

DOMINATION BY GEOMETRIC 4-MANIFOLDS

JONATHAN A. HILLMAN

ABSTRACT. We consider aspects of the question “when is an orientable closed 4-manifold Y dominated by another such manifold X ?”, focusing on the cases when X is geometric or fibres non-trivially over an orientable surface.

S.-C. Wang has considered in detail properties of maps of non-zero degree between 3-manifolds. In particular, he grouped aspherical 3-manifolds into 8 families, according to the nature of their JSJ decompositions, and determined which pairs allowed maps of non-zero degree between representative 3-manifolds [13]. Purely algebraic arguments for PD_n -groups with JSJ decompositions and all n were given in [6]. Sharper results for maps between aspherical geometric 4-manifolds were given in [10]. We shall complement this work in dimension 4 by considering cases where the domain is geometric but not aspherical, or fibres non-trivially over a surface. In the latter case the strongest results are when the range is aspherical.

We begin by reviewing the results of [6] and [10] for aspherical geometric 4-manifolds. (Some of these earlier results are recovered below in passing.) In §2 we make some basic observations and give four simple lemmas. The remaining sections are organized in terms of the geometry of the dominating space X . The geometries S^4 , $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, $S^2 \times S^2$, $S^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$, $S^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ and $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ are considered in §3, and the geometries of solvable Lie type in §4. All total spaces of bundles with base and fibre S^2 or the torus T have such geometries, excepting only $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$. In §5 we consider domination by total spaces of T -bundles with base a hyperbolic surface. Among these are manifolds with geometry $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ or $\widetilde{SL} \times \mathbb{E}^1$, but there are also non-geometric T -bundle spaces. The next section considers aspherical domains which are (virtually) both S^1 -bundle spaces and mapping tori. (This includes $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds.) In §7 we consider bundles with fibre a hyperbolic closed surface, and we show that if a bundle space Y is dominated by a product $B \times F$ then it is also a product. Most bundle spaces are not geometric. In the final section

Key words and phrases. 4-manifold, aspherical, bundle, dominate, geometry.

we consider dominations of aspherical geometric 4-manifolds by bundles with hyperbolic fibre. These include reducible $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ -manifolds, which are finitely covered by products, and $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds. We have little to say about irreducible $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ -manifolds, or about the geometries \mathbb{H}^4 and $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$.

Our main interest is in the existence of maps of non-zero degree. For this purpose we may replace the domain X and range Y by more convenient finite covering spaces, and assume that the map induces an epimorphism on the fundamental group. In several places we ask whether a specific 4-manifold Y has such a domination by one of the representative geometric 4-manifolds under consideration. Note that although geometric 4-manifolds have natural smooth structures, the use of topological surgery implicit in some of our arguments only justifies identifications of the possible range spaces up to homeomorphism.

This note was prompted by a query from R. İ. Baykur, arising from [1]. In that paper the authors consider the more specific question of which closed 4-manifolds have branched coverings by the total spaces of surface bundles. Their main results are that every 1-connected closed 4-manifold has a branched covering of degree ≤ 16 by a product $B \times T$, with B a closed surface and T the torus, and every product $B \times S^1$ with B a closed orientable 3-manifold has a 2-fold branched cover by a symplectic 4-manifold which fibres over T . The maps considered below often have degree 1, and then are either homotopy equivalences or not homotopic to branched covers.

I would like to thank R. İ. Baykur for his question and for his comments on an early draft, and S. Vidussi for pointing out a blunder in §7 of the original arXival submission.

1. DOMINATIONS BETWEEN ASPHERICAL GEOMETRIC 4-MANIFOLDS

In the aspherical case the underlying question is essentially one of group theory. In [6] it is shown that PD_n -groups with *max-c* may be partitioned into families, analogous to those of Wang, and that the pattern of possible maps of non-zero degree is very similar. A group has *max-c* if all chains of centralizers in the group are finite. A PD_n -pair of groups $(G, \partial G)$ is *atoroidal* if every polycyclic subgroup of Hirsch length $n - 1$ is conjugate into a boundary component, and is of *Seifert type* if it has a normal polycyclic subgroup of Hirsch length $n - 2$. Kropholler showed that all PD_n -groups with *max-c* have JSJ decompositions along virtually polycyclic subgroups of Hirsch length $n - 1$ into pieces which are either atoroidal or of Seifert type [9].

When $n = 4$ the qualification “of Seifert type” reduces to “having a normal \mathbb{Z}^2 subgroup”, and these families of groups are either

- (1) atoroidal;
- (2) have a non-trivial JSJ decomposition with at least one atoroidal piece;
- (3) have a non-trivial JSJ decomposition with all pieces of Seifert type;
- (4) (a) virtually polycyclic, but not virtually of Seifert type; or
(b) virtually polycyclic and of Seifert type but not virtually nilpotent;
- (5) virtually a product $G \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ with G a PD_2 -group and $\chi(G) < 0$;
- (6) Seifert type but not virtually a product nor virtually polycyclic;
- (7) virtually nilpotent but not virtually abelian; or
- (8) virtually abelian.

We have preserved Wang’s enumeration, but in higher dimensions it is useful to subdivide type (4), the analogue of the class of Sol^3 -manifolds.

The fundamental groups of aspherical n -manifolds are PD_n -groups, and the groups of geometric 4-manifolds satisfy *max-c*. Not all aspherical 4-manifolds with groups of types (1) or (6) are geometric, and there are no geometric 4-manifolds with groups of type (2) or (3). The correspondence with geometries is (1) \mathbb{H}^4 , $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$; (4.a) $Sol_{m,n}^4$ (with $m \neq n$) and Sol_1^4 ; (4.b) $Sol^3 \times E^1$; (5) $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$; (6) $\widetilde{SL} \times \mathbb{E}^1$; (7) $Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ and Nil^4 ; and (8) \mathbb{E}^4 .

For geometric 4-manifolds in the families (4–8) the conclusion of [6] is that all maps between groups of different types have degree 0, except for maps from groups of type (5) to groups of type (8) and from (6) to $Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -groups in type (7). (The assertion there that there are maps of nonzero degree from groups of type (5) to groups of type (4c) is wrong.) On the other hand every $Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -group and every \mathbb{E}^4 -group is so dominated. Theorem 1.1 of [10] is slightly sharper, in that it shows that there are no such maps between groups of distinct geometries within types (4.a) and in (7).

2. SOME GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

If X and Y are closed orientable n -manifolds then X *dominates* Y if there is a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with nonzero degree. If so, then

- (1) d -fold (branched) finite covers have degree d ;
- (2) the image of $\pi_1(f)$ has finite index in $\pi_1(Y)$, so f factors through a map $\widehat{f} : X \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$, where \widehat{Y} covers Y , and $\pi_1(\widehat{f})$ is an epimorphism;

- (3) if R is a ring in which $d = \deg(f)$ is invertible then $H^*(Y; R)$ is a subring of $H^*(X; R)$, and is a direct summand as an R -module:
(4) hence if $n = 4$ then $\chi(Y) \leq 2 + \beta_2(X; \mathbb{Q})$.

