

Balancedly splittable orthogonal designs and equiangular tight frames

Hadi Kharaghani* Thomas Pender† Sho Suda‡

December 6, 2023

Abstract

The concept of balancedly splittable orthogonal designs is introduced along with a recursive construction. As an application, equiangular tight frames over the real, complex, and quaternions meeting the Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel upper bound are obtained.

1 Introduction

A *Hadamard matrix* is a square matrix H_n of order n , with entries in the set $\{1, -1\}$, such that $H_n H_n^t = nI_n$. These structures, along with their more general counterpart *weighing matrices*, have proved to be useful in a variety of settings, from theoretical applications in finite geometry and combinatorial designs, to applied applications in statistics and optics (see [6] and the references cited therein). Despite the growing interest in these objects, insight into their existence and properties has proven difficult. One must draw on several branches of mathematics to answer even the simplest of questions.

Nevertheless, researchers have continued to find new and interesting applications for these elusive objects. A recent development in [5] has been to *split* the matrix into two parts. A Hadamard matrix is said to be *balancedly splittable* if it is permutation equivalent to a matrix that has an $\ell \times n$ submatrix H_1 such that $H_1^t H_1 = \ell I_n + aA + b\bar{A}$, where A is the adjacency matrix of some simple (no loops) undirected graph and \bar{A} its compliment. The aim in this paper is twofold; first, it generalizes and extends the existing results on balancedly splittable Hadamard matrices to larger classes. Second, it introduces variables in the structures to help open the concept for further research. A connection with a recent result of Shayne Waldron [7] is discussed and examples are given. Due to the variety of applications of equiangular tight frames in areas such as error-correcting codes, signal reconstruction, and other practical applications, see [1] for details, the attempt here is to add more useful tools to study them.

Preliminary materials are covered in Section 2. The study of splittable orthogonal designs is divided to the *faithful* and *unfaithful* parts in Section 3.

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, T1K 3M4, Canada. kharaghani@uleth.ca

†Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, T1K 3M4, Canada. thomas.pender@uleth.ca

‡Department of Mathematics, National Defense Academy of Japan, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 239-8686, Japan. ssuda@nda.ac.jp

Section 4 is devoted to the construction of unfaithful case, and Section 5 to the faithful case. The connection to unbiased orthogonal designs is discussed in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Quaternionic and Butson Hadamard matrices

The constructions in Section 4 and 5 apply to real, quaternary, Butson, and Quaternionic Hadamard matrices. As a reminder, the Butson and Quaternionic Hadamard matrices are defined next.

A *Butson Hadamard matrix* H_n is a square matrix of order n , with entries from the set of complex m^{th} roots of unity, such that $H_n H_n^* = nI_n$, where $(\cdot)^*$ is the usual conjugate transpose. Such a matrix will be shown by $\text{BH}(n, m)$.

Let \mathbb{H} be the non-commutative associative \mathbb{R} -algebra of quaternions:

$$\mathbb{H} = \{q_1 + q_2i + q_3j + q_4k \mid q_\ell \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\ell \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\})\}$$

where $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$.

A *quaternionic Hadamard matrix of order n* is a square matrix H_n of order n , with entries in the set $\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$, such that $H_n H_n^* = nI_n$.

2.2 Orthogonal designs

There are many generalizations of Hadamard and weighing matrices. For the purposes at hand, we will focus on one such generalization, that is, orthogonal designs.

Definition 1. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u be real indeterminates. Then an *orthogonal design* of order n and in variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u , denoted $\text{OD}(n; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u)$, is a square matrix X_n of order n , with entries from the set $\{0, \pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_u\}$, such that $X_n X_n^t = \sigma I_n$, where $\sigma = \sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell x_\ell^2$.

By replacing each indeterminate with unity, one obtains a weighing matrix of weight $\sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell$; and if $\sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell = n$, then one has a Hadamard matrix.

By changing the base field, the orthogonal designs may be extended to *complex orthogonal designs* or *quaternionic orthogonal designs* as follows.

Definition 2. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u be complex indeterminates. Then a *complex orthogonal design* of order n and in variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u , denoted $\text{COD}(n; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u)$, is a square matrix X_n of order n , with entries from the set $\{0, \pm \varepsilon_\ell x_\ell, \pm \varepsilon_\ell x_\ell^* \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq u, \varepsilon_\ell \in \{1, i\}\}$ such that $X X^* = \sigma I_n$, where $\sigma = \sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell |x_\ell|^2$.

Definition 3. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u be complex indeterminates. A *(restricted) quaternionic orthogonal design* is a square matrix X_n of order n , with entries from the set $\{0, \pm \varepsilon_\ell x_\ell, \pm \varepsilon_\ell x_\ell^* \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq u, \varepsilon_\ell \in \{1, i, j, k\}\}$ such that $X_n X_n^* = \sigma I_n$, and $\sigma = \sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell |x_\ell|^2$. One then writes X_n is a $\text{QOD}(n; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u)$.

Remark. Note that if the coefficients of the variables of a QOD are in $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$, then it is a COD; and that if the coefficients of the variables in a COD are in $\{\pm 1\}$, and if the variables are restricted to be real, then it is an OD.

A (real, complex, or quaternionic) orthogonal design of order n is said to be *full* if $\sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell = n$.

Historically, there have been two main approaches to the subject, namely, the algebraic and the combinatorial methods. The former has successfully been completed for many cases by obtaining necessary conditions for the existence (see [6]).

2.3 Equiangular tight frames

A *frame* for \mathbb{H}^d is a sequence of vectors $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ such that there are some constants $A, B > 0$ (frame constants) such that

$$A\|v\|^2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^n |\langle v, v_\ell \rangle|^2 \leq B\|v\|^2$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{H}^d$. A frame is said to be *tight* if $A = B$ holds. By [7, Proposition 2.2], $V = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_n]$ is a tight frame with the frame constant A if and only if $P = \frac{1}{A}V^*V$ satisfies that $P^2 = P$.

A tight frame $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is said to be *equiangular* if $V = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_n]$ satisfies that the off-diagonal entries of V^*V have all the same absolute value.

3 Balancedly splittable orthogonal designs

As a generalization of the case of Hadamard matrices, we define balancedly splittable orthogonal designs as follows.

