arXiv:2103.06979v1 [stat.ME] 10 Mar 2021

Parameter estimation in models generated by SDE's with symmetric alpha stable noise

D. O. Ivanenko, R. V. Pogorielov

December 1, 2021

Abstract

The article considers vector parameter estimators in statistical models generated by Levy processes. An improved one step estimator is presented that can be used for improving any other estimator. Combined numerical methods for optimization problems are proposed. A software has been developed and a correspondent testing and comparison have been presented.

1 Introduction

Given a discretely observed process that is a solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^\theta = A_\theta(X_t^\theta)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \tag{1}$$

where A is a drift-function, Z is an alpha-stable process with limited jumps, θ is unknown parameter. Observation is held with a constant step h.

Stochastic models generated by alpha-stable processes occur in financial modeling [1]-[6], physics [7], clymatology [8] etc. In [8] it was shown that the fast time scale noise forcing the climate contains a component with an alpha-stable distribution. Models, genereated by stochastic differential equations often contain unknown parameters that have to be estimated. In this article SDE driven by alpha-stable noise where drift function has unknown parameter is considered.

It has been presented combined numerical methods for numerical estimation. These methods are used for solving systems of equations and optimization problems. They can improve convergence and accuracy in parameter estimation and do not require using high-order derivatives.

The structure of paper is following. In the beginning it is presented general information and explanation of models. An algorithm for checking the efficiency of the estimating method has been presented for scalar case. This algorithm gives a possibility to compare an ammount of statistical information loss. Next it is described estimators that have been considered with methods of their obtaining. Then it is given a description of numerical methods that have been used for various estimators and their comparison. Finally it is presented numerical results and the software description that has been developed during the process of problem solving.

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation within the Research Group Linkage Programme *Singular diffusions: analytic and stochastic approaches* between the University of Potsdam and the Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.

2 General information and explanation

Consider process X that is solution to the equation 1. Assume that the alpha-stable process with jumps Z has Levy-Ito decomposition:

$$Z_t = ct + \int_0^t \int_{|u|>1} u\nu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) + \int_0^t \int_{|u|\leq 1} u\tilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u),$$

where ν is the Poisson point measure with compensator $\mathrm{d}s\mu(\mathrm{d}u)$, $\tilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) = \nu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) - \mathrm{d}s\mu(\mathrm{d}u)$ is corresponding compensated measure. Following investigations in [9]-[13] it is assumed that ν satisfies the following conditions:

(i) For some $\kappa > 0$,

$$\int_{|u|\geq 1} u^{2+\kappa}\mu(du) < \infty;$$

(ii) For some $u_0 > 0$, the restriction μ on $[-u_0, u_0]$ has a positive density

$$\sigma \in C^2\left([-u_0, 0) \cup (0, u_0]\right);$$

(iii) There exists C_0 such that

$$|\sigma'(u)| \le C_0|u|^{-1}\sigma(u),$$

$$|\sigma''(u)| \le C_0 u^{-2}\sigma(u),$$

$$|u| \in (0, u_0];$$

(iv)

$$\left(\log\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} \mu\Big(\{u : |u| \ge \epsilon\}\Big) \to \infty, \quad \epsilon \to 0.$$

Besides, it is assumed in simulations and obtaining numerical results there is technical restriction that the jump value is bounded by some constant.

The developed application implements various methods for estimating unknown parameters of the drift function of the model described above. In addition, the algorithm for evaluating the efficiency of the estimation method for a scalar parameter proposed in [10] article has been elaborated and implemented. In this article, using this algorithm, the efficiency of each of the methods in the scalar case is estimated. This algorithm is based on Hajek minimax theorem [14]. The detailed scheme of the algorithm is as follows. If $\hat{\theta}$ is estimator of θ then it is possible to calculate $\sqrt{J(\theta)/I(\theta)}$ that is relative efficiency to theoretical Hajek bound. Here $I(\theta)$ is bound of normed Fisher matrices, and $J(\theta)$ is sample mean. The algorithm in case of one-parameter model is following:

(1) To select the method of evaluation and build an estimation $\hat{\theta}_n$ unknown parameter θ_0

(2) To generate N trajectories of process X given equation with $\hat{\theta}_n = \theta_0$ and for each of them to build a sample size n

(3) To calculate estimators $\hat{\theta}_n^k, k = 1, ..., N$ and to find a sample variance $s_N^2 = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n^k - \theta)^2)$

