

SOME SINGULAR LEX-SEGMENTS

ANDREW P. STAAL

ABSTRACT. We study the component structures of some standard-graded Hilbert schemes closely related to a Hilbert scheme of curves studied by Gotzmann. In particular, we encounter examples of singular lex-segment points lying on two and three irreducible components. We find further singular lex-segment points at nearby Hilbert schemes. We conclude by showing that the analogous example at the Hilbert scheme of twisted cubics also has a singular lex-segment point.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Sta20], we provide a classification of Hilbert schemes $\text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$, parametrizing closed subschemes of projective space \mathbb{P}^n with a specified Hilbert polynomial \mathbf{p} , that have unique Borel-fixed points. These Hilbert schemes are smooth and our classification reveals the existence of natural probability distributions where smooth Hilbert schemes occur with probability greater than 0.5. Based on our underlying “geography” of Hilbert schemes, further smooth examples are promptly found, leaving open the challenge of understanding whether all smooth Hilbert schemes fall into known classes. This is achieved by Skjelnes–Smith in [SS20], where our classification comprises two of the main classes of smooth Hilbert schemes.

Multigraded Hilbert schemes $\text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{h}}(S)$, parametrizing homogeneous ideals in a multigraded polynomial ring S with a specified Hilbert function \mathbf{h} , generalize Hilbert schemes by using a broader notion of degree. Introduced in [HS04], these quasi-projective schemes include Hilbert schemes of points in affine space and toric Hilbert schemes, among other examples. Their geometry is not well-understood. All pathologies that occur for Hilbert schemes also occur for multigraded Hilbert schemes, but further complications arise: toric Hilbert schemes can be disconnected [San05] and lexicographic points do not exist for general multigradings.

A natural question is: To what extent does the classification of smooth Hilbert schemes extend to multigraded Hilbert schemes? For example, multigraded Hilbert schemes parametrizing admissible ideals in two variables are nonsingular [MS10]. A key fact used in these classifications is that lex-segment, or lex-most, ideals are smooth [RS97]. Thus, an important first step towards the general case is to understand the geometry of multigraded Hilbert schemes at lex-segment ideals, when they exist. In this paper, we study the component structures of some explicit standard-graded Hilbert schemes closely related to Hilbert schemes.

Let $S := \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic 0. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M^{\mathbf{h}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^s M_i^{k_i}$ be the irreducible decomposition of the standard-graded Hilbert scheme $M^{\mathbf{h}} := \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{h}}(S)$, where $M_i^{k_i}$ has dimension k_i . Let I_0 be the lex-segment ideal with Hilbert function \mathbf{h} . In the following cases, let \mathbf{h}_i be the Hilbert function of the saturated Borel-fixed ideal having Hilbert polynomial $\mathbf{p}(d)$ and regularity $\text{reg}(I_0) - i$. We have*

- for $n = 3$ and Hilbert polynomial $\mathbf{p}(d) = 4d$:
 - $M^{\mathbf{h}_1} = M_0^{24} \cup M_1^{22}$ with $I_0 \in M_0^{24} \cap M_1^{22}$,
 - $M^{\mathbf{h}_2} = M_0^{28} \cup M_1^{25} \cup M_2^{23} \cup M_3^{16}$ with $I_0 \in M_0^{28} \cap M_1^{25} \cap M_2^{23}$;

- for $n = 3$ and Hilbert polynomial $\mathfrak{p}(d) = 4d - 1$:
 - $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = M_0^{22} \cup M_1^{20}$ with $I_0 \in M_0^{22} \cap M_1^{20}$;
- for $n = 2$ and Hilbert polynomial $\mathfrak{p}(d) = 4$:
 - $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = M_0^8 \cup M_1^8$ with $I_0 \in M_0^8 \cap M_1^8$;
- for $n = 3$ and Hilbert polynomial $\mathfrak{p}(d) = 3d + 1$:
 - $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = M_0^{17} \cup M_1^{15} \cup M_2^{12}$ with $I_0 \in M_0^{17} \cap M_1^{15}$.

In stark contrast to $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$, these lex-segment points all lie in nonempty intersections of irreducible components. The examples we study are roughly organized, first, by admissible Hilbert polynomial according to the Macaulay tree [Sta20, §2] and, second, according to Hilbert functions that appear naturally when studying (classical) Hilbert schemes; cf. [Got08]. Irreducible components then emerge by considering ideals of fixed regularity.

A first example of a singular lex-segment ideal is given in [RS20]. Our examples broaden the class of known singular lex-segments and raise many interesting questions: Are all lex-segment points of this kind singular? Do they always lie in the largest numbers of irreducible components? Are these numbers of irreducible components containing lex-segment points unbounded when we vary the Hilbert schemes in natural ways? Does Murphy’s Law hold at lex-segment points? Does the classification of smooth Hilbert schemes extend “up to” these examples with singular lex-segments?

In subsequent sections, we provide a more detailed analysis of the component structures of the standard-graded Hilbert schemes listed above, as well as pointing out some nonsingular standard-graded Hilbert schemes along the way.

Conventions. Throughout, \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic 0, \mathbb{N} denotes the nonnegative integers, and (after §2.1) $S := \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a standard \mathbb{Z} -graded polynomial ring over \mathbb{K} , endowed with the reverse lexicographic monomial ordering unless otherwise stated.

Acknowledgments. We thank Chris Brav for helpful suggestions and encouragement, and Matt Satriano for suggestions for improving the presentation. This research was supported by a Geometry and Topology postdoctoral fellowship at the University of Waterloo.

2. BACKGROUND AND USEFUL TOOLS

In this section, we highlight some basic facts and useful tools for studying Hilbert schemes.

2.1. Basic Facts. Let R be a unital commutative ring and $S := R[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over R . A **multigrading** on S is a monoid homomorphism $\text{deg}: \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow A$, where A is an abelian group; the submonoid $A_+ := \text{deg}(\mathbb{N}^{n+1})$ is assumed to generate A as a group. A multigrading determines a decomposition $S = \bigoplus_{d \in A} S_d$ into multigraded components $S_d := \bigoplus_{\text{deg}(u)=d} Rx^u$, where $x^u := x_0^{u_0} x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n}$. We use the standard grading $\text{deg}: \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n) \mapsto u_0 + u_1 + \cdots + u_n$, but refer to some facts that apply more generally. A multigrading is **positive** if $S_0 = R$ —the standard grading is positive. An ideal $I \subseteq S$ is **admissible** if it is homogeneous with respect to the multigrading and S_d/I_d is a locally free R -module of finite rank, for all $d \in A$. When S is positively multigraded, this is equivalent to S/I being flat over R [MS05, §18.5]. For an admissible ideal I , the **Hilbert function** $\mathfrak{h}_{S/I}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of S/I is defined by $\mathfrak{h}_{S/I}(d) := \text{rk}_{\mathbb{K}}(S_d/I_d)$, for all $d \in A$. It is common to refer to $\mathfrak{h}_{S/I}$ as the Hilbert function of I and use the notation \mathfrak{h}_I , however, working with the standard grading, we refer to $\mathfrak{h}_I(d) := \mathfrak{h}_S(d) - \mathfrak{h}_{S/I}(d)$ as the Hilbert function of I . Every

such standard-graded I has a **Hilbert polynomial** $\mathfrak{p}_{S/I}$, that is, a polynomial $\mathfrak{p}_{S/I}$ with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients such that $\mathfrak{h}_{S/I}(d) = \mathfrak{p}_{S/I}(d)$ for $d \gg 0$; see [BH93, Theorem 4.1.3].

