

ON SYMPLECTIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF ELLIPTIC SURFACES ACTING ON CH_0

JIABIN DU AND WENFEI LIU

ABSTRACT. Let S be a complex smooth projective surface of Kodaira dimension one. We show that the group $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ of symplectic automorphisms acts trivially on the Albanese kernel $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ of the 0-th Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)$, unless the geometric genus and the irregularity satisfy $p_g(S) = q(S) \in \{1, 2\}$. In the exceptional case, the image of the homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}})$ is either trivial or possibly isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.

Our main arguments actually take care of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S)$ of fibration preserving automorphisms of elliptic surfaces $f: S \rightarrow B$. We prove that, if $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_f(S)$ induces the trivial action on $H^{i,0}(S)$ for $i > 0$, then it induces trivial action on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$. Also, if S is additionally a K3 surface, then $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Fibration preserving automorphisms	5
3.	Elliptic surfaces with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 3$	8
4.	Reduction to the Jacobian fibration	9
5.	Elliptic surfaces with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \leq 2$	12
	References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

We work over the complex numbers \mathbb{C} in this paper.

For a complex smooth projective variety X of dimension d , its k -th Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_k(X)$ is defined to be $Z_k(X)/\sim_{\mathrm{rat}}$, where $Z_k(X)$ is the free abelian group on the k -dimensional closed subvarieties of X and \sim_{rat} denotes the rational equivalence. We have $\mathrm{CH}_d(X) = \mathbb{Z}[X] \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{d-1}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X)$. However, $\mathrm{CH}_k(X)$ becomes very hard to compute for $0 \leq k \leq d-2$. For example, for a smooth projective surface S with $p_g(S) > 0$, the degree zero part $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{hom}}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)$ is infinite dimensional [Mum69].¹

Date: March 5, 2021.

¹This means that the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^n(S) \times \mathrm{Sym}^n(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{hom}}, (A, B) \mapsto [A-B]$ is not surjective for any natural number n , where $\mathrm{Sym}^n(S)$ denotes the n -th symmetric product of S .

The Bloch–Beilinson conjecture predicts the existence of a finite decreasing filtration on each Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with rational coefficients whose graded pieces are, in terms of correspondence between smooth projective varieties, controlled by the Hodge decomposition of the cohomology groups; see [Voi03, Section 11.2.2] for precise statements. The philosophy behind this conjecture is that the topology (Hodge theory) determines the algebraic geometry of cycles.

Specifically for the 0-th Chow group, one defines the kernel of the degree map

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{hom}} := \ker(\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{deg}} \mathbb{Z})$$

and in turn the kernel of the Albanese map

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{alb}} := \ker(\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{hom}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{alb}} \mathrm{Alb}(X))$$

Then $\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \supset \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{hom}} \supset \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ are expected to be the first three terms of the Bloch–Beilinson filtration for $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$, and if $X = S$ is a surface, then this should be the full filtration. As a consequence, one expects the following

Conjecture 1.1. *Let S a smooth projective surface. Let X be a smooth projective variety, and $\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}^2(X \times S)$ a cycle of codimension 2. If $\Gamma^* : H^{2,0}(S) \rightarrow H^{2,0}(X)$ vanishes, then $\Gamma_* : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{alb}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ also vanishes.*

Taking $X = S$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\sigma} - \Delta_S$, where Γ_{σ} is the graph of an automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ and $\Delta_S \subset S \times S$ is the diagonal, we obtain the following special and more tractable case of Conjecture 1.1:

Conjecture 1.2. *Let S be a smooth projective surface. Then any symplectic automorphism acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

Here an automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ is called *symplectic* if the induced map $\sigma^* : H^{2,0}(S) \rightarrow H^{2,0}(S)$ is the identity. We will use $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ to denote the group of symplectic automorphisms of S .

Surfaces with $\kappa(S) \leq 1$ and $p_g(S) = 0$ have trivial $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ by [BKL76], so Conjecture 1.2 is automatically true in this case. The Bloch conjecture ([Blo10, Conjecture 1.8 and Proposition 1.11]), which is again a consequence of Conjecture 1.1 (take $\Gamma = \Delta_S$), asserts that surfaces of general type with $p_g(S) = 0$ also have trivial $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$. This has been verified in some special cases by various authors: surfaces with “enough automorphisms” such as Godeaux surfaces, Burniat–Inoue surfaces, Campedelli surfaces, and their alike [IM79, Bar85, Che13, BC13, Bau14, BF15], surfaces with “finite dimensional Chow motives” such as surfaces rationally dominated by a product of curves [Kim05], surfaces with “nice moduli space” such as Catanese surfaces, Barlow surfaces [Voi14] and some numerical Campedelli surfaces [Lat20], and so on. Since the complete classification for surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$ is still unknown and the effective methods avoiding the classification

results of such surfaces are not established, the Bloch conjecture is open by now ([BCP11]).

For surfaces with $p_g(S) > 0$, the Albanese kernel $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ is huge ([Mum69]). Nevertheless, Conjecture 1.2 has been confirmed for abelian surfaces [BKL76, Paw19] as well as symplectic automorphisms of finite order of K3 surfaces [Voi12, Huy12]. For Kummer K3 surfaces, infinite order symplectic automorphisms coming from the covering abelian surface are treated in [Paw19].

