# Power-series summability methods in de Branges-Rovnyak spaces 

Javad Mashreghi, Pierre-Olivier Parisé and Thomas Ransford


#### Abstract

We show that there exists a de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(b)$ on the unit disk containing a function $f$ with the following property: even though $f$ can be approximated by polynomials in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, neither the Taylor partial sums of $f$ nor their Cesàro, Abel, Borel or logarithmic means converge to $f$ in $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

A key tool is a new abstract result showing that, if one regular summability method includes another for scalar sequences, then it automatically does so for certain Banach-space-valued sequences too.
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## 1. Introduction

We denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the open unit disk and $\operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ the space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. Polynomial approximation in Banach spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit disk has attracted attention recently. In [12], the authors proved that, for a Banach space of holomorphic functions $X$ on the open unit disk having the approximation property and containing a dense set of polynomials, there exist linear bounded operators $T_{n}: X \rightarrow X$ such that, for each $f \in X$, the functions $T_{n}(f)$ are polynomials and $T_{n}(f) \rightarrow f$ in the norm of $X$. The authors called the aforementioned sequence $\left(T_{n}\right)$ a linear polynomial approximation scheme.

For some spaces, the operators $T_{n}$ are explicitly known. For example, if $X=H^{2}$, the Hardy space, then the bounded linear operators can be chosen to be the $n$-th partial sums $s_{n}[f]$ of the Taylor expansion of a function $f(z)=$

[^0]$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$ belonging to $H^{2}$. This is an easy consequence of the definition of the norm in $H^{2}$. When $X=H^{p}$, the Hardy spaces with $1<p<\infty$, we can still choose the partial sums $s_{n}[f]$ of a function $f \in H^{p}$ as a linear polynomial approximation scheme. The proof is more elaborate and is based on a result of Riesz on the boundedness of the Hilbert transform. The reader is referred to $[10$, p. 108$]$. When $X=A(\mathbb{D})$, the disk algebra, due to a slight variant of du Bois-Reymond's theorem that establishes the existence of a continuous function on the unit circle whose Fourier series diverges at one point, the partial sums $s_{n}[f]$ do not converge to $f$ in the norm of $A(\mathbb{D})$. Instead, we can use the Cesàro means of order 1 of the Taylor expansion of a function $f \in A(\mathbb{D})$, defined as
$$
\sigma_{n}[f]:=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_{k}[f] .
$$

This is essentially Fejér's theorem. This procedure also works for certain other spaces, for example the Hardy space $H^{1}$ and the weighted Dirichlet spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\omega}$ for superharmonic weights $\omega: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$.

However there are some spaces for which we do not explicitly know the linear polynomial approximation scheme. One such family of spaces are the de Branges-Rovnyak spaces $\mathcal{H}(b)$, where $b \in H^{\infty}$ is a non-extreme point of the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. Despite the fact that the set of polynomials is dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, the authors of [7] showed that, for certain choices of $b$, the partial sums $s_{n}[f]$ and the Cesàro means $\sigma_{n}[f]$ may fail to converge in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ to the initial function $f$. Therefore the attention turned to other linear summability methods that do not give a polynomial approximation, but have a better chance to approximate the function $f$ in the norm of $\mathcal{H}(b)$, namely the dilates of $f$, which are in fact the Abel means of the partial sums $s_{n}[f]$ :

$$
f_{r}(z):=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} r^{n} z^{n}=(1-r) \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n}[f](z) r^{n} \quad(r \in[0,1), z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

for $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$. Nevertheless, they showed that even this summability method, which includes all the Cesàro summability methods of order $\alpha>$ -1 , may fail for de Branges-Rovnyak spaces with non-extreme symbols $b$. Furthermore, the same authors showed in the same article that there is a constructive way to obtain a polynomial approximation of a given function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ for any non-extreme point $b$ of the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. However, the procedure is highly non-linear and it does not correspond to a polynomial approximation scheme.

In this article, we study another summability method which includes the Abel method : the logarithmic method. Its means are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r}[f](z):=\frac{r}{\log \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n+1} s_{n}[f](z) r^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$ and for $r \in[0,1), z \in \mathbb{D}$. It was introduced by Borwein in [2] through a power-series method.

If $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$, it is well known that $f_{r} \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. We will show that also $L_{r}[f] \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. However, our main result reveals that $L_{r}[f]$ may diverge.

Theorem 1.1. There exist a non-extreme point b of the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\|L_{r}[f]\right\|_{b}=\infty
$$

Theorem 1.1 is proved in $\S 4$. It is a consequence of the example already constructed in [7] to show that $s_{n}[f]$ and $\sigma_{n}[f]$ may diverge in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, together with an integral formula that links the dilates of a function to its logarithmic means.

As a corollary, we obtain the following result concerning generalized Abel methods $A_{r}^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha>-1$ and where the generalized Abel means $A_{r}^{\alpha}[f]$ are defined by the following expression:

$$
A_{r}^{\alpha}[f](z):=(1-r)^{1+\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0}\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} s_{n}[f](z) r^{n} \quad(r \in[0,1)) .
$$

Corollary 1.2. For every $\alpha>-1$, there exist a non-extreme point $b$ of the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that $A_{r}^{\alpha}[f] \nrightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$.