Admissible ideals are parametrized by multigraded Hilbert schemes, whose existence and fundamental properties are expounded by Haiman–Sturmfels in [HS04]. We collect some key facts about multigraded Hilbert schemes in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be multigraded and $\mathfrak{h}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function supported on A_+ .*

- (i) *The admissible ideals in S with Hilbert function $\mathfrak{h}_{S/I} = \mathfrak{h}$ are parametrized by a quasi-projective scheme $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}}(S)$ called the **multigraded Hilbert scheme**.*
- (ii) *If the multigrading on S is positive, then $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}}(S)$ is projective.*
- (iii) *If $R = \mathbb{K}$ is a field, then the Zariski tangent space to $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}}(S)$ at a \mathbb{K} -point $I \subseteq S$ equals $\text{Hom}_S(I, S/I)_0$, the degree-0 part of the multigraded S -module $\text{Hom}_S(I, S/I)$.*

Proof. See Section 1 of [HS04]. □

Example 2.2. Many common parameter spaces are multigraded Hilbert schemes.

- (i) The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is isomorphic to the multigraded Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}}(S)$, where $\mathfrak{h}(d) = \mathfrak{p}(d)$, for $d \geq d_0$, and $\mathfrak{h}(d) = \binom{n+d}{n}$, for $d < d_0$ (meaning 0 when $d < 0$); here d_0 is the Gotzmann number of \mathfrak{p} [HS04, Lemma 4.1].
- (ii) If $A = 0$ is trivial, then $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}}(S)$ is the Hilbert scheme of $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ points in \mathbb{A}^{n+1} .
- (iii) Let the multigrading be positive with $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ and set $\mathfrak{h}(d) = 1$, for $d \in A_+$, and $\mathfrak{h}(d) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}}(S)$ is the toric Hilbert scheme of Peeva–Stillman [PS02].

When S is standard-graded and I is admissible, we refer to $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}_I}(S)$ to mean $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}_{S/I}}(S)$. We also use the notation $M^{\mathfrak{h}} := \text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}}(S)$, when S is clear from context.

The saturation of an ideal $I \subseteq S$ is the ideal

$$I^{\text{sat}} := (I : \mathfrak{m}^\infty) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \{f \in S \mid f\mathfrak{m}^k \subseteq I\},$$

where $\mathfrak{m} := \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is the irrelevant ideal of S . The inclusion $I \subseteq I^{\text{sat}}$ always holds; if S is standard-graded and I is homogeneous, then I^{sat} is homogeneous, $I_d \subseteq I_d^{\text{sat}}$ for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $I_d = I_d^{\text{sat}}$ for $d \gg 0$.

2.2. Initial Ideals. Let $S := \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be standard-graded and let $>$ be a monomial ordering with $x_0 > x_1 > \dots > x_n$; we assume a familiarity with monomial orderings and initial ideals, as provided in [CLO15]. The following result is often used in the study of the component structure of the Hilbert scheme.

Theorem 2.3. *Given an ideal $I \subseteq S$ and a monomial ordering $>$ on S , there exists a family $J = \{J_a\} \subseteq S[a]$ of ideals such that*

- (i) *$J_1 = I$ and $J_a \cong I$ by a scaling of variables, for $a \neq 0$, and*
- (ii) *$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} J_a = \text{in}_> I$.*

Proof. See [Bay82, §2.12], [Ree92, Theorem 3], or [Eis95, §15.8]. □

Here $\text{in}_> I = \text{in}_>(I) \subseteq S$ is the initial ideal of I with respect to $>$. To study standard-graded Hilbert schemes, we assume I is homogeneous and work directly with the family J rather than with the flat family $\text{Proj } S[a]/J \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[a] \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$. The theorem says that given any homogeneous ideal I , there is a morphism $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[a] \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}_I}(S)$ with fibre I at $a = 1$ and fibre $\text{in}_>(I)$ at $a = 0$. Consider the graded $S[a]$ -module $\text{Hom}_{S[a]}(J, S[a]/J)$, where $J \subseteq S[a]$

is homogeneous (and $\deg a = 0$). Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[a]}(J, S[a]/J)_0$ is a $\mathbb{K}[a]$ -module and another useful result is the following.

Lemma 2.4. *The dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_S(J_a, S/J_a)_0$ is upper semi-continuous on $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{K}[a]$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1(iii), the \mathbb{K} -vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_S(J_a, S/J_a)_0$ is the Zariski tangent space $T_{J_a}M$ to the standard-graded Hilbert scheme $M := \mathrm{Hilb}^{\mathbf{h}_{J_0}}(S)$ at the point J_a and, more generally, the dimension of $T_I M$ is upper semi-continuous for I in M [GW10, §6.6]. \square

In practice, these two results tell us that the initial ideal lies on the same component of the standard-graded Hilbert scheme as the ideal itself and that the dimension of the tangent space cannot decrease when specializing to the initial ideal.

The action of an element $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ on S is defined by $\gamma \cdot x_j := \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_{ij} x_i$. The Borel-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ consists of the upper-triangular matrices. The **generic initial ideal** $\mathrm{gin} I = \mathrm{gin}_{>}(I) \subseteq S$ of a homogeneous ideal $I \subseteq S$ is the initial ideal of a generic change-of-coordinates of I . Specifically, we have the following.

Theorem 2.5 (Galligo). *If $I \subseteq S$ is a homogeneous ideal, then there exists a Zariski open subset $U \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\mathrm{in} \gamma I = \mathrm{in} \gamma' I$, for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in U$. Moreover, this common initial ideal, called $\mathrm{gin} I$, is Borel-fixed.*

A monomial ideal $I \subseteq S$ is **strongly stable** if, for all monomials $m \in I$, for all x_j dividing m , and for all $i < j$, we have $x_j^{-1} m x_i \in I$. In characteristic 0, this condition is equivalent to being **Borel-fixed**. (In positive characteristic, the condition is more involved.) The **Borel partial ordering** on the monomials of S is the transitive closure of the following: for each monomial m , for all x_j dividing m , and all $i < j$, the monomial $x_j^{-1} m x_i$ is larger than m .

Proof. The original is [Gal74], but we are interested in the generalization by Bayer–Stillman [BS87b, Proposition 1], [Eis95, §15.9]. \square

Combining Galligo’s theorem with the degeneration to the initial ideal shows that every irreducible component and intersection of irreducible components of a standard-graded Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^{\mathbf{h}}(S)$ contains a Borel-fixed point.

Example 2.6 (Lex-segment ideals). The most important Borel-fixed ideals are the lex-segment ideals. These are defined with respect to the **lexicographic ordering**, in which $x^u > x^v$ if $u_i > v_i$, where i is minimal such that $u_i \neq v_i$. Given a homogeneous ideal $I \subseteq S$ with Hilbert function $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_I$, the **lex-segment ideal** with Hilbert function \mathbf{h} is the ideal L whose degree- d component L_d is spanned by the $\mathbf{h}(d)$ greatest monomials in lexicographic order; that L is an ideal is a theorem of Macaulay [Mac27], [MS05, §2.4]. The corresponding nonsingular point $\mathrm{Proj} S/L \in \mathrm{Hilb}^{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is called the lexicographic point [RS97].