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.3. *Conjecture 1.2 holds for surfaces S with Kodaira dimension $\kappa(S) = 1$, unless possibly $q(S) = p_g(S) \in \{1, 2\}$. In the latter case, the image of the homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}})$ is either trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.*

Strengthening the condition of Conjecture 1.2 (compare [Voi12, Conjecture 1.1]), we have

Theorem 1.4. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $\kappa(S) = 1$. If an automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ induces the trivial action on $H^{i,0}(S)$ for $i > 0$, then it induces trivial action on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

The results are based on the following elementary observation about the zero cycles of an elliptic surface $f: S \rightarrow B$: The Albanese kernel $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ is contained in the so-called *f-kernel*

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_f := \ker(\mathrm{CH}_0(S) \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathrm{CH}_0(B)).$$

For any cycle $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_f$, one can find a positive integer d and finitely many $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{hom}}$ such that

$$(1) \quad d\alpha = \sum \alpha_i \in \mathrm{CH}_0(S),$$

and the support $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha_i)$ lies on a single fiber for each i (Lemma 2.2).

Let $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S)$ be the subgroup of automorphisms of S preserving the fibration structure f . What we are dealing with in this paper is in fact the group $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$. Note that, if $\kappa(S) = 1$, then there is a unique elliptic fibration structure on S and hence $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S) = \mathrm{Aut}(S)$; it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ is the whole $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$.

In any case, we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(B)$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}_B(S) := \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \mid \sigma_B = \mathrm{id}_B\}$. By the decomposition (1), it is clear that $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(S)$ induces the trivial action on $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ if the restriction $\sigma|_F$ to a general fiber F of f is a translation. The latter property is guaranteed if $p_g(S) > 0$; see Lemma 2.5. On the other hand, if $p_g(S) = 0$, then $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}} = 0$ by [BKL76] and there is nothing to prove. The conclusion is that $\mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ (Proposition 2.1).

Note that $\text{Aut}_B(S) \cap \text{Aut}_s(S)$ also acts trivially on the Jacobian $j: J \rightarrow B$. Using this fact, we can reduce the problem to one for finite order symplectic automorphisms of J that fix the distinguished section. This is carried out in Section 4.

Replacing the elliptic fibration $f: S \rightarrow B$ with its Jacobian $j: J \rightarrow B$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_f(S) \cap \text{Aut}_s(S)$ with its induced automorphism $\sigma_J \in \text{Aut}_j(J) \cap \text{Aut}_s(J)$, we can assume that S has a section, and then work only with those fibration preserving symplectic automorphisms σ of finite order such that $|\sigma| = |\sigma_B|$, where $\sigma_B \in \text{Aut}(B)$ is induced by σ .

Here we observe that, in case $p_g(S) > 0$, the canonical map $\varphi_S: S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{p_g-1}$ factors through $f: S \rightarrow B$ by the canonical bundle formula for elliptic fibrations. Since σ acts trivially on $H^0(S, K_S)$, φ_S also factors through the quotient map $\pi: B \rightarrow B/\langle \sigma_B \rangle$, where $\sigma_B \in \text{Aut}(B)$ is the automorphism induced by σ . Therefore, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_S} & \mathbb{P}^{p_g-1} \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi_B & \uparrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B/\langle \sigma_B \rangle \end{array}$$

where φ_B is the morphism associated to the linear system $|K_B + L|$ with L is a line bundle of degree $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ on B . If $p_g(S) \geq 2$, we obtain $|\sigma_B| \leq \deg \varphi_B$.

If $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 3$, then $\deg(K_B + L) = 2g(B) - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 2g(B) + 1$ and thus φ_B is an embedding. Therefore, $\sigma_B = \text{id}_B$, and it follows that σ is also the identity (Theorem 3.1).

In case $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \in \{1, 2\}$, we cannot conclude that $\sigma_B = \text{id}_B$, but a similar consideration yields strong restrictions on what σ_B can be. In fact, if we impose the additional condition that σ acts trivially also on $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$, then $\sigma_B = \text{id}_B$ holds, which implies Theorem 1.4 in this case. In most cases, we can show that $|\sigma| = |\sigma_B| \leq 6$ and, using the method of “enough automorphisms” [IM79], the automorphism σ^3 , which has order at most 2, acts trivially on $\text{CH}_0(S)_{\text{alb}}$; see Lemma 5.2.

In case $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, the relatively minimal model of the surface S over B is an elliptic quasi-bundle and hence is the quotient of a product of two curves. We can thus draw on the finite dimensionality of the Chow motives of such surfaces [Kim05, KMP07].

Our arguments have a byproduct concerning (possibly infinite order) symplectic automorphisms of elliptic K3 surfaces:

Theorem 1.5 (= Corollary 5.5). *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic K3 surface. Then $\text{Aut}_f(S) \cap \text{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\text{CH}_0(S)_{\text{alb}}$.*

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Qizheng Yin, Renjie Lü, Zhiyuan Li and Xun Yu for their interest in our project and for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the NSFC (No. 11971399 and No. 11771294).

2. FIBRATION PRESERVING AUTOMORPHISMS

Let S be a smooth projective surface and $f: S \rightarrow B$ a fibration, that is, a morphism onto the smooth projective curve B with connected fibers. We define the following subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$:

- the subgroup of *fibration preserving automorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_f(S) := \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(S) \mid \exists \sigma_B \in \mathrm{Aut}(B) \text{ such that } \sigma_B \circ f = f \circ \sigma\}$$

These automorphisms permute the fibers of f .

- the subgroup of *fiber preserving automorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_B(S) := \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \mid f = f \circ \sigma\}$$

These automorphisms preserve each fiber of f .