If f has degree d (for some choice of orientations) then we shall say that X *d-dominates* Y . If X *1-dominates* Y then $\pi_1(f)$ is an epimorphism, and $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is a direct summand of $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$. We say that X *essentially dominates* Y if f has non-zero degree and $\pi_1(f)$ is an epimorphism. (Such maps need not have degree 1, as is already clear when $X = S^2$. Self maps of S^2 of degree > 1 induce isomorphisms on π_1 , but do not induce splittings of $H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z})$.) Clearly X dominates Y if and only if X essentially dominates some finite cover of Y .

We note also that if X and Y are orientable closed 4-manifolds with $\chi(X) = \chi(Y)$ then a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence if and only if it has degree 1 and $\pi_1(f)$ is an isomorphism [5, Theorem 3.2].

Lemma 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a degree-1 map between orientable closed 4-manifolds. If $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free then so is $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. This follows from the Universal Coefficient Theorem, since torsion in $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is detected by torsion in $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, and $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is a direct summand of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of non-zero degree between orientable closed 4-manifolds. Suppose that there is an integer $D \geq 0$ such that $\beta_2(\hat{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \leq D$, for all finite covering spaces \hat{X} of X . Then*

- (1) if $\pi_1(Y)$ is infinite and has subgroups of arbitrarily large finite index then $\chi(Y) \leq 0$;
- (2) if $\pi_1(Y)$ is finite then $|\pi_1(Y)|\chi(Y) \leq D + 2$, and so $|\pi_1(Y)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(D + 2)$.

Proof. If $\hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a finite covering and $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$ is the induced covering then f lifts to a dominating map $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$. On the one hand $\chi(\hat{Y}) = [\pi_1(Y) : \pi_1(\hat{Y})]\chi(Y)$; on the other, $\chi(\hat{Y}) \leq D + 2$. The first assertion follows easily.

The second assertion has a similar proof. (Note that $\chi(Y) \geq 2$, since Y is orientable and $\pi_1(Y)$ is finite.) \square

In conjunction with this lemma, note that if the L^2 -Betti numbers $\beta_i^{(2)}(Y) = 0$ for $i \leq 1$ then $\chi(Y) = \beta_2^{(2)}(Y) \geq 0$, by the L^2 -Euler characteristic formula. (This is the case if $\pi_1(Y)$ is infinite and amenable, or has a finitely generated infinite normal subgroup of infinite index.)

Lemma 3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between orientable closed 4-manifolds. Then*

- (1) if f has odd degree and $w_2(X) = 0$ then $w_2(Y) = 0$;
(2) if Y is 1-connected and $w_2(Y) = 0$ then $\chi(Y)$ is even.

Proof. The map f induces a monomorphism $H^*(f)$ from $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ to $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\xi^2 = 0$ for all $\xi \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ the same is true for $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and so $w_2(Y) = 0$.

If M is an orientable 4-manifold then $w_2(M)^2 = w_4(M)$, by the Wu formulae, and so $[M] \cap w_2(M)^2 \equiv \chi(M) \pmod{2}$. Hence if Y is 1-connected then $\chi(Y)$ is even. \square

On the other hand, there is a degree-1 map from CP^2 to S^4 , and so $w_2(Y) = 0$ does not imply that $w_2(X) = 0$.

If X is a cell complex of dimension ≤ 4 then $[X, CP^2] = [X, K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)]$, by general position, since we may construct $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \simeq CP^\infty$ by adding cells of dimension ≥ 6 to CP^2 . Hence if u is a generator of $H^2(CP^2; \mathbb{Z})$ then $f \mapsto f^*u$ defines a bijection $[X, CP^2] \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. If X is a closed orientable 4-manifold the degree of f is given by $d = [X] \cap (f^*u)^2$.

An element $\xi \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is in the image of $[X, S^2] = [X, CP^1]$ if and only if $\xi^2 = 0$ [12, Theorem 8.11].

There is a similarly defined surjection from $[X, S^3]$ to $H^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4. *Let $X = M \times S^1$, where M is a 3-manifold, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an essentially dominating map. If the image of the S^1 -factor in π_Y is infinite then $Y \simeq P \times S^1$, where P is a PD_3 -complex.*

Proof. The hypotheses imply that π_Y is a product $\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}$, for some group σ . We may apply the argument of Lemma 2 to the covering spaces X_n and Y_n associated to the subgroups of the form $\pi_1(M) \times n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \times n\mathbb{Z}$ to show that $\chi(Y) \leq 0$. On the other hand,

$$\beta_2(Y_n) \geq \beta_2(\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}) \geq \beta_1(\sigma) = \beta_1(Y_n) - 1,$$

and so $n\chi(Y) = \chi(Y_n) \geq -\beta_1(\sigma) - 1$ for all n . Hence $\chi(Y) = 0$, and so $Y \simeq P \times S^1$, where P is a PD_3 -complex [5, Theorem 4.5]. \square

Lemma 5. *Let M be the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism φ of an n -manifold N . Then M 1-dominates $S^n \times S^1$.*

Proof. We may assume that φ fixes a disc $D^n \subset N$. Collapsing the image of $\overline{N \setminus D^n}$ to a point in each fibre induces a map from M to $S^n \times S^1$ which clearly has degree 1. \square

The following simple lemma is based on [4, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 6. *Let P be a PD_3 -complex with finite fundamental group ρ . If M is an orientable 3-manifold such that $\pi_1(M)$ maps onto ρ then there is a map $f : M \rightarrow P$ with non-zero degree.*

Proof. Since ρ is finite, P is orientable and has universal cover $\tilde{P} \simeq S^3$. Hence $\pi_2(P) = 0$, and so we may construct a $K(\rho, 1)$ space $K = P \cup e^{\geq 4}$ by adding cells of dimension ≥ 4 to P . Let $g : M \rightarrow K$ be a map such that $\pi_1(g)$ is an epimorphism. We may assume that g has image in P , by cellular approximation. If $g : M \rightarrow P$ has non-zero degree then we set $f = g$. Otherwise, let $p : M \rightarrow M \vee S^3$ be the pinch map, and let $c : S^3 \rightarrow P$ be the composition of a homotopy equivalence $S^3 \simeq \tilde{P}$ with the universal covering projection. Then $f = (g \vee c) \circ p$ has the desired properties. \square

When ρ is cyclic and $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ we may assume that g factors through S^1 , and so has degree 0. In this case f has degree $|\rho|$, as in [4].

Lemma 7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between orientable closed 4-manifolds. If Y is aspherical and $\pi_1(f)$ factors through a group G such that $H_4(G; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ then f has degree 0.*

Proof. If Y is aspherical then f is determined by $\pi_1(f)$, and so factors through $K(G, 1)$. Since $H_4(G; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ the lemma follows. \square

We shall henceforth assume that all manifolds considered are closed and (excepting RP^2) orientable, and that X and Y are 4-manifolds, $\pi_1(f)$ is an epimorphism and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has degree $d \neq 0$. All homology and cohomology groups have coefficients \mathbb{Q} , unless otherwise specified. If F is a subgroup of $\pi_1(Y)$ then Y_F is the associated covering space. If W and Z are topological spaces then we write $W \simeq Z$ if they are homotopy equivalent and $W \cong Z$ if they are homeomorphic.

