

SEMIGROUPS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS AND INTEGRAL OPERATORS IN BMOA-TYPE SPACES

V. DASKALOGIANNIS AND P. GALANOPOULOS

ABSTRACT. The aim of this article is to study semigroups of composition operators $T_t = f \circ \phi_t$ on the BMOA-type spaces $BMOA_p$, and on their "little oh" analogues $VMOA_p$. The spaces $BMOA_p$ were introduced by R. Zhao as part of the large family of $F(p, q, s)$ spaces, and are the Möbius invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet spaces D_{p-1}^p .

We study the maximal subspace $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ of strong continuity, providing a sufficient condition on the infinitesimal generator of $\{\phi_t\}$, under which $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$, and a related necessary condition in the case where the Denjoy - Wolff point of the semigroup is in \mathbb{D} . Further, we characterize those semigroups, for which $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$, in terms of the resolvent operator of the infinitesimal generator of $(T_t|_{VMOA_p})$. In addition we provide a connection between the maximal subspace of strong continuity and the Volterra-type operators T_g . We characterize the symbols g for which $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is bounded or compact, thus extending a related result to the case $p = 1$. We also prove that for $1 < p < 2$ compactness of T_g on $BMOA_p$ is equivalent to weak compactness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disc of the complex plane and $\partial\mathbb{D}$ its boundary. We will denote by $H(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all analytic functions on the disc and by H^∞ the subspace of bounded analytic functions. A family $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of analytic self-maps of the disc is a semigroup of functions if:

- (1) $\phi_0(z) = z$
- (2) $\phi_t \circ \phi_s = \phi_{t+s}$, for $t, s \geq 0$
- (3) $\phi_t \rightarrow \phi_0$, uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , as $t \rightarrow 0$.

The identity maps $\phi_t(z) = z$, $t \geq 0$, form the trivial semigroup. In any other case we say $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is nontrivial. Each family $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ induces a semigroup of composition operators on $H(\mathbb{D})$,

$$T_t(f) = f \circ \phi_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

If X is a Banach space of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} on which the composition operators $T_t : X \rightarrow X$ are bounded, there arises the question whether (T_t) is strongly continuous, that is if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_t(f) - f\|_X = 0, \quad f \in X.$$

This question of strong continuity was first studied by E. Berkson and H. Porta [7] on Hardy spaces. They proved that each $\{\phi_t\}$ induces a strongly

Date: March 1, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46E15, 47B33, 47D06, 47G10 ; Secondary: 30H25, 30H30, 30H35, 32A37.

Key words and phrases. Semigroups, composition operators, Möbius invariant, BMOA, Volterra operator, compact, weakly compact.

continuous composition semigroup (T_t) on each Hardy space H^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. Several other authors studied the question on classical spaces of analytic functions, in particular on Bergman spaces, the Dirichlet space, the space $BMOA$ and the Bloch space among others.

This article studies strong continuity of composition semigroups in the family of spaces $BMOA_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. When $p \geq 2$ it is immediate that every semigroup $\{\phi_t\}$ induces a semigroup (T_t) of bounded composition operators on $BMOA_p$. We show that the same is true in the non-obvious case $1 \leq p < 2$ and also for $VMOA_p$. We then study the maximal subspace $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ of strong continuity on these spaces. We show that $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p$ for nontrivial $\{\phi_t\}$ and provide conditions under which $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$. We also consider Volterra-type operators T_g on the above spaces and characterize the symbols g for which $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is bounded or compact, extending a result from [38] to the case $p = 1$. The key point for proving this extension is the use of the Garsia norm for functions in $BMOA$. Finally we prove that for $1 < p < 2$ compactness of T_g on $BMOA_p$ is equivalent to its weak compactness, and find a connection between the maximal subspace of strong continuity and the mapping properties of T_γ for a specific symbol γ determined by the inducing semigroup.

2. BACKGROUND AND MAIN RESULTS

2.1. The spaces $BMOA_p$. Let $0 < p < \infty$. A function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to the space $BMOA_p$ if

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) < \infty,$$

where $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$, $a \in \mathbb{D}$, are the Möbius automorphisms of the disc and $dm(z) = r dr d\theta / \pi$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure of \mathbb{D} . The subspace $VMOA_p$ contains those f for which

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) = 0.$$

The spaces $BMOA_p$ are part of the large family of spaces $F(p, q, s)$ introduced by R. Zhao in [39]. An $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is in $F(p, q, s)$ if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s dm(z) < \infty,$$

and $f \in F_0(p, q, s)$ if the above integral tends to 0 as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. The parameters are $p > 0$, $q > -2$, $s > 0$, and the spaces are nontrivial when $q + s > -1$. These spaces were further studied by J. Rättyä in [26].

Clearly

$$BMOA_p \equiv F(p, p-2, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad VMOA_p \equiv F_0(p, p-2, 1),$$

and the value $p = 2$ corresponds to the classical spaces $BMOA$ and $VMOA$.

We recount some basic properties of $BMOA_p$ most of which can be found in [39]. For $p \geq 1$, $BMOA_p$ equipped with the norm defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z)$$

is a Banach space, with $VMOA_p$ being the closure of the polynomials in $BMOA_p$. The identity

$$1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2 = |\varphi'_a(z)|(1 - |z|^2), \quad a, z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and a change of variables $z = \varphi_a(w)$ gives

$$(2.4) \quad \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)\|_{D_{p-1}^p}^p.$$

where

$$\|f\|_{D_{p-1}^p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z)$$

is a norm defining the Dirichlet spaces $D_{p-1}^p := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{D_{p-1}^p} < \infty\}$. Thus (2.4) says that $f \in BMOA_p$ if and only if the set of hyperbolic translates

$$\{f \circ \varphi_a - f(a) : a \in \mathbb{D}\}$$

is bounded in D_{p-1}^p , i.e. $BMOA_p$ is the Möbius invariant version of D_{p-1}^p . For more information on D_{p-1}^p see [14], [33].

It is to be noticed at this point that the spaces D_{p-1}^p and $BMOA_p$ are part of the larger families of spaces D_μ^p and their Möbius invariant subspaces $M(D_\mu^p)$ respectively, which were studied by M. D. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal and D. Vukotić in [11]. Specifically, given a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} consider the Dirichlet-type spaces

$$D_\mu^p = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p d\mu(z) < \infty\}$$

and their Möbius invariant versions

$$M(D_\mu^p) = \{f \in D_\mu^p : \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(z)|^p d\mu(z) < \infty\},$$

along with the little-oh spaces $M_0(D_\mu^p)$ containing those f for which

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(z)|^p d\mu(z) = 0.$$

The choice $d\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z)$ gives $D_{p-1}^p = D_\mu^p$, and then

$$BMOA_p = M(D_\mu^p) \text{ and } VMOA_p = M_0(D_\mu^p).$$

Even though there is no inclusion relation between D_{p-1}^p and D_{q-1}^q for $p \neq q$, their Möbius invariant subspaces $BMOA_p$ form a chain that increases in size with p , [39]. If $0 < p < q < \infty$ then

$$BMOA_p \subsetneq BMOA_q \subsetneq \mathcal{B},$$

where \mathcal{B} is the Bloch space of $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty$. An analogous containment relation is valid for $VMOA_p$. For the sake of completeness we prove the following lemma which can also be proved using the closed graph theorem.

Lemma 1. (1) For each $0 < q < \infty$ there is a constant $C = C_q$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C\|f\|_{BMOA_q}$, for all $f \in BMOA_q$.

