

# WITT GROUPS OF SPINOR VARIETIES

THOMAS HUDSON, ARTHUR MARTIROSIAN, AND HENG XIE

ABSTRACT. We compute Witt groups of maximal isotropic Grassmannians, aka. spinor varieties. They are examples of type D homogeneous varieties. Our method relies on the Blow-up setup of Balmer-Calmès, and we investigate the connecting homomorphism via the projective bundle formula of Walter-Nenashev, the projection formula of Calmès-Hornbostel and the excess intersection formula of Fasel. The computation in the Type D case can be presented by so called “even shifted young diagrams”.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1930s, Witt [22] introduced a group structure on the set of isometry classes of quadratic forms over an arbitrary field, which is now known as the Witt group. For a nice survey article, see [1]. Witt groups give rise to a very interesting cohomology theory in algebraic geometry. Similarly to the oriented cohomology theories in the sense of Levine-Morel [19] or Panin [23] (e.g. K-theory and Chow groups), Witt theory also has a localization sequence, cf. [1]. However, unlike what happens with these functors, in Witt theory pushforwards always keep track of the orientation and the relative codimension, a fact which makes computations via the localization sequence much trickier, cf. [6]. To this day, not many computations of Witt groups of elementary projective schemes have been performed: quadrics (cf. [20] and [27]), projective bundles (cf. [20] and [21] (see also [18] and [26])), Grassmann varieties (cf. [4]), curves and surfaces (cf. [30]), cellular varieties over algebraically closed fields (cf. [29]), and real varieties (cf. [16] and [17]).

In the paper [4], Balmer-Calmès adopt an innovative approach known as the “Blow-up setup” from [3]. This approach is effective, because it interprets the abstract connecting homomorphisms arising from the localization sequence of Witt groups in purely geometric terms. This key idea motivates the current article and, in its vein, we study Witt groups of even maximal isotropic Grassmannians  $OG_+(n)$ , *maximal isotropic Grassmannians* for short. Provided that the ambient space has even dimension and it comes equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric form, these spaces are defined as subschemes of the usual Grassmannian  $Gr(n, 2n)$ : maximal isotropic Grassmannians parametrise those subspaces on which the symmetric form vanishes identically.

Let  $X$  be a scheme. Following [5], we define the total Witt groups as

$$W^{\text{tot}}(X) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} W^i(X).$$

Note that this convention differs from [4], as we do not adopt the grading involving  $\text{Pic}(X)/2$ . This simplification is enough for us, because in our case the twisted Witt groups are trivial (cf. Proposition 3.6). Here is our main result (cf. Section 3.5).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Let  $OG_+(n, E)$  be the maximal isotropic Grassmannian of the trivial  $2n$ -dimensional bundle  $E = \mathcal{O}_S^{2n}$  with the standard hyperbolic form. Let  $P([d])$  denote the power set of the set  $[d] := \{0, \dots, d-1\}$  of cardinality  $d$ .*

(A) *If  $n = 2d+1$  is odd, then the total Witt groups of maximal isotropic Grassmannians are given by*

$$W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n, E)) \cong \bigoplus_{t \in P([d])} W^{\text{tot}}(S)[\rho(t)]$$

where  $\rho : P([d]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the function defined by setting  $\rho(\emptyset) = 0$  and


$$t = (\{t_1, \dots, t_i\}) \mapsto \left( \sum_{s=1}^i (3 - 2(n - 2t_s)) \right).$$

(B) If  $n = 2d + 2$  is even, then the total Witt groups of maximal isotropic Grassmannians are given by


$$W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n)) \cong \bigoplus_{t' \in P([d]) \times [2]} W^{\text{tot}}(S)[\rho'(t')]$$

where  $\rho' : P([d]) \times [2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : t' := (t, v) \mapsto \rho(t) - (n - 1)v$ .

The above result can be reinterpreted in terms of even shifted Young diagrams. Even Young diagrams were introduced in [3] as the indexing set of the additive generators of the Witt groups of Grassmannians. Roughly speaking they can be defined as those Young diagrams whose inner edges (those which do not lie on the outer rectangular frame) have even length. even shifted Young diagrams can be defined in a similar fashion, but one has to start with shifted Young diagrams, the combinatorial object used to index the generators of the Chow ring of maximal isotropic Grassmannians. In this case the outer frame consists of an upside-down staircase corresponding to the maximal shifted partition  $(n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1)$  right-justified. As in the previous case the even diagrams are those whose inner segments have even length. As an example we can consider the shifted Young diagram associated to the strict partition  $(7, 4, 3)$ , which is even since the three internal segments all have length 2.



There is a bijection between the set of even shifted Young diagrams corresponding to strict partitions inside  $(n - 1, \dots, 1)$  and the indexing set of  $W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n))$  described in Theorem 1.1. For instance, we explain the bijection between even shifted young diagrams in  $(6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1)$  and the set  $P([7])$ . It is worth noticing that the absolute value of the function  $\rho(t)$  (in Theorem 1.1) corresponds to the number of boxes in the Young diagram.



In the even case of Theorem 1.1 (B) one has to make sense of the extra data coming from the set  $[2] = \{0, 1\}$ . For elements belonging to  $P([d]) \times \{0\}$ , we consider Young diagrams lying in the  $n - 2$  frame obtained by removing the last column. For elements in  $P([d]) \times \{1\}$  we consider partitions obtained by adding a full row, followed by the Young diagram corresponding to the element of  $P([d])$ .

It is worth pointing out that our method differs slightly from that of [4] on Type A, although we work within the framework of the Blow-up setup [3]. In [4] the authors make use of two-step flag varieties as an intermediate step to compute the connecting homomorphism, whereas, in view

of the observation that the exceptional fiber of the Blow up is a projective bundle, we are able to handle directly the connecting homomorphism in Type D using the projective bundle formula and the excess intersection formula. This idea does not seem to have appeared in the literature so far. It would be interesting to know if this method could be used to compute the Witt groups of other homogeneous varieties. Unfortunately, we were informed by Nicolas Perrin that Type C and E do not fit in the Blow-up Setup, and therefore the method of Blow-up can not be applied directly to these cases without modification.

One may also try to adopt our method to study the  $\mathbf{I}$ -cohomology of Type D homogeneous varieties. For  $\mathbf{I}$ -cohomology of projective bundles and split quadrics using the Blow-up setup, we refer the reader to [10] and [14].

**Acknowledgement.** We thank Nicolas Perrin and Marcus Zibrowius for comments and helpful discussions. Heng Xie would like to acknowledge support from the EPSRC Grant EP/M001113/1, the DFG priority programme 1786 and hospitality of Max-Planck-Institute in Bonn. Research for this publication was conducted in the framework of the DFG Research Training Group 2240: Algebro- Geometric Methods in Algebra, Arithmetic and Topology.

## 2. MAXIMAL ISOTROPIC GRASSMANNIANS

**2.1. Standard hyperbolic flags.** Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Let  $\mathbb{H} = (\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S^*, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix})$  be the hyperbolic form where  $\eta$  is the identification with the double dual.

**Definition 2.1.** We write  $(E, \beta)$  for the standard hyperbolic bundle  $(E, \beta) = \mathbb{H}^{\oplus n}$ . We choose an orthogonal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \beta(e_i, e_j) &= 0 \\ \beta(e_i, f_j) &= \delta_{i,j} \\ \beta(f_i, f_j) &= 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, there is an explicit filtration

$$E_\bullet := \left( 0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_{n-1} \subset E_n = E_n^\perp \subset E_{n-1}^\perp \subset \dots \subset E_1^\perp \subset E_0^\perp = E \right)$$

such that  $E_i$  is isotropic with respect to  $\beta$  with  $\dim(E_i) = i$ , where  $E_j := \mathcal{O}_S\{e_1\} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\{e_j\}$ , and  $E_j^\perp := \mathcal{O}_S\{e_1\} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\{e_n\} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\{f_n\} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\{f_{j+1}\}$ . We refer to it as the *standard hyperbolic flag*.