3. COMPACT OR MIXED COMPACT-ASPHERICAL

Suppose that X has one of the compact or mixed compact-solvable geometries \mathbb{S}^4 , $\mathbb{C}P^2$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ or $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$. Then X is finitely covered by one of S^4 , CP^2 , $S^2 \times S^2$, $S^3 \times S^1$ or $S^2 \times T$, respectively. With these geometries we shall also consider the bundle space $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$ and the mixed compact-aspherical geometry $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$. (See [5, Chapters 10–12].)

\mathbb{S}^4 . We may assume that $X = S^4$. Then $\pi_1(Y) = 1$ and $\beta_2(Y) = 0$, and so $Y \simeq S^4$. If $d = 1$ then f is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

$\mathbb{C}P^2$. We may assume that $X = CP^2$. Then $\pi_1(Y) = 1$ and $\beta_2(Y) = 1$ or 0. Hence Y is homeomorphic to one of CP^2 , $Ch = *CP^2$ (the fake complex projective plane) or S^4 .

$\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. We may assume that $X = S^2 \times S^2$. Then $\pi_1(Y) = 1$ and $\beta_2(Y) = 2, 1$ or 0. If $\beta_2(Y) = 2$ then $Y \cong X$, $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2 = CP^2 \sharp - CP^2$

or $CP^2 \sharp CP^2$. If also $d = 1$ then f is homotopic to a homeomorphism. Let $q : S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be the nontrivial S^2 -bundle over S^2 . The pullback of q over a degree-2 map from S^2 to S^2 is a trivial bundle. Hence there is a degree-2 map from X to $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$. (There is no map of degree 1 [5, Theorem 3.2], and the degree must be even, by Lemma 3.)

If $\beta_2(Y) = 1$ then $Y \simeq CP^2$. There are maps $f : S^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow CP^2$ of every even degree, but none of degree 1.

If $\beta_2(Y) = 0$ then Y is homeomorphic to S^4 .

$S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$. There are degree-1 maps from $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$ to CP^2 and to S^4 , and there is a degree-2 map to $S^2 \times S^2$. (There is no map of degree 1 [5, Theorem 3.2].)

Maps between $S^2 \times S^2$ or $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$ and $CP^2 \sharp CP^2$ have degree 0, since the former have signature 0 while $CP^2 \sharp CP^2$ has signature 2, and a map f of non-zero degree would induce a ring isomorphism $H^*(f; \mathbb{Q})$.

$S^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$. We may assume that $X = S^3 \times S^1$. Then $\pi_1(Y)$ is cyclic, and $\beta_2(Y) = 0$.

If $\pi_1(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ then $Y \cong S^3 \times S^1$ [5, Theorem 11.1]. If also $d = 1$ then f is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

If $\pi_1(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ then $n = 1$, by Lemma 2. Hence Y is homeomorphic to S^4 .

$S^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$. We may assume that $X = S^2 \times T$. If $\pi_1(Y)$ is infinite then $\chi(Y) = 0$, by Lemma 2 and the subsequent remark.

If $\beta_1(Y) = 2$ then $\pi_1(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, and so $\pi_1(f)$ is an isomorphism. Since $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is a direct summand of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, it follows that $H^*(f)$ is an isomorphism of cohomology rings. In particular, f has degree 1. Since $\chi(Y) = \chi(X)$, these observations imply that f is a homotopy equivalence [5, Theorem 3.2]. Hence f is homotopic to a homeomorphism [5, Theorem 6.16].

If $\beta_1(Y) = 1$ then $\chi(Y) = 0$ and $\pi_1(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, for some $n \geq 1$. Hence $Y \simeq L \times S^1$, where L is a lens space [5, Theorem 11.1], and so $S^2 \times T = (S^2 \times S^1) \times S^1$ essentially dominates Y , by Lemma 6.

If $\beta_1(Y) = 0$ then $|\pi_1(Y)|\chi(Y) \leq 4$, by Lemma 2. There are obvious degree-1 maps to $S^2 \times S^2$ (since T 1-dominates S^2) and S^4 . There are degree-2 maps to $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$ and to CP^2 , but none of degree 1.

If $\chi(Y) = 2$ and $\pi_1(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ then Y is homotopy equivalent to one of the two orientable 4-manifolds which are total spaces of S^2 -bundles over RP^2 [5, Chapter 12]. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of non-zero degree lifts to a map $f^+ : X^+ \rightarrow \tilde{Y} = S^2 \times S^2$ of non-zero degree, which induces an isomorphism $H_2(f^+; \mathbb{Q}) : H_2(X^+; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \pi_2(Y)$. Since $\pi_1(X)$ acts trivially on $H_2(X^+; \mathbb{Q})$ but $\pi_1(Y)$ acts non-trivially on

$\pi_2(Y)$ it follows that $\pi_1(f)$ cannot be an epimorphism. Thus $S^2 \times T$ does not essentially dominate either of these bundle spaces.

$\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$. If X is a $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ -manifold then it is finitely covered by $S^2 \times B$, where B is a hyperbolic surface. Since there is a degree-1 map from B to T , there is a degree-1 map from $S^2 \times B$ to $S^2 \times T$, and hence there are such maps to $S^3 \times S^1$, $S^2 \times S^2$ and S^4 . Thus every $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ -manifold and every 4-manifold with one of the five compact or mixed compact-solvable geometries above is dominated by such a product. On the other hand, any map from $S^2 \times \hat{B}$ to an aspherical 4-manifold factors through the projection to \hat{B} , and so has degree 0.

4. SOLVABLE LIE TYPE

There are six solvable Lie geometries. One is an infinite family $Sol_{m,n}^4$ of closely related geometries, which includes the product geometry $Sol^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ as the equal parameter case $m = n$. This product geometry needs separate consideration here. (See [5, Chapters 7–8] for details of these geometries and the associated fundamental groups. It appears to be unknown when different pairs (m, n) and (m', n') determine the same geometry $Sol_{m,n}^4$. See [5, page 137].)

Suppose that X has a solvable Lie geometry. Then $\pi_X = \pi_1(X)$ is polycyclic of Hirsch length 4 and $\chi(X) = 0$, so $\beta_2(X) \leq 6$. After passing to a finite covering space, if necessary, we may assume that X is a solvmanifold (i.e., a coset space of a 1-connected solvable Lie group) and either $X = T^4$ or π_X is not virtually abelian. Thus X is parallelizable. Moreover, X is a mapping torus $N \rtimes S^1$, where $N = T^3$ or is a coset space of Nil^3 . If π_X is nilpotent and $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_\beta\}$ represents a basis for the maximal torsion free quotient of π_X/π'_X then the subgroup generated by S has finite index in π_X and $S/S' \cong \mathbb{Z}^\beta$. Hence in this case we may also assume that $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free.