(2) For each pair (p, q) with $0 < p < q < \infty$ there is a constant $C = C_{p,q}$ such that $\|f\|_{BMOA_q} \leq C\|f\|_{BMOA_p}$, for all $f \in BMOA_p$.

Proof. (1) For $f \in BMOA_q$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$ let

$$I(f, q, a) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^q (1 - |z|^2)^{q-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z).$$

According to [39, Lemma 2.9] there is a constant K_q such that

$$|f'(a)|^q (1 - |a|^2)^q \leq K_q I(f, q, a),$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (|f(0)| + |f'(a)|(1 - |a|^2))^q &\leq 2^q (|f(0)|^q + |f'(a)|^q (1 - |a|^2)^q) \\ &\leq 2^q (|f(0)|^q + K_q I(f, q, a)) \\ &\leq 2^q \max\{1, K_q\} (|f(0)|^q + I(f, q, a)) \\ &\leq K'_q \|f\|_{BMOA_q}^q, \end{aligned}$$

and taking the sup on a of the quantity in left hand side, we have the desired inequality with $C_q = (K'_q)^{1/q}$.

(2) Let $f \in BMOA_p$ then for $I(f, q, a)$ as defined above we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(f, q, a) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} ((1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)|)^p ((1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)|)^{q-p} \frac{(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} dm(z) \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} ((1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)|)^{q-p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^p \frac{(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} dm(z) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{q-p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p \\ &\leq C^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p, \quad (\text{by using (i)}) \\ &= C^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{BMOA_q}^p &= \left(|f(0)|^q + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f, q, a) \right)^{p/q} \\ &\leq 2^{p/q} \left(|f(0)|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f, q, a)^{p/q} \right) \\ &\leq 2^{p/q} \left(\|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p + \left(C^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^q \right)^{p/q} \right) \\ &= 2^{p/q} (1 + C^{\frac{(q-p)p}{q}}) \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows with $C_{p,q} = (2^{p/q} (1 + C^{\frac{(q-p)p}{q}}))^{1/q}$. \square

Since $H^\infty \subset BMOA = BMOA_2$ it follows that $H^\infty \subset BMOA_p$ for $p \geq 2$, but this containment is no longer true for $1 \leq p < 2$. In fact it is shown in [20, Proposition A (7)] and [26, Theorem 2.3.4] that the disc algebra \mathcal{A} is not contained in $BMOA_p$ for $p < 2$.

Yet another description of the spaces $BMOA_p$ is in terms of Carleson measures. Recall that a positive measure μ on \mathbb{D} is a Carleson measure if

$$(2.5) \quad \|\mu\|_{CM} = \sup_{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|} < \infty,$$

where $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$ is an arc with length $|I|$, and

$$S(I) = \{re^{it} : e^{it} \in I, \text{ and } 1 - |I| < r < 1\}$$

is the Carleson square for I . Further, μ is a vanishing Carleson measure if $\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|} = 0$. The defining property (2.5) is equivalent to that the Hardy space H^2 is boundedly embedded in $L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$, i.e. there is a constant C_μ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C_\mu \|f\|_{H^2}^2$$

for all $f \in H^2$. Vanishing Carleson measures are those for which the embedding $i : H^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ is a compact operator. It is shown in [39] that $f \in BMOA_p$ if and only if

$$\sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) < \infty,$$

equivalently the measure $d\mu(z) = |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z)$ is a Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} . $VMOA_p$ then consists of those f for which $d\mu$ is vanishing Carleson. A consequence is that

$$|f(0)|^p + \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|} \simeq \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p.$$

Using the above description, Z. Wu [35] (see also [33]) gave a characterization of pointwise multipliers of D_{p-1}^p , i.e. of functions in the space

$$\mathcal{M}(D_{p-1}^p) = \{g \in H(\mathbb{D}) : gf \in D_{p-1}^p \text{ for each } f \in D_{p-1}^p\}.$$

This characterization for $0 < p \leq 2$ can be restated as follows

$$g \in \mathcal{M}(D_{p-1}^p) \Leftrightarrow g \in H^\infty \cap BMOA_p.$$

Another important class of spaces contained in family $F(p, q, s)$ are the Q_s spaces, obtained as $Q_s = F(2, 0, s)$ and $Q_{s,0} = F_0(2, 0, s)$. They were introduced by Aulaskari, Xiao and Zhao in [6], see also [4]. For $s = 1$ we have $Q_1 = BMOA$, while for all $s > 1$, $Q_s = \mathcal{B}$. For $0 < s < 1$ these spaces are distinct and they increase in size with s . In [5], Aulaskari and Tovar proved that

$$\bigcup_{s \in (0,1)} Q_s \subsetneq \bigcap_{0 < p \leq 2} BMOA_p,$$

which indicates that $BMOA_p$ are considerably larger spaces than Q_s . A good reference on Q_s spaces is Xiao's monographs [36] and [37].

We note that in the literature, the spaces $BMOA_p$ are also referred to as Besov-type spaces, see for example [5] or [21].

2.2. Semigroups of composition operators. If $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup then each ϕ_t is univalent and the limit

$$G(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi_t(z) - z}{t}$$

exists uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . The analytic function $G(z)$ is the *infinitesimal generator* of $\{\phi_t\}$ and uniquely determines the semigroup. Moreover G satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad G(\phi_t(z)) = \frac{\partial \phi_t(z)}{\partial t} = G(z) \frac{\partial \phi_t(z)}{\partial z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The infinitesimal generator G can be uniquely represented in terms of the Denjoy-Wolff point b of the semigroup (see [7]) as

$$(2.8) \quad G(z) = (\bar{b}z - 1)(z - b)P(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $b \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $P \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with $\operatorname{Re}(P(z)) \geq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

If X is a Banach space consisting of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} we denote by $[\phi_t, X]$ the maximal closed subspace of X on which $\{\phi_t\}$ generates a strongly continuous composition semigroup (T_t) , that is,

$$[\phi_t, X] := \{f \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|f \circ \phi_t - f\|_X = 0\}.$$

It is shown in [9] that if X contains the constants and $\sup_{0 < t < 1} \|T_t\| < \infty$, then

$$(2.9) \quad [\phi_t, X] = \overline{\{f \in X : Gf' \in X\}}$$

with G the generator of $\{\phi_t\}$. It is well known that for every semigroup $\{\phi_t\}$,

$$[\phi_t, X] = X$$

when X is any of the following spaces: the Hardy spaces H^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$ [7], the Bergman spaces A_a^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, $a > -1$ [29], the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} [30], the space VMOA and the little Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0 [9], and $Q_{s,0}$ [34]. Note that in each of the above spaces, polynomials are dense in X , a property that plays a key role in proving strong continuity.

This is no longer true when $X = H^\infty$ or $X = \mathcal{B}$. For these spaces and for nontrivial $\{\phi_t\}$ we have,

$$[\phi_t, H^\infty] \subsetneq H^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad [\phi_t, \mathcal{B}] \subsetneq \mathcal{B},$$

see for example [9],[28]. Extending this result, A. Anderson, M. Jovovic and W. Smith proved in [3] that whenever X is a space such that

$$H^\infty \subseteq X \subseteq \mathcal{B}$$

then $[\phi_t, X] \subsetneq X$. In particular for $X = BMOA_p$, $p \geq 2$,

$$[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p$$

for all nontrivial $\{\phi_t\}$, since for such p we have $H^\infty \subset BMOA_p \subset \mathcal{B}$. However if $1 \leq p < 2$ then $H^\infty \not\subset BMOA_p$, so the result of Anderson, Jovovic and Smith does not apply for this range of p and the question of strong continuity needs a different treatment.