After quotienting out  $E_1$ , the hyperbolic bundle  $(E, \beta)$  induces a hyperbolic bundle  $(E^1, \psi^1)$  with  $E^1 := E_1^\perp/E_1$ . Note that the standard hyperbolic flag  $E_\bullet$  induces a standard hyperbolic flag

$$E_\bullet^1 := \left( 0 = E_0^1 \subset E_1^1 \subset \dots \subset E_{n-2}^1 \subset E_{n-1}^1 = (E_{n-1}^1)^\perp \subset (E_{n-2}^1)^\perp \subset \dots \subset (E_0^1)^\perp =: (E^1)^\perp \right)$$

on  $(E^1, \psi^1)$  where we define  $E_i^1 := E_{i+1}/E_1$ . Note that  $E_{i+1}^\perp/E_1$  is isomorphic to  $(E_{i+1}/E_1)^\perp$  in  $(E^1, \psi^1)$ . Inductively this procedure produces standard hyperbolic flags  $E_\bullet^j$ , where  $E^j := ((E_1^{j-1})^\perp/E_1^{j-1}, \psi^{j-1})$ , and  $E_1^0 = E_1$  with  $E^j$  are all standard hyperbolic bundles.

**2.2. Isotropic Grassmann bundles.** Even without a symmetric structure on  $E$ , the Grassmannian scheme  $Gr(d, E)$  can be defined on the functor of points as

$$\begin{aligned} Gr(d, E) &= \left\{ N \subset E : E/N \text{ is locally free } \mathcal{O}_S\text{-module of rank } 2n - d \right\} \\ &= \left\{ N \subset E : N(x) \hookrightarrow E(x) \text{ is a } k(x)\text{-vector subspace of rank } d, \forall x \in X \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [13, p.210 -p211]).

**Definition 2.2.** Define  $OG(n, E)$  to be the subscheme of the Grassmannian  $Gr(n, E)$  that parametrizes isotropic  $n$ -dimensional subspaces in  $P$  with respect to the form  $\beta$ . More concretely, we can describe  $OG(n, E)$  on the functor of points as

$$OG(n, E) := \left\{ L_n \in Gr(n, E) : L_n^\perp = L_n \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be an integral scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Assume that  $(E, \beta)$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag as in Section 2.1. The maximal isotropic Grassmannian  $OG(n, E)$  has two disjoint connected components*

$$\begin{aligned} OG_+(n, E) &:= \{L_n \in OG(n, E) : \dim(E_n(K) \cap L_n(K)) \equiv n \pmod{2}\} \\ OG_-(n, E) &:= \{L_n \in OG(n, E) : \dim(E_n(K) \cap L_n(K)) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is the function field of  $S$ , and the two components  $OG_+(n, E)$  and  $OG_-(n, E)$  are isomorphic to each other.

In the special case in which  $S$  is an algebraically closed field this statement is folklore to experts. We explain the proof, as we could not find a reference in this generality.

*Proof.* It is clear that the two components are disjoint. To see they are isomorphic, we define the following morphism

$$\tau : OG_+(n, E) \rightarrow OG_-(n, E), \quad L_n \mapsto \tilde{L}_n$$

which is induced by the automorphism  $a : E \rightarrow E$  defined by swapping  $e_n$  and  $f_n$  (notations in Section 2.1) and keeping the other part the same.

To make sure  $\tau$  lands in the other component, one needs to check the dimension formula, which can then be reduced to the case of fields. Assume  $S$  is a field, we must check that the condition

$$\dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2}$$

holds. Observe that  $\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1} = L_n \cap E_{n-1}$ . (The reason is that any  $p \in L_n \cap E_{n-1}$  can be written as  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i e_i$ , and the automorphism  $a : P \rightarrow P$  only acts on  $e_n$  and  $f_n$ .)

Consider the chain

$$L_n \cap E_{n-1} \subset L_n \cap E_n \subset L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp.$$

Note that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp) - \dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) = 1$ . To see this, we consider the following diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L_n \cap E_{n-1} & \hookrightarrow & L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_n \oplus E_{n-1} & \hookrightarrow & L_n \oplus E_{n-1}^\perp & \twoheadrightarrow & E_{n-1}^\perp / E_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_n + E_{n-1} & \hookrightarrow & L_n + E_{n-1}^\perp & \twoheadrightarrow & C', \end{array}$$

where the right vertical sequence is exact by the snake lemma. It is clear that  $\dim(E_{n-1}^\perp / E_{n-1}) = 2$ . If  $\dim C = 2$ , then  $\dim C' = 0$  and hence  $L_n + E_{n-1} = L_n + E_{n-1}^\perp$ . This implies that  $\langle e_n, f_n \rangle \subset L_n$ , which is a contradiction as  $L_n$  is isotropic. If  $\dim C' = 2$ , then  $\dim C = 0$  and hence  $L_n \cap E_{n-1} = L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp$ . This is again a contradiction in view of the formula  $(P \cap W)^\perp = P^\perp + W^\perp$ . It follows that there are two cases to consider.

**Case I** Assume that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) = \dim(L_n \cap E_n)$  and hence  $L_n \cap E_{n-1} = L_n \cap E_n$ . Consider the injection

$$\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}_n \cap E_n$$

which is of codimension 1 or 0 by the above discussion. Note that, once again by the formula  $(P \cap W)^\perp = P^\perp + W^\perp$ , we have  $L_n + E_{n-1}^\perp = L_n + E_n$ . It follows that the basis element  $f_n \in E_{n-1}^\perp$  can be written as  $f_n = p + e$  with  $p \in L_n$  and  $e \in E_n$ . Observe that  $e \in E_{n-1}$ . If not, we would have  $\beta(p, p) \neq 0$ , which contradicts  $L_n = L_n^\perp$ . Now the element  $\tilde{\pi}' = e_n - e$  is in  $\tilde{L}_n$  and also in  $E_n$ . However,  $\tilde{\pi}' \notin \tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}$ . This means that  $\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}_n \cap E_n$  is of codimension 1. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n) - 1 &= \dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}) \\ &= \dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) \\ &= \dim(L_n \cap E_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Case II** Assume that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp) = \dim(L_n \cap E_n)$ . By the discussion above, we see that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) + 1 = \dim(L_n \cap E_n)$ . We have  $L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp = L_n \cap E_n$  which implies that

$L_n + E_{n-1} = L_n + E_n$ . It follows that the basis element  $e_n \in E_n$  can be written as  $e_n = p + e'$  for  $p \in L_n$  and  $e' \in E_{n-1}$ . Thus the element  $\tilde{\pi}' = f_n - e' \in \tilde{L} \cap E_{n-1}^\perp$ , but  $\tilde{\pi}' \notin \tilde{L} \cap E_n$ . Hence, the injection

$$\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp$$

is of codimension one and  $\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n = \tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}$ . We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n) + 1 &= \dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}) + 1 \\ &= \dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) + 1 \\ &= \dim(L_n \cap E_n). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof that the condition  $\dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2}$  holds.

Finally, the automorphism  $a : P \rightarrow P$  is of order two, and hence the morphism

$$\tau : OG_+(n, E) \rightarrow OG_-(n, E), \quad L_n \mapsto \tilde{L}_n$$

is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.** We will refer to the connected component  $OG_+(n, E)$  as the *maximal isotropic Grassmannian*.

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $OG(n, E) \rightarrow OG(n, E)_K$  be the base change map. Then we have a fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} OG_+(n, E) & \longrightarrow & OG_+(n, E)_K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ OG(n, E) & \longrightarrow & OG(n, E)_K. \end{array}$$

According to [8, page 71 top paragraph], if  $S$  is smooth over a field, we can take  $K$  to be any residue field of  $S$  and the definition does not depend on the choice of residue field.

