

ON COVERINGS OF BANACH SPACES AND THEIR SUBSETS BY HYPERPLANES

DAMIAN GŁODKOWSKI AND PIOTR KOSZMIDER

ABSTRACT. Given a Banach space we consider the σ -ideal of all of its subsets which are covered by countably many hyperplanes and investigate its standard cardinal characteristics as the additivity, the covering number, the uniformity, the cofinality. We determine their values for separable Banach spaces, and approximate them for nonseparable Banach spaces. The remaining questions reduce to deciding if the following can be proved in ZFC for every nonseparable Banach space X : (1) X can be covered by ω_1 -many of its hyperplanes; (2) All subsets of X of cardinalities less than $\text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$ can be covered by countably many hyperplanes. We prove (1) and (2) for all Banach spaces in many well-investigated classes and that they are consistent with any possible size of the continuum. (1) is related to the problem whether every compact Hausdorff space which has small diagonal is metrizable and (2) to large cardinals.

1. INTRODUCTION

All Banach spaces considered in this paper are of dimension bigger than 1 and over the reals. For unexplained terminology see Section 2.1. A hyperplane of a Banach space X is a one-codimensional closed subspace of X . It is easy to see that it is nowhere dense in X . The family of all hyperplanes of X will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Given a Banach space X one can define the hyperplane ideal $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X) = \{Y \subseteq X : \exists \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(X) \ Y \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \text{ countable}\}.$$

That is, $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ is the family of all subsets of X which can be covered by countably many hyperplanes of X . By the Baire category theorem $X \notin \mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ for any Banach space X . We consider the standard cardinal characteristics of the ideal $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$:

- $\mathfrak{add}(X)$ is the minimal cardinality of a family of sets from $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ whose union is not in $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$,
- $\mathfrak{cov}(X)$ is the minimal cardinality of a family of sets from $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ whose union is equal to X ,
- $\mathfrak{non}(X)$ is the minimal cardinality of a subset of X that is not in $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$,
- $\mathfrak{cof}(X)$ is the minimal cardinality of a family of sets from $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ such that each member of $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ is contained in some element of that family.

Such cardinal characteristics are standard tools for investigating the combinatorial properties of a σ -ideal. The most known case are their applications to the understanding of the ideal of Lebesgue measure zero sets and the ideal of meager sets of the reals (see e.g. [1]). It is easy to observe that if the ideal is proper and contains all singletons we have the following inequalities: $\mathfrak{add} \leq \mathfrak{cov} \leq \mathfrak{cof}$ and

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E35, 46B26, 54A35.

The authors were partially supported by the NCN (National Science Centre, Poland) research grant no. 2020/37/B/ST1/02613.

$\mathfrak{add} \leq \mathfrak{non} \leq \mathfrak{cof}$. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the possible values of the above cardinals for the ideal $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ and understand how they depend on X . A somewhat surprising conclusion is that the values depend almost entirely only on the density of X and the X^* or even are fixed for all separable and all nonseparable Banach spaces. The first result presented in Section 3.1 describes these values for all separable Banach spaces. It is an immediate consequence of appropriately formulated result from [16]:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that X is a separable Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then the following equalities hold:*

- $\mathfrak{add}(X) = \omega_1$,
- $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \omega_1$,
- $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \mathfrak{c}$,
- $\mathfrak{cof}(X) = \mathfrak{c}$.

In fact the values of $\mathfrak{add}$ and $\mathfrak{cof}$ are always trivial (Propositions 14, 15) due to an elementary fact that $H \subseteq G$ implies $H = G$ for any two $G, H \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ any X (Proposition 9). The results from Section 3 provide also much information about the general case including the nonseparable case:

Theorem 2. *Suppose that X is a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then the following equalities and inequalities hold:*

- $\mathfrak{add}(X) = \omega_1$,
- $\omega_1 \leq \mathfrak{cov}(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}$,
- $\mathfrak{dens}(X) \leq \mathfrak{non}(X) \leq \mathfrak{cf}([\mathfrak{dens}(X)]^\omega)$,
- $\mathfrak{cof}(X) = |X^*|$.

Proof. Propositions 14, 15, 16, 17. □

So the interesting cardinal characteristics are $\mathfrak{cov}$ and $\mathfrak{non}$. First we note that making additional (but diverse) set theoretic assumptions (which are known to be undecidable) the values of $\mathfrak{cov}$ and $\mathfrak{non}$ are completely determined by the density of the space or even fixed. For $\mathfrak{non}$ this follows just from results on cardinal arithmetic and Theorem 2:

Theorem 3. *Assume the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis GCH or Martin's Maximum MM. Let X be a nonseparable Banach space. Then*

- (1) $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1$,
- (2) $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \mathfrak{dens}(X)$ if $\mathfrak{cf}(\mathfrak{dens}(X)) > \omega$,
- (3) $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \mathfrak{dens}(X)^+$ if $\mathfrak{cf}(\mathfrak{dens}(X)) = \omega$.

Moreover the same is consistent with any possible size of the continuum $\mathfrak{c}$. If violations of the above equalities concerning $\mathfrak{non}$ are consistent, then so is the existence of a measurable cardinal.

Proof. Propositions 17, 27, 31, 32, 33 and the fact that MM implies PFA. □

Not only consistent set-theoretic hypotheses determine the values of $\mathfrak{cov}$. Also a well-known topological statement which is unknown to be provable but known to be consistent fixes the value of $\mathfrak{cov}$.