On the other hand using the fact that the closure of the polynomials in $BMOA_p$ is $VMOA_p$ we will show that each $\{\phi_t\}$ induces a strongly continuous composition semigroup on $VMOA_p$, so we have

$$VMOA_p \subseteq [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$$

for $p \geq 1$. This containment can be proper as the following example shows. Let

$$f(z) = \log(1 - z) \in BMOA_p \setminus VMOA_p$$

and

$$\phi_t(z) = e^{-t}z + 1 - e^{-t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f \circ \phi_t - f\|_{BMOA_p} = 0$, thus $f \in [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ so, in this case,

$$VMOA_p \subsetneq [\phi_t, BMOA_p].$$

Thus for a general semigroup $\{\phi_t\}$ the question arises to relate function-theoretic properties of $\{\phi_t\}$ to the size of the maximal subspace of strong continuity of (T_t) .

2.3. Main results. In section 3 we study the boundedness of composition operators

$$C_\psi(f)(z) = f(\psi(z)),$$

on $BMOA_p$ and $VMOA_p$, where ψ is an analytic self-map of the disc. It is well known that C_ψ is bounded on $BMOA$ [10] and on \mathcal{B} for all ψ , and it is not difficult to check that this remains true for $BMOA_p$ for $p > 2$. When $1 \leq p < 2$ we prove that if ψ is univalent then C_ψ is bounded on $BMOA_p$ and on $VMOA_p$, and this is sufficient for our work with semigroups.

In section 4, we study semigroups of composition operators on $BMOA_p$ and $VMOA_p$, $p \in [1, 2)$. It is easy to see that for the dilations $\phi_t(z) = e^{-t}z$ or the rotations $\phi_t = e^{it}z$, we have a proper inclusion

$$[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p.$$

Indeed taking any function $g \in BMOA_p \setminus VMOA$, if we assume that $g \in [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ then $g \in [\phi_t, BMOA]$, since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|g \circ \phi_t - g\|_{BMOA} \leq C \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|g \circ \phi_t - g\|_{BMOA_p}, \quad 1 \leq p < 2.$$

Then, by Sarason's theorem [27], $g \in VMOA$ which is a contradiction. Examples of such functions are $g(z) = \log(1 - z)$, and the class of inner functions

$$g(z) = \exp\left(\gamma \frac{z + w}{z - w}\right),$$

where $0 < \gamma < \infty$ and $w \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ (see [20, Theorem 1.1]). More generally using an argument similar to that in [3] we will show that for any nontrivial $\{\phi_t\}$ we always have

$$[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p, \quad 1 \leq p < 2.$$

On the other hand we will show that if the infinitesimal generator G of $\{\phi_t\}$ satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z) = 0,$$

then $VMOA_p = [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$, and will observe that this condition is satisfied for a large family of semigroups. We also prove a (different) necessary condition for this equality, for semigroups with Denjoy-Wolff point inside \mathbb{D} .

We finish the section by observing that a characterization of the equality $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ may be given in terms of the resolvent operator $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma) = (\lambda - \Gamma)^{-1}$ of the infinitesimal generator Γ of the semigroup (T_t) acting on $VMOA_p$ when $1 < p \leq 2$, and that this is equivalent to the weak compactness of $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma)$ on $VMOA_p$.

In section 5, we study the compactness and weak compactness of the Volterra-type operators

$$T_g = \int_0^z f(\zeta)g'(\zeta) d\zeta$$

on $BMOA_p$ and $VMOA_p$. We give a condition on g that characterizes when $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is bounded or compact thus extending [38, Theorem 14 and Corollary 16 (3)] to the case $p = 1$. To prove this extension, we consider the Garsia norm ([13]) for functions in $BMOA$. In addition we give equivalent conditions for T_g to be compact or weakly compact when it acts between the spaces $BMOA_p$ and $VMOA_p$ for $1 < p < 2$, and relate this to a Carleson type condition on g .

Finally, we show a connection between the mapping properties of specific Volterra-type operators with the size of the maximal subspace of strong continuity $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$, extending a related result on BMOA from [9, Corollary 2].

We will use the notation C, C', C_1, \dots to denote constants, the values of which may change from one step to the next. The notation $X \simeq Y$ means that the two quantities X, Y are comparable.

3. COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In this section we discuss boundedness of composition operators induced by univalent symbols on $BMOA_p$ and $VMOA_p$.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \leq p < 2$, and $\psi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ analytic and univalent. Then:*

(1) *the composition operator $C_\psi : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is bounded, and*

$$(3.1) \quad \|C_\psi\|_{BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p} \leq C \left(1 + \log \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|} \right),$$

where C is a constant depending only on p .

(2) *$C_\psi : VMOA_p \rightarrow VMOA_p$ is also bounded.*

Proof. (1) For $f \in BMOA_p$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$ we write

$$I(f, p, a) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(f \circ \psi, p, a) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \psi \circ \phi_a)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)} \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)} \circ \psi \circ \varphi_a)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(\sigma_a(z))|^p |\sigma_a'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z). \end{aligned}$$

where the function

$$\sigma_a = \varphi_{\psi(a)} \circ \psi \circ \varphi_a,$$

is a self-map of \mathbb{D} and $\sigma_a(0) = 0$. Applying Hölder's inequality with exponents $2/p$ and $2/(2-p)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(f \circ \psi, p, a) &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(\sigma_a(z))|^p |\sigma_a'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(\sigma_a(z))|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ &= I_1^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot I_2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first integral I_1 we use the inequality $1 - |z|^2 \leq 1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2$, which is a consequence of Schwarz's Lemma on σ_a , and make the change of variables $w = \sigma_a(z)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{\sigma_a(\mathbb{D})} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(w)|^p (1 - |w|^2)^{p-1} dm(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(w)|^p (1 - |w|^2)^{p-1} dm(w) \\ &= I(f, p, \psi(a)). \end{aligned}$$

The second integral I_2 can be viewed as a weighted area integral of the composite function $g \circ \sigma_a$, where $g = (f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'$, against the weight $(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}$. Using known estimates for composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces (see for example [29, Lemma 1]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\sigma_a(z))|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|\sigma_a\|_{\infty} + |\sigma_a(0)|}{\|\sigma_a\|_{\infty} - |\sigma_a(0)|} \right)^{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \quad (\text{since } \sigma_a(0) = 0) \\ &= I(f, p, \psi(a)) \end{aligned}$$

Putting these together we obtain

$$I(f \circ \psi, p, a) \leq I(f, p, \psi(a))$$

for each $a \in \mathbb{D}$, so

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f \circ \psi, p, a) \leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f, p, \psi(a)) \leq \sup_{b \in \mathbb{D}} I(f, p, b) \leq \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p.$$

Next using the growth estimate

$$|f(z)| \leq C_1 \left(1 + \log \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|} \right) \|f\|_{BMOA_p}$$

for functions in $BMOA_p$ which is implicitly proved in [39] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ \psi\|_{BMOA_p}^p &= |f(\psi(0))|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f \circ \psi, p, a) \\ &\leq C_2 \left(1 + \log \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|} \right)^p \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

from which (3.1) follows.

