

ON SOME EXAMPLES OF SPHERICAL FUNCTORS RELATED TO FLOPS

FEDERICO BARBACOVÌ

ABSTRACT. In [Bar20b] we proved that the “flop-flop” autoequivalence can be realized as the spherical twist around a spherical functor whose source category arises naturally from the geometry.

In this companion paper we study in detail some examples so to explicitly describe what the source category looks like. In some cases we are able to prove that the source category respects the known decomposition of the flop-flop autoequivalence, and therefore we tie up our geometric description with formal results which appear in the literature about gluing and splitting of spherical twists around spherical functors.

The examples we treat completely are standard flops (both in the local model and in the family version), and Mukai flops. We also discuss the cases of Grassmannian flops, and the Abuaf flop.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Examples	6
2.1. Standard flops (local model)	8
2.2. Standard flops in families	14
2.3. Mukai flops	19
2.4. Other examples	31
Appendix A. Computations	34
A.1. Standard flops (local model)	34
A.2. Standard flops (family case)	37
A.3. Mukai flops	48
References	64

1. INTRODUCTION

To every smooth projective variety X we can attach its derived category $D^b(X)$, and this association can be understood as providing us with a bridge between geometry and homological algebra. Many properties of X can be read off from $D^b(X)$, such as

its dimension, its Kodaira dimension, etc., and therefore we are very interested in its structure and its symmetries, *i.e.* the group of autoequivalences $\text{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

There is a well known-conjecture of Bondal-Orlov and Kawamata, [BO02], [Kaw02], that says that two K-equivalent varieties X_- and X_+ should be D-equivalent, *i.e.* $D^b(X_-) \simeq D^b(X_+)$. As K-equivalence implies the existence of a birational correspondence, it often happens that the D-equivalence is proved by means of pull-push functors. In particular, as the situation is symmetrical, when this is the case we get an autoequivalence of $D^b(X_+)$. We will call such autoequivalence the flop-flop autoequivalence even if the two varieties don't need to be related by a flop.

In [Bar20b] considering a roof as below¹

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widehat{X} & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ X_- & & X_+ \end{array}$$

we proved that if $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_-}$, $q_*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_+}$, and the flop functors

$$q_*p^* : D_{qc}(X_-) \longrightarrow D_{qc}(X_+), \quad p_*q^* : D_{qc}(X_+) \longrightarrow D_{qc}(X_-)$$

are equivalences, then the autoequivalence $q_*p^*p_*q^* \in \text{Aut}(D_{qc}(X_+))$ can be realized as the spherical twist around the spherical functor²

$$\bar{q}_* : \text{Ker } \bar{p}_* \longrightarrow D_{qc}(X_+).$$

Similar statements hold for the subcategory of perfect complexes and for that of complexes with bounded and coherent cohomologies, but for the latter we need to assume that p and q are proper and of finite Tor dimension. We refer the interested reader to [Bar20b] for precise statements and for an explanation of why we pass from $D^b(-)$ to $D_{qc}(-)$.

This paper will be a companion to *ibidem* in the sense that we will be concerned in describing explicitly the source category $\text{Ker } \bar{p}_* \subset D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$ in some examples.

Examples. As the construction of the source category for the spherical functor described in *ibidem* is very abstract, we deemed necessary to work through a few examples in detail.

We fulfil this aim in the present paper, in which we give an explicit description of the category

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}} := \text{Ker } \bar{p}_* = \text{Ker } p_* / \mathcal{K}$$

¹Here the schemes are only assumed to be separated, of finite type, and of finite Krull dimension over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. \uparrow

²Here \bar{q}_* and \bar{p}_* are the functors induced by q_* and p_* on the Verdier quotient $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$. \uparrow

in the following cases: the local model of the standard flops, standard flops in families, and Mukai flops.

Before stating the results, let us mention that in the final section of the paper we will also walk through some other interesting examples where, unfortunately, we were not able to give an explicit description of the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ that reflected the known structure of the flop-flop autoequivalence. Namely, we will look at Grassmannian flops, [DS14], [HL15], and the Abuaf flop, [Seg16].

In general the question of describing $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ can be quite hard because of the many ways in which an autoequivalence can be presented as the (inverse) spherical twist around a spherical functor. However, in the cases of standard flops and Mukai flops we can base ourselves on [ADM19] and [Bar20a].

The former paper contains a description of the flop-flop autoequivalence (in the cases mentioned above) as the composition of simpler autoequivalences for which we have explicit models as (inverse) spherical twists around spherical functors.

On the other hand, the latter paper contains a formal result about gluing of spherical functors. More precisely, in [Bar20a] we described a construction to present the composition of multiple spherical twists as the spherical twist around a single spherical functor. The procedure takes as input any number of spherical twist T_{F_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n$, around spherical functors $F_i : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, and outputs a category \mathcal{A} together with a spherical functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $T_F = T_{F_1} \circ \dots \circ T_{F_n}$. Moreover, the source category admits a SOD $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$ such that $F|_{\mathcal{A}_i} = F_i$.

As a combination of the above results, when trying to describe $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ we follow the general principle that if the flop-flop autoequivalence can be described as the composition of other autoequivalences, then we expect $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ to have a SOD that reflects this splitting, in line with the gluing procedure we outlined.

Let us now state our results. For the introduction to the various situations treated, we refer to the sections in which the theorems are proved.

Standard flops, local case. The results of [ADM19] tell us that the flop-flop autoequivalence for the local model of the standard flops is given by

$$q_* p^* p_* q^* \simeq T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)}^{-1} \circ T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n)}^{-1},$$

where on the right hand side we have the inverses of spherical twists around spherical objects.

In the case of spherical twists around spherical objects, the procedure of [Bar20a] is very simple. We construct a directed DGA whose associated graded algebra is isomorphic to the subalgebra of the graded algebra

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(X_+)} \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i), \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i) \right)$$

given by the identities and the maps (of any degree) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)$ for $i > j$. The basic idea is that, if one looks at the formula defining the composition of two spherical twists, then it is clear that the order in which we twist imposes an order on the morphisms between the objects, which is reflected in the fact that the DGA is directed. Then, the derived category of the DGA gives us the source category for the spherical functor. Based on this, we expect to describe $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ in these terms. We prove that this expectation is indeed correct, and we get³

Theorem A (Theorem 2.1.4). *There exists a DGA S^\rightarrow whose associated graded algebra is the one described above and an equivalence $D(S^\rightarrow) \simeq \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. In particular,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{\cong} \overbrace{\langle D(k), D(k), \dots, D(k) \rangle}^{n\text{-copies}}$$

is an SOD such that $\overline{q}_*|_{D(k)} \simeq - \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)$, where $D(k)$ is the i -th copy counting right to left.

In particular, the above theorem matches up the formal construction of [Bar20a] with the geometric realization of the flop-flop autoequivalence as a spherical twist around a spherical functor.

Standard flops, family case. The local case paves the way for the family case of standard flops, *i.e.* the generalization in which we flop simultaneously a family of \mathbb{P}^n 's over a base Z , and in which we don't assume X_- to be the total space of a vector bundle. In [ADM19], the authors also treat this case, and they prove a splitting result for the flop-flop autoequivalence similar to the one for the local case. They substitute the structure sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)$ with functors whose source category is $D^b(Z)$. More precisely, they consider the functors

$$J_{-i} : D^b(Z) \longrightarrow D^b(X_+), \quad F \longmapsto (j_+)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-i) \otimes \omega_+^* F),$$

they prove that the J_i 's are spherical, and that the flop-flop autoequivalence splits as

$$q_* p^* p_* q^* \simeq T_{J_{-1}}^{-1} \circ T_{J_{-2}}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ T_{J_{-n}}^{-1}.$$

We prove that also in the family case the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ admits a SOD that reflects the splitting of the flop-flop autoequivalence, and we prove that the morphisms between the spherical functors respect the gluing procedure of [Bar20a].

A precise statement would take us too long as it would require the introduction of a lot of notation, therefore we summarise the result in a similar fashion to what we did in the local case, and we refer the interested reader to [Subsection 2.2](#).

³See the statement of the theorem for the precise construction of the DGA. \uparrow

Theorem B. *The source category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ has a SOD of the form*

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \overbrace{\langle D_{qc}(Z), D_{qc}(Z), \dots, D_{qc}(Z) \rangle}^{n\text{-copies}}$$

such that $\overline{q}_*|_{D_{qc}(Z)} \simeq J_{-i}$, where $D_{qc}(Z)$ is the i -th copy counting right to left.

Mukai flops. In [ADM19], the authors prove that for Mukai flops we have an isomorphism of functors

$$q_* p^* p_* q^* \simeq P_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)}^{-1} \circ P_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ P_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n)}^{-1},$$

where the functors in the right hand side are the \mathbb{P} -twists around the \mathbb{P} -objects $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-j)$, see [HT06] for the construction of this autoequivalence, [Add16], [Cau12] for the case of split \mathbb{P} -functors, and [AL19] for the general case of \mathbb{P} -twist around \mathbb{P} -functors.

\mathbb{P} -twist around a fixed \mathbb{P} -object can be realized as the spherical twist around a spherical functor whose source category is given by $D(k[q])$, where q is a variable of degree 2, see [Seg18]. For this reason one would expect $\text{Ker } p_* / \mathcal{K}$ to be the derived category of a DGA whose cohomology is a (infinite dimensional) directed graded algebra. Unfortunately, this expectation is wrong.

However, things are not as bad as it may seem. In describing an autoequivalence as the (inverse) spherical twist around a spherical functor, one has many possible choices for the source category of the functor. This is what is happening here: we chose the wrong source category. More precisely, we are able to give a description of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ in terms of a ‘‘Koszul dual algebra’’ of the one we hoped to find, see Theorem 2.3.6. In order to do so, we use as the source category for a single \mathbb{P} -twist the category outlined in [Seg18, Remark 4.4], namely $D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))$, where ε is a variable of degree -1 .

Further to the global description, we find a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ which is equivalent to subcategory of compact objects of the derived category of the DGA we initially looked for, thus recovering the picture we drew our inspiration from.

Once again, a precise statement would require us to introduce too many pieces of notation, and therefore we summarise our results in the following theorem, directing the interested reader to Subsection 2.3.

Theorem C. *There exists a SOD*

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \overbrace{\langle D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)), \dots, D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)) \rangle}^{n\text{-copies}}$$

such that

$$\overline{q}_*|_{D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))} \simeq - \otimes_{k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)}^L \left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)[-2] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i) \right]$$

where $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)[-2] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i) \right]$ is the canonical extension of degree 2 of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)$ with itself, and $D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))$ is the i -th copy counting right to left.

Moreover, there exists a subcategory $\overline{\mathcal{T}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ together with a SOD

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}} \stackrel{\text{sod}}{=} \overbrace{\langle \mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(k[q]), \dots, \mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(k[q]) \rangle}^{n\text{-copies}}$$

such that $\overline{q}_*|_{\mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(k[q])} \simeq - \otimes_{k[q]}^L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)$, where $\mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(k[q])$ is the i -th copy counting right to left.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my advisor Ed Segal for many helpful conversations. I would also like to thank Will Donovan for reading a draft of this paper and providing many suggestions, and Agnieszka Bodzenta for explaining to me some technicalities of [BB15]. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No.725010).

Notation. We group the notation that will be used and introduced throughout the paper.

- (1) All the functors will be implicitly derived, except for the hom functor, the sheaf hom functor and, in some particular cases, tensor products over (differential graded) algebras. Thus, we will have $q_* = Rq_*$, $q^* = Lq^*$, etc.
- (2) Unless otherwise stated, all (derived) categories of (DG) modules are of right (DG) modules.
- (3) We will use the following convention for fonts: E, F, G, \dots will be used for objects in categories, $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}, \dots$ will be used for triangulated categories, and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \dots$ will be used for DG categories. The only exception will be for Fourier Mukai kernels, which will be denoted by letters $\mathcal{I}_{a,b}, \mathcal{R}_{-j}$ in [Subsection 2.2](#).
- (4) Let \mathcal{T} be a cocomplete triangulated category, and $M \in \mathcal{T}$. Then
 - $\langle M \rangle$ will denote the smallest cocomplete subcategory containing M ;
 - $\langle\langle M \rangle\rangle$ will denote the smallest thick subcategory containing M .
- (5) If a collection of full triangulated subcategories $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ gives a semiorthogonal decomposition of \mathcal{T} , we will write $\langle \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \rangle \stackrel{\text{sod}}{=} \mathcal{T}$.
- (6) When we write $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j) = 0$, we mean $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A_i, A_j) = 0$ for any $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $A_j \in \mathcal{A}_j$.
- (7) Given a triangulated category \mathcal{T} and two objects $E, F \in \mathcal{T}$, we will denote the graded module associated to E and F as

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\bullet}(E, F) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, F[n])[-n].$$

2. EXAMPLES

For the duration of the paper k will be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

For later reference let us recall the following

Lemma 2.0.1. *Let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} be compactly generated triangulated categories and let $F : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be an exact functor. Assume that F commutes with arbitrary direct sums and that F preserves compact objects. If $F|_{\mathcal{T}^c} : \mathcal{T}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^c$ is an equivalence, then F is an equivalence.*

Proof. This is simply [KL15, Lemma 2.12] with $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{T}^c$. \square

Proposition 2.0.2 ([LS16, Proposition B1]). *Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category and let $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Assume that \mathcal{T} has a DG enhancement $\Phi : H^0(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ and that $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is such that $\Phi(D) \simeq T$. Then, if we denote $B = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(D, D)$, we have*

- if \mathcal{T} is idempotent complete, then $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \simeq D(B)^c$ as triangulated categories;
- if \mathcal{T} is cocomplete, and T is compact, then $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \simeq D(B)^c$ and $\langle T \rangle \simeq D(B)$ as triangulated categories.

Proof. Take $D = Z = P$ and $z = \text{id}$ in [LS16, Proposition B1]. \square

Moreover, let us recall the main theorem of [Bar20b]. Consider a diagram as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widehat{X} & \\ & \swarrow p & \searrow q \\ X_- & & X_+ \end{array}$$

where the schemes are assumed to be separated, of finite type, and of finite Krull dimension. Moreover, assume that we have isomorphisms $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_-}$, $q_*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_+}$, and that the functors $p_*q^* : D_{qc}(X_+) \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_-)$, $q_*p^* : D_{qc}(X_-) \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$ are equivalences. Set $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker } p_* \cap \text{Ker } q_*$. Then, we have

Theorem 2.0.3 ([Bar20b, Theorem 3.3.3]). *Under the above assumptions we have a four periodic SOD*

$$\begin{aligned} D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K} &\stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \langle \text{Ker } \bar{p}_*, \bar{p}^* D_{qc}(X_-) \rangle \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \langle \bar{p}^* D_{qc}(X_-), \text{Ker } \bar{q}_* \rangle \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \\ &\langle \text{Ker } \bar{q}_*, \bar{q}^* D_{qc}(X_+) \rangle \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \langle \bar{q}^* D_{qc}(X_+), \text{Ker } \bar{p}_* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

whose associated spherical functor $\Psi := \bar{q}_* \circ i_{\text{Ker } \bar{p}_*} : \text{Ker } \bar{p}_* \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$ induces the flop-flop autoequivalence $q_*p^*p_*q^* \in \text{Aut}(D_{qc}(X_+))$ as the inverse twist T_{Ψ}^{-1} .

Moreover, we have a four periodic SOD

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K})^c &\stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \langle (\text{Ker } \bar{p}_*)^c, \bar{p}^* \mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(X_-) \rangle \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \langle \bar{p}^* \mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(X_-), (\text{Ker } \bar{q}_*)^c \rangle \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \\ &\langle (\text{Ker } \bar{q}_*)^c, \bar{q}^* \mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(X_+) \rangle \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} \langle \bar{q}^* \mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(X_+), (\text{Ker } \bar{p}_*)^c \rangle \end{aligned}$$

whose associated spherical functor $\Psi|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}^c} : \overline{\mathcal{C}}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(X_+)$ induces the flop-flop autoequivalence $q_*p^*p_*q^* \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(X_+))$ as the inverse twist $T_{\Psi|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}^c}}^{-1}$.

Finally, if p and q are proper and of finite Tor dimension, then we have a four periodic SOD

$$D^b(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}^b \stackrel{\text{sod}}{=} \langle \text{Ker } \bar{p}_*, \bar{p}^* D^b(X_-) \rangle \stackrel{\text{sod}}{=} \langle \bar{p}^* D^b(X_-), \text{Ker } \bar{q}_* \rangle \stackrel{\text{sod}}{=} \\ \langle \text{Ker } \bar{q}_*, \bar{q}^* D^b(X_+) \rangle \stackrel{\text{sod}}{=} \langle \bar{q}^* D^b(X_+), \text{Ker } \bar{p}_* \rangle$$

whose associated spherical functor $\Psi^b := \bar{q}_* \circ i_{\text{Ker } \bar{p}_*} : \text{Ker } \bar{p}_* \rightarrow D^b(X_+)$ induces the flop-flop autoequivalence $q_* p^* p_* q^* \in \text{Aut}(D^b(X_+))$ as the inverse twist $T_{\Psi^b}^{-1}$.

In the unbounded case we will use the notation $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \text{Ker } \bar{p}_*$, while if we ever want to refer to $\text{Ker } \bar{p}_* \subset D^b(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}^b$, we denote it in this way. Moreover, recall that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ can be equivalently defined as

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \langle \text{Im}(Q \circ \pi \circ q^*) \rangle = Q(\mathcal{C}), \quad \mathcal{C} = \text{Im}(\pi \circ q^*), \quad \pi = \text{cone}(p^* p_* \rightarrow \text{id}),$$

where Im means the essential image, and $Q : D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$ is the quotient functor. Finally, recall that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is compactly generated by a single compact object, and that for any compact generator $F \in D_{qc}(X_+)$ we get a compact generator of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ as

$$\overline{F} := Q(\text{cone}(p^* p_* q^* F \rightarrow q^* F)).$$

2.1. Standard flops (local model). The first example in which we apply the general theory we described in [Bar20b] is that of standard flops. Let $X_- = X_+ = \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\oplus n+1})$, and consider

$$R = H^0(X_-, \mathcal{O}_{X_-}) = H^0(X_+, \mathcal{O}_{X_+}) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{Sym}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\oplus n+1}).$$

Then, if we set $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$ and $\widehat{X} = \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n}(-1, -1))$, we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widehat{X} & \\ & \swarrow p & \searrow q \\ X_- & & X_+ \\ & \searrow f_- & \swarrow f_+ \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

where f_- and f_+ are the affinization maps. To ease the notation we will denote $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}$. It is well known that the flops functors $p^* q_*$ and $q_* p^*$ give derived equivalences between $D^b(X_+)$ and $D^b(X_-)$, see [BO95]. For the sake of completeness we prove the following

Lemma 2.1.1. *The flop functor $p_* q^*$ is an equivalence between $D_{qc}(X_+)$ and $D_{qc}(X_-)$. Similarly, $q_* p^*$ gives an equivalence between $D_{qc}(X_-)$ and $D_{qc}(X_+)$.*

Proof. This follows from [Lemma 2.0.1](#) applied to $\mathcal{T} = D_{qc}(X_+)$, $\mathcal{S} = D_{qc}(X_-)$, and $F = p_*q^*$, as the hypotheses of the lemma are satisfied. Similarly for q_*p^* . \square

Therefore, we can apply⁴ [Theorem 2.0.3](#). Thus, we know that the functor $\Psi : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$ is spherical and the inverse of the twist around it gives the autoequivalence $q_*p^*p_*q^*$.