Lemma 8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of non-zero degree between orientable closed 4-manifolds. If X is a solvmanifold and $\pi_1(f)$ is an epimorphism then*

- (1) $\pi_1(Y)$ is polycyclic of Hirsch length $h_Y \leq 4$, and $w_2(Y) = 0$;
- (2) if $h_Y = 0$ then $\pi_1(Y)$ is finite and $2 \leq \chi(Y) \leq 2 + \beta_2(X)$;
- (3) if $h_Y = 1$ and F is the maximal finite normal subgroup of $\pi_1(Y)$ then Y_F is a PD_3 -complex, and Y is finitely covered by $S^3 \times S^1$;
- (4) if $h_Y = 2$ then Y is finitely covered by $S^2 \times T$;
- (5) if $h_Y > 2$ then f is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Proof. Since $\pi_Y = \pi_1(Y)$ is a quotient of π_X , it is polycyclic and $h_Y \leq 4$. Since X is parallelizable, $w_2(X) = 0$ and so $w_2(Y) = 0$, by Lemma 3.

It follows from Lemma 2 and the subsequent remark that if $h_Y = 0$ then $2 \leq \chi(Y) \leq 2 + \beta_2(X)$, while if $h_Y > 0$ then $\chi(Y) = 0$.

Part (3) is taken from [5, Theorem 11.1].

If $h_Y = 2$ then Y has a covering space of degree dividing 4 which is homeomorphic to $S^2 \times T$ [5, Theorem 10.1].

If $h_Y > 2$ then $H^i(\pi_Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_Y]) = 0$ for $i \leq 2$. Since we also have $\chi(Y) = 0$, it follows that Y is aspherical and $h_Y = 4$. In this case $\pi_1(f)$ is an isomorphism, and so f is homotopic to a homeomorphism [5, Theorem 8.1]. \square

In particular, there are no maps of non-zero degree between manifolds having distinct solvable Lie geometries.

Corollary 9. *If π_Y is nilpotent, $h_Y = 2$, $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free and $w_2(Y) = 0$ then $Y \cong S^2 \times T$.*

Proof. Since Y has a finite cover homeomorphic to $S^2 \times T$, the other hypotheses imply that $\pi_Y \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ [5, Theorem 10.14]. Therefore $Y \cong S^2 \times T$, since Y is orientable and $w_2(Y) = 0$. \square

We shall consider the possibilities for X in decreasing order of $\beta_1(X)$.

\mathbb{E}^4 . Every flat 4-manifold is finitely covered by T^4 , so we may assume that $X = T^4$. Then π_Y is abelian, $\beta_1(Y) \leq 4$ and $\beta_2(Y) \leq 6$.

If f has degree 1 then π_Y is torsion-free, by Lemma 1, and so $\pi_Y \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$, where $r = 4, 2, 1$ or 0 . There are obvious degree-1 maps to T^4 , $S^2 \times T$, $S^3 \times S^1$, $S^2 \times S^2$ and S^4 . The first three are the only possibilities for Y with π_Y infinite, since $w_2(Y) = 0$, by Lemma 3. If $\pi_Y = 1$ then $\chi(Y) = 2, 4, 6$ or 8 , by Lemmas 2 and 3.

Suppose now that f is essentially dominating, but has degree > 1 . If $h_Y = 2$ then $\pi_Y \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, by [5, Theorems 10.14 and 10.16], since Y is orientable and π_Y is abelian. Thus Y is the total space of an S^2 -bundle over T [5, Theorem 10.10].

If $h_Y = 1$ then $\pi_Y \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus A$, where A is a finite abelian group. Hence $Y \simeq L \times S^1$, for some lens space L with $\pi_1(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Now $L \times S^1$ is essentially n -dominated by $S^2 \times T$ (as in §3 above), and so there is an essentially dominating map $f : X \rightarrow L \times S^1$ of degree n .

If $h_Y = 0$ then $|\pi_1(Y)|\chi(Y) \leq 8$, by Lemma 2. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has nonzero degree, and let $X^+ \rightarrow X$ be the covering induced from the universal cover $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$. The lift $f^+ : X^+ \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ induces an epimorphism $H_2(f^+; \mathbb{Q})$. Since $\beta_2(X^+) = \beta_2(X)$, the covering projection induces an isomorphism $H_2(X^+; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_2(X; \mathbb{Q})$, and so π_X acts trivially on $H_2(X^+; \mathbb{Q})$. But if $\pi_Y \neq 1$ then π_Y acts non-trivially on $H_2(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$, and so $\pi_1(f)$ cannot be an epimorphism. Thus we may

assume that $\pi_Y = 1$. Since there are degree-1 maps from X to $S^2 \times T$, there are degree-2 maps from X to CP^2 and to $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$.

We have no examples with $\pi_1(Y) = 1$ and $5 \leq \chi(Y) \leq 8$.

$Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$. Every closed $Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold is finitely covered by $N \times S^1$, where N is the total space of the S^1 bundle over the torus T with Euler class the generator of $H^2(T; \mathbb{Z})$, so we may assume that $X = N \times S^1$ and $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. Moreover, if \hat{X} is a finite covering space of X then $\beta_2(\hat{X}; \mathbb{Q}) = 4$. In this case π_Y is nilpotent, $\beta_1(Y) \leq 3$ and $\beta_2(Y) \leq 4$.

If f has degree 1 then $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, by Lemma 1. Hence if $h_Y = 2$ then $Y \cong S^2 \times T$, by Corollary 9. If $h_Y = 1$ then $\pi_Y \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and so $Y \cong S^3 \times S^1$ [5, Theorem 11.1]. If $h_Y = 0$ then $\pi_Y = 1$ and $\chi(Y) = 2, 4$ or 6 , and $w_2(Y) = 0$, by Lemmas 2 and 3. Since N 1-dominates $S^2 \times S^1$, by Lemma 5, there is a degree-1 map from X to $S^2 \times T$. Hence there also degree-1 maps to $S^3 \times S^1, S^2 \times S^2$ and S^4 .

Suppose now that f is essentially dominating, but has degree > 1 . If $h_Y = 2$ then Y is the total space of an S^2 -bundle over T (as for \mathbb{E}^4).

If $h_Y = 1$ then the maximal finite normal subgroup F of π_Y is nilpotent, and Y is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self-homotopy equivalence of Y_F . In particular, if the image of the S^1 factor of X has infinite order in π_Y then F is a quotient of $\pi_1(N)$ and $Y \simeq Y_F \times S^1$. Since the commutator subgroup $\pi_1(N)'$ is central, F' is central, and so F is cyclic or is the product of $Q(8)$ with a cyclic group of odd order. (Thus F is a 3-manifold group.) There are essentially dominating maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$, by Lemma 6.

On the other hand, if the image of the S^1 factor is finite then the image of $\pi_1(N)$ in $\pi_1(Y)$ is infinite. Hence F is abelian, and so Y_F is homotopy equivalent to a lens space. We do not know whether there are examples of this type (other than when Y is a product).