(2) We are going to use the fact that polynomials are dense in $VMOA_p$, and also the fact that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|f - f_r\|_{BMOA_p} = 0$ for each $f \in VMOA_p$, where $f_r(z) = f(rz)$, $0 < r < 1$, are the dilations of f . These two properties are in fact equivalent and each of them characterizes membership of functions in $VMOA_p$, see [11, Theorem 3] or [17, Proposition 2.3].

For $f \in VMOA_p$, we need to show that $f \circ \psi \in VMOA_p$. Equivalently we will show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|(f \circ \psi)_r - f \circ \psi\|_{BMOA_p} = 0.$$

Note that $(f \circ \psi)_r = f \circ \psi_r$, and $\psi_r(0) = \psi(0)$ for each r . For a polynomial P we have (dropping the subscript of the norm)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f \circ \psi)_r - f \circ \psi\| &= \|f \circ \psi_r - f \circ \psi\| \\ &\leq \|f \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi_r\| + \|P \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi\| + \|P \circ \psi - f \circ \psi\| \\ &= \|(f - P) \circ \psi_r\| + \|P \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi\| + \|(f - P) \circ \psi\| \\ &\leq 2C \left(1 + \log \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|} \right) \|f - P\| + \|P \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now since ψ is univalent and bounded an easy argument through the integrability of $|\psi'(z)|^2$ over \mathbb{D} gives that $\psi \in VMOA_p$, and subsequently that $\psi(z)^n \in VMOA_p$ for each positive integer n . Therefore $P \circ \psi \in VMOA_p$ for every polynomial P . Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose a polynomial P such that $2C(1 + \log \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|}) \|f - P\| < \varepsilon/2$. Then we can find $r < 1$ such that $\|P \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi\| < \varepsilon/2$, and the conclusion follows. \square

4. SEMIGROUPS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON $BMOA_p$

First we show that all semigroups $\{\phi_t\}$ induce strongly continuous composition semigroups (T_t) on $VMOA_p$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\phi_t\}$ be a semigroup of functions and $p \geq 1$. Then the induced composition semigroup (T_t) is strongly continuous on $VMOA_p$.*

Proof. We need to show that if $f \in VMOA_p$ then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_t(f) - f\| = 0,$$

(dropping again the subscript of the $BMOA_p$ -norm). For a polynomial P we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t(f) - f\| &\leq \|T_t(f) - T_t(P)\| + \|T_t(P) - P\| + \|P - f\| \\ &\leq \|T_t\| \|f - P\| + \|T_t(P) - P\| + \|P - f\| \\ &\leq (1 + \|T_t\|) \|P - f\| + \|T_t(P) - P\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the polynomials are dense in $VMOA_p$ and $\sup_{t < 1} \|T_t\| < \infty$, it suffices to prove the claim for $f = P$, a polynomial. Now the set of polynomials is contained in the classical Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} consisting of those f for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dm(z) < \infty.$$

An application of Hölder's inequality shows that $\mathcal{D} \subset VMOA_p$ and there is a constant $C = C_p$, such that

$$\|f\| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In particular for a polynomial P we have $P \circ \phi_t - P \in \mathcal{D}$ since ϕ_t is univalent, and

$$\|T_t(P) - P\| \leq C \|T_t(P) - P\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad t > 0.$$

But composition semigroups are strongly continuous on \mathcal{D} [30], so the last inequality implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_t(P) - P\| = 0$$

for each polynomial P and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3. *Suppose $\{\phi_t\}$ is a nontrivial semigroup and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p$.*

Proof. The result is obtained by observing that the proof of [3, Theorem 3.1], whose conclusion is used to prove the analogous result [3, Theorem 1.1], in fact applies here. More precisely, in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.1] the authors use appropriate test functions $f \in H^\infty$ which satisfy

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f \circ \phi_t - f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \geq \delta > 0,$$

for each nontrivial $\{\phi_t\}$. Those test functions f are infinite interpolating Blaschke products whose zeros lie on a radius $\{r\gamma_0 : 0 < r < 1\}$ for some $\gamma_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. On the other hand from [15, Theorem 3.12] (see also [12]), we know that for such Blaschke products $B(z)$,

$$B(z) \in \bigcap_{s \in (0,1)} Q_s.$$

Since $\bigcup_{0 < s < 1} Q_s \subset BMOA_p$ for all $p > 0$, these test functions can be used in our context, and the proof can be completed by following the lines of the proof in [3]. \square

Remark 1. From the above it follows that the hypothesis $H^\infty \subset X \subset \mathcal{B}$ in the theorem of Anderson, Jovovic and Smith [3, Theorem 1.1] can be replaced by

$$\bigcap_{s \in (0,1)} Q_s \subset X \subset \mathcal{B}$$

or by some similar condition which will imply that interpolating Blaschke products belong to X .

Theorem 4. *Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and let $\{\phi_t\}$ be a semigroup with infinitesimal generator G . If*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z) = 0,$$

then $VMOA_p = [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$.

Proof. Using the description (2.9) of the maximal subspace of strong continuity, it suffices to show that if $h \in BMOA_p$ is such that $Gh' \in BMOA_p$ then $h \in VMOA_p$. Set $f = Gh'$ for such an h and select r sufficiently close to 1 so that G has no zeros in the ring $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : r < |z| < 1\}$. Then $1/G$ is analytic on D_r . Let $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$ be an arc sufficiently small so that $S(I) \subset D_r$, write $z_I = (1 - |I|)\xi$ where $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ is the center of I , and set

$$d\mu(z) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z).$$

Then $h' = f/G$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |h'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \\ &\leq 2^p \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z) - f(z_I)|^p d\mu(z) + 2^p \frac{|f(z_I)|^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} d\mu(z) \\ &\leq 2^p \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^p |1 - \bar{z}_I z|^p d\mu(z) + 2^p C^p \frac{(\log \frac{2}{|I|})^p}{|I|} \mu(S(I)) \\ &= 2^p A_I + 2^p C B_I \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality $(x + y)^p \leq 2^p(x^p + y^p)$ and the growth estimate $|g(z)| \leq C \log \frac{2}{1-|z|}$ for functions $g \in BMOA_p$. By hypothesis (4.1),

$$B_I = \frac{(\log \frac{2}{|I|})^p}{|I|} \mu(S(I)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |I| \rightarrow 0.$$

We will show that the same is true for A_I . Using the estimate $|1 - \bar{z}_I z| \simeq |I|$ for $z \in S(I)$, and applying Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_I &\leq C |I|^{p-1} \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^p d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C |I|^{p-1} \left(\int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{S(I)} d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ &= C |I|^{p-1} \mu(S(I))^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The hypothesis (4.1) implies that for $|I|$ sufficiently small $\mu(S(I)) \leq |I| \left(\log \frac{e}{|I|} \right)^{-p}$, and that μ is a Carleson measure, i.e. (2.6) holds. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_I &\leq C|I|^{p-1} \left(|I| \left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{-p} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq CC_\mu^{p/2} \frac{|I|^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}p}} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\simeq \frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}p}} \left((1 - |z_I|) \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}p}} \left(\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |a|^2) \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(a)}{1 - \bar{a} \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the quantity inside the parenthesis is the square of the Garsia norm of $f \in BMOA_p \subset BMOA$. Since the Garsia norm is comparable to the BMOA norm ([13]), i.e.

$$(4.2) \quad \|f\|_{BMOA}^2 \simeq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta) - f(a)|^2 \frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}\zeta|^2} |d\zeta|,$$

we get

$$A_I \leq \frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}p}} \|f\|_{BMOA}^p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |I| \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |h'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0$$

which means that h is in $VMOA_p$ and the proof is complete. \square

The next Corollary says that there are plenty of semigroups for which $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $a \in (0, 1)$. If*

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{(1 - |z|)^a}{G(z)} = O(1), \quad |z| \rightarrow 1,$$

then $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$.