We recall the following result from [\[ADM19\]](#).

Theorem 2.1.2. *The object $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is spherical in $D_{qc}(X_+)$, and we have an isomorphism of functors*

$$q_*p^*p_*q^* \simeq T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)}^{-1} \circ T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2)}^{-1} \cdots \circ T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n)}^{-1}.$$

Our aim is now to provide an explicit description of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

Remark 2.1.3. Let us notice that the decomposition of the autoequivalence $q_*p^*p_*q^*$ permits us to guess a candidate for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Precisely, considering [\[Bar20a, Theorem 3.2.1\]](#), we would expect $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ to have a SOD of the form

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \overbrace{\langle D(k), D(k), \dots, D(k) \rangle}^{n\text{-copies}},$$

where we are considering k as a DGA concentrated in degree zero. Moreover, from *ibidem* we would expect that the cotwist around Ψ gives Serre duality on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ up to a shift. We will indeed prove this guess true (the one about Serre duality being a consequence of the description of the spherical functor and the results in *ibidem*). In particular, we can apply [\[HLS16, Theorem 4.14\]](#) recursively and deduce that the spherical twist around the spherical functor Ψ , and hence the flop-flop functor, factors as the composition of the inverses of n -spherical twists around the spherical functors

$$\Psi|_{D(k)} \simeq - \otimes_k^L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i),$$

where $D(k)$ is the i -th copy in the SOD going right to left. This gives another proof of the decomposition of the flop-flop functor proved in [\[ADM19\]](#).

Before stating the result, we need to introduce some notation. Consider h-injective resolutions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n)$ on X_+ , and denote them I_1, \dots, I_n . Then, call S the DGA given by

$$S = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{X_+}}(I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n, I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n).$$

We then define the subDGA S^{\rightarrow} generated by id_{I_i} and the arrows going from I_i to I_j for $i > j$. We will employ this notation every time we want to define such a directed subDGA of a given DGA with a similar “quiver” structure.

⁴Notice that p and q are also of finite tor dimension because X_{\pm} are smooth, and they are proper as they are blow ups of finite type ideals. \uparrow

Notice that because the subDGA S^\rightarrow has the property⁵

$$d(s) \in S^\rightarrow \implies s \in S^\rightarrow, \quad s \in S, \quad (1)$$

we have that $H^\bullet(S^\rightarrow)$ is the subalgebra of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(X_+)}^\bullet \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i), \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i) \right)$$

generated by the identities and the arrows (of any degree) going from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)$ for $i > j$.

We have

Theorem 2.1.4. *There exists a choice of h -injective resolutions I_1, \dots, I_n of the objects $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n)$ such that we have an equivalence of categories $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \simeq D(S^\rightarrow)$, and under this equivalence the spherical functor Ψ is identified with*

$$- \otimes_{S^\rightarrow}^L I_n \oplus \dots \oplus I_1.$$

In order to prove the above theorem, we will prove

Lemma 2.1.5. *The object*

$$T = Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -n))$$

is a compact generator for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

Lemma 2.1.6. *We have an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(T, T) \simeq H^\bullet(S^\rightarrow).$$

induced by the pushforward functor $\overline{q}_ : D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K} \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$.*

For the proof of the above lemmas, see [Subsection A.1](#).

Remark 2.1.7. Let us remark that if we were to consider the case $n = 1$ and apply the theory developed by Bodzenta and Bondal in [BB15], then the generator we would obtain is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) = \mathcal{H}^0(q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1))$. Thus, we are generalizing the construction of the generator for the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ considering all the $\mathcal{H}^0(q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j))$'s for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Remark 2.1.8. Notice that if we considered the object

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -n)$$

on \widehat{X} , then the smallest cocomplete subcategory generated by it would not be the correct source category for the spherical functor, except in the case $n = 1$. Indeed, the only object of the above direct sum that lives in \mathcal{C} is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$. All the others don't, and

⁵This is because the differential doesn't change the endpoints of the arrows. \uparrow

morphisms between them change when we pass to the quotient category. For example, say we take $n = 2$, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{X})}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)) \simeq k^3,$$

but what we should get (bearing in mind the construction of [Bar20a]) is

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(X_+)}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)) \simeq k^3 \oplus k^3[-1].$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1.4. In [Bar20b, Theorem 3.3.1] we proved that the quotient functor restricts to an equivalence between the category $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{C}, p^* D_{qc}(X_-) \rangle$ and $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$, and therefore to an equivalence $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. In particular, Lemma 2.1.5 tells us that a compact generator for \mathcal{C} is given by⁶

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n i_{\mathcal{T}} i_{\mathcal{T}}^R(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)).$$

Fix compact generators $E \in D_{qc}(X_+)$ and $F \in D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$, and choose h-injective resolutions I_E and I_F . Then, we have equivalences

$$\Phi : D(R_E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D_{qc}(X_+) \qquad \Psi : D(R_F) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D_{qc}(\widehat{X}),$$

where R_E and R_F are the DG endomorphism algebras of I_E and I_F .

Now notice that the pushdown functor $q_* : D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$ admits a dg lift, *i.e.* it comes from a morphism in $\mathrm{DGCat}[\text{quasi equivalences}^{-1}]$ (the localization of the category of DG categories at quasi equivalences⁷) between $\mathcal{P}(R_F)$ and $\mathcal{P}(R_E)$, where $\mathcal{P}(-)$ denotes the category of h-projective DG modules.⁸

As DGCat admits a model structure whose homotopy category is given by the category⁹ $\mathrm{DGCat}[\text{quasi equivalences}^{-1}]$, and in which every category is fibrant, [Tab05], we can fix a cofibrant replacement \mathcal{A} for $\mathcal{P}(R_F)$ and obtain a roof of DG categories and DG functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{A} & \\ \alpha \swarrow & & \searrow \beta \\ \mathcal{P}(R_F) & & \mathcal{P}(R_E), \end{array}$$

⁶The existence of the right adjoint to the inclusion is a consequence of Brown representability because \mathcal{T} is generated by a single compact object, see [Bar20b, Lemma 2.3.2] and references therein.↑

⁷A DG functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between dg categories is a quasi equivalence if it induces quasi isomorphism between the complexes of morphisms, and $H^0(F)$ is essentially surjective.↑

⁸For a detailed explanation of why this is the case, see [AL17, Example 4.2] and references therein.↑

⁹A remark is in order here. The model category structure is defined on the category of *small* DG categories, hence we should choose a universe \mathbb{U} containing an infinite set and for which all the categories of interest, namely $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$ and $D_{qc}(X_+)$, are small.↑

where α is a quasi equivalence, and $\Phi \circ H^0(\beta) \circ H^0(\alpha)^{-1} \circ \Psi^{-1} \simeq q_*$.

Now call $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ an object such that $\Psi(H^0(\alpha)(A_i)) \simeq i_{\mathcal{I}} i_{\mathcal{I}}^R(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i))$ (such an object exists because α is a quasi equivalence). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \circ H^0(\beta)(A_i) &\simeq \Phi \circ H^0(\beta) \circ H^0(\alpha)^{-1} \circ \Psi^{-1} (i_{\mathcal{I}} i_{\mathcal{I}}^R(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i))) \\ &\simeq q_* (i_{\mathcal{I}} i_{\mathcal{I}}^R(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i))) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i). \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we set $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$, we get a roof of DG algebras and morphism of DG algebras¹⁰

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A) & \\ \alpha \swarrow & & \searrow \beta \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_F)}(\alpha(A), \alpha(A)) & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_E)}(\beta(A), \beta(A)), \end{array}$$

where α is a quasi isomorphism, and such that $H^\bullet(\beta) \circ H^\bullet(\alpha)^{-1}$ induces, after the various identifications, the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}}(T, T) \xrightarrow{\bar{q}_*} H^\bullet(S^{\rightarrow}).$$

of [Lemma 2.1.6](#).

Now notice that by construction all these DG algebras have a “quiver” structure (meaning that they are the endomorphism DG algebras of the direct sums of some objects). In particular, it makes sense to perform the construction $(-)^{\rightarrow}$ we introduced above.

Notice that (1) holds even for these DG algebras. In particular, as by [Lemma 2.1.6](#) we know that the cohomology of the DG algebras $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_F)}(\alpha(A), \alpha(A))$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A)$ is directed, we get that the inclusions

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_F)}(\alpha(A), \alpha(A))^{\rightarrow} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_F)}(\alpha(A), \alpha(A)),$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A)^{\rightarrow} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A),$$

¹⁰Here we abuse notation and we still call α, β the maps induced by the functors between the complexes of morphisms. \uparrow

are quasi isomorphisms. Moreover, the diagram above gives rise to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A)^{\rightarrow} & & & & \\
 \alpha \downarrow & \searrow^{\beta} & & & \\
 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_F)}(\alpha(A), \alpha(A))^{\rightarrow} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_E)}(\beta(A), \beta(A))^{\rightarrow} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_E)}(\beta(A), \beta(A)),
 \end{array}$$

where α is a quasi isomorphism by construction, and $\tilde{\beta}$ is a quasi isomorphism by Lemma 2.1.6.

Now notice that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_E)}(\beta(A), \beta(A))$ is (up to a roof) quasi isomorphic to S (for some choice of h-injective resolutions I_j), and even more, the “quiver” structure is preserved. Indeed, this follows from how the equivalence $D(R_E) \simeq D_{qc}(X_+)$ is constructed.

More precisely, the choice of the objet I_E gives rise to a quasi functor $\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{X_+} \rightarrow \mathcal{SF}(R_E)$, where $\mathcal{SF}(R_E)$ is the DG category of *semifree* DG modules.¹¹ We have an inclusion $\mathcal{SF}(R_E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(R_E)$ which is in fact a quasi equivalence, and the underlying functor of the above quasi functor is the desired equivalence $D(R_E) \simeq D_{qc}(X_+)$. Fixing a cofibrant replacement of $\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{X_+}$, we can proceed in a similar fashion to what we did above to construct a roof of quasi isomorphisms between $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_E)}(\beta(A), \beta(A))$ and (a model of) S which preserves the “quiver” structure. Namely, fix semifree replacements A_j^{sf} for $\beta(A_j)$, lift them to elements $B_j \in \mathcal{B}$ for \mathcal{B} a cofibrant replacement of $\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{X_+}$ (this can be done because the arrow $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{SF}(R_E)$ will be a quasi equivalence being the quasi functor $\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{X_+} \rightarrow \mathcal{SF}(R_E)$ a quasi equivalence), and then take the images I_j of these B_j in $\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{X_+}$. Notice that I_j ’s are h-injective resolutions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)$ by (2), and they are our chosen resolutions.

As this roof of quasi isomorphisms preserves the “quiver” structure, in particular we get that it induces a roof of quasi isomorphisms between $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_E)}(\beta(A), \beta(A))^{\rightarrow}$ and S^{\rightarrow} .

Using all the quasi isomorphisms we constructed, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\mathcal{C}} &\simeq D(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_F)}(\alpha(A), \alpha(A))) \\
 &\simeq D(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_F)}(\alpha(A), \alpha(A))^{\rightarrow}) \\
 &\simeq D(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A)^{\rightarrow}) \\
 &\simeq D(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}(R_E)}(\beta(A), \beta(A))^{\rightarrow}) \\
 &\simeq D(S^{\rightarrow}),
 \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted.

To get the description of the spherical functor, notice that all our functors admit DG lifts. Hence, they are represented by DG bimodules, and to get the bimodule we just

¹¹See [LO10, Remark 1.1] for the construction of the quasi functor, and *ibidem*, page 5, for the definition of semifree DG module.↑

have to map the DG algebra. In our case, we have to find the image of S^\rightarrow . However, by construction S^\rightarrow is mapped to $I_n \oplus \cdots \oplus I_1$, and the statement follows. \square

Remark 2.1.9. Let us notice that [Theorem 2.1.4](#) completely matches $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ with the formal construction of [[Bar20a](#), Theorem 4.1.2].

2.2. Standard flops in families. We now want to generalize the previous example. Until now, we have put ourselves in a local situation. Namely, we had the total space of a vector bundle, and $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$ was the zero section. We have two ways to generalize this setup. First, we can take X_- to be any variety. Then, \mathbb{P} would be embedded as a closed subscheme such that $N_{\mathbb{P}/X_-} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)^{n+1}$. Second, we could consider a projective bundle $\omega_- : \mathbb{P}V_- \rightarrow Z$ over a variety Z , and embed it in X_- with normal bundle $N_{\mathbb{P}V_-/X_-} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_-}(-1) \otimes \omega_-^* V_+$, where V_+ is another vector bundle of rank $n+1$ over Z . The first generalization is the special case $Z = \text{pt}$. Notice that when Z is general, we have a family version of the case $Z = \text{pt}$. By this we mean that we are simultaneously flopping a family of projective spaces over the base Z .

We will directly tackle the second generalization. This is the same setup as in [[ADM19](#)]. Precisely, we will consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}V_- & \xhookrightarrow{j_-} & X_- \\ \downarrow \omega_- & & \\ Z, & & \end{array}$$

where Z is a smooth complex variety, V_- is a vector bundle of rank $n+1$ over Z , and j_- is a closed embedding with normal bundle $N_{\mathbb{P}V_-/X_-} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_-}(-1) \otimes \omega_-^* V_+$ for some vector bundle V_+ of rank $n+1$ over Z . Then, one can flop X_- along $j_-(\mathbb{P}V_-)$, see [[Huy06](#)], obtaining the following

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \widehat{X} & & \\ & & \uparrow i & & \\ & & E = \mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+ & & \\ & p \swarrow & & \searrow q & \\ X_- & \xleftarrow{j_-} & \mathbb{P}V_- & \xrightarrow{\pi_-} & \mathbb{P}V_+ & \xrightarrow{j_+} & X_+ \\ & \searrow \omega_- & & \swarrow \omega_+ & & & \\ & & Z & & & & \end{array}$$

The hypotheses of [Theorem 2.0.3](#) are satisfied¹² because we can apply [Lemma 2.0.1](#) and deduce the equivalence $D_{qc}(X_-) \simeq D_{qc}(X_+)$ (via the flop functors) from the equivalences between the bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves.

Consider the fully faithful functors

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,b}(-) &:= i_* (\mathcal{O}_E(a, b) \otimes \pi_-^* \omega_-^*(-)) : D_{qc}(Z) \longrightarrow D_{qc}(\widehat{X}), \\ \Phi_k(-) &:= i_* (\mathcal{O}_E(kE) \otimes \pi_-^*(-)) : D_{qc}(\mathbb{P}V_-) \longrightarrow D_{qc}(\widehat{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that by Grothendieck-Verdier duality $I_{a,b}$ has a left adjoint defined as

$$I_{a,b}^L = (\omega_-)_*(\pi_-)^* (\omega_{E/Z}[\dim E - \dim Z] \otimes (\mathcal{O}_E(-a, -b) \otimes i^*-)),$$

where $\omega_{E/Z}$ is computed relative to the map $\pi_- \circ \omega_- = \pi_+ \circ \omega_+$.

In [\[ADM19\]](#), the authors prove that the flop-flop autoequivalence $q_* p^* p_* q^*$ splits as

$$q_* p^* p_* q^* \simeq T_{J_{-1}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{J_{-n}}^{-1},$$

where $J_{-i} : D_{qc}(Z) \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$ is the spherical functor

$$J_{-i}(F) = (j_+)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-i) \otimes \omega_+^* F).$$

As it was the case for the local model, we expect $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ to have a SOD reflecting this splitting. Moreover, we would like to prove that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is the gluing of copies of $D_{qc}(Z)$ along the spherical functors J_{-i} , so to prove that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ respects the framework of [\[Bar20a, Theorem 4.1.2\]](#).

In order to achieve this description of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, we will proceed in two steps. First, we prove the existence of the SOD. Second, we compute the morphisms between the categories appearing in the SOD. As computing morphisms is a task we can accomplish when we work in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$, the first thing we do is describing a SOD of \mathcal{C} which will induce the sought SOD for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. This will give us a model to work with in the unquotiented derived category $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$.

Remark 2.2.1. In [\[ADM19\]](#), at the end of section 2, the authors point out that the flop-flop functor should fit into the framework of [\[HLS16, Theorem 3.11\]](#). Our four periodic SOD implements this framework, and in this section we give an explicit description of the spherical pair that arises.