Theorem 4. *Assume that all compact Hausdorff spaces with small diagonal are metrizable. Let X be a nonseparable Banach space. Then*

$$\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1.$$

Proof. Lemma 24. □

For the definition of a space with small diagonal see Definition 22. In fact a weaker natural topological hypothesis has the same impact on $\mathbf{cov}$ (see Question 35). The following main questions remain open:

Question 5. *Can one prove in ZFC any of the following sentences?*

- (1) *Every nonseparable Banach space can be covered by ω_1 of its hyperplanes.*
- (2) *In any Banach space X of dimension bigger than 1 each subset of cardinality smaller than $\text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$ can be covered by countably many hyperplanes.*

The positive answer to the above questions would settle the values of $\mathbf{cov}$ and $\mathbf{non}$ in ZFC as in Theorem 3. Note that by Theorem 2 (3) in every infinite dimensional Banach space X there is a subset of cardinality $\text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$ which cannot be covered by countably many hyperplanes. Attempting to prove the sentences of Question 5 for all nonseparable Banach spaces we manage to prove them in many cases:

Theorem 6. *Suppose that X is any nonseparable Banach space belonging to one of the following classes:*

- (1) *X admits a fundamental biorthogonal system,*
- (2) *X is of the form $C(K)$ for K scattered, Hausdorff compact,*
- (3) *X contains an isomorphic copy of $\ell_1(\omega_1)$,*
- (4) *The dual ball B_{X^*} of X^* has uncountable tightness in the weak* topology.*

Then X can be covered by ω_1 hyperplanes, i.e., $\mathbf{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.

Proof. Propositions 21, 26, 25, Lemmas 23, 24. □

Note that this implies that spaces like $c_0(\kappa)$, $\ell_p(\kappa)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and any $\kappa > 1$, reflexive spaces, WLD spaces (by (1)), $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$, $L_\infty(\{0, 1\}^\kappa)$ for any $\kappa > 1$, (by (3)) satisfy the conclusion of the above theorem.

Theorem 7. *Suppose that X is any Banach space of dimension bigger than 1 belonging to one of the following classes:*

- (1) *X admits a fundamental biorthogonal system,*
- (2) *X has density ω_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Then each subset of X of cardinality smaller than $\text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$ can be covered by countably many hyperplanes, i.e., $\mathbf{non}(X) = \text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$.

Proof. Propositions 28 and 30. □

Note that (1) above implies that spaces like $c_0(\kappa)$, $\ell_p(\kappa)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, spaces $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$, $L_\infty(\{0, 1\}^\kappa)$ for any $\kappa > 1$ (by a result of [6] since $\ell_2(\text{dens}(X))$ is a quotient of such spaces X), reflexive spaces, WLD spaces satisfy the conclusion of the above theorem. Note that a Banach space X of density ω_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ may have cardinality arbitrarily bigger than ω_n as $|X| = \text{dens}(X)^\omega = \omega_n^\omega = \mathfrak{c} \cdot \omega_n$ by Proposition 10.

Let us also note one application of our results. Recall that a subset Y of a Banach space X is overcomplete ([23], [18]) if $|Y| = \text{dens}(X)$ and every subset $Z \subseteq Y$ of cardinality $\text{dens}(X)$ is linearly dense in X . The following constitutes a progress on Question 39 from [18].

Theorem 8. *Assume the Proper Forcing Axiom PFA. Let X be a Banach space such that $\text{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) > \omega_1$. Then X does not admit an overcomplete set. Moreover this statement is consistent with any possible size of the continuum $\mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3 the hypothesis implies that every nonseparable Banach space X can be covered by ω_1 many hyperplanes $\{H_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. If $Y \subseteq X$ and $|Y| = \text{dens}(X)$, then by $\text{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) > \omega_1$ there is $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $|H_\alpha \cap Y| = \text{dens}(X)$, so $Z = H_\alpha \cap Y$ witnesses that Y is not overcomplete. $\square$

The structure of the paper is the following. Section 2 contains preliminaries. Section 3 establishes Theorems 1 and 2. Section 4 includes progress on Question 5 (1) and arrives at Theorems 3 (1), 4 and 6. Section 5 includes progress on Question 5 (2) and arrives at Theorems 3 (2), (3) and 7. The last Section 6 discusses the perspectives for further research and states additional questions.

No knowledge of logic or higher set-theory is required from the reader to follow the paper. This is because all consistency results are obtained by applying consistency results already present in the literature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation and terminology. We use notation common for set theory and the theory of Banach spaces.

$\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of non-negative integers. $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ denote the rationals and the reals respectively. $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of a set A . By $f|A$ we mean the restriction of a function f to a set A . ω_n stands for the n -th infinite cardinal, ω_ω is the smallest cardinal which is greater than ω_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\mathfrak{c}$ denotes the cardinality of $\mathbb{R}$ and is called the continuum. If α is an ordinal number, then $\text{cf}(\alpha)$ denotes its cofinality. $[A]^\omega$ is the set of countable subsets of A , $\text{cf}([A]^\omega)$ denotes the cofinality of $[A]^\omega$ considered as the set partially ordered by inclusion, that is the minimal cardinality of a family of countable subsets of A such that any countable subset of A is included in an element of the family.

For a Banach space X , density $\text{dens}(X)$ is the minimal cardinality of a dense subset in X (in the norm topology). X^* stands for the Banach space of bounded linear functional on X . For $S \subseteq X$ by $\text{lin}(S)$ we denote the smallest linear subspace of X containing S and $\overline{\text{lin}(S)}$ stands for its closure. $\ker(x^*)$ denotes the kernel of a functional $x^* \in X^*$. If $x_i \in X, x_i^* \in X^*$ for $i \in I$ are such that $x_i^*(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}$, then $(x_i, x_i^*)_{i \in I}$ is called a biorthogonal system. If moreover $X = \overline{\text{lin}\{x_i : i \in I\}}$, then such a system is called fundamental. For the definition and various characterizations of WLD spaces see [13].

We assume that all considered topological spaces are Hausdorff. For a compact space K we denote by $C(K)$ the Banach space of real continuous functions on K with the supremum norm. If $x \in K$, then $\delta_x \in C(K)^*$ is defined by $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$. $\Delta(K) = \{(x, x) : x \in K\}$ is the diagonal of $K \times K$.

For any set A by $c_0(A)$ we denote the Banach space of functions $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is finitely many $a \in A$ with $|f(a)| > \varepsilon$ with the supremum norm. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ by $\ell_p(A)$ we denote the Banach space of functions $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f\|^p = \sum_{a \in A} |f(a)|^p < \infty$. $\ell_\infty(A)$ stands for the Banach space of bounded functions $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the supremum norm. $\ell_\infty^c(A)$ is the subspace of $\ell_\infty(A)$ consisting of functions with countable support. We also write $c_0(\mathbb{N}) = c_0, \ell_p(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_p$ and $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_\infty$.