Another useful monomial ordering is the **reverse lexicographic ordering**, in which $x^u > x^v$ if $u_j < v_j$, where j is maximal such that $u_j \neq v_j$. Despite the importance of lexicographic order, the reverse lexicographic ordering is optimized to computing Gröbner bases [BS87b]. We use the reverse lexicographic ordering unless specified otherwise.

2.3. Deformation Theory. Although nearly superfluous for gaining a basic understanding of the component structures described in the following sections, deformation theory offers a powerful experimental tool for probing the (analytically) local geometry of Hilbert schemes. This is done via the *power series ansatz*, which is implemented [Itt12] in *Macaulay2* [GS]. The power series ansatz is the procedure that lifts an ideal, degree-by-degree, over a ring

of deformation parameters (of dimension equal to that of the Zariski tangent space at the ideal in the Hilbert scheme or a local analogue). At each stage, corrections might need to be made to eliminate the obstructions to lifting the ideal. The implementation is described in [Ilt12], an introduction to the process is given in [Ste95], and a classic example done by hand is provided in [PS85, §5] (a detailed exposition in [Sta] summarizes the basics and follows concrete examples). The ansatz may not terminate, but when it does it provides a local view of the multigraded Hilbert scheme. As in the case of twisted cubics, it can also provide a local view of the Hilbert scheme, when the Comparison Theorem holds [PS85, §3].

Example 2.7. We demonstrate how to quickly produce a description of the local geometry of the scheme $\text{Hilb}^{h_1}(S)$ at the lex-segment ideal $I'_0 := \langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^2, y^4, y^3z \rangle$ in $S := \mathbb{K}[x, y, z, t]$ with $h_1 = h_{I'_0} = (0, 0, 3, 10, 22, 40, 65, \dots)$; the component structure of this scheme is described in Theorem 5.1. Note that I'_0 has Hilbert polynomial $p_{S/I'_0}(d) = 3d + 1$.

```
Macaulay2, version 1.17.2.1
with packages: ConwayPolynomials, Elimination, IntegralClosure, InverseSystems,
                LLLBases, MinimalPrimes, PrimaryDecomposition, ReesAlgebra,
                Saturation, TangentCone
```

```
i1 : S = QQ[x,y,z,t];
i2 : IO' = ideal(x^2, x*y, x*z, x*t^2, y^4, y^3*z);
o2 : Ideal of S
```

The next line computes the dimension of the tangent space $T_{I'_0} \text{Hilb}^{h_1}(S)$.

```
i3 : n = rank source ambient basis(0, Hom(IO', S/IO'))
o3 = 18
```

As explained in §5, we expect I'_0 to lie in two irreducible components, of dimensions 17 and 15. To see this computationally, we proceed as follows.

```
i4 : needsPackage "VersalDeformations"
--loading configuration for package "VersalDeformations" from file /Users/ ...
o4 = VersalDeformations
o4 : Package
```

```
i5 : time (F,R,G,C) = localHilbertScheme(gens IO', Verbose => 2); use S;
Calculating first order deformations and obstruction space
Calculating first order relations
Calculating standard expressions for obstructions
Starting lifting
Order 2
Order 3
Order 4
Order 5
Order 6
Order 7
Order 8
Order 9
Order 10
Order 11
Order 12
Order 13
Solution is polynomial
-- used 1.02269 seconds
```

Now we extract the obstruction ideal.

```
i7 : ob = ideal sum G
o7 = ideal (- u u - u u , u u - u u , u u - 2u u )
          10 18 16 18 11 18 17 18 9 18 14 18
```

```
o7 : Ideal of S[u_1..u_18]
```

We see by inspection that the ideal is as expected from Theorem 5.1, with primary decomposition $\langle u_{10} + u_{16}, u_{11} - u_{17}, u_9 - 2u_{14} \rangle \cap \langle u_{18} \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{18}]$. The *Macaulay2* commands to see this are as follows, first removing the variables x, y, z, t from the ambient obstruction ring.

```
i8 : Ob = QQ[u_1..u_n]; phi = map(Ob, ring ob, vars Ob); ob = phi(ob)
o9 : RingMap Ob <--- S[u_1..u_18]
o10 = ideal (- u_10 u_18 - u_16 u_18, u_11 u_18 - u_17 u_18, u_9 u_18 - 2u_14 u_18)
o10 : Ideal of Ob
i11 : time pd = primaryDecomposition ob; #pd
      -- used 0.0389783 seconds
o12 = 2
i13 : for i in pd do << dim i << endl;
17
15
i14 : exit
Process M2 finished
```

Hence, we see irreducible components of dimensions 17 and 15 meeting at the lex-segment ideal I'_0 . Similar analyses work at other points and on our examples in the coming sections.

3. STANDARD-GRADED HILBERT SCHEMES AND AN EXAMPLE OF GOTZMANN

In [Got08], Gotzmann studies the Hilbert scheme $H := \text{Hilb}^{4d}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ via its regularity stratification, showing that $H = H_{AV} \cup H_{RS}$ consists of two irreducible components. The component H_{AV} is 16-dimensional and has general point defined by an arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay curve in \mathbb{P}^3 . It is generically nonsingular [Ell75]. The lexicographic component H_{RS} is 23-dimensional, has general member defined by a degenerate quartic curve union two points, and is also generically nonsingular [RS97]. The components intersect transversally and are rational; this is easily seen using the power series ansatz [Ste95, §1], implemented in *Macaulay2* [Itt12].

We exhibit some interesting properties of standard-graded Hilbert schemes closely related to H . In particular, these standard-graded Hilbert schemes provide new examples of singular lex-segment ideals, lying in multiple irreducible components.

3.1. Setup and a First Example. To begin, we list the possible Hilbert functions of saturated ideals defining points of H . In fact, it suffices to list the Hilbert functions of saturated Borel-fixed ideals with Hilbert polynomial $p(d) = 4d$. Letting $S := \mathbb{K}[x, y, z, t]$ denote the homogeneous coordinate ring of \mathbb{P}^3 , these are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \langle x, y^5, y^4 z^2 \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_0 &= (0, 1, 4, 10, 20, 36, 60, \dots), \\ I_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, y^5, y^4 z \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_1 &= (0, 0, 3, 9, 19, 36, 60, \dots), \\ I_2 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz^2, y^4 \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_2 &= (0, 0, 2, 8, 19, 36, 60, \dots), \\ I_3 &= \langle x^2, xy, y^3 \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_3 &= (0, 0, 2, 8, 19, 36, 60, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{h}_i := h_{I_i}$ and I_i has regularity $6 - i$. The list of ideals can be derived using Reeves' algorithm [Ree92, MN14]. Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a closed subscheme with Hilbert polynomial

$4d$ and let $I_X \subset S$ denote the saturated ideal of X , i.e. $I_X := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{J}_X(d))$ where \mathcal{J}_X is the ideal sheaf of X . By Galligo's theorem, $\text{gin } I_X$ is Borel-fixed. Further, under the reverse lexicographic ordering, $\text{gin } I_X$ has regularity equal to that of I_X [BS87a, §2] and is saturated [Gre10, Theorem 2.30], so is in the given list. In particular, the Hilbert function of I_X equals that of $\text{gin } I_X$ and is listed.

The first standard-graded Hilbert scheme related to H that we examine parametrizes all homogeneous ideals $J \subset S$ with Hilbert function $\mathbf{h}_0 = (0, 1, 4, 10, 20, 36, 60, \dots)$, i.e. equal to that of the lexicographic ideal I_0 . We denote this scheme by M^{ho} and prove the following.