This corollary is a consequence of an abstract result in functional analysis. It enables us to compare the summability of a sequence of elements in a Banach space with respect to two summability methods, based on the inclusion of one summability method in the other for scalar sequences. This theorem is proved in $\S 5$, and Corollary 1.2 is deduced in $\S 6$.

## 2. Sequence-to-function summability methods

We start by defining some terminology in summability theory. Our main references are Hardy [8] and Boos [1]. Throughout this section, we let $X$ denote a Banach space over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ be its norm. We denote by $c(X)$ the space of convergent sequences in $X$.

A sequence-to-function summability method $K$ is given by a sequence $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of functions $k_{n}:[0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where $R \in(0, \infty]$. The $K$-means of a sequence of vectors $x:=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \subset X$ are defined by the following formal series

$$
K_{r}[x]:=\sum_{n \geq 0} k_{n}(r) x_{n} \quad(r \in[0, R))
$$

We say that a sequence $x:=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \subset X$ is $K$-summable or summable by the method $K$ if the series defining $K_{r}[x]$ converges for every $r \in[0, R)$ and, moreover, $K_{r}[x]$ converges in norm to some $y \in X$ as $r \rightarrow R^{-}$. We say that a sequence-to-function summability method $K$ is regular if, whenever $X$ is a Banach space and $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \in c(X)$, then $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is $K$-summable and $\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}} K_{r}[x]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}$. Necessary and sufficient conditions for
a sequence-to-function summability method to be regular are given in the following theorem, which is a slight modification of Theorem 5 in [8, p. 49].
Theorem 2.1. Let $X$ be a Banach space. A sequence-to-function summability method $K$ is regular if and only if the following conditions hold :

- there exists an $R_{0} \in[0, R)$ such that the function $r \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0}\left|k_{n}(r)\right|$ is uniformly bounded on $\left[R_{0}, R\right)$;
- for each $n \geq 0$, we have $k_{n}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow R^{-}$;
- the function $k(r):=\sum_{n \geq 0} k_{n}(r)$ converges to 1 as $r \rightarrow R^{-}$.

Proof. By considering the homeomorphism $r \mapsto \log \left(\frac{R}{R-r}\right)$ from $[0, R)$ onto $[0, \infty)$, we may restrict our attention to the situation to the interval $[0, \infty)$.

Suppose that the method $K$ is regular. Since $\mathbb{C}$ embeds isometrically into $X$ and the functions $k_{n}(r)$ are complex-valued, $K$ is regular for the space of convergent complex-valued sequences. Then the result follows from the classical case $X=\mathbb{C}$ (see Theorem 5 in [8, p. 49]).

If the conditions are satisfied, then elementary estimates using the conditions show that $K_{r}[x] \rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}$ as $r \rightarrow R^{-}$for any sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \in$ $c(X)$.

Let $K$ and $H$ be two sequence-to-function summability methods. We say that $K$ is included in $H$, denoted by $K \subseteq H$, if, whenever $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is a $K$ summable sequence in a Banach space $X$, then $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is also $H$-summable and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}} H_{r}[x]=\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}} K_{r}[x] .
$$

If $K \subseteq H$ and $H \subseteq K$, we say that the two summability methods are equivalent. If $X=\mathbb{C}$, all of the aforementioned definitions will be preceded by the word "scalar". For example, when we write "the summability method $K$ is scalar-equivalent to the summability method $H$ ", this means that they are equivalent when applied to scalar-valued sequences, that is $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

We now give some examples of sequence-to-function summability methods.

### 2.1. Matrix summability methods

Let $R=\infty$ and, for each $n \geq 0$, let the function $k_{n}$ be constant on each interval $[m, m+1)$, where $m \geq 0$ is an integer. Then, for each $r \in[m, m+1)$, the expression of the $K$-mean becomes

$$
K_{r}[x]=\sum_{n \geq 0} k_{n}(r) x_{n}=\sum_{n \geq 0} k_{n}(m) x_{n}
$$

Hence the method given by the sequence of functions $k_{n}$ can be viewed as an infinite matrix $\left(k_{n}(m)\right)_{m, n \geq 0}$. In these circumstances, we call the summability method a matrix summability method. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix summability method to be regular are attributed to Silverman and Toeplitz (see [8, p. 43]). The conditions in Theorem 2.1 now become:

- there is a number $M>0$ such that $\sum_{n \geq 0}\left|k_{n}(m)\right| \leq M$ for every $m \geq 0$;
- for each $n \geq 0, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}(m)=0$;
- we have $\sum_{n \geq 0} k_{n}(m) \rightarrow 1$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

There is a generalization of the Silverman-Toeplitz Theorem, due to Robinson, to matrix summability methods that are given by a matrix $\left(C_{m, n}\right)_{m, n \geq 0}$, where $C_{m, n}$ are bounded linear operators on $X$ (see [13, Theorem IV]).