There is an exact sequence of groups:

$$(2) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(B)$$

Restricting it to the group of symplectic automorphisms, we obtain another exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(B)$$

Now suppose that S is a surface with Kodaira dimension $\kappa(S) = 1$. Then the Iitaka fibration $f: S \rightarrow B$, defined by the pluri-canonical systems, is the unique elliptic fibration on S . Therefore, any automorphism of S preserves f , that is, $\mathrm{Aut}(S) = \mathrm{Aut}_f(S)$. In this case, the exact sequences (2) and (3) can be rewritten as

$$(4) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(B)$$

and

$$(5) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_s(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(B)$$

It is known that the image of $\mathrm{Aut}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ is finite ([PS20, Lemma 3.3]).

The following is the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration. Then the group $\mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ of fiber preserving symplectic automorphisms acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

We need some preparations before giving the proof of Proposition 2.1 at the end of this section.

First, define

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_f := \ker(\mathrm{CH}_0(S) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathrm{CH}_0(B))$$

and call it the *f-kernel* of $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)$. The following elementary observation about $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_f$ is the basis of further arguments.

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [BKL76]). *For any $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_f$, there is a positive integer d , such that*

$$d\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i \in \mathrm{CH}_0(S)$$

where $\deg \alpha_i = 0$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha_i)$ is contained in a single smooth fiber of f for each i .

Proof. By [Voi12, Fact 3.3], we can assume that $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha)$ is contained in some union of smooth fibers of f . Take an ample smooth curve $C \subset S$, and denote by d the degree of $f|_C: C \rightarrow B$. Write $\alpha = \sum_i n_i [p_i]$. Since $\alpha \in \ker(f_*)$, we have

$$f_*\alpha = \sum_i n_i [f(p_i)] = 0 \in \mathrm{CH}_0(B).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_i n_i F_{p_i} = f^* \left(\sum_i n_i [f(p_i)] \right) = 0 \in \mathrm{Pic}(S),$$

and hence

$$\left(\sum_i n_i F_{p_i} \right) \cdot C = 0 \in \mathrm{CH}_0(S),$$

where F_{p_i} denotes the fiber containing p_i . Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha &= \sum_i n_i d[p_i] - \left(\sum_i n_i F_{p_i} \right) \cdot C \\ &= \sum_i n_i (d[p_i] - [F_{p_i} \cdot C]) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\alpha_i = n_i (d[p_i] - [F_{p_i} \cdot C])$, we have $\deg \alpha_i = 0$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha) \subset F_{p_i}$. The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

By the universal property of Albanese maps, we have a commutative diagram

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{hom}} & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathrm{CH}_0(B)_{\mathrm{hom}} \\ \mathrm{alb}_S \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{alb}_B \\ \mathrm{Alb}(S) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Alb}(B) \end{array}$$

By the Abel–Jacobi theorem, $\mathrm{alb}_B: \mathrm{CH}_0(B)_{\mathrm{hom}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Alb}(B)$ is an isomorphism. It follows from (6) that

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}} = \ker(\mathrm{alb}_S) \subset \ker(f_*) = \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_f,$$

and $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}} = \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_f$ if and only if the induced map $\mathrm{Alb}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alb}(B)$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration. Then for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(S)$ such that its restriction σ_F to a general fiber F is a translation, the induced homomorphism $\sigma_*: \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}}$ is the identity.*

Proof. Take any $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}}$. By Lemma 2.2, we can write $d\alpha = \sum \alpha_i$, where d a positive integer, and for each i , $\deg \alpha_i = 0$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha_i)$ is contained in a single smooth fiber, say F_i . Since σ_{F_i} is a translation of F_i and $\deg \alpha_i = 0$, we have $\sigma_{F_i*}(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$, viewed as elements in $\mathrm{CH}_0(F_i)$. Pushing the equality to S by the inclusion map $F_i \hookrightarrow S$, we obtain $\sigma_*(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i \in \mathrm{CH}_0(S)$. Therefore, we have

$$\sigma_*(d\alpha) = \sum_i \sigma_*(\alpha_i) = \sum_i \alpha_i = d\alpha.$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration. Then for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(S)$ that induces a translation on a general fiber F , its action on the Albanese kernel $\sigma_*: \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ is the identity.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $\sigma_*: \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}}$ is the identity. Since $\mathrm{CH}(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ is torsion free by [Roi80], we infer that σ_* is the identity on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration with $p_g(S) > 0$. Then for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$, its restriction $\sigma_F := \sigma|_F$ to a smooth fiber F is a translation.*

Proof. Suppose that σ_F has a fixed point p . We will show that $\sigma = \mathrm{id}_S$.

It is well-known that σ_F is of finite order. Since σ induces the trivial action on B , one can find local coordinates (x, y) of $p \in S$ and t of $f(p) \in B$ such that $f(x, y) = x$ and the action of σ around $p \in S$ takes the form

$$\sigma(x, y) = (x, \lambda y),$$

where λ is a root of unity of order $|\sigma_F|$. In terms of the local coordinates, a nonzero global section s of K_S is of the form $\phi(x, y)x^{k-1}dx \wedge dy$ by the canonical bundle formula for the elliptic fibration f , where $\phi(x, y)$ is a holomorphic function with $\phi(0, 0) \neq 0$ and k is a positive integer. Since σ acts trivially on $H^0(S, K_S)$, we have $\sigma^*s = s$, which means, locally,

$$(7) \quad \phi(x, \lambda y)x^{k-1}dx \wedge d(\lambda y) = \phi(x, y)x^{k-1}dx \wedge dy.$$

Comparing the lowest order terms of the functions on the two sides of (7), we infer that $\lambda = 1$. It follows that $\sigma = \mathrm{id}_S$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

The inverse of Lemma 2.5 holds if the elliptic fibration f has a section.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic surface with a section. Suppose that $p_g(S) > 0$. Then a fiber preserving automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(S)$ is symplectic if and only if it induces translations on the smooth fibers.*

Proof. The "only if" part follows from Lemma 2.5.