Definition 4. Let X_n be a full QOD($n; s_1, \dots, s_u$). The orthogonal design X_n is said to be *balancedly splittable* if X_n contains an $m \times n$ submatrix X_1 where one of the following three conditions holds: for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$,

- (i) the off diagonal entries of $X_1^*X_1$ are in the set

$$\{\pm \varepsilon c x_1^{\ell_1} \cdots x_u^{\ell_u} (x_1^*)^{\ell'_1} \cdots (x_u^*)^{\ell'_u} \mid \ell_i, \ell'_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \varepsilon \in \{1, i, j, k\}, c \in \{\alpha, \alpha^*, \beta, \beta^*\}\},$$

- (ii) the off diagonal entries of $X_1^*X_1$ are in the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^u t_i |x_i|^2 \mid t_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \sum_{i=1}^u t_i = m \right\} \quad (1)$$

or

$$\{\pm \varepsilon c x_1^{\ell_1} \cdots x_u^{\ell_u} (x_1^*)^{\ell'_1} \cdots (x_u^*)^{\ell'_u} \mid \ell_i, \ell'_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \varepsilon \in \{1, i, j, k\}, c \in \{\alpha, \alpha^*, \beta, \beta^*\}\}$$

and there is some off-diagonal entry belonging to the set (1),

- (iii) the off diagonal entries of $X_1^*X_1$ are in the set

$$\{\pm \varepsilon c \sigma \mid \varepsilon \in \{1, i, j, k\}, c \in \{\alpha, \alpha^*, \beta, \beta^*\}\}$$

where $\sigma = \sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell |x_\ell|^2$.

In the first case, we say that the split is *unstable*; and in the second case, the split is *unfaithful unstable*; while in the last, the split is *stable*.

We will use *faithful* for both the first and third cases.

Remark. Note that the diagonal entries of $X_1^* X_1$ are in the set (1).

We shall see that a design may have both possibilities in (ii) simultaneously. Moreover, the special case in which $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ will be of particular interest to us, at which point X_1 is an equiangular tight frame.

Proposition 5. Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ be a faithful balancedly splittable quaternionic orthogonal design $\text{QOD}(n; s_1, \dots, s_u)$ with $\sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell = n$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta|$. Then the column vectors of the $m \times n$ submatrix X_1 form an equiangular tight frame with frame constant n that is parametrized by the complex variables x_1, \dots, x_u , provided that $|x_1| = \dots = |x_u| = 1$.

4 Construction: unfaithful case

In this section, a construction method for real or complex orthogonal designs with the property of being unfaithful is shown.

First a construction for orthogonal designs $\text{OD}(q^m(q+1); q^m, q^{m+1})$. Let q be the order of a skew symmetric core Q of a skew symmetric conference matrix S , that is, Q is defined as

$$DSD = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}^\top \\ -1 & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

where D is some diagonal matrix with diagonal entries in $\{1, -1\}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the all-ones vector. Define the following matrices recursively for each nonnegative integer m .

$$\mathcal{J}_m^{(q)} = \begin{cases} aJ_1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ J_q \otimes \mathcal{A}_{m-1}^{(q)} & \text{if } m \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{A}_m^{(q)} = \begin{cases} bJ_1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ I_q \otimes \mathcal{J}_{m-1}^{(q)} + Q \otimes \mathcal{A}_{m-1}^{(q)} & \text{if } m \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where a and b are variables and J_n is the $n \times n$ all-ones matrix. We write $\mathcal{J}_m = \mathcal{J}_m^{(q)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_m = \mathcal{A}_m^{(q)}$. The following is similarly shown as [2, Section 3].

Lemma 6. The following hold.

- (i) $\mathcal{J}_m \mathcal{A}_m^t = \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{J}_m^t$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{J}_m \mathcal{J}_m^t + q \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{A}_m^t = (q^m a^2 + q^{m+1} b^2) I_{q^m}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{J}_1^t \mathcal{J}_1 = qa^2 J_q, \mathcal{A}_1^t \mathcal{A}_1 = a^2 I_q + b^2 (qI_q - J_q), \mathcal{A}_1^t \mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}_1^t \mathcal{A}_1 = abJ_q$.

We are ready for the case of real orthogonal designs.

Theorem 7. Let $X_m^{(q)} = I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{J}_m^{(q)} + S \otimes \mathcal{A}_m^{(q)}$.

- (i) The matrix $X_m^{(q)}$ is an orthogonal design $\text{OD}(q^m(q+1); q^m, q^{m+1})$.

- (ii) The matrix $X_1^{(q)}$ is an unfaithful balancedly splittable orthogonal design $\text{OD}(q(q+1); q, q^2)$.

Proof. Write $X_m = X_m^{(q)}$. The matrix X_m has entries in $\{\pm a, \pm b\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
X_m X_m^t &= (I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{J}_m)(I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{J}_m)^t + (I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{J}_m)(S \otimes \mathcal{A}_m)^t \\
&\quad + (S \otimes \mathcal{A}_m)(I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{J}_m)^t + (S \otimes \mathcal{A}_m)(S \otimes \mathcal{A}_m)^t \\
&= I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{J}_m \mathcal{J}_m^t + S^t \otimes \mathcal{J}_m \mathcal{A}_m^t + S \otimes \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{J}_m^t + S S^t \otimes \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{A}_m^t \\
&= I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{J}_m \mathcal{J}_m^t + (S + S^t) \otimes \mathcal{J}_m \mathcal{A}_m^t + q I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{A}_m^t \\
&= I_{q+1} \otimes (\mathcal{J}_m \mathcal{J}_m^t + q \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{A}_m^t) \\
&= I_{q+1} \otimes (q^m a + q^{m+1} b) I_{q^m} \\
&= (q^m a^2 + q^{m+1} b^2) I_{(q+1)q^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore X_m is an orthogonal design.

Take $X' = [\mathcal{J}_1 \ \mathcal{A}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathcal{A}_1]$ as the submatrix of X_1 whose rows are in the first q rows of $X_1^{(q)}$. Then we calculate $(X')^t X'$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(X')^t X' &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{J}_1^t \mathcal{J}_1 & \mathcal{J}_1^t \mathcal{A}_1 & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_1^t \mathcal{A}_1 \\ \mathcal{A}_1^t \mathcal{J}_1 & \mathcal{A}_1^t \mathcal{A}_1 & \cdots & \mathcal{A}_1^t \mathcal{A}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}_1^t \mathcal{J}_1 & \mathcal{A}_1^t \mathcal{A}_1 & \cdots & \mathcal{A}_1^t \mathcal{A}_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} qa^2 J_q & ab J_q & \cdots & ab J_q \\ ab J_q & a^2 I_q + b^2 (q I_q - J_q) & \cdots & a^2 I_q + b^2 (q I_q - J_q) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ ab J_q & a^2 I_q + b^2 (q I_q - J_q) & \cdots & a^2 I_q + b^2 (q I_q - J_q) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, X_1 is an unfaithful balancedly splittable orthogonal design. \square

Next, we construct a complex orthogonal design $\text{COD}(q^m(q+1); q^m, q^{m+1})$.