**Remark 2.6.** If  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag with  $\dim(E) = 4$  (resp.  $\dim(E) = 2$ ), then the scheme  $OG_\pm(2, E)$  (resp.  $OG_\pm(1, E)$ ) are both isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_S^1$  (resp.  $S$ ).

The following folklore is useful for studying if two Lagrangians are in the same components.

**Remark 2.7.** Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Let  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$  be two elements in  $OG(n, E)$ . Then,  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$  are in the same components if and only if  $\dim(L_n(K) \cap L'_n(K)) \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .

**2.3. The closed embedding.** Let  $H$  be an isotropic subbundle of  $E$ . Consider the closed subscheme

$$(1) \quad \iota_\pm^H : OG_\pm^H(n, E) \hookrightarrow OG_\pm(n, E) \quad \{L_n \in OG_\pm(n, E) : H \subset L_n\} \subset \{L_n\}.$$

In the special case  $H = E_1$ , we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. The morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : OG_\pm^{E_1}(n, E) &\rightarrow OG_\pm(n-1, E^1) \\ E_1 \subset L_n \subset E &\mapsto L_n/E_1 \subset E^1 = E_1^\perp/E_1 \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Set  $L_n^1 := L_n/E_1$ . By [25, Proposition 6.5], we see that  $(L_n^1)^\perp = L_n^1$ . To show the dimension formula, we can assume that we are working over fields. Suppose that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_n) \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , then we need to check that

$$\dim(L_n^1 \cap E_n^1) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2}$$

holds. We use the identity  $L_n^1 \cap E_n^1 = (L_n \cap E_n)/E_1$ . To see why this identity holds, we first pick the basis element  $e_1 \in L_n \cap E_n$  and complete it to a basis of  $L_n \cap E_n$ . Then we extend this common basis simultaneously to both  $L_n^1$  and  $E_n^1$ . The identity follows by comparing the common basis elements modulo  $e_1$ . Thus, we have

$$\dim(L_n^1 \cap E_n^1) = \dim((L_n \cap E_n)/E_1) = \dim(L_n \cap E_n) - 1.$$

This shows that  $\Phi$  is well-defined.

To see that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism, we may construct an inverse morphism

$$\Psi : OG_+(n-1, E^1) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_1}(n, E).$$

If  $L \subset E_1^1$  is a Lagrangian, we may consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & \longrightarrow & E^1 & \twoheadrightarrow & L^* \\ \uparrow s & & \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow \\ L' & \longrightarrow & E_1^\perp & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ E_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & E_1 & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the left upper square is Cartesian and  $C$  is the cokernel of  $L' \rightarrow E_1^\perp$ . By construction, the kernel of  $L' \rightarrow L$  is  $E_1$ . We wish to show that  $L' = L'^\perp$ . To show this, we look at another diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L' & \longrightarrow & E_1^\perp & \longrightarrow & L^* \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow s^* \\ L' & \xrightarrow{j} & E & \xrightarrow{j^* \beta} & (L')^*. \end{array}$$

Note that the composition of the morphisms on the upper row is zero and  $s^*$  is injective. This implies that in the bottom row,  $j^* \beta j = 0$ . As above, by a dimension counting we see that the bottom row is exact. This shows  $L' = L'^\perp$ . By our construction, it is straightforward to check  $\Psi$  is an inverse of  $\Phi$ .  $\square$

A similar proof yields the following result.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. The morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : OG_\pm^{E_2}(n, E) &\rightarrow OG_\pm^{E_1}(n-1, E^1) \\ E_2 \subseteq L_n &\mapsto E_1^1 \subseteq L_n/E_1 \end{aligned}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

**2.4. The affine bundle.** Let  $U_+(n, E) := OG_+(n, E) - OG_+^{E_1}(n, E)$  be the open complement. Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the following lemma is a special case of [24, Proposition 5].

**Lemma 2.10.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. If  $L_n \subset E$  is a Lagrangian with  $E_1 \not\subset L_n$  (i.e.  $E_1$  is not an admissible subbundle of  $L_n$ ) and  $\dim(L_n(K) \cap E_n(K)) \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , then there is a unique Lagrangian  $L'_n \subset E$  such that  $E_1 \subset L'_n$  and*

$$\dim(L'_n(K) \cap L_n(K)) = n - 1.$$

*The construction of  $L'_n$  induces a natural morphism*

$$\alpha : U_\pm(n, E) \rightarrow OG_\mp^{E_1}(n, E)$$

*by sending a Lagrangian  $L_n$  to  $L'_n$ . Moreover, the morphism  $\alpha$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle (i.e. a Zariski locally trivial bundle).*

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & L_n & & & & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow 0 & & & \\ E_1 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\beta} & E^* & \longrightarrow & L_n^* \\ & & \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ L'_n & \hookrightarrow & E_1^\perp & \longrightarrow & L_n^*/E_1 & & \end{array}$$

Note that the condition  $E_1 \not\subset L_n$  implies that  $E_1$  is an admissible subbundle of  $L_n^*$  via the middle horizontal composition. The right vertical morphism is obtained by taking the quotient.

The lower map  $E_1^\perp \rightarrow L_n^*/E_1$  is defined to be the composition of the other four maps in the lower square diagram. The bundle  $L'_n$  is defined to be kernel of the lower map. It is clear that  $E_1 \subset L'_n$ . One checks that  $L'_n \subset E$  is a Lagrangian.

The statement on the dimension reduces to the field case. In the field case,  $L'_n$  contains  $L_1$  while does  $L_n$  not, and the other basis elements are the same. For the uniqueness, we can again reduce to the field case. If  $L''_n$  is another Lagrangian such that  $E_1 \subset L''_n$  and  $\dim(L''_n \cap L_n) = n-1$ , then  $L'_n$  agrees with  $L''_n$  because they are generated by the same basis.

In order to check that  $\alpha$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle, one may use the induced canonical open cover of the Grassmannian restricted to the maximal isotropic Grassmannian. The details are left to the reader.  $\square$

**Remark 2.11.** The affine bundle  $\alpha : U_+(n, E) \rightarrow OG_-^{E_1}(n, E)$  has a section

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_+(n, E) & \longrightarrow & OG_-^{E_1}(n, E) \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \cong \\ & & OG_+^{(f_1)}(n, E) \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is given by switch the basis  $e_1$  with  $f_1$ .

**2.5. The blow-up setup.** Let  $Bl_+(n, E)$  be the blow-up of  $OG_+^{E_1}(n, E)$  inside  $OG_+(n, E)$  and  $E_+(n, E)$  be the corresponding exceptional fibre.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. The isotropic Grassmannians satisfy [3, Setup 1.1].*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} OG_+^{E_1}(n, E) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & OG_+(n, E) & \xleftarrow{v} & U_+(n, E) \\ \uparrow \tilde{\pi} & & \uparrow \pi & \swarrow \tilde{v} & \downarrow \alpha \\ E_+(n, E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & Bl_+(n, E) & \cdots \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & OG_-^{E_1}(n, E) \end{array}$$

In other words, all the reasonable diagrams in the above picture commute and  $\alpha$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle. On functors of points, the diagram has the following interpretation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{E_1 \subset L_n\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{L_n\} \xleftarrow{\quad} \{E_1 \not\subset L_n\} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{c} E_1 \subset L'_n, L_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{c} E_1 \subset L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} \cdots \longrightarrow \{E_1 \subset L'_n\} \end{array}$$

where  $D(L_n, L'_n) := \dim(L_n(K) \cap L'_n(K))$ . Moreover, one can change the parity to get a similar diagram for the other component.