Proof. The hypothesis (4.3) implies

$$\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} \leq C(1 - |z|)^{p(1-a)-1}, \quad |z| \rightarrow 1,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S(I)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z) &\leq C \int_{S(I)} (1 - |z|)^{p(1-a)-1} dm(z) \\
&\leq C|I| \int_{1-|I|}^1 (1 - r)^{p(1-a)-1} dr \\
&= C \frac{|I|^{p(1-a)+1}}{p(1-a)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p \frac{|I|^{p(1-a)}}{p(1-a)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |I| \rightarrow 0,$$

the condition (4.1) is satisfied and the conclusion follows. \square

Next we prove a necessary condition for $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$, for semi-groups with Denjoy-Wolff point in the open disc.

Theorem 5. *Let $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a semigroup with infinitesimal generator G and Denjoy-Wolff point $b \in \mathbb{D}$. If $VMOA_p = [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$, then*

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z) = 0.$$

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality, that $b = 0$. Then, from (2.8)

$$G(z) = -zP(z), \quad \operatorname{Re}(P) \geq 0.$$

Next, we consider the function

$$g(z) = \int_0^z \frac{u}{G(u)} du = - \int_0^z \frac{1}{P(u)} du,$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{P}) \geq 0$ the function g is univalent [24, Proposition 1.10], and from the growth estimate $|1/P(z)| = O(\frac{1}{1-|z|})$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, for functions of non-negative real part it follows that $(1-|z|)|g'(z)|$ is bounded on \mathbb{D} , so $g \in \mathcal{B}$. But univalent functions of the Bloch space are the same as those in $BMOA_p$ [21, p. 134], hence $g \in BMOA_p$.

In addition $G(z)g'(z) = z \in BMOA_p$. This means that $g \in [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ and by hypothesis $g \in VMOA_p$. That is

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{|z|^p}{|G(z)|^p} (1-|z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0$$

and (4.4) follows since $|z| \simeq 1$ for $z \in S(I)$ when I is small. \square

Note that there is a gap between the conditions (4.1) and (4.4), and finding a characterization of the equality $VMOA_p = [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ in terms of the generator G seems to be difficult. Such a characterization however can be given, following [9], in terms of the resolvent operator

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma) = (\lambda - \Gamma)^{-1}$$

of the infinitesimal generator $\Gamma(f) = Gf'$ of the semigroup (T_t) acting on $VMOA_p$ when $1 < p < 2$. For those values of p it follows from the work of K.M. Perfekt [22] that we have the duality

$$(4.5) \quad VMOA_p^{**} = BMOA_p.$$

Indeed Perfekt shows that if X is a reflexive and separable Banach space, then its Möbius invariant subspace

$$M(X) = \{f \in X : \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \phi_a - f\|_X < \infty\}$$

and the little-o version

$$M_0(X) = \{f \in X : \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|f \circ \phi_a - f\|_X = 0\}$$

have the duality property

$$M_0(X)^{**} = M(X).$$

More details on the results from [22] will be given in Section 5. If $X = D_{p-1}^p$, $1 < p < 2$, then $M(X) = BMOA_p$ and $M_0(X) = VMOA_p$ and D_{p-1}^p is reflexive, as it can be seen by adjusting Luecking's result on the dual spaces of weighted Bergman spaces [18, Theorem 2.1]. Thus we get the duality (4.5).

A characterization of the semigroups for which $[\phi_t, BMOA] = VMOA$ was given in [9] in terms of properties of the resolvent operator. Following the same steps the result is seen to hold for $BMOA_p$ for all $1 < p < 2$ as the following proposition describes.

Proposition 1. Let $\{\phi_t\}$ be a semigroup and let Γ be the infinitesimal generator of the composition semigroup (T_t) acting on $VMOA_p$, $1 < p < 2$. Let $\rho(\Gamma) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma) = (\lambda - \Gamma)^{-1} : VMOA_p \rightarrow VMOA_p \text{ is bounded}\}$, the resolvent set. Then for $\lambda \in \rho(\Gamma)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$.
- (2) $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{**}(BMOA_p) \subset VMOA_p$.
- (3) $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma)$ is weakly compact on $VMOA_p$.

As mentioned above the proof follows the lines of [9, Theorem 4] and we omit the details.

5. VOLTERRA-TYPE OPERATORS ON $BMOA_p$

In this last section we study Volterra-type operators T_g and point out a connection between the maximal subspace $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ and some properties of these operators. The operators T_g are defined by

$$T_g(f)(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta)g'(\zeta) d\zeta \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}),$$

where $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is the inducing symbol. They were first considered by Ch. Pommerenke [25]. He proved that T_g is bounded on the Hardy space H^2 if and only if $g \in BMOA$. This characterization of boundedness was extended to all Hardy spaces H^p by A. Aleman and A. Siskakis [1] and the operator was subsequently studied on several spaces of analytic functions by many authors.

On the spaces of our concern, T_g were studied by J. Pau and R. Zhao [19]. They considered T_g on the more general family of the spaces $F(p, q, s)$ and in particular they proved for $BMOA_p = F(p, p-2, 1)$ and for $1 \leq p < 2$ that $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is bounded if and only if g satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \right) < \infty$$

and is compact if and only if the corresponding little-oh condition is valid, i.e. the quantity inside the parenthesis goes to 0 as $|I| \rightarrow 0$.

These results were extended by C. Yuan and C. Tong who proved that for $1 < p < 2$, the operator $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is bounded if and only if the same above condition (5.1) holds for g , and is compact if and only if the corresponding little-oh condition is valid [38, Theorem 14 & Corollary 16, (3)]

In the next theorem we show that for the missing case $p = 1$ in the results of [38], an analogous characterization of boundedness and compactness holds.

Theorem 6. *Let g be an analytic function on \mathbb{D} . Then:*

(1) *The operator $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is bounded if and only if*

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \right) < \infty.$$

(2) *The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is compact.*

(ii) *The function g satisfies,*

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \right) = 0.$$

(iii) *$T_g(BMOA) \subset VMOA_1$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose (5.2) holds and let $f \in BMOA$. Let $z_I = (1 - |I|)\xi$, where $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ is the center of I . Then

$$(5.4) \quad \|T_g(f)\|_{BMOA_1} \simeq \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)g'(z)| dm(z).$$

Setting

$$d\mu(z) = d\mu_g(z) := |g'(z)|dm(z),$$

(this notation will be used throughout the proof) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)g'(z)| dm(z) &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)| d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z) - f(z_I)| d\mu(z) + |f(z_I)| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z) - f(z_I)| d\mu(z) + C \left(\frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \right) \|f\|_{BMOA} \\ &\leq A_I(f) + C' \|f\|_{BMOA}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the growth estimate for $BMOA$ functions and the hypothesis (5.2). Using the estimate $|1 - \bar{z}_I z| \simeq |I|$ for $z \in S(I)$, the quantity $A_I(f)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} A_I(f) &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right| |1 - \bar{z}_I z| d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right| d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

We can then continue with the last integral by repeating the steps in the proof of Theorem 4. In specific, apply a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, use (5.2) and its implication that $d\mu(z)$ is a Carleson measure, and change to polar coordinates to obtain

$$\int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right| d\mu(z) \leq \frac{C}{(\log \frac{2}{|I|})^{1/2}} \|f\|_G$$

where $\|f\|_G$ is the Garsia norm of f , which is equivalent to $\|f\|_{BMOA}$. It follows then from (5.4) that $\|T_g(f)\|_{BMOA_1} \leq C \|f\|_{BMOA}$ for some constant C and for all $f \in BMOA$, i.e. $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is bounded.