If $h_Y = 0$ then $|\pi_1(Y)|\chi(Y) \leq 6$, by Lemma 2. As in the case \mathbb{E}^4 , we may assume that $\pi_Y = 1$, and there are again degree-2 maps from X to CP^2 and to $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$. We have no examples with $\chi(Y) = 5$ or 6 ,

Nil^4 . There is again a canonical choice for X . There is a unique torsion-free nilpotent group of Hirsch length 4 which can be generated by 2 elements [7, Corollary 11]. This group has the presentation

$$\langle t, u \mid [t, [t, [t, u]]] = [u, [t, u]] = 1 \rangle.$$

We may assume that X is the corresponding Nil^4 -manifold, which is the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of T^3 , and so $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Moreover, if \hat{X} is a finite covering space of X then $\beta_2(\hat{X}; \mathbb{Q}) = 2$. In this case π_Y is nilpotent, $\beta_1(Y) \leq 2$ and $\beta_2(Y) \leq 2$.

If f has degree 1 and $h_Y > 0$ then $Y \cong S^2 \times T$ or $S^3 \times S^1$ (as in the $\text{Nil}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ case). There are degree-1 maps to $S^2 \times T$ and to $S^3 \times S^1$, and hence also to $S^2 \times S^2$ and S^4 . If $h_Y = 0$ then $\pi_Y = 1$ and $\chi(Y) = 2$ or 4, and $w_2(Y) = 0$, by Lemmas 2 and 3. Hence $Y \cong S^4$ or $S^2 \times S^2$.

Suppose now that f is essentially dominating, but has degree > 1 . If $h_Y = 2$ then Y is the total space of an S^2 -bundle over T (as for \mathbb{E}^4).

If $h_Y = 1$ then the maximal finite normal subgroup F of π_Y is nilpotent and Y is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self-homotopy equivalence of Y_F . Since the kernels of maps from $\pi_1(X)$ to \mathbb{Z} have nilpotency class ≤ 2 , either $F \cong Q(8) \times C$ with C cyclic of odd order or F is cyclic. We do not know whether there are examples of this type.

If $h_Y = 0$ then $|\pi_1(Y)|\chi(Y) \leq 4$, by Lemma 2. As in the case \mathbb{E}^4 , we may assume that $\pi_Y = 1$, and there are again degree-2 maps from X to CP^2 and to $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$.

In the remaining cases there are no natural choices for X , and Corollary 9 does not apply.

$\text{Sol}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$. Every $\text{Sol}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold is finitely covered by a product $X = P \times S^1$, where P is orientable and is the total space of a T -bundle over S^1 , and $\beta_1(X) = \beta_2(X) = 2$. (The latter conditions hold for all finite covering spaces \hat{X} of X .) The manifold X is the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of T^3 .

Since P 1-dominates $S^2 \times S^1$, by Lemma 5, there is a degree-1 map from X to $S^2 \times T$, and hence also to $S^3 \times S^1$, $S^2 \times S^2$ and S^4 .

Suppose now that f is essentially dominating, but has degree > 1 . If $h_Y = 2$ then π_Y maps onto \mathbb{Z}^2 , since the non-trivial normal subgroups of infinite index in $\pi_1(P)$ are commensurate with $\pi_1(P)'$. We again find that Y is the total space of an S^2 -bundle over T (as for \mathbb{E}^4).

If $h_Y = 1$ and F is the maximal finite normal subgroup of π_Y then F' is abelian (so F is cyclic, metacyclic or generalized quaternionic), and Y is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self-homotopy equivalence of Y_F . In particular, if the image of the S^1 factor of X has infinite order in π_Y then F is a quotient of $\pi_1(N)$ and $Y \simeq Y_F \times S^1$. There are essentially dominating maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$, by Lemma 6.

On the other hand, if the image of the S^1 factor is finite then the image of $\pi_1(N)$ in $\pi_1(Y)$ is infinite. Hence F is abelian, and so Y_F is homotopy equivalent to a lens space. We do not know whether there are examples of this type (other than when Y is a product).

If $h_Y = 0$ then $|\pi_1(Y)|\chi(Y) \leq 4$, by Lemma 2. As in the case \mathbb{E}^4 , we may assume that $\pi_Y = 1$, and there are again degree-2 maps from X to CP^2 and to $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$.

$Sol_{m,n}^4$ with $m \neq n$, Sol_0^4 and Sol_1^4 . In these cases we may assume that X is the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of T^3 or of a closed Nil^3 -manifold, and that $\beta_1(X) = 1$ and $\beta_2(X) = 0$. (The latter conditions hold for all finite covering spaces \hat{X} of X .) There are degree-1 maps from X to $S^3 \times S^1$ (by Lemma 5) and to S^4 .

If f is an essentially dominating map but is not homotopic to a homeomorphism then $h_Y < 2$, since no quotient of π_X has Hirsch length 2. If $h_Y = 1$ and F is the maximal finite normal subgroup of π_Y then either F' is abelian (if the geometry is $Sol_{m,n}^4$ or Sol_0^4), or F is cyclic or $Q(8) \times C$ with C cyclic of odd order (if the geometry is Sol_1^4), and Y is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self-homotopy equivalence of Y_F . We do not know whether there are examples of this type (other than $Y = S^3 \times S^1$).

If $h_Y = 0$ then $\chi(Y) = 2$ and $\pi_Y = 1$, by Lemma 2, and so $Y \cong S^4$.

5. $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}}$ AND T -BUNDLES

In this section we assume that the domain X is the total space of a bundle $p : X \rightarrow B$, with base a hyperbolic surface B and fibre T . (Bundles with hyperbolic base and fibre S^2 are $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ -manifolds, and were considered in §3 above. The case with hyperbolic base and fibre is considered in §7 below.) For brevity, we may call X a *T-bundle space*.

Clearly $\chi(X) = \chi(B)\chi(F) = 0$. The bundle p is determined by its *monodromy* $\theta : \pi_1(B) \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and a class $[p] \in H^2(B; \mathcal{T})$, where \mathcal{T} is the $\pi_1(B)$ -module determined by θ . Such a bundle has a section if and only if $[p] = 0$. The total space X is geometric if and only if the monodromy has finite image. If this is so and the bundle has a section then X is finitely covered by $\hat{B} \times T$, where \hat{B} is a hyperbolic surface. In this case the geometry is $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$. The other possible geometry is $\widetilde{\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}} \times \mathbb{E}^1$, and then X is finitely covered by $M \times S^1$, where M is a $\widetilde{\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}}$ -manifold [5, Corollary 7.3.1 and Theorem 9.3].

If the monodromy is infinite then either it preserves a flag $\mathbb{Z} < \mathbb{Z}^2$, or its image contains a matrix whose eigenvalues are not roots of unity. In the first case, after passing to a double covering space if necessary, the total space X is the total space of a principal S^1 -bundle over a 3-manifold M , which is in turn the total space of a principal S^1 -bundle over a surface. If p has a section then M is a $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ -manifold; otherwise M is a $\widetilde{\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}}$ -manifold. If the monodromy does not preserve a flag then the extension class group $H^2(B; \mathcal{T})$ is finite.