Recall the definition of the right mutation¹³ along $Im(I_{a,b})$ as an endofunctor of $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$:

$$\mathbb{R}_{a,b} = \text{cone}(\text{id} \rightarrow I_{a,b} I_{a,b}^L)[-1].$$

¹²Notice that we have more: as in the local case, p and q are of finite tor dimension as X_{\pm} are smooth, and they are proper as they are blow ups in finite type ideals. \uparrow

¹³Notice that $Im(I_{a,b})$ is both left and right admissible. \uparrow

The blow up formula, together with [HL15, Lemma 3.20], tell us that we have a SOD

$$D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) = \langle \text{Im}(\Phi_n), \dots, \text{Im}(\Phi_2), \text{Im}(\Phi_1), p^* D_{qc}(X_-) \rangle.$$

Moreover, noticing that $\mathcal{O}_E(kE) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+}(-k, -k)$, we can use Orlov's semiorthogonal decomposition of projective bundles to get that

$$\text{Im}(\Phi_k) = \langle \text{Im}(I_{-k+a, -k}), \dots, \text{Im}(I_{-k+n+a, -k}) \rangle \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Putting these two things together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) = & \langle \text{Im}(I_{-n, -n}), \text{Im}(I_{-n+1, -n}), \dots, \text{Im}(I_{-1, -n}), \text{Im}(I_{0, -n}), \\ & \text{Im}(I_{-n, -n+1}), \text{Im}(I_{-n+1, -n+1}), \dots, \text{Im}(I_{-1, -n+1}), \text{Im}(I_{0, -n+1}), \\ & \dots \\ & \text{Im}(I_{-n, -1}), \text{Im}(I_{-n+1, -1}), \dots, \text{Im}(I_{-1, -1}), \text{Im}(I_{0, -1}), \\ & p^* D_{qc}(X_-) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Notice that every functor $I_{j,k}$ for $-n \leq j, k \leq -1$ has image contained in \mathcal{H} . Therefore, in order to be able to obtain a SOD of the form

$$D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) = \langle \mathcal{H}, \square, p^* D_{qc}(X_-) \rangle,$$

we have to move the subcategories $\text{Im}(I_{0,k})$, for $-n \leq k \leq -1$, to the right of the subcategories contained in \mathcal{H} . This is achieved by applying some right mutation functors. Precisely, if we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_k &:= \mathbb{R}_{-1, -1} \circ \mathbb{R}_{-2, -1} \circ \dots \circ \mathbb{R}_{-n, -1} \circ \dots \circ \mathbb{R}_{-1, k} \circ \mathbb{R}_{-2, k} \circ \dots \circ \mathbb{R}_{-n, k} \\ & \quad k = -1, \dots, -n+1 \end{aligned}$$

we get the SOD

$$D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \text{Im}(I_{-n, -n}), \text{Im}(I_{-n+1, -n}), \dots, \text{Im}(I_{-1, -n}), \\ \text{Im}(I_{-n, -n+1}), \text{Im}(I_{-n+1, -n+1}), \dots, \text{Im}(I_{-1, -n+1}), \\ \dots \\ \text{Im}(I_{-n, -1}), \text{Im}(I_{-n+1, -1}), \dots, \text{Im}(I_{-1, -1}), \end{array} \right\} \mathcal{A} \left. \vphantom{D_{qc}(\widehat{X})} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{R}_{-n+1} \text{Im}(I_{0, -n}), \\ \mathbb{R}_{-n+2} \text{Im}(I_{0, -n+1}), \\ \dots, \\ \mathbb{R}_{-1} \text{Im}(I_{0, -2}), \\ \text{Im}(I_{0, -1}), \\ p^* D_{qc}(X_-) \end{array} \right\} \mathcal{B} \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{C} = \langle \text{Im}(\pi \circ q^*) \rangle$, where $\pi = \text{cone}(p^* p_* \rightarrow \text{id})$. We have

Lemma 2.2.2. *In the previous SOD, we have $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. See Lemma A.2.1. \square

Theorem 2.2.3. *We have a SOD induced by the quotient functor $Q : D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$*

$$\langle \mathbb{R}_{-n+1}Im(I_{0,-n}), \mathbb{R}_{-n+2}Im(I_{0,-n+1}), \dots, \mathbb{R}_{-1}Im(I_{0,-2}), Im(I_{0,-1}) \rangle \xrightarrow[\simeq]{Q} \overline{\mathcal{C}}$$

Proof. This follows from SOD (4) and the above lemma. \square

Clearly one can prove a similar result for $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ exchanging π_- and ω_- with π_+ and ω_+ in the definitions of $I_{a,b}$ and Φ_k .

Example 2.2.4. Let us explain the above procedure in the local case, when $n = 2$. Then, (3) is given by

$$D_{qc}(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))) = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2), \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1), \rangle, \\ p^*D_{qc}(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)^{\oplus 3}))$$

and \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in (4) are given by

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1) \rangle, \\ \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathbb{R}_{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) \rangle,$$

where¹⁴

$$\mathbb{R}_{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2) = \text{cone}(E_1 \rightarrow \text{RHom}(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))[-1], \\ E_1 = \text{cone}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2) \rightarrow \text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -1))^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -1))[-1].$$

Notice that

$$\text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -1)) \simeq \text{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-3, 0)[-1]) \simeq k[-3], \\ \text{RHom}(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1)) \simeq \text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -1)[3], \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))[1] \simeq k^3[-2].$$

The extension class defining E_1 is given by the composition of the extensions

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-2, -1) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(1, -1), \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(1, -1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^2(0, -2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2),$$

¹⁴Here $\text{RHom} = \text{RHom}_{\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))}$, but we drop the subscript to ease the notation. \uparrow

the first of which is given by the Koszul complex on $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ for the first copy of the projective space, while the second is given by the powers of the ideal sheaf of $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ in $\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))$. More precisely, we have

$$E_1 = \left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^2(0, -2) \right]_{deg.0}$$

In particular, we get that $\mathbb{R}_{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2)$ is the shifted cone of the morphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1)^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^2(0, -2) \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1)^{\oplus 3}, & & & & \end{array}$$

i.e. we have

$$\mathbb{R}_{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2) = \left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^2(0, -2) \right]_{deg.0}$$

Thus, we recover the resolution we find in [Lemma A.1.2](#), and the SOD

$$D_{qc}(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))) / \mathcal{K} = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1), \bar{p}^* D_{qc}(X_-) \rangle.$$

The reason why we didn't take this general approach in the local case is that, as the following will show, in order to compute hom spaces we need to understand the mutations that appear. As we have just showed, this is the same as producing the resolutions of [Lemma A.1.2](#). Hence, we decided not to bother the reader with the more fiddly machinery we presented here up until it was strictly necessary.

We now turn to the question of describing $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ more explicitly. We want to obtain the description of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ as a gluing of copies of $D_{qc}(Z)$, but to achieve this goal we have to compute natural transformations between the various functors $\mathbb{R}_{-j+1} \circ I_{0, -j}$.

Recall that in the local model we found a SOD

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \overbrace{\langle D(k), D(k), \dots, D(k) \rangle}^{n\text{-copies}},$$

where the i -th copy of $D(k)$, going right to left, is generated by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)$, and we proved isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}}^{\bullet}(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(X_+)}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)),$$

which were induced by the pushdown \bar{q}_* . Now that we have the SOD in the family case, we will prove that a similar isomorphism holds, where we replace the objects

$Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i))$ with the essential images of the functors $Q \circ I_{0,-i}$. To be precise, as by [Theorem 2.2.3](#) we can lift the question of describing $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ to a question in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$, we will use the functors $\mathbb{R}_{-j+1} \circ I_{0,-j}$.

In approaching such a question we have to be careful because technicalities about DG enhancements and DG lifts of functors play a fundamental role. For this reason, as all the functors we are interested in are Fourier Mukai functors, instead of speaking of natural transformation between the functors, we speak about morphisms between the kernels.

Notice that all the mutations and the functors $I_{a,b}$ admit a representation as Fourier Mukai functors whose kernels are given by perfect complexes. Let us denote the kernel for $I_{a,b}$ as $\mathcal{I}_{a,b}$, and the one for \mathbb{R}_{-j} as \mathcal{R}_{-j} . For simplicity, we will denote $\mathcal{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-j}$ the kernel for $\mathbb{R}_{-j+1} \circ I_{0,-j}$.

We can prove

Proposition 2.2.5. *The functors $Q \circ \mathbb{R}_{-i+1} \circ I_{0,-i} : D_{qc}(Z) \rightarrow D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$ are fully faithful for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, the pushdown functor gives an isomorphism*

$$(\text{id} \times q)_* \text{RHom}_{D_{qc}(Z \times \widehat{X})}(\mathcal{R}_{-i+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-i}, \mathcal{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-j}) \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \times q)_*} \text{RHom}_{D_{qc}(Z \times X_+)}(\mathcal{J}_{-i}, \mathcal{J}_{-j})$$

for $1 \leq j < i \leq n$.

Proof. The statement about fully faithfulness is equivalent to the fully faithfulness of $\mathbb{R}_{-i+1} \circ I_{0,-i}$ by [Theorem 2.2.3](#). However, as the mutations $\mathbb{R}_{a,b}$ are equivalences from $\text{Im}(I_{a,b})^\perp$ to ${}^\perp\text{Im}(I_{a,b})$, the statement reduces to the fully faithfulness of $I_{0,-i}$, which is true. For the isomorphism, see [Proposition A.2.2](#). \square

In particular, we obtain the desired description of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ as the gluing of n copies of the categories $D_{qc}(Z)$ along the functors J_{-i} .

2.3. Mukai flops. Let us now consider the case of Mukai flops. We keep using the notation introduced in the local case of the standard flops, [Subsection 2.1](#). Let us consider the function

$$f_-(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i t_i, \quad f_- \in \mathcal{O}_{X_-},$$

where the x 's are the base coordinates, the t 's are fibre coordinates, and we use the shorthand notation $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$, $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1})$. Then, we define $Z_- = \{f_- = 0\}$. Notice that we have $Z_- \simeq \text{Tot } \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1$. The procedure of blowing up $\mathbb{P}^n \subset X_-$ and then

contracting the other \mathbb{P}^n can be carried out on Z_- as well, [Kaw02], [Nam03]. We get¹⁵

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \widehat{Z} & & \\
 & \swarrow p & \downarrow \hat{f}=0 & \searrow q & \\
 & Z_- & \widehat{X} & Z_+ & \\
 & \downarrow f_-=0 & \swarrow p & \searrow q & \downarrow f_+=0 \\
 & X_- & & & X_+
 \end{array} \tag{5}$$

where¹⁶

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_+(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i s_i, & f_+ &\in \mathcal{O}_{X_+}, \\
 \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, u) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i y_i u, & \hat{f} &\in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the equation $\hat{f} = 0$ describes \widehat{Z} as a normal crossing divisor in \widehat{X} . Moreover, \widehat{Z} has two irreducible components, the blow up of Z_- (or Z_+) along \mathbb{P}^n , that we denote \widetilde{Z} , and $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$. These two irreducible components are glued along $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1)$, that is the exceptional locus of the blow up, and that sits inside $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$ by means of the Euler exact sequence. As we know that $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n \subset \widehat{X}$ is cut out by $\{u = 0\}$ in \widehat{X} , we get that \widetilde{Z} is cut out by

$$\left\{ w := \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i y_i = 0 \right\}, \quad w \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(1, 1).$$

Therefore, we have exact sequences¹⁷

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Z}}(1, 1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n}, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n}(-1, -1) \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Z}}. \tag{7}$$

¹⁵Notice that the use of p and q as maps from \widehat{Z} is not ambiguous as they are the restrictions of p and q from \widehat{X} .[↑]

¹⁶Here s 's are fibre coordinates for X_+ and u is the fibre coordinate of \widehat{X} .[↑]

¹⁷Here u is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Z}}(-1, -1)$, while w is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1)$.[↑]

The two smooth varieties Z_- and Z_+ are birational (they are in fact isomorphic) and derived equivalent, [Kaw02], via the functors p_*q^* and q_*p^* . Notice that the equivalence $D^b(Z_+) \simeq D^b(Z_-)$ induces an equivalence $D_{qc}(Z_+) \simeq D_{qc}(Z_-)$ by Lemma 2.0.1. Therefore, we can apply¹⁸ Theorem 2.0.3 and discover that the flop-flop functor $q_*p^*p_*q^*$ is the inverse of the spherical twist around $\Psi = \bar{q}_* \circ i_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}} : \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow D_{qc}(Z_+)$, where $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = Q(\langle \text{Im}(\pi \circ q^*) \rangle)$.

Example 2.3.1 (Where boundedness fails). For a full understanding of this example and of its meaning we advice the reader to compare it with [Bar20b, Remark 3.5.3].

Let us consider the Mukai flop for $n = 1$ and show that the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ doesn't belong to $\langle \langle \text{Im}(Q \circ \pi \circ q^*|_{D^b(Z_+)}) \rangle \rangle$. This will prove that the step we took in going to the unbounded derived category of quasi coherent sheaves was indeed necessary. Precisely, it will give an example of the fact that there doesn't exist a SOD $\langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, \bar{p}^* D^b(Z_+) \rangle \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{=} D^b(\hat{Z})/\mathcal{K}^b$, where $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \langle \langle \text{Im}(Q \circ \pi \circ q^*|_{D^b(Z_+)}) \rangle \rangle$. Notice that as $n = 1$, we don't have to care about where we are generating the subcategory. Indeed, in this case the morphism have fibres of relative dimension bounded one, and therefore $D^b(\hat{X})/\mathcal{K}^b$ is a full subcategory of $D_{qc}(\hat{X})/\mathcal{K}$. This means that $\text{Im}(Q \circ \pi \circ q^*|_{D^b(Z_+)})$ generates the same thick subcategory when considered either as a subcategory of $D_{qc}(\hat{Z})/\mathcal{K}$ or a subcategory of $D^b(\hat{Z})/\mathcal{K}^b$.

As $D^b(Z_+)$ is generated by $\mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1)$, and $\pi(q^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}) = 0$, we get that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is generated by

$$\bar{F} = Q \left(\text{cone} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Z}}(1, 0) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Z}}(0, -1) \right) \right).$$

Let us denote $F = \text{cone} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Z}}(1, 0) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Z}}(0, -1) \right)$. Using the exact sequences (6) and (7), we see that this complex has two cohomologies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^0(F) &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1), \\ \mathcal{H}^{-1}(F) &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1). \end{aligned}$$

As $F = \pi(q^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1))$, we get that

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\hat{Z})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(\bar{F}, \bar{F}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\hat{Z})}^\bullet(F, F) \simeq k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2),$$

where ε has degree -1 . As this DGA is *intrinsically formal*, i.e every DGA with the same cohomology is quasi isomorphic to it, by Proposition 2.0.2 we get $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \simeq D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))$,

¹⁸Notice that from diagam (5) we know that p and q are proper. Moreover, as Z_\pm are smooth, they are of finite tor dimension. \uparrow

and the spherical functor Ψ is identified with the tensor product with¹⁹

$$P = \text{cone} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)[-2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \right) [1],$$

which is the shift of the only non trivial extension of degree two of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)$ with itself. We claim that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ doesn't belong to $\langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle$. This is the same as saying that it doesn't belong to \mathcal{C} as the morphisms have fibres of dimension at most one, and therefore $\langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$. If $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ did belong to $\langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle$, via the equivalence $\langle \overline{F} \rangle \simeq D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))$ it should correspond to a perfect complex. However, via the equivalence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ maps to the module k concentrated in degree 0, and this module is not perfect. Thus, we wouldn't be able to generate $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ if we tried to perform all the constructions in $D^b(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}^b$.

We can explicitly produce an infinite resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ in terms of F , and hence of \overline{F} , as follows. Putting together the exact sequences (6) and (7), we get the resolution

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}},$$

which tensored with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -1)$ can be rewritten as²⁰

$$\text{hocolim} \{ \dots \longrightarrow F[2] \longrightarrow F[1] \longrightarrow F \} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1).$$

This gives us the desired resolution.

Let us recall the following

Definition 2.3.2. Given a smooth projective variety X of dimension $2n$, an object $E \in D^b(X)$ is called a \mathbb{P}^n -object if the following conditions are satisfied

- $E \otimes \omega_X \simeq E$,
- $\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(X)}^\bullet(E, E) \simeq k[q]/q^{n+1}$ as graded algebras, where q has degree 2.

Given a \mathbb{P}^n -object (or, more generally, a split \mathbb{P} -functor, [Add16], [Cau12], or a \mathbb{P} -functor, see [AL19]), one can construct an autoequivalence P_E of $D^b(X)$ called the \mathbb{P} -twist around the \mathbb{P}^n -object P , [HT06]. Notice that this autoequivalence induces an autoequivalence of $D_{qc}(X)$ itself by Lemma 2.0.1.

The structure sheaf²¹ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \in D_{qc}(Z_+)$ and all its twists give examples of \mathbb{P}^n -objects on Z_+ . Moreover, it was proven in [ADM19] that we have an isomorphism of endofunctors of $D_{qc}(Z_+)$

$$q_* p^* p_* q^* \simeq P_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ P_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n)}^{-1}.$$

¹⁹The argument for proving this relies on $\widehat{Z} = \widetilde{Z} \cup_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1)} (\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P})$ and (27), or see Remark 2.3.7.↑

²⁰See Definition A.3.4 for the definition of homotopy colimit. Here the directed system is given by the totalization of the double complexes $F[n] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F$. See Remark A.3.6 for more details.↑

²¹We keep employing the notation $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$.↑

In [Seg18], the author described how to obtain, for X any smooth projective variety, the \mathbb{P} -twist around a \mathbb{P}^n -object $E \in D^b(X)$ as the spherical twist around a spherical functor. Precisely, the twist around the spherical functor²²

$$D(k[q]) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{k[q]}^L E} D_{qc}(X),$$

is given by P_E . Here q is a generator of degree 2, and E is considered as a module in the following way. We assume we replaced E with an h-injective resolution, and we choose \tilde{q} a representative of the cohomology class q in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h}\text{-inj}_X}(E, E)$. Then, $k[q]$ acts via the map $k[q] \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h}\text{-inj}_X}(E, E)$ defined by this lift.

Considering this result and [Bar20a], it would be natural to expect that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ can be described as the derived category of some directed DGA whose associated graded algebra is a directed algebra. However, instead of this picture, we will obtain its Koszul dual.

The reason why our first guess is wrong lies in the following technical problem. As it was the case for the local model of standard flops, we would expect the object

$$T = Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -n))$$

to be a compact generator for $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Indeed, it is true that T generates $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$, but it is not compact. To see this, recall that in Example 2.3.1 we showed that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ has an infinite resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Z}}(1, 0) \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Z}}(0, -1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Z}}(1, 0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1).$$

In particular, this shows us that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) \in \langle \mathcal{E}, p^* D_{qc}(Z_-) \rangle$, and therefore that morphisms from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ to any other object in $D_{qc}(\hat{Z}) / \mathcal{K}$ can be computed in $D_{qc}(\hat{Z})$. Then, using the previous resolution, we see that as a complex of vector spaces we have a quasi isomorphism

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} k[-2n]. \quad (8)$$

Thus, we get that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ is not a compact object. Indeed, if it were it would be a direct summand of a truncation of the above infinite complex, but that would contradict the computation of its endomorphism algebra.

Therefore, if we want to describe $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$, we have to turn our attention to another generator. Notice that $D^b(Z_+)$ has a compact generator given by

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^n (p_+^* \wedge^j \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1(1))^\vee [-j],$$

²²In *ibidem* the author works with compact objects, but in order to make a comparison with our setup we have to consider the entire derived category.↑

where $p_+ : Z_+ = \text{Tot}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. Thus, by construction, a compact generator for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is given by

$$\overline{F} = Q \left(\pi \left(q^* \bigoplus_{j=0}^n (p_+^* \wedge^j \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1)^\vee[-j] \right) \right).$$

The drawback of this generator is that its endomorphism algebra has no meaningful description when considered on its own. For this reason, we will interweave the two stories we have just described in order to obtain a more comprehensive picture.

We will show in [Lemma A.3.2](#) that we have the following inclusions of subcategories

$$\langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle \subset \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \subset \langle \overline{F} \rangle = \overline{\mathcal{C}}.$$

This lays the ground to describe the endomorphism algebra of \overline{F} in terms of the one of T . Indeed, once we prove an equivalence $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \simeq D(R)^c$ for some DG algebra R using [Proposition 2.0.2](#),²³ then the endomorphism algebra of \overline{F} can be computed as the endomorphism algebra of the corresponding right R module.