For the converse, assume that $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is bounded and use the test functions

$$f_a(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}z}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D},$$

which form a bounded set in $BMOA$. If $a = z_I$ then $|f_a(z)| \simeq \log \frac{2}{|I|}$ for $z \in S(I)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) &\leq C \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_a(z)| |g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &\leq C' \|T_g(f_a)\|_{BMOA_1} \\ &\leq C' \|T_g\| \|f_a\|_{BMOA} \\ &\leq C'' \|T_g\| \end{aligned}$$

so (5.2) holds.

(2) We first show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. First assume (ii) holds, then we will show that $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is compact. Using a well known characterization of compactness of operators on function spaces, see for example [32, Lemma 3.7], it suffices to show that if (f_n) is a bounded sequence in $BMOA$ such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subset of \mathbb{D} then $\|T_g(f_n)\|_{BMOA_1} \rightarrow 0$. Equivalently we want to show that

$$(5.5) \quad \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_n(z)| |g'(z)| dm(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To show this we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_n(z)| |g'(z)| dm(z) &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)| |g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &\quad + |f_n(z_I)| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &= A_n(I) + B_n(I), \end{aligned}$$

where z_I is as before.

We first treat $B_n(I)$. Using the assumptions on (f_n) we have

$$|f_n(z_I)| \leq C \|f_n\|_{BMOA} \log \frac{2}{1 - |z_I|} \leq C' \log \frac{2}{|I|},$$

for all I and n , so that

$$B_n(I) \leq C' \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z)$$

for all n . Now given $\varepsilon > 0$, by the hypothesis (5.3) and the above inequality, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|I| < \delta$ then $B_n(I) < \varepsilon/2$ for all n , thus, $\sup_{|I| < \delta} B_n(I) \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all n .

On the other hand if I is an arc with $|I| \geq \delta$ then $|z_I| = 1 - |I| \leq 1 - \delta$, so z_I is inside the closed disc $\{|z| \leq 1 - \delta\}$. This and the assumption of the convergence of (f_n) to 0, uniformly on compact sets, imply that there is an N_0 such that for $n \geq N_0$

$$|f_n(z_I)| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \leq \left(\sup_{|z| \leq 1 - \delta} |f_n(z)| \right) \|g\|_{BMOA_1} \leq \varepsilon/2,$$

thus $\sup_{|I| \geq \delta} B_n(I) \leq \varepsilon/2$, for $n \geq N_0$. It follows then that

$$\sup_I B_n(I) = \max \left\{ \sup_{|I| < \delta} B_n(I), \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} B_n(I) \right\} \leq \sup_{|I| < \delta} B_n(I) + \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} B_n(I) \leq \varepsilon,$$

for $n \geq N_0$, therefore

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_I B_n(I) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_I |f_n(z_I)| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \right) = 0.$$

Now we consider $A_n(I)$. Using the estimate $|1 - \bar{z}_I z| \simeq |I|$ for $z \in S(I)$ and recalling that $d\mu(z) = |g'(z)| dm(z)$ we have

$$(5.7) \quad A_n(I) \simeq \int_{S(I)} \frac{|f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)|}{|1 - \bar{z}_I z|} d\mu(z).$$

The hypothesis (5.3) implies

$$\mu(S(I)) \leq C \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \quad \text{for all } I,$$

and applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(I)^2 &\simeq \left(\int_{S(I)} \frac{|f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)|}{|1 - \bar{z}_I z|} d\mu(z) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \mu(S(I)) \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \\ &\simeq \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) + \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| \leq r\}$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ will be chosen later. We consider each term of this sum separately.

For the integral in the first term, putting $d\mu_r = d\mu|_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D_r}$ and applying (2.6) in the first inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu_r(z) \\ &\leq C \|\mu_r\|_{CM} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(\zeta) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \\ &= C \|\mu_r\|_{CM} \frac{1 - |z_I|}{|I|} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(\zeta) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \\ &\leq C' \|\mu_r\|_{CM} \frac{1}{|I|} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left((1 - |a|^2) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(\zeta) - f_n(a)}{1 - \bar{a} \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \right) \\ &\leq C'' \frac{1}{|I|} \|\mu_r\|_{CM} \|f_n\|_{BMOA}^2 \\ &\leq C''' \frac{1}{|I|} \|\mu_r\|_{CM}, \end{aligned}$$

for all I . At this point, since μ is a vanishing Carleson measure, we can use [38, Lemma 15] which says that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|\mu_r\|_{CM} = 0$. Thus given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find an r such that

$$(5.8) \quad \sup_I \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Now we consider the second term, with r fixed as above. For $|z| \leq r$ we have $|1 - \bar{z}_I z|^2 > (1 - r)^2$ and $\sup_{|z| \leq r} |g'(z)| < \infty$, so there are constants such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) &\leq C_r \int_{D_r} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z) + C_r |f_n(z_I)|^2 \int_{D_r} d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C'_r \sup_{|z| \leq r} |f_n(z)|^2 + C'_r |f_n(z_I)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) &\leq C'_r \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \sup_{|z| \leq r} |f_n(z)|^2 + C'_r \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 \\ &\leq C''_r \sup_{|z| \leq r} |f_n(z)|^2 + C'_r \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis on (f_n) , the first term above tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition for each small positive δ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_I \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 &\leq \sup_{|I| < \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 + \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 \\ &\leq C \sup_{|I| < \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^2 + \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 \\ &= C \sup_{|I| < \delta} |I| \log \frac{2}{|I|} + \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now for the given ε we can choose δ such that if $|I| < \delta$, then $C|I| \log \frac{2}{|I|} < \varepsilon$, so that

$$\sup_{|I| < \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 < \varepsilon,$$

for all n . At the same time if $|I| \geq \delta$ then $|z_I| \leq 1 - \delta$ and by the hypothesis on (f_n) and the fact that $\frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}$ remains bounded, we can find N_1 such that

$$\sup_{|I| \geq \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 < \varepsilon$$

for $n \geq N_1$. It follows from the last two inequalities that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_I \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 = 0.$$

Putting the above together gives

$$(5.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_I \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \right) = 0.$$

From this and (5.8) we obtain $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(I) = 0$, and finally in combination with (5.6) the desired conclusion (5.5) is obtained.

To show the converse assume $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$ is compact. Then, taking into account that there is a constant C such that for each $f \in BMOA_1$, $\|f\|_{BMOA} \leq C\|f\|_{BMOA_1}$, we can easily verify that the restriction

$$T_g|_{BMOA_1} : BMOA_1 \rightarrow BMOA_1$$

is a compact operator. It then follows from [19, Theorem 5.2 (ii)], applied for the space $BMOA_1 = F(1, -1, 1)$, that

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \log \frac{2}{1 - |a|^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|(1 - |\phi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) = 0$$

and this condition on g is well known to be equivalent to (5.3).

Next we show that conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Suppose (ii) holds and $f \in BMOA$, we need to show that $T_g(f) \in VMOA_1$ or equivalently that

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)||g'(z)| dm(z) = 0.$$

To do this we can follow the steps in the proof of Theorem 4 for the value $p = 1$, setting $d\mu(z) = |g'(z)|dm(z)$. As in that proof the calculations lead to an inequality involving the Garsia norm of f and finally to the conclusion that the above limit is 0, so condition (5.3) implies that $T_g(BMOA) \subset VMOA_1$.