Let q be the order of a symmetric core Q of a symmetric conference matrix S . Define the following matrices recursively for each nonnegative integer m .

$$\mathcal{C}_m^{(q)} = \begin{cases} a J_1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ J_q \otimes \mathcal{D}_{m-1}^{(q)} & \text{if } m \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{D}_m^{(q)} = \begin{cases} b J_1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ I_q \otimes \mathcal{C}_{m-1}^{(q)} + i Q \otimes \mathcal{D}_{m-1}^{(q)} & \text{if } m \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where a and b are variables. We write $\mathcal{C}_m = \mathcal{C}_m^{(q)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{D}_m^{(q)}$. Then it is similarly shown as the case $\mathcal{J}_m, \mathcal{A}_m$ that:

Lemma 8. The following hold.

- (i) $\mathcal{C}_m \mathcal{D}_m^* = \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{C}_m^*$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}_m \mathcal{C}_m^* + q \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{D}_m^* = (q^m a^2 + q^{m+1} b^2) I_{q^m}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}_1^* \mathcal{D}_1 = qa^2 J_q, \mathcal{D}_1^* \mathcal{D}_1 = a^2 I_q + b^2 (q I_q - J_q), \mathcal{D}_1^* \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1^* \mathcal{D}_1 = ab J_q$.

Theorem 9. Let $Y_m^{(q)} = i I_{q+1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_m^{(q)} + S \otimes \mathcal{D}_m^{(q)}$.

- (i) The matrix $Y_m^{(q)}$ is a complex orthogonal design $\text{COD}(q^m(q+1); q^m, q^{m+1})$.
- (ii) $Y_1^{(q)}$ is an unfaithful balancedly splittable complex orthogonal design.

Proof. Write $Y_m = Y_m^{(q)}$. The matrix Y_m has entries in $\{\pm a, \pm ib\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_m Y_m^* &= I_{q+1} \otimes C_m C_m^* + iS^* \otimes C_m D_m^* - iS \otimes D_m C_m^* + SS^* \otimes D_m D_m^* \\
&= I_{q+1} \otimes (C_m C_m^* + qD_m D_m^*) \\
&= I_{q+1} \otimes (q^m a + q^{m+1} b) I_{q^m} \\
&= (q^m a^2 + q^{m+1} b^2) I_{(q+1)q^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore Y_m is a complex orthogonal design.

Take $Y' = [iC_1 \ D_1 \ \cdots \ D_1]$ as the submatrix of Y_1 whose rows are in the first q rows of $Y_1^{(q)}$. Then we calculate $(Y')^t Y'$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(Y')^* Y' &= \begin{bmatrix} C_1^* C_1 & -iC_1^* D_1 & \cdots & -iC_1^* D_1 \\ iD_1^* C_1 & D_1^* D_1 & \cdots & D_1^* D_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ iD_1^* C_1 & D_1^* D_1 & \cdots & D_1^* D_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} qa^2 J_q & -iabJ_q & \cdots & -iabJ_q \\ iabJ_q & a^2 I_q + b^2(qI_q - J_q) & \cdots & a^2 I_q + b^2(qI_q - J_q) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ iabJ_q & a^2 I_q + b^2(qI_q - J_q) & \cdots & a^2 I_q + b^2(qI_q - J_q) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Y_1 is an unfaithful balancedly splittable complex orthogonal design. \square

5 Construction: faithful case

5.1 A recursive method

It is the field's characteristic to use existing designs by replacing the variables with suitable plug-in matrices. By far, the most successful plug-in matrices are the circulant-type matrices. We will again find these helpful in our construction, and so we need the following modification of Barker sequences and Golay pairs.

A *Barker sequence* is a sequence $C = (C_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$ such that the aperiodic autocorrelations satisfy $N_C(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} C_{i+k} C_i^* = 0$, for all $0 \leq k < n-1$. Note that we do not distinguish between this definition and the case when the C_i are matrices over \mathbb{H} satisfying the same conditions. We need one further idea.

Let $A = (A_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ and $B = (B_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ be two sequences such that $N_A(k) + N_B(k) = 0$, for every $0 \leq k < n-1$; then A and B are said to be a *complementary Golay pair*. If (A, B) denotes the concatenation of the sequences A and B , then it can be shown that (A, B) and $(A, -B)$ are also a Golay pair, where $-B = (-B_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$. If $C = (C_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ is some Barker sequence, then $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C_{n-1}, \dots, C_1)$ and $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, -C_{n-1}, \dots, -C_1)$ is a Golay pair. We are now ready to proceed with the construction.

Let X_n be a QOD($n; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u$), such that $\sum_{i=1}^u s_i = n$, and let H_n be a quaternionic Hadamard matrix of order n . Furthermore, take $H_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes H_n$

and $X_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes X_n$; and index the rows of H_n , H_{2n} , X_n , and X_{2n} by h_i , \hat{h}_j , r_i , and \hat{r}_j , respectively, for $0 \leq i < n, 0 \leq j < 2n$.

The so-called *auxiliary matrices* $c_i = h_i^* h_i$ of H_n were introduced in [3] for the case of a real Hadamard matrix H_n and satisfy the following.

Lemma 10. Let H_n be a quaternion Hadamard matrix of order n , and let $c_i = h_i^* h_i$, where h_i is the i^{th} row of H_n . Then:

- (i) $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i = nI_n$, and
- (ii) $c_i c_j^* = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Following [4], we may extend this result to include orthogonal designs by defining $C_i = h_i^* r_i$. Recall that $\sigma = \sum_{\ell=1}^u s_\ell x_\ell x_\ell^*$.