ZFC denotes Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice. We say that a sentence φ is relatively consistent with a set of axioms if its negation $\neg\varphi$ cannot be proven from those axioms unless assuming ZFC leads to contradiction. We usually skip the word “relatively”. A sentence φ is undecidable if both $\varphi, \neg\varphi$ are consistent. CH means ‘ $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_1$ ’. MM stands for Martin’s Maximum and PFA for Proper Forcing Axiom. It is known that MM implies PFA and PFA implies $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$ (for the definitions of MM and PFA and proofs of mentioned facts check [14]).

2.2. Hyperplanes. Let us recall here some elementary and well-known facts concerning hyperplanes in Banach spaces.

Lemma 9. *Suppose that X is a Banach space. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *If H, G are hyperplanes of X and $H \subseteq G$, then $H = G$.*
- (2) *If a hyperplane H is contained in a countable union $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$ of hyperplanes H_i , then $H = H_i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. (1) Every hyperplane in a Banach space X is a kernel of some non-zero bounded functional and kernels of $f, g \in X^*$ are different if and only if f and g are linearly independent (3.1.13, 3.1.14 of [24]).

For (2) assume that $H \not\subseteq H_i$ for any $i \in \omega$. Then $H_i \cap H$ are nowhere dense in H . Hence by Baire category theorem $\bigcup_{i \in \omega} H_i \cap H$ has empty interior in H , which leads to contradiction with $H = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} H_i \cap H$. Now use (1). $\square$

2.3. Cardinalities of Banach spaces. Let us recall here some well-known facts concerning cardinalities of Banach spaces. The first one follows from the Lemma 2.8 of [2] and the fact that $(\kappa^\omega)^\omega = \kappa^\omega$.

Proposition 10. *If X is a Banach space, then $\text{dens}(X)^\omega = |X|^\omega = |X|$.*

Proposition 11. *If X is a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1, then $|X^*| = |\mathcal{H}(X)|$.*

Proof. Every hyperplane in a Banach space X is a kernel of some non-zero bounded functional and kernels of $f, g \in X^*$ are different if and only if f and g are linearly independent (3.1.13, 3.1.14 of [24]). So $|X^*| = \mathfrak{c} \cdot |\mathcal{H}(X)|$. If $f, g \in X^*$ are linearly independent, then the kernels of $f + \lambda g$ are different for different choices of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. So $\mathfrak{c} \leq |\mathcal{H}(X)|$ and so $|X^*| = |\mathcal{H}(X)|$. $\square$

Note that $|X^*|$ is not determined by $|X|$ or $\text{dens}(X)$. By Proposition 10 we have $\text{dens}(c_0(\mathfrak{c})) = |c_0(\mathfrak{c})| = \text{dens}(\ell_1(\mathfrak{c})) = |\ell_1(\mathfrak{c})| = \mathfrak{c}$ and $\text{dens}(\ell_\infty(\mathfrak{c})) = |\ell_\infty(\mathfrak{c})| = 2^\mathfrak{c}$ while $c_0(\mathfrak{c})^* = \ell_1(\mathfrak{c})$ and $\ell_1^*(\mathfrak{c}) = \ell_\infty(\mathfrak{c})$.

2.4. Ideals.

Proposition 12. *Let X be a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then $\text{add}(X) \leq \text{cov}(X) \leq \text{cof}(X)$ and $\text{add}(X) \leq \text{non}(X) \leq \text{cof}(X)$.*

Proof. This is elementary. Since $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ contains all singletons and is a σ -ideal, Lemma 1.3.2 of [1] applies. $\square$

3. BASIC RESULTS ON THE VALUES OF THE CARDINAL CHARACTERISTICS

3.1. Separable Banach spaces. It turns out that the values of our cardinal characteristics on separable Banach spaces are the same. We include the proof of the following result for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 13 ([16, Theorem 2.4]). *Let X be a separable Banach space. Then there exists a set $Y \subseteq X$ of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$ such that for every hyperplane H of X the set $H \cap Y$ is finite.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$ be linearly dense in X and consist of norm one vectors. Let

$$y_\lambda = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^n x_n$$

for each $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$. We claim that $Y = \{y_\lambda : \lambda \in (0, 1/2)\}$ satisfies the theorem. Let H be a hyperplane $x^* \in X^*$ be the norm one nonzero linear bounded functional whose kernel is H . We have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x^*(x_n)|} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sqrt[n]{|x^*(x_n)|} \leq 1$ and so the formula

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x^*(x_n) \lambda^n$$

defines an analytic function on $(-1, 1)$. $f \equiv 0$ on $(-1, 1)$ only if $x^*(x_n) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is not the case since x^* is not the zero functional on X . By the properties of analytic functions f cannot have infinitely many zeros in $(0, 1/2)$, which means that $0 = f(\lambda) = x^*(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^n x_n) = x^*(y_\lambda)$ only for finitely many $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ as required. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Suppose that X is a separable Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then the following equalities hold:*

- $\mathfrak{add}(X) = \omega_1$,
- $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \omega_1$,
- $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \mathfrak{c}$,
- $\mathfrak{cof}(X) = \mathfrak{c}$.

Proof. $|\mathcal{H}(X)| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ if X is separable as hyperplanes are determined by continuous functionals and such are determined by their values on a dense set. So by Proposition 12 it is enough to prove that $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \omega_1$ and $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \mathfrak{c}$. Let Y be the set from Proposition 13 and $Y' \subseteq Y$ any set such that $|Y'| = \omega_1$. If Y' is covered by countably many hyperplanes $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which H_n contains infinite subset $Z \subseteq Y'$, so $H_n = \overline{\text{lin}(Z)} = X$, which is contradiction. Hence $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \omega_1$.

Assume now that X is covered by $\kappa < \mathfrak{c}$ sets from $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$. Then X is covered by κ hyperplanes, so there is a hyperplane H containing infinite subset of Y and again we get contradiction. Hence $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \mathfrak{c}$. $\square$

Note that the first and last equations are also special cases of Propositions 14 and 15.