Let A be the image of $\pi_1(T)$ in π_Y . Then either $\pi_1(f|_T)$ is injective, or A has rank 1 or it is finite. If $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, π_Y/A is infinite and $\chi(Y) = 0$ then Y is aspherical [5, Theorem 9.2]. Conversely, if Y is aspherical

then $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, for otherwise f would have degree 0, by Lemma 7. It then follows that π_Y/A is virtually a PD_2 -group [5, Theorem 3.10], and so $\chi(Y) = \chi(A)\chi^{virt}(\pi_Y/A) = 0$. If A has rank 1 and finite index in π_Y then Y is finitely covered by $S^3 \times S^1$, by Lemma 4.

If X is not geometric then π_X has no \mathbb{Z} normal subgroup, and so either $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ or A is finite.

In the next theorem we shall assume that $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and that Y is dominated by a T -bundle space. Having an infinite abelian normal subgroup implies that $\chi(Y) \geq 0$ [5, Theorem 3.4], but something more seems needed to ensure that $\chi(Y) = 0$.

Theorem 10. *Let X be the total space of a T -bundle $p : X \rightarrow B$ over a hyperbolic surface B , and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map with non-zero degree and such that $\pi_1(f|_T)$ is injective. Then*

- (1) *if $X \cong M \times S^1$ then $Y \simeq P \times S^1$, where P is a Seifert fibred 3-manifold;*
- (2) *if $X \cong B \times T$ then $Y \simeq C \times T$, where C is a surface;*
- (3) *if p has a section but X is not geometric then Y is aspherical, and has a finite covering which is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a T -bundle with a section.*

In each case, if $q : Y \rightarrow \overline{B}$ is a T -bundle then the monodromy for p maps isomorphically onto the monodromy for q , and f is homotopic to the map induced by a map of bases.

Proof. If X is geometric then we may assume that $\pi_X \cong \rho \times \mathbb{Z}$, where $\rho \cong \pi_1(B) \times \mathbb{Z}$ or $\pi_1(M)$, while if p has a section then π_X is a semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \beta$. We may assume also that $f_* = \pi_1(f)$ is an epimorphism. Let $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the image of $\pi_1(T)$ in π_Y . Then $\pi_Y \cong f_*\rho \times \mathbb{Z}$ is the product of two finitely presentable proper normal subgroups (if X is geometric) and π_Y is a semidirect product $A \rtimes (\pi_Y/A)$ (if p has a section). In each case we may apply the argument of Lemmas 2 and 4 to the covering spaces associated to the subgroups of the form $\rho \times n\mathbb{Z}$ and $f_*\rho \times n\mathbb{Z}$, and $n\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \beta$ and $nA \rtimes (\pi_Y/A)$, respectively, to conclude that $\chi(Y) = 0$.

It follows that if π_Y/A is infinite then Y is aspherical [5, Theorem 9.2]. If π_Y/A is finite and $\chi(Y) = 0$ then Y is finitely covered by $S^2 \times T$ [5, Theorem 10.13]. We may then assume that $A = \pi_Y$, and so the inclusion of $\pi_1(T)$ into π_X splits. Hence $\pi_X \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \pi_1(B)$. Thus if p has a section but X is not geometric Y must be aspherical.

The further detail in cases (1) and (2) is clear. In case (3), let $G = \pi_Y/A$. Since $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and Y is aspherical, G is virtually a PD_2 -group [5, Theorem 3.10]. Hence Y is virtually homotopy equivalent

to the total space of a T -bundle $q : Y \rightarrow C$. Since f_* maps $\pi_1(T)$ isomorphically onto A any section for p induces a section for A .

If $f_*(g) = 1$ then $gt = tg$, for all $t \in \pi_1(T)$, since $\pi_1(f|_T)$ is injective. Hence $\text{Ker}(f_*)$ is contained in the centralizer of $\pi_1(T)$, and f_* induces an isomorphism of monodromy groups. \square

In a similar vein, if an aspherical 4-manifold Y is dominated by the total space of a T -bundle such that the monodromy preserves a flag then it is virtually homotopy equivalent to such a T -bundle space.

Every solvmanifold of type \mathbb{E}^4 , $\text{Nil}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$, Nil^4 or $\text{Sol}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ is the total space of a T -bundle over T , and so is 1-dominated by T -bundles over hyperbolic bases. Of these, only T^4 is dominated by $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ -manifolds. (However, $\text{Nil}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds are dominated by $\widetilde{\text{SL}} \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds.)

On the other hand, no T -bundle space can dominate a solvmanifold of type $\text{Sol}_{m,n}^4$ with $m \neq n$, Sol_0^4 or Sol_1^4 .

6. $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ AND MAPPING TORI

The total space X of an S^1 -bundle over a 3-manifold M has a mixed compact-aspherical or solvable Lie geometry if M is an \mathbb{S}^3 -, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -, \mathbb{E}^3 -, Nil^3 - or Sol^3 -manifold. If M is a $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ - or $\widetilde{\text{SL}}$ -manifold then X is a T -bundle space, but is not necessarily geometric. Domination by such 4-manifolds was considered in §3, §4 and §5 above.

Here we shall consider the remaining possibility: dominations by aspherical 4-manifolds which are total spaces of S^1 -bundles over \mathbb{H}^3 -manifolds. Such manifolds are finitely covered by mapping tori of self-homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ - or $\widetilde{\text{SL}}$ -manifolds, by the Virtual Fibration Theorem of Agol. This feature is used in Theorem 13 below.

Theorem 11. *An aspherical 4-manifold Y is dominated by a $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold if and only if π_Y is virtually a product $G \times \mathbb{Z}$, where G is a PD_3 -group.*

Proof. Every $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold is finitely covered by a product $B \times S^1$, where B is a closed \mathbb{H}^3 -manifold. If $X = B \times S^1$ is such a product and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an essentially dominating map then the image of $\pi_1(S^1)$ in π_Y must be an infinite cyclic direct factor, by Lemma 7. Hence $\pi_Y \cong G \times \mathbb{Z}$, and the first factor must be a PD_3 -group, since Y is aspherical.

Conversely, if Y is aspherical and $\pi_Y \cong G \times \mathbb{Z}$, then G is a finitely presentable PD_3 -group, and so $P = K(G, 1)$ is a PD_3 -complex. An application of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for oriented bordism $\Omega_*(P)$ (or direct desingularization of a geometric 3-cycle representing a fundamental class) shows that P is 1-dominated by a closed orientable

3-manifold. This is in turn 1-dominated by a closed \mathbb{H}^3 -manifold [13]. Hence $Y \simeq P \times S^1$ is 1-dominated by a $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold. \square

Hence solvmanifolds of type \mathbb{E}^4 , $\text{Nil}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ and $\text{Sol}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ are 1-dominated by $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds. Similarly, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ - and $\widetilde{\text{SL}} \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds are so dominated. However no Nil^4 -manifold or solvmanifold of type $\text{Sol}_{m,n}^4$ with $m \neq n$, Sol_0^4 or Sol_1^4 can be so dominated.

The following lemma and theorem extend Theorem 11 to a wider class of manifolds which have two such fibrations.