Lemma 11. (i) $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_i C_i^* = \sigma n I_n$,

- (ii) $C_i C_i^* = \sigma c_i$ for any i , and
- (iii) $C_i C_j^* = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Moreover, the sequence $(C_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ is a Barker sequence, so the sequences $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C_{n-1}, \dots, C_1)$ and $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, -C_{n-1}, \dots, -C_1)$ form a Golay pair.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{circ}(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C_{n-1}, \dots, C_1), \text{ and} \\ B &= \text{circ}(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, -C_{n-1}, \dots, -C_1). \end{aligned}$$

Take $E^* = [E_1^* \cdots E_{2n-1}^*]^*$ and $F = [F_1 \cdots F_{2n-1}]$, where $E_i = h_0^* \hat{r}_i$ and $F_i = \hat{h}_i^* r_0$. Finally, take $G = \hat{h}_0^* \hat{r}_0$, and define

$$X_{4n^2} = \begin{bmatrix} G & F & -F \\ E & A & B \\ -E & B & A \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

As a first result, we have the following.

Theorem 12. Let X_{4n^2} be as in (4). Then X_{4n^2} is a QOD($4n^2; 4ns_1, 4ns_2, \dots, 4ns_u$).

Proof. The proof follows by a tedious checking of the block entries of $X_{4n^2} X_{4n^2}^*$.

First, we show that the off-diagonal blocks of the product resolve to zero. We have that

$$GE_i^* = (\hat{h}_0^* \hat{r}_0)(h_0^* \hat{r}_i)^* = \hat{h}_0^* (\hat{r}_0 \hat{r}_i^*) h_0 = 0,$$

so that $GE^* = 0$. Similarly, $EG^* = 0$. Then $F_i C_j^* = (\hat{h}_i^* r_0)(h_j^* r_j)^* = \hat{h}_i^* (r_0 r_j^*) h_j = \delta_{j,0} \sigma \hat{h}_i^* h_j = \delta_{j,0} \sigma \hat{h}_i^* h_0$; whence,

$$FA^* = FB^* = \sigma F \otimes \hat{h}_1^* h_0 \quad (5)$$

so that $FA^* - FB^* = AF^* - BF^* = 0$.

Now, we show that the product between the second and third block rows resolves to zero. Observe that

$$E_i E_j^* = (h_0^* \hat{r}_i)(h_0^* \hat{r}_j)^* = h_0^* (\hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j^*) h_0 = 2\sigma \delta_{i,j} h_0^* h_0.$$

Hence, $EE^* = 2\sigma I_{2n-1} \otimes h_0^* h_0$. Next, we have that

$$AB^* = \sigma \text{circ}(h_0^* h_0, c_{n-1}, -c_1, c_{n-2}, \dots, c_{n-1}), \quad (6)$$

$$BA^* = \sigma \text{circ}(h_0^* h_0, -c_{n-1}, c_1, -c_{n-2}, \dots, -c_{n-1}), \quad (7)$$

so that $AB^* + BA^* = 2\sigma I_{2n-1} \otimes h_0^* h_0$. Thus, $-EE^* + AB^* + BA^* = 0$.

It remains to consider the block diagonal entries of the product. We have $GG^* = 2\sigma \hat{h}_0^* \hat{h}_0$ and

$$FF^* = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} F_i F_i^* = \sigma \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \hat{h}_i^* \hat{h}_i - \hat{h}_0^* \hat{h}_0 \right) = \sigma(2nI_{2n} - \hat{h}_0^* \hat{h}_0);$$

whence, $GG^* + 2FF^* = 4n\sigma I_{2n}$. Next, since A and B are complementary, it follows that

$$AA^* + BB^* = I_{2n-1} \otimes 2(C_0 C_0^t + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i C_i^*) = 2\sigma I_{2n-1} \otimes (2nI_n - h_0^* h_0).$$

Therefore, $EE^* + AA^* + BB^* = 4n\sigma I_{2n^2-n}$.

This completes the proof that X_{4n^2} is a QOD($4n^2; 4ns_1, 4ns_2, \dots, 4ns_u$). \square

We now illustrate the construction with several examples. In the following examples, $-$ stands for -1 , the overline of variable denotes the negation and upper asterisk denotes the conjugate of the variable.

To explain the above construction, we show all the steps one by one in following example.

Example 1. We begin with the OD(2; 1, 1) given by $X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix}$, where a and b are commuting real indeterminants. If $H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, then form $H_4 = H_2 \otimes H_2$ and $X_4 = H_2 \otimes X_2$. Forming the auxiliary matrices of X_2 , we then construct A and B as in the Theorem.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & b & \bar{a} & b & \bar{a} \\ a & b & \bar{b} & a & \bar{b} & a \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & a & b & \bar{b} & \bar{a} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & a & b & \bar{b} & \bar{a} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & b & \bar{a} & a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & \bar{b} & a & a & b \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & b & \bar{a} & \bar{b} & a \\ a & b & \bar{b} & a & \bar{b} & \bar{a} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & a & b & \bar{b} & \bar{a} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & a & b & \bar{b} & \bar{a} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & b & \bar{a} & a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & \bar{b} & a & a & b \end{bmatrix}$$

In a similar way, we construct the remaining block matrices.

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & a & b \\ a & b & a & b \\ a & b & a & b \\ a & b & a & b \end{bmatrix} \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} b & \bar{a} & b & \bar{a} \\ b & \bar{a} & b & \bar{a} \\ \bar{a} & b & \bar{a} & b \\ \bar{a} & b & \bar{a} & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & \bar{b} & a \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & \bar{b} & a \end{bmatrix} \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & a & b & a & b \\ \bar{a} & \bar{b} & a & b & \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ a & b & \bar{a} & \bar{b} & \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{a} & \bar{b} & \bar{a} & \bar{b} & a & b \end{bmatrix}$$

Putting these together as in (4), we obtain a balancedly splittable OD(16; 8, 8).