3.2. General Banach spaces.

Proposition 14. *Let X be a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then*

$$\mathfrak{add}(X) = \omega_1.$$

Proof. It is clear that $\mathfrak{add}(X) \geq \omega_1$. If $f, g \in X^*$ are linearly independent, then the kernels of $f + \lambda g$ are different hyperplanes for different choices of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. So let $\mathcal{F}$ be any collection of ω_1 -many distinct hyperplanes. We have $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\sigma$. However $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \notin \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ because otherwise if $\{H_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$, then for every $H \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $H = H_i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 9 which contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is uncountable. $\square$

Proposition 15. *Let X be a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then*

$$\mathfrak{cof}(X) = |X^*|.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a cofinal family in $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$. Without losing generality we can assume that $\mathcal{F}$ consists of countable sums of hyperplanes. By Lemma 9 every set in $\mathcal{F}$ contains only countably many hyperplanes, so $|\mathcal{F}| \geq |X^*|$. Moreover $|\mathcal{F}|$ is not greater than cardinality of the family of all countable sets of hyperplanes which is equal to $|X^*|^\omega = |X^*|$ by Proposition 10. Thus $|\mathcal{F}| = |X^*|$. $\square$

Proposition 16. *Let X be a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then*

$$\text{dens}(X) \leq \mathfrak{non}(X) \leq \text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega).$$

If $\text{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) = \omega$, then $\text{dens}(X) < \mathfrak{non}(X)$.

Proof. Assume that $Y \subseteq X$ and $|Y| < \text{dens}(X)$. Then $\overline{\text{lin}(Y)}$ is a proper subspace of X and so it is contained in some hyperplane and hence $Y \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$, so $\text{dens}(X) \leq \mathfrak{non}(X)$.

Let $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \text{dens}(X)\}$ be a dense subset of X . Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\text{dens}(X)]^\omega$ be a family which is cofinal in $[\text{dens}(X)]^\omega$ and of cardinality $\text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$. By Proposition 13 for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ the subspace $X_F = \overline{\text{lin}\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in F\}} \subseteq X$ contains a subset Y_F such that $|Y_F| = \omega_1$ and it cannot be covered by countably many hyperplanes in X_F . Put $Y = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} Y_F$. We claim that $Y \notin \mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ and $|Y| = [\text{dens}(X)]^\omega$. If Y were covered by countably many hyperplanes H_n of X , there would be $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $H_n \cap X_F \neq X_F$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which is contradiction with the choice of Y_F . Hence $Y \notin \mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$. Also $|Y| = \omega_1 \cdot |\mathcal{F}| = \omega_1 \cdot \text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega) = \text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$ as $\text{dens}(X)$ is uncountable.

Now assume that $\text{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) = \omega$. If $Y \subseteq X$ and $|Y| = \text{dens}(X)$, $Y = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n$ with $|Y_n| < \text{dens}(X)$, then every Y_n is contained in some closed subspace of X and hence in a hyperplane H_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $Y \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$. $\square$

Proposition 17. *Let X be a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then*

$$\omega_1 \leq \mathfrak{cov}(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}.$$

In particular, under CH, $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1$ for every nonseparable Banach space X . If $\text{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) > \omega$, then $\mathfrak{cov}(X) \leq \text{cf}(\text{dens}(X))$. In particular if $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$, then $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(X)$ is a σ -ideal, we have $\omega_1 \leq \mathfrak{cov}(X)$. Let $f, g \in X^*$ be linearly independent. Then for every $x \in X$ there are $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $af(x) + bg(x) = 0$. Thus the family of hyperplanes $\{\ker af + bg : (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}\}$ of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$ covers X .

Let $\kappa = \text{dens}(X)$ and let $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a dense subset of X . Let $\kappa = \sup\{\alpha_\xi : \xi < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$. Let $X_\xi = \overline{\text{lin}\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \alpha_\xi\}}$ for $\xi < \text{cf}(\kappa)$. Each X_ξ is a proper subspace of X since the density of X is $\kappa > \alpha_\xi$. Also every element $x \in X$ is in the closure

of a countable subset of $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$, and so by the uncountable cofinality of κ we conclude that $x \in X_\xi$ for some $\xi < \text{cf}(\kappa)$. $\square$

4. COVERING NONSEPARABLE BANACH SPACES WITH ω_1 HYPERPLANES

By Proposition 17 and Theorem 1 if we assume CH we have $\text{cov}(X) = \omega_1$ for all Banach spaces X . In this section we investigate whether $\text{cov}(X) = \omega_1$ may hold for all nonseparable Banach spaces without this assumption (Note that by Theorem 1 if CH fails, then $\text{cov}(X) > \omega_1$ for all separable Banach spaces). We prove that the value of cov is indeed ω_1 for many classes of nonseparable Banach spaces (Propositions 21, 25, 26) and that consistently it holds for all Banach spaces in the presence of diverse negations of CH (Proposition 27). The deepest observations rely heavily on set-theoretic topological results of [15], [8], [9] concerning small diagonal and countable tightness in compact Hausdorff spaces (Definition 22).

Lemma 18. *Suppose that X, Y are Banach spaces and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded linear operator whose range is dense in Y . Then $\text{cov}(Y) \leq \text{cov}(X)$.*

Proof. If $0 \neq y^* \in Y^*$, then $T^*(y^*) \neq 0$ because the range of T is dense in Y , so a covering of Y by hyperplanes induces a covering of X by hyperplanes which is of the same cardinality which proves $\text{cov}(X) \leq \text{cov}(Y)$. $\square$

Lemma 19. *For every nonseparable Banach space X there is a linear bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega_1)$ with nonseparable range. In particular, all values of the cardinal characteristic cov on nonseparable Banach spaces are bounded by the values on nonseparable subspaces of $\ell_\infty(\omega_1)$.*