Lemma 12. *If an aspherical 4-manifold Y is dominated by the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of an aspherical 3-manifold then π_Y is virtually a semidirect product $K \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, with K finitely generated.*

Proof. Let $X = M(\varphi)$ be the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism φ of an aspherical 3-manifold M , and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of non-zero degree. After we may assume that $f_* = \pi_1(f)$ is an epimorphism. Let K be the image of $\pi_1(M)$ in π_Y . Then K is finitely generated and π_Y/K is cyclic.

Suppose that π_Y/K is finite. Then after passing to a finite cover, if necessary, we may assume that $K = \pi_Y$. But f_* induces a homomorphism of spectral sequences from the LHS spectral sequence for π_X as an extension of \mathbb{Z} by $\pi_1(M)$ and for π_Y as an extension of 1 by π_Y . Since $H_4(X) \cong H_1(\mathbb{Z}; H_3(\pi_1(M)))$, while $H_1(1; H_3(\pi_Y)) = 0$, it follows that f has degree 0, contrary to hypothesis. Therefore $\pi_Y/K \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and so π_Y is a semidirect product $K \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Theorem 13. *An aspherical 4-manifold Y is essentially dominated by the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of an aspherical 3-manifold M such that $\pi_1(M)$ has non-trivial centre if and only if Y is homotopy equivalent to such a mapping torus.*

Proof. Let M be a 3-manifold such that $\pi_1(M)$ has non-trivial centre C , and let $X = M(\varphi)$ be the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism φ of M . If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map with non-zero degree and such that $f_* = \pi_1(f)$ is an epimorphism then $A = f_*C$ and $K = f_*\pi_1(M)$ are normal subgroups of π_Y such that $A \leq K$. Moreover, K is finitely generated and $\pi_Y/K \cong \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 12.

If $C \cong \mathbb{Z}$ then $A \cong \mathbb{Z}$ also, for otherwise f would factor through $K(\pi_X/C, 1)$, and so have degree 0, by Lemma 7. Hence $\beta_i^{(2)}(\pi_Y) = 0$ for all i , and so $\chi(Y) = 0$, by a result of Gromov. (See [5, Corollary 2.3.1].) Therefore K is a PD_3 -group [5, Theorem 4.5]. Since K has non-trivial centre it is the fundamental group of an aspherical Seifert fibred 3-manifold, and the claim follows.

If C has rank > 1 then $M = T^3$, so X is a solvmanifold and the claim follows from the discussion in the first three paragraphs of §4.

The condition is clearly sufficient, since we may take $f = id_Y$. \square

7. $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ AND SURFACE BUNDLES

A *Surface bundle* is a bundle projection $p : X \rightarrow B$ with fibre F , where B and F are closed surfaces, $\chi(B) \leq 0$ and $\chi(F) < 0$. A *Surface bundle space* is the total space of a Surface bundle, and a *Surface bundle group* is the fundamental group of a Surface bundle space. Such Surface bundles may be partitioned into three types. Type I consists of such bundles for which the monodromy has infinite image, but is not injective, type II are those which are virtually products and type III have injective monodromy [8]. The fibration is essentially unique when the bundle is of type I; product bundles have only the two obvious bundle projections, and a surface bundle space X with a fibration of type III may have many inequivalent fibrations. (See the ‘‘Johnson trichotomy’’ in [5, Chapter 5.2].)

If a Surface bundle space X is geometric but is not a \mathbb{H}^4 -manifold then either $\chi(X) = 0$ (so $B = T$) and the geometry is $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$, or X is a reducible $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ -manifold [5, Theorems 9.10, 13.5 and 13.6]. Every reducible $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ -manifold is finitely covered by a product $B \times F$, while every $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold is finitely covered by a Surface bundle space of type I, with base T . No Surface bundle space has the geometry $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$ [5, Corollary 13.7.2], and it is believed that \mathbb{H}^4 is also impossible.

Pullback along a degree-1 map of bases induces a degree-1 map of Surface bundle spaces. If the original bundle is of type I or III and the base change map is not a homotopy equivalence then the induced bundle is of type I. If the monodromy fixes a separating curve in the fibre F then we may construct a degree-1 map by crushing one of the two complementary regions of this curve in F to a point. In this way we may show that every Surface bundle space of type I or II is 1-dominated by Surface bundle spaces of types I and III. On the other hand, we shall see that Surface bundle spaces of type II can only dominate bundle spaces of the same type.

Lemma 14. *Let $f : \pi \rightarrow G$ be an epimorphism of PD_4 -groups, where π has a normal subgroup κ such that κ and π/κ are PD_2 -groups. If $f(\kappa)$ or $G/f(\kappa)$ is virtually free then f has degree 0.*

Proof. If $f(\phi) = 1$ then f factors through the PD_2 -group $\rho = \pi/\kappa$, and so f has degree 0, by Lemma 7. In general, f induces a map between the LHS spectral sequences for \mathbb{Q} -homology of π and G as

extensions or ρ by κ and of $G/f(\kappa)$ by $f(\kappa)$, respectively. If $f(\kappa)$ or $G/f(\kappa)$ is virtually free then the homomorphisms between $E_{p,q}^2$ terms with $p+q=4$ either have domain 0 or codomain 0. Hence they are all trivial, and so $H_4(f) = 0$. \square

We have a nearly complete understanding of the possibilities when X is of type II and Y is aspherical.

Theorem 15. *Let $X = B \times F$, where B and F are hyperbolic surfaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an essentially dominating map with aspherical codomain Y . If $\chi(Y) \neq 0$ or if Y is the total space of a bundle with base or fibre T then Y is homotopy equivalent to a product of surfaces.*

Proof. Let G and N be the images of $\pi_1(B)$ and $\pi_1(F)$, respectively. Then G and N are finitely generated normal subgroups of π_Y such that $gn = ng$ for all $g \in G$ and $n \in N$, and $\pi_Y = GN$. Hence $gh = hg$ for all $g \in G \cap N$ and all $h \in \pi_Y$, and so $G \cap N$ is a subgroup of the centre of π_Y . Let $\bar{\pi} = \pi_Y / (G \cap N)$. Then $\bar{\pi} \cong \pi/G \times \pi/N$.

If $G \cap N \neq 1$ then $\beta_i^{(2)}(Y) = 0$ for all i , and so $\chi(Y) = 0$. Since we are assuming in this case that Y is a bundle space, $G \cap N \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$, for some $r > 0$. Then $\bar{\pi}$ is FP , and hence so are π/G and π/N . Consideration of the LHS spectral sequence for π_Y as an extension of $\bar{\pi}$ by \mathbb{Z}^r then shows that $H^{4-r}(\bar{\pi}; \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\pi}]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (Compare [5, Theorem 3.10].) It then follows from the Künneth Theorem for $\bar{\pi} \cong \pi/G \times \pi/N$ that either π/G or π/N two ends or one is finite. This contradicts Lemma 14.

Therefore $G \cap N = 1$, so $\pi_Y \cong G \times N$, and so G and N are finitely presentable. Hence they are in fact FP_3 (each being a quotient of an FP group by a finitely presentable group). Neither G nor N is trivial or \mathbb{Z} , by Lemma 14, and so each is a PD_2 -group [5, theorem 3.10]. \square

We can push the argument for this theorem a little further if $\chi(Y) = 0$ and $G \cap N \neq 1$. We note first that $c.d.G \leq 3$ and $c.d.N \leq 3$, since G and N each have infinite index in π_Y . Hence $G \cap N$ has rank $r \leq 3$. The argument of the theorem shows that $G \cap N$ is not finitely generated. Hence it has rank $r < c.d.G \cap N < 4$ and so $r = 1$ or 2 .