### 2.2. Power-series summability methods

Let $p(r):=\sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n} r^{n}$ be a power series with a radius of convergence $R_{p}>0$, where $p_{0}>0$ and $p_{n} \geq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Following $\S 3.6$ of [1], we say that a sequence $x:=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \subset X$ is summable by the power-series method $(p)$, or is $P$-summable, if the series

$$
P_{r}[x]:=\frac{1}{p(r)} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n} x_{n} r^{n}
$$

converges for each $r \in[0, R)$ and there exists a $y \in X$ such that

$$
P_{r}[x] \rightarrow y \quad\left(r \rightarrow R^{-}\right) .
$$

According to Theorem 2.1, a power-series method $(p)$ is regular if and only if $p(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow R_{p}^{-}$. The Abel summability method is a special case of the power-series method $(p)$ with $p(r)=(1-r)^{-1}$ and $r \in[0,1)$. The $A_{r}$-means are

$$
A_{r}[x]=(1-r) \sum_{n \geq 0} x_{n} r^{n} \quad(0 \leq r<1)
$$

In this paper, we apply a power-series method to the sequence of partial sums $\left(s_{n}[f]\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of the Taylor expansion of a function $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$. The expression of the means defined by a power-series method $(p)$ are

$$
P_{r}[f](z):=\frac{1}{p(r)} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n} s_{n}[f](z) r^{n}
$$

where $p$ has a radius of convergence $R_{p} \geq 1$. Since $\left|s_{n}[f](z)\right| \leq C(R) R^{n}$ for any $R>1$ and some constant $C(R)>0$, the function $P_{r}[f]$ is well-defined for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Also, the series defining $P_{r}[f]$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$, and thus it defines a function holomorphic on all of $\mathbb{D}$.

A useful power-series method is the logarithmic method. As we shall see later in the paper, this power-series method is convenient because it contains many other summability methods. The logarithmic method is the powerseries method associated with the power series

$$
l(r):=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r^{n}}{n+1}=\frac{1}{r} \log \frac{1}{1-r} \quad(0 \leq r<1)
$$

Thus, the expression of the logarithmic mean of the partial sums $s_{n}[f]$ is

$$
L_{r}[f](z):=\frac{r}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{s_{n}[f](z)}{n+1} r^{n} \quad(0 \leq r<1)
$$

We will also study the generalized Abel methods. Given a number $\alpha>$ -1 , the generalized Abel means of order $\alpha$ are associated with the power series

$$
a_{r}^{\alpha}(r):=\sum_{n \geq 0}\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} r^{n}=\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1+\alpha}} \quad(r \in[0,1))
$$

Applied to the partial sums $\left(s_{n}[f]\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of the Taylor series of $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$, the expression of the mean $A_{r}^{\alpha}[f]$ is

$$
A_{r}^{\alpha}[f](z):=(1-r)^{\alpha+1} \sum_{n \geq 0}\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} s_{n}[f](z) r^{n}
$$

If $\alpha<\beta$, then the generalized Abel method of order $\beta$ is scalar-included in the generalized Abel method of order $\alpha$ (see [2, Theorem 2]). When $\alpha=1$, we obtain the classical Abel means. As we mention earlier in the paper, the expression of $A_{r}^{1}[f]$ can be rearranged to give

$$
A_{r}^{1}[f](z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} r^{n} z^{n}
$$

which is the dilate $f_{r}$ of $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$. We present a pointwise relation between the logarithmic means and the dilates of a function. It will be used later in $\S 4$ and its proof is straightforward.

Lemma 2.2. For any $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$, $r \in[0,1)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r}[f](z)=\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f_{t}(z)}{1-t} d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Background on de Branges-Rovnyak spaces

Let $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$. The Toeplitz operator $T_{\phi}: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}$ with symbol $\phi$ is defined as

$$
T_{\phi} f:=P_{+}(\phi f) \quad\left(f \in H^{2}\right)
$$

where $P_{+}: L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H^{2}$ is the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ onto $H^{2}$. This is clearly a bounded operator with $\left\|T_{\phi}\right\| \leq\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}$. (In fact, $\left\|T_{\phi}\right\|=\|\phi\|_{\infty}$ by a theorem of Brown and Halmos, but we do not need this here.) The adjoint of $T_{\phi}$ is $T_{\bar{\phi}}$. If $\phi \in H^{\infty}$, then $T_{\phi}$ is simply the operator of multiplication by $\phi$. We now introduce the de Branges-Rovnyak space associated to a function $b \in H^{\infty}$, where $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. This is Sarason's definition taken from [14].

Definition 3.1. Let $b \in H^{\infty}$ with $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. The associated de BrangesRovnyak space, denoted by $\mathcal{H}(b)$, is the range space $\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2} H^{2}$ equipped with the following inner product

$$
\left\langle\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2} f,\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2} g\right\rangle_{b}:=\langle f, g\rangle_{2},
$$

where $f, g \in H^{2} \ominus \operatorname{ker}\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

The definition of the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{b}$ makes the operator ( $I-$ $\left.T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2}: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}$ a partial isometry from $H^{2}$ onto $\mathcal{H}(b)$. Its cousin, the space $\mathcal{H}(\bar{b})$, is defined similarly by interchanging the roles of $b$ with $\bar{b}$ in the above definition.

There is a close relationship between $\mathcal{H}(b)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\bar{b})$. This is the content of the next theorem.

Theorem 3.2. [14, §II-2]. A function $f \in H^{2}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(b)$ if and only if $T_{\bar{b}} f$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\bar{b})$. In this case, we have that

$$
\|f\|_{b}^{2}=\|f\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|T_{\bar{b}} f\right\|_{\frac{2}{b}}^{2}
$$

The structure of a de Branges-Rovnyak space depends strongly on whether $b$ is an extreme or a non-extreme point of the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$.

