

ON THE FIXED POINT SPACES OF SOME COMPLETELY POSITIVE MAPS

TOMOHIRO HAYASHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we generalize the results shown by Das and Peterson. Let M be a II_1 -factor acting on $L^2(M)$. We consider certain unital normal completely positive maps on $B(L^2(M))$ which are identity on M . We investigate their fixed point spaces and obtain a rigidity result. As an application, we show some results of subfactors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a II_1 -factor acting on $H = L^2(M)$. Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in M such that $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is $*$ -closed as a set and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n z_n^* = \sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n^* z_n = 1$. We define unital normal completely positive maps $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Ad} J z_n J$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Ad} z_n^*$. In the paper [4], Das and Peterson investigate the fixed point space $B(H)^\phi$ and they showed the following interesting results. If M is generated by $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, then we have

- (i) $M' \cap B(H)^\phi = \mathbb{C}$.
- (ii) $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}} = \mathbb{C}$.
- (iii) If Φ is a normal unital completely positive map from $B(H)^\phi$ to $B(H)^\phi$ such that $\Phi = \text{id}$ on M , then we have $\Phi = \text{id}$ on $B(H)^\phi$.
- (iv) If $M \neq B(H)^\phi$, then $B(H)^\phi$ is an AFD type III-factor.

The aim of this paper is to generalize these results without the assumption that $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ generates M . Moreover we investigate the properties of the fixed point $\bigcap_{j=1}^N B(H)^{\phi_j}$. Consider the family of sequences

$$\mathfrak{S} = \left\{ \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty : z_n \in M, \sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n z_n^* = \sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n^* z_n = 1, \right.$$

for each n , there exists k such that $z_n^* = z_k$ $\}$

and set $G = \{\phi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Ad} J z_n J : \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathfrak{S}\}$. Fix an element $\phi \in G$ with $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Ad} J z_n J$ and denote by P the von Neumann algebra generated by $\{z_n\}_n$. Then we have the inclusions

$$P \subseteq M \subseteq \langle M, e_P \rangle \subseteq B(H)^\phi,$$

where e_P is the Jones projection onto $L^2(P)$ [6]. We will show the following.

- (i) $M' \cap B(H)^\phi = M' \cap \langle M, e_P \rangle = J(P' \cap M)J$ (Theorem 2.3).
- (ii) If P is a factor, then $e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})e_P = \mathbb{C}e_P$. (Lemma 3.7.)
- (iii) If Φ is a normal unital completely positive map from $B(H)^\phi$ to $B(H)^\phi$ such that $\Phi = id$ on $\langle M, e_P \rangle$, then we have $\Phi = id$ on $B(H)^\phi$ (Theorem 3.3.)
- (iv) If P is a non-AFD factor, then $B(H)^\phi$ is an AFD type III-factor. (Corollary 3.9.)
- (v) Fix finite elements $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_N \in G$ with $\phi_i \circ \phi_j = \phi_j \circ \phi_i$. Then there exists elements $z_n^{(j)} \in M$ such that $\phi_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} J z_n^{(j)} J$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n^{(j)} z_n^{(j)*} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n^{(j)*} z_n^{(j)} = 1$ and $z_n^{(j)*} = z_k^{(j)}$ for some k . For any positive numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N$ with $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j = 1$, we set $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \phi_j$. Then we have $\bigcap_{j=1}^N B(H)^{\phi_j} = B(H)^\phi$. (Proposition 3.4.)

For the proof, we basically follow the argument in [4] with some modifications. As an application, we investigate the inclusions $B(H)^{\phi^k} \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^{mk}}$. We determine the Jones index and obtain some properties for these inclusions.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES

Throughout this paper, let M be a II_1 -factor with a unique tracial state τ . We set $H = L^2(M, \tau)$ with a cyclic trace unit vector ξ_0 . We consider the family of sequences

$$\mathfrak{S} = \left\{ \{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : z_n \in M, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n z_n^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n^* z_n = 1, \right. \\ \left. \text{for each } n, \text{ there exists } k \text{ such that } z_n^* = z_k \right\}$$

and set $G = \{\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} J z_n J : \{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathfrak{S}\}$. Then each element ϕ in G is a unital normal completely positive map from $B(H)$ to $B(H)$ such that $\phi = id$ on M . Here we remark that G is not closed under composition. For each $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} J z_n J \in G$, we set $\tilde{\phi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} z_n^*$. Then $\tilde{\phi}$ is also a unital normal completely positive map.

Let P be a von Neumann algebra generated by $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and let e_P be the Jones projection onto $L^2(P, \tau)$. Consider the Jones basic extension

$$P \subseteq M \subseteq \langle M, e_P \rangle = (JPJ)'.$$

Let $B(H)^\phi$ be the set of fixed points of ϕ . Since $Je_P J = e_P$ and $e_P \in P'$, we see that $\phi(e_P) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J z_n J e_P J z_n^* J = e_P \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J z_n z_n^* J = e_P$. Thus we have the inclusions

$$P \subseteq M \subseteq \langle M, e_P \rangle \subseteq B(H)^\phi.$$

Let ω_0 be the vector state of a trace vector ξ_0 . Then it is easy to see that $\omega_0 \circ \phi = \omega_0 \circ \tilde{\phi}$ on $B(H)$.