Proof. Every Banach space is isometric to a subspace of $C(K) \subseteq \ell_\infty(K)$, where $K = B_{X^*}$. So we may assume that $X \subseteq \ell_\infty(\kappa)$ for some uncountable cardinal κ . As X is nonseparable, it contains an uncountable discrete set D . This fact is witnessed by the coordinates from some set $A \subseteq \kappa$ of cardinality ω_1 . That is there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every distinct $d, d' \in D$ we have $|d(\alpha) - d'(\alpha)| > \varepsilon$ for some $\alpha \in A$. Consider the restriction operator $R : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(A)$. It is clear that the range is nonseparable by the choice of A . To conclude the last part of the lemma take any nonseparable Banach space X and consider the operator T as in the first part of the lemma and let Y be the closure of the range of T . Using Lemma 18 we conclude that $\text{cov}(X) \leq \text{cov}(Y)$. $\square$

Let us now prove a simple but useful:

Lemma 20. *Let X be a Banach space. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.
- (2) X is a union of ω_1 hyperplanes.
- (3) *There is $A \subseteq X^* \setminus \{0\}$ of cardinality ω_1 such that for every $x \in X$ there is $x^* \in A$ such that $x^*(x) = 0$.*
- (4) *There is a bounded linear operator $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega_1)$ such that*
 - (a) *for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ there is $x \in X$ such that $T(x)(\alpha) \neq 0$.*
 - (b) *for every $x \in X$ there is $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $T(x)(\alpha) = 0$.*

Proof. The equivalence of the first three items is clear. Assume (3) and let us prove (4). Let $\{H_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be the hyperplanes that cover X and let $x_\alpha^* \in X^*$ be such that H_α is the kernel of x_α^* and $\|x_\alpha^*\| = 1$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$. Let $T(x)(\alpha) = x_\alpha^*(x)$.

Condition (a) follows from the fact that $x_\alpha^* \neq 0$ and condition (b) from the fact that H_α s cover X .

Now assume (4) and let us prove (3). Condition (a) implies that $x_\alpha^* = T^*(\delta_\alpha)$ is a nonzero element of X^* , and so its kernel is a hyperplane. Condition (b) implies that the kernels of x_α^* s cover X . $\square$

Proposition 21. *Let X be a nonseparable Banach space. Each of the following sentences implies the next.*

- (1) X admits a fundamental biorthogonal system.
- (2) There is a bounded linear operator $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\omega_1)$ with nonseparable range (i.e., X is not half-pcc in the terminology of [10]).
- (3) $\mathbf{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.

In particular for every nonseparable WLD Banach space X we have $\mathbf{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.

Proof. Let $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a fundamental biorthogonal system. Define $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega_1)$ by $T(x)(\alpha) = x_\alpha^*(x)$. As $T(x_\alpha) = 1_{\{\alpha\}}|_{\omega_1} \in c_0(\omega_1)$ and X is the closure of the linear span of $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ we conclude that $T[X] \subseteq c_0(\omega_1)$. $T(x_\alpha) = 1_{\{\alpha\}}$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$ witnesses the fact that the range is nonseparable.

Now assume (2). As the range of T is nonseparable, by passing to an uncountable set of coordinates we may assume that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$ there is $x \in X$ such that $T(x)(\alpha) \neq 0$. So item (4) of Lemma 20 is satisfied, and hence $\mathbf{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.

To make the final observation use the fact that WLD Banach spaces admit fundamental biorthogonal systems e.g. by the results of [27]. $\square$

Note that the paper [10] contains many results on properties of Banach spaces X which imply item (2) of Lemma 21, for example this happens when X^* contains a nonmetrizable weakly compact subset. To obtain more Banach spaces X satisfying $\mathbf{cov}(X)$ we need some topological considerations. First recall the following:

Definition 22. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space.*

- (1) We say that K has small diagonal if for every uncountable subset A of $K^2 \setminus \Delta(K)$ there is an uncountable $B \subseteq A$ whose closure is disjoint from $\Delta(K)$.
- (2) We say that K has countable tightness (is countably determined) if whenever $K \ni x \in \overline{A}$ for $A \subseteq K$, then there is a countable $B \subseteq A$ such that $x \in \overline{B}$.

In the following lemma the implication from (3) to (4) is the result of [15].

Lemma 23. *Suppose that K is a compact Hausdorff space. Each of the following sentences implies the next.*

- (1) For every $A \subseteq K$ of cardinality ω_1 there is a continuous $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_A$ is injective.
- (2) For every $A = \{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq K^2 \setminus \Delta(K)$ of cardinality ω_1 there is a continuous $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\{\alpha : f(x_\alpha) \neq f(y_\alpha)\}$$

is uncountable.

- (3) K has small diagonal.
- (4) K is countably tight.

Proof. Assume (1). Let $A \subset K^2$ be a set of cardinality ω_1 disjoint from the diagonal. Let $A = \{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Put $L = \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $f|L$ injective. Then $f(x_\alpha) \neq f(y_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, so we obtain (2).

Assume (2). Let $A \subset K^2$ be uncountable. We may assume that A is of cardinality ω_1 and so $A = \{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and such that

$$\{\alpha : f(x_\alpha) \neq f(y_\alpha)\}$$

is uncountable. Then $g : K^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x, y) = |f(x) - f(y)|$ is continuous and $g|A$ is non-zero. Hence there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and an uncountable subset $A' \subseteq A$ such that $g(a) > \varepsilon$ for $a \in A'$. It follows that the closure of A' is disjoint from diagonal as $g|\Delta(K) = 0$ which completes the proof of (3).

For the last implication see the proof of [15, Corollary 2.3]. $\square$

It is easy to see that the one-point compactification of an uncountable discrete space is countably tight but does not have small diagonal, so (4) does not imply (3). We do not know if the other implications reverse (cf. Question 35).