$a b a b$	$a b a b a b$	$\bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b}$
$a b a b$	$\bar{a} \bar{b} a b \bar{a} \bar{b}$	$a b \bar{a} \bar{b} a b$
$a b a b$	$a b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b}$	$\bar{a} \bar{b} a b a b$
$a b a b$	$\bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b} a b$	$a b a b \bar{a} \bar{b}$
$b \bar{a} b \bar{a}$	$a b b \bar{a} b \bar{a}$	$a b b \bar{a} b a$
$b \bar{a} b \bar{a}$	$a b \bar{b} a \bar{b} a$	$a b \bar{b} a b \bar{a}$
$a b \bar{a} \bar{b}$	$b \bar{a} a b b \bar{a}$	$\bar{b} a a b b \bar{a}$
$a b \bar{a} \bar{b}$	$\bar{b} a a b \bar{b} a$	$b \bar{a} a b \bar{b} a$
$b \bar{a} \bar{b} a$	$b \bar{a} b \bar{a} a b$	$b \bar{a} \bar{b} a a b$
$b \bar{a} \bar{b} a$	$\bar{b} a \bar{b} a a b$	$\bar{b} a b \bar{a} a b$
$b a b a$	$a b b \bar{a} b a$	$a b b \bar{a} b \bar{a}$
$\bar{b} a \bar{b} a$	$a b \bar{b} a b \bar{a}$	$a b \bar{b} a \bar{b} a$
$\bar{a} \bar{b} a b$	$\bar{b} a a b b \bar{a}$	$b \bar{a} a b b \bar{a}$
$\bar{a} \bar{b} a b$	$b \bar{a} a b \bar{b} a$	$\bar{b} a a b \bar{b} a$
$\bar{b} a b \bar{a}$	$b \bar{a} \bar{b} a a b$	$b \bar{a} b \bar{a} a b$
$\bar{b} a b \bar{a}$	$\bar{b} a b \bar{a} a b$	$\bar{b} a \bar{b} a a b$

Example 2. Consider the COD(2; 1, 1) given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b}^* & a^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the construction, we have the following COD(16; 8, 8)

$a b a b$	$a b a b a b$	$\bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b}$
$a b a b$	$\bar{a} \bar{b} a b \bar{a} \bar{b}$	$a b \bar{a} \bar{b} a b$
$a b a b$	$a b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b}$	$\bar{a} \bar{b} a b a b$
$a b a b$	$\bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b} a b$	$a b a b \bar{a} \bar{b}$
$\bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* a^*$	$a b \bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* a^*$	$a b \bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* a^*$
$\bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* a^*$	$a b \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$	$a b \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* a^*$
$a b \bar{a} \bar{b}$	$\bar{b}^* a^* a b \bar{b}^* a^*$	$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* a b \bar{b}^* a^*$
$a b \bar{a} \bar{b}$	$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* a b \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$	$\bar{b}^* a^* a b \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$
$\bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$	$\bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* a^* a b$	$\bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* a b$
$\bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$	$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* a b$	$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* a^* a b$
$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$	$a b \bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$	$a b \bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* a^*$
$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$	$a b \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* a^*$	$a b \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$
$\bar{a} \bar{b} a b$	$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* a b \bar{b}^* a^*$	$\bar{b}^* a^* a b \bar{b}^* a^*$
$\bar{a} \bar{b} a b$	$\bar{b}^* a^* a b \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$	$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* a b \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^*$
$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* a^*$	$\bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* a b$	$\bar{b}^* a^* \bar{b}^* a^* a b$
$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* a^*$	$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* a^* a b$	$\bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* \bar{a}^* a b$

Example 3. The following is a QOD(2; 1, 1)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} & bi \\ \bar{b}j & ak \end{bmatrix},$$

where a and b are real variables. We then arrive at the following QOD(16;8,8)

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & a & \bar{b}i & a & \bar{b}i & a & \bar{b}i \\ \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & a & \bar{b}i & \bar{a} & bi & a & \bar{b}i & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi \\ \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & a & \bar{b}i & a & \bar{b}i & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi \\ \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & a & \bar{b}i & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{a} & bi \\ \hline b_j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & b_j & ak \\ \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak \\ \bar{a} & bi & a & \bar{b}i & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{b}j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{b}j & ak \\ \bar{a} & bi & a & \bar{b}i & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak \\ \hline \bar{b}j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{b}j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi \\ \bar{b}j & ak & b_j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi \\ \hline b_j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & b_j & ak \\ b_j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & b_j & ak \\ a & \bar{b}i & a & \bar{b}i & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{b}j & ak \\ a & \bar{b}i & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak \\ b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi \\ b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{b}j & ak & \bar{a} & bi & b_j & ak & b_j & ak & \bar{a} & bi \end{array} \right].$$

Two quaternion matrices A and B are said to be *amicable* if $AB^* = BA^*$. If A and B are amicable quaternionic orthogonal designs of order n and types (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u) and (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_v) , respectively, then we say that (A, B) is an *amicable quaternionic orthogonal design*, and we write (A, B) is an AQOD($n; (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u); (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_v)$). It is an interesting consequence of the construction that amicability is preserved.

Corollary 13. If (X_n, Y_n) is an AQOD($n; (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u); (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_v)$), and if X_{4n^2} and Y_{4n^2} are given by (4), then (X_{4n^2}, Y_{4n^2}) is an AQOD($4n^2; (4ns_1, 4ns_2, \dots, 4ns_u); (4nt_1, 4nt_2, \dots, 4nt_v)$).

5.2 Balancedly splitted matrices

We next show that the constructed designs are balancedly splittable.

Theorem 14. Let X_{4n^2} be as in (4), then it is balancedly splittable. In particular:

- (i) The submatrices $[E \ A \ B]$ and $[-E \ B \ A]$ induce an unstable split with $\alpha = -\beta = n$.
- (ii) The submatrices $[F^* \ A^* \ B^*]^*$ and $[-F^* \ B^* \ A^*]^*$ induce a stable split with $\alpha = -\beta = 1$.

Proof. The proof proceeds as in Theorem 12. Note that it suffices to prove the result for $H = [E \ A \ B]$ and $V^* = [F^* \ A^* \ B^*]^*$.