Lemma 2.1. ω_0 is faithful on $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$.

Proof. Let $T \in B(H)^\phi$ be a positive element satisfying $\omega_0(e_P T e_P) = 0$. Since $e_P T e_P \in B(H)^\phi$, we see that

$$0 = \omega_0(e_P T e_P) = \langle e_P T e_P \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle \phi^n(e_P T e_P) \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \sum \|T^{\frac{1}{2}} w \xi_0\|^2,$$

where w is some word of $\{z_n\}_n$. Since the set $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is $*$ -closed, the word w runs through all $*$ -words of $\{z_n\}_n$. Thus we conclude that $T^{\frac{1}{2}} e_P = 0$ and hence $e_P T e_P = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.2. If $T, T^* T \in B(H)^\phi$, then we have $T \in (\{J z_n J\}_{n=1}^\infty)' = \langle M, e_P \rangle$. In particular, $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ is a maximum $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)^\phi$.

Similarly, if $T, T^* T \in B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}}$, then we have $T \in (\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)'$.

Proof. Assume that $T, T^* T \in B(H)^\phi$. We observe that for any $\xi \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(J z_n^* J T - T J z_n^* J) \xi\|^2 &= \langle (T^* J z_n J - J z_n J T^*) (J z_n^* J T - T J z_n^* J) \xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle (T^* J z_n z_n^* J T + J z_n J T^* T J z_n^* J - T^* J z_n J T J z_n^* J - J z_n J T^* J z_n^* J T) \xi, \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(J z_n^* J T - T J z_n^* J) \xi\|^2 = \langle (T^* T + \phi(T^* T) - T^* \phi(T) - \phi(T^*) T) \xi, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

Since the set $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is $*$ -closed, we conclude that $T \in (\{J z_n J\}_{n=1}^\infty)' = (J P J)' = \langle M, e_P \rangle$. Next we will show that $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ is a maximum $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)^\phi$. Let A be a subset of $B(H)^\phi$ such that A is a $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)$. Then for any $T \in A$, since $T, T^* T \in A \subseteq B(H)^\phi$, we have $T \in \langle M, e_P \rangle$. Therefore we conclude $A \subseteq \langle M, e_P \rangle$.

By the similar way, if $T, T^* T \in B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}}$, then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(z_n T - T z_n) \xi\|^2 = \langle (T^* T + \tilde{\phi}(T^* T) - T^* \tilde{\phi}(T) - \tilde{\phi}(T^*) T) \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$$

and hence $T \in (\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)'$. \square

Theorem 2.3. $M' \cap B(H)^\phi = M' \cap \langle M, e_P \rangle = J(P' \cap M)J$.

Proof. For any $x \in M$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\phi(J x J)) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle J z_n x z_n^* J \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(z_n x^* z_n^*) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(x^* z_n^* z_n) = \tau(x^*) = \tau(J x J). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have $\phi(M') \subseteq M'$. Thus ϕ is a unital normal completely positive map from M' to M' which preserves the faithful traicial state. Then by lemma 2.5 in [4] the fixed point space $(M')^\phi = M' \cap B(H)^\phi$ is a $*$ -algebra. Therefore $M' \cap B(H)^\phi$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)^\phi$. Then by the previous lemma we have $M' \cap B(H)^\phi \subseteq \langle M, e_P \rangle$. \square

3. RIGIDITY

For any $T \in B(H)$, we set

$$E(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \phi^j(T), \quad \tilde{E}(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\phi}^j(T),$$

where the limits are taken with respect to the weak operator topology and ω is some free ultrafilter. Then E is a conditional expectation from $B(H)$ onto $B(H)^\phi$ and \tilde{E} is a conditional expectation from $B(H)$ onto $B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}}$. Then $B(H)^\phi$ is an AFD von Neumann algebra via the Choi-Effros product $S \circ T = E(ST)$. Here we remark that $S \circ z = Sz$ and $z \circ S = zS$ for any $z \in \langle M, e_P \rangle$ because $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ is in the multiplicative domain of ϕ and $\phi = id$ on $\langle M, e_P \rangle$. In particular $x \circ y = xy$ for any $x, y \in \langle M, e_P \rangle$. Similarly, $B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}}$ is an AFD von Neumann algebra via the Choi-Effros product $S \circ T = \tilde{E}(ST)$. (See [5].)

Proposition 3.1. *If P is a factor, then $B(H)^\phi$ is also a factor via the Choi-Effros product. If $P \subseteq M$ is irreducible, the inclusion $M \subseteq B(H)^\phi$ is also irreducible.*

Proof. By theorem 2.3, we see that $Z(B(H)^\phi) \subseteq M' \cap B(H)^\phi = M' \cap \langle M, e_P \rangle$. Then we have $Z(B(H)^\phi) \subseteq (B(H)^\phi)' \cap \langle M, e_P \rangle \subseteq Z(\langle M, e_P \rangle) = \mathbb{C}$.