*Proof.* In this case, the blow up  $Bl_+(n, E)$  is the closure of the graph (cf. [15, paragraph above Exercise 7.19] )

$$\Gamma_{(\tilde{v}, \alpha)} : U_+(n, E) \rightarrow OG_+(n, E) \times OG_-^{E_1}(n, E)$$

which at the level of the functor of points is given by the set

$$\left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : E_1 \subset L'_n, D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \right\}$$

by Lemma 2.10. Alternatively, one can check the universal property of the blow up.  $\square$

**Remark 2.13.** Note that  $E_+(n, E)$  can be regarded as a projective bundle of relative dimension  $n-2$  over either  $OG_+^{E_1}(n, E)$  or  $OG_-^{E_1}(n, E)$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{\pi}$  is a projection, and by symmetry  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is another projection. We shall define  $\tilde{\pi}' := \tilde{\alpha}$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Let  $H^*$  be a homotopy invariant oriented theory on regular schemes. Assume that  $H^*$  has the devissage property for regular embeddings ,e.g.  $K$ -theory and Chow groups. Then, we have the following equivalence*

$$H^*(OG_+(n, E)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} H^*(S).$$

*Proof.* First of all let us observe that  $\dim(E^{n-1}) = 2$ , and in view of Remark 2.6, we can make the identification  $S = OG_+(1, E^{n-1})$ . Finally, we apply Lemma 2.8, homotopy invariance and [3, Theorem 1.3] inductively.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{Pic}(OG_+(n, E)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathrm{Pic}(S)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Pic}(Bl_+(n, E)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathrm{Pic}(OG_+(n, E)).$$

*Proof.* Identify  $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = CH^1(X)$  when  $X$  is regular. The first formula is obtained by Proposition 2.14. The second formula is obtained by the blow up formula cf. [3, Proposition A.6 (i)].  $\square$

**Remark 2.16.** Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Note that the closed embedding  $\iota : OG_+^{E_1}(n) \hookrightarrow OG_+(n)$  is a regular embedding of codimension of  $(n-1)$ . Define  $\omega_\iota$  to be the dual of the determinant of the normal bundle of the closed embedding  $\iota : OG_+^{E_1}(n, E) \rightarrow OG_+(n, E)$ . This is not isomorphic to the generator  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  in the Picard group of  $OG_+^{E_1}(n, E)$ , but  $\omega_\iota$  is trivial in  $\mathrm{Pic}(OG_+^{E_1}(n, E))/2$ . Recall the number  $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$  in [3]. Note that the number  $\lambda(\mathcal{O}) = 0 \in \mathrm{Pic}(Bl)$ .

### 3. WITT GROUPS OF MAXIMAL ISOTROPIC GRASSMANNIAN

In this section, we study Witt groups of maximal isotropic Grassmannians in the case of standard hyperbolic flags.

**3.1. Some preliminary results.** The following theorems are useful for our computations.

**Theorem 3.1** (Balmer [1, Theorem 1.5.5]). *Let  $X$  be a scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $Z$  be a closed subset and  $U$  be its open complement. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . Then, there is a 12-term periodic long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow W^{i-1}(U, \mathcal{L}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} W_Z^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W^i(U, \mathcal{L}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} W_Z^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

**Theorem 3.2** (Dévissage). *Let  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a regular embedding of codimension  $d$ , with  $Z$  and  $X$  are both regular separated schemes of finite Krull dimension with  $\frac{1}{2}$  in their global sections. Let  $\omega_\iota$  be dual of the determinant of the normal bundle  $N_\iota$  of the embedding  $\iota$ . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$\iota_* : W^{i-d}(Z, \omega_\iota \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W_Z^i(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

The above theorem is proved in [12] (see also [27, Corollary 6.2]). Combining the two theorems together, one obtains the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a regular embedding of codimension  $d$  with  $Z$  and  $X$  are both regular separated schemes of finite Krull dimension with  $\frac{1}{2}$  in their global sections. Let  $\omega_\iota$  be dual of the determinant of the normal bundle  $N_\iota$  of the embedding  $\iota$ . Let  $U := X - Z$ . Then, there is a 12-term periodic long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow W^{i-1}(U, \mathcal{L}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} W^{i-d}(Z, \omega_\iota \otimes \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W^i(U, \mathcal{L}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} W^{i-d+1}(Z, \omega_\iota \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

**Theorem 3.4** (Homotopy invariance). *Let  $X$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ . Assume that  $p : E \rightarrow X$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . Then the pullback induces an isomorphism*

$$p^* : W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\cong} W^i(E, p^*\mathcal{L}).$$

One may find a proof in [2], [11] (see also [18] for the singular case).

**Theorem 3.5** (Nenashev [20] and Walter[21]). *Let  $S$  be a (regular) scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Then, there is an isomorphism  $W^i(\mathbb{P}_S^1) \cong W^i(S) \oplus W^{i-1}(S)$ , and the group  $W^i(\mathbb{P}_S^1, \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*



**3.2. Two simple cases.** Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag with  $\dim(E) = 2n$ . In this part, we shall suppress  $E$  in all the notations associated to the maximal isotropic Grassmannians, if no confusion is likely to arise. For instance, we shall use the simplified notation  $OG_{\pm}(n)$  for  $OG_{\pm}(n, E)$ .

By homotopy invariance, dévissage theorem and Remark 2.16, the 12-term periodic long exact sequence takes the form

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & W^{i-(n-1)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{t^*} & W^i(OG_+(n), \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{v^*} & W^i(U_+(n), \mathcal{L}) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ & & & & & & W^{i-n+2}(OG_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \cdots, \end{array}$$

where we suppressed the canonical bundle  $\omega_i$  as it is trivial in  $\text{Pic}(OG_+^{E_1}(n))/2$ , and we made a choice of the trivialization. From now on, we shall omit all even twisting as no confusion can occur.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Assume  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Then, we have  $W^i(OG_+(n), \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $OG_+(2) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $W^i(OG_{\pm}(2), \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$ . By inductively applying the localization sequence 3, homotopy invariance and Lemma (2.8), we see that  $W^i(OG_+(n), \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Assume that for  $n$  even, the vector bundle  $E$  has rank  $2n$  and it admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Then, the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{i-(n-1)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \xrightarrow{t^*} W^i(OG_+(n)) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} W^i(U_+(n)) \longrightarrow 0$$

is split exact. Hence, we have the following isomorphism

$$W^i(OG_+(n)) \cong W^{i-(n-1)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \oplus W^i(OG_-^{E_1}(n)).$$

*Proof.* If  $n$  is even, we see that  $\lambda(\mathcal{O}) = 0 \equiv n - 2 \pmod{2}$  and [3, Theorem 1.4 (A)] applies here. Hence the localization sequence breaks down into short split exact sequences. The last statement follows directly from homotopy invariance.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Under the assumptions of the previous proposition one has the following isomorphism of graded  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -modules:*

$$(4) \quad W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n)) \cong W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_1}(n))[-(n-1)] \oplus W^{\text{tot}}(OG_-^{E_1}(n)).$$

**3.3. More observations.** It now remains to compute  $W^i(OG_+(n))$  for  $n$  odd. This case proves to be much more complicated to deal with. In fact, the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [3] provides more information, which will be useful for the proof. We shall rewrite diagram 2 for the case  $n - 1$ :

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{t_1} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) & \xleftarrow{v_1} & U_+^{E_1}(n) \\ \tilde{\pi}_1 \uparrow & & \pi_1 \uparrow & \swarrow \tilde{v}_1 & \downarrow \alpha_1 \\ E_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}_1} & Bl_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_1} & OG_-^{E_2}(n), \\ & \searrow \tilde{\pi}'_1 & & & \end{array}$$

where at the level of functor of points the diagram is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{E_2 \subset L_n\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_1 \subset L_n\} & \longleftarrow & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n \\ E_2 \not\subset L_n \end{array} \right\} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_2 \subset L_n, L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n \\ E_2 \subset L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_2 \subset L'_n\} \end{array}$$

where recall  $D(L_n, L'_n) := \dim(L_n(K) \cap L'_n(K))$ .