Furthermore, the chain of inclusions above has another consequence. As $\overline{q}_* T \in D^b(Z_+)$, we get the following diagram of functors²⁴

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle & \hookrightarrow & \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ D^b(Z_+) & \xlongequal{\quad} & D^b(Z_+) & \hookrightarrow & D_{qc}(Z_+), \end{array}$$

and therefore it is natural to ask whether the functor $\Psi|_{\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle}$ remains spherical. The answer is that it is spherical, and we prove it in [Theorem 2.3.4](#), where we also compute the endomorphism algebra of T .

Example 2.3.3 (Mukai flops for $n = 1$). Let us spell out what we outlined above in the case of $n = 1$. We saw in [Example 2.3.1](#) that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is generated by $\overline{F} = Q(F := \pi(q^* \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1)))$, and that the endomorphism algebra of this generator is given by $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$, where ε has degree -1 . On the other hand, we also noticed that \overline{F} can be generated as a cone between shifts of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$, and we compute in [\(23\)](#) that the endomorphism algebra²⁵ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ is given by $k[q]$, where q has degree 2. Therefore,

²³Recall that $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$ is idempotent complete. \uparrow

²⁴Recall that $\Psi = \overline{q}_* \circ i_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}.$ \uparrow

²⁵All the endomorphism algebras are in $D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}.$ \uparrow

as both $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ and $k[q]$ are intrinsically formal, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle & \hookrightarrow & \langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) \rangle\rangle & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))^c & \xleftarrow{-\otimes_{k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)}^L k[1]} & D(k[q])^c & \xleftarrow{-\otimes_{k[q]}^L k} & D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)), \end{array}$$

and we get

$$k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}(F, F) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{k[q]}(k, k),$$

which gives the Koszul dual picture we talked about.

Now that we have explained how we intend to tackle Mukai flops, let us introduce the notation we need to state our results. As for standard flops, consider h-injective resolutions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n)$ on Z_+ , and denote them I_1, \dots, I_n . Then, call S the DGA given by

$$S = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h}\text{-inj}_{X_+}}(I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n, I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n).$$

Now consider the subDGA $\widetilde{S}_q^{\rightarrow}$ generated by the arrows going from I_i to I_j for $i > j$, and by the arrows at the vertices.²⁶ Notice that we have $d^{-1}(\widetilde{S}_q^{\rightarrow}) \subset \widetilde{S}_q^{\rightarrow}$, and therefore the cohomology algebra $H^\bullet(\widetilde{S}_q^{\rightarrow})$ is the subalgebra of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n))$$

generated by the arrows (of any degree) going from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)$ for $i > j$, and the arrows at the vertices, *i.e.* a copy of $\mathbb{C}[q]/q^{n+1}$ at every vertex.

Now fix $\tilde{q}_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h}\text{-inj}_{Z_+}}(I_i, I_i)$ a representative of $q \in \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i))$, and define the DGA²⁷

$$S_{q, pol}^{\rightarrow} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k[q] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j < i} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h}\text{-inj}_{X_+}}(I_i, I_j).$$

Here $\deg q = 2$, $d(q) = 0$, and the action of the i -th copy of q on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h}\text{-inj}_{X_+}}(I_i, I_j)$ on the left and on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h}\text{-inj}_{X_+}}(I_k, I_i)$ on the right is defined via \tilde{q}_i . By construction, the morphism $S_{q, pol}^{\rightarrow} \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_q^{\rightarrow}$ defined by sending the i -th copy of q (the one that acts on I_i) to \tilde{q}_i is a morphism of DGAs which is surjective in cohomology, and whose kernel is the subalgebra generated by a copy of q^{n+1} at every vertex.

We have

²⁶All the DGAs that will appear will have a “quiver” structure, so it makes sense to speak about vertices.↑

²⁷We should add the dependence of S_q^{\rightarrow} on the chosen representatives, but to ease the notation, we avoid doing so. However, we will clearly state which are the representatives we use every time we perform this construction.↑

Theorem 2.3.4. *There exists a choice of h-injective resolutions I_i and representatives \tilde{q}_i 's such that we have an equivalence of categories $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \simeq D(S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow)^c$. Furthermore, the functor $\Psi|_{\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle} : \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \rightarrow D^b(Z_+)$ is spherical.*

Corollary 2.3.5. *Under the equivalence $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \simeq D(S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow)^c$ the spherical functor Ψ is identified with*

$$- \otimes_{S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow}^L I_n \oplus \cdots \oplus I_1.$$

Proof. This follows as for the proof in the local model of standard flops. \square

Now let us consider the $S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow$ module defined as follows. The underlying graded vector space is $k \text{id}_{I_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k \text{id}_{I_n}$, and the action is given via the map

$$S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow \longrightarrow k \text{id}_{I_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k \text{id}_{I_n}$$

that corresponds to first projecting away from arrows between different vertices, and then taking the quotient by the q 's.

Let us call this module S_0 . We have²⁸

Theorem 2.3.6. *We have an equivalence of categories $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \simeq D(A)$, where A is the DGA given by*

$$A = \text{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow)^{op}}(S_0, S_0).$$

Proof. This is mostly a formal consequence of [Theorem 2.3.4](#). Let G be a compact generator for $D_{qc}(Z_+)$, and denote B the DG endomorphism algebra of an h-injective resolution I_G of G . Then, as Z_+ is smooth, we know that $\langle\langle G \rangle\rangle = D^b(Z_+)$. From the above corollary we know that we have a commutative diagram of functors²⁹

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D^b(Z_+) \\ \alpha \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(I_G, -) \\ D(\text{Mod} - S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow)^c & \xrightarrow{- \otimes_{S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow}^L M} & D(\text{Mod} - B)^c, \end{array} \quad (9)$$

where $M = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(I_G, I_n \oplus \cdots \oplus I_1)$ is a $S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow - B$ bimodule, and α is constructed in the proof of [Theorem 2.3.4](#). Recall that a $S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow - B$ bimodule is called $S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow$ (resp. B) perfect if it is a compact object in $D(S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow - \text{Mod})$ (resp. $D(\text{Mod} - B)$). By construction, M is B perfect. To show that M is also $S_{q,pol}^\rightarrow$ perfect we proceed as follows. As Ψ has a left adjoint, and the vertical functors are equivalences, the tensor product with M has a left adjoint. Furthermore, this left adjoint is given by tensor product with a bimodule N because the left adjoint to Ψ has a lift between the DG enhancements of the categories

²⁸All derived homs between DG (bi)modules will be of right DG modules. \uparrow

²⁹Here $\text{Mod} - \bullet$ means right modules, similarly $\bullet - \text{Mod}$ means left modules. \uparrow

that appear.³⁰ Moreover, we know that the tensor product with N preserves compactness by the commutativity of the diagram, and thus N is $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}$ perfect. By adjointness we have a morphism in $D(\text{Mod} - B)$

$$\text{RHom}_{S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}}(N, S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}) \longrightarrow M.$$

The adjunction also gives us isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{D(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})}(E_1 \otimes_B^L N, E_2) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D(B)}(E_1, E_2 \otimes_{S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}}^L M),$$

and therefore, plugging $E_1 = B$, $E_2 = S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}[n]$, we get that the above map is an isomorphism in $D(B)$. Hence, we have

$$\text{RHom}_{S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}}(N, S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}) \simeq M.$$

As N is $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}$ perfect, this implies that M is $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}$ perfect, and an isomorphism $N \simeq \text{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(M, S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})$.

Now consider $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)$ for $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, and take the dual exceptional collection $(\wedge^j \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1(1))^{\vee}[-j]$. That is, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\mathbb{P})}^{\bullet}((\wedge^j \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1(1))^{\vee}[-j], \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)) = \delta_{ij} k,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta. Then, pull up the vector bundles $(\wedge^j \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1(1))^{\vee}[-j]$ to vector bundles on Z_+ , and choose E_j h-injective resolutions of such vector bundles. The object

$$E = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n E_j$$

is a compact generator for $D_{qc}(Z_+)$, and thus it gives us a compact generator $\overline{E} = \Psi^L(E)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Using diagram (9), we see that we have an equivalence $\alpha : \langle\langle \overline{E} \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle\langle \overline{E}' \rangle\rangle$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{E}' &= E' \otimes_B^L \text{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(M, S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}) \in D(\text{Mod} - S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^c, \\ E' &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(I_G, E). \end{aligned}$$

³⁰The left adjoint of $\Psi : \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \rightarrow D^b(Z_+)$ is given by $D^b(Z_+) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}^c \hookrightarrow \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$, the first of which is the left adjoint to $\Psi : \overline{\mathcal{C}}^c \rightarrow D^b(Z_+)$ and can be lifted by construction, while the second one can be lifted as the inclusion of a DG subcategory. \uparrow

Now notice that we have a quasi isomorphism of DG algebras

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{RHom}_{S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}}(\overline{E'}, \overline{E'}) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_B(E', E' \overset{L}{\otimes}_B \mathrm{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(M, S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}} M) \\
&\simeq E' \overset{L}{\otimes}_B \mathrm{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(M, S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}} M \overset{L}{\otimes}_B \mathrm{RHom}_B(E', B) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(\mathrm{RHom}_B(E', M), S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}} \mathrm{RHom}_B(E', M) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(\mathrm{RHom}_B(E', M), \mathrm{RHom}_B(E', M)),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that all the modules involved are perfect.

As E is a compact generator of $D_{qc}(Z_+)$, we can assume we chose since the beginning $G = E$, and therefore the previous expression simplifies to

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}}(\overline{E'}, \overline{E'}) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(M, M),$$

where $M = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(E, I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n)$.

Notice that by the choice of E we have that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(E_j, I_s)$ is acyclic for any $s \neq j$. In particular, the morphism that projects away from the acyclic components

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(E, I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{r=1}^n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(E_r, I_r)$$

is a quasi isomorphism, and even more, it respects the action of $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}$ (which on the right hand side acts via $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n k[q] \mathrm{id}_{I_j}$).

By construction we have an isomorphism

$$H^\bullet \left(\bigoplus_{r=1}^n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(E_r, I_r) \right) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k.$$

Moreover, the action on

$$\bigoplus_{r=1}^n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(E_r, I_r)$$

is by means of a DGA concentrated in positive degrees. Hence, the previous isomorphism of associated graded modules, together with the description of the action, imply

$$\bigoplus_{r=1}^n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{Z_+}}(E_r, I_r) \simeq S_0.$$

To conclude, notice that what we did above was computing the DG endomorphism algebra of a DG lift of \overline{E} in the DG enhancement of $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$ given by compact, right $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}$ modules.

However, this DG enhancement of $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$ was constructed in [Theorem 2.3.4](#) using an h-injective resolution of $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$ belonging to $\langle\mathcal{C}, p^*D_{qc}(Z_-)\rangle$. In particular, by construction

there exists a quasi functor (whose underlying triangulated functor is an equivalence) between the DG enhancement of $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$ given by h-injective complexes, and the one given by compact, right $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}$ modules. Using the model category structure on DGCat , we can realize this quasi functor as a roof of DG functors where both the arrows are quasi equivalences, and we see that the DG endomorphism algebra of some h-injective resolution of \overline{E} is quasi isomorphic to A as defined in the statement of the proposition.

Hence, applying [Proposition 2.0.2](#) to $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$ using the DG enhancement given by h-injective complexes, we get

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle \overline{E} \rangle \simeq D(A),$$

and the result follows. \square

Remark 2.3.7. Let us describe the consequences of the above theorem for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ and the functor \overline{q}_* . For the duration of this remark, when we speak about the j -th copy of $k[q]$ in $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow}$, we mean the copy which acts on I_j .

Notice that we have a SOD

$$D((S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}) \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{\cong} \overbrace{\langle D(k[q]^{op}), \dots, D(k[q]^{op}) \rangle}^{n\text{-copies}},$$

where the i -th copy of $D(k[q])$ (counting right to left) is embedded via restricting the action along the morphism $S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow} \rightarrow k[q]$ that projects onto the i -th copy of $k[q]$. In particular, we get

$$A = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} \text{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(k_j, k_i),$$

where we use the subscript to denote which copy of $k[q]$ we are referring to. Moreover, we have

$$\text{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(k_i, k_i) \simeq \text{RHom}_{k[q]_i^{op}}(k, k) \simeq k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2),$$

and

$$\text{RHom}_{(S_{q,pol}^{\rightarrow})^{op}}(k_j, k_i) \simeq \text{RHom}_{k[q]_i^{op}}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{X_+}}(I_j, I_i) \otimes_{k[q]_j}^L k_j, k_i).$$

In particular, we get a SOD

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} \stackrel{\text{SOD}}{\cong} \overbrace{\langle D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)), \dots, D(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)) \rangle}^{n\text{-copies}},$$

and, under this identification, the functor $\overline{q}_* : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow D_{qc}(Z_+)$ is identified with the functor $D(A) \rightarrow D_{qc}(Z_+)$ that sends the j -th copy of $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ (counting right to left) to the extension³¹

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} I_j[-2] & \longrightarrow & I_j \\ & & \text{deg.0} \end{array} \right]$$

³¹Notice that this is in line with [Example 2.3.1](#) because what we called there F lacks a shift by -1 with respect to the choices we made in [Theorem 2.3.6](#).[†]

defined by the lift q_j of $q \in \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)[2])$ chosen in the proof of [Theorem 2.3.6](#). Indeed, this is because the image of the j -th copy of $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ is equal to the image of the j -th copy of k , which has an h-projective resolution given by $q : k[q][-2] \rightarrow k[q]$.

To prove [Theorem 2.3.4](#), we will prove two lemmas.

Lemma 2.3.8. *The functors Ψ^L and Ψ^R send $D^b(Z_+)$ to $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$.*

Lemma 2.3.9. *We have an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(T, T) \simeq H^\bullet(S_{q, \text{pol}}^\rightarrow).$$

For the proofs of these lemmas, see [Subsection A.3](#).

Proof of [Theorem 2.3.4](#). If Ψ^L and Ψ^R restrict to give an adjunction between $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$ and $D^b(Z_+)$, then $\Psi|_{\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle}$ is automatically spherical because Ψ was.

In order to prove the equivalence $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle \simeq D(S_{q, \text{pol}}^\rightarrow)^c$, we proceed as follows. As for standard flops, fix compact generators $E \in D_{qc}(Z_+)$ and $F \in D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})$. Then, fixing a cofibrant replacement \mathcal{A} for $\mathcal{P}(R_F)$, and a cofibrant replacement \mathcal{B} for $\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{Z_+}$, we get roofs

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{A} & & \mathcal{B} & \\ & \swarrow \text{q. eq.} & & \swarrow \text{q. eq.} & \searrow \text{q. eq.} \\ \mathcal{P}(R_F) & & \mathcal{P}(R_E) \supseteq \mathcal{SF}(R_E) & & \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{Z_+} \end{array}$$

Then, as \mathcal{A} is cofibrant, and the inclusion $\mathcal{SF}(R_E) \subset \mathcal{P}(R_E)$ is a quasi equivalence, we can complete the above diagram to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{B} & \\ & \swarrow \text{q. eq.} & & \swarrow \text{q. eq.} & \searrow \text{q. eq.} \\ \mathcal{P}(R_F) & & \mathcal{P}(R_E) \supseteq \mathcal{SF}(R_E) & & \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{Z_+} \end{array}$$

where the triangle commutes up to the notion of homotopy in the model category DGCat . This means that when we take $H^0(-)$ the triangle commutes in the localization $\text{Cat}_k[\text{equivalences}^{-1}]$, where Cat_k is the category of small³² k -linear categories. However, we know that $\text{Cat}_k[\text{equivalences}^{-1}] = [\text{Cat}_k]$, where the latter is the category of small k -linear categories and isomorphism classes of k -linear functors. Hence, when we take $H^0(-)$ the triangle commutes up to an invertible natural transformation, which means that, after the various identifications, the functor induced by the composition $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{SF}(R_E)$ on $H^0(-)$ is still q_* (this was clear on objects, the fact that we can

³²As we did for standard flops, we should choose a universe \mathbb{U} that contains an infinite set and for which all the categories we are interested in, namely $D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})$ and $D_{qc}(Z_+)$, are \mathbb{U} small. \uparrow

identify the composition with the straight arrow $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(R_F)$ up to an invertible natural transformation ensures that it acts as q_* even on morphisms).

Fixing now lifts of the objects³³ $i_{\mathcal{S}} i_{\mathcal{S}}^R(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i))$ to $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, we can construct a diagram of DGAs with a “quiver” structure which has the same shape as the one above, and that respects the aforementioned structure. The images of the A_j ’s via the arrow $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{inj}_{Z_+}$ will be our chosen h-injective resolutions I_j .

We now wish to apply the construction $(-)\xrightarrow{q, pol}$. In order to do so, we fix representatives of the various q ’s in the DGA

$$A = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i, \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i\right),$$

and define the representatives for the q ’s in the other DGAs via the morphisms of DGAs in the diagram above.

Once we have done that, we can apply the construction $(-)\xrightarrow{q, pol}$ to the DGAs we have constructed. Thus, we obtain a morphism of DGAs

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A)\xrightarrow{q, pol} S_{q, pol}^{\rightarrow},$$

which in cohomology induces an isomorphism by construction.³⁴

Therefore, the result follows. \square

Remark 2.3.10. Let us remark that [Theorem 2.3.4](#) matches up the description of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ with the formal construction of [\[Bar20a, Theorem 4.1.2\]](#).