Similarly, if $P' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$, then we see that $M' \cap B(H)^\phi = M' \cap \langle M, e_P \rangle = J(P' \cap M)J = \mathbb{C}$. \square

Lemma 3.2. $e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})e_P \subseteq \langle M, e_P \rangle \cap \langle M', e_P \rangle$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\phi}(e_P) = e_P$ and $\phi \circ \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi} \circ \phi$, $\tilde{\phi}$ preserves $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$. For any $T \in e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$, we see that

$$\omega_0(\tilde{\phi}(T)) = \omega_0(\phi(T)) = \omega_0(T).$$

Then for any $S \in (e_P B(H)^\phi e_P)^{\tilde{\phi}}$, since $S^* \circ S = \tilde{\phi}(S^*) \circ \tilde{\phi}(S) \leq \tilde{\phi}(S^* \circ S)$, we have $\omega_0(S^* \circ S) \leq \omega_0(\tilde{\phi}(S^* \circ S)) = \omega_0(S^* \circ S)$. Here recall that $S^* \circ S = E(S^* S)$. Since by lemma 2.1 ω_0 is faithful on $(e_P B(H)^\phi e_P)^{\tilde{\phi}} \subseteq e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$, we get $\tilde{\phi}(S^* \circ S) = S^* \circ S$. Thus $(e_P B(H)^\phi e_P)^{\tilde{\phi}}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $B(H)^\phi$ via the Choi-Effros product.

Take any projection $p \in (e_P B(H)^\phi e_P)^{\tilde{\phi}}$. That is, $p \geq 0$ and $p \circ p = p$. We consider that $B(H)^\phi$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $B(K)$. That is, the Choi-Effross product is compatible with the usual product in $B(K)$. Since $\tilde{\phi}(p) = p$, for any $\xi \in K$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|p \circ z_n \circ (1-p)\xi\|^2 &= \langle \tilde{\phi}(p) \circ (1-p)\xi, (1-p)\xi \rangle \\ &= \langle p \circ (1-p)\xi, (1-p)\xi \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $p \circ z_n = z_n \circ p$. Since $p \circ z_n = pz_n$ and $z_n \circ p = z_n p$, we conclude $p \in \{z_n\}'_n$. Therefore we have $(e_P B(H)^\phi e_P)^{\tilde{\phi}} \subseteq \{z_n\}'_n$. Since $(e_P B(H)^\phi e_P)^{\tilde{\phi}} = e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})e_P$ and $\{z_n\}'_n = P' = \langle M', e_P \rangle$, we conclude that $e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})e_P \subseteq \langle M', e_P \rangle$.

Similarly we can show that $(e_P B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}} e_P)^\phi \subseteq \{Jz_n J\}'$. Since $(e_P B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}} e_P)^\phi = e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})e_P$ and $\{Jz_n J\}'_n = (JPJ)' = \langle M, e_P \rangle$, we conclude that $e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})e_P \subseteq \langle M, e_P \rangle$.

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\langle M, e_P \rangle \subseteq X \subseteq B(H)^\phi$ be a $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ - $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ bimodule and let Φ be a unital normal linear map from X to $B(H)^\phi$ such that $\Phi(xTy) = x\Phi(T)y$ for any $x, y \in \langle M, e_P \rangle$ and $T \in X$. Then Φ is identity on X .*

Similarly, let $Pe_P = e_P \langle M, e_P \rangle e_P \subseteq X \subseteq e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$ be a Pe_P - Pe_P bimodule and let Φ be a unital normal linear map from X to $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$ such that $\Phi(xTy) = x\Phi(T)y$ for any $x, y \in Pe_P$ and $T \in X$. Then Φ is identity on X .

Proof. We remark that by the assumptions $\Phi = id$ on $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ and $\tilde{\phi} \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \tilde{\phi}$. For any $T \in X$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(T)\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \phi^j(\Phi(T))\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \tilde{\phi}^j(\Phi(T))\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \Phi(\tilde{\phi}^j(T))\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi(\tilde{E}(T))\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi(\tilde{E}(T))e_P \xi_0, e_P \xi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi(e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P) \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since $T \in B(H)^\phi$ and $\phi \circ \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi} \circ \phi$, we have $\tilde{E}(T) \in (B(H)^\phi)^{\tilde{\phi}} = B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}}$. Then by the previous lemma we see that $e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P \in e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})e_P \subseteq \langle M, e_P \rangle$. Since $\Phi = id$ on $\langle M, e_P \rangle$, we have

$$\langle \Phi(T)\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle.$$

Since the identity map satisfies the same assumption as Φ , we also have

$$\langle T\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle$$

and hence

$$\langle \Phi(T)\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle T\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle.$$

Since X is a $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ - $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ bimodule, for any $x, y \in M$ we have $y^*Tx \in X$ and hence

$$\langle \Phi(T)x\xi_0, y\xi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi(y^*Tx)\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle y^*Tx\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle Tx\xi_0, y\xi_0 \rangle.$$

Therefore we conclude $\Phi(T) = T$.