**Remark 3.9.** There is an analogous diagram with all the parity reversed, and the notation  $(-)'$  will be used associated to morphisms in Diagram 5. For instance, we shall use the notation

$$\iota'_1 : OG_-^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_-^{E_1}(n), \quad \{E_2 \subset L'_n\} \mapsto \{E_1 \subset L'_n\}$$

for the other component.

We make a few observation about the Witt groups associated to Diagram 5. As in Proposition 3.7 and [3, Theorem 1.4 (A)], the upper short exact sequence in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} & W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{v_1^*} & W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow (\pi_1)_* & & \uparrow \alpha_1^* \cong \\ & & & & W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_1^*} & W^i(OG_-^{E_2}(n)) \end{array}$$

has a right splitting:

$$\beta := (\pi_1)_* \circ \tilde{\alpha}_1^* \circ (\alpha_1^*)^{-1}.$$

In this case, we observe the following fact.

**Remark 3.10.** Note that, in Diagram 5,  $\tilde{\iota}_1$  is a regular embedding of codimension one, while  $\iota_1$  is a regular embedding of codimension  $n - 2$ . The excess normal bundle of the left Cartesian square in Diagram 5 is precisely the canonical quotient bundle  $\mathcal{G}$  of the projective bundle  $\pi_1 : E_+^{E_1}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_2}(n)$ , which is the cokernel of the map  $\mathcal{O}_{E_+^{E_1}(n)}(-1) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_1^* \mathcal{N}_{\iota_1}$ . This allows us to apply the excess intersection formula for Witt groups, cf. [9].

**Lemma 3.11.** *Assume that  $n$  is an odd number. The maps*

$$(\pi_1)_* : W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) : \pi_1^*$$

*are isomorphisms and inverse to each other.*

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}_1)_*} & W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_1^*} & W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow e(\mathcal{G}) \circ \tilde{\pi}_1^* & & \uparrow \pi_1^* & & \uparrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} & W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{v_1^*} & W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow (\tilde{\pi}_1)_* & & \uparrow (\pi_1)_* & & \uparrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}_1)_*} & W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_1^*} & W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where commutativity of the left upper square is obtained by using the excess intersection formula applied to the left Cartesian square of Diagram 5, and the left lower square is obtained by the functoriality of pushforward maps.

It remains to prove that, on the left vertical arrows of Diagram 5, we have that  $(e(\mathcal{G}) \circ \tilde{\pi}_1^*) \circ (\tilde{\pi}_1)_*$  is the identity. Since  $\tilde{\pi}_1 : E_+^{E_1}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_2}(n)$  is a projective bundle of rank  $n - 3$ , the result follows by Theorem A.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Assume  $n$  to be an odd number. The short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \xrightarrow{v_1^*} W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0$$

*has a left splitting:*

$$(\tilde{\pi}_1)_* \circ (\tilde{\pi}'_1)^{-1} \circ (\tilde{\alpha}_1)_* \circ \pi_1^*.$$

*Proof.* By the projective bundle formula (cf. Theorem A.1), we see that  $(\tilde{\pi}'_1)_*$  and  $(\tilde{\pi}_1)_*$  are isomorphisms. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W^{i-1}(E_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\tilde{\pi}'_1)_*} & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_-^{E_2}(n)) \\
\parallel & & \uparrow (\tilde{\alpha}_1)_* \\
(\tilde{\pi}_1)_* \cong W^{i-1}(E_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{t}_1)_*} & W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) \\
\uparrow \cong \epsilon(\mathcal{G}) \circ \tilde{\pi}_1^* & & \uparrow \cong \pi_1^* \\
W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} & W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)).
\end{array}$$

The result follows by its commutativity.  $\square$

**3.4. The main theorem.** We can now state our main result.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Assume  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag and  $n$  is odd. Then, there is an isomorphism of graded  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -modules*

$$(6) \quad W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n)) \cong W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n))[-(2n-3)] \oplus W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_1}(n))$$

if  $n \geq 3$  is odd.

By [3, Theorem 1.4 (B)], the connecting homomorphism  $\partial$  in the localization sequence 3 can be fitted into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W^i(U_+(n)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \\
\alpha^* \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \tilde{\pi}_* \\
W^i(OG_-^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}'_*} & W^i(E_+(n)).
\end{array}$$

Our strategy is to decompose the  $n-1$  cases (i.e. when one has  $OG_{\pm}^{E_1}(n)$ ) into the  $n-2$  cases and analyze the map  $\tilde{\pi}_* \tilde{\pi}'^*$ .

We look at the following picture

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
W^i(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\alpha_1'^*} & W^i(U_-^{E_1}(n)) & & W^{i-2(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \xleftarrow[\cong]{\epsilon} W^{i-2(n-2)}(OG_-^{E_2}(n)) \\
\downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_1'^* & \searrow \beta & \uparrow v_1'^* & & \downarrow (\iota_1)_* \nearrow \gamma & \uparrow (\tilde{\alpha}_1)_* \\
W^i(Bl_-^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_1')_*} & W^i(OG_-^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_* \tilde{\pi}'^*} & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1^*} & W^{i-(n-2)}(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) \\
& & \uparrow (\iota_1')_* & & \downarrow v_1^* & & \\
& & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_-^{E_2}(n)) & & W^{i-(n-2)}(U_+^{E_1}(n)) & & 
\end{array}$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are defined as the depicted composition, and  $\epsilon := (\tilde{\pi}_1)_* \circ (\tilde{\pi}_1')_*^{-1}$ .

**Sublemma 3.14.** *The composition  $v_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ (\iota_1')_*$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof of Sublemma 3.14.* Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
U_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{v_1} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & OG_+(n) & \xleftarrow{v} & U_+(n) \\
\parallel & & \uparrow \tilde{\pi} & & \uparrow \pi & & \downarrow \alpha \\
U_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_1} & Bl_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & Bl_+(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & OG_-^{E_1}(n) \\
& & \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi}' & & & \uparrow \iota_1' \\
U_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_1} & Bl_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & Bl_+(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & OG_-^{E_1}(n) \\
& & & & & & \uparrow \iota_1' \\
& & & & & & OG_-^{E_2}(n), \\
& & & & & & \uparrow \alpha_1
\end{array}$$

where we make the following interpretation on functors of points:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\{E_1 \subset L_n\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_1 \subset L_n\} & \longrightarrow & \{L_n\} \longleftarrow & \{E_1 \not\subset L_n\} \\
\parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \downarrow \\
\{E_1 \subset L_n\} & & \{(L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n, L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{(L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_1 \subset L'_n\} \\
\parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\{E_1 \subset L_n\} & \longrightarrow & \{(L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n, E_2 \subset L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_2 \subset L'_n\} & .
\end{array}$$

Note that the lower right rectangle diagram is Cartesian. By the base change formula, we see that

$$v_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ (\iota'_1)_* = \alpha_1^*.$$

Since  $\alpha_1$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Sublemma 3.15.** *The composition  $v_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta$  is zero.*

*Proof of Sublemma 3.15.* It is enough to show that

$$L\iota_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0.$$

To see why this is enough, note that there is an involution  $\sigma$  on  $OG_+^{E_1}(n)$  such that the composition  $\iota_1^\sigma := \sigma \circ \iota_1$  restricts to a section of the  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle  $\alpha_1 : U_+^{E_1}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_2}(n) \cong OG_+^{E_2}(n)$ . (The involution  $\sigma$  can be given by switching the basis  $e_2$  with  $f_2$ , and  $e_n$  with  $f_n$ ). If  $L(\iota_1^\sigma)^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$ , then  $v_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$ . Note that there is another involution  $\epsilon$  on  $OG_+^{E_1}(n)$  such that  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota_1^\sigma$  both factor through the open complement  $U_{+, \epsilon}^{E_1}(n)$  of the embedding  $\iota_1^\epsilon : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_1}(n)$ . (The involution  $\epsilon$  can be given by switching  $e_2$  with  $f_2 + e_3$ , and  $f_2$  with  $e_2 - e_3$ , and  $f_n$  with  $e_n$ ). Furthermore, the open complement  $U_{+, \epsilon}^{E_1}(n)$  is an affine bundle over  $OG_+^{E_2}(n) \cong OG_+^{E_2}(n)$  for which the embeddings  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota_1^\sigma$  are both sections. This situation gives rise to the following picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1^\epsilon} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) \longleftarrow U_{+, \epsilon}^{E_1}(n) \\
& & \uparrow \iota_1 \quad \swarrow \iota_1^\sigma \quad \downarrow \mathbb{A}^*\text{-bundle} \\
OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & OG_+^{E_2}(n)
\end{array}$$

By the homotopy invariance, we see that  $L\iota_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$  if and only if  $L(\iota_1^\sigma)^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$ .