Proposition 3.1. *The scheme M^{ho} is nonsingular and irreducible of dimension 21.*

Proof. Let $J \subset S$ be a point of M^{ho} . Inspecting the Hilbert function, one finds $J = \langle \ell, f, g \rangle$ has generators $\ell \in S_1$, $f = \ell' h \in S_5$, and $g = qh \in S_6$, where $\ell' \in S_1 \setminus \mathbb{K}\ell$, $h \in S_4 \setminus S_3\ell$, and $q \in S_2 \setminus S_1\langle \ell, \ell' \rangle$ [Got08, §2.4]. Counting parameters shows this is a 21-dimensional family, of which I_0 is a member. On the other hand, $\text{gin } J$ is Borel-fixed with Hilbert function \mathbf{h}_0 , which necessitates $\text{gin } J = I_0$ (see Lemma 3.3 below). The lex-segment point I_0 is nonsingular, as one verifies as in Example 2.7 that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{I_0} M^{\text{ho}} = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Hom}_S(I_0, S/I_0)_0 = 21$. By upper semi-continuity of $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_I M^{\text{ho}}$, the point J is also nonsingular. \square

This simple proof generalizes to standard-graded Hilbert schemes with unique lex-segment ideals that are nonsingular. That is, the following holds, for any $S := \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $M := \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{h}}(S)$ be a standard-graded Hilbert scheme. If M has a unique Borel-fixed point $I \subseteq S$ and I is nonsingular, then M is nonsingular and irreducible.*

Proof. For every $J \in M$, we have $\text{gin } J = I$ and so upper semi-continuity of the dimension of the tangent space proves the claim. \square

3.2. A Lex-Segment Ideal on Two Components. Next we study the standard-graded Hilbert scheme M^{h_1} parametrizing ideals of $S := \mathbb{K}[x, y, z, t]$ with Hilbert function \mathbf{h}_1 . First, we compile a list of Borel-fixed ideals with Hilbert function \mathbf{h}_1 . To do so, we use the following straight-forward fact.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $I \subset S$ is a non-saturated Borel-fixed ideal and d is a positive integer. Then I^{sat} is Borel-fixed and I_d is obtained from I_d^{sat} by successively removing monomials that are minimal in Borel partial order.*

Proof. Let $f \in I^{\text{sat}}$, so that all $m \in \mathfrak{m}^k$ satisfy $fm \in I$, for some $k > 0$. If $x_j | f$ and $i < j$, then $(x_j^{-1} f x_i) m = x_j^{-1} (f m) x_i \in I$ implies $x_j^{-1} f x_i \in I^{\text{sat}}$, i.e. I^{sat} is Borel-fixed. The containment $I_d \subseteq I_d^{\text{sat}}$ holds for all I and d . Suppose that $\mathbf{h}_I(d) < \mathbf{h}_{I^{\text{sat}}}(d)$, so that a monomial basis of I_d is obtained from a monomial basis of I_d^{sat} by removing monomials. No removed monomial is greater than a monomial of I_d , in the Borel partial order. As the set of removed monomials is finite, its elements can be enumerated by selecting a minimal one and repeating on those that remain. \square

Let I be Borel-fixed with Hilbert function $\mathbf{h}_1 = (0, 0, 3, 9, 19, 36, 60, \dots)$. Then either $I^{\text{sat}} = I_0$ or $I^{\text{sat}} = I_1$, as $\mathbf{h}_I(2) = 3 > 2 = \mathbf{h}_2(2) = \mathbf{h}_3(2)$. Having $I^{\text{sat}} = I_1$ would imply $I = I_1$, so suppose that $I^{\text{sat}} = I_0$. Then I is obtained from I_0 by removing a single monomial in each degree from 1 to 4. There is a unique way to do this: remove x , xt , xt^2 , and xt^3 . In

other words, we obtain the list

$$\begin{aligned} I'_0 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^4, y^5, y^4z^2 \rangle, \\ I_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, y^5, y^4z \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

of Borel-fixed ideals with Hilbert function \mathbf{h}_1 . The ideal I'_0 is the lex-segment ideal for \mathbf{h}_1 .

Given this complete list of Borel-fixed ideals on $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$, we study the component structure of the standard-graded Hilbert scheme $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$. In particular, we discover a standard-graded lex-segment ideal contained in the intersection of the two irreducible components of $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$.

Theorem 3.4. *The scheme $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ consists of two irreducible components $M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ and $M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1}$, where $\dim M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1} = 24$, $\dim M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1} = 22$, $I_1 \in M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1} \setminus M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1}$, and $I'_0 \in M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $J \in M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ is a point with $\text{gin } J = I_1$. As I_1 is saturated, so is J [Gre10, Theorem 2.30], and it follows that there is a bijective morphism $J \mapsto \text{Proj } S/J$ between the family of such ideals and the regularity 5 locus $R_5 \subset H$; the locus R_5 is 22-dimensional [Got08, §2.3] and we denote by $M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ the closure of the corresponding family in $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$. Generically, the points of any irreducible component of $M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ specialize to I_1 . On the other hand, repeating the steps in Example 2.7 shows that the obstruction ideal for I_1 is prime, meaning that $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ is, analytically locally at I_1 , an irreducible 22-dimensional quadratic singularity with $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{I_1} M^{\mathbf{h}_1} = 24$ [Ilt12]. That is, I_1 lies on a unique irreducible component of $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ and so $M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ must be this component. Note that the ideal $K_1 := \langle x^2 - xt, xy, xz, y^4z, y^5 \rangle \in M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ is nonsingular and specializes to I_1 .

Now suppose $J \in M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ has $\text{gin } J = I'_0$, so that J has regularity 6 and is non-saturated. Then J^{sat} is in $M^{\mathbf{h}_0}$, so that $J^{\text{sat}} = \langle \ell, f, g \rangle$ is as described in Proposition 3.1. Comparing J with J^{sat} shows that $J = \langle \ell\ell_1, \ell\ell_2, \ell\ell_3, \ell\ell_4^4, f, g \rangle$, where $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4$ is a basis for S_1 . That is, the map $J \mapsto J^{\text{sat}}$ is a bundle over $M^{\mathbf{h}_0}$ with fibres isomorphic to $G(3, S_1) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. So these ideals form an irreducible, nonsingular, projective family of dimension $24 = 21 + 3$, which we denote by $M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1}$. The regularity 6 ideal $K_0 := \langle x^2 - xt, xy, xz, xt^4, y^5, y^4z^2 \rangle \in M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ has $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{K_0} M^{\mathbf{h}_1} = 24$, showing that $M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ is an irreducible component of $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$. Moreover the regularity 5 ideal $\langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^4 - y^4z, y^5 \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^4 - y^4z, y^5, y^4z^2 \rangle$ specializes to I'_0 , showing that I'_0 lies in $M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1}$. In fact, the universal deformation space at I'_0 can be computed in *Macaulay2*, explicitly showing that $M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ is analytically locally a transverse intersection of two rational components, of dimensions 24 and 22, at the point I'_0 [Ilt12].