Now we show the "if" part. Let $U \subset B$ be the open subset, over which f is smooth, and denote $S_U = f^{-1}(U)$. Then for $0 \neq \omega \in H^0(S, K_S)$ and a point $b \in U$, there is a small coordinate disk $b \in \Delta \cong \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < \epsilon\}$ such that $\omega = h(t)dt \wedge \xi_t$, where $h(t)$ is a nonzero holomorphic function on Δ and ξ_t is a basis of $H^0(S_t, K_{S_t})$ for each $t \in \Delta$ (see [HM85, Proof of Theorem 1.2]). If $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_B(S)$ induces translations σ_{F_t} on the fibers over $t \in \Delta$, then $\sigma_{F_t}^* \xi_t = \xi_t$ and it follows that that

$$\sigma^* \omega = \text{id}_B^*(h(t)dt) \wedge \sigma_{F_t}^*(\xi_t) = h(t)dt \wedge \xi_t = \omega$$

□

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic surface. Suppose that a fiber preserving automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_B(S)$ induces translations on smooth fibers. Then it induces trivial action on $H^{1,0}(S) = H^0(S, \Omega_S^1)$.*

Proof. If $q(S) = g(B)$, then $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) = f^*H^0(B, K_B)$. Since σ induces trivial action on B , it induces trivial action on $H^0(B, K_B)$ and hence also on $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1)$.

If $q(S) = g(B) + 1$, then $S = (\tilde{B} \times F)/G$, where G is a finite group acting diagonally on $\tilde{B} \times F$, $\tilde{B}/G = B$, F is an elliptic curve, and G acts by translations on F . We have then

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) &\cong H^0(S, \tilde{B} \times F)^G \cong H^0(\tilde{B}, K_{\tilde{B}})^G \oplus H^0(F, K_F)^G \\ &\cong H^0(B, K_B) \oplus H^0(F/G, K_{F/G}) \end{aligned}$$

Since σ induces id_B on B and a translation on F/G , one sees that it induces trivial action on $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1)$. □

Finally, we give the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. If $p_g(S) = 0$ then $\text{CH}_0(S)_{\text{alb}} = 0$ by [BKL76] and there is nothing to prove. In case $p_g(S) > 0$, it suffices to combine Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.4. □

3. ELLIPTIC SURFACES WITH $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 3$

In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $\kappa(S) = 1$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 3$. Then $\text{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\text{CH}_0(S)_{\text{alb}}$.*

Proof. Since $\kappa(S) = 1$, there is a unique elliptic fibration $f: S \rightarrow B$, and any automorphism of S preserves the fibration f .

By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show that $\text{Aut}_s(S) = \text{Aut}_B(S) \cap \text{Aut}_s(S)$, that is, any symplectic automorphism of S preserves the fibers of f .

For that, we look at the canonical bundle formula:

$$K_S = f^*(K_B + L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S\left(\sum_i (m_i - 1)F_i\right)$$

where the $m_i F_i$ are the multiple fibers of f and L is a divisor on B with $\deg L = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$. We have

$$|K_S| = f^*|K_B + L| + \sum_i (m_i - 1)F_i$$

Thus the canonical map φ_S of S , induced by the linear system $|K_S|$, factors as

$$\varphi_S: S \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} \mathbb{P}^{p_g-1},$$

where φ_B is the map defined by the linear system $|K_B + L|$.

Now, since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 3$, we have

$$\deg(K_B + L) = 2g(B) - 2 + \deg L = 2g(B) - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 2g(B) + 1.$$

It follows that $K_B + L$ is very ample and hence φ_B is an embedding. A symplectic automorphism σ induces an automorphism $\sigma_B \in \text{Aut}(B)$ and the identity on \mathbb{P}^{p_g-1} , and they act equivariantly on the respective varieties. Since φ_B is an embedding, it can only happen that $\sigma_B = \text{id}_B$. In other words, σ preserves each fiber of f , which is what we wanted to prove. \square

4. REDUCTION TO THE JACOBIAN FIBRATION

Given an elliptic fibration $f: S \rightarrow B$, a natural idea is to reduce the problem at hand to the Jacobian fibration $j: J \rightarrow B$. Many of the numerical invariants of J and S turn out to be the same.

Lemma 4.1 (cf. [CD89, Corollaries 5.3.4 and 5.3.5]). *The following equalities hold:*

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_J), p_g(S) = p_g(J), q(S) = q(J).$$

If f is relatively minimal, then for each $b \in B$,

$$e(f^*b) = e(j^*b),$$

where $e(\cdot)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of a topological space.

Proof. Replacing $f: S \rightarrow B$ with the relatively minimal elliptic fibration does not change the invariants $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$, $p_g(S)$ and $q(S)$, as well as its Jacobian fibration. Thus we can assume that f is relatively minimal. Then we have $K_S^2 = 0$, and hence by the Noether formula

$$(8) \quad 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = e(S).$$

On the other hand, $j: J \rightarrow B$ is always a relatively minimal fibration such that for each $b \in B$, one has $e(f^*b) = e(j^*b)$. It follows that

$$(9) \quad e(J) = e(S) \text{ and } 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_J) = e(J).$$

Combining (8) and (9), we obtain $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_J)$.

Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_J) = 1 - q(J) + p_g(J)$, it remains to show $q(S) = q(J)$. First, we have the easy inequalities

$$g(B) \leq q(J) \leq q(S) \leq g(B) + 1.$$

Thus, if $q(S) = g(B)$, then $q(J) = q(S) = g(B)$. Suppose now $q(S) = g(B) + 1$. Then there is a base change $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ such that $S = (\tilde{B} \times F)/G$, where G acts diagonally on $\tilde{B} \times F$ such that $B = \tilde{B}/G$ and G acts as translations on the elliptic curve F . Then it is straightforward to check that, in this case, $J = B \times F$, and hence $q(J) = g(B) + g(F) = g(B) + 1 = q(S)$. \square

The following construction has been used by [BKL76] in proving the vanishing of $\mathrm{CH}(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ for surfaces with $p_g(S) = 0$ and $\kappa(S) \leq 1$. We will apply it to deal with the fibration preserving automorphisms of S .

For any irreducible curve $C \subset S$, horizontal with respect to f , one can define a rational dominant map $\phi_C: S \dashrightarrow J$ over B as follows: to a point p on a smooth fiber F_b over $b \in B$, we associate

$$\phi_C(p) := d[p] - C|_{F_p} \in j^*b = \mathrm{Pic}^0(F_b),$$

where d is the degree of the finite morphism $f|_C: C \rightarrow B$. It is clear that $\deg \phi_C = d^2$.

If $C' \subset S$ is another horizontal smooth curve such that the degree of $f|_{C'}: C' \rightarrow B$ is also d , then

$$\phi_{C'}(p) := d[p] - C'|_{F_b} = d[p] - C|_{F_b} + (C - C')|_{F_b} = \phi_C(p) + (C - C')|_{F_b} \in j^*b,$$

so $\phi_{C'}(p)$ and $\phi_C(p)$ differ by a translation of F_b by $(C - C')|_{F_b}$. These translations along the fibers glue to be an automorphism of J over B , which we denote by $\phi_{C-C'}$. In other words, we have a commutative diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & S & \\ \phi_C \swarrow & & \searrow \phi_{C'} \\ J & \xrightarrow{\phi_{C-C'}} & J \end{array}$$

where $\phi_{C-C'} \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(J)$ induces translations on general fibers of $j: J \rightarrow B$.

Lemma 4.2 (cf. [BKL76, page 138] and [Voi03, Proof of Theorem 11.10]).
For a smooth ample curve $C \subset S$, the induced homomorphism

$$\phi_{C*}: \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}}$$

is an isomorphism, which restricts to an isomorphism between the Albanese kernels $\phi_{C*}: \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof. We define a homomorphism $\lambda: \mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}}$ as follows: for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}}$, we can assume that $\mathrm{supp}(\gamma)$ is on a smooth fiber j^*b of h and $\gamma = [\gamma'] - [o_b]$, where o_b denotes the origin of $j^*b = \mathrm{Pic}^0(f^*b)$. Then we set

$$\lambda(\gamma) = \frac{1}{d^2} (([p'_1] + \cdots + [p'_d]) - ([p_1] + \cdots + [p_d]))$$

where $[p_1] + \cdots + [p_d] = C|_{f^*b}$ and, for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, p'_i is the unique point of f^*b such that $[p'_i] - [p_i] = \gamma' \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(f^*b)$. Then it is straightforward to check that λ is the inverse of ϕ_{C*} .

Note that ϕ_{C*} maps $\text{CH}_0(S)_{\text{alb},\mathbb{Q}}$ onto $\text{CH}_0(J)_{\text{alb},\mathbb{Q}}$ by Lemma 4.1. Therefore, the restriction of ϕ_{C*} to $\text{CH}_0(S)_{\text{alb},\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism onto $\text{CH}_0(J)_{\text{alb},\mathbb{Q}}$.

For another ample smooth curve C' with $\deg f|_{C'} = \deg f|_C$, we have a factorization (11) which induces a factorization of the homomorphisms on Chow groups:

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{CH}_0(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}} & \\ \phi_{C*} \swarrow & & \searrow \phi_{C'*} \\ \text{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{C-C'*}} & \text{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

Note that $\phi_{C-C'} \in \text{Aut}_B(J)$ induces translations on the smooth fibers of h , so $\phi_{C-C'*}$ is the identity by Lemma 2.3. It follows that $\phi_{C*} = \phi_{C'*}$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic surface, and C and C' be two smooth ample curves on S . Suppose that $\deg f|_{C'} = \deg f|_C$. Then two rational maps ϕ_C and $\phi_{C'}$ from S to J induce the same homomorphisms between the 0-th Chow groups and the spaces of holomorphic forms, that is,*

- (1) $\phi_{C*} = \phi_{C'*}: \text{CH}_0(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}}$.
- (2) $\phi_C^* = \phi_{C'}^*: H^{i,0}(J) \rightarrow H^{i,0}(S)$ for any i .