To prove  $L\iota_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$ , we need the following diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) & & \\
\tilde{\alpha}'_1 \uparrow & & \tilde{\pi} \uparrow & & \\
Bl_-^{E_1}(n) & \longrightarrow & E_+(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}'} & OG_-^{E_1}(n), \\
& & \searrow \pi'_1 & & 
\end{array}$$

where the left square is Cartesian, and we provide the following interpretation on functors of points:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\{E_2 \subset L_n\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_1 \subset L_n\} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\{(L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L'_n, \\ E_2 \subset L_n, \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{(L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n, L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} \longrightarrow \{E_1 \subset L'_n\}.
\end{array}$$

Now, observe that the map  $L\iota_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta$  can be identified with the composition

$$(\tilde{\alpha}'_1)_* \circ \pi_1'^* \circ (\pi_1')_* \circ \tilde{\alpha}_1'^*$$

by functoriality of pull-backs and the base change formula [6, Theorem 5.5]. By Lemma 3.11, we see  $\pi_1'^* \circ (\pi_1')_*$  is the identity map. Therefore, it remains to prove  $(\tilde{\alpha}'_1)_* \circ \tilde{\alpha}'_1'^*$  vanishes. Since  $\tilde{\alpha}'_1 : Bl_-^{E_1}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_2}(n)$  is a projective bundle of odd relative dimension, we see that  $(\tilde{\alpha}'_1)_* \circ \tilde{\alpha}'_1'^*$  vanishes by Theorem A.2.  $\square$

**Sublemma 3.16.** *The composition  $\gamma \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta$  vanishes.*

*Proof of Sublemma 3.16.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta &= \tilde{\alpha}_{1*} \circ \pi_1'^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \pi_1'^* \circ \tilde{\alpha}'_1'^* \\ &= p_* \circ \wp^* \circ \wp'_* \circ p'^* \end{aligned}$$

according to the following diagram (without the shaded part):

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & OG_-^{E_2}(n) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_1} & Bl_1^+ & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) \\ & \swarrow p' & & \swarrow p & \uparrow q & & \uparrow \tilde{\pi} \\ & & H^- & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & H^+ & \xrightarrow{\wp} & E_+(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}'} & OG_-^{E_1}(n) \\ & & & \searrow \wp' & & \searrow \wp' & & & \uparrow \pi_1' \\ & & & & & & H^- & \xrightarrow{q'} & Bl_1^- & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}'_1} & OG_+^{E_2}(n). \end{array}$$

Again, on functors of points, we shall provide the following interpretation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{E_2 \subset Q'_n\} & \longleftarrow & \{(E_n, Q'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset E_n, E_2 \subset Q'_n \\ D(E_n, Q'_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_1 \subset E_n\} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \{(E_n, Q'_n, E'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_2 \subset Q'_n \\ E_1 \subset E_n, E'_n \\ D(E_n, E'_n) = n-1 \\ D(E_n, Q'_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{(E_n, E'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset E_n, E'_n \\ D(E_n, E'_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_1 \subset E'_n\} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \{(E_n, Q_n, E'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_2 \subset Q_n \\ E_1 \subset E_n, E'_n \\ D(E_n, E'_n) = n-1 \\ D(E'_n, Q_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{(P'_n, Q_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset P'_n, E_2 \subset Q_n \\ D(P'_n, Q_n) = n-1 \end{array}\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_2 \subset Q_n\} \end{array}$$

Identifying  $OG_-^{E_2}(n) \cong OG_+^{E_2}(n)$  and  $H^- \cong H^+$  by an automorphism  $\sigma : E \rightarrow E$  as in the shading diagram, we have  $\wp \circ \sigma = \wp'$ ,  $\sigma \circ p' = p \circ \sigma$  and we see that

$$\sigma^* \circ p_* \circ \wp^* \circ \wp'_* \circ p'^* = p'_* \circ \wp'^* \circ \wp'_* \circ p'^*.$$

It is therefore enough to prove  $p'_* \circ \wp'^* \circ \wp'_* \circ p'^* = 0$ .

Note that the map  $q' : H^- \rightarrow Bl_1^-$  is a projective bundle of rank  $n-2$ , and the map  $\wp' : H^- \rightarrow E_+(n)$  is birational. Observe that  $\wp'^* \wp'_* : W^*(H^-) \rightarrow W^*(H^-)$  is the identity map (up to a trivialization). To prove this claim, we examine the following commutative diagram (with

$r := n - 2$  odd)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & W^i(Bl_1^-) & \xrightarrow{q'^*} & W^i(H^-) & \xrightarrow{q'_*} & W^{i-r}(Bl_1^-) & \xrightarrow{e(\pi_1'^* \mathcal{N})} & W^{i+1}(Bl_1^-) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \pi_1'^* \uparrow & & \wp'^* \uparrow & & \pi_1'^* \uparrow & & \pi_1'^* \uparrow & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}'^*} & W^i(E_+(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}'_*} & W^{i-r}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{e(\mathcal{N})} & W^{i+1}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \pi_1'^* \uparrow & & \wp'_* \uparrow & & \pi_1'^* \uparrow & & \pi_1'^* \uparrow & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & W^i(Bl_1^-) & \xrightarrow{q'^*} & W^i(H^-) & \xrightarrow{q'_*} & W^{i-r}(Bl_1^-) & \xrightarrow{e(\pi_1'^* \mathcal{N})} & W^{i+1}(Bl_1^-) & \longrightarrow & \cdots,
\end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the normal bundle defining the exceptional fibre  $E_+(n)$ . Note that the right two squares are commutative by functoriality of Euler classes (cf. [10, page 14 Section 3]). Be careful here, the map  $\pi_1'$  is not flat in general, but on Witt groups, we still have the fact that Euler class is compatible with pull-backs via smooth morphisms (cf. Proposition 24 [9]). Now, the identity  $\wp'^* \wp'_* = id$  follows from Lemma 3.11 immediately. The result follows by  $p'_* \circ p'^* = 0$ , cf. Theorem A.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.13.* Let  $\theta : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$  denote the composition  $\iota \circ \iota_1$ . Let  $\theta' : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$  denote the composition  $\tau \circ \theta$  where  $\tau : OG_+(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$  is induced by the automorphism  $E \rightarrow E$  which switches  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and acts as the identity on the other elements of the basis. By the above sublemmas, we have constructed a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{i-(2n-3)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \xrightarrow{\theta_*} W^i(OG_+(n)) \xrightarrow{L\theta'^*} W^i(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note here that the morphism  $\theta' : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$  can be identified with the canonical embedding  $OG_+^{\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle}(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$ , and this short exact sequence comes from the localization sequence (3) as follows. Firstly, one replaces the term  $W^i(U_+(n))$  in the localization sequence (3) by  $W^i(OG_+^{\langle f_1 \rangle}(n))$  using functoriality and Remark 2.11. Then, decompose  $W^i(OG_+^{\langle f_1 \rangle}(n))$  into the direct sum of  $W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{\langle f_1, e_2 \rangle})$  and  $W^i(OG_+^{\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle})$ .