Towards proving (i), define

$$S = \{\pm \varepsilon n x_\ell x_{\ell'}, \pm \varepsilon n x_\ell x_{\ell'}^*, \pm \varepsilon n x_\ell^* x_{\ell'}^* \mid 1 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq u, \varepsilon \in \{1, i, j, k\}\}.$$

First note that

$$H^*H = \begin{bmatrix} E^*E & E^*A & E^*B \\ A^*E & A^*A & A^*B \\ B^*E & B^*A & B^*B \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

We have that

$$E^*E = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} (h_0^* \hat{r}_i)^* (h_0^t \hat{r}_i) = n \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \hat{r}_i^* \hat{r}_i = n(2\sigma I_{2n} - \hat{r}_0^* \hat{r}_0). \quad (9)$$

The off-diagonal entries of E^*E are then from the set S , which is sufficient. Now,

$$E_i^* C_j = (h_0^* \hat{r}_i)^* (h_j^* r_j) = n \delta_{j,0} \hat{r}_i^* r_j;$$

whence, the entries of E^*A , A^*E , E^*B , and B^*E are in S . Further,

$$A^*A = n \text{circ}(2\sigma I_n - r_0^* r_0, r_{n-1}^* r_{n-1}, r_1^* r_1, r_{n-2}^* r_{n-2}, \dots, r_{n-1}^* r_{n-1}), \quad (10)$$

and B^*B is similar. Thus, the off-diagonal entries of A^*A and B^*B are also in S . The cases A^*B and B^*A are handled analogously. It now follows that H^*H has all off-diagonal entries in S . This completes part (i).

Over the course of proving Theorem 12, it follows that

$$VV^* = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{FF^t} & \overline{FA^t} & \overline{FB^t} \\ \overline{AF^t} & \overline{AA^t} & \overline{AB^t} \\ \overline{BF^t} & \overline{BA^t} & \overline{BB^t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(2nI_{2n} - J_{2n}) & \sigma F \otimes \hat{h}_i^t h_0 & \sigma F \otimes \hat{h}_i^t h_0 \\ \sigma(F \otimes \hat{h}_i^t h_0)^t & \overline{AA^t} & \overline{AB^t} \\ \sigma(F \otimes \hat{h}_i^t h_0)^t & \overline{BA^t} & \overline{BB^t} \end{bmatrix},$$

where letting $P = \text{circ}(010 \dots 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{AA^*} &= \sigma(2nI_{(2n-1)n} - I_{2n-1} \otimes J_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (P^{2i} + P^{-2i}) \otimes \overline{c_i}), \\ \overline{BB^*} &= \sigma(2nI_{(2n-1)n} - I_{2n-1} \otimes J_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (P^{2i} + P^{-2i}) \otimes \overline{c_i}). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (6) and (7), the off-diagonal entries of VV^* are shown to be $\pm\sigma$. Part (ii), therefore, has been shown to be true. \square

Remark. (i) If the complex variables x_j run over the set $\{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid |c| = 1\}$, then the matrix $(1/(2n^2 - n))H^*H$ is the Gram matrix of a quaternion equiangular tight frame whose off diagonal entries belong to the set

$$\left\{ \frac{\pm \varepsilon x_\ell x_{\ell'}}{2n-1}, \frac{\pm \varepsilon x_\ell x_{\ell'}^*}{2n-1}, \frac{\pm \varepsilon x_\ell^* x_{\ell'}}{2n-1} \mid 1 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq u, \varepsilon \in \{1, i, j, k\} \right\}.$$

Thus, we obtain infinitely many quaternion ETFs parameterized by u variables.

(ii) If the quaternion variables x_j run over the set $\{h \in \mathbb{H} \mid |h| = 1\}$, then matrix $(1/(2n^2 - n))VV^*$ is the Gram matrix of a quaternion equiangular tight frame whose off diagonal entries belong to the set

$$\left\{ \pm \frac{\varepsilon \sigma}{2n-1} \mid \varepsilon \in \{1, i, j, k\} \right\}.$$

Thus, we obtain infinitely many quaternion ETFs parameterized by u variables, but all isomorphic.

Example 4. Continuing the above example for the splittable QOD(16;8,8), and using the first vertical frame $V^* = [F^* A^* B^*]^*$, we have that $(1/\sigma)VV^*$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ - & 3 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - \\ - & - & 3 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - \\ - & - & - & 3 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 3 & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 3 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 3 & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - & 3 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 3 & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 3 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 3 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 3 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 3 & - & - & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 3 & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 3 & - \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 3 & - \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, using the first horizontal frame $H = [E A B]$, we have that $(1/n)H^*H$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & abi & -a^2 & abi & -abj & -b^2k & a^2 & -abi & -abj & -b^2k & -abj & -b^2k & a^2 & -abi & -abj & -b^2k \\ -abi & d & -abi & -b^2 & a^2k & -abj & abi & b^2 & a^2k & -abj & a^2k & -abj & abi & b^2 & a^2k & -abj \\ -a^2 & abi & c & abi & -abj & -b^2k & -a^2 & abi & abj & b^2k & -abj & -b^2k & -a^2 & abi & abj & b^2k \\ -abi & -b^2 & -abi & d & a^2k & -abj & -abi & -b^2 & -a^2k & abj & a^2k & -abj & -abi & -b^2 & -a^2k & abj \\ abj & -a^2k & abj & -a^2k & c & abi & b^2 & abi & b^2 & abi & a^2 & -abi & -b^2 & -abi & b^2 & abi \\ b^2k & abj & b^2k & abj & -abi & d & -abi & a^2 & -abi & a^2 & abi & b^2 & abi & -a^2 & -abi & a^2 \\ a^2 & -abi & -a^2 & abi & b^2 & abi & c & abi & b^2 & abi & b^2 & abi & a^2 & -abi & -b^2 & -abi \\ abi & b^2 & -abi & -b^2 & -abi & a^2 & -abi & d & -abi & a^2 & -abi & a^2 & abi & b^2 & abi & -a^2 \\ abj & -a^2k & -abj & a^2k & b^2 & abi & b^2 & abi & c & abi & -b^2 & -abi & b^2 & abi & a^2 & -abi \\ b^2k & abj & -b^2k & -abj & -abi & a^2 & -abi & a^2 & -abi & d & abi & -a^2 & -abi & a^2 & abi & b^2 \\ abj & -a^2k & abj & -a^2k & a^2 & -abi & b^2 & abi & -b^2 & -abi & c & abi & -b^2 & -abi & -b^2 & -abi \\ b^2k & abj & b^2k & abj & abi & b^2 & -abi & a^2 & abi & -a^2 & -abi & d & abi & -a^2 & abi & -a^2 \\ a^2 & -abi & -a^2 & abi & -b^2 & -abi & a^2 & -abi & b^2 & abi & -b^2 & -abi & c & abi & -b^2 & -abi \\ abi & b^2 & -abi & -b^2 & abi & -a^2 & abi & b^2 & -abi & a^2 & abi & -a^2 & -abi & d & abi & -a^2 \\ abj & -a^2k & -abj & a^2k & b^2 & abi & -b^2 & -abi & a^2 & -abi & -b^2 & -abi & -b^2 & -abi & c & abi \\ b^2k & abj & -b^2k & -abj & -abi & a^2 & abi & -a^2 & abi & b^2 & abi & -a^2 & abi & -a^2 & -abi & d \end{bmatrix},$$

where $c = a^2 + 2b^2$ and $d = 2a^2 + b^2$.