Proof. By (11), the two rational maps ϕ_{C*} and $\phi_{C'*}$ differ by an automorphism $\phi_{C-C'} \in \text{Aut}_B(J)$ that induces translations on the smooth fibers. By Lemmas 2.3, 2.7 and 2.6, $\phi_{C-C'}$ induces the identity map on $\text{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}}$ as well as on $H^{i,0}(J)$. The two equalities $\phi_{C*} = \phi_{C'*}: \text{CH}_0(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\phi_C^* = \phi_{C'}^*: H^{i,0}(J) \rightarrow H^{i,0}(S)$ for any i follow from this. \square

By the universal property of J , any automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_f(S)$ preserving the fibration f induces an automorphism $\sigma_J \in \text{Aut}_j(J)$ such that they induce the same automorphism $\sigma_B \in \text{Aut}(B)$ on the base curve and the following diagram is commutative

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\phi_C} & J \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_J \\ S & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\sigma(C)}} & J \end{array}$$

This defines a group homomorphism $\text{Aut}_f(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_j(J)$, $\sigma \mapsto \sigma_J$. For a point $b \in B$ such that the fiber $F_b := f^*b$ is smooth, we have $j^*b = \text{Pic}^0(F_b)$, and for any $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0(F_b)$,

$$\sigma_J(\alpha) = (\sigma^{-1})^*(\alpha) \in \text{Pic}^0(\sigma(F_b)) = j^*(\sigma(b)).$$

Note that σ_J preserves the o -section of j .

If $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_B(S)$ induces translations on the general fibers, then $\sigma_J = \text{id}_J$, and it follows that σ_J and σ_B have the same order.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration such that $p_g(S) > 0$. Then an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_f(S)$ acts as identity on $\text{CH}_0(S)_{f,\mathbb{Q}}$*

(resp. $H^{2,0}(S)$, resp. $H^{1,0}(S)$) if and only if so does the induced automorphism σ_J on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{j,\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp. $H^{2,0}(J)$, resp. $H^{1,0}(J)$).

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that ϕ_C and $\phi_{\sigma(C)}$ in (12) induces the same maps on the Chow groups as well as on the spaces $H^{i,0}$, and they are all isomorphisms. The assertion of the lemma follows. \square

Using Lemma 4.4, we can reduce our problem on $f: S \rightarrow B$ to one on the Jacobian fibration $j: J \rightarrow B$:

Corollary 4.5. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration such that $p_g(S) > 0$, and let $j: J \rightarrow B$ the Jacobian of f . Then $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_f(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ if $\sigma_J \in \mathrm{Aut}_j(J)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

5. ELLIPTIC SURFACES WITH $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \leq 2$

In this section we deal with elliptic surfaces $f: S \rightarrow B$ with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \leq 2$.

We need a lemma for the action of the Klein group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)$. It is based on the idea of “enough automorphisms” of [IM79].

Lemma 5.1. *Let S be a smooth projective surface. Let $G = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ such that the smooth models of the quotient surfaces $S/\langle \tau \rangle$ and $S/\langle \sigma\tau \rangle$ are not of general type and have vanishing geometric genera. Then σ induces the identity on the $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

Proof. Let X_1 and X_2 be the smooth models of $S/\langle \tau \rangle$ and $S/\langle \sigma\tau \rangle$ respectively. Then, by [BKL76], we have

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}}^{\tau} = \mathrm{CH}_0(X_1)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}} = 0, \quad \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}}^{\sigma\tau} = \mathrm{CH}_0(X_2)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}} = 0$$

Since τ and $\sigma\tau$ are both involutions, they act as $-\mathrm{id}$ on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}}$. It follows that $\sigma = (\sigma\tau)\tau$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb},\mathbb{Q}}$. Since $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ has no torsion by [Roi80], the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be a smooth projective elliptic surface. Then any symplectic involution of S acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

Proof. If $\kappa(S) \leq 0$, this is a consequence of [BKL76] and [Voi12].

In the following we assume that $\kappa(S) = 1$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ be a symplectic involution. By Lemma 4.4, it suffices to prove that the induced automorphism $\sigma_J \in \mathrm{Aut}_s(J)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{\mathrm{alb}}$, where $j: J \rightarrow B$ is the Jacobian fibration of f . Note that $|\sigma_J| \leq |\sigma| \leq 2$. If $\sigma_J = \mathrm{id}_J$, then there is nothing to prove. We can thus assume that σ_J is also an involution.

Note that the o -section of j is preserved by σ_J . Let $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(J)$ be involution that restricts to $-\mathrm{id}_F$ on a general fiber F of j . Then the subgroup $G = \langle \sigma_J, \tau \rangle < \mathrm{Aut}_j(J)$, generated by σ_J and τ , is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. It is easy to see that the smooth models of the quotient surfaces $S/\langle \tau \rangle$ and $S/\langle \tau\sigma \rangle$ have vanishing geometric genus. By Lemma 5.1, σ_J acts as the identity on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{\mathrm{alb}}$. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{f, \mathbb{Q}}$.*

As a consequence, $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}, \mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$, one has $p_g(S) = q(S) + 1 \geq 1$. Let $j: J \rightarrow B$ be the Jacobian fibration of f . By Lemma 4.4, it suffices to show that σ_J acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{j, \mathbb{Q}}$, where $\sigma_J \in \mathrm{Aut}_j(J) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(J)$ is the automorphism induced by σ . Recall the fact that σ_J has the same order as σ_B , which is finite.

First we assume that $q(J) = 0$. In this case J is an elliptic $K3$ surface, and the triviality of the action of σ_J on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{j, \mathbb{Q}}$ follows from the results of Voisin [Voi12] and Huybrechts [Huy12].

Now we can assume that $q(J) > 0$. By the canonical bundle formula, we have $|K_J| = j^*|K_B + L|$, where L is a line bundle of degree $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$. Since $\deg(K_B + L) = 2g(B)$, the linear system $|K_B + L|$ is base point free and the map ϕ_{K_B+L} defined by $|K_B + L|$ is a morphism. It follows that the canonical map ϕ_{K_J} is morphisms which factors as

$$\phi_{K_J}: J \xrightarrow{h} B \xrightarrow{\phi_{K_B+L}} \mathbb{P}^{p_g-1}$$

where $p_g := p_g(J) = p_g(S)$.