Theorem 3.3. [7, Theorem 2.2]. Let $b \in H^{\infty}$ with $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. The following statements are equivalent:
i) $b$ is a non-extreme point of the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$;
ii) $\mathcal{H}(b)$ contains all functions holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$;
iii) $\mathcal{H}(b)$ contains all polynomials;
iv) polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

From now on, we shall simply say that $b$ is "extreme" or "non-extreme" to indicate that $b$ is correspondingly an extreme or non-extreme point of the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. The function $b$ is non-extreme if and only if $\log \left(1-|b|^{2}\right) \in$ $L^{1}(\mathbb{T})$ (see [6, Theorem 7.9]). In this case, there exists a unique outer function $a \in H^{\infty}$, normalized so that $a(0)>0$, such that $|a|^{2}+|b|^{2}=1$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. We call $(b, a)$ the Pythagorean pair associated to $b$.

There is a useful characterization of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ when $b$ is non-extreme.
Theorem 3.4. [14, §IV-1]. Let b be non-extreme, let $(b, a)$ be the corresponding Pythagorean pair, and let $f \in H^{2}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ if and only if $T_{\bar{b}} f \in T_{\bar{a}} H^{2}$. In this case, there exists a unique function $f^{+} \in H^{2}$ such that $T_{\bar{b}} f=T_{\bar{a}} f^{+}$, and

$$
\|f\|_{b}^{2}=\|f\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|f^{+}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

The authors of [5] obtained an explicit formula for the $\mathcal{H}(b)$-norm of functions $f$ holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Notice that, if $(b, a)$ is a pair, then $\phi:=b / a \in N^{+}$, the Smirnov class.

Theorem 3.5. [5, Theorem 4.1] Let $(b, a)$ be a pair, and let $\phi:=b / a$, say $\phi(z)=\sum_{j \geq 0} c_{n} z^{n}$. Let $f$ be holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with expansion $f(z)=\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$. Then the series $\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j+n} \bar{c}_{j}$ converges absolutely for each $n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{b}^{2}=\sum_{n \geq 0}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+\sum_{n \geq 0}\left|\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j+n} \bar{c}_{j}\right|^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark two consequences of this result that will be useful in what follows.

Corollary 3.6. Let $b$ be non-extreme and let $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. Then, for each $R>1$, the partial sums of the Taylor series of $f$ satisfy $\left\|s_{n}[f]\right\|_{b}=O\left(R^{n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Fix $S$ with $1<S^{2}<R$. Let $(b, a)$ be the Pythagorean pair corresponding to $b$, and let $\phi:=b / a$. Since both $f$ and $\phi$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$, their respective Taylor coefficients satisfy $a_{j}=O\left(S^{j}\right)$ and $c_{j}=O\left(S^{j}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Feeding this information into the formula (3.1), applied to $s_{N}[f]$ in place of $f$, we obtain

$$
\left\|s_{N}[f]\right\|_{b}^{2}=O\left((N+1) S^{2 N}\right)+O\left((N+1)^{3} S^{4 N}\right) \quad(N \rightarrow \infty)
$$

This implies that $\left\|s_{N}[f]\right\|_{b}=O\left(R^{N}\right)$.
Corollary 3.7. Let $(b, a)$ be a pair, and let $\phi:=b / a$, say $\phi(z)=\sum_{j \geq 0} c_{n} z^{n}$. Let $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$ be a function in $H^{2}$ and let $r \in[0,1)$. Then there is a constant $C(\phi, r)$, which depends only on $\phi$ and $r$, such that

$$
\left\|f_{r}\right\|_{b}^{2} \leq C(\phi, r)\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}
$$

The dependence $r \mapsto C(\phi, r)$ can be chosen to be increasing.
Proof. Since $f_{r}(z):=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} r^{n} z^{n}$, using formula (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{r}\right\|_{b}^{2} & =\sum_{n \geq 0} r^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+\sum_{n \geq 0}\left|\sum_{j \geq 0} r^{j+n} a_{j+n} \bar{c}_{j}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} r^{j+n}\left|a_{j+n} \| c_{j}\right|\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{r}\right\|_{b}^{2} & \leq\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} r^{j+n}\left|a_{j+n}\right|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} r^{j+n}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\sum_{n \geq 0} r^{n}\left\|\left(S^{*}\right)^{n}\left(f_{\sqrt{r}}\right)\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} r^{j}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S^{*}$ is the backward shift operator on $H^{2}$ and $\left(S^{*}\right)^{n}$ is the $n$-fold composition of $S^{*}$. Since $\left\|S^{*}\right\| \leq 1$, we get

$$
\left\|f_{r}\right\|_{b}^{2} \leq\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\frac{\sum_{n \geq 0} r^{j}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}}{1-r}\left\|f_{\sqrt{r}}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}
$$

where $\sum_{j \geq 0} r^{j}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}<\infty$ since $\phi \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$. Finally, since $\left\|f_{\sqrt{r}}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\left\|f_{r}\right\|_{b}^{2} \leq C(\phi, r)\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}
$$

as desired, where $C(\phi, r):=1+\sum_{n \geq 0} r^{j}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2} /(1-r)$. It is easy to see from the definition of the constant $C(\phi, r)$ that the function $r \mapsto C(\phi, r)$ is increasing on $[0,1)$.

We end this section by stating an estimate obtained in the core of the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 in [7]. This example plays a central role in [7], and will be equally important for us here. The exact choices of $b$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ do not matter in our situation, so we will just state the estimate as follows.