Lemma 24. *Let X be a Banach space. Each of the following sentences implies the next.*

- (1) *The dual ball B_{X^*} does not have small diagonal in the weak* topology.*
- (2) *There is $\{x_\alpha^* : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq B_{X^*} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\{\alpha : x_\alpha^*(x) \neq 0\}$ is at most countable for each $x \in X$.*
- (3) *There is $A \subseteq B_{X^*}$ of cardinality ω_1 such that $\delta_x|A$ is not injective for each $x \in X$, where $\delta_x \in C(B_{X^*})$ is given by $\delta_x(x^*) = x^*(x)$.*
- (4) *$\text{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.*

Proof. Suppose (1). By the implication from (2) to (3) of Lemma 23 there is $A = \{(y_\alpha^*, z_\alpha^*) : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq B_{X^*}^2 \setminus \Delta(B_{X^*})$ of cardinality ω_1 such that for every continuous $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the set $\{\alpha : f(y_\alpha^*) \neq f(z_\alpha^*)\}$ is countable. Of course $x \in X$ defines a continuous function on the dual ball in the weak* topology so $\{\alpha : (y_\alpha^* - z_\alpha^*)(x)\}$ is countable for all $x \in X$. So we put $x_\alpha^* = y_\alpha^* - z_\alpha^*$ and we obtain (2).

Assume (2). Put $A = \{x_\alpha^* : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Then for each $x \in X$ image of $\delta_x|A$ is countable, so $\delta_x|A$ is not injective since $|A| > \omega$.

Now suppose (3). Consider $\mu_\alpha = x_\alpha - y_\alpha \in X^*$, where $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\} = [A]^2$. Then the kernels of μ_α 's cover X . $\square$

Since it is consistent that all nonmetrizable compact spaces do not have small diagonals, it is also consistent that sentences (1)-(4) from Lemma 24 are equivalent in the class of nonseparable Banach spaces. It is still an open question whether this holds in ZFC.

Proposition 25. *If X is a Banach space which contains an isomorphic copy of $\ell_1(\omega_1)$, then $\text{cov}(X) = \omega_1$. In particular this holds for any space which contains ℓ_∞ like $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$, $L_\infty(\{0, 1\}^\kappa)$, ℓ_∞/c_0 etc.*

Proof. By the main result of [25], if a Banach space X contains $\ell_1(\omega_1)$, then there is a continuous surjection $\Phi : B_{X^*} \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\omega_1}$, where B_{X^*} is considered with the weak* topology. As countable tightness is preserved by continuous map and $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$ is not countably tight (consider $1_{[0, \omega_1)} \in \overline{\{1_{[0, \alpha)} : \alpha < \omega_1\}}$) we conclude that B_{X^*} is

considered with the weak* topology is not countably tight. By Lemma 23 B_{X^*} does not have small diagonal, and so by Lemma 24 we conclude that $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1$. $\square$

Proposition 26. *If K is compact nonmetrizable and scattered, then $\mathfrak{cov}(C(K)) = \omega_1$.*

Proof. K must be uncountable. Let $A \subseteq K$ be any subset of cardinality ω_1 . As a continuous image of a scattered compact space is scattered compact we conclude that for any continuous $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the image of f is countable and so $f|_A$ is not injective which implies that $\delta_f|_{\{\delta_x : x \in A\}}$ is not injective. Hence $C(K)$ satisfy condition (3) of Lemma 24. $\square$

Proposition 27.

- (1) *PFA implies that every nonseparable Banach space X satisfies $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.*
- (2) *It is consistent with any possible size of the continuum, that every nonseparable Banach space X satisfies $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1$.*

Proof. It is shown in [8] that assuming PFA every compact Hausdorff space with small diagonal is metrizable. So by Lemma 24 we conclude that $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1$ for every nonseparable Banach space X under PFA. Similarly Theorem 5.8 from [9] shows that it is consistent with any possible size of the continuum (in models obtained from CH model by adding Cohen reals) that each compact space with countable tightness has small diagonal if and only if it is metrizable. However, non-countably-tight compact spaces cannot have a small diagonal (in ZFC) by the result of [15] that is the implication from (3) to (4) in Lemma 23. So by Lemma 24 we conclude that $\mathfrak{cov}(X) = \omega_1$ for every nonseparable Banach space X in these models as well. $\square$

5. COVERING SMALL SUBSETS OF BANACH SPACES BY COUNTABLY MANY HYPERPLANES

By Proposition 16 if X is a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1 the value of $\mathfrak{non}(X)$ (i.e., the minimal cardinality of a set not covered by countably many hyperplanes) is in the interval $[\text{dens}(X), \text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)]$ if $\text{cf}(\text{dens}(X))$ is uncountable and is in the interval $[\text{dens}(X)^+, \text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)]$ if $\text{cf}(\text{dens}(X))$ is countable. As we will see below, just purely set-theoretic known results imply that under many assumptions these intervals reduce to singletons and so the values of $\mathfrak{non}(X)$ are completely determined by $\text{dens}(X)$. It remains open, however, if $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$ for every nonseparable Banach space without any extra set-theoretic assumptions.

Proposition 28. *If X is a Banach space with density ω_n for $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \text{dens}(X) = \text{cf}([\text{dens}(X)]^\omega)$.*

Proof. By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, using the decomposition of ω_n into smaller ordinals one proves that $\text{cf}([\omega_n]^\omega) = \omega_n$. Now Proposition 16 implies that $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \omega_n$. $\square$

Proposition 29. *Let X be a Banach space of density κ and dimension bigger than 1. Suppose that there are functionals $\{x_\alpha^* : \alpha < \kappa\} \subseteq X^*$ such that for every $x \in X$ the set $Z_x = \{\alpha : x_\alpha^*(x) \neq 0\}$ is countable. Then $\mathfrak{non}(X) = \text{cf}([\kappa]^\omega)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda < \text{cf}([\kappa]^\omega)$ and $Y = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\} \subseteq X$. By the assumption the family $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_x : x \in Y\}$ is not cofinal in $[\kappa]^\omega$. Pick $A \in [\kappa]^\omega$, which is not included in any element of $\mathcal{Z}$. Then for every $x \in Y$ there is $\alpha \in A$ such that $x_\alpha^*(x) = 0$,

so x is in kernel of x_α^* . Thus kernels of x_α^* s for $\alpha \in A$ cover Y , which proves that $\mathbf{non}(X) \geq \mathbf{cf}([\kappa]^\omega)$. The inequality $\mathbf{non}(X) \leq \mathbf{cf}([\kappa]^\omega)$ is true by Proposition 16. $\square$

Proposition 30. *If a Banach space X of density κ and dimension bigger than 1 admits a fundamental biorthogonal system, then $\mathbf{non}(X) = \mathbf{cf}([\kappa]^\omega)$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$ be a fundamental biorthogonal system. For every x pick a countable set $L_x \subset \kappa$ such that $x \in \overline{\text{lin}\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in L_x\}}$. Then $Z_x = \{\alpha : x_\alpha^*(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq L_x$, so Z_x is also countable. Hence x_α^* s satisfy conditions of Proposition 29. $\square$

Proposition 31. *Assume that $\kappa^\omega = \kappa$ for all regular $\kappa > \omega_\omega$. Let X be a Banach space of dimension bigger than 1. Then*

- (1) *If $\mathbf{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) = \omega$, then $\mathbf{non}(X) = \text{dens}(X)^+$,*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) > \omega$, then $\mathbf{non}(X) = \text{dens}(X)$.*

In particular the above equations hold under GCH or MM.