(4) To calculate n_0 and to generate N trajectories of process X з $\hat{\theta}_n = \theta_0$, for each of them to calculate $\Xi_n^k(n_0), k = 1, ..., N$

(5) To find a sample mean $J_n(\hat{\theta}_n, n_0) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}(\Xi_n^k(n_0))^2$

(6) By value $\sqrt{J_n(\hat{\theta}_n, n_0)s_N^2}$ to make a conclusion about the efficiency of the method

There are weaknesses in this algorithm. In the second step of the algorithm the parameter is estimated N times. In the fifth step of the algorithm there was a significant roughness in the transition from conditional expectation to unconditional one. This disadvantage is eliminated by the bridge: if the trajectory falls into the neighborhood point, then it was taken for analysis. In this article, technical and algorithmic problems have been solved for the practical implementation of this algorithm, as well as this algorithm is generalized to the case of a vector parameter.

To implement the algorithm, we use the integral representation of the transition probability density obtained using the Malliavin calculus in the article [13]. Below there are items and their integral representations that figure in Fisher's information matrix estimation. By the Theorem [12] under the assumptions about Z at point t is twice differentiable and correspondent stochastic derivatives given by the formulas:

$$DZ_t = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho(u)\nu(ds, du)$$

$$DDZ_t = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho(u)\varrho'(u)\nu(ds, du)$$

The Scorohod integral

$$\delta(1) = -\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\sigma(u)\varrho(u)'}{\sigma(u)}\tilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u)$$

For differentiability with respect to a parameter and the existence of stochastic derivatives process X we need to involve additional restrictions about A. In the one parameter case, drift has to satisfy the following conditions:

(v) Let a have bounded derivatives $\partial^{i+j}_{x^i\theta^j}A$, $i \leq 3, j \leq 2$

(vi) Derivatives $\partial_x A$, $\partial^2_{xx}A$,$\partial^2_{x\theta}A$, $\partial^3_{xxx}A$, $\partial^3_{xx\theta}A$, $\partial^3_{x\theta\theta}A$, $\partial^4_{xxx\theta}A$ are bounded and

$$|A_\theta(x)| + |\partial_\theta A_\theta(x)| + |\partial^2_{\theta\theta}A_\theta(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|).$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta,\ x \in \mathbb{R}$

By [12] under the conditions (i)–(vi) X is twice differentiable wrt θ. and the following statement are held:

Let $t_0 > 0$ is fixed. Consider the equation (1) with initial condition $X_{t_0} = x_0$. Denote further $Y_t^1 = \partial_\theta X_t, Y_t^2 = DX_t, Y_t^3 = D\partial_\theta X_t, Y_t^4 = D^2 X_t$. Then $\overline{Y}_t := (Y_t^1, Y_t^2, Y_t^3, Y_t^4)$ is the solution of the simultaneous equations:

$$\begin{cases} dY_t^1 = \partial_x A_\theta(X_t) Y_t^1 dt + \partial_\theta A_\theta(X_t) dt \\ dY_t^2 = \partial_x A_\theta(X_t) Y_t^2 dt + dDZ_t \\ dY_t^3 = \partial_x A_\theta(X_t) Y_t^3 dt + (\partial_{x\theta} A_\theta(X_t) Y_t^2 + \partial_{xx} A_\theta(X_t) Y_t^1 Y_t^2) dt \\ dY_t^4 = \partial_x A_\theta(X_t) Y_t^4 dt + \partial_{xx} A_\theta(X_t)((Y_t^2)^2) dt + dD^2 Z_t \\ Y_{t_0}^i = 0, i = 1, ..., 4 \end{cases}$$

Euler's method is used for solution. Coordinates of $\overline{Y}_t$ are components of the formula that is needed for fourth step of algorithm implementation. The theoretical formula for correspondent functional is given by:

$$\Xi_t^1 = \frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)\delta(1)}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta} + \frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)\mathrm{D}^2 X_t^\theta}{(\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta)^2} - \frac{\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}. \tag{2}$$

In the next section it will be explained some types of estimators which efficiency will be checked by the algorithm above.

3 Parameter estimation

In this paper it is focused on the following types of estimators.

L_p estimators

In this case we consider L_p estimators. For example, the functional that needs to be minimized for degree two has the form:

$$\theta_n = argmin_{\theta\in\Theta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_{hk} - X_{h(k-1)} - A_\theta(X_{h(k-1)}h))^2,$$

where θ_n is an estimated vector parameter, $A_\theta(X_{h(k-1)})$ is the drift function, h is the distance between neighbor observations.