2.4. Other examples. We now talk about some examples that satisfy the hypotheses of [Theorem 2.0.3](#), but where we were not able to give an explicit description of the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

2.4.1. Grassmannian flops. Grassmannian flops are a generalization of standard flops. We will consider only the local model. Namely, let V and S be two vector spaces of dimension n and r respectively, with $r < n$. Then, we consider the stack quotient

$$\mathfrak{X}^{r, n} = [\mathrm{Hom}(S, V) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V, S) / \mathrm{GL}(S)],$$

where the action is given by $M \cdot (a, b) = (aM^{-1}, Mb)$. Choosing the linearization $\mathcal{O}(1) := \det S^{\vee}$, we get that

$$\mathfrak{X}_+^{ss} = \{(a, b) : b \text{ is surjective}\},$$

and the GIT quotient is identified with

$$\mathfrak{X}_+^{ss} / \mathrm{GL}(S) = \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{Hom}(S, V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(V, r)) =: X_+,$$

³³Here $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathcal{C}, p^* D_{qc}(X_-) \rangle \uparrow$

³⁴For arrows between different vertices this is because of [Lemma 2.3.9](#), for the arrows at each vertex it is by construction. \uparrow

which is the total space of a vector bundle over the grassmannian of r dimensional quotients in V . Similarly, choosing the linearization $\mathcal{O}(-1)$, we get that

$$\mathfrak{X}_-^{ss} = \{(a, b) : a \text{ is injective}\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{X}_-^{ss}/\mathrm{GL}(S) = \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{Hom}(V, S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(r, V)) =: X_-,$$

where $\mathrm{Gr}(r, V)$ is the grassmannian of r dimensional subspaces of V . The two varieties X_- and X_+ , being GIT quotients of the same GIT problem, are clearly birational. Moreover, they are derived equivalent, see [DS14], [HL15]. Contracting the non isomorphic loci in the two varieties give the same singularity, which means we can construct the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widehat{X} & \\ & \swarrow p & \searrow q \\ X_- & & X_+ \\ & \searrow f_- & \swarrow f_- \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

A thorough inspection reveals that

$$\widehat{X} = \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{Hom}(Q, S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(r, V) \times \mathrm{Gr}(V, r)),$$

where Q is the tautological quotient bundle, and S is the tautological subbundle. In [BCF⁺19], it was proven that \widehat{X} gives a derived equivalence between $D^b(X_-)$ and $D^b(X_+)$. As everything is smooth, we can apply Lemma 2.0.1 to obtain an equivalence between $D_{qc}(X_-)$ and $D_{qc}(X_+)$. Thus, we satisfy the hypotheses³⁵ of Theorem 2.0.3, and we get a spherical functor $\Psi : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$. In *ibidem* it is also (implicitly) proven that the flop-flop functor is given by a composition of $n - r$ window shifts, see [*ibidem*, Corollary 5.2.9, Corollary 5.2.10]. Moreover, by [DS14], we know that every window shift is realized as the spherical twist around a spherical functor whose source category is given by $D_{qc}(\mathfrak{X}^{r-1, n})$. Thus it would be sensible, considering [Bar20a, Theorem 4.1.2], to look for a SOD of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ such that on each factor the spherical functor Ψ restricts to the spherical functor found in *ibidem*. However, this picture seems to be wrong. A detailed explanation would take too long, and we therefore avoid it. To sum it up in one sentence, the problem appears because the wall crossing from X_- to X_+ has more than one stratum.

³⁵Notice that actually we have more: f_{\pm} are proper as they are map between separated schemes that contract a proper subscheme. Therefore, p and q are proper being base change of proper maps. They are also of finite tor dimension as X_{\pm} are smooth. \uparrow

2.4.2. *Abuaf flop.* A very interesting flop is the Abuaf flop. Consider V a symplectic vector space of dimension 4, and let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}V$, $\mathrm{LGr} = \mathrm{LGr}(2, V)$. Then, consider

$$X_- = \mathrm{Tot}(L^\perp / L \otimes L^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}), \quad X_+ = \mathrm{Tot}(S(-1) \rightarrow \mathrm{LGr}),$$

where L is the tautological subbundle on \mathbb{P} , S is the tautological subbundle on LGr , and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{LGr}}(-1) = \wedge^2 S$. The varieties X_- and X_+ are birational and derived equivalent, see [Seg16]. Moreover, they resolve the same singularity $Y = \mathrm{Spec} H^0(X_\pm, \mathcal{O}_{X_\pm})$. Unfortunately, the equivalence in *ibidem* is proved by means of tilting bundles. However, in [Har17] it is proven that the structure sheaf of the fibre product over the singularity gives an equivalence from $D^b(X_-)$ to $D^b(X_+)$. This implies that we have also an equivalence in the other direction by [Bri99, Theorem 1.1]. Once again, as everything is smooth, we get an equivalence between the unbounded derived categories of quasi coherent sheaves, and we can apply³⁶ Theorem 2.0.3. It turns out that there are two families of tilting bundles on both sides of the above flop, and that one of them gives the flop functor in one direction, [Har17, Theorem 4.5]. It can be proven that the other family gives the flop functor in the other direction. In particular, working out the exact numbers, we get an isomorphism of functors

$$q_* p^* p_* q^* \simeq T_{j_* S}^{-1} \circ T_{j_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{LGr}}(-1)}^{-1} \circ T_{j_* S(-1)}^{-1} \circ T_{j_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{LGr}}(-2)}^{-1} \circ T_{j_* S(-2)}^{-1},$$

where $j : \mathrm{LGr} \hookrightarrow X_+$ is the inclusion of the zero section. Given this decomposition of the flop-flop functor, one might expect the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ to be equivalent to $D(R)$ for some DGA R such that $H^\bullet(R)$ is a “directed” algebra. However, this picture seems to be wrong. First of all, notice that \widehat{X} can be described as the gluing of the blow up of $\mathrm{BL}_{\mathrm{LGr}} X_+$ and $\mathbb{P} \times \mathrm{LGr}$ along $\mathbb{P}(S \otimes \wedge^2 S)$, which is embedded in the latter via the exact sequence

$$S \otimes \wedge^2 S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{LGr}}(-1) \otimes V \longrightarrow S.$$

Then, we can prove that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is generated by the objects

$$i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{LGr}}(-1), \quad i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{LGr}}(-2), \quad i_* S(-1),$$

where $i : \mathbb{P} \times \mathrm{LGr} \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}$ is the closed embedding. This is in contrast with the five generators we would expect to find if we were to believe the existence of an equivalence $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \simeq D(R)$, but of course it doesn’t prove this expectation wrong. However, notice that we can’t expect the generators of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ to be

$$i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{LGr}}(-1), \quad i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{LGr}}(-1), \quad i_* S(-1), \quad i_* S(-2), \quad i_* S,$$

in analogy with standard flops. Indeed, the three generators above already generate the two other objects we added.

³⁶As for the of Grassmannian flops, we have more: p and q are proper being base change of proper maps $f_\pm : X_\pm \rightarrow Y$. They are of finite tor dimension as X_\pm are smooth. \uparrow

APPENDIX A. COMPUTATIONS

A.1. Standard flops (local model). In this appendix we prove [Lemma 2.1.5](#), and [Lemma 2.1.6](#). Recall the setting, we have $X_- = X_+ = \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\oplus n+1})$, and

$$R = H^0(X_-, \mathcal{O}_{X_-}) = H^0(X_+, \mathcal{O}_{X_+}) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{Sym}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\oplus n+1}).$$

Then, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widehat{X} & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ X_- & & X_+ \\ f_- \searrow & & \swarrow f_+ \\ & Y = \text{Spec} R & \end{array}$$

where f_- and f_+ are the affinization maps. We denote $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}$, and consider

$$T = Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -n)).$$

Recall the following

Definition A.1.1. Given $E \in D_{qc}(X_+)$, we define its truncation $\tau^{\geq s} E$ as

$$\tau^{\geq s} E = \begin{cases} E^i & i \geq s \\ 0 & i < s \end{cases}.$$

First of all, we prove

Lemma A.1.2. For $j = 1, \dots, n$ we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{c} \left[\Psi^L \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-1) \otimes \bigwedge^{j-1} k^{n+1} \rightarrow \Psi^L \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-2) \otimes \bigwedge^{j-2} k^{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Psi^L \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-j) \right] \\ \downarrow \simeq \\ Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)), \end{array}$$

where the map is induced by the map

$$\Psi^L \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-j) = Q\left(\left[\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(j, 0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, -j)\right]_{deg.0}\right) \longrightarrow Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)).$$

Proof. Notice that $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ is cut in \widehat{X} by a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-1, -1)$. Therefore, we have the following exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(1, 1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}. \quad (10)$$

Tensoring this exact sequence with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, -1)$, we see that

$$\Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-1)) = Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)),$$

therefore the case $j = 1$ is solved.

Now recall that $\Psi^L = Q \circ \pi \circ q^*$, where $\pi = \text{cone}(p^*p_* \rightarrow \text{id})$. This means that to compute Ψ^L on an object we have to pull up the object from X_+ , project it into $\text{Ker } p_*$ and then take its isomorphism class in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$. On \mathbb{P} we have the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}. \quad (11)$$

Pulling it up to X_- , then to \widehat{X} , and tensoring it with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(1, 1-j)$, we get the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-n, 1-j) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-n+1, 1-j) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \\ &\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, 1-j) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(1, 1-j). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, tensoring (10) with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, -j)$ we get the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(1, 1-j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, 1-j).$$

Putting these two exact sequences together we get the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-n, 1-j) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-n+1, 1-j) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \\ \dots &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, 1-j) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, -j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

What we want to do now is to project this exact sequence to $\text{Ker } p_*$ in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$, and then take its image in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$. Notice that for $F \in D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$ the object $Q(\pi(F))$ depends, up to isomorphism, only on the isomorphism class of F in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$. In particular, if³⁷ $\overline{\pi}(Q(F)) = 0$, then also $Q(\pi(F)) = 0$. Now fix $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Tensoring (10) with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(t-i, t+1-j)$, we get the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(t-i+1, t+2-j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(t-i, t+1-j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(t-i, t+1-j).$$

If $t < \min\{i, j-1\}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(t-i, t+1-j) \in \mathcal{K}$, and therefore the first map is an isomorphism in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$. Hence, we have two possible cases

$$(1) \ i \geq j-1 \implies Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-i, 1-j)) \simeq Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(j-i-1, 0)),$$

³⁷Here $\overline{\pi} = \text{cone}(\overline{p}^*\overline{p}_* \rightarrow \text{id})$.[↑]

$$(2) \ i < j - 1 \implies Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-i, 1 - j)) \simeq Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, 1 - j + i)).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \ i \geq j - 1 &\implies Q(\pi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-i, 1 - j))) \simeq 0, \\ (2) \ i < j - 1 &\implies Q(\pi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(-i, 1 - j))) \simeq \Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{X_+}(1 - j + i)). \end{aligned}$$

As $\pi(q^*\mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-t)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, -t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^t$, where $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}$ is the ideal sheaf of $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$, we have an isomorphism of complexes

$$\Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-t)) \simeq Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(0, -t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^t).$$

Thus, we can apply $Q \circ \pi$ term by term to (12), and we get the claim because

$$Q(\pi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) = Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)).$$

□

Lemma A.1.3. *The object T is a compact generator of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.*

Proof. Notice that

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-n)$$

is a compact generator for $D_{qc}(X_+)$, and thus we get the following compact generator for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$

$$\Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{X_+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-n)).$$

Notice that $\Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{X_+}) = 0$, and therefore Lemma A.1.2 tells us that

$$\langle \{\Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-j))\}_{j=1, \dots, n} \rangle = \langle \{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)\}_{j=1, \dots, n} \rangle.$$

Hence, we proved that T is a generator.

We have to prove that it is compact. Let us denote F_i the resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)$ found in the above lemma, and E the Koszul resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ in X_+ , *i.e.* the complex

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_+}(n+1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(n) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_+} \underset{deg.0}{\longrightarrow}$$

Then, we have $F_i = \Psi^L(\tau^{\geq -i+1}(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-i)))$, which implies that F_i is compact. Indeed, morphism from an object $\Psi^L(-)$ can be computed in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})$, and therefore proving that F_i is compact amount to prove that

$$\pi(q^*(\tau^{\geq -i+1}(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-i))))$$

is compact. However, $\tau^{\geq -i+1}(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-i))$ is compact, and $\pi \circ q^*$ preserves compactness, thus F_i is compact. As a finite direct sum of compact objects is compact, we get that T is compact. □

Let us now recall how we define the DG algebra S^\rightarrow . Fix h-injective resolutions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n)$ on X_+ , and denote them I_1, \dots, I_n . Then, call S the DGA given by

$$S = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{h-inj}_{X_+}}(I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n, I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n),$$

and define the subDGA S^\rightarrow generated by id_{I_i} and the arrows going from I_i to I_j for $i > j$. To conclude, we prove

Lemma A.1.4. *We have an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(T, T) \simeq H^\bullet(S^\rightarrow)$$


induced by the pushforward functor $\bar{q}_* : D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K} \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$.

Proof. With the notation as in the above proof, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \\ & \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(F_i, Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \\ & \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(X_+)}^\bullet(\tau^{\geq -i+1}(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-i)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)) \\ & \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & i < j \\ k & i = j \\ \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(X_+)}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)) & i > j \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we used [Lemma A.1.2](#) in the first line and the adjointness $\Psi^L \dashv \Psi$ in the second line.³⁸ Thus, we get that the graded endomorphism algebra of T in $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$ is the one claimed, and the isomorphism is induced by the pushforward functor $\bar{q}_* : D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K} \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_+)$ because the second isomorphism above is. \square

A.2. Standard flops (family case). Recall the setting for the family case of the standard flops



³⁸It is ok to do it because $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}} \uparrow$

and recall the definition of the fully faithful functors

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,b}(-) &:= i_* (\mathcal{O}_E(a, b) \otimes \pi_*^* \omega_*^*(-)) : D_{qc}(Z) \longrightarrow D_{qc}(\widehat{X}), \\ \Phi_k(-) &:= i_* (\mathcal{O}_E(kE) \otimes \pi_*^*(-)) : D_{qc}(\mathbb{P}V_-) \longrightarrow D_{qc}(\widehat{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Associated to the functors $I_{a,b}$ are the right mutation functors

$$\mathbb{R}_{a,b} = \text{cone}(\text{id} \rightarrow I_{a,b} I_{a,b}^L)[-1].$$

To ease the notation, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_k &:= \mathbb{R}_{-1,-1} \circ \mathbb{R}_{-2,-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbb{R}_{-n,-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbb{R}_{-1,k} \circ \mathbb{R}_{-2,k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbb{R}_{-n,k}, \\ k &= -1, \dots, -n+1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, mutating SOD (3), which is obtained by the blow up formula and Orlov's SOD for a projective bundle, we get the SOD

$$D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{array} \right\rangle. \quad (13)$$

Lemma A.2.1. *In the previous SOD, we have $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{C} = \langle \text{Im}(\pi \circ q^*) \rangle$, $\pi = \text{cone}(p^* p_* \rightarrow \text{id})$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{A}$, as the other statement will then follow from [Bar20b, Theorem 3.3.1]. Given an object $K \in \mathcal{K}$, its projection to $p^* D_{qc}(X_-)$ is zero. Therefore, K can be decomposed in terms of the remaining subcategories in SOD (13). In particular, there exists a distinguished triangle

$$K' \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow K'',$$

where

$$K' \in \mathcal{B}, \quad K'' \in \mathcal{A}.$$

As $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $K'' \in \mathcal{K}$, we get $q_* K' \simeq 0$. Now, using the SOD defining \mathcal{B} , we know that there exists a distinguished triangle

$$T \longrightarrow K' \longrightarrow T',$$

where $T \in \text{Im}(I_{0,-1})$, and

$$T' \in \langle \mathbb{R}_{-n+1}\text{Im}(I_{0,-n}), \mathbb{R}_{-n+2}\text{Im}(I_{0,-n+1}), \dots, \mathbb{R}_{-1}\text{Im}(I_{0,-2}) \rangle.$$

As $q_*K' \simeq 0$, we get $q_*T' \simeq q_*T[1]$. Now, notice that as the functors $\mathbb{R}_{-j+1} \circ I_{0,-j}$ are fully faithful, we know that there exists $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n \in D_{qc}(Z)$ such that $T = I_{0,-1}(F_1)$, and the filtration of T' given by the SOD has projections of the form

$$\mathbb{R}_{-j+1}I_{0,-j}(F_j).$$

In particular, we get

$$q_*T = (j_+)_* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(-1) \otimes \omega_+^* F_1),$$

and, as we are mutating through subcategories which are contained in \mathcal{K} , that q_*T' has a filtration with graded pieces given by

$$(j_+)_* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-k) \otimes \omega_+^* F_k).$$

Our aim is now to prove that T is trivial. Choose an open subset U of Z over which V_+ is trivial. Then, $\omega^{-1}U \simeq \mathbb{P}^n \times U$ is an open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}V_+$, and therefore there exists $U_+ \subset X_+$ open subscheme such that $U_+ \cap \mathbb{P}V_+ = \mathbb{P}^n \times U$. Restricting everything to U_+ , we reduce to the case where Z is affine. In this case, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\Gamma(q_*T \otimes N_{\mathbb{P}V_+/X_+}^\vee) &\simeq \text{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}^{\oplus n+1} \otimes \omega_+^* F_1) \\ &\simeq \text{R}\Gamma(F_1)^{\oplus n+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\Gamma((j_+)_* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-k) \otimes \omega_+^* F_k) \otimes N_{\mathbb{P}V_+/X_+}^\vee) &\simeq \text{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-k+1)^{\oplus n+1} \otimes \omega_+^* F_k) \\ &\simeq \text{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-k+1)^{\oplus n+1}) \otimes \text{R}\Gamma(F_k) \\ &\simeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. However, we know that $q_*T' \simeq q_*T[1]$, and hence we get $\text{R}\Gamma(F_1) \simeq 0$. As Z is affine, $\text{R}\Gamma(F_1) \simeq 0$ implies $F_1 \simeq 0$, and therefore $T \simeq 0$. We can now proceed inductively using exterior powers of the dual of the normal bundle to deduce that also $T' \simeq 0$, and therefore $K' \simeq 0$, which implies the result. \square

We now introduce the Fourier Mukai kernels for the functors we are interested in. We will make use of the following notation: if \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are two Fourier Mukai kernels for functors $D_{qc}(X_1) \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_2)$, $D_{qc}(X_2) \rightarrow D_{qc}(X_3)$, the Fourier Mukai kernel for the composition will be denoted as

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E} := (p_{13})_*(p_{12}^*\mathcal{E} \otimes p_{23}^*\mathcal{F}),$$

with the standard notation for the projections $p_{ij} : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \rightarrow X_i \times X_j$.

We will denote the Fourier Mukai kernel for $\mathbb{R}_{a,b}$, $I_{a,b}$, $I_{a,b}^L$ respectively as $\mathcal{R}_{a,b}$, $\mathcal{I}_{a,b}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^L$. Explicitly, they are given by³⁹

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{a,b} &= (\omega_+ \circ \pi_+ \times i)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+}(a, b), \\ \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^L &= \sigma^* \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^\vee \otimes p_{\widehat{X}} \omega_{\widehat{X}}[\dim \widehat{X}], \\ \mathcal{R}_{a,b} &= \text{cone}(\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{a,b} \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^L)[-1],\end{aligned}$$

where, in the following, $\sigma : \widehat{X} \times Z \rightarrow Z \times \widehat{X}$ and Δ will denote the twist morphism and the diagonal inclusion respectively. The map in the third line is given as follows. Giving a map from $\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ to $\mathcal{I}_{a,b} \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^L$ is, by adjunction, the same thing as giving a map

$$p_{13}^* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} \longrightarrow p_{12}^* \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^L \otimes p_{23}^* \mathcal{I}_{a,b}.$$

By flat base change, we have

$$p_{13}^* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} \simeq \alpha_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X} \times Z},$$

where

$$\alpha : \widehat{X} \times Z \rightarrow \widehat{X} \times Z \times \widehat{X}, \quad (\hat{x}, z) \mapsto (\hat{x}, z, \hat{x}).$$

By the adjunction $\alpha_* \dashv \alpha^*(-) \otimes \omega_\alpha$, and because $\omega_\alpha = p_{\widehat{X}}^* \omega_{\widehat{X}}^\vee[-\dim \widehat{X}]$, giving a map as above is the same thing as giving a map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X} \times Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^L \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{I}_{a,b} \otimes p_{\widehat{X}}^* \omega_{\widehat{X}}^\vee[-\dim \widehat{X}].$$

By construction, the right hand side is given by $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{a,b})$. The sought map is now the image via σ^* of the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z \times \widehat{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{a,b} \quad (14)$$

which is adjoint to the identity.