Proof. By assumption we have $\mathbf{cf}([\kappa]^\omega) = \kappa$ for all regular $\kappa > \omega_\omega$. If κ is singular of uncountable cofinality then $\kappa^\omega = \sum_{\mu < \kappa} \mu^\omega = \sum_{\mu < \kappa} \mu = \kappa$ so $\mathbf{cf}([\kappa]^\omega) = \kappa$. If $\mathbf{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$ then $\kappa^\omega > \kappa$ and $\kappa^\omega \leq (\kappa^+)^{\omega} = \kappa^+$ so $\mathbf{cf}([\kappa]^\omega) \leq \kappa^+$. Hence for $\text{dens}(X) \geq \omega_\omega$ the equalities (1) and (2) follow from Proposition 16. The case when $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is covered by Proposition 28.

For a limit cardinal κ of uncountable cofinality under GCH we have

$$\kappa^\omega = \sum_{\lambda < \kappa} \lambda^\omega \leq \sum_{\lambda < \kappa} \lambda^+ \leq \kappa^2 = \kappa$$

so $\kappa^\omega = \kappa$. For successor cardinals we have $(\kappa^+)^{\omega} = \kappa^\omega \kappa^+ \leq (\kappa^+)^2 = \kappa^+$.

If MM holds, then by Theorem 37.13 of [14] we have $\kappa^\omega = \kappa^{\omega_1} = \kappa$ for each regular $\kappa > \omega_1$. $\square$

Proposition 32. *It is consistent with any possible size of the continuum that for every Banach space X of dimension bigger than 1 we have*

- (1) *If $\mathbf{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) = \omega$, then $\mathbf{non}(X) = \text{dens}(X)^+$,*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) > \omega$, then $\mathbf{non}(X) = \text{dens}(X)$.*

Proof. Start with a model V of GCH and increase the continuum using a c.c.c. forcing (e.g. add Cohen reals). The cardinals and their cofinalities do not change. Moreover $[\kappa]^\omega \cap V$ is cofinal in $[\kappa]^\omega$ as any countable set of ordinals in a c.c.c. extension is included in a countable set in the ground model, so the calculations from the proof of Proposition 31 remain true. $\square$

Proposition 33. *For every Banach space X of dimension bigger than 1 we have*

- (1) *If $\mathbf{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) = \omega$, then $\mathbf{non}(X) = \text{dens}(X)^+$,*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{cf}(\text{dens}(X)) > \omega$, then $\mathbf{non}(X) = \text{dens}(X)$,*

unless there is a measurable cardinal in an inner model.

Proof. If there is no measurable cardinal in an inner model, then there is an inner model M which satisfies GCH and satisfies the covering lemma i.e., $[\kappa]^{\omega_1} \cap M$ is cofinal in $[\kappa]^{\omega_1}$ for each cardinal κ (see [3]). This implies that $[\kappa]^\omega \cap M$ is cofinal in $[\kappa]^\omega$ for each cardinal κ . So since M satisfies GCH, Proposition 31 implies the theorem. (For a similar argument cf. the proof of Theorem 13.3 (d) in [22].) $\square$

Recall that assuming the existence of a suitably large cardinal the consistency of $2^{\omega_n} < \omega_\omega$ and $2^{\omega_\omega} = \omega_{\omega+k}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k > 1$ was proved in [20] (this problem was also considered with weaker assumptions in [12]). In this case $\text{cf}([\omega_\omega]^\omega) = \omega_{\omega+k}$ because $[\omega_\omega]^\omega = \bigcup\{[A]^\omega : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ for any cofinal family in $[\omega_\omega]^\omega$ and $|\bigcup\{[A]^\omega : A \in \mathcal{F}\}| \leq \mathfrak{c} \cdot |\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{F}|$ as $\mathfrak{c} < \omega_\omega$. It follows that $\text{cf}([\omega_{\omega+m}]^\omega) \geq \omega_{\omega+k}$ for $0 \leq m < k$. So not only the existence of Banach spaces of density ω_ω which assume the value of **non** smaller than in Propositions 31, 32 if $k \geq 3$ but also of a regular density $\omega_{\omega+1}$ is not excluded by cardinal arithmetic in the considered model.

6. FINAL REMARKS

6.1. Densities of quotients of Banach spaces. The famous Separable Quotient Problem asks if every infinite dimensional Banach space has a separable infinite dimensional quotient. In the direction of bounding the densities of quotients of Banach spaces, one can easily prove that every Banach X space has a infinite dimensional quotient whose density is not bigger then $\mathfrak{c}$. In this light the following is natural to ask:

Question 34. *Is it true in ZFC that every nonseparable Banach space has a quotient of density ω_1 ?*

By Propositions 17 and 18 the positive answer to question 34 would imply that $\text{cov}(X) = \omega_1$ for every Banach space X . It would also imply that the Separable Quotient Problem consistently has positive answer since it is proved in [26] that it is consistent that all Banach spaces of density ω_1 have infinite dimensional separable quotients. In fact, for this it would be enough to obtain the consistency of the positive answer to Question 34 with the additional set-theoretic assumptions of [26], like the PFA.