For least absolute value the minimized functional has the form:

$$\theta_n = argmin_{\theta\in\Theta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |X_{hk} - X_{h(k-1)} - A_\theta(X_{h(k-1)}h)|$$

Figures below show the examples of loss functions with $p = 1, 2$ respectively and

$$A_\theta(x) = -ax + \frac{b}{\log(1+x^2)}, T = 1000, \alpha = 1.75, h = 1$$

(True values of parameters are $a = 1, b = 1$.)

Fig. 1: Loss function for L1 estimator





Fig. 2: Loss function for L2 estimator



It also considers the estimate obtained for L^∞. In this case the minimized functional has the form:

$$\theta_n = argmin_{\theta\in\Theta} \max |X_{hk} - X_{h(k-1)} - A_\theta(X_{h(k-1)}h)|$$

One step estimator

All estimators can be used in order to obtain "one step estimator" that (under additional conditions [15]) asymptotically tends to MLE. It will be precised the estimators above and compare the results. The general scheme for one-step estimation is:

$$\theta_{onestep} = \theta_{start} + H^{-1}(\theta_{start}) * \nabla \ln QL_n(\theta_{start}),$$

where θ_{start} can be chosen arbitrarily, for example it can be substituted by L_p estimator, H - Hesse's matrix for log likelihood function, ∇ - gradient

vector. Particulary, in the case of one dimension parameter case estimator has the form:

$$\theta_{onestep} = \theta_{start} + \frac{\Xi_t^1(\theta_{start})}{\Xi_t^2(\theta_{start})}.$$

Here functional Ξ_t^1 is given by (2), and Ξ_t^2 is defined below by the formula (4) and is interpreted as Fisher information.

In [10] there was obtained expression for second derivative of the likelihood and proven, that the logarithm of the transition probability density has a second continuous derivative w.r.t. θ on the open subset of $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\Theta$ defined by inequality $p_t^\theta(x,y) > 0$ and, on this subset, admits the integral representation

$$\partial^2_{\theta\theta} \log p_t^\theta(x,y) = \mathsf{E}_{x,y}^{t,\theta}\Xi_t^2 - \left(E_{x,y}^{t,\theta}\Xi_t^1\right)^2, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_t^2 := \delta\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\left(\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)^2}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\right) + \partial^2_{\theta\theta}X_t^\theta\right)\right) = \\ - \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\mathrm{D}\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)^2}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\right) + \frac{\mathrm{D}\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X_t^\theta}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta} + \\ \left(\frac{\delta(1)}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta} + \frac{\mathrm{D}^2X_t^\theta}{(\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta)^2}\right)\left(\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)^2}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\right) + \partial^2_{\theta\theta}X_t^\theta\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with Skorokhod integral

$$\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)^2}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\right) = \frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)^2\delta(1)}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta} + \frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)^2\mathrm{D}^2X_t^\theta}{(\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta)^2} - \frac{2(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}, \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)^2}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\right) = \frac{2\partial_\theta X_t^\theta}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\left(\delta(1)\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta) - \mathrm{D}^2(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)\right) + \frac{(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)^2\mathrm{D}\delta(1)}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta} \\ - \frac{2(\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta))^2}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta} + \left(\frac{\partial_\theta X_t^\theta}{\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta}\right)^2\left(\mathrm{D}^3X_t^\theta - \delta(1)\mathrm{D}^2X_t^\theta\right) \\ + \frac{4\partial_\theta X_t^\theta\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta)\mathrm{D}^2X_t^\theta}{(\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta)^2} - \frac{2(\partial_\theta X_t^\theta\mathrm{D}^2X_t^\theta)^2}{(\mathrm{D}X_t^\theta)^3}.\end{aligned}$$

However, the calculation of second derivative is difficult and takes a lot of machine resource, so it is possible to replace it with approximations using

numerical methods. One of the options for making calculations easier is Rao estimator which uses an approximation of second derivative by product of first derivatives:

$$\theta_{onestep} = \theta_{start} + \frac{\Xi_t^1(\theta_{start})}{\Xi_t^1(\theta_{start})^2}$$

The estimates obtained by the classical and Rao methods are compared in **Section 5**. Next section will present numerical methods that are used in software implementation.