Let us now denote \mathcal{R}_{-j} the kernel for the functor \mathbb{R}_{-j} obtained by convolving the kernels of the various $\mathbb{R}_{a,b}$. Following the above convention, the Fourier Mukai kernel for $\mathbb{R}_{-j+1} \circ I_{0,-j}$ will be denoted as $\mathcal{R}_{-j+1} \mathcal{I}_{0,-j}$. Moreover, we denote the kernel of the functor

$$J_{-i} = (j_+)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-i) \otimes \omega_+^* -)$$

as \mathcal{J}_{-i} . It is given by

$$\mathcal{J}_{-i} = (\omega_+ \times j_+)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-i).$$

To conclude, we prove

³⁹Here $p_{\widehat{X}} : \widehat{X} \times Z \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ is the standard projection. \uparrow

Proposition A.2.2. *The pushdown functor gives an isomorphism*

$$(\mathrm{id} \times q)_* \mathrm{RHom}_{D_{qc}(Z \times \widehat{X})}(\mathcal{R}_{-i+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-i}, \mathcal{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-j}) \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id} \times q)_*} \mathrm{RHom}_{D_{qc}(Z \times X_+)}(\mathcal{J}_{-i}, \mathcal{J}_{-j})$$

for $1 \leq j < i \leq n$.

First of all, we have to prove that the morphism described in the statement of the proposition exists. This is done in the following

Lemma A.2.3. *We have an isomorphism of complexes*

$$(\mathrm{id} \times q)_* \mathcal{R}_{-i+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-i} \simeq \mathcal{J}_{-i}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. By construction $\mathcal{R}_{a,b}$ has a map to $\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$. Using these maps we get a map

$$\mathcal{R}_{-i+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-i} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{I}_{0,-i}.$$

Now notice that by construction we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,-i} = (\omega_+ \circ \pi_+ \times i)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+}(0, -i).$$

Therefore, if we apply $(\mathrm{id} \times q)_*$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{id} \times q)_* \mathcal{I}_{0,-i} &= (\mathrm{id} \times q)_* (\omega_+ \circ \pi_+ \times i)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+}(0, -i) \\ &\simeq (\omega_+ \circ \pi_+ \times q \circ i)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+}(0, -i) \\ &\simeq (\omega_+ \times j_+)_* (\pi_+ \times \pi_+)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+}(0, -i) \\ &\simeq (\omega_+ \times j_+)_* \Delta_* (\pi_+)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+}(0, -i) \\ &\simeq (\omega_+ \times j_+)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-i) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_{-i}. \end{aligned}$$

We are left to prove that the cone of η goes to zero via $(\mathrm{id} \times q)_*$. However, as we are mutating through subcategories which are contained in \mathcal{K} , the pushdown via q of $I_{a,b} I_{a,b}^L$, which is the functor induced by the cone of the map $\mathcal{R}_{a,b} \rightarrow \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$, $a, b \in \{-n, \dots, -1\}$, is zero. This implies that via $(\mathrm{id} \times q)_*$ the Fourier Mukai kernel representing $I_{a,b} I_{a,b}^L$ goes to zero. In turn, this means that the cones of the maps we used to construct η push down to zero. Therefore $(\mathrm{id} \times q)_* \mathrm{cone}(\eta) \simeq 0$, giving the desired isomorphism. \square

Proof of Proposition A.2.2. Let us consider

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D_{qc}(Z \times \widehat{X})}(\mathcal{R}_{-i+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-i}, \mathcal{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-j}).$$

Using the above lemma, we get a map

$$(15)$$

$$(\mathrm{id} \times q)_* \mathrm{RHom}_{D_{qc}(Z \times \widehat{X})}(\mathcal{R}_{-i+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-i}, \mathcal{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-j}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{D_{qc}(Z \times X_+)}(\mathcal{J}_{-i}, \mathcal{J}_{-j}).$$

What we want to do now is to find a deformation over \mathbb{A}^1 of the data we described above whose fibre over 0 gives the local model. Then, we will obtain the isomorphism we want from this.

Let us recall the construction of the deformation to the normal cone. Given any closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow Y$, we can construct a flat family $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, together with a closed immersion $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$, such that for any $t \neq 0$ we have $\mathcal{X}_t = Y$ with the given embedding, and $\mathcal{X}_0 = \text{Tot}(N_{X/Y})$ with the embedding given by the zero section. The deformation is constructed considering $M = \text{Bl}_{X \times \{0\}}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, then taking $M^\circ = M \setminus \text{Bl}_{X \times \{0\}}(Y \times \{0\})$, and restricting to the complement of $t = \infty$. In particular, notice that $\dim \mathcal{X} = \dim Y + 1$ and that the fibres are all Cartier divisors. Let us denote $\widehat{f} : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ the deformation to the normal cone of \widehat{X} , and similarly $f_\pm : \mathcal{X}_\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ the deformations to the normal cone of X_\pm . The maps between $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and \mathcal{X}_\pm that lift p and q will still be denoted p and q . We will employ the following notation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t & \xleftarrow{r_t} & \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \\ \uparrow i_t & & \uparrow s \\ \mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+ \times \{t\} & \xleftarrow{s_t} & \mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1, \end{array}$$

$$\mathbb{P}V_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xleftarrow{j_+} \mathcal{X}_+,$$

$$Z \times \{t\} \xleftarrow{u_t} Z \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$

We want to prove that the functors $I_{a,b}$ and \mathbb{R}_{-i} can be constructed flatly in the family. More precisely, we want to define a functors $I_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}, \mathbb{R}_{-i}^{\text{fam}}$, such that for any $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$ we have an isomorphism

$$r_t^* \circ I_{a,b}^{\text{fam}} \simeq I_{a,b} \circ u_t^*, \quad (16)$$

and similarly for $\mathbb{R}_{-i}^{\text{fam}}$.

However, what we are really interested in are the Fourier Mukai kernels representing these functors, rather than the functor themselves. For this reason, instead of looking for the family version of the functors, we will look at a family version of the kernels.

Notice that if we managed in defining the functors above, then we would have $D_{qc}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ linear functors. As all the varieties appearing are flat over \mathbb{A}^1 , it means that the kernels representing $I_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{-i}^{\text{fam}}$ must be objects

$$\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}} \in D^b(Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}), \quad \mathcal{R}_{-i}^{\text{fam}} \in \mathfrak{Perf}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}).$$

Notice that they have to be perfect complexes, as the functors they induce preserve boundedness and coherence.

Let us denote

$$\xi : Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Z$$

the projection. Then, we define

$$\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}} := (\xi \times \text{id})_*(\text{id} \times s)_*(\omega_+ \circ \pi_+ \times \text{id} \times \text{id})_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times \mathbb{P}V_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1}(a, b).$$

Notice that the pushforward of the above complex under the map

$$Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \simeq Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\beta} Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$$

gives a Fourier Mukai kernel whose associated functor is the sought family version of $\mathcal{I}_{a,b}$. Indeed, a long exercise in base change for Tor independent diagram⁴⁰ shows

$$(\text{id} \times r_t)^* \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}} \simeq (\omega_+ \circ \pi_+ \times i_t)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+ \times \{t\}},$$

meaning that the restriction to the fibre $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t$ gives back the functor in the “non family” version when $t \neq 0$, and the one in the local case when $t = 0$. Let us remark that the key point here is that all the fibres are Cartier divisors, and that $\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+ \times \{t\}$ is cut out as a Cartier divisor in $\mathbb{P}V_- \times_Z \mathbb{P}V_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1$ by the restriction of the function $g_t \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}}$ cutting out $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

To give the Fourier Mukai kernel for the left adjoint, notice that we have $\omega_\beta = \mathcal{O}_{Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}}[-1]$, and therefore, as β has finite tor dimension,

$$(\beta_*(-))^\vee \simeq \beta_*((-)^\vee)[-1].$$

Then, we define⁴¹

$$(\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}})^L := \sigma^* (\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}})^\vee \otimes p_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}}^* \omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}}[\dim \widehat{\mathcal{X}} - 1].$$

The previous remark, together with the projection formula, ensures that $\beta'_* \left((\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}})^L \right)$, where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} (Z \times \mathbb{A}^1) \xrightarrow{\beta'} \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \times \mathbb{A}^1,$$

gives a Fourier Mukai kernel for the left adjoint of $I_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$. Notice that we have

$$(r_t \times \text{id})^* (\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}})^L \simeq \sigma^* ((\text{id} \times r_t)^* \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}})^\vee \otimes p_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t}^* \omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t}[\dim \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t],$$

where we used that $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t$ is cut out in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ by a regular function, and that $\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$ is a perfect complex. Hence, we get that $(\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}})^L$ restricts to the Fourier Mukai kernel for $I_{a,b}^L$ on every fibre.

Given the above, we can define $\mathcal{R}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$ as the cone of the map

$$\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}} (\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}})^L,$$

⁴⁰See the following proposition for an example of this.[↑]

⁴¹We are just performing the construction of passing to the kernel of the left adjoint relative to \mathbb{A}^1 .[↑]

which is constructed similarly to (14), with the difference that the role of α there is now played by

$$\gamma : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \rightarrow \left(\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \right) \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (\hat{x}, z) \mapsto (\hat{x}, z, \hat{x}).$$

As the right hand side above is not necessarily smooth, we have to be careful in computing the effect of the right adjoint γ^\times of γ_* . However, notice that $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \right) \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is cut out in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ by a regular function. In particular, if we call δ the closed embedding $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \right) \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, we have

$$p_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}}^* \omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}}^\vee[-\dim \widehat{\mathcal{X}}] \simeq (\delta \circ \gamma)^\times \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}} \simeq \gamma^\times \delta^\times \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}} \simeq \gamma^\times \mathcal{O}_{(\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z) \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}}[-1].$$

As we need to apply the adjunction $\gamma_* \dashv \gamma^\times$ on perfect complexes, this is all we need to construct the morphism.

We now wish to show that the restriction of $\mathcal{R}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$ to the fibres gives the correct complexes. Namely, we want to compute $(r_t \times r_t)^* \mathcal{R}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$. This would be straightforward if we weren't considering $r_t \times r_t$ as a map

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t \xrightarrow{r_t \times r_t} \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}.$$

The problem is that in order to go on we need to apply base change to the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t \times Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t & \xrightarrow{r_t \times \text{id} \times r_t} & \left(\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times Z \right) \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \\ \downarrow p_{13} & & \downarrow p_{13} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t & \xrightarrow{r_t \times r_t} & \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}, \end{array}$$

where we pull back along the bottom map. Being this map not flat, our only hope is that this diagram is Tor independent. What saves us is that $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ was flat over \mathbb{A}^1 , and therefore also $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is flat over \mathbb{A}^1 . Hence, $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t \simeq \left(\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \right)_t$ is cut out by a regular section, and the Tor independence follows. Therefore, we can apply base change, and we get that $\mathcal{R}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$ restricts to the correct complex on every fibre.

Summing up, we have succeeded in constructing complexes

$$\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}} \in D^b(Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}), \quad \mathcal{R}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}} \in \mathfrak{Perf}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \widehat{\mathcal{X}})$$

such that

$$(\text{id} \times r_t)^* \mathcal{I}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}, \quad (r_t \times r_t)^* \mathcal{R}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$$

give the corresponding functors on the fibres. At this point, we can simply define $\mathcal{R}_{-i}^{\text{fam}}$ as the convolution of the kernels $\mathcal{R}_{a,b}^{\text{fam}}$ to complete our construction of the family versions of the kernels.

Now define the functor $J_{-i}^{\text{fam}} : D_{qc}(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow D_{qc}(\mathcal{X}_+)$ as

$$J_{-i}^{\text{fam}}(-) = (j_+)_* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times \mathbb{A}^1}(-i) \otimes (\omega_+ \times \text{id})^*(-)),$$

and denote

$$\mathcal{J}_{-i}^{\text{fam}} = (\xi \times \text{id})_*(\omega_+ \times \text{id} \times j_+)_* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_- \times \mathbb{A}^1}(-i) \in D^b(Z \times \mathcal{X}_+)$$

the associated kernel.

Even for the family version, we have $(\text{id} \times q)_* \mathcal{R}_{-i+1}^{\text{fam}} \mathcal{I}_{0,-i}^{\text{fam}} \simeq \mathcal{J}_{-i}^{\text{fam}}$. The argument is as follows. As in [Lemma A.2.3](#), we can define a morphism

$$\mathcal{R}_{-i+1}^{\text{fam}} \mathcal{I}_{0,-i}^{\text{fam}} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{I}_{0,-i}^{\text{fam}}.$$

Moreover, we can show by explicit computation that $(\text{id} \times q)_* \mathcal{I}_{0,-i}^{\text{fam}} \simeq \mathcal{J}_{-i}^{\text{fam}}$. Then, using that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_t & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times r_t} & Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \\ \downarrow \text{id} \times q & & \downarrow \text{id} \times q \\ Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_t & \hookrightarrow & Z \times \mathcal{X}_+ \end{array}$$

is Tor independent (see [Lemma A.2.4](#) for a proof), by [Lemma A.2.3](#) we get that $(\text{id} \times r_t)^*(\text{id} \times q)_* \text{cone}(\eta) = 0$ for every t , and this implies that $(\text{id} \times q)_* \text{cone}(\eta) = 0$.

Given this isomorphism, we get that the datum of the map [\(15\)](#) lifts to a family version, namely a map

(17)

$$(\text{id} \times q)_* \text{RHom}_{D^b(Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}})}(\mathcal{R}_{-i+1}^{\text{fam}} \mathcal{I}_{0,-i}^{\text{fam}}, \mathcal{R}_{-j+1}^{\text{fam}} \mathcal{I}_{0,-j}^{\text{fam}}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{RHom}_{D^b(Z \times \mathcal{X}_+)}(\mathcal{J}_{-i}^{\text{fam}}, \mathcal{J}_{-j}^{\text{fam}}).$$

As the family is trivial outside $t = 0$, the restrictions of the above map to the fibres $(\mathcal{X}_+)_t$, $t \neq 0$, give the map [\(15\)](#). Therefore, it is enough to prove that the previous map restricts to an isomorphism on a fibre $(\mathcal{X}_+)_t$, $t \neq 0$. Said another way, let us call $T = \text{cone}(\varphi)$, then our aim is to prove that T restricts to zero to a fibre different from $(\mathcal{X}_+)_0$.

Assume we knew that on $(\mathcal{X}_+)_0$ the map is an isomorphism, then the result would follow as being an isomorphism is an open condition. More precisely, being an isomorphism over 0 would imply

$$\text{Supp } T \cap (Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_0) = \emptyset.$$

Given this, notice that T is supported on $Z \times \mathbb{P}V_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ because the complexes involved are supported there. Assume there was $(w, t) \in \text{Supp } T$ with $w \in Z \times \mathbb{P}V_+$, $t \neq 0$. Then, being the family \mathcal{X}_+ trivial on $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$, we would get

$$\{w\} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{Supp } T.$$

However, $\text{Supp } T$ is closed, so $\{w\} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ would be contained in $\text{Supp } T$. This would be absurd, as we are assuming that no point with last zero coordinate belongs to $\text{Supp } T$. Therefore, $\text{Supp } T = \emptyset$, and we get the desired isomorphism.

Therefore, to conclude we have only to prove that on $(\mathcal{X}_+)_0$ the map is an isomorphism. This is done in the following lemma. \square

Lemma A.2.4. *On the fibre $(\mathcal{X}_+)_0$ map (17) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. To prove this lemma, we will prove that on the fibre $(\mathcal{X}_+)_0$ the map becomes the map in the (semi) local model. This means that it becomes the map for the setting

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}V_+ & \xrightarrow{j_+} & \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_+}(-1)^{\oplus n+1}) \\ \downarrow \omega_+ & & \\ Z & & \end{array}$$

This will suffice, as we know that the map in the local case is an isomorphism. To be precise, we proved that it is an isomorphism (1) for the case $Z = \text{pt}$, (2) for the complexes $\mathbb{R}_{-i+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)$, (3) and only after having applied $\text{R}\Gamma$. In order, we solve these problems as follows.

- (1) Proving that the map is an isomorphism is a local question in Z . Hence, we can assume that Z is affine and small enough such that V_+ is trivial. If this is the case, then $\mathbb{P}V_+ \simeq Z \times \mathbb{P}$, and the above diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \times \mathbb{P} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times j_+} & Z \times \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)^{\oplus n+1}) \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \\ Z & & \end{array}$$

The functor J_{-i} is now given by

$$J_{-i}(F) \simeq p_1^*F \otimes p_2^*(j_+)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i).$$

Hence, its kernel is

$$(p_1 \times \text{id} \times j_+)_*\Delta_*p_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i) \simeq (\Delta \times j_+)_*(\mathcal{O}_{Z \times \mathbb{P}}(-i)) \simeq \Delta_*\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes (j_+)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{a,b} &\simeq \Delta_*\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(a, b), \\ \mathcal{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{I}_{0,-j} &\simeq \Delta_*\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \mathbb{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j). \end{aligned}$$

As all the derived homs we are interested in are between perfect complexes, these splittings as an exterior tensor product tell us that the derived homs split in a part coming from $Z \times Z$, and a part coming from either $\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, -1))$

or $\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)^{\oplus n+1})$. Hence, it is enough to prove that statement for the case $Z = \text{pt}$.

- (2) When $Z = \text{pt}$, the functors we are interested in have source category equal to $D(k)$, hence their Fourier Mukai kernels are given by the object to which they map k . More precisely, in this case they are given by $\mathbb{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j)$.
- (3) Given the previous points, it is enough to prove that the map

$$\begin{array}{c} q_*\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{D^b(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(-1, -1)))}(\mathbb{R}_{-i+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -i), \mathbb{R}_{-j+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j)) \\ \downarrow q_* \\ \mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{D^b(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)^{\oplus n+1}))}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism for $i > j$. In the local case we produced explicit models for the mutations that commutes with restrictions to an open subset. Therefore, when we apply $\text{R}\Gamma(U, -)$ for every open affine subset U of $\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)^{\oplus n+1})$, the above map becomes an isomorphism. Hence, the map is an isomorphism in the local case.