The rest of the statement can be shown by the same way. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Fix finite elements $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_N \in G$ such that $\phi_i \circ \phi_j = \phi_j \circ \phi_i$. For any positive numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N$ with $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j = 1$, we set $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \phi_j$. Then we have $\bigcap_{j=1}^N B(H)^{\phi_j} = B(H)^\phi$.*

Proof. There exists elements $z_n^{(j)} \in M$ such that $\phi_j = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Ad}Jz_n^{(j)}J$, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n^{(j)}z_n^{(j)*} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n^{(j)*}z_n^{(j)} = 1$ and $z_n^{(j)*} = z_k^{(j)}$ for some k . Since $\phi = \sum_{n,j} \text{Ad}J(\sqrt{\lambda_j}z_n^{(j)})J$, we have $\phi \in G$. Let P be a von Neumann algebra generated by $\{\sqrt{\lambda_j}z_n^{(j)}\}_{n,j}$. Then P is generated by $\{z_n^{(j)}\}_{n,j}$. By theorem 3.3, the inclusion $\langle M, e_P \rangle \subseteq B(H)^\phi$ has the rigidity. Since $\langle M, e_P \rangle = (JPJ)' = \{Jz_n^{(j)}J\}'_{n,j} \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^N B(H)^{\phi_j}$, each map ϕ_j is identity on $\langle M, e_P \rangle$. Then since $\phi_j(B(H)^\phi) \subseteq B(H)^\phi$, by the rigidity ϕ_j is also identity on $B(H)^\phi$. Therefore we conclude that $\bigcap_{j=1}^N B(H)^{\phi_j} = B(H)^\phi$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Ad}Jz_nJ$ and $\psi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Ad}Jw_nJ$ be elements in G such that $z_nw_m = w_mz_n$. We further assume that $\sum_j z_{n_j} = 1$ for some $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$. Then we have $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi = B(H)^{\phi\psi}$.*

Proof. Let Q be a von Neumann algebra generated by $\{z_nw_m\}_{n,m=1}^\infty$ and let P be a von Neumann algebra generated by $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \cup \{w_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$. Then we have the following inclusions.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Q & \subseteq & P & \subseteq & M & \subseteq & \langle M, e_P \rangle \subseteq \langle M, e_Q \rangle \\ & & & & & & \cap \\ & & & & & & B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi \subseteq B(H)^{\phi\psi} \end{array}$$

We claim that $Q = P$. Since $\sum_j z_{n_j} = 1$, we see that $w_m = \sum_j z_{n_j}w_m \in Q$. Then we have $Q \ni \sum_{m=1}^\infty (z_nw_m)w_m^* = z_n$ and hence $Q = P$. Then we also have $\langle M, e_P \rangle = \langle M, e_Q \rangle$. Since $\phi\psi \in G$, the inclusion $\langle M, e_P \rangle = \langle M, e_Q \rangle \subset B(H)^{\phi\psi}$ has the rigidity in the sense of theorem 3.3. Since $\phi(B(H)^{\phi\psi}) \subseteq B(H)^{\phi\psi}$ and $\phi = id$ on $\langle M, e_P \rangle$, by the rigidity we get $\phi = id$ on $B(H)^{\phi\psi}$. By the same reason we also obtain that $\psi = id$ on $B(H)^{\phi\psi}$ and hence $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi = B(H)^{\phi\psi}$. \square

In general, $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi \neq B(H)^{\phi\psi}$ even if $\phi, \psi \in G$ and $\phi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi$. See corollary 4.12.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} J z_n J$ and $\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} J w_n J$ be elements in G such that $z_n w_m = w_m z_n$. Then we have $B(H)^{\phi^2} \cap B(H)^\psi = B(H)^{\phi^2\psi}$.*

Proof. By the definition of G , for each n there exists $k(n)$ such that $z_n^* = z_{k(n)}$. Since $\phi^2 = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} J z_m z_n J$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n z_{k(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n z_n^* = 1$, the pair ϕ^2 and ψ satisfy the assumptions in the previous proposition. Therefore we have $B(H)^{\phi^2} \cap B(H)^\psi = B(H)^{\phi^2\psi}$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *If P is a factor, then $e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})_{e_P} = \mathbb{C}e_P$.*

Proof. By lemma 3.2, we have $e_P(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}})_{e_P} \subseteq e_P \langle M, e_P \rangle \cap \langle M', e_P \rangle_{e_P} = P e_P \cap J P J e_P = Z(P) e_P = \mathbb{C}e_P$. \square

Recall that if P is a factor, then by Proposition 3.1 $B(H)^\phi$ is also a factor.

Theorem 3.8. *Assume that P is a factor. If $B(H)^\phi$ is a finite factor, then $B(H)^\phi = \langle M, e_P \rangle$. If $B(H)^\phi$ is a II_∞ -factor, then $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P = P e_P$.*

Proof. If $B(H)^\phi$ is a finite factor, then there exists a trace-preserving conditional expectation F from $B(H)^\phi$ onto $\langle M, e_P \rangle$. Then by theorem 3.3, we must have $F = \text{id}$ and hence $B(H)^\phi = \langle M, e_P \rangle$.

If $B(H)^\phi$ is a II_∞ -factor, then there exists a faithful normal semifinite tracial weight Tr . For any positive element $T \in B(H)^\phi$ with $0 < \text{Tr}(T) < \infty$, we see that

$$\text{Tr}(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Tr}(\tilde{\phi}^k(T)).$$

Take an increasing sequence of finite projections $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq B(H)^\phi$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P_j = 1$. Then we have

$$\infty > \text{Tr}(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Tr}(\tilde{\phi}^k(T)) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Tr}(\tilde{\phi}^k(T) P_j).$$

First tending $n \rightarrow \omega$, after that tending $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\infty > \text{Tr}(T) \geq \text{Tr}(\tilde{E}(T)) \geq \text{Tr}(e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P).$$