Finally, if $J \in M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ is an arbitrary point, then $\text{gin } J$ must be either I'_0 or I_1 , so J belongs to either $M_0^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ or $M_1^{\mathbf{h}_1}$. \square

3.3. A Lex-Segment Ideal on Three Components. The last standard-graded Hilbert scheme in this sequence is $M^{\mathbf{h}_2}$, where $\mathbf{h}_2 = \mathbf{h}_3 = (0, 0, 2, 8, 19, 36, 60, \dots)$ is the Hilbert function of the ideals I_2 and I_3 . Again, we begin with a list of its Borel-fixed ideals:

$$\begin{aligned} I'_0 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz^2, xzt^2, xt^4, y^5, y^4z^2 \rangle, \\ I'_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz^2, xzt^2, y^5, y^4z \rangle, \\ I_2 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz^2, y^4 \rangle, \\ I_3 &= \langle x^2, xy, y^3 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

derived from the saturated Borel-fixed ideals with Hilbert polynomial $4d$ using Lemma 3.3.

3.3.1. *In a neighbourhood of I_3 .* Let $J \in M^{\text{h}_2}$ have $\text{gin } J = I_3$, so that J is saturated, of regularity 3. Then $\text{Proj } S/J$ lies in the regularity 3 locus $R_3 \subset H$ [Got08, §2.1] and J lies in the corresponding 16-dimensional family of ideals, whose closure we denote $M_3^{\text{h}_2}$. One checks that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{I_3} M^{\text{h}_2} = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Hom}_S(I_3, S/I_3)_0 = 16$, so $M_3^{\text{h}_2}$ is an irreducible component of M^{h_2} . Alternatively, one may observe that I_3 defines an arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay scheme [Har10, §8] that is nonsingular on $\text{Hilb}^{4d}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ [Ell75] and the Comparison Theorem [PS85, §3] holds at I_3 . This component corresponds to Gotzmann’s H_{AV} .

3.3.2. *In a neighbourhood of I_2 .* Let $J \in M^{\text{h}_2}$ have $\text{gin } J = I_2$, so that J is saturated, of regularity 4. So $\text{Proj } S/J$ is in the locus $R_4 \subset H$ and $J \in M_2^{\text{h}_2}$, where $M_2^{\text{h}_2}$ denotes the closure of the 23-dimensional family of ideals corresponding to R_4 . As R_4 is irreducible, so is $M_2^{\text{h}_2}$. The regularity 3 ideal $\langle x^2, xy, xz^2 - y^3 \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, xz^2 - y^3, y^4 \rangle$ specializes to I_2 , revealing that $I_2 \in M_2^{\text{h}_2} \cap M_3^{\text{h}_2}$. Notice that $K_2 := \langle x^2 - xt, xy, xz^2, y^4 \rangle$ belongs to $M_2^{\text{h}_2}$ and has $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{K_2} M^{\text{h}_2} = 23$, so is a nonsingular point. One can verify that I_2 satisfies the Comparison Theorem and its universal deformation space is a transverse intersection of a rational 16-dimensional and a rational 23-dimensional component [Ilt12].

3.3.3. *In a neighbourhood of I'_1 .* Let $J \in M^{\text{h}_2}$ have $\text{gin } J = I'_1$, so that J is non-saturated, of regularity 5. As $\text{Proj } S/J \in R_5 \subset H$, we have $J^{\text{sat}} \in M_1^{\text{h}_1}$ from Theorem 3.4. Gotzmann shows that points of R_5 have saturated ideals of the form $\ell \langle \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \rangle + \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$, where $\ell, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$ are linear, ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 are linearly independent, and $f_1, f_2 \in S_5$ (and satisfy further conditions). Let ℓ_4 complete the basis of S_1 . Then J is obtained degree-by-degree from J^{sat} by: first, choosing a plane in $J_2^{\text{sat}} = \mathbb{K} \langle \ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, \ell \ell_3 \rangle$ (say $\mathbb{K} \langle \ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2 \rangle$, by a coordinate-change); second, choosing a line in $\mathbb{K} \langle \ell \ell_3^2, \ell \ell_3 \ell_4 \rangle$; and third, setting $J_d = J_d^{\text{sat}}$, for $d \geq 4$. This means the map $J \mapsto J^{\text{sat}}$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over the irreducible family $M_1^{\text{h}_1}$, i.e. these ideals form an irreducible family of dimension $22 + 2 + 1 = 25$, whose closure in M^{h_2} we denote by $M_1^{\text{h}_2}$. A nonsingular member of $M_1^{\text{h}_2}$ specializing to I'_1 is $K_1 := \langle x^2 - xt, xy, xz^2, xzt^2, y^5, y^4 z \rangle$. The regularity 4 ideal $\langle x^2, xy, xz^2, xzt^2 - y^4 \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, xz^2, xzt^2 - y^4, y^5, y^4 z \rangle$ specializes to I'_1 , showing that $I'_1 \in M_2^{\text{h}_2}$. Hence, we have $I'_1 \in M_1^{\text{h}_2} \cap M_2^{\text{h}_2}$. In fact, the power series ansatz also explicitly shows that analytically locally I'_1 lies in the intersection of a rational 23-dimensional component and a rational 25-dimensional component [Ilt12].

3.3.4. *In a neighbourhood of I'_0 .* Let $J \in M^{\text{h}_2}$ have $\text{gin } J = I'_0$, so that J is non-saturated, of regularity 6. As $\text{Proj } S/J \in R_6 \subset H$, we know that $J^{\text{sat}} = \langle \ell, \ell' h, qh \rangle \in M^{\text{h}_0}$ has the form described in Proposition 3.1. Comparing Hilbert functions shows that J is obtained from J^{sat} as follows: first, remove ℓ ; second, choose a plane in $J_2^{\text{sat}} = S_1 \ell$ (say $\mathbb{K} \langle \ell x, \ell y \rangle$ up to a change-of-basis); third, as $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_1 J_2 = 7$, choose a line in $J_3^{\text{sat}} \setminus S_1 J_2 = S_2 \ell \setminus S_1 J_2$ (say spanned by some $\ell q' \in \mathbb{K} \langle \ell z^2, \ell z t, \ell t^2 \rangle$); fourth, as $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_1 J_3 = 18$, choose a line in $J_4^{\text{sat}} \setminus S_1 J_3 = S_3 \ell \setminus S_1 J_3$ (say spanned by some $\ell p \in \mathbb{K} \langle \ell z^3, \ell z^2 t, \ell z t^2, \ell t^3 \rangle \setminus \mathbb{K} \langle \ell q' z, \ell q' t \rangle$); finally, set $J_d = J_d^{\text{sat}}$, for $d \geq 5$. In other words, the map $J \mapsto J^{\text{sat}}$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over a $G(2, S_1 \ell)$ -bundle over M^{h_0} . This shows that the family of such ideals is nonsingular, irreducible, projective, and of dimension $21 + 4 + 2 + 1 = 28$. Of course, the lex-segment ideal I'_0 is one such ideal. Another such ideal is $K_0 := \langle x^2, xy - xt, xz^2, xzt^2, xt^4, y^5, y^4 z^2 \rangle$, which has $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{K_0} M^{\text{h}_2} = 28$, so the family is an irreducible component, which we denote by $M_0^{\text{h}_2}$.