Using the projection formula and the fact that the pullback is compatible with products, we can further deduce a short exact sequence of graded  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n))[-(2n-3)] \xrightarrow{\theta_*} W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n)) \xrightarrow{L\theta'^*} W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By induction on  $n$  and identifying  $OG_+^{E_2}(n) \cong OG_+(n-2, E^2)$ , we can assume that  $W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n))$  is a graded projective  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -module, since the induction process reduces to the  $n = 1$  case and  $OG_+(1) \cong S$ . Therefore this exact sequence splits.  $\square$

**3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By induction, the statement of Case (A) of Theorem 1.1 follows directly from Theorem 3.13 and results in Section 2.3. The statement of Case (B) of Theorem 1.1 follows from Corollary 3.8. Note that Corollary 3.8 helps to reduce to Case (A).

## APPENDIX A. EULER CLASSES AND PROJECTIVE BUNDLES

Heng Xie

The projective bundle theorem for Witt groups was independently proved first by Walter [21] and later by Nenashev [20]. The following two theorems needed in this paper are proved in [21, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4], however this work has not been published. By a different method, Nenashev [20] also proved the projective bundle theorem (over a regular base), however the descriptions of the underlying maps in his projective bundle theorem were hidden. The aim of this appendix is to make explicit these hidden maps from [20] following his method, and solve the reference issue in this paper. It is worth mentioning that Fasel [10] has written down explicitly the underlying maps for the projective bundle theorem of  $\mathbf{I}^j$ -cohomology, but his method is slightly different from Nenashev and his formulation does not fit our needs.

**A.1. Projective bundles.** Let  $\rho: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r+1$  over a regular scheme  $X$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $s: X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be the zero section of the bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . Let  $q: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$  be the projective bundle associated to the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then, there is an exact sequence of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow q^* \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ , which induces two embeddings  $\iota: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$  and  $\iota': \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . Let  $v: U_\iota \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$  and  $v': U_{\iota'} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$  be the associated open complements. There are canonical maps  $\alpha: U_\iota \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\alpha': U_{\iota'} \rightarrow X$  which are both  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundles.

**A.2. Witt groups.** The following constructions will be useful later:

- (1) If  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{L}'$ , then we have a periodicity isomorphism

$$\text{per}_{\mathcal{M}}: W^i(X, \mathcal{L}') \rightarrow W^i(X, \mathcal{L}),$$

which by definition is the product

$$(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \otimes -: W^i(X, \mathcal{L}') \rightarrow W^i(X, \mathcal{L})$$

where  $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$  is the canonical form on  $W^i(X, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$ .

- (2) Recall that the *Euler class* of  $\mathcal{E}$  is a composition of maps (cf. [9] or [20] for instance)

$$W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{s^*} W^{i+r}(\mathcal{E}, \rho^*(\det \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L})) \xrightarrow{s^*} W^{i+r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

which shall be denoted by  $e(\mathcal{E})$ .

- (3) Now, recall two functorial maps from [6]: the pullback

$$q^*: W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), q^* \mathcal{L})$$

and the pushforward

$$q_*: W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), q^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})/X}) \rightarrow W^i(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})/X} \longrightarrow q^* \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields a canonical isomorphism

$$\omega_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})/X} := \det(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})/X}) \cong q^* \det(\mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-r-1).$$

Therefore, we are able to rewrite  $q_*: W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), q^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-r-1)) \rightarrow W^i(X, \mathcal{L})$ .

**Theorem A.1** (Theorem 1.2 [21]). *Assume that  $r$  is an even. The maps of groups*

$$q_* \circ \text{per}: W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(-1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}): e(\mathcal{Q}) \circ q^*$$

*are inverse isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* Nenashev [20, Theorem 5.1] considers two long exact sequences

$$\cdots \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})}^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \longrightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \longrightarrow W^i(U_\iota) \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})}^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and

$$\cdots \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \longrightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \longrightarrow W^i(U_{\iota'}) \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

Since the canonical maps  $\alpha: U_\iota \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\alpha': U_{\iota'} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$  are both  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundles, the above two long exact splits, by using that  $q^*: W^i(X) \rightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$  is an isomorphism. Since  $W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})}^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \cong W^{i-(r+1)}(X, \det \mathcal{E})$  and  $W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(1)) \cong W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}))$ , by the dévissage theorem and the two periodicity on the twists, Nenashev [20, Theorem 5.1] is able to conclude that  $W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}) \cong W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(1))$  by noting that both  $W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})}^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}))$  and  $W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}))$  are isomorphic to the cokernel of the map  $q^*: W^i(X) \rightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$ .

It is more subtle to understand the hidden maps of the isomorphism

$$W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}) \cong W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(1))$$

as the theorem stated. To reveal this, we shall examine the following Balmer-Calmès Setup (cf. [3, Setup 1.1 and Hypothesis 1.2])

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) & \xleftarrow{v} & U_\iota \\
\uparrow \tilde{\pi} & & \uparrow \pi & \swarrow \tilde{v} & \downarrow \alpha \\
E^c & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & Bl_\iota & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}).
\end{array}$$

By [6], we have a pushforward map  $\pi_* : W^i(Bl_\iota, \omega_\iota) \rightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}))$ . Note that  $\omega_\iota = (0, r) \in \text{Pic}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Pic}(Bl_\iota)$  by [3, Proposition 2.1]. Since  $r$  is even, we have a periodicity isomorphism  $\text{per} : W^i(Bl_\iota) \rightarrow W^i(Bl_\iota, \omega_\iota)$ . Note also that  $\tilde{\alpha} : Bl_\iota \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  is a projective bundle of rank one with a canonical section. Moreover the normal bundle  $\iota$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\tilde{\pi} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$  is naturally identified with  $q : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ . The excess normal bundle of the left cartesian square is the quotient bundle  $\mathcal{Q}$  on  $E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ , and we obtain the following commutative diagram, the rows of which consist of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E, \omega_{\tilde{\iota}}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota})_*} & W^i(Bl_\iota) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}^*} & W^i(U_\iota) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \text{per} & & \downarrow \text{per} & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E, \omega_{\tilde{\iota}} \otimes \tilde{\iota}^* \omega_\pi) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota})_*} & W^i(Bl_\iota, \omega_\pi) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}^*} & W^i(U_\iota) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \tilde{\pi}_* & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1-r}(X, \omega_\iota) & \xrightarrow{(\iota)_*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus 1)) & \xrightarrow{v^*} & W^i(U_\iota) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow e(\mathcal{Q}) \circ \tilde{\pi}^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E, \omega_{\tilde{\iota}}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota})_*} & W^i(Bl_\iota) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}^*} & W^i(U_\iota) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Notice that the left lower diagram is commutative by the excess intersection formula (cf. [9]). Recall the identification  $\omega_{\tilde{\iota}} = \mathcal{O}_E(-1)$  (cf. [3, Appendix A]). The theorem will follow if we can prove  $\pi^* \circ \pi_* \circ \text{per} = \text{id}$ .