Since $T \in B(H)^\phi$, by the proof of theorem 3.3 we have

$$\langle T \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle.$$

Since Tr is semifinite, we can take T with $e_P T e_P \neq 0$. Then since ω_0 is faithful on $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$, we get

$$0 \neq \omega_0(e_P T e_P) = \langle T \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle.$$

In particular we obtain $e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P \neq 0$. Since by lemma 3.7

$$e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P \in e_P (B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^{\tilde{\phi}}) e_P = \mathbb{C} e_P,$$

we have $e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P = \lambda e_P$ for some number $\lambda > 0$. Then we see that

$$\infty > \text{Tr}(T) \geq \text{Tr}(\tilde{E}(T)) \geq \text{Tr}(e_P \tilde{E}(T) e_P) = \lambda \text{Tr}(e_P).$$

This means that e_P is a finite projection in $B(H)^\phi$ and hence $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$ is a finite factor. Then there exists a trace-preserving conditional expectation from $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$ onto $e_P \langle M, e_P \rangle e_P = P e_P$. Then by theorem 3.3, we conclude $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P = P e_P$. \square

Corollary 3.9. *If P is a non-AFD factor, then $B(H)^\phi$ is an AFD type III-factor.*

Proof. Since P is non-AFD, $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ is also non-AFD. Then since $B(H)^\phi$ is AFD, we have $B(H)^\phi \neq \langle M, e_P \rangle$. Then by the previous theorem, $B(H)^\phi$ is a properly infinite factor. If $B(H)^\phi$ is a II_∞ -factor, then $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P = P e_P$. However since $P e_P$ is non-AFD and $e_P B(H)^\phi e_P$ is AFD, this cannot occur and hence $B(H)^\phi$ is a type III-factor. \square

4. SOME APPLICATIONS TO SUBFACTORS

Let $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Ad} J z_n J \in G$ ($z_n \in M$) such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n z_n^* = \sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n^* z_n = 1$ and for each n , there exists k such that $z_n^* = z_k$. Let P_k be a von Neumann algebra generated by $\{z_{n_1} z_{n_2} \cdots z_{n_k}\}_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=1}^\infty$. Let e_k be the Jones projection onto $L^2(P_k, \tau)$. We remark that $\phi^k = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=1}^\infty \text{Ad} J z_{n_1} z_{n_2} \cdots z_{n_k} J \in G$. Then we have the following inclusions.

$$\begin{aligned} P_{mk} &\subseteq P_k \subseteq M \subseteq \langle M, e_k \rangle \subseteq \langle M, e_{mk} \rangle \\ &\quad \cap \quad \cap \\ &\quad B(H)^{\phi^k} \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^{mk}} \end{aligned}$$

We further assume that $P_2' \cap P_1 = \mathbb{C}$ and $P_2 \neq P_1$.

Lemma 4.1. *The inclusion $B(H)^{\phi^k} \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^{mk}}$ is isomorphic to an inclusion of von Neumann algebras as operator systems. That is, both $B(H)^{\phi^k}$ and $B(H)^{\phi^{mk}}$ have the same product as von Neumann algebras.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the Bhat dilation [1, 3] and the Prunaru theorem [9]. By the Bhat dilation, we have a Hilbert space $K \supset H$ and a unital normal $*$ -endomorphism α on $B(K)$ such that $e_H \alpha^n(T) e_H = \phi^n(T)$ for any $T \in B(H)$, where e_H is a projection onto H . Then by the Prunaru theorem, $e_H B(K)^{\alpha^k} e_H = B(H)^{\phi^k}$ and $e_H B(K)^{\alpha^k} e_H \subseteq e_H B(K)^{\alpha^{mk}} e_H \simeq B(K)^{\alpha^k} \subseteq B(K)^{\alpha^{mk}}$ as operator systems. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The inclusion $B(H)^\phi \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^2}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. By theorem 2.3, we have $M' \cap B(H)^\phi = M' \cap \langle M, e_1 \rangle$ and $M' \cap B(H)^{\phi^2} = M' \cap \langle M, e_2 \rangle$. Then we have $B(H)^{\phi'} \cap B(H)^{\phi^2} = B(H)^{\phi'} \cap M' \cap B(H)^{\phi^2} = B(H)^{\phi'} \cap M' \cap \langle M, e_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle M, e_1 \rangle' \cap \langle M, e_2 \rangle = JP'_2 \cap P_1 J = \mathbb{C}$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *We set $F(T) = \frac{1}{2}(T + \phi(T))$. Then F is a normal conditional expectation from $B(H)^{\phi^2}$ onto $B(H)^\phi$. Moreover $F(\langle M, e_2 \rangle) \subseteq \langle M, e_1 \rangle$.*

Proof. The first statement is obvious. We will show that $F(\langle M, e_2 \rangle) \subseteq \langle M, e_1 \rangle$. For any $T \in \langle M, e_2 \rangle = (\{Jz_{n_1}z_{n_2}J\}_{n_1, n_2=1}^\infty)'$, we see that

$$Jz_k J \phi(T) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty Jz_k z_n J T J z_n^* J = \sum_{n=1}^\infty T (Jz_k z_n J) J z_n^* J = T J z_k J \sum_{n=1}^\infty J z_n z_n^* J = T J z_k J.$$

Since both $\langle M, e_2 \rangle$ and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are closed under the $*$ -operation, we also have $Jz_k J T = \phi(T) J z_k J$. Then we have $Jz_k J F(T) = F(T) J z_k J$ and hence $F(T) \in (\{Jz_n J\}_{n=1}^\infty)' = \langle M, e_1 \rangle$. \square

Recall that an irreducible subfactor has a unique normal conditional expectation if it exists. Since the inclusions $\langle M, e_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle M, e_2 \rangle$ and $B(H)^\phi \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^2}$ are irreducible, they have the unique conditional expectation F .