To show the converse we use the test functions

$$f_I(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \in BMOA,$$

thus $T_g(f_I) \in VMOA_1$. Using the standard estimate $|f_I(z)| \simeq \log \frac{2}{|I|}$ for $z \in S(I)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) &\leq \frac{C}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_I(z)||g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &= \frac{C}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |T_g(f_I)'(z)| dm(z) \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired conclusion. \square

To continue the study of compactness and weak compactness of Volterra operators on $BMOA_p$ we will need some results of K.M. Perfekt from [22],[23]. In these articles there is a general construction of pairs of spaces (M_0, M) obtained by little oh and big oh conditions respectively. More precisely let X, Y be two Banach spaces, where X is reflexive and separable, and let \mathcal{L} be a family of bounded linear operators $L : X \rightarrow Y$, equipped with a σ -compact, locally compact Hausdorff topology τ , such that for every $x \in X$ the map $T_x : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow Y$, $T_x(L) = L(x)$ is continuous. A pair of spaces is then defined

$$M = M(X, \mathcal{L}) = \{x \in X : \sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \|L(x)\|_Y < \infty\},$$

$$M_0 = M_0(X, \mathcal{L}) = \{x \in M : \limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \|L(x)\|_Y = 0\}$$

where $L \rightarrow \infty$ in the definition of M_0 means that L eventually escapes all compact subsets of the topological space (\mathcal{L}, τ) . Under appropriate assumptions on \mathcal{L} the quantity $\|x\|_M = \sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \|L(x)\|_Y$ is a norm on M and, by

replacing X by the closure of M in X and repeating the construction, it may be assumed that M is dense in X . It is clear that M_0 is a closed subspace of M . This construction puts in a very general frame classical pairs such as the mean oscillation pair $(VMOA, BMOA)$, the Bloch pair $(\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B})$, other Möbius invariant pairs, Lipschitz-Hölder spaces, etc. Using functional analysis methods it is then proved under mild additional assumptions that the duality $M_0^{**} = M$ holds in this general context and also the following theorem on weak compactness.

Theorem A. ([23, Theorem 3.2]). *Let Z a Banach space. A bounded linear operator $T : M_0(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow Z$ is weakly compact if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|T(x)\|_Z \leq C\|x\|_X + \varepsilon\|x\|_M, \quad \text{for each } x \in M_0(X, \mathcal{L})$$

In addition as a corollary, the same above characterization holds for weak compactness of operators $T : M(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow Z$ provided that they are *weak** – *weak* continuous with respect to the duality $M_0^{**} = M$.

To obtain the pair of spaces $(VMOA_p, BMOA_p)$, we apply the above construction with $X = Y = D_{p-1}^p$, $1 < p < 2$, and

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L_a : a \in \mathbb{D}\}, \quad L_a(f) = f \circ \varphi_a - f(a),$$

with φ_a the Möbius automorphisms of \mathbb{D} . We can then apply the above theorem as in [23, Example 4] to obtain information about the Volterra operators T_g acting on the spaces, thus generalizing results from [31], [9], [16], concerning compactness and weak compactness of T_g on the pair $(VMOA, BMOA)$.

Theorem 7. *Let $1 < p < 2$ and g analytic on \mathbb{D} such that the Volterra operator $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is bounded. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $T_g : VMOA_p \rightarrow VMOA_p$ is weakly compact
- (ii) $T_g(BMOA_p) \subseteq VMOA_p$
- (iii) $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is weakly compact
- (iv) The function g satisfies

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0.$$

Proof. Starting with the duality $(VMOA_p)^{**} = BMOA_p$ it is easy to verify that $(T_g|_{VMOA_p})^{**} = T_g$. Hence, the equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii) is immediate due to Gantmacher's Theorem [2, Theorem 5.23]. We will prove the equivalence between (iii), (iv).

Suppose (iii) holds. Consider a sequence of arcs $\{I_n\}$ such that $|I_n| \rightarrow 0$, and let $w_n = (1 - |I_n|)\zeta_n$ where $\zeta_n \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ is the center of the arc I_n . Without loss of generality we may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Consider the functions

$$f_n(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}_n z}, \quad f_0(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\zeta} z}, \quad h_n(z) = \log \frac{1 - \bar{\zeta} z}{1 - \bar{w}_n z},$$

and notice that $\|h_n\|_{BMOA_p} \leq C \|\log \frac{1}{1-z}\|_{BMOA_p}$, and also that

$$(5.10) \quad \lim_{|I_n| \rightarrow 0} \|h_n\|_{D_{p-1}^p} = 0.$$

For $z \in S(I_n)$ we have that $|f_n(z)| \simeq \log \frac{2}{|I_n|}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I_n|}\right)^p}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |f_n(z)|^p |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |f_0(z)|^p |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ & \quad + C \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |f_n(z) - f_0(z)|^p |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |(T_g(f_0)(z))'|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) + C \|T_g(h_n)\|_{BMOA_p}^p \\ & = A_n + B_n \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_0 \in BMOA_p$ from the equivalence of (ii) and (iii) we have that $T_g(f_0) \in VMOA_p$ thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0$. In addition by the hypothesis and the theorem mentioned above [23, Theorem 3.2], for $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_g(h_n)\|_{BMOA_p} & \leq C \|h_n\|_{D_{p-1}^p} + \varepsilon \|h_n\|_{BMOA_p} \\ & \leq C \|h_n\|_{D_{p-1}^p} + \varepsilon C' \|\log \frac{1}{1-z}\|_{BMOA_p}. \end{aligned}$$

In combination with (5.10) it follows then that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = 0$ and the desired condition (iv) follows.

Conversely if (iv) holds then from [19, Theorem 5.2(ii)] T_g is compact on $BMOA_p$ and (iii) follows. \square

We collect in a corollary the information about Volterra operators on $BMOA_p$.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $1 < p < 2$ and let g be analytic on \mathbb{D} , such that the operator $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is bounded. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is compact
- (ii) $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is compact
- (iii) $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$ is weakly compact
- (iv) $T_g : VMOA_p \rightarrow VMOA_p$ is weakly compact
- (v) $T_g(BMOA_p) \subseteq VMOA_p$
- (vi) $T_g(BMOA) \subseteq VMOA_p$

(vii) The function g satisfies

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0.$$

5.1. An application to composition semigroups. We will apply the above results on T_g , with a specific choice of the symbol g , to characterize the maximal subspace of strong continuity $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ when the inducing semigroup of functions $\{\phi_t\}$ has its Denjoy-Wolff point inside the disc, thus extending results in [9, Corollary 2].