6.2. Banach spaces with no fundamental biorthogonal systems. Theorems 6 and 7 determine the values of **cov** and **non** for Banach spaces admitting fundamental biorthogonal systems. So looking for spaces witnessing different values of **cov** or **non** we should understand better spaces not admitting such systems. The first and classical example of such a space is the subspace $\ell_\infty^c(\mathfrak{c}^+)$ of $\ell_\infty(\mathfrak{c}^+)$ consisting of elements with countable supports ([11], [21]). However it contains a copy of ℓ_∞ and so $\ell_1(\omega_1)$ so $\text{cov}(\ell_\infty^c(\lambda)) = \omega_1$ for any infinite λ by Theorem 6. Moreover Proposition 29 implies that $\text{non}(\ell_\infty^c(\lambda)) = \text{cf}([\lambda]^\omega)$ for any $\lambda > \mathfrak{c}^+$ as $\text{dens}(\ell_\infty^c(\lambda)) = \lambda$ in such a case. Other reason for not admitting a fundamental biorthogonal system in a nonseparable space is not admitting any uncountable biorthogonal system: The Kunen line and the examples of [19], [17], [5] have all density ω_1 , so they have $\text{cov} = \omega_1$ by Proposition 17. The only known Banach space of density bigger than ω_1 with no uncountable biorthogonal systems is that of [4]. However it is of the form $C(K)$ with K scattered so Theorem 6 implies that $\text{cov} = \omega_1$. It also has density ω_2 , so Theorem 7 implies that its **non** is $\omega_2 = \text{cf}([\omega_2]^\omega)$.

6.3. A question on compact Hausdorff spaces. By Lemma 24 positive answer to the following question would imply that $\text{cov}(X) = \omega_1$ for every nonseparable Banach space X :

Question 35. *Is it provable that every nonmetrizable compact Hausdorff space K admits a subspace $L \subseteq K$ of cardinality ω_1 such that for no $f \in C(K)$ the restriction $f|_L$ is injective?*

Recall that it was proved in [7] that every nonmetrizable compact Hausdorff space admits a subspace of size ω_1 which is nonmetrizable. Moreover the above result and Proposition 11 of [8] imply that every nonmetrizable compact Hausdorff space K admits a subspace $L \subseteq K$ of cardinality ω_1 such that for no $f \in C(K)$ we have $f^{-1}[\{f(x)\}] = \{x\}$ for all $x \in L$.

REFERENCES

1. T. Bartoszyński and H. Judah, *Set Theory: On the Structure of the Real Line*, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995.
2. T. Bartoszyński and M. Džamonja and L. Halbeisen and E. Murtinová and A. Plichko, *On bases in Banach spaces* Studia Math. 170 (2005), no. 2, 147–171.
3. A. Dodd, R. Jensen, *The covering lemma for $L[U]$* . Ann. Math. Logic 22 (1982), no. 2, 127–135.
4. C. Brech, P. Koszmider, *Thin-very tall compact scattered spaces which are hereditarily separable*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 1, 501–519.
5. C. Brech, P. Koszmider, *On biorthogonal systems whose functionals are finitely supported*. Fund. Math. 213 (2011), no. 1, 43–66.
6. W. Davis, W. Johnson, *On the existence of fundamental and total bounded biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces*. Studia Math. 45 (1973), 173–179.
7. A. Dow, *An empty class of nonmetric spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), no. 3, 999–1001.
8. A. Dow, O. Pavlov, *More about spaces with a small diagonal*. Fund. Math. 191 (2006), no. 1, 67–80.
9. A. Dow *Compact spaces of countable tightness in the Cohen model*. Lecture Notes in Math., 1401, Springer, Berlin (1989).
10. Dow, A.; Junnila, H.; Pelant, J. *Chain conditions and weak topologies*. Topology Appl. 156 (2009), no. 7, 1327–1344.
11. B. Godun, M. Kadec *Banach spaces without complete minimal systems*. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 14 (1980), no. 4, 67–68.
12. M. Golshani *Singular cofinality conjecture and a question of Gorelic*. [arXiv:1506.07634](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.07634)
13. A. González, V. Montesinos. *A note on weakly Lindelöf determined Banach spaces*. Czechoslovak Math. J. 59(134) (2009), no. 3, 613–621.
14. T. Jech, *Set theory. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded*. Springer Monogr. Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
15. I. Juhasz, Z. Szentmiklossy, *Convergent free sequences in compact spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992) 1153–1160.
16. V.L. Klee, *On the Borelian and projective types of linear subspace*, Math. Scand. 6 (1958), 189–199.
17. P. Koszmider, *On a problem of Rolewicz about Banach spaces that admit support sets*. J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), no. 9, 2723–2741.
18. P. Koszmider, *On the existence of overcomplete sets in some classical nonseparable Banach spaces*. [arXiv:2006.00806](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.00806)
19. J. Lopez-Abad, S. Todorcevic, *Generic Banach spaces and generic simplexes*. J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), no. 2, 300–386.
20. M. Magidor, *On the singular cardinals problem. I*. Israel J. Math. 28 (1977), no. 1-2, 1–31.
21. A. Plichko, *A Banach space without a fundamental biorthogonal system*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 254 (1980), no. 4, 798–802.
22. A. Rinot, *On the consistency strength of the Milner-Sauer conjecture*. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 140 (2006), no. 1-3, 110–119.
23. T. Russo, J. Somaglia, *Overcomplete sets in non-separable Banach spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (2021), no. 2, 701–714.
24. Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions. Vol. I*. Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 55. PWN–Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1971.

25. M. Talagrand, *Sur les espaces de Banach contenant $\ell_1(\tau)$* . Israel J. Math. 40 (1981), no. 3-4, 324–330 (1982).
26. S. Todorćevic, *Biorthogonal systems and quotient spaces via Baire category methods*, Math. Ann. 335 (2006), 687–715.
27. J. Vanderwerff, J. Whitfield, V. Zizler, *Markušević bases and Corson compacta in duality*. Canad. J. Math. 46 (1994), no. 1, 200–211.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, INFORMATICS, AND MECHANICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, UL. BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSZAWA, POLAND

Email address: `d.glodkowski@uw.edu.pl`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSZAWA, POLAND

Email address: `piotr.koszmider@impan.pl`