4 Numerical aspects

For one-parameter estimation problem Powell's and Steffenson method have been used. The first method allows to find the minimum of the corresponding functional, and the second can be used in order to solve a system of nonlinear equations. Powell's method uses parabolic interpolation and has faster convergence than other one-dimensional optimization methods [16]. Steffenson method is modification of Newton's one. According to the difference formula:

$$Q'(\theta_k) = \frac{Q(\theta_k + \delta) - Q(\theta_k)}{h}$$

and taking into consideration that $\delta = Q(\theta_k)$ it can be obtained Steffenson formula for iteration scheme:

$$\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k - \frac{(Q(\theta_k))^2}{(Q(\theta_k + Q(\theta_k)) - Q(\theta_k))}$$

If drift function is polynomial e.g. $A = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(\theta_i x^i)$ then LSE estimator can be obtained by solving system of linear equations (see formulae below). Direct methods of solving systems of linear equations give great rounding error, that is accumulated and matrix can be ill-conditioned. For iterative scheme a symmetric succesive over relaxation method is used (**SSOR**). The idea of the method is described in [17] and here it will be shown on the example of two-parametric model.

Let X_k to be solution to stochastic differential equation by Euler-Maruama scheme. Denote $S_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} X_k^i X_{k+1}^j$, where T is the number of observations. Then system of linear algebraic equations that has to be solved in case of two-parameter system is:

$$\begin{cases} S_{40}ha + S_{30}hb = S_{21} - S_{30} \\ S_{30}ha + S_{20}hb = S_{11} - S_{20} \end{cases}$$

Lets introduce relaxation parameter ω. Since the matrix of coefficients with parameters is symmetric, it is possible to use the symmetric method of successive over-relaxation. Then for this case, the iterative scheme for a system with two parameters is:

(I) Forward step:

$$\begin{cases} a^{k+1/2} = (1-\omega)a^k + \dfrac{\omega}{S_4 h}(\tilde{S}_2 - S_3 - S_3 h b^k) \\ b^{k+1/2} = (1-\omega)b^k + \dfrac{\omega}{S_2 h}(\tilde{S}_1 - S_2 - S_3 h a^k) \end{cases}$$

(II) Backward step

$$\begin{cases} b^{k+1} = (1-\omega)b^{k+1/2} + \dfrac{\omega}{S_2 h}(\tilde{S}_1 - S_2 - S_3 h a^{k+1/2}) \\ a^{k+1} = (1-\omega)a^{k+1/2} + \dfrac{\omega}{S_4 h}(\tilde{S}_2 - S_3 - S_3 h b^{k+1}) \end{cases}$$

Using three-layer Chebyshev acceleration, finding a solution can be found by less number of iterations [17]. Define system of equations $Rx = f$ that can be converted to the form $x = Gx + h$ where $x = \theta = (a, b)$ is the vector of coefficients. This can be done with the help of a certain iterative process (for example, SSOR) If the absolute value of spectral radius ρ of matrix G is less than 1 the given iteration process converges. So the sequence of vectors will converge to an exact solution, that is:

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \theta^s \to \theta^*$$

Suppose that the m iterations of the method are made and vectors $\theta^1, \theta^2 \dots \theta^m$ are obtained each of which is an approximation to θ^*. Set the task to find the corresponding linear combination of vectors:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha_i \theta^i = y^m$$

that approaches to θ^* faster than θ^m. As θ^m is an approximation to θ^*, so θ^m has to coincide with θ^* in the case of equality of vectors on each iteration. Accordingly, the sum of the coefficients should be equal to 1. Error of calculation θ^m can be written:

$$y^m - \theta^* = p_m(S)(\theta^0 - \theta^*)$$

Here $p_m(G) = \alpha_i G^i$ is the polynomial of degree m, such that $p_m(1) = 1$. So error depends on the spectral radius of G as the smaller radius, the less error will be.

The problem of finding this polynomial is complicated. By the Hamilton-Kelli theorem [17], it is a characteristic polynomial of G, for which one needs to know all eigenvalues. That's why, the problem is reduced to the polynomial search such that the spectral radius approaches to zero.