Theorem 3.8. [7, Theorems 3.1 and 3.6]. There exist a non-extreme $b$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that $\left(f_{r}\right)^{+}(0)$ is non-negative for all $r \in[0,1)$ and, moreover, $\left(f_{r}\right)^{+}(0) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$.

## 4. Divergence of the logarithmic means

To prove that the logarithmic means diverge in the $\mathcal{H}(b)$-norm, we use another expression of the logarithmic means in terms of the classical Abel means or, equivalently, in terms of the dilates $f_{r}$ of a function $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$.

The first step toward this formula is the following lemma.
Lemma 4.1. Let $b$ be non-extreme, let $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and let $r \in(0,1)$. The application $F:[0, r] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(b)$, defined by $F(t):=f_{t}$, is continuous from $[0, r]$ into $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.6, for any $R>1$ there exists a finite positive constant $C>0$, which depends on $R$ and $f$, such that

$$
\left\|s_{n}[f]\right\|_{b} \leq C R^{n}
$$

By choosing $R$ so that $R r<1$, we can ensure that the series

$$
(1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n}[f] t^{n} \quad(0 \leq t \leq r)
$$

converges in $\mathcal{H}(b)$-norm to some function $g^{(t)} \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ on $[0, r]$. The dilates $f_{t}$ can be expressed pointwise as

$$
f_{t}(z)=(1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n}[f](z) t^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

and therefore we must have that $g^{(t)}=f_{t}$, since convergence in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ implies pointwise convergence.

The continuity of $F$ now follows from the scalar continuity of each map $t \mapsto t^{n}(1-t)$ on $[0, r], n \geq 0$.

For the next lemma, we show that the integral formula (2.1) linking the logarithmic means to the Abel means is also valid in $\mathcal{H}(b)$. We use the Bochner integral as the definition of the vector-valued integral. For background on this topic, we refer the reader to [9, Chapter $3, \S 1]$.
Lemma 4.2. Let b be non-extreme and let $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$, say $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$. Then, for each $r \in[0,1)$, we have $L_{r}[f] \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and

$$
L_{r}[f]=\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f_{t}}{1-t} d t
$$

Proof. Fix $r \in[0,1)$. From Lemma 4.1, the function $t \mapsto \frac{f_{t}}{1-t}$ is continuous from $[0, r]$ into $\mathcal{H}(b)$, and so its Bochner integral is well-defined.

By Corollary 3.6 again, for any $R>1$, we have that $\left\|s_{n}[f]\right\|_{b} \leq C R^{n}$, where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $R$ and $f$. Let $R>1$ be chosen so that $R r<1$.

Firstly, we compute an upper bound for the series defining $L_{r}[f]$ :

$$
\frac{r}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\left\|s_{n}[f]\right\|_{b}}{n+1} r^{n} \leq C \frac{r}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(r R)^{n}}{n+1}=C \frac{\log \frac{1}{1-r R}}{R \log \frac{1}{1-r}}
$$

Therefore the series $\frac{r}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{s_{n}[f]}{n+1} r^{n}$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ and it defines a function in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, say $g^{(r)} \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. Since convergence in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ implies pointwise convergence, we must also have

$$
g^{(r)}(z)=\frac{r}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{s_{n}[f](z)}{n+1} r^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

which gives $g^{(r)}=L_{r}[f] \in \mathcal{H}(b)$.
Secondly, we have

$$
\frac{r}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{s_{n}[f]}{n+1} r^{n}=\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{0}^{r} s_{n}[f] t^{n} d t
$$

The series $\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n}[f] t^{n}$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ on $[0, r]$. Therefore, the order of summation and integration can be interchanged and we get

$$
L_{r}[f]=\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \int_{0}^{r} \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n}[f] t^{n} d t=\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f_{t}}{1-t} d t
$$

where the last equality comes from the fact that $f_{t}=(1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n}[f] t^{n}$.
Using this last lemma and the estimate in Corollary 3.7, we obtain the following result. We consider the logarithmic means $L_{r}$ as linear operators on $\mathcal{H}(b)$ defined by $L_{r}(f):=L_{r}[f]$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$.
Corollary 4.3. Let $b$ be non-extreme. Then, for every $r \in[0,1)$, the linear map $L_{r}: \mathcal{H}(b) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(b)$ is a bounded linear operator with $\left\|L_{r}\right\| \leq \sqrt{C(\phi, r)}$, where $C(\phi, r)$ is the same constant as in Corollary 3.7. In fact we even have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L_{r}(f)\right\|_{b} \leq \sqrt{C(\phi, r)}\|f\|_{H^{2}} \quad(f \in \mathcal{H}(b)) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $r \in[0,1)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. Then, from Corollary 3.7, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{b}}{1-t} d t \leq \frac{\|f\|_{H^{2}}}{\log \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\sqrt{C(\phi, t)}}{1-t} d t
$$

and, since $t \mapsto C(\phi, t)$ is increasing on $[0, r)$, the above expression is

$$
\leq \frac{C(\phi, r)\|f\|_{H^{2}}}{\log \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{1-t} d t \leq \sqrt{C(\phi, r)}\|f\|_{H^{2}}
$$

In combination with Lemma 4.2, this establishes (4.1). Since $\|f\|_{H^{2}} \leq\|f\|_{b}$, it follows that $L_{r}$ is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}(b)$ with $\left\|L_{r}\right\| \leq \sqrt{C(\phi, r)}$.