On the one hand, $\deg(K_B + L) = 2g(B) = 2(p_g - 1)$. On the other hand, we know that $\deg(K_B + L) = \deg(\phi_{K_B+L}) \cdot \deg(\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{K_B+L}))$ and $\deg(\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{K_B+L})) \leq p_g - 1$. So $\deg(\phi_{K_B+L}) \leq 2$.

Since σ_J acts trivially on $H^0(J, K_J)$, the morphism ϕ_{K_B+L} factors through the quotient map $B \rightarrow B/\sigma_B$. Therefore, one has

$$|\sigma_J| = |\sigma_B| \leq \deg \phi_{K_B+L} \leq 2.$$

We are done by Lemma 5.2. □

We have the following two immediate corollaries.

Corollary 5.4. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $\kappa(S) = 1$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

Corollary 5.5. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic $K3$ surface. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

Now we treat the case where $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be a smooth projective elliptic surface with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_f(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ if $p_g(S) = q(S) \notin \{1, 2\}$. In the latter case, the image of the homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_f(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}})$ is either trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $j: J \rightarrow B$ be the Jacobian fibration of f . Let $\sigma_J \in \mathrm{Aut}_j(J) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(J)$ and $\sigma_B \in \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ be the automorphisms induced by σ . Then σ_J and σ_B have the same finite order. By Corollary 4.5, it suffices to show that σ_J acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.

By Lemma 4.1, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_J) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1$ and thus $q(J) = p_g(J)$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_J) > 0$, the fibration $j: J \rightarrow B$ cannot be an elliptic quasi-bundle and it follows that $g(B) = q(J)$.

If $p_g(J) = q(J) = 0$, then $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{\mathrm{alb}} = 0$ by [BKL76], and there is nothing to prove.

So we may assume that $p_g(J) = q(J) > 0$. By the canonical bundle formula, we have $|K_J| = f^*|K_B + L|$, where L an invertible sheaf on B of degree $\chi(\mathcal{O}_J) = 1$. Then the canonical map of J factors through f followed by the map ϕ_{K_B+L} induced by the linear system $|K_B + L|$.

If $g(B) = q(J) \geq 3$, then

$$|\sigma_J| = |\sigma_B| \leq \deg(\phi_{K_B+L}) \leq 2.$$

If $g(B) = q(J) = 2$, then

$$|\sigma_J| \leq \deg(\phi_{K_B+L}) \leq 3.$$

If $g(B) = q(J) = 1$, then $\deg(K_B + L) = 1$ and $|K_B + L|$ consists of a unique element, say $p \in B$, which is necessarily fixed by σ_B .

In all cases, we have

$$|\sigma_J| = |\sigma_B| \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}.$$

It follows that σ_J^3 has order at most 2 and thus acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ by Lemma 5.2. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.7. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

Proof. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, we have $\kappa(S) \leq 1$. If $\kappa(S) \leq 0$ or $p_g(S) = 0$, then the assertion follows from [BKL76].

Therefore, we can assume that $\kappa(S) = 1$ and $p_g(S) > 0$. We can also assume that S is minimal. Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be the Iitaka fibration of S and $j: J \rightarrow B$ its Jacobian. For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$, the induced automorphism $\sigma_J \in \mathrm{Aut}_s(J) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_j(J)$ has finite order. By Lemma 4.4, it suffices to show that σ_J induces the trivial action on $\mathrm{CH}_0(J)_{\mathrm{alb}, \mathbb{Q}}$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_J) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, j is a quasi-bundle and hence J is isogenous to a product of curves. It follows that the Chow motive $h(J)$ is finite dimensional in the sense of Kimura, and the assertion follows from Lemma 5.8. \square

The following lemma should be well-known to experts. We write down a proof for lack of an adequate reference.

Lemma 5.8. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $p_g(S) > 0$. Assume that the Chow motive $h(S)$ of S is finite dimensional in the sense of Kimura [Kim05]. Then any symplectic automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ of finite order acts as the identity on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

Proof. The Chow motive of S has a Chow-Künneth decomposition ([KMP07, Proposition 7.2.1]):

$$h(S) = h_0(S) \oplus h_1(S) \oplus h_2(S) \oplus h_3(S) \oplus h_4(S)$$

in the category of Chow motives with rational coefficients. There is a further decomposition for $h_2(S)$ ([KMP07, Proposition 7.2.3]):

$$h_2(S) = h_2^{\mathrm{alg}} \oplus t_2(S),$$

where h_2^{alg} denotes the algebraic part and $t_2(S)$ the transcendental part. We have

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(t_2(S)) = \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}, \mathbb{Q}} \text{ and } H^2(t_2(S)) = H_{\mathrm{tr}}^2(S, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $H_{\mathrm{tr}}^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$ denotes the transcendental part of $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$.

Since the motive $h(S)$ is finite dimensional in the sense of Kimura [Kim05], it follows that its direct summand $t_2(S)$ is also finite dimensional. For any symplectic automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$, it acts trivially on $H_{\mathrm{tr}}^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(t_2(S))$. Therefore, $(\Gamma_\sigma - \Delta_S)_* : t_2(S) \rightarrow t_2(S)$ is a numerically trivial morphism, where Γ_σ is the graph of σ and $\Delta_S \subset S \times S$ is the diagonal. Then, by [Kim05, Proposition 7.5], $(\Gamma_\sigma - \Delta_S)_*$ is nilpotent as an endomorphism of $t_2(S)$.