To prove that the map restricts to the local one on the fibre $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0$, we have to prove that we can apply base change. Consider the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times q} & Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_0 \\ \downarrow \text{id} \times r_0 & & \downarrow \text{id} \times r_0 \\ Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times q} & Z \times \mathcal{X}_+. \end{array} \quad (18)$$

We claim that $Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_0$ and $Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ are tor independent over $Z \times \mathcal{X}_+$. To see this, notice that if we call t the coordinate on \mathbb{A}^1 , and $s = f_+^*t$ the fibre coordinate on \mathcal{X}_+ , then we have the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z \times \mathcal{X}_+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z \times \mathcal{X}_+} \longrightarrow (\text{id} \times r_0)_* \mathcal{O}_{Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_0}.$$

Taking the stalk of this complex at a point $y^+ = (\text{id} \times r_0)(y_0^+)$, we get a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_0, y_0^+}$. In particular, we can use it to compute the derived tensor product

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_0, y_0^+} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z \times \mathcal{X}_+, y^+}} \mathcal{O}_{Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}, \widehat{y}}$$

where $(\text{id} \times q)(\widehat{y}) = y^+$. Notice that the section $v = q^*s = \widehat{f}^*t$ is the fibre coordinate on $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. Therefore, when we tensor the previous resolution with $\mathcal{O}_{Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}, \widehat{y}}$, we get the Koszul resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, \widehat{y}_0}$, $\widehat{y}_0 = (\text{id} \times r_0)(\widehat{y}_0)$, given by $1 \otimes v$. In particular, this complex has only H^0 , which means

$$\text{Tor}_p^{\mathcal{O}_{Z \times \mathcal{X}_+, y^+}}(\mathcal{O}_{Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_0, y_0^+}, \mathcal{O}_{Z \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}}, \widehat{y}}) = 0$$

for $p \geq 1$, and we proved the Tor independence.

This means we can apply base change to any complex of quasi coherent sheaves, see [Sta18, Tag 08IB], which means that the base change of φ via (18) gives the corresponding map on $Z \times (\mathcal{X}_+)_0$. This is what we wanted, and therefore the result follows. \square

A.3. Mukai flops. In this section, we prove Lemma 2.3.8, and Lemma 2.3.9. Recall the setting, we consider $Z_- = Z_+ = \text{Tot } \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1$, and we take the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \widehat{Z} & \\
 p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\
 Z_- & & Z_+ \\
 f_- \searrow & & \swarrow f_+ \\
 & Y = \text{Spec} H^0(Z_-, \mathcal{O}_{Z_-}) &
 \end{array}$$

We set

$$T = Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -n)).$$

Lemma A.3.1. *The functors Ψ^L and Ψ^R send $D^b(Z_+)$ to $\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$.*

Proof. Notice that on Z_+ we have a compact generator

$$D_{qc}(Z_+) = \langle \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-n) \rangle,$$

and therefore we get

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \langle \Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-n)) \rangle,$$

where $\Psi^L = Q(\text{cone}(p^*p_*q^* \rightarrow q^*))$. Let us denote

$$\overline{F} = \Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-n)),$$

which is a compact generator for $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Assume we knew that $\langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle \subset \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$, then we claim that the result follows. Indeed, we know that Ψ^L preserves compactnes, and that Ψ^R does too by [Bar20b, Lemma 2.2.12]. Thus, we know that Ψ^L and Ψ^R send $D^b(Z_+)$ to $\overline{\mathcal{E}}^c = \langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle \subset \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$. The inclusion $\langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle \subset \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$ is proved in the following lemma. \square

Lemma A.3.2. *We have $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \langle T \rangle$, and furthermore $\langle\langle \overline{F} \rangle\rangle \subset \langle\langle T \rangle\rangle$.*

Proof. We know that $p_*T = 0$, therefore $\langle T \rangle \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}} = (\overline{p}^*D_{qc}(Z_-))^\perp$. Notice that $\Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}) \simeq 0$, and for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(i-1, -1) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(i, 0) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(i, 0), \\ \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(i, 0) &\xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i), \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(i, 0)$, and the exact sequences (6), (7).

This sequences can be used to describe⁴²

$$\Psi^L \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(-i) = Q \left(\left[\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(i, 0) \xrightarrow[\text{deg.0}]{g \circ f = u^i} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i) \right] \right),$$

Notice that the above exact sequences can be rewritten as the following distinguished triangle

$$Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(i-1, -1)[1]) \longrightarrow \Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-i)) \longrightarrow Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i)). \quad (19)$$

From now on, we set $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^i(0, -i) := \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i)$.

We now prove that for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the object $\Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-i))$ can be generated using twists of the structure sheaf of $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ up to $(0, -i)$, and taking a finite number of cones. This will prove both the statements of the lemma. From (19) the statement is obvious for $i = 1$, so let us assume $i > 1$. Moreover, distinguished triangle (19) tells us it is enough to show that we can generate $Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(i-1, -1))$ and $Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^i(0, -i))$. Notice that using inductively the pushforward to \widehat{Z} of the pull up of the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-n) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$$

from the first \mathbb{P} to $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$, we have $Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(i-1, -1)) \in \langle\langle Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)) \rangle\rangle$ in $D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}$. Indeed, we can resolve $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(i-1, 0)$ in terms of smaller twists, which will eventually belong to \mathcal{K} once we tensor them with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -1)$. Therefore, we are left to show that we can generate $Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i))$. For $s \in \{2, \dots, i\}$ consider the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^s/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^{s-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^s \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^{s-1}. \quad (20)$$

As we know that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^s/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^{s-1} \simeq \text{Sym}^{s-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(1, 1)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(s-1, s-1),$$

⁴²Here $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-1, -1)$ is the section cutting $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \subset \widehat{Z}$.[↑]

tensoring (20) with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i)$ we get

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(s-1, s-i-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^s(0, -i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^{s-1}(0, -i). \quad (21)$$

As $s-i-1 \in \{-1, \dots, 1-i\} \subset \{-1, \dots, 1-n\}$, we see that as above we have

$$Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(s-1, s-i-1)) \in \langle\langle Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, s-i-1)) \rangle\rangle$$

in $D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}$. When $s=2$ on the right hand side of (21) we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)$, and therefore we have showed that we can generate the sheaves $Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^i(0, -i))$ with $Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))$, $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$, which implies the result. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to prove

Lemma A.3.3. *We have an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(T, T) \simeq H^\bullet(S_{q, pol}^{\rightarrow}).$$

In order to compute the endomorphism algebra of T , we will need to make use of double complexes and homotopy colimits. Let us briefly recall the notions we will use.

We will use the convention that double complexes have anticommuting differentials. We will denote $\mathrm{Tot}(A^{\bullet, \bullet})$ the totalization of the double complex $A^{\bullet, \bullet}$, by which we mean the complex given by

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i+j=n} A^{i,j}, \bigoplus_{i+j=n} d_{\mathrm{ver}}^{i,j} \oplus d_{\mathrm{hor}}^{i,j} \right),$$

where d_{ver} and d_{hor} are respectively the vertical and horizontal differentials.

We now recall the definition of homotopy colimit in a cocomplete triangulated category \mathcal{T} .

Definition A.3.4. Given a collection of objects $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ together with maps $\varphi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$, we define the homotopy colimit of this collection as the object X that sits in the distinguished triangle

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} X_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} - \varphi} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} X_n \longrightarrow X,$$

where φ is the map given by the maps φ_n .

Example A.3.5. If $\mathcal{T} = D(A)$ for some abelian category A with arbitrary direct sums, then an homotopy colimit we will often use is the following. Given a complex $E \in D(A)$, call $X_n = \tau^{\geq n} E$, where $(\tau^{\geq n} E)^m = E^m$ if $m \geq n$ and 0 otherwise. We have inclusion maps $X_n \hookrightarrow X_{n-1}$. Then, fixed any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the homotopy colimit of the collection $\{X_{n-i}\}_{i \geq 0}$ is isomorphic to E .

Remark A.3.6. A useful fact that we will use more the once is the following. Assume we have a complex⁴³ $E \in D^{\leq 0}(A)$, where A is an abelian category with arbitrary direct sums, such that E is 2 periodic. This means that

$$E = \left[\dots \xrightarrow{f} E_0 \xrightarrow{g} E_{-1} \xrightarrow{f} E_0 \xrightarrow{\text{deg.0}} 0 \longrightarrow \dots \right].$$

Let us denote

$$F = \left[E_{-1} \xrightarrow{f} E_0 \xrightarrow{\text{deg.0}} \right],$$

and $\beta : E \rightarrow E[2]$ the morphism coming from the 2 periodicity of E .

It is a standard fact that, when both exists, the homotopy colimit of the systems $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{X_{2n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are isomorphic, see [Sta18, Tag 0CRJ]. In particular, using the above example, we get

$$E \simeq \text{hocolim } X_n,$$

where

$$X_n = \tau^{\geq -2n} E \simeq \text{Tot} \left\{ F[n] \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \longrightarrow F[1] \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \right\},$$

where the morphism α is given by the morphism $F[1] \rightarrow F$ that maps E_0 to E_{-1} via g . Now assume that $\beta^m = 0$ for some $m > 0$, then we claim that E is a direct summand (in $D(A)$) of X_{m-1} . Indeed, notice that we have

$$\text{cone} \left(E \xrightarrow{\beta^m} E[2m] \right) [-1] = X_{m-1}.$$

Hence, if $\beta^m = 0$, we get that E is a direct summand in $D(A)$ of X_{m-1} .

Finally, recall that every finite length complex has a filtration whose graded pieces are given by the components of the complex.

Example A.3.7. If our complex were given by

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X^0 & \longrightarrow & X^1 & \longrightarrow & X^2 & \longrightarrow & X^3 \\ \text{deg.0} & & & & & & \end{array} \right],$$

⁴³Clearly everything holds if $E \in D^{\leq n}(A)$.[↑]

then the filtration would be

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X^3[-3] & \longrightarrow & \left[\begin{array}{c} X^2 \rightarrow X^3 \\ \text{deg.2} \end{array} \right] & \longrightarrow & \left[\begin{array}{c} X^1 \rightarrow X^2 \rightarrow X^3 \\ \text{deg.1} \end{array} \right] & \longrightarrow & A \\
 & \swarrow \text{dashed} & \searrow & & \swarrow \text{dashed} & & \searrow \\
 & & X^2[-2] & & X^1[-1] & & X^0[0].
 \end{array}$$

Proof of Lemma A.3.3. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and consider the exact sequences

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1-i) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n}(0, -i),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n}(0, -i) \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1-i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1-i),$$

which are just the exact sequences (6) and (7) tensored with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1-i)$ respectively. Putting them together we get the resolution

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i) \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1-i) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i).$$

Case $i = 1$

We see that the terms in the above resolution for $i = 1$ are either $p^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_-}(1)$ or $q^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1)$. Thus, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)$ belongs to $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{L}, p^*D_{qc}(Z_-) \rangle$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{X}}^\bullet(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) &\simeq \\
 \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)) &\simeq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $1 < j \leq n$. Whereas, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{X}}^\bullet(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1))) &\simeq \\
 \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)) &\simeq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k[-2n].
 \end{aligned}$$

The non trivial element of degree 2 is given by the long exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}. \quad (22)$$

Notice that the composition of this morphism with itself is never zero. Indeed, if it were then by Remark A.3.6 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}$ would be a direct summand in $D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})$ of a complex of the form

$$\left[\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}^{\text{deg.0}} \right].$$

However, this would contradict the computation of the graded endomorphism algebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}$.

As the composition of the above morphism with itself is never zero, we see that the algebra structure is given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -1))) \simeq k[q], \quad (23)$$

where q is the generator (22) of degree 2.

Case $i \geq 2$

Denote

$$F = \left[\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \right]_{deg.0},$$

and $F(-i) = F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i)$. Consider the bicomplex $A^{\bullet, \bullet}$ given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-n, 1) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-n, 1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{x} \cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{x} \cdot & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-n+1, 1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-n+1, 1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{x} \cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{x} \cdot & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-1, 1) \otimes \bigwedge^2 k^{n+1} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-1, 1) \otimes \bigwedge^2 k^{n+1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{x} \cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{x} \cdot & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{y} \cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{u\mathbf{x} \cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{y} \cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{u\mathbf{x} \cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \end{array}$$

where the rightmost $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}$ is in position $(0, 0)$, the vertical axis is oriented downwards, and the non zero columns are the tensor product of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1)$ with the pull ups to Z_+ , and then to \widehat{Z} , of the Koszul complex (where we left out the last sheaf) associated

to the sections⁴⁴ $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)^{\oplus n+1}$. Notice that we have a morphism of bicomplexes $\alpha : A^{\bullet, \bullet} \rightarrow F$, where F is considered as a bicomplex concentrated in vertical degree zero, given by the map of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{u\mathbf{x}\cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} & \xrightarrow{y\cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{u\mathbf{x}\cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \\ & & \downarrow \mathbf{x}\cdot & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \mathbf{x}\cdot & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1) & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} & \xrightarrow{w} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 1) & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}} \\ & & & & & & & & \text{deg.0} \end{array}$$

Moreover, α induces isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}_{\text{hor}}^n \mathcal{H}_{\text{ver}}^m(A^{\bullet, \bullet}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{hor}}^n \mathcal{H}_{\text{ver}}^m(F)$. Thus, as the antidiagonals $i+j = r$ of $A^{\bullet, \bullet}$ have only a finite number of non zero elements, it induces a quasi isomorphism $\text{Tot}(\alpha) : \text{Tot}(A^{\bullet, \bullet}) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(F)$. However, we know that $\text{Tot}(F) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}$, thus $\text{Tot}(A^{\bullet, \bullet})$ is quasi isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}$. Clearly, everything holds if we tensor with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i)$, thus $\text{Tot}(A^{\bullet, \bullet} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i))$ is quasi isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)$. To ease the notation, we will write $A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i) = A^{\bullet, \bullet} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i)$.

Now call $\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq r} A^{\bullet, \bullet}$ the double complex with the same rows as $A^{\bullet, \bullet}$ until the r -th row, and then 0. Similarly define $\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq r} A^{\bullet, \bullet}$. Then, we have a an exact sequence of bicomplexes

$$\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq r} A^{\bullet, \bullet} \longrightarrow A^{\bullet, \bullet} \longrightarrow \tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq r-1} A^{\bullet, \bullet}.$$

In particular, once more because our bicomplexes have only a finite number of non zero entries on each antidiagonal $i+j = r$, applying the totalization functor we get an exact sequence of complexes

$$\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq r} A^{\bullet, \bullet}) \longrightarrow \text{Tot}(A^{\bullet, \bullet}) \longrightarrow \text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq r-1} A^{\bullet, \bullet}). \quad (24)$$

Clearly, such an exact sequence exists for any $A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$.

Consider (24) for $A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$ with $r = 2-i$. Then, notice that $\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$ has columns which are either zero, or given by the complex

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-n, 1-i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-n+1, 1-i) \otimes k^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1-i, 1-i) \otimes \bigwedge_{\text{deg. } 1-i}^i k^{n+1}.$$

In particular, the totalization of $\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$ will be a complex whose pieces are direct sums of the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-r, 1-i)$ with $r \in \{i-1, \dots, n\}$. We now prove that $Q(\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)))) \simeq 0$, where $\pi = \text{cone}(p^* p_* \rightarrow \text{id})$.

Consider the exact sequence (22) tensored with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(t-j, t+1-i)$, where $t < \min\{j, 1-i\}$. Computations analogous with the one of Lemma A.1.2 give

$$(1) \quad j \geq i-1 \implies u^{i-1} : Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(i-j-1, 0)) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-j, 1-i)),$$

⁴⁴Notice that this complex is exact (except in degree 0 because we left out the last sheaf) because it is the Koszul complex associated to the sections $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1, 0)^{\oplus n+1}$, which never vanish simultaneously. \uparrow

$$(2) \ j < i - 1 \implies u^j : Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1 - i + j)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Q(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-j, 1 - i)).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \ j \geq i - 1 &\implies Q(\pi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-j, 1 - i))) \simeq 0, \\ (2) \ j < i - 1 &\implies Q(\pi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-j, 1 - i))) \simeq \Psi^L(\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1 - i + j)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, writing $\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)))$ as the homotopy colimit of its truncations, we get that $Q(\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)))) \simeq 0$. Indeed, the truncations have filtrations whose graded pieces are direct sums of the above mentioned sheaves, and by the computations we did we know that these sheaves are sent to zero by $Q \circ \pi$. Thus, we get an isomorphism

$$Q(\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 2-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)))) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Q(\pi(\text{Tot}(A^{\bullet, \bullet})(-i))) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)).$$

Remark A.3.8. If we consider Mukai flops with $n = 2$, and take $i = 2$, then we get the triangle

$$\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 0} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-2))) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -2) \longrightarrow \pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq -1} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-2))),$$

where $\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 0} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-2))) \in \mathcal{C}$, and $\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq -1} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-2))) \in \mathcal{K}$. However, we have

$$\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq -1} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-2))) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \pi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-1, -1)[2n + 2]).$$

Therefore, $\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq -1} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-2)))$ is not bounded. This implies that the SOD

$$D_{qc}(\widehat{X}) \stackrel{\text{sod}}{=} \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{C}, p^* D_{qc}(X_-) \rangle$$

doesn't restrict to complexes with bounded and coherent cohomologies because the projection functor for \mathcal{K} doesn't preserve boundedness. Notice however that this example doesn't rule out the possibility that \mathcal{K}^b is left admissible in $D^b(\widehat{Z})$ (the left adjoint to the inclusion $i_{\mathcal{K}^b} : \mathcal{K}^b \hookrightarrow D^b(\widehat{Z})$ might exist even if $i_{\mathcal{K}}^L$ doesn't map \mathcal{K}^b to $D^b(\widehat{Z})$).

Now notice that the columns of $\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 2-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$ are either given by the single sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i)$ in degree 0, or by the complex L

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(2 - i, 1 - i) \otimes \bigwedge^{i-1} k^{n+1} &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}^\bullet} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(1 - i, 1 - i) \otimes \bigwedge^{i-2} k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \\ \dots &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-1, 1 - i) \otimes \bigwedge^2 k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}^\bullet} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1 - i) \otimes k^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

deg.0

Consider the complex M given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -1) \otimes \bigwedge^{i-1} k^{n+1} &\xrightarrow{u\mathbf{x}^\bullet} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -2) \otimes \bigwedge^{i-2} k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \\ \dots &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 2 - i) \otimes \bigwedge^2 k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{u\mathbf{x}^\bullet} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1 - i) \otimes k^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

deg.0

Then, the previous analysis tells us that the maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1 - i + r) \otimes \bigwedge^{r+1} k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{u^r} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-r, 1 - i) \otimes \bigwedge^{r+1} k^{n+1}, \quad (25)$$

$0 \leq r \leq i - 2$, fit into a morphism of complexes $M \rightarrow L$ which becomes an isomorphism when we pass to the quotient category $D_{qc}(\widehat{X})/\mathcal{K}$.