Suppose that $r = 2$. Then $c.d.G \cap N = 3$, since $G \cap N$ is not finitely generated. But $c.d.G = 3$ also, and so G is abelian [2, Theorem 8.8]. Since G is finitely generated, $G \cap N$ must be finitely generated also. This is a contradiction, and so $r = 1$. It remains an open question whether the centre of a PD_n -group must be finitely generated, if $n > 3$.

General results on essential dominations of aspherical 4-manifolds by Surface bundle spaces of types I or III appear to be hard to find. When Y is also a bundle space we should ask whether such a domination

need be compatible with a fibration, so that the normal subgroup $N = f_*\pi_1(F)$ of π_Y and the quotient π_Y/N are each PD_2 -groups.

Lemma 16. *Let E be a Surface bundle group. If N is a finitely generated infinite normal subgroup of infinite index in E then N is either a PD_2 -group or is an extension of a PD_2 -group by a free group of infinite rank. Moreover either N or E/N has one end.*

Proof. Let $p : E \rightarrow G$ be the projection of E onto $G = \pi_1(B)$, with kernel $K = \pi_1(F)$. If $p(N) \neq 1$ then $[G : p(N)] < \infty$, and $\text{Ker}(p|_N)$ has infinite index in K . Hence either $p|_N$ is a monomorphism or $\text{ker}(p|_N)$ is free of infinite rank. (This uses the fact that F is hyperbolic!)

The second assertion follows from consideration of the LHS spectral sequence for E as an extension of E/N by N . Since E/N and N are finitely generated and infinite, $H^p(E/N; H^q(N; \mathbb{Z}[E])) = 0$ if $q = 0$ or if $p = 0$ and $q = 1$, while

$$H^1(E/N; H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}[E])) \cong H^1(E/N; \mathbb{Z}[E/N]) \otimes H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}[N]).$$

Since $H^i(E; \mathbb{Z}[E]) = 0$ for $i \leq 3$, a spectral sequence corner argument shows that $H^1(E/N; H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}[E])) = 0$. Since the terms in the tensor product are free abelian groups, at least one must be 0. \square

If $\beta_1(E) > \beta_1(B)$ then there are finitely generated normal subgroups N such that $E/N \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{ker}(p|_N)$ is nontrivial, and if, moreover, $\chi(E) \neq 0$ then such a subgroup N cannot be FP_2 [3].

8. DOMINATION OF ASPHERICAL GEOMETRIC MANIFOLDS BY SURFACE BUNDLE SPACES

Suppose that X is a Surface bundle space which dominates a $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ -manifold Y . After passing to finite covers we may assume that Y is a product $C \times T$, where C is a hyperbolic surface. If N is a non-trivial finitely generated normal subgroup of infinite index in π_Y then either N has finite index in $\pi_1(C)$ or $\pi_1(T)$, or $N \cong \mathbb{Z} < \pi_1(T)$ or $N \cap \pi_1(C)$ has finite index in $\pi_1(C)$ and π_Y/N is virtually \mathbb{Z} . The latter two possibilities are ruled out by Lemma 14, and so f must be compatible with one of the two projections from Y to C or T .

If Y is a $\widetilde{\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}} \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold then after passing to finite covers we may assume that Y is the total space of a T -bundle over a hyperbolic surface. A similar argument applies, except that in this case the bundle fibration is unique and the only normal PD_2 -groups in π_Y are subgroups of finite index in $\pi_1(T)$. Hence f must be compatible with the fibration of Y . In particular, no $\widetilde{\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}} \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold is dominated by a product of surfaces.

Suppose now that Y is a solvmanifold. The image of $\pi_1(F)$ in π_Y is a finitely generated normal subgroup, and is torsion-free polycyclic. It must have Hirsch length 2, by Lemma 14, and so $\pi_1(f)$ factors through the group of a T -bundle over B . Every solvmanifold with geometry \mathbb{E}^4 , $\text{Nil}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$, Nil^4 or $\text{Sol}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ is the total space of a T -bundle over T . Hence such manifolds are 1-dominated by Surface bundle spaces.

There are epimorphisms from Surface bundle groups to semidirect products $\mathbb{Z}^3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, with $\pi_1(F)$ mapping onto \mathbb{Z}^3 . However all such maps have degree 0, and no solvmanifold of type $\text{Sol}_{m,n}^4$ or Sol_0^4 is dominated by a Surface bundle space. Similarly, no Sol_1^4 -manifold is dominated by a Surface bundle space.

If Y is aspherical then the image of $\pi_1(F)$ in π_Y is a finitely generated normal subgroup, and $G = \pi_Y/K$ is finitely presentable. Lemma 14 implies that K is not a free group and that G is not virtually free, so $[\pi_Y : K] = \infty$. Hence *c.d.* $K = 2$ or 3 .

REFERENCES

- [1] Auckly, D., Baykur, R. İ., Casals, R., Kolay, S., Lidman, T. and Zuddas, D. Branched covering simply-connected 4-manifolds, arXiv: 2101.01043 [math.GT]
- [2] Bieri, R. *Homological Dimensions of Discrete Groups*, Queen Mary College Lecture Series, London (1976).
- [3] Friedl, S. and Vidussi, S. BNS invariants and algebraic fibrations of group extensions, arXiv: 1912.10524 [math.GT]
- [4] Hayat-Legend, C., Wang, S.-C. and Zieschang, H. Degree-one maps onto lens spaces, Pacific J. Math. 176 (1996), 19–32.
- [5] Hillman, J. A. *Four-Manifolds, Geometries and Knots*, GT Monograph 5, Geometry and Topology Publications, Warwick (2002). Current revision (2021) available at www.maths.usyd.edu.au/u/jonh.
- [6] Hillman, J. A. Homomorphisms of nonzero degree between PD_n -groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 77 (2004), 335–348.
- [7] Hillman, J. A. Nilpotent groups with balanced presentations, J. Group Theory (to appear).
- [8] Johnson, F. E. A. A group-theoretic analogue of the Parshin-Arakelov theorem, Archiv. Math. (Basel) 63 (1994), 354–361.
- [9] Kropholler, P. H. An analogue of the torus decomposition theorem for certain Poincaré duality groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. 60 (1990), 503–529.
- [10] Neofytidis, C. Ordering Thurston’s geometries by maps of non-zero degree, J. Topol. Anal. 10 (2018), 853–872.
- [11] Robinson, D. J. S. *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 80, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (1982).
- [12] Spanier, E. H. *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York – San Francisco – St Louis – Toronto – London – Sydney (1966).
- [13] Wang, S.-C. The existence of maps of nonzero degree between compact 3-manifolds, Math. Z. 297 (1991), 147–160.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW
2006, AUSTRALIA

Email address: jonathanhillman47@gmail.com