Suppose that G has the following properties:

- Its all eigenvalues are real
- They are in interval $[-\rho, \rho]$

Then it is possible to find a p_m, that is

- $p_m(1) = 1$
- $max_{-\rho<\theta<\rho}|p_m(\theta)|$ has the least possible value among all polynomials of degree m

The solution to this problem uses Chebyshev's polynomials, which are determined by the recurrence scheme:

$$T_0 = 1, T_1(\theta) = \theta, T_m(\theta) = 2\theta T_{m-1}(x) - T_{m-2}(\theta).$$

The Chebyshev polynom with degree m has the least deviation from zero on the interval [-1,1] among all polynomials of the same degree. The three-layer acceleration of Chebyshev allows to use only three vectors : y^m, y^{m-1}, y^{m-2} Entering the coefficient $\mu_m = 1/T_m(\theta/\rho)$, then $p_m(S) = \mu_m T_m(S/\rho)$. Putting in the expression for a residual, we obtain the scheme of Chebyshev. Corresponding algorithm is in the following:

- To determine an iterative process that will be accelerated (SSOR)

- Set $\mu_0 = 1, \mu_1 = \rho, y^0 = \theta^0, y^1 = S\theta^0 + c$
- To continue calculate until the required precision is obtained:

$$\mu_m = \left(\frac{2}{\rho\mu_{m-1}} - \frac{1}{\mu_{m-1}} \right)^{-1}$$

$$y^m = \frac{2\mu_m}{\rho\mu_{m-1}}(Sy^{m-1} + c) - \frac{\mu_m}{\mu_{m-2}}y^{m-2}$$

Using Chebyshev's acceleration algorithm for the SSOR method, it is possible to reduce the number of iterations to 3 times.

The problem of finding ρ is solved here by the aim of SP-algorithm that is used for finding eigenvalues in symmetric matrices [18].

Table 1 shows a comparison of relaxation methods to evaluate the parameter for a two-parameter system with step 1, the number of trajectories 700, the value of the process parameter 1.75, start points are $(-0.3, -0.2)$

Parameters val.	SOR	SSOR	SSOR with Chebyshev acc.
-1	-1.000000483658	-1.000000584635	-1.000000383673
-1	-1.000000398645	-1.000000048362	-1.000000054735
Number of iter.	8	6	5

Table 1: Comparison of iterative methods for linear systems.

In general case where drift is not polynomial estimating unknown parameters is more difficult. Optimisation methods or methods of solving systems of nonlinear equations have to be used. Ordinary methods (for example fixed-point iteration, Seidel, Newton, successive over-relaxation) can't be used because it is difficult to check their convergence conditions. In general case a combination of Box-Wilson and Hook-Jeeves methods are used [19].

Recall that it is considered on the example of a two-parameter model. Box-Wilson method is modification of gradient method but the gradient is substituted with linear regression. The algorithm starts with factor analysis. Let $Q(a, b)$ is a minimized function and start point $\theta_0 = (a_0, b_0)$. The method begins with choosing a starting point and making trial steps to the sides. On the basis of trial steps, regression coefficients are calculated and movement towards the minimum begins. The movement continues until the value of the objective function decreases. Further at the point where the movement stops,

the coefficients are calculated again and the algorithm is repeated. Table 2 shows the changes in parameter values a, b and calculation on this basis.

№	a	b	Q
1	$a_0 - \delta$	$b_0 - \delta$	$Q_1 = Q(a_0 - \delta, b_0 - \delta)$
2	$a_0 + \delta$	$b_0 - \delta$	$Q_2 = Q(a_0 + \delta, b_0 - \delta)$
3	$a_0 - \delta$	$b_0 + \delta$	$Q_3 = Q(a_0 - \delta, b_0 + \delta)$
4	$a_0 + \delta$	$b_0 + \delta$	$Q_4 = Q(a_0 + \delta, b_0 + \delta)$

Table 2: Changes in parameter values.

Values of objective function are used to determine regression coefficients via formulas

$b_1 = \dfrac{-Q_1 + Q_2 - Q_3 + Q_4}{4}$, $b_2 = \dfrac{-Q_1 - Q_2 + Q_3 + Q_4}{4}$.

Regression coefficients (b_1, b_2) are treated as the approximation of gradient and are used in iteration sheme that looks like:

$$\theta_j = \theta_0 - kqb_j\delta_j,$$

where q is proportion coefficient, k is number of iteration, δ_j is step used in factor analysis, j is vector parameter component. Iterations are held until the function value begins to increase. In this case, the point at which the value was minimal is taken as the starting point. After that, the algorithm steps are started again from factor analysis. Iterations are held until $\sqrt{b_1^2 + b_2^2} > \epsilon$. Method is zero-order and has fast convergence although is not very accurate. Thats why the precision needs to be improved and it is done by modified Hook-Jeeves method that is described in [20].