Define the bicomplex $E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$ as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -1) \otimes \bigwedge^i k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -1) \otimes \bigwedge^i k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{ux} \cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ux} \cdot & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -2) \otimes \bigwedge^{i-1} k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -2) \otimes \bigwedge^{i-1} k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{ux} \cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ux} \cdot & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 2 - i) \otimes \bigwedge^2 k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 2 - i) \otimes \bigwedge^2 k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{ux} \cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ux} \cdot & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1 - i) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{ux} \cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i) & \xrightarrow{y \cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1 - i) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{ux} \cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -i).
 \end{array}$$

Then, the previous morphisms of complexes $M \rightarrow L$ can be packaged up into a morphism of double complexes $E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i) \rightarrow \tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 2-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$. Extend the morphism $E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i) \rightarrow A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$ to an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 B^{\bullet, \bullet} & \longrightarrow & E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 2-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i) & \longrightarrow & D^{\bullet, \bullet} \\
 & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \\
 & & & & C^{\bullet, \bullet} & &
 \end{array}$$

where the bicomplexes $B^{\bullet, \bullet}$, $C^{\bullet, \bullet}$, and $D^{\bullet, \bullet}$ are respectively the kernel, the image, and the cokernel of the morphism $E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i) \rightarrow \tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 2-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$ in the abelian category of bicomplexes. We want to show that the entries of $B^{\bullet, \bullet}$ and $D^{\bullet, \bullet}$ belong to \mathcal{K} . In

order to do so, notice that the morphisms (25) fit into short exact sequences

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, r-i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1-i+r) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1-i+r),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, 1-i+r) \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-r, 1-i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^r(-r, 1-i),$$

where $g \circ f = u^r$. Moreover, both $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, r-i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^r \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-r, 1-i)$ belong to \mathcal{K} when⁴⁵ $r \in \{1, \dots, i-2\}$. Indeed, we have $r-i \in \{1-i, \dots, -2\}$, and as $2 \leq i \leq n$, we get $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-1, r-i) \in \mathcal{K}$. For $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^r(-r, 1-i)$, it follows from the exact sequence (20) tensored with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(-r, 1-i)$ varying $s \in \{2, \dots, r\}$. Explicitly, we have the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(s-r-1, s-i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^s(-r, 1-i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}^{s-1}(-r, 1-i),$$

and we have

$$3-n \leq 3-i \leq 1-r \leq \underline{s-r-1} \leq -1, \quad 2-n \leq 2-i \leq \underline{s-i} \leq r-i \leq -2,$$

which imply that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(s-r-1, s-i) \in \mathcal{K}$ for any $s \in \{2, \dots, r\}$. When $s=2$ on the right hand side we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(-r, 1-i)$, which is in \mathcal{K} as well, and the claim follows.

Thus, we get that all the entries of $\text{Tot}(B^{\bullet, \bullet})$ and $\text{Tot}(D^{\bullet, \bullet})$ belong to \mathcal{K} . Therefore, once again using the homotopy colimit of the truncations, we see that $\text{Tot}(B^{\bullet, \bullet})$ and $\text{Tot}(D^{\bullet, \bullet})$ belong to \mathcal{K} . Hence, we get an isomorphism in $D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}$

$$Q(\text{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i))) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Q(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 2-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i))).$$

In particular, this implies an isomorphism

$$Q(\pi(\text{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)))) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Q(\pi(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 2-i} A^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)))).$$

Now notice that writing $\text{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i))$ as the homotopy colimit of its truncations, we get that $\pi(\text{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i))) \in \mathcal{C}$. Indeed, the truncations of $\text{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i))$ have filtrations whose graded pieces are given by direct sums of the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -r)$ for $r \in \{1, \dots, i\}$, and $\pi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -r)) = \pi(q^* \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-r)) \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}}^{\bullet}(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \\ & \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}}^{\bullet}(Q(\pi(\text{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)))), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \\ & \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^{\bullet}(\pi(\text{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i))), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)) \\ & \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^{\bullet}(\text{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)), \end{aligned}$$

⁴⁵When $r=0$ there is nothing to check because on the 0-th component the map of bicomplexes is the identity. \uparrow

where in the last line we used that $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j) = 0$.

Case $i < j$

If $i < j$, then for any sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -r)$ with $r \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -r), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j)) \simeq 0,$$

as $r - j \in \{1 - j, \dots, i - j\} \subset \{1 - n, \dots, -1\}$. Thus, writing $\mathrm{Tot}(E^{\bullet,\bullet}(-i))$ as the homotopy colimit of its truncations, we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^\bullet(\mathrm{Tot}(E^{\bullet,\bullet}(-i)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j)) \simeq 0.$$

Hence, we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}}^\bullet(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -i)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \simeq 0.$$

Case $i = j$

When $i = j$ the only sheaf of the form $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -r)$ with $r \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ that has morphisms towards $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j)$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -j)$, and we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}}(0, -j), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j)) \simeq k.$$

Writing $\mathrm{Tot}(E^{\bullet,\bullet}(-j))$ as the homotopy colimit of its truncations, we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^\bullet(\mathrm{Tot}(E^{\bullet,\bullet}(-j)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j))$$

is the cone (shifted by -1) of the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} E_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} - \varphi} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} E_n,$$

where

$$E_n = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=0}^s k[-2i] & n = 2s \geq 0 \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^s k[-2i] & n = 2s + 1 \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

and φ is the map given by the inclusion $E_n \hookrightarrow E_{n+1}$.

This is the complex we would get if we applied the functor⁴⁶ $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathrm{Vect}_{fd})}(-, k)$ to the homotopy colimit of the truncations of the complex G^\bullet , where

$$G^n = \begin{cases} k & n = 2s \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

⁴⁶Here Vect_{fd} is the abelian category of finite dimensional vector spaces. \uparrow

In particular, we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}})/\mathcal{K}}^{\bullet}(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -i)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathrm{Vect}_{fd})}^{\bullet}(G^{\bullet}, k) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} k[-2n].$$

as complexes of vector spaces. The non trivial element of degree 2 can be found noticing that we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}})/\mathcal{K}}^{\bullet}(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \simeq \\ & \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}})}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-j)), \mathrm{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-j))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this element is given by the periodicity of $E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-j)$. As the composition of this morphism with itself is never zero,⁴⁷ we see that the algebra structure is given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}})/\mathcal{K}}^{\bullet}(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \simeq k[q],$$

where q is the generator of degree 2.

Case $i > j$

⁴⁷This is because, if the composition were zero after a certain number of steps, we could apply [Remark A.3.6](#), but this would contradict the fact that the graded endomorphism algebra of $\mathrm{Tot}(E^{\bullet, \bullet}(-j))$ is infinite dimensional. \uparrow

Notice that $E^{\bullet,\bullet}(-i)$ is the pull up from Z_+ of the double complex $E_+^{\bullet,\bullet}(-i)$ given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1) \otimes \wedge^i k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1) \otimes \wedge^i k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow \mathfrak{s} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{s} & & \downarrow & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-2) \otimes \wedge^{i-1} k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-2) \otimes \wedge^{i-1} k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow \mathfrak{s} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{s} & & \downarrow & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(2-i) \otimes \wedge^2 k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(2-i) \otimes \wedge^2 k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow \mathfrak{s} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{s} & & \downarrow & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1-i) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-i) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{y}} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1-i) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-i).
\end{array}$$

Now consider the complex Kosz given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(n+1) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(n) \otimes k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \underset{\text{deg.0}}{}$$

on Z_+ . This is the Koszul complex associated to the sections s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} of $\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-1)$. The vanishing locus of these sections coincides with $\mathbb{P} \subset Z_+$, but there are too many sections, and therefore this complex is not exact. To be precise, the above complex has two cohomologies

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^0(\text{Kosz}) &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}, \\
\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\text{Kosz}) &\simeq \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)^{\oplus n+1} \rightarrow (\Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^1)^\vee) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we consider the map

$$a_2 : \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(2) \otimes \wedge^2 k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1}, \quad (26)$$

then we have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(a_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}, \quad (27)$$

where we used that $\mathbf{s} : \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}$ factors as a surjection $\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}$ followed by the inclusion $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}$. Actually, the above exact sequence is the generator of $\text{Ext}_{Z_+}^1(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}) \simeq k$. Using repeatedly (26), and the short exact sequence

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}},$$

we can construct a resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$. Namely, we have the resolution

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(a_2) \xrightarrow{d_{-3}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \xrightarrow{d_{-2}} \text{Coker}(a_2) \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

Let us notice that (up to a non zero scalar multiple) the maps $d_{-2r} : \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \rightarrow \text{Coker}(a_2)$ factor as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(a_2). & (28) \\ & & \searrow & \text{---} & \nearrow & \\ & & & d_{-2r} & & \end{array}$$

Indeed, the maps $d_{-(2r+1)}$ satisfy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbf{s} & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(a_2) & \xrightarrow{d_{-(2r+1)}} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}, \end{array}$$

and therefore, using that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} = \text{Ker}(\text{Coker}(a_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_+})$, and that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i s_i = 0$ on Z_+ , we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{y}} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(a_2). \end{array}$$

However, the map $\mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is unique up to scalar multiple, and as the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \text{Coker}(a_2)$ is injective, and the composition in the top right corner is non zero, this scalar must be non zero. The reason why the composition in the top right corner is non zero is that the elements in the image of the map a_2 vanish on $\{\mathbf{s} = 0\}$, but the y_i 's don't. As the scalar multiple is non zero, we can assume that (28) is actually commutative up to modify the definition of d_{-2r} (we multiply the map $\mathcal{O}_{Z_+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ for the given scalar). We

will call the resolution we obtain in this way F_+ , and denote $F_+(-i) = F_+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-i)$. Notice that by construction we also have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(n+1) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{s}\cdot} \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(n) \otimes k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{s}\cdot} \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(a_2). \quad (29)$$

Remark A.3.9. The construction we just performed draws its inspiration from looking at Mukai flops via the matrix factorization framework. Let us briefly summarize what we mean to make clear where the above resolution comes from. Diagram (5) presents Z_+ as a divisor in X_+ cut out by $f_+ \in \mathcal{O}_{X_+}$. Therefore, we know that there exists a category depending on $X_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and f_+ , which we denote $\text{MF}(X_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1, f_+)$, called the category of *matrix factorizations*, and an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$D^b(Z_+) \simeq \text{MF}(X_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1, f_+),$$

see [Shi12], [Hir17]. Ignoring technicalities, objects in this category are pairs of coherent sheaves on $X_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and maps

$$E_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_0} \\ \xleftarrow{d_1} \end{array} E_1$$

such that $d_0 d_1 = d_1 d_0 = f_+$. To any such matrix factorization, one can associate a two periodic complex in $D^b(Z_+)$. A similar picture holds for \widehat{Z} using \widehat{f} . Then, one can show that the object $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}}$ corresponds to the matrix factorization

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1}(1, 1) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{u} \\ \xleftarrow{w} \end{array} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1},$$

and the pushdown (which is an operation that can be defined even for matrix factorizations) to $X_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{A}^1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{f_+} \end{array} \mathcal{O}_{X_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1}.$$

This matrix factorization represents the object $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \in D^b(Z_+)$. To produce the two periodic resolution, we have to understand the derived restriction of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}$ (the ideal sheaf of \mathbb{P} in X_+) to Z_+ . To do so, we use the the complex

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_+}(n+1) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{s}\cdot} \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(n) \otimes k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{s}\cdot} \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}},$$

which is exact on X_+ . Therefore, using (29) we see that the derived restriction of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}$ to Z_+ is given by $\text{Coker}(a_2)$, and we obtain the two periodic resolution we sought.

We define the double complex $F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}$ as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(n+1) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(n+1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & \text{s}\cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \text{s}\cdot & & \downarrow \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(n) \otimes k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(n) \otimes k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & \text{s}\cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \text{s}\cdot & & \downarrow \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(2) \otimes \wedge^2 k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(2) \otimes \wedge^2 k^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & \text{s}\cdot & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \text{s}\cdot & & \downarrow \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} & \xrightarrow{\text{y}\cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{s}\cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+} & \xrightarrow{\text{y}\cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(1) \otimes k^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{s}\cdot} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}
\end{array}$$

and we set $F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i) = F_+^{\bullet, \bullet} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(-i)$. Then, it is easy to see that $\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\geq 2-i} F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i) = E_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$. In particular, we get an exact sequence of complexes

$$\text{Tot}(E_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)) \longrightarrow \text{Tot}(F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)) \longrightarrow \text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)).$$

Given exact sequence (29), and the commutativity of (28), we know that the totalization $\text{Tot}(F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i))$ is quasi isomorphic to $F_+(-i)$, which in turn is quasi isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)$.

Now notice that the elements in $\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)$ are direct sums of sheaves of the form $\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(r)$ for $r \in \{0, \dots, n+1-i\}$. In particular, for every such index r we have

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_+}(r), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)) \simeq H^{\bullet}(\text{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j-r))) \simeq 0$$

as $-j-r \in \{i-j-n-1, \dots, -j\}$ and $i-j > 0$, $0 < j < i \leq n$. Hence, if we write $\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i))$ as the homotopy colimit of its truncations, and we use the filtration of the truncation whose graded pieces are the components of the complex, we get that

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}^{\bullet}(\text{Tot}(\tau_{\text{ver}}^{\leq 1-i} F_+^{\bullet, \bullet}(-i)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)) \simeq 0.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})/\mathcal{K}}^{\bullet}(Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -i)), Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j))) \\
& \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Tot}(E^{\bullet,\bullet}(-i)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j)) \\
& \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(\widehat{Z})}^{\bullet}(q^*\mathrm{Tot}(E_+^{\bullet,\bullet}(-i)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P}}(0, -j)) \\
& \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Tot}(E_+^{\bullet,\bullet}(-i)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)) \\
& \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Tot}(F_+^{\bullet,\bullet}(-i)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)) \\
& \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{qc}(Z_+)}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-j)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [Add16] Nicolas Addington. New derived symmetries of some hyperkähler varieties. *Algebra. Geom.*, 3(2):223–260, 2016. URL: <https://doi.org/10.14231/AG-2016-011>, doi:10.14231/AG-2016-011.
- [ADM19] Nicolas Addington, Will Donovan, and Ciaran Meachan. Mukai flops and \mathbb{P} -twists. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 748:227–240, 2019. doi:10.1515/crelle-2016-0024.
- [AL17] Rina Anno and Timothy Logvinenko. Spherical DG-functors. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(9):2577–2656, 2017. doi:10.4171/JEMS/724.
- [AL19] Rina Anno and Timothy Logvinenko. \mathbb{P}^n -functors. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1905.05740, May 2019. arXiv:1905.05740.
- [Bar20a] Federico Barbacovi. On the composition of two spherical twists. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2006.06016, June 2020. arXiv:2006.06016.
- [Bar20b] Federico Barbacovi. Spherical functors and the flop-flop autoequivalence. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2007.14415, July 2020. arXiv:2007.14415.
- [BB15] Agnieszka Bodzenta and Alexey Bondal. Flops and spherical functors. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1511.00665, Nov 2015. arXiv:1511.00665.
- [BCF⁺19] Matthew R. Ballard, Nitin K. Chidambaram, David Favero, Patrick K. McFaddin, and Robert R. Vandermolen. Kernels for Grassmann Flops. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1904.12195, April 2019. arXiv:1904.12195.
- [BO95] A. Bondal and D. Orlov. Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties. *arXiv e-prints*, pages alg-geom/9506012, June 1995. arXiv:alg-geom/9506012.
- [BO02] A. Bondal and D. Orlov. Derived categories of coherent sheaves. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002)*, pages 47–56. Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [Bri99] Tom Bridgeland. Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 31(1):25–34, 1999. doi:10.1112/S0024609398004998.
- [Cau12] Sabin Cautis. Flops and about: a guide. In *Derived categories in algebraic geometry*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 61–101. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012.
- [DS14] Will Donovan and Ed Segal. Window shifts, flop equivalences and Grassmannian twists. *Compos. Math.*, 150(6):942–978, 2014. doi:10.1112/S0010437X13007641.
- [Har17] Wahei Hara. On derived equivalence for Abuaf flop: mutation of non-commutative crepant resolutions and spherical twists. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1706.04417, Jun 2017. arXiv:1706.04417.

- [Hir17] Yuki Hirano. Derived Knörrer periodicity and Orlov’s theorem for gauged Landau-Ginzburg models. *Compos. Math.*, 153(5):973–1007, 2017. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X16008344>, doi:10.1112/S0010437X16008344.
- [HL15] Daniel Halpern-Leistner. The derived category of a GIT quotient. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(3):871–912, 2015. doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-2014-00815-8.
- [HLS16] Daniel Halpern-Leistner and Ian Shipman. Autoequivalences of derived categories via geometric invariant theory. *Adv. Math.*, 303:1264–1299, 2016. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2016.06.017.
- [HT06] Daniel Huybrechts and Richard Thomas. \mathbb{P} -objects and autoequivalences of derived categories. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 13(1):87–98, 2006. doi:10.4310/MRL.2006.v13.n1.a7.
- [Huy06] D. Huybrechts. *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199296866.001.0001.
- [Kaw02] Yujiro Kawamata. D -equivalence and K -equivalence. *J. Differential Geom.*, 61(1):147–171, 2002. URL: <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1090351323>.
- [KL15] Alexander Kuznetsov and Valery A. Lunts. Categorical resolutions of irrational singularities. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (13):4536–4625, 2015. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnu072.
- [LO10] Valery A. Lunts and Dmitri O. Orlov. Uniqueness of enhancement for triangulated categories. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23(3):853–908, 2010. doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-10-00664-8.
- [LS16] Valery A. Lunts and Olaf M. Schnürer. New enhancements of derived categories of coherent sheaves and applications. *J. Algebra*, 446:203–274, 2016. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.09.017>, doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.09.017.
- [Nam03] Yoshinori Namikawa. Mukai flops and derived categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 560:65–76, 2003. doi:10.1515/crll.2003.061.
- [Seg16] Ed Segal. A new 5-fold flop and derived equivalence. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 48(3):533–538, 2016. doi:10.1112/blms/bdw026.
- [Seg18] Ed Segal. All autoequivalences are spherical twists. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (10):3137–3154, 2018. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnw326.
- [Shi12] Ian Shipman. A geometric approach to Orlov’s theorem. *Compos. Math.*, 148(5):1365–1389, 2012. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X12000255>, doi:10.1112/S0010437X12000255.
- [Sta18] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [Tab05] Goncalo Tabuada. Une structure de catégorie de modèles de Quillen sur la catégorie des dg-catégories. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 340(1):15–19, 2005. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2004.11.007>, doi:10.1016/j.crma.2004.11.007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON
Email address: federico.barbacovi.18@ucl.ac.uk