Given a semigroup $\{\phi_t\}$ with Denjoy-Wolff point b and infinitesimal generator G , define the *associated g -symbol* $\gamma(z)$ as follows,

- (1) If $b \in \mathbb{D}$ let $\gamma(z) = \int_b^z \frac{\zeta - b}{G(\zeta)} d\zeta$
- (2) If $b \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ let $\gamma(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{G(\zeta)} d\zeta$,

and consider the Volterra operators T_γ . Notice that if $b \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then γ coincides with the Koenigs function h associated to $\{\phi_t\}$ [28, page 234]. The function h has the geometric property that for every $w \in h(\mathbb{D})$, the half-line $\{w+t : t \geq 0\}$ is contained in $h(\mathbb{D})$. Thus the range of h contains an infinite strip, and an immediate consequence is that h does not belong to the little Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0 . This implies that T_γ cannot be bounded on $BMOA_p$. For if we assume that T_γ is bounded then condition (5.1) holds for $g = \gamma$. In particular, since $(\log \frac{2}{|I|})^p \rightarrow \infty$ as $|I| \rightarrow 0$ we must have

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |\gamma'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0,$$

thus $h = \gamma \in VMOA_p \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ and this is a contradiction.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $1 < p < 2$ and $\{\phi_t\}$ a semigroup with Denjoy-Wolff point $b \in \mathbb{D}$ and associated g -symbol $\gamma(z)$, such that T_γ is bounded on $BMOA_p$. Then $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ if and only if T_γ is (weakly) compact on $BMOA_p$.*

Proof. Suppose T_γ is (weakly) compact. From Corollary (5.1), condition (vii) holds with $\frac{z-b}{G} = \gamma'$, and consequently Theorem 4 gives the desired conclusion.

Conversely, suppose that $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$. Note that $f'/\gamma' = Gf'/(z-b)$ and that, since $G(b) = 0$, we have $Gf' \in BMOA_p$ if and only if $Gf'/(z-b) \in BMOA_p$. By the assumption and from (2.9), we have that

$$VMOA_p = \overline{\{f \in BMOA_p : Gf' \in BMOA_p\}}$$

Now if $f \in BMOA_p$ is such that $Gf' \in BMOA_p$, this is equivalent to $f'/\gamma' = m \in BMOA_p$ and further to

$$f(z) = \int_0^z m(\zeta)\gamma'(\zeta) d\zeta + c, \quad c \text{ a constant,}$$

for some $m(z) \in BMOA_p$. Thus $f \in BMOA_p \cap (T_\gamma(BMOA_p) \oplus \mathbb{C})$. But T_γ is bounded on $BMOA_p$ so this intersection is just the image $T_\gamma(BMOA_p)$ plus the constants. Since $VMOA_p$ is closed, it follows that $T_\gamma(BMOA_p) \subset VMOA_p$ and Corollary 5.1 then says that T_γ is (weakly) compact on $BMOA_p$. \square

5.2. Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank professor A.G. Siskakis for carefully reading this manuscript and for his useful suggestions that helped to improve this article.

REFERENCES

1. A. Aleman and A. Siskakis, An integral operator on H^p , *Complex Variables*, 28 (1995), 140-158.
2. C. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, (2006)
3. A. Anderson, M. Jovovic, W. Smith, *Composition semigroups on BMOA and H^∞* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 449(1) (2017), 843-852
4. R. Aulaskari, P. Lappan, *Criteria for an analytic function to be Bloch and a harmonic or meromorphic function to be normal*, *Complex analysis and its Applications*, Pittman Research notes in Mathematics, Series 305, Longman, Harlow (1994), 136-146
5. R. Aulaskari, L. Tovar, *On the function spaces B_p and Q_p* , *Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc.*, 1(1997), 203-208
6. R. Aulaskari, J. Xiao, R. Zhao, *On subspaces and subsets of BMOA and UBC*, *Analysis* 15(1995), 101-121
7. E. Berkson, H. Porta, *Semigroups of analytic functions and composition operators*, *Michigan Math. J.*, 25(1978), 101-115
8. O. Blasco, M. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal, J. Martinez, A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators in BMOA and the extension of a theorem of Sarason*, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, 61(2008), 45-62
9. O. Blasco, M. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal, J. Martinez, M. Papadimitrakis, A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators and integral operators in spaces of analytic functions*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 38(2013), 1-23
10. P. Bourdon, J. Cima, A. Matheson, *Compact composition operators on BMOA*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 351(1999), 2183-2196
11. M. D. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal, D. Vukotić, *Compact and weakly compact composition operators from the Bloch space into Möbius invariant spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Volume 415, (2)(2014), 713-735
12. N. Danikas, C. Mouratides, *Blaschke products in Q_p spaces*, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* 43 (2000), 199-209.
13. D. Girela, *Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation*, *Complex function spaces*, 61-170, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., 4(2001)
14. D. Girela and J. A. Peláez, *Carleson measures, multipliers and integration operators for spaces of Dirichlet type* *J. Funct. Anal.* 241 (2006), 334-358.
15. D. Girela, J.A. Peláez and D. Vukotic, *Integrability of the derivative of a Blaschke product*, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) 50 (2007), 673-687.
16. J. Laitila, S. Miihkinen and P. Nieminen, *Essential norms and weak compactness of integration operators* *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 97 (2011), 39-48.
17. M. Lindström and N. Palmberg, *Duality of a large family of analytic function spaces*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 32 (2007), 251-267.
18. D. Luecking, *Representation and Duality in Weighted Spaces of Analytic Functions*, *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 34(2) (1985), 319-336
19. J. Pau, R. Zhao *Carleson Measures, Riemann Stieltjes and Multiplication operators on a general family of function spaces*, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 78(2014), 483-514
20. F. Perez-Gonzalez, J. Rättyä, *Inner functions in the Möbius invariant Besov-type spaces*, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.*, 52(2009), 751-770
21. F. Perez-Gonzalez, J. Rättyä, *Univalent functions in Hardy, Bergman and related spaces*, *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 105(1)(2008), 125-148
22. K. M. Perfekt, *Duality and Distance Formulas in Spaces Defined by Means of Oscillation*, *Arkiv för Matematik* 51 (2013), 345-361.
23. K. M. Perfekt, *Weak Compactness of Operators Acting on o - O Type Spaces*, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 47 (2015), 677-685

24. Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992
25. C. Pommerenke, Schlichte funktionen und analytische funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer oszillation, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 52 (1977), 591-602
26. J. Rättyä, *On some complex function spaces and classes*, *Annales Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.*, 124(2001), 1-73
27. D. Sarason, *Functions of vanishing mean oscillation*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 207(1975), 391-405
28. A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, a review*, *Contemp. Math.* 213(1998), 229-252
29. A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators in Bergman spaces*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 35(1987), 397-406
30. A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators on the Dirichlet space*, *Results Math.*, 30(1996), 165-173.
31. A.Siskakis, R. Zhao *Voltera type operators on spaces of analytic functions*, *Contemp. Math.*, 232(1999), 299-312
32. M. Tjani, *Compact composition operators on Besov spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 4683-4698.
33. S. Vinogradov, *Multiplication and division in the space of analytic functions with area integrable derivative, and in some related spaces*, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 87 (5) (1997), 3806-3827
34. K. Wirths, J. Xiao, *Recognizing $Q_{p,0}$ functions per Dirichlet space structure*, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* 8(2001), 47-59
35. Z. Wu, *Carleson measures and multipliers of Dirichlet spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 169(1999), 148-163
36. J. Xiao, *Holomorphic Q classes*, Springer - Verlag, Lecture notes in Mathematics, (2001)
37. J. Xiao, *Geometric Q_p functions*, Birkhauser Verlag, (2006)
38. C. Yuan, C. Tong, *On analytic Campanato and $F(p,q,s)$ spaces*, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 12 (2018), 1845-1875
39. R. Zhao, *On a general family of function spaces*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.*, 105(1996), 1-56

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI, 54124, GREECE
Email address: V. Daskalogiannis: `vdaskalo@math.auth.gr`

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI, 54124, GREECE
Email address: P. Galanopoulos: `petros gala@math.auth.gr`