Remark. The cases where no variable is shown indicate an orthogonal design in one variable. Any kind of Hadamard matrix can be thought of as an orthogonal design in one variable.

Example 5. Let $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$, and consider the Butson Hadamard matrix $B(3, 3)$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta & \zeta^2 \\ 1 & \zeta^2 & \zeta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the logarithm matrix of H

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in the construction and obtain the logarithm matrix of a BG(36, 6) given by

000000	0000000000000000	0000000000000000
000000	222111000222111	222111000222111
000000	111222000111222	111222000111222
000000	0000000000000000	0000000000000000
000000	222111000222111	222111000222111
000000	111222000111222	111222000111222
012012	000012021021012	000012021021012
012012	000201102102201	000201102102201
012012	000120210210120	000120210210120
021021	012000012021021	012000012021021
021021	201000201102102	201000201102102
021021	120000120210210	120000120210210
000000	021012000012021	021012000012021
000000	102201000201102	102201000201102
000000	210120000120210	210120000120210
012012	021021012000012	021021012000012
012012	102102201000201	102102201000201
012012	210210120000120	210210120000120
021021	012021021012000	012021021012000
021021	201102102201000	201102102201000
021021	120210210120000	120210210120000
012012	000012021021012	000012021021012
012012	000201102102201	000201102102201
012012	000120210210120	000120210210120
021021	012000012021021	012000012021021
021021	201000201102102	201000201102102
021021	120000120210210	120000120210210
000000	021012000012021	021012000012021
000000	102201000201102	102201000201102
000000	210120000120210	210120000120210
012012	021021012000012	021021012000012
012012	102102201000201	102102201000201
012012	210210120000120	210210120000120
021021	012021021012000	012021021012000
021021	201102102201000	201102102201000
021021	120210210120000	120210210120000

where \bar{a} corresponds to $-\zeta^a$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

5.3 A relation between quaternion ETFs and complex ETFs

For $q = z + wj \in \mathbb{H}$ where $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\text{Co}_1(z + wj) = z, \quad \text{Co}_2(z + wj) = \bar{w}.$$

Define $[\cdot]_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{H}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2d}$ by

$$[z + wj]_{\mathbb{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ \bar{w} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

In [7, Theorem 3.2] it is shown that a tight frame $V = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ for \mathbb{H}^d corresponds to a tight frame for \mathbb{C}^{2d} if and only if it satisfies

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n |\text{Co}_1(\langle v_j, v_k \rangle)|^2 = \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\text{Co}_2(\langle v_j, v_k \rangle)|^2. \quad (11)$$

Example 6. Consider the first horizontal frame H of the splittable QOD(16;8;8) constructed above. Upon setting $a = b = 1$, we find that $(1/2)H^*H$ becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & i & -i & \bar{j} & \bar{k} & 1 & \bar{i} & \bar{j} & \bar{k} & \bar{j} & \bar{k} & 1 & \bar{i} & \bar{j} & \bar{k} \\ \bar{i} & 3 & \bar{i} & -k & \bar{j} & i & 1 & k & \bar{j} & k & \bar{j} & i & 1 & k & \bar{j} \\ -i & 3 & i & \bar{j} & \bar{k} & -i & j & k & \bar{j} & \bar{k} & -i & j & k \\ \bar{i} & -\bar{i} & 3 & k & \bar{j} & \bar{i} & -\bar{k} & j & k & \bar{j} & \bar{i} & -\bar{k} & j \\ j & \bar{k} & j & \bar{k} & 3 & i & 1 & i & 1 & i & 1 & \bar{i} & -\bar{i} & 1 & i \\ k & j & k & j & \bar{i} & 3 & \bar{i} & 1 & \bar{i} & 1 & i & 1 & i & -\bar{i} & 1 \\ 1 & \bar{i} & -i & 1 & i & 3 & i & 1 & i & 1 & i & 1 & \bar{i} & -\bar{i} \\ i & 1 & \bar{i} & -\bar{i} & 1 & \bar{i} & 3 & \bar{i} & 1 & \bar{i} & 1 & i & 1 & i & - \\ j & \bar{k} & \bar{j} & k & 1 & i & 1 & i & 3 & i & -\bar{i} & 1 & i & 1 & \bar{i} \\ k & j & \bar{k} & \bar{j} & \bar{i} & 1 & \bar{i} & 1 & \bar{i} & 3 & i & -\bar{i} & 1 & i & 1 \\ j & \bar{k} & j & \bar{k} & 1 & \bar{i} & 1 & i & -\bar{i} & 3 & i & -\bar{i} & -\bar{i} \\ k & j & k & j & i & 1 & \bar{i} & 1 & i & -\bar{i} & 3 & i & -i & - \\ 1 & \bar{i} & -i & -\bar{i} & 1 & \bar{i} & 1 & i & -\bar{i} & 3 & i & -\bar{i} \\ i & 1 & \bar{i} & -i & -i & 1 & \bar{i} & 1 & i & -\bar{i} & 3 & i & - \\ j & \bar{k} & \bar{j} & k & 1 & i & -\bar{i} & 1 & \bar{i} & -\bar{i} & -\bar{i} & 3 & i \\ k & j & \bar{k} & \bar{j} & \bar{i} & 1 & i & -i & 1 & i & -\bar{i} & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that $320 = \sum_{j,k=1}^{16} |\text{Co}_1(\langle v_j, v_k \rangle)|^2 \neq \sum_{j,k=1}^{16} |\text{Co}_2(\langle v_j, v_k \rangle)|^2 = 64$; whence, the quaternion frame associated with the horizontal frame H is not given by a complex frame.