Consider the nonsingular point $K_2 := \langle x^2 - xt, xy, xz^2, y^4 \rangle \in M_2^{\text{h}_2}$. The change-of-basis $\gamma: x \mapsto x + t, y \mapsto x + y, z \mapsto x, t \mapsto z$ transforms K_2 into the ideal


$$\begin{aligned} \gamma K_2 := \langle & xy + xz - xt + yt + zt - t^2, \quad x^2 - xz + 2xt - zt + t^2, \\ & xz^2 - 2xzt + z^2t + xt^2 - 2zt^2 + t^3, \\ & y^4 - 4y^3t + 6y^2t^2 - 3xzt^2 + 2xt^3 - 4yt^3 - 3zt^3 + 3t^4 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

in $M_2^{\text{h}_2}$ and the lexicographic initial ideal of γK_2 is I'_0 , showing that I'_0 also belongs to $M_2^{\text{h}_2}$. Moreover, the ideal $\langle x^2, xy, xz^2, xzt^2, xt^4 - y^4z, y^5 \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, xz^2, xzt^2, xt^4 - y^4z, y^5, y^4z^2 \rangle$ has regularity 5 and specializes to I'_0 , showing that $I'_0 \in M_1^{\text{h}_2}$. Hence, we have shown that I'_0 lies in the triple intersection $M_0^{\text{h}_2} \cap M_1^{\text{h}_2} \cap M_2^{\text{h}_2}$. To see that $I'_0 \notin M_3^{\text{h}_2}$, note that any subfamily of $M_3^{\text{h}_2}$ containing I'_0 defines a flat family in H_{AV} containing the lexicographic point $\text{Proj } S/I'_0$; this is a contradiction by smoothness of $\text{Proj } S/I'_0$ [RS97]. The power series ansatz terminates for I'_0 , but the obstruction ideal is too complicated to directly obtain its primary decomposition. However, one quickly identifies a unique 28-dimensional irreducible component and the residual scheme has dimension 25, as expected from our description.

Theorem 3.5. *The standard-graded Hilbert scheme M^{h_2} consists of four irreducible components, of dimensions 16, 23, 25, and 28. The lex-segment ideal with Hilbert function \mathbf{h}_2 lies in the intersection of the three highest-dimensional components.*

Proof. If $J \in M^{\text{h}_2}$, then $\text{gin } J$ is one of the aforementioned Borel-fixed ideals, so J belongs to one of the identified components $M_0^{\text{h}_2}, M_1^{\text{h}_2}, M_2^{\text{h}_2}$, or $M_3^{\text{h}_2}$. Hence, the preceding paragraphs identify all irreducible components of M^{h_2} . (In particular, a nonsingular point is identified in each component.) \square

Remark 3.6. The incidence complex of $M = M^{\text{h}_2} = \text{Hilb}^{\text{h}_2}(\mathbb{K}[x, y, z, t])$ is as follows. Here the upper index at a node displays the dimension of the corresponding irreducible component.



4. NEARBY EXAMPLES

The analysis of the previous section can be performed on many examples. Here we do this at the nodes immediately preceding Gotzmann's example in the codimension 2 Hilbert tree.

4.1. An Example in the Plane. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^4(\mathbb{P}^2)$ has two Borel-fixed points, with saturated ideals in $S := \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ and Hilbert functions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \langle x, y^4 \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_0 &= (0, 1, 3, 6, 11, 17, \dots), \\ I_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, y^3 \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_1 &= (0, 0, 2, 6, 11, 17, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where I_i has regularity $4 - i$. The standard-graded Hilbert scheme $M^{\mathfrak{h}_0} := \text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}_0}(S)$ is nonsingular and irreducible, of dimension 6, comprised of ideals of the form $\langle \ell, f \rangle$ where $\ell \in S_1$, $f \in S_4$, and $\ell \nmid f$.

We study the standard-graded Hilbert scheme $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} := \text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}_1}(S)$. Its Borel-fixed points are

$$\begin{aligned} I'_0 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz^2, y^4 \rangle, \\ I_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, y^3 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where I'_0 is obtained from I_0 via Lemma 3.3. We prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *The standard-graded Hilbert scheme $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ is a union of two 8-dimensional components $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1}, M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ with lex-segment ideal $I'_0 \in M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ and $I_1 \in M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \setminus M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$.*

Proof. Every $J \in M^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ has $J_2 = \mathbb{K}\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle$ spanned by two quadrics. If q_1, q_2 do not share a common factor, then $J = \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle$ is a complete intersection ideal and the Koszul complex shows that J has regularity 3; moreover, J is saturated and $\text{gin } J = I_1$. Conversely, suppose that J has $\text{gin } J = I_1$, so that J is saturated of regularity 3, and suppose that q_1, q_2 do share a common factor, say $q_1 = \ell \ell_1, q_2 = \ell \ell_2$, where $\ell, \ell_1, \ell_2 \in S_1$ and ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are linearly independent. Then there is a cubic generator $p \in J_3 \setminus S_1 J_2$ and we must have $\ell \nmid p$, as J is saturated but $\ell \notin J$. This shows that $J = \langle \ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, p \rangle$ with $\ell \nmid p$; moreover, $\mathfrak{h}_1(4) = 11$ implies $p \in \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$. Let $M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ denote the closure of the family of ideals J with $\text{gin } J = I_1$. Then the subfamily of complete intersections has dimension 8, while the family of ideals with a cubic generator has dimension 7, so $M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ has dimension 8. In fact, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{I_1} M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = 8$, so I_1 is a nonsingular point and $M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ is an irreducible component of $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$.

On the other hand, if J has $\text{gin } J = I'_0$, then J is non-saturated, of regularity 4, and $J^{\text{sat}} \in M^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$ has the form $\langle \ell, f \rangle$ where $\ell \in S_1$, $f \in S_4$, and $\ell \nmid f$. To obtain J from J^{sat} , first, remove ℓ , second, choose a plane $\mathbb{K}\langle \ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2 \rangle \subset J_2^{\text{sat}} = S_1 \ell$, and third, set $J_d = J_d^{\text{sat}}$, for $d \geq 3$. This family is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over $M^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$, giving an irreducible, nonsingular, projective family of dimension $6 + 2 = 8$, which we denote by $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. The ideal $K_0 := \langle x^2 - xz, xy, xz^2, y^4 \rangle$ is in $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$, with $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{K_0} M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = 8$, so $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ is an irreducible component. Moreover, the ideal $\langle x^2, xy, xz^2 - y^3 \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, xz^2 - y^3, y^4 \rangle$ has regularity 3 (and is nonsingular on $M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$) but specializes to I'_0 . This shows that $I'_0 \in M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$.

Any $J \in M^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ has $\text{gin } J = I'_0$ or $\text{gin } J = I_1$, so $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cup M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. In fact, the power series ansatz at I'_0 yields a transverse intersection of two 8-dimensional components. \square

4.2. Lifting to Degree Four Space Curves. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{4d-2}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ has a unique Borel-fixed point, so is nonsingular and irreducible [Sta20, Lemma 5.6]. If \mathfrak{h}_0 denotes its Hilbert function and I_0 its ideal, then every homogeneous ideal $J \subset S = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z, t]$ with Hilbert function \mathfrak{h}_0 has $\text{gin } J = I_0$ and is saturated. By Proposition 3.2, the standard-graded Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}_0}(S)$ is nonsingular and irreducible; its dimension is 17 and its points are complete intersection ideals $\langle \ell, f \rangle$, for $\ell \in S_1$ and $f \in S_4$.

Moving towards Gotzmann's example, the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{4d-1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ has two Borel-fixed points, with saturated ideals in $S = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z, t]$ and Hilbert functions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \langle x, y^5, y^4 z \rangle, & \mathfrak{h}_0 &= (0, 1, 4, 10, 20, 37, 61, \dots), \\ I_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, y^4 \rangle, & \mathfrak{h}_1 &= (0, 0, 3, 9, 20, 37, 61, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where I_i has regularity $5 - i$. As in Proposition 3.1, the standard-graded Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{\text{h}_0}(S)$ is nonsingular and irreducible; its dimension is 19 and its points have the form $\langle \ell, \ell_1 g, \ell_2 g \rangle$, where $\ell, \ell_1, \ell_2 \in S_1$ are linearly independent and $g \in S_4$ such that $\ell \nmid g$.