Now we can exploit the integral formula of $L_{r}[f]$ to express $\left(L_{r}[f]\right)^{+}$in terms of a certain integral involving $\left(f_{r}\right)^{+}$.

Theorem 4.4. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and $r \in(0,1)$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{r}[f]\right)^{+}=\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(f_{t}\right)^{+}}{1-t} d t \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define $F(t):=\frac{f_{t}}{1-t}$. This is a continuous function from $[0, r]$ into $\mathcal{H}(b)$, by Lemma 4.1. The fact that $F(t)$ is continuous from $[0, r]$ into $\mathcal{H}(b)$, combined with the formula for the norm in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ in terms of $f$ and $f^{+}$, imply that the mapping $t \mapsto \frac{\left(f_{t}\right)^{+}}{1-t}$ is continuous from $[0, r]$ into $H^{2}$. Therefore, it is Bochner-integrable on $[0, r]$. Thus, since $T_{\bar{a}}: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}$ and $T_{\bar{b}}: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}$ are bounded operators, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\bar{a}}\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(f_{t}\right)^{+}}{1-t} d t\right) & =\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{T_{\bar{a}}\left(f_{t}\right)^{+}}{1-t} d t \\
& =\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{T_{\bar{b}} f_{t}}{1-t} d t \\
& =T_{\bar{b}}\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f_{t}}{1-t} d t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by the uniqueness of $\left(L_{r}[f]\right)^{+}$, we get formula (4.2).
Now, we can prove our main result.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Choose $b$ and $f$ as in Theorem 3.8. Let $A>0$ and choose $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ so that

$$
\left(f_{t}\right)^{+}(0) \geq A \quad\left(r_{0}<t<1\right)
$$

Convergence in $H^{2}$ implies pointwise convergence on $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.4, the following equality holds:

$$
\left(L_{r}[f]\right)^{+}(0)=\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(f_{t}\right)^{+}(0)}{1-t} d t
$$

Fix $r \in[0,1)$. Splitting the integral in two parts, from 0 to $r_{0}$ and from $r_{0}$ to $r$, we have

$$
\left(L_{r}[f]\right)^{+}(0) \geq \frac{\log \frac{1-r_{0}}{1-r}}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} A+\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \int_{0}^{r_{0}} \frac{\left(f_{t}\right)^{+}(0)}{1-t} d t .
$$

Taking the liminf as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we get

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(L_{r}[f]\right)^{+}(0) \geq A
$$

Since $A$ was arbitrary, $\liminf _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(L_{r}[f]\right)^{+}(0)=\infty$. By the expression of the norm of $L_{r}[f]$ in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, it follows that

$$
\left\|L_{r}[f]\right\|_{b} \geq\left|\left(L_{r}[f]\right)^{+}(0)\right|
$$

which implies that $\liminf _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\|L_{r}[f]\right\|_{b}=\infty$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

## 5. Scalar-inclusion and summability in Banach spaces

Before analyzing the consequences of Theorem 1.1 on other power-series methods, we take a little detour to prove an abstract theorem on scalarinclusion of two sequence-to-function summability methods. This theorem will be the main ingredient to prove Corollary 1.2.

Theorem 5.1. Let $K$ and $H$ be two regular sequence-to-function summability methods. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces, and let $S: X \rightarrow Y$ and $S_{n}: X \rightarrow Y$ $(n \geq 0)$ be bounded linear operators. Suppose that:

- $S_{n}(x) \rightarrow S(x)$ for all $x \in W$, where $W$ is a dense subset of $X$;
- $\left(S_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is $K$-summable to $S(x)$ for all $x \in X$;
- $K$ is scalar-included in $H$.

Then $\left(S_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is $H$-summable to $S(x)$ for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Let $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0},\left(h_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}:[0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the functions defining the summability methods $K$ and $H$ respectively. We need to prove that, for each $x \in X$ :
(i) $\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(x)$ converges in $Y$ for all $r \in[0, R)$;
(ii) $\left\|\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(x)-S(x)\right\|_{Y} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow R^{-}$.

We begin with (i). Fix $r \in[0, R)$. Given $x \in X$, the sequence $\left(S_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is $K$-summable to $S(x)$. By linearity and continuity, for each $\phi \in Y^{*}$, the sequence $\left(\phi\left(S_{n}(x)\right)\right)$ is $K$-summable to $\phi(S(x))$. As $K$ is scalar-included in $H$, it follows that $\left(\phi\left(S_{n}(x)\right)\right)$ is also $H$-summable to $\phi(S(x))$. In particular, the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(r) \phi\left(S_{n}(x)\right)$ converges in $\mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$
\sup _{m \geq 0}\left|\sum_{n=0}^{m} h_{n}(r) \phi\left(S_{n}(x)\right)\right|<\infty \quad\left(x \in X, \phi \in Y^{*}\right)
$$

In other words

$$
\sup _{m \geq 0}\left|\phi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{m} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(x)\right)\right|<\infty \quad\left(x \in X, \phi \in Y^{*}\right)
$$

Applying the Banach-Steinhaus theorem twice (once for $\phi$ and once for $x$ ), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\sup _{m \geq 0}\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{m} h_{n}(r) S_{n}\right\|<\infty \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now the norm is the operator norm.