It follows that the action of σ on $\mathrm{CH}_0(t_2(S)) = \mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}, \mathbb{Q}}$ is unipotent. Since σ is of finite order, we infer that σ acts as the identity on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}, \mathbb{Q}}$. It acts trivially also on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ because $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$ has no torsion by [Roi80]. \square

Strengthening the condition in Conjecture 1.2, we obtain

Theorem 5.9. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $\kappa(S) = 1$. If an automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ induces the trivial action on $H^{i,0}(S)$ for $i > 0$, then it induces the trivial action on $\mathrm{CH}_0(S)_{\mathrm{alb}}$.*

Proof. Let $f : S \rightarrow B$ be the Iitaka fibration of S and σ an automorphism of S acting trivially on $H^{i,0}(S)$ for $i > 0$. By Theorems 3.1, 5.3 and 5.6, it is enough to show the result for surfaces with $g(S) = p_g(S) \in \{1, 2\}$.

Let $\sigma_B \in \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ be the automorphism induced by σ . It suffices to show that $\sigma_B = \mathrm{id}_B$, since then $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(S) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_s(S)$ and we can conclude by Proposition 2.1.

Observe that, since σ acts trivially on $H^{1,0}(S)$ and $f^* : H^{1,0}(B) \rightarrow H^{1,0}(S)$ is injective, σ_B acts trivially on $H^{1,0}(B)$. It follows that $\sigma_B = \mathrm{id}_B$ if $g(B) \geq 2$. In case $g(B) = 1$, the automorphism σ_B is necessarily a translation. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) > 0$, by the holomorphic Lefschetz fixed point formula, the fixed locus S^σ is non-empty. Thus the translation σ_B fixes a nonempty subset $f(S^\sigma) \subset B$, and we infer that $\sigma_B = \mathrm{id}_B$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bar85] Rebecca Barlow, Rational equivalence of zero cycles for some more surfaces with $p_g = 0$, *Invent. Math.* 79 (1985), 303–308.
- [Bau14] Ingrid Bauer, Bloch’s conjecture for Inoue surfaces with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 7$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (2014), no. 10, 3335–3342.
- [BC13] Ingrid Bauer and Fabrizio Catanese, Burniat-type surfaces and a new family of surfaces with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 3$. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* 62 (2013), no. 1, 37–60.

- [BCP11] Ingrid Bauer, Fabrizio Catanese and Roberto Pignatelli, Surfaces of general type with geometric genus zero: a survey. *Complex and differential geometry*, 1–48, Springer Proc. Math., 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [BF15] Ingrid Bauer and Davide Frapporti, Bloch’s conjecture for generalized Burniat type surfaces with $p_g = 0$. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* 64 (2015), no. 1, 27–42.
- [Blo10] Spencer Bloch, *Lectures on algebraic cycles*. Second edition. *New Mathematical Monographs*, 16. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. xxiv+130 pp.
- [BKL76] Spencer Bloch, Arnold Kas, and David Lieberman, Zero cycles on surfaces with $p_g = 0$. *Compositio Math.* 33 (1976), no. 2, 135–145.
- [Che13] Yifan Chen, A new family of surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 7$ and $p_g = 0$. *Math. Z.* 275 (2013), no. 3-4, 1275–1286.
- [CD89] François Cossec and Igor Dolgachev, *Enriques surfaces. I*. *Progress in Mathematics*, 76. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989. x+397 pp.
- [Fu98] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*. Second edition. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 2*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+470 pp.
- [HM85] Bruce Hunt and Werner Meyer, Mixed automorphic forms and invariants of elliptic surfaces. *Math. Ann.* 271 (1985), no. 1, 53–80.
- [Huy12] Daniel Huybrechts, Symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces of arbitrary finite order, *Math. Res. Lett.* 19 (2012), no. 4, 947–951.
- [IM79] Hiroshi Inose and M. Mizukami, Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on some surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$. *Math. Ann.* 244 (1979), no. 3, 205–217.
- [Kim05] Shun-Ichi Kimura, Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense. *Math. Ann.* 331 (2005), no. 1, 173–201.
- [KMP07] Bruno Kahn, Jacob P. Murre and Claudio Pedrini, On the transcendental part of the motive of a surface. *Algebraic cycles and motives. Vol. 2*, 143–202, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 344, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Lat20] Robert Laterveer, Bloch’s conjecture for some numerical Campedelli surfaces, arXiv: 2004.12089v1, 2020.
- [Mum69] David Mumford, Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on surfaces, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 9 (1969), 195–204.
- [Paw19] Rakesh Pawar, Action of correspondences on filtrations on cohomology and 0-cycles of Abelian varieties, *Math. Z.* 292 (2019), no. 1-2, 655–675.
- [PS20] Yuri Prokhorov and Constantin Shramov, Bounded automorphism groups of compact complex surfaces, arXiv:1909.12013v3, preprint.
- [Roi80] A. A. Rojtman, The torsion of the group of 0-cycles modulo rational equivalence. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 111 (1980), no. 3, 553–569.
- [Voi03] Claire Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry II*. Translated from the French by Leila Schneps. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 77. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. x+351
- [Voi12] Claire Voisin, Symplectic involutions of K3 surfaces act trivially on CH_0 . *Doc. Math.* 17 (2012), 851–860.
- [Voi14] Claire Voisin, Bloch’s conjecture for Catanese and Barlow surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.* 97 (2014), no. 1, 149–175.

XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SIMING SOUTH ROAD 422, XIAMEN, FUJIAN 361005 (CHINA)

Email address: `jiabindu@stu.xmu.edu.cn`

Email address: `wliu@xmu.edu.cn`