So let us examine $M^{\text{h}_1} := \text{Hilb}^{\text{h}_1}(S)$. Its Borel-fixed ideals are

$$\begin{aligned} I'_0 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^3, y^5, y^4z \rangle, \\ I_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, y^4 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where I'_0 is obtained from I_0 via Lemma 3.3. We aim to prove the following.

Theorem 4.2. *We have $M^{\text{h}_1} = M_0^{\text{h}_1} \cup M_1^{\text{h}_1}$, where $M_0^{\text{h}_1}$ is a 22-dimensional component, $M_1^{\text{h}_1}$ is a 20-dimensional component, the lex-segment ideal $I'_0 \in M_0^{\text{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\text{h}_1}$, and $I_1 \in M_1^{\text{h}_1} \setminus M_0^{\text{h}_1}$.*

4.2.1. *In a neighbourhood of I_1 .* Suppose that $J \in M^{\text{h}_1}$ has $\text{gin } J = I_1$, so that J is saturated, of regularity 4. We have $J_2 = \mathbb{K}\langle q_1, q_2, q_3 \rangle$, where the quadrics do not generate a complete intersection ideal, so at least two of the quadrics share a common factor, say $q_1 = \ell \ell_1$ and $q_2 = \ell \ell_2$ with ℓ_1, ℓ_2 linearly independent. But then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_1 \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle \cap S_1 q_3 = 2$, which is only possible if $\ell \mid q_3$ as well, so we set $q_3 = \ell \ell_3$. We find that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_1 J_2 = 9$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_2 J_2 = 19$, so that $J_3 = S_1 J_2$ and there exists $g \in J_4 \setminus S_2 J_2$. If $\ell \mid g$, then without loss of generality $g = \ell \ell_4^3$, where $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4$ is a basis of S_1 , but then $J = J^{\text{sat}}$ implies $\ell \in J$, a contradiction. Thus, we have $J = \langle \ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, \ell \ell_3, g \rangle$ with $g \in S_4$, $\ell \nmid g$, and moreover $g \in \langle \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \rangle$. A parameter count shows that this family has dimension 20; we denote its Zariski closure by $M_1^{\text{h}_1}$. One verifies that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{I_1} M^{\text{h}_1} = 20$, so $M_1^{\text{h}_1}$ is an irreducible component with nonsingular point I_1 .

4.2.2. *In a neighbourhood of I'_0 .* If $J \in M^{\text{h}_1}$ has $\text{gin } J = I'_0$, then J is non-saturated, of regularity 5. This means $J^{\text{sat}} \in M^{\text{h}_0}$, so $J^{\text{sat}} = \langle \ell, \ell'_1 g, \ell'_2 g \rangle$, where $\ell, \ell'_1, \ell'_2 \in S_1$ are linearly independent and $g \in S_4$ such that $\ell \nmid g$. We obtain J from J^{sat} degree-by-degree by, first, removing ℓ , and second, choosing a hyperplane $\mathbb{K}\langle \ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, \ell \ell_3 \rangle$ in $J_2^{\text{sat}} = S_1 \ell$; we then have $J_3 = S_1 J_2$ and $J_d = J_d^{\text{sat}}$, for $d \geq 4$. This means the family of such ideals has the structure of a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over M^{h_0} , resulting in a nonsingular, irreducible, projective family of dimension $19 + 3 = 22$, which we denote by $M_0^{\text{h}_1}$. The ideal $K_0 = \langle x^2 - xt, xy, xz, xt^3, y^5, y^4z \rangle$ belongs to $M_0^{\text{h}_1}$ and has $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{K_0} M = 22$, so $M_0^{\text{h}_1}$ is an irreducible component. Further, the regularity 4 ideal $\langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^3 - y^4 \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^3 - y^4, y^5, y^4z \rangle \in M_1^{\text{h}_1}$ specializes to I'_0 , showing that the lex-segment ideal satisfies $I'_0 \in M_0^{\text{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\text{h}_1}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. If $J \in M^{\text{h}_1}$, then either $\text{gin } J = I'_0$ or $\text{gin } J = I_1$, so J belongs to one of the identified components. Note that the power series ansatz terminates at I'_0 , showing the transverse intersection of $M_0^{\text{h}_1}$ and $M_1^{\text{h}_1}$ [Ilt12]. \square

5. TWISTED CUBICS

We conclude with an example that has been hiding in plain sight for some time.

5.1. A Singular Lex-Segment Ideal of Twisted Cubics. The Borel-fixed points on $\text{Hilb}^{3d+1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ have saturated ideals in $S = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z, t]$ with Hilbert functions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \langle x, y^4, y^3z \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_0 &= (0, 1, 4, 10, 22, 40, 65, \dots), \\ I_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, y^3 \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_1 &= (0, 0, 3, 10, 22, 40, 65, \dots), \\ I_2 &= \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle, & \mathbf{h}_2 &= (0, 0, 3, 10, 22, 40, 65, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where I_i has regularity $4 - i$.

Similarly to previous instances, $M^{\mathfrak{h}_0} := \text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}_0}(S)$ is a nonsingular and irreducible projective variety; its dimension is 14 and its points have the form $\langle \ell, \ell_1 p, \ell_2 p \rangle$, where ℓ, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are linearly independent, $p \in S_3$, and $\ell \nmid p$.

Next, as $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_2$, we study $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} := \text{Hilb}^{\mathfrak{h}_1}(S)$. Its Borel-fixed points are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} I'_0 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^2, y^4, y^3z \rangle, \\ I_1 &= \langle x^2, xy, xz, y^3 \rangle, \\ I_2 &= \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where I'_0 is obtained via Lemma 3.3. The analysis is analogous to that of previous examples.

5.1.1. *In a neighbourhood of I_2 .* If $J \in M^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ has $\text{gin } J = I_2$, then J is saturated, of regularity 2, and so $J = \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 \rangle$ is generated by quadrics. This family parametrizes the twisted cubics. We denote its closure by $M_2^{\mathfrak{h}_2}$ and note that $\dim M_2^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{I_2} M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = 12$, so that $M_2^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ is an irreducible component with nonsingular point I_2 .


5.1.2. *In a neighbourhood of I_1 .* If $J \in M^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ has $\text{gin } J = I_1$, then J is saturated, of regularity 3, and again we know that $J_2 = \mathbb{K}\langle q_1, q_2, q_3 \rangle$, where q_1, q_2, q_3 now share a common factor. So $q_1 = \ell \ell_1, q_2 = \ell \ell_2, q_3 = \ell \ell_3$, for some linear ℓ and linearly independent $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in S_1$. These quadrics generate a 9-dimensional subspace of S_3 , so there is an additional cubic generator, say $p \in J_3$. Then $\ell \nmid p$, because otherwise $J = J^{\text{sat}}$ implies $\ell \in J$; we further see that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_1 p \cap S_2 \langle \ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, \ell \ell_3 \rangle = 1$, so $p \in \langle \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \rangle$. This family is in bijection with a dense open subset of the lexicographic component of $\text{Hilb}^{3d+1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$, in other words, is an irreducible component of dimension 15 [PS85, Lemma 4]; we denote its closure by $M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. The ideal $\langle x^2, xy, xz - y^2 \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, xz - y^2, y^3 \rangle$ specializes to I_1 but has regularity 2, showing that $I_1 \in M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cap M_2^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. This is expected of course, as I_1 satisfies the Comparison Theorem and the well-known description of the component structure of $\text{Hilb}^{3d+1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ hinges on the termination of the power series ansatz at I_1 [PS85, Lemma 6].