Given $x \in X$ and $\epsilon>0$, choose $w \in W$ such that $\|x-w\|_{X}<\epsilon / M$. As $S_{n}(w) \rightarrow S(w)$ and $H$ is a regular summability method, the sequence $\left(S_{n}(w)\right)$ is $H$-summable to $S(w)$. In particular, the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(w)$ converges in $Y$. It follows that $\left\|\sum_{n=m_{1}}^{m_{2}} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(w)\right\|_{Y}<\epsilon$ for all large enough $m_{1}, m_{2}$. For all such $m_{1}, m_{2}$, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{n=m_{1}}^{m_{2}} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(x)\right\|_{Y} & \leq\left\|\sum_{n=m_{1}}^{m_{2}} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(x-w)\right\|_{Y}+\left\|\sum_{n=m_{1}}^{m_{2}} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(w)\right\|_{Y} \\
& \leq\left\|\sum_{n=m_{1}}^{m_{2}} h_{n}(r) S_{n}\right\|\|x-w\|_{X}+\epsilon \\
& \leq 2 M(\epsilon / M)+\epsilon=3 \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(x)$ is Cauchy, and therefore it converges in $Y$, thereby completing the proof of (i).

Now we turn to (ii). For each $r \in[0, R)$, define an operator $S_{r}^{H}: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
S_{r}^{H}(x):=\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(r) S_{n}(x) \quad(x \in X)
$$

By (i) the series converges, so $S_{r}^{H}$ is well-defined and linear. Furthermore, it follows easily from (5.1) that $S_{r}^{H}$ is a bounded linear operator from $X$ into $Y$. As we saw in (i), for each $x \in X$ and $\phi \in Y^{*}$, the sequence $\phi\left(S_{n}(x)\right)$ is $H$-summable to $\phi(S(x))$, in other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(S_{r}^{H}(x)\right) \rightarrow \phi(S(x)) \quad\left(r \rightarrow R^{-}\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to prove that $S_{r}^{H}(x) \rightarrow S(x)$ as $r \rightarrow R^{-}$. To do so, let $\left(r_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ be a sequence in $[0, R)$ such that $r_{j} \rightarrow R^{-}(j \rightarrow \infty)$. We will prove that $S_{r_{j}}^{H}(x) \rightarrow S(x)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By (5.2), we have $\phi\left(S_{r_{j}}^{H}(x)\right) \rightarrow \phi(S(x))$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for each $x \in X$ and $\phi \in Y^{*}$. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, again applied twice, it follows that

$$
N:=\sup _{j \geq 0}\left\|S_{r_{j}}^{H}\right\|<\infty
$$

Given $x \in X$ and $\epsilon>0$, choose $w \in W$ such that

$$
\|x-w\|_{X}<\epsilon / \max \{N,\|S\|\}
$$

By regularity of $H$, we have

$$
S_{r_{j}}^{H}(w) \rightarrow S(w) \quad(j \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Hence $\left\|S_{r_{j}}^{H}(w)-S(w)\right\|_{Y}<\epsilon$ for all $j$ sufficiently large. For all such $j$, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S_{r_{j}}^{H}(x)-S(x)\right\|_{Y} & \leq\left\|S_{r_{j}}^{H}(x-w)\right\|_{Y}+\left\|S_{r_{j}}^{H}(w)-S(w)\right\|_{Y}+\|S(w-x)\|_{Y} \\
& \leq N(\epsilon / N)+\epsilon+\|S\|(\epsilon /\|S\|)=3 \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that $S_{r_{j}}^{H}(x) \rightarrow S(x)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, completing the proof of (ii).

## 6. Consequences for other power-series methods

Our final goal is to prove Corollary 1.2. Recall from $\S 2$ that the generalized Abel means of order $\alpha>-1$ applied to a function $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ are

$$
A_{r}^{\alpha}[f](z):=(1-r)^{1+\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0}\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} s_{n}(z) r^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}, r \in[0,1))
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and let $r \in[0,1)$. By Corollary 3.6 , for any $R>1$, we have $\left\|s_{n}[f]\right\|_{b} \leq C R^{n}$ for some constant $C$ depending only on $R$ and $f$. From this, it is easy to see that the series defining $A_{r}^{\alpha}[f]$ converges absolutely to some function $g^{(r)} \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and, since convergence in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ implies pointwise convergence, we get $A_{r}^{\alpha}[f]=g^{(r)} \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. Thus, we may view the generalized Abel mean as a linear operator on $\mathcal{H}(b)$ defined by

$$
A_{r}^{\alpha}(f):=A_{r}^{\alpha}[f] \quad(f \in \mathcal{H}(b), r \in[0,1)) .
$$

By the closed-graph theorem, it is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}(b)$.
To use Theorem 5.1, we need the following relation between the generalized Abel methods and the logarithmic method. This result can be found in $[3, \S 5]$.

Theorem 6.1. All generalized Abel methods of order $\alpha$, with $\alpha>-1$, are scalar-included in the logarithmic method.