Proposition 4.4. $[P_2 : P_1] = [B(H)^{\phi^2} : B(H)^\phi] = 2$.

Proof. For any positive element $T \in B(H)^{\phi^2}$, we have $F(T) = \frac{1}{2}(T + \phi(T)) \geq \frac{1}{2}T$. Therefore by the Pimsner-Popa theorem [7, 8], both $[P_2 : P_1]$ and $[B(H)^{\phi^2} : B(H)^\phi]$ are equal to either 1 or 2. Since $P_1 \neq P_2$, we have $[P_2 : P_1] = 2$. We assume that $B(H)^{\phi^2} = B(H)^\phi$. By lemma 2.2, $\langle M, e_1 \rangle$ is a maximum $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)^\phi$ and $\langle M, e_2 \rangle$ is a maximum $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)^{\phi^2}$. Therefore we get $\langle M, e_1 \rangle = \langle M, e_2 \rangle$ and hence $P_1 = P_2$. Since $P_1 \neq P_2$, we conclude that $B(H)^{\phi^2} \neq B(H)^\phi$ and $[B(H)^{\phi^2} : B(H)^\phi] = 2$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \langle M, e_2 \rangle$ be a Pimsner-Popa basis of the inclusion $\langle M, e_1 \rangle \subset \langle M, e_2 \rangle$. That is, for any $x \in \langle M, e_2 \rangle$, we have $x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i F(m_i^* x)$. Then for any $T \in B(H)^{\phi^2}$, we also have $T = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i F(m_i^* T)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\Phi(T) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n m_i F(m_i^* T m_j) m_j^*.$$

Then Φ is a normal unital completely positive map on $B(H)^{\phi^2}$. Moreover for any $x \in \langle M, e_2 \rangle$, we have

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i F(m_i^* x m_j) \right\} m_j^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n x m_j m_j^* = x.$$

Here we used the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i m_i^* = [\langle M, e_2 \rangle : \langle M, e_1 \rangle] = 2$. Then by theorem 3.3, we have $\Phi = id$ on $B(H)^{\phi^2}$. For any positive element $T \in B(H)^{\phi^2}$, since $(F(m_i^* T m_j))_{i,j} \geq \frac{1}{2}(m_i^* T m_j)_{i,j}$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \Phi(T) = \frac{1}{2}(m_1, \dots, m_n) \times (F(m_i^* T m_j))_{i,j} \times (m_1, \dots, m_n)^* \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(m_1, \dots, m_n) \times \frac{1}{2}(m_i^* T m_j)_{i,j} \times (m_1, \dots, m_n)^* = T. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\{(F(m_i^* T m_j))_{i,j} - \frac{1}{2}(m_i^* T m_j)_{i,j}\} \times (m_1, \dots, m_n)^* = (0, \dots, 0)^*$$

and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^n F(m_i^* T m_j) m_j^* = m_i^* T.$$

Then for any element $S \in B(H)^{\phi^2}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n F(m_i^* S m_j) m_j^* = m_i^* S.$$

By letting $S = F(m_i)T$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n F(m_i^* F(m_i) T m_j) m_j^* = m_i^* F(m_i) T$$

and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^n F(\{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^* F(m_i)\} T m_j) m_j^* = \{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^* F(m_i)\} T.$$

Let e be the Jones projection of the subfactor $\langle M, e_1 \rangle \subset \langle M, e_2 \rangle$. Then there exists a Pimsner-Popa basis $\{n_i\}_i \subset \langle M, e_2 \rangle$ of the inclusion $\langle M, e_1 \rangle \subset \langle M, e_2 \rangle$ such that $n_1 = 1$ and $(1 - e)n_i e = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Since $F(n_i)e = en_i e$, we have $F(n_i) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. By the above argument we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m F(\{\sum_{i=1}^n n_i^* F(n_i)\} T n_j) n_j^* = \{\sum_{i=1}^m n_i^* F(n_i)\} T.$$

Then since $\sum_{i=1}^n n_i^* F(n_i) = 1$, we obtain $\sum_{j=1}^m F(Tn_j) n_j^* = T$. Since $m_i = \sum_{j=1}^m n_j F(n_j^* m_i)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n F(Tm_i) m_i^* &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k=1}^m F(Tn_j) F(n_j^* m_i) F(m_i^* n_k) n_k^* \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^m F(Tn_j) F(n_j^* \sum_{i=1}^n m_i F(m_i^* n_k)) n_k^* \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^m F(Tn_j) F(n_j^* n_k) n_k^* = \sum_{j=1}^m F(Tn_j) n_j^* = T. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.6. *For any k , we have $P_{2k} = P_2$ and $P_{2k+1} = P_1$.*

Proof. We have only to show that $P_2 \subseteq P_{2k}$ and $P_1 \subseteq P_{2k+1}$. We observe that

$$P_2 \ni z_{n_1} z_{n_2} = \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}=1}^{\infty} z_{n_1} z_{n_2} (z_{p_1} z_{p_1}^*) \cdots (z_{p_{k-1}} z_{p_{k-1}}^*) \in P_{2k}.$$

Thus we have $P_2 \subseteq P_{2k}$. Similarly we see that $P_1 \subseteq P_{2k+1}$.