To see this, we shall consider another diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) & \xleftarrow{v'} & U_{\iota'} & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & X \\
\text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi & & & & \uparrow q \\
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}'} & Bl_\iota & \xleftarrow{\tilde{v}'} & U_{\tilde{\iota}'} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}'} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}),
\end{array}$$

where the left square is a Cartesian diagram,  $U_{\iota'}, U_{\tilde{\iota}'}$  are associated open complements and  $\alpha', \tilde{\alpha}'$  are  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundles. By applying the localization theorem to this diagram, we further consider the following diagram, in which the rows are short exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
(9) & 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \omega_{\tilde{\iota}'}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}')_*} & W^i(Bl_\iota) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}'^*} & W^i(U_{\tilde{\iota}'}) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\alpha}'^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & \downarrow \text{can} & & \downarrow \pi_* \circ \text{per} & & \downarrow (q^*)^{-1} & & & & \\
& 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \omega_{\iota'}) & \xrightarrow{(\iota')_*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus 1)) & \xrightarrow{v'^*} & W^i(U_{\iota'}) & \xleftarrow{\alpha'^*} & W^i(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & \downarrow \text{can}^{-1} & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow q^* & & & & \\
& 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \omega_{\tilde{\iota}'}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}')_*} & W^i(Bl_\iota) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}'^*} & W^i(U_{\tilde{\iota}'}) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\alpha}'^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Note that

- (LU) the left upper diagram is commutative by the functoriality of pushforwards,
- (LL) the left lower diagram is commutative by the base-change formula,
- (RL) the right lower diagram is commutative by the functoriality of pullbacks,



(RU) the right upper diagram is commutative by a slightly more complicated reason. All in all, we need to show the formula

$$(\alpha'^*)^{-1} \circ v'^* \circ \pi_* \circ \text{per} = (q^*)^{-1} \circ (\tilde{\alpha}'^*)^{-1} \circ \tilde{v}'^*.$$

This formula can be checked locally on  $X$ , and we may assume that we have a rational point  $i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  and a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \pi \uparrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}'} & Bl_{\iota} \end{array}.$$

Now, we obtain  $(q^*)^{-1} = i^*$ . We see the claim by the base-change formula applied to the outer Cartesian diagram.

Finally, we conclude that the map  $\pi^*$  is an isomorphism, and Diagram 9 tells us  $\pi_* \circ \text{per}$  is the inverse of  $\pi^*$ .  $\square$

**Theorem A.2** (Theorem 1.4 [21]). *Assume that  $r$  is an odd number. The sequence of maps of groups*

$$\dots \longrightarrow W^i(X) \xrightarrow{q^*} W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{q_* \circ \text{per}} W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{e(\mathcal{E})} W^{i+1}(X) \longrightarrow \dots$$

is a long exact sequence.

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})}(-1) \longrightarrow q^*(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have the following ladder diagram.

(10)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & W^i(U) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & W^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \longrightarrow & W^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{v'^*} & W^{i+1}(U_{\iota'}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \alpha^* \uparrow & & \iota'_* \uparrow & & e(\mathcal{G}) \circ p^* \uparrow & & \alpha^* \uparrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & W^i(X) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) & \xrightarrow{q_* \circ \text{per}} & W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{e(\mathcal{E})} & W^{i+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

By [3, Lemma 4.2 (B)], we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W^i(U_{\iota'}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & W^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}(-1)) \\ j^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{\iota'^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \end{array},$$

which explains the commutativity of the left diagram in Diagram 10. In order to conclude that the middle diagram in Diagram 10 is commutative, we use that  $p_* \circ \text{per}$  is the inverse of  $e(\mathcal{G}) \circ p^*$  by Theorem A.1 and the commutativity follows by  $\iota'_* = p_* \circ q_*$ . To see that the right square in Diagram 10 is commutative, we observe that  $\alpha^* \iota'_* = v'^*$  and  $\iota'^* \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{E}$ . Now one has  $\iota'^* e(\mathcal{G}) p^* = e(\iota'^* \mathcal{G}) \iota'^* p^* = e(\mathcal{E})$ . Finally, note that all the maps in diagram 10 are isomorphisms and the upper sequence is acyclic. The result follows.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Balmer, Witt groups. Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2, 539–576, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [2] P. Balmer, Witt cohomology, Mayer–Vietoris, homotopy invariance and the Gersten conjecture, K-theory 23 (2001) 15–30.
- [3] P. Balmer, and B. Calmes, *Geometric description of the connecting homomorphism for Witt groups*, Documenta Mathematica, 14 (2009), 525–550.
- [4] P. Balmer, and B. Calmes, Witt groups of Grassmann varieties, Journal of Algebraic Geometry, 21, no 4 (2012), pp. 601–642.
- [5] P. Balmer and S. Gille, Koszul complexes and symmetric forms over the punctured affine space, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 91, no 2 (2005), pp. 273–299.

- [6] B. Calmes, and J. Hornbostel, *Push-forwards for Witt groups of schemes*, Comment. Math. Helv. 86 (2011), 437–468
- [7] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer (1978).
- [8] W. Fulton, and P. Pragacz, *Schubert Varieties and Degeneracy Loci*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1689, Springer (1998).
- [9] J. Fasel, *The excess intersection formula for Grothendieck–Witt groups*, Manuscripta Mathematica, volume 130, Article number: 411 (2009)
- [10] J. Fasel, *The projective bundle theorem for  $I^j$ -cohomology*, Journal of K-theory, Polume 11, Issue 2 April 2013, pp. 413–464
- [11] S. Gille, Homotopy invariance of coherent Witt groups, Math. Z. 244 (2003), 211–233.
- [12] S. Gille, The general dévissage theorem for Witt groups, Arch. Math. 88 (2007) 333–343.
- [13] G. Ulrich, and W. Torsten, Algebraic Geometry, Part I: Schemes. With Examples and Exercises, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Springer 2010.
- [14] J. Hornbostel, H. Xie and M. Zibrowius, Chow-Witt rings of split quadrics, In Motivic homotopy theory and refined enumerative geometry, Contemporary Mathematics, AMS series, 745 (2020), 123–162.
- [15] J. Harris, Algebraic geometry: A first course. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 133. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [16] M. Karoubi, M. Schlichting, and C. Weibel, The Witt group of real algebraic varieties. J. Topol. 9 (2016), no. 4, 1257–1302.
- [17] M. Karoubi, and C. Weibel, The Witt group of real surfaces. K-theory in algebra, analysis and topology, 157–193, Contemp. Math., 749, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020.
- [18] M. Karoubi, M. Schlichting, and C. Weibel, Grothendieck-Witt groups of some singular schemes, to appear in Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2020).
- [19] M. Levine and F. Morel, Algebraic cobordism, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [20] A. Nenashev, *On the Witt groups of projective bundles and split quadrics: Geometric reasoning*, J. K-theory 3 (2009), no. 3, 533–546.
- [21] C. Walter, *Grothendieck-Witt group of projective bundles*, Preprint.
- [22] E. Witt, Theorie der quadratischen Formen in beliebigen Körpern. J. Reine Angew. Math., 176:31–44, 1937.
- [23] I. Panin, Riemann-Roch theorems for oriented cohomology, Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory, NATO Sci. Ser. II, vol. 131, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004, pp. 261–333.
- [24] N. Perrin, Courbes rationnelles sur les variétés homogènes, Annales de l’Institut Fourier, Tome 52 (2002) no. 1, pp. 105–132.
- [25] H. G. Quebbemann, W. Scharlau, and M. Schulte, Quadratic and Hermitian forms in additive and abelian categories, J. Algebra 59 (1979) 264–289.
- [26] H. Rohrbach, The Projective Bundle Formula for Grothendieck-Witt spectra, preprint (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.07588>.
- [27] H. Xie, A transfer morphism for Hermitian K-theory of schemes with involution, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra (4) 224 (2020), 26 pages.
- [28] H. Xie, Witt groups of smooth projective quadrics, Advances in Mathematics 346 (2019), 70–123.
- [29] M. Zibrowius, Witt Groups of Complex Cellular Varieties, Documenta Math. 16 (2011), 465–511.
- [30] M. Zibrowius, Witt Groups of Curves and Surfaces, Math. Zeits. 278 (2014), Nr. 1–2, 191–227.

THOMAS HUDSON, UNIVERSITY OF WUPPERTAL, GERMANY

*Email address:* `hudson@uni-wuppertal.de`

ARTHUR MARTIROSIAN, UNIVERSITY OF DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY

*Email address:* `arthur.martirosian@hhu.de`

HENG XIE, UNIVERSITY OF DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY

*Email address:* `heng.xie@hhu.de`