We are now ready to prove Corollary 1.2.
Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $b$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Suppose, if possible, that, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$,

$$
A_{r}^{\alpha}(f) \rightarrow f, \quad\left(r \rightarrow 1^{-}\right)
$$

To apply Theorem 5.1, let $X=Y=\mathcal{H}(b)$, let $W$ be the set of polynomials, let $R=1$, let $K$ and $H$ be the generalized Abel method of order $\alpha>-1$ and the logarithmic method respectively, let $S_{n}:=s_{n}$, the operator that maps each function to the $n$-th partial sum of its Taylor expansion, and let $S:=I$ be the identity on $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

By Theorem 6.1, the Abel method of order $\alpha>-1$ is scalar-included in the logarithmic method. The logarithmic method is also a regular sequence-to-function summability method because the function $\frac{1}{r} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.3, the set of polynomials $W$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ since $b$ is non-extreme.

It remains to verify the first condition of Theorem 5.1, that is, $s_{n}(p) \rightarrow p$ for any $p \in W$. This is clear since, for $p \in W$, with

$$
p(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

we have $s_{n}(p)=s_{n}[p]=p$ if $n \geq N$.
Therefore, by Theorem 5.1, we infer that $\left(s_{n}(f)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is summable by the logarithmic method for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. This contradicts Theorem 1.1.

Therefore, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that $A_{r}^{\alpha}(f) \nrightarrow f$ as $r \rightarrow$ $1^{-}$.

In fact, Corollary 1.2 generalizes to a whole family of power series methods. This generalization is a consequence of the following inclusion theorem due to Borwein.

Theorem 6.2. [3, Theorem A] Let $p(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n} x^{n}$ be a power series with a radius of convergence $R_{p}>0$. Let $q(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0} q_{n} x^{n}$ be another power series with a radius of convergence $R_{q}=R_{p}$. Suppose that there exist an integer $N$, a finite signed measure $\mu$ on the interval $[0,1]$ and a number $\delta \in(0,1]$ such that, for all $n \geq N$,

1. $p_{n}=q_{n} \int_{0}^{1} t^{n} d \mu(t)$;
2. $\int_{0}^{1} t^{n} d \mu(t) \geq \delta \int_{0}^{1} t^{n}|d \mu(t)|$.

Then the power-series method $(q)$ is scalar-included in the power-series method (p).

Consider the power-series method defined by the power series $p(r):=$ $\sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n} r^{n}$ with a radius of convergence $R_{p}=1$. We suppose that, for the coefficients $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$, there exist an integer $N$, a finite signed measure $\mu$ on $[0,1]$ and a number $\delta \in(0,1]$ such that, for every $n \geq N$,
(A) $\frac{1}{n+1}=p_{n} \int_{0}^{1} t^{n} d \mu(t)$;
(B) $\frac{1}{n+1} \geq \delta p_{n} \int_{0}^{1} t^{n}|d \mu(t)|$.

As an explicit example, the coefficients $p_{n}:=\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha}$ with $\alpha>-1$ satisfy these requirements (see [3] for details).

Recall that, applied to the partial sums of the Taylor expansion of $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$, the means defined by the power-series method $(p)$ are

$$
P_{r}[f]:=\frac{1}{p(r)} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n} s_{n}[f] r^{n} \quad(0 \leq r<1)
$$

Using formula (3.1) again, we can prove that the series defining $P_{r}[f]$ converges, in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, to some function $g^{(r)} \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and that $P_{r}[f]=g^{(r)} \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. Also, by the closed-graph theorem, the linear operator $P_{r}: \mathcal{H}(b) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(b)$, defined by $P_{r}(f):=P_{r}[f]$, is bounded for each $r \in[0,1)$.

Theorem 6.3. Let $p(r):=\sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n} r^{n}$ be a power series with a radius of convergence $R_{p}=1$. Suppose that the coefficients $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfy the conditions (A) and (B) above, with $N \geq 0$ an integer, $\mu$ a finite signed measure on $[0,1]$ and $\delta \in(0,1]$. Then there exist a non-extreme point $b \in H^{\infty}$ and $a$ function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that $P_{r}[f] \nrightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Corollary 1.2. The only difference is that we use Theorem 6.2 instead of Theorem 6.1 to show that the power-series method defined by $(p)$ is scalar-included in the logarithmic method.

There are plenty of other summability methods which are included in the logarithmic method that we did not treat here. For example, in [4], it was shown that the generalized Borel method $B^{\alpha, \beta}$, with its means defined by

$$
B_{x}^{\alpha, \beta}[f]:=\sum_{n \geq N} \frac{s_{n}[f]}{\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta)} x^{\alpha n+\beta-1}
$$

where $x>0, \alpha>0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N$ is a non-negative integer such that $\alpha N+\beta>1$, is scalar-included in the logarithmic method. With similar techniques, we can prove that $B_{x}^{\alpha, \beta}[f] \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and, by using Theorem 5.1, we can prove that there exist a non-extreme $b$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that $B_{x}^{\alpha, \beta}[f] \nrightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

## 7. An open problem

We have studied some classes of sequence-to-function summability methods in this article and we proved that none of them works in general for de Branges-Rovnyak spaces. Moreover, in [11], the authors showed that there exist a Hilbert space of analytic functions $\mathcal{H}$ continuously embedded in $\operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f$ lies outside the closed linear span of $\left\{s_{n}[f]: n \geq 0\right\}$. In particular, no summability methods applied to the partial sums $s_{n}[f]$ would work to make the Taylor series summable to $f$ in $\mathcal{H}$. This motivates the following problem.

Problem 7.1. Does there exist a non-extreme function $b$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that $f$ lies outside the closed linear span of $\left\{s_{n}[f]: n \geq 0\right\}$ ?
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