Example 7. Beginning with the restricted QOD(6;1;5) given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & b & b & b & b \\ b & \bar{a} & bk & \bar{b}k & \bar{b}k & bk \\ b & bk & \bar{a} & bk & \bar{b}k & \bar{b}k \\ b & \bar{b}k & bk & \bar{a} & bk & \bar{b}k \\ b & \bar{b}k & \bar{b}k & bk & \bar{a} & bk \\ b & bk & \bar{b}k & \bar{b}k & bk & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix},$$

we use a complex Hadamard matrix of order six in order to construct a restricted splittable QOD(144;24,120). Again, taking H to be the first horizontal frame, we find that for $(1/6)H^*H$ it follows that $29056 = \sum_{j,k=1}^{144} |\text{Co}_1(\langle v_j, v_k \rangle)|^2 \neq \sum_{j,k=1}^{144} |\text{Co}_2(\langle v_j, v_k \rangle)|^2 = 8960$. We then have a further quaternion frame not obtained from a complex frame.

Problem 15. Is it possible to construct an infinite class of QOD X_{16n^2} such that the equation (11) does not hold?

6 Unbiased orthogonal designs

Two Hadamard matrices H_n and K_n of order n are said to be unbiased if $(1/\sqrt{n})H_n K_n^t$ is an Hadamard matrix. In this case, we can see that n must be a square. The following was shown in [5].

Theorem 16. Let $H = [H_1^t \ H_2^t]^t$ be a Hadamard matrix such that $H_1^t H_1 = \ell I_n + aA - a\bar{A}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $K := (1/2a)(H_1^t H_1 - H_2^t H_2)$ is an Hadamard matrix, and
- (ii) $\ell = (n \pm \sqrt{n})/2$ and $a = \sqrt{n}/2$.

Let X_{4n^2} be as in (4). Then X_{4n^2} becomes an Hadamard matrix upon replacing each indeterminate with unity. Moreover, the matrix is balancedly splittable with $b = -a = n$ and $\ell = 2n^2 - n$. We have, therefore, that condition (ii) is satisfied, and we can construct an unbiased pair of Hadamard matrices. Since the vertical frames of X_{4n^2} induce a stable split, however, we can in fact obtain more general result than this as follows.

In [4] unbiased orthogonal designs were introduced and are defined thus.

Definition 17. Let X_n and Y_n each be an $\text{OD}(n; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u)$. If $X_n Y_n^t = (\sigma/\sqrt{\alpha})W$, for some weighing matrix W and some real number α , then X_n and Y_n are said to be unbiased.

Extending this, unbiasedness is defined for quaternionic orthogonal designs.

Definition 18. Let X_n and Y_n each be a $\text{QOD}(n; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u)$. If $X_n Y_n^* = (\sigma/\sqrt{\alpha})W$, for some weighing matrix W and some real number α , then X_n and Y_n are said to be unbiased.

It is at this point that the stability of the vertical frames of the design becomes important, for the next result is a consequence of this property.

Theorem 19. Let X_{4n^2} be as in (4), and let

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} -F \\ B \\ A \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} G & F \\ E & A \\ -E & B \end{bmatrix}$$

so that $X_{4n^2} = [U \ V]$. Take $Y_{4n^2} = [U \ -V]$. Then Y_{4n^2} is a $\text{QOD}(4n^2; 4ns_1, 4ns_2, \dots, 4ns_u)$ and is unbiased to X_{4n^2} . In particular, $X_{4n^2} Y_{4n^2}^* = 2\sigma K_{4n^2}$, where K_{4n^2} is an Hadamard matrix.

Proof. Clearly, Y_{4n^2} is a $\text{QOD}(4n^2; 4ns_1, 4ns_2, \dots, 4ns_u)$. Then $X_{4n^2} Y_{4n^2}^t = (UU^t - VV^t)$. Take $K_{4n^2} = (1/2\sigma)(UU^t - VV^t)$. We claim that K_{4n^2} is Hadamard. Indeed, K_{4n^2} is a $(1, -1)$ -matrix, and

$$\begin{aligned} K_{4n^2} K_{4n^2}^t &= K_{4n^2}^2 = \frac{1}{4\sigma^2}(UU^t UU^t + VV^t VV^t) \\ &= \frac{n}{\sigma}(UU^t + VV^t) = 4n^2 I_{4n^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that since the off-diagonal entries of VV^t are $\pm\sigma$, the off-diagonal entries of UU^t must correspondingly be $\mp\sigma$. \square

Remark. The resulting real Hadamard matrix K_{4n^2} is not the Hadamard matrix obtained from X_{4n^2} by replacing each indeterminate with unity, because X_{4n^2} is not necessarily a real orthogonal design.

Example 8. Using the balancedly splittable OD(16; 8, 8), we obtain the Hadamard matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 9. Take the BH(36, 6) in Example 4, whose form is $X = [U^t \ V^t]^t$ by taking the horizontal frames, and let $Y = [U^t \ -V^t]^t$. Then $(1/n)XY^*$ is a

- (iv) It is shown in [5] that there is no balancedly splittable Hadamard matrix of order $4n^2$, n odd. Therefore, there is no full, balancedly splittable orthogonal design of order $4n^2$, n odd. The existence of balancedly splittable real orthogonal designs of order $4n^2$ is shown here for any n , which is the order of a full orthogonal design. The existence of balancedly splittable full orthogonal designs of order $16n^2$, n odd remains open for the real case. The first open case in the real case is $n = 144$.

Acknowledgments.

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for valuable comments. Hadi Kharaghani is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). Sho Suda is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K03395.

References

- [1] Jameson Cahill, Peter G. Casazza, John I. Haas, and Janet Tremain, *Constructions of biangular tight frames and their relationships with equiangular tight frames*, Frames and harmonic analysis, AMS Contemporary Math., (2018), pp. 1–19.
- [2] Kai Fender, Hadi Kharaghani, and Sho Suda, *On a class of quaternary complex Hadamard matrices*, Discrete Mathematics **341** (2018), no. 2, 421 - 426.
- [3] Hadi Kharaghani, *New class of weighing matrices*, Ars Combin. **19** (1985), 69–72.
- [4] Hadi Kharaghani and Sho Suda, *Unbiased orthogonal designs*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **86** (2018), no. 7, 1573–1588.
- [5] ———, *Balancedly splittable Hadamard matrices*, Discrete Math. **342** (2019), no. 2, 546–561.
- [6] Jennifer Seberry, *Orthogonal designs*, Springer, Cham, 2017. Hadamard matrices, quadratic forms and algebras; Revised and updated edition of the 1979 original [MR0534614].
- [7] Shayne Waldron, *Tight frames over the quaternions and equiangular lines*, arXiv:2006.06126.