5.1.3. *In a neighbourhood of I'_0 .* If $J \in M^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ has $\text{gin } J = I'_0$, then J is non-saturated, of regularity 4, and $J^{\text{sat}} \in M^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$ equals $\langle \ell, \ell'_1 p, \ell'_2 p \rangle$, where ℓ, ℓ'_1, ℓ'_2 are linearly independent, $p \in S_3$, and $\ell \nmid p$. We obtain J from J^{sat} by first, removing ℓ , second, choosing a hyperplane $\mathbb{K}\langle \ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, \ell \ell_3 \rangle \subset J_2^{\text{sat}} = S_1 \ell$, and third, setting $J_d = J_d^{\text{sat}}$, for $d \geq 3$. The family of such ideals forms a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over $M^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$, defining an irreducible, nonsingular, projective family of dimension $14 + 3 = 17$, which we denote by $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. The ideal $K_0 = \langle x^2 - xt, xy, xz, xt^2, y^4, y^3z \rangle$ is in $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ and has $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_{K_0} M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = 17$, showing that $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$ is an irreducible component of $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. Observe that the ideal $\langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^2 - y^3 \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, xz, xt^2 - y^3, y^3z, y^4 \rangle$ has regularity 3 and specializes to I'_0 , showing that $I'_0 \in M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. Hence, the lex-segment ideal I'_0 lies in the intersection $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. In fact, Example 2.7 demonstrates that the power series ansatz terminates at I'_0 , showing that the universal deformation space is a transverse intersection of a rational 15-dimensional component and a rational 17-dimensional component [Ilt12].

Theorem 5.1. *We have $M^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cup M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cup M_2^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$, where the irreducible components have dimensions $\dim M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = 17$, $\dim M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = 15$, and $\dim M_2^{\mathfrak{h}_1} = 12$, and the lex-segment ideal lies in $M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$.*

Proof. Any ideal J with Hilbert function \mathfrak{h}_1 must have $\text{gin } J$ equal to I'_0, I_1 , or I_2 , and hence lies in one of the identified components. The preceding paragraphs derive the decomposition and show $I'_0 \in M_0^{\mathfrak{h}_1} \cap M_1^{\mathfrak{h}_1}$. \square

Remark 5.2. The incidence graph of $M = M^{\mathbf{h}_1}$ is as follows. The upper index at a node displays the dimension of the corresponding irreducible component.



REFERENCES

- [Bay82] David Allen Bayer, *The division algorithm and the Hilbert scheme*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1982, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Harvard University. MR 2632095
- [BH93] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR 1251956 (95h:13020)
- [BS87a] David Bayer and Michael Stillman, *A criterion for detecting m -regularity*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 1, 1–11. MR 862710 (87k:13019)
- [BS87b] ———, *A theorem on refining division orders by the reverse lexicographic order*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), no. 2, 321–328. MR 894583
- [CLO15] David A. Cox, John Little, and Donal O’Shea, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*, fourth ed., Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2015, An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. MR 3330490
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, With a view toward algebraic geometry. MR 1322960
- [Ell75] Geir Ellingsrud, *Sur le schéma de Hilbert des variétés de codimension 2 dans \mathbf{P}^e à cône de Cohen-Macaulay*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **8** (1975), no. 4, 423–431. MR 393020
- [Gal74] André Galligo, *À propos du théorème de-préparation de Weierstrass*, Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes (Sém. François Norguet, octobre 1970–décembre 1973; à la mémoire d’André Martineau), Springer, Berlin, 1974, Thèse de 3ème cycle soutenue le 16 mai 1973 à l’Institut de Mathématique et Sciences Physiques de l’Université de Nice, pp. 543–579. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 409. MR 0402102
- [Got08] Gerd Gotzmann, *The irreducible components of $\text{Hilb}^{4n}(\mathbb{P}^3)$* , arXiv e-prints (2008), arXiv:0811.3160.
- [Gre10] Mark L. Green, *Generic initial ideals [mr1648665]*, Six lectures on commutative algebra, Mod. Birkhäuser Class., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010, pp. 119–186. MR 2641237
- [GS] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [GW10] Ulrich Görtz and Torsten Wedhorn, *Algebraic geometry I*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vieweg + Teubner, Wiesbaden, 2010, Schemes with examples and exercises. MR 2675155
- [Har10] Robin Hartshorne, *Deformation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 257, Springer, New York, 2010. MR 2583634
- [HS04] Mark Haiman and Bernd Sturmfels, *Multigraded Hilbert schemes*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 4, 725–769. MR 2073194
- [Ilt12] Nathan Owen Ilten, *Versal deformations and local Hilbert schemes*, J. Softw. Algebra Geom. **4** (2012), 12–16. MR 2947667
- [Mac27] F. S. Macaulay, *Some Properties of Enumeration in the Theory of Modular Systems*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **S2-26** (1927), no. 1, 531. MR 1576950
- [MN14] Dennis Moore and Uwe Nagel, *Algorithms for strongly stable ideals*, Math. Comp. **83** (2014), no. 289, 2527–2552. MR 3223345
- [MS05] Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR 2110098 (2006d:13001)
- [MS10] Diane Maclagan and Gregory G. Smith, *Smooth and irreducible multigraded Hilbert schemes*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), no. 5, 1608–1631. MR 2592504
- [PS85] Ragni Piene and Michael Schlessinger, *On the Hilbert scheme compactification of the space of twisted cubics*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), no. 4, 761–774. MR 796901

- [PS02] Irena Peeva and Mike Stillman, *Toric Hilbert schemes*, Duke Math. J. **111** (2002), no. 3, 419–449. MR 1885827
- [Ree92] Alyson April Reeves, *Combinatorial structure on the Hilbert scheme*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1992, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Cornell University. MR 2688239
- [RS97] Alyson Reeves and Mike Stillman, *Smoothness of the lexicographic point*, J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), no. 2, 235–246. MR 1489114 (98m:14003)
- [RS20] Ritvik Ramkumar and Alessio Sammartano, *Singular lexicographic points on hilbert schemes*, 2020.
- [San05] Francisco Santos, *Non-connected toric Hilbert schemes*, Math. Ann. **332** (2005), no. 3, 645–665. MR 2181765
- [SS20] Roy Skjelnes and Gregory G. Smith, *Smooth Hilbert schemes: their classification and geometry*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2008.08938.
- [Sta] Andrew P. Staal, *Computational Deformation Theory of Projective Schemes*, Comprehensive Examination Document, Queen’s University, available at <http://astaal.be/research.html>.
- [Sta20] ———, *The ubiquity of smooth Hilbert schemes*, Math. Z. **296** (2020), no. 3-4, 1593–1611. MR 4159841
- [Ste95] Jan Stevens, *Computing versal deformations*, Experiment. Math. **4** (1995), no. 2, 129–144. MR 1377414

Email address: `andrew.staal@uwaterloo.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, 200 UNIVERSITY AVENUE WEST, WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA, N2L 3G1