□

Proposition 4.7. $B(H)^{\phi^{2k}} = B(H)^{\phi^2}$ and $B(H)^{\phi^{2k+1}} = B(H)^{\phi}$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, we have $P_{2k} = P_2$. Then we have the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2k} &= P_2 \subseteq M \subseteq \langle M, e_2 \rangle = \langle M, e_{2k} \rangle \\ &\quad \cap \quad \cap \\ &\quad B(H)^{\phi^2} \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^{2k}} \end{aligned}$$

We have a normal conditional expectation

$$F(T) = \frac{1}{k} (T + \phi^2(T) + \cdots + \phi^{2(k-1)}(T))$$

from $B(H)^{\phi^{2k}}$ onto $B(H)^{\phi^2}$. Then by theorem 3.3, we have $F = id$ and hence $B(H)^{\phi^{2k}} = B(H)^{\phi^2}$. By the same way, we can see that $B(H)^{\phi^{2k+1}} = B(H)^{\phi}$. □

Next we consider two completely positive maps $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} J z_n J \in G$ ($z_n \in M$) and $\psi = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} J w_m J \in G$ ($w_m \in M$) such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n z_n^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n^* z_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} w_m w_m^* = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} w_m^* w_m = 1$ and both $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{w_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ are $*$ -closed sets. We also assume that $z_n w_m = w_m z_n$ for any n, m . Thus we have $\phi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi$. Let P_1 and P_2 be von Neumann algebras generated by $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{z_{n_1} z_{n_2}\}_{n_1, n_2=1}^{\infty}$ respectively. Similarly, let Q_1 and Q_2 be von Neumann algebras generated by $\{w_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{w_{m_1} w_{m_2}\}_{m_1, m_2=1}^{\infty}$ respectively. We further assume that $P_2' \cap P_1 =$

$Q_2' \cap Q_1 = \mathbb{C}$, $P_2 \neq P_1$ and $Q_2 \neq Q_1$. Let e_1 and e_2 be the Jones projection onto $L^2(P_1 \vee Q_1, \tau)$ and $L^2(P_2 \vee Q_2, \tau)$ respectively. We remark that $P_1 \vee Q_1 \simeq P_1 \otimes Q_1$ and $P_2 \vee Q_2 \simeq P_2 \otimes Q_2$. Then we have the following inclusions.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_2 \vee Q_2 & \subseteq & P_1 \vee Q_1 & \subseteq & M & \subseteq & \langle M, e_1 \rangle & \subseteq & \langle M, e_2 \rangle \\ & & & & & & \cap & & \cap \\ & & & & & & B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi & \subseteq & B(H)^{\phi^2} \cap B(H)^{\psi^2}. \end{array}$$

Here we remark that by proposition 3.4 and corollary 3.6, we have $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi = B(H)^{\frac{1}{2}(\phi+\psi)}$ and $B(H)^{\phi^2} \cap B(H)^{\psi^2} = B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$.

Lemma 4.8. *The inclusions $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi \subseteq B(H)^{\phi\psi} \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$ is isomorphic to inclusions of von Neumann algebras as operator systems.*

Proof. Since $\phi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi$, ϕ and ψ can be dilated simultaneously [2, 10]. Then by the Prunaru theorem [9], we are done. \square

Lemma 4.9. *The inclusion $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. By theorem 2.3, we have $M' \cap B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} = M' \cap \langle M, e_2 \rangle$. Then we have $(B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi)' \cap B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} = (B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi)' \cap M' \cap B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} = (B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi)' \cap M' \cap \langle M, e_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle M, e_1 \rangle' \cap \langle M, e_2 \rangle = J(P_2 \vee Q_2)' \cap (P_1 \vee Q_1)J = \mathbb{C}$. \square

Lemma 4.10. *We set $F(T) = \frac{1}{4}(T + \phi(T) + \psi(T) + \phi \circ \psi(T))$. Then F is a normal conditional expectation from $B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$ onto $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi$. Moreover $F(\langle M, e_2 \rangle) \subseteq \langle M, e_1 \rangle$.*

Proof. Since $B(H)^{\phi^2} \cap B(H)^{\psi^2} = B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$, the first statement is obvious. We will show that $F(\langle M, e_2 \rangle) \subseteq \langle M, e_1 \rangle$. We remark that

$$F(T) = \left(\frac{id + \phi}{2} \right) \circ \left(\frac{id + \psi}{2} \right) (T) = \left(\frac{id + \psi}{2} \right) \circ \left(\frac{id + \phi}{2} \right) (T)$$

For any $T \in \langle M, e_2 \rangle = (\{Jz_{n_1}z_{n_2}J\}_{n_1, n_2=1}^\infty)' \cap (\{Jw_{m_1}w_{m_2}J\}_{m_1, m_2=1}^\infty)'$, since $\left(\frac{id + \psi}{2} \right) (T) \in (\{Jz_{n_1}z_{n_2}J\}_{n_1, n_2=1}^\infty)'$, by the proof of lemma 4.3, we have $Jz_k JF(T) = F(T)Jz_k J$. Similarly we have $Jw_k JF(T) = F(T)Jw_k J$ and hence $F(\langle M, e_2 \rangle) \subseteq \langle M, e_1 \rangle$. \square

Proposition 4.11. $[P_1 \vee Q_1 : P_2 \vee Q_2] = [B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} : B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi] = 4$.

