otimes_{\rho_{S^*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}^L j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S})$$

is concentrated in degree zero and

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{M} \simeq \rho_S^{-1} j_*(\rho_{S^*} F \otimes_{\rho_{S^*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S})$$

Proof. Firstly we remark that the statements are local in $X \times S$.

We start by proving the first statement. Let G be a coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module such that F is locally isomorphic to $p^{-1}G$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & Rj_*(\rho_{S^*} F \otimes_{\rho_{S^*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}^L j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \\ & \simeq Rj_*(\rho_{S^*} F \otimes_{\rho_{S^*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}^L (\rho_{S!} \mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S} \otimes_{\rho_{S!} \mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S}}^L j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S})) \\ & \simeq Rj_*((\rho_{S!} F \otimes_{\rho_{S!} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}^L \rho_{S!} \mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S}) \otimes_{\rho_{S!} \mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S}}^L j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \end{aligned}$$

thanks to Proposition 3.16.

Since $\mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S}$ is $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -flat and $\rho_{S!}$ is exact and commutes with \otimes , we have

$$\rho_{S!} F \otimes_{\rho_{S!} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}^L \rho_{S!} \mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S} \simeq \rho_{S!} (F \otimes_{p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S})$$

According to the proof of [13, Th.2.6] we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S}$ -modules

$$F \otimes_{p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S} \simeq p^{-1}G \otimes_{p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_{X^* \times S}$$

hence we may assume from the beginning that $F = p^{-1}G$.

Therefore we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} & \simeq \rho_S^{-1} Rj_*(\rho_{S^*} j^{-1} p^{-1}G \otimes_{\rho_{S^*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \\ & \simeq \rho_S^{-1} Rj_*(j^{-1} \rho_{S^*} p^{-1}G \otimes_{\rho_{S^*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \\ & \simeq \rho_S^{-1} (\rho_{S^*} p^{-1}G \otimes_{\rho_{S^*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} Rj_* j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \\ & \simeq p_X^{-1} G \otimes_{p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S} \rho_S^{-1} Rj_* j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S} \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last isomorphism, we used the commutation of ρ_S^{-1} with \otimes .

The statement follows because, according to Proposition 3.24, we have $\rho_S^{-1} Rj_* j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S} \simeq T\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{X^* \times S}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}(*Y \times S)$

It remains to prove that, for a basis $(U \times V)$ of the topology of $X \times S$, which can be chosen so that U and V are Stein open sets and U subanalytic relatively compact, $R\Gamma(U \times V; Rj_* j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S})$ is concentrated in degree zero.

We have

$$R\Gamma(U \times V; Rj_* j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \simeq R\Gamma((U \setminus Y) \times V; \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}) \simeq R\Gamma((U \setminus Y) \times V; \mathcal{O}_{X \times V}^t)$$

and by Corollary 2.3.5 in [10] this last complex is concentrated in degree zero and thus equals $\Gamma(U \setminus Y) \times V; \mathcal{O}_{X \times V}^t$ which ends the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deligne, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [2] M. J. Edmundo and L. Prelli, *Sheaves on \mathcal{T} -topologies*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **68**, no. 1, 347–381, 2016.
- [3] L. Fiorot, T. Monteiro Fernandes and C. Sabbah, *Relative regular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence*, Proc. London Math.Soc., online first <https://londmathsoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1112/plms.12362>, 3-7-2020
- [4] M. Kashiwara, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **20**, 319–365, 1984.
- [5] M. Kashiwara, *D-modules and microlocal calculus*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 217, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2003.
- [6] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990.
- [7] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Moderate and formal cohomology associated with constructible sheaves*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.), vol. 64, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1996.
- [8] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Ind-sheaves*, Astérisque, vol. 271, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2001.
- [9] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 332, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006.
- [10] P. Schapira, *Vanishing of temperate cohomology on complex manifolds*, arXiv:math/2003.11361, to appear in Annales Henri Lebesgue, 2021.
- [11] T. Monteiro Fernandes and L. Prelli, *Relative subanalytic sheaves*, Fund. Math. **226**, no. 1, 79–100, 2014.
- [12] T. Monteiro Fernandes and C. Sabbah, *On the de Rham complex of mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -modules*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, no. 21, 4961–4984, 2013.
- [13] T. Monteiro Fernandes and C. Sabbah, *Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -modules*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **18**, no. 3, 629–672, 2019.
- [14] L. Prelli, *Sheaves on subanalytic sites*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova. **120**, 167–216, 2008.
- [15] F. Prosmans, *Derived limits in quasi-abelian categories*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège. **68**, 1999.
- [16] G. Tamme, *Introduction to étale cohomology*, Universitext Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [17] A. Wilkie, *Covering definable open subsets by open cells* In: O-minimal Structures, Proceedings of the RAAG Summer School Lisbon 2003, Lecture Notes in Real Algebraic and Analytic Geometry (M. Edmundo, D. Richardson and A. Wilkie eds.), Cuvillier Verlag, 2005.

Teresa Monteiro Fernandes

Centro de Matemática, Aplicações Fundamentais e Investigação operacional
and Departamento de Matemática da Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade
de Lisboa, Bloco C6, Piso 2, Campo Grande, 1749-016, Lisboa, Portugal
mtfernandes@fc.ul.pt

Luca Prelli

Dipartimento di Matematica “Tullio Levi-Civita” Università degli Studi di
Padova, Via Trieste, 63, 35121 Padova Italy
lprelli@math.unipd.it