Proof. By proposition 4.4, we have $[P_1 : P_2] = [Q_1 : Q_2] = 2$ and hence $[P_1 \vee Q_1 : P_2 \vee Q_2] = 4$. For any positive element $T \in B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$, since $F(T) \geq \frac{1}{4}T$, by the Pimsner-Popa theorem [7, 8] we have $[B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} : B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi] \leq 4$. On the other hand, for any positive element $x \in \langle M, e_2 \rangle \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$, we have $F(x) \geq \frac{1}{[B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} : B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi]}x$. Since $[\langle M, e_2 \rangle : \langle M, e_2 \rangle] = [P_1 \vee Q_1 :$

$P_2 \vee Q_2] = 4$, we have $\frac{1}{4} \geq \frac{1}{[B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} : B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi]}$ and hence $[B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} : B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi] \geq 4$. Therefore we have $[B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} : B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi] = 4$. \square

Corollary 4.12. $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi \neq B(H)^{\phi\psi}$.

Proof. Let $F_0(T) = \frac{1}{2}(T + \phi\psi(T))$. Then F_0 is a normal conditional expectation from $B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$ onto $B(H)^{\phi\psi}$. Then for any positive $T \in B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$, we have $F_0(T) \geq \frac{1}{2}T$ and hence $[B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} : B(H)^{\phi\psi}] \leq 2$. Since $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi \subseteq B(H)^{\phi\psi} \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2}$ and $[B(H)^{\phi^2\psi^2} : B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi] = 4$, we conclude $B(H)^\phi \cap B(H)^\psi \neq B(H)^{\phi\psi}$. \square

Example . Let \mathbb{F}_2 be a free group with two generators a and b . Let A be a subgroup generated by ab and ab^{-1} . Then A consists of reduced words with even length. Set $M = L(\mathbb{F}_2)$, $H = L^2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $\phi = \frac{1}{4}(\text{Ad}JaJ + \text{Ad}JbJ + \text{Ad}Ja^{-1}J + \text{Ad}Jb^{-1}J) \in G$. In this case, $P_1 = L(\mathbb{F}_2) = M$ and $P_2 = L(A)$. Since $[\mathbb{F}_2 : A] = 2$, we have $[P_1 : P_2] = 2$ and $P_2' \cap P_1 = \mathbb{C}$. We have the following inclusions.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_2 & \subseteq & P_1 & = & M & \subseteq & \langle M, e_2 \rangle \\ & & & & \cap & & \cap \\ & & & & B(H)^\phi & \subseteq & B(H)^{\phi^2} \end{array}$$

Then the subfactor $B(H)^\phi \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^2}$ is AFD type III with index 2. The subfactors $M \subseteq \langle M, e_2 \rangle$ and $B(H)^\phi \subseteq B(H)^{\phi^2}$ have a common Pimsner-Popa basis. Moreover two inclusions $M \subset B(H)^\phi$ and $\langle M, e_2 \rangle \subset B(H)^{\phi^2}$ have the rigidity property in the sence of theorem 3.3.

Next we consider two unital normal completely positive maps $\phi \otimes id$ and $id \otimes \phi$ on $B(H \otimes H)$. Then by corollary 4.12, we have $B(H \otimes H)^{\phi \otimes id} \cap B(H \otimes H)^{id \otimes \phi} \neq B(H \otimes H)^{\phi \otimes \phi}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. V. Rajarama Bhat, *An index theory for quantum dynamical semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), no. 2, 561–583.
- [2] ———, *A generalized intertwining lifting theorem*. Operator algebras and their applications, II (Waterloo, ON, 1994/1995), 1–10, Fields Inst. Commun., 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [3] ———, *Minimal dilations of quantum dynamical semigroups to semigroups of endomorphisms of C^* -algebras*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **14** (1999), no. 2, 109–124.
- [4] S. Das and J. Peterson, *Poisson boundaries of II_1 factors*. preprint.
- [5] M. Izumi, *Non-commutative Poisson boundaries*, *Discrete geometric analysis*, Contemp. Math., vol. 347, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 69–81.
- [6] V. F. R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*. Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 1–25.
- [7] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, *Entropy and index for subfactors*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **19** (1986), no. 1, 57–106.

- [8] S. Popa, *Classification of subfactors and their endomorphisms*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 86. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [9] B. Prunaru, *Lifting fixed points of completely positive semigroups*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **72** (2012), no. 2, 219–222.
- [10] B. Solel, *Representations of product systems over semigroups and dilations of commuting CP maps*. J. Funct. Anal. **235** (2006), no. 2, 593–618.

(Tomohiro Hayashi) NAGOYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, GOKISO-CHO, SHOWA-KU, NAGOYA, AICHI, 466-8555, JAPAN

Email address, Tomohiro Hayashi: `hayashi.tomohiro@nitech.ac.jp`