Table below shows comparison for least square estimation with drift function $-ax + \arctan(x^2 + b)$, true values $(a, b) = (1,1)$, precision $\epsilon = 0.00001$ and start point $(a_0, b_0) = (0.3, 1.2)$

The L^∞ estimator is special case of discrete minimax problem. A well-known fact is that the problem

$$\min \max Q_i(\theta), \qquad Q_i = |X_i - X_{i-1} - A_\theta(X_{i-1})|$$

can be transformed in nonlinear programming problem:

$$\min z \quad s.t. |Q_i| < z. i = 0, ..., T$$

Method	Brown	Broyden	Box-Wilson	Hook-Jeeves	Hybrid
a	1.28737	1.02898	0.95117	1.00665	1.00895
b	0.93876	1.22267	0.72833	1.05415	1.00541
number of iterations	434	87	25	71	15

Table 3: Comparison of numerical methods for parameter estimating

Most of the methods use sequential quadratic programming (SQP), penalty or barrier function [21]-[26]. These methods have fast convergence, however, there is a difficulty in transforming minimax problem. Because of such transformation a $2(T-1)$ constraints would be obtained and using SQP will lead to solution of high-dimensional system of equations. That's why an Armijo algorithm is proposed that uses linear search [27] and does not require high order objective function derivatives.

In order to reduce the ammount of constraints the Euclidian approximation can be used [28]

$$|\tilde{x}| = \sqrt{x^2 + \beta^2}$$

(β is small enough).

5 Results

A software has been developed to test the methods of estimating unknown parameters and the efficiency of estimators. The user can choose drift function A, number of observation T, time step h, parameters for alpha-stable process, and different parameters that are used in efficiency checking algorithm. Drift function is written by symbolic line.

Below are examples of how the application works with:


$$h = 1, \alpha = 1.75, T = 1000, X_0 = 1.$$

Figure 3 shows estimation for three-parameter model with

$$A(x, \theta) = -ax + \arctan(b + x^2) + \frac{c}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}}, \theta = (a, b, c) = (2, 1.5, 0.1)$$

Lower graph shows trajectory that has been built by Euler's method and upper shows increments of stochastic process.

Fig. 3: Estimation for three-parameter model.




One-parameter estimation

Consider equation (1) with $A = -2x + \sin(x + \theta)$, true value of θ is 1, $\alpha = 1.75, T = 1000, h = 1, x_0 = 1$, max value for noise jump = 1. 1000 experiments have been made and statistics such as mean absolute value, relative mean square error and efficiency have been calculated.

The results are given in table 4. For comparison, a different start for one-step and Rao estimators has been given by different L_p estimators.

The efficiency of method depends on number of trajectories and number of observations but insignificantly. Efficiency grows by half of percent with an increase in trajectories by one hundred and decreases by half of percent with an increase in number of observation by one hundred. This effect can be explained by the fact that the step is fixed and with an increase in the number of observations, we accordingly increase the observation interval.

Fig. 4: Estimation for one-parameter model.



Estimator	Mean θ	MAD θ	RMSE θ	Efficiency
L^1	1.0720	0.0721	0.0727	0.0899
L^2	1.0608	0.0608	0.0609	0.1073
L^∞	1.1134	0.1406	0.1611	0.0406
OS-L^1	1.0151	0.0152	0.0153	0.4275
OS-L^2	1.0269	0.0269	0.0278	0.2347
OS-L^∞	1.0153	0.0153	0.01687	0.3873
Rao-L^1	1.0584	0.0588	0.0592	0.1105
Rao-L^2	1.0471	0.0743	0.0632	0.1384
Rao-L^∞	1.0997	0.1315	0.1517	0.0431

Table 4: Efficiency value for one-parametric model

Multiparameter estimation

Consider a model given by drift function $A = -2x + \arctan(x^2 + a) + \dfrac{b}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$, $(a, b) = (1, 1), \alpha = 1.75, T = 2000, h = 1, x_0 = 1$. 1000 experiments have

been made. Table 5 shows statistics for estimators. Here RMSE and MAD are calculated as maximum absolute value between estimated and true value.

Estimator	Mean a	Mean b	MAD	RMSE
L^1	1,0003	0,9996	0,0039	0,007
L^2	1,0096	0,992	0,0779	0,1019
L^∞	1,0164	1,0107	0,2799	0,2904

Table 5: Statistics for two-parametric model.

Consider a model given by polynomial drift function $ax^3 + bx^2 + cx$, $(a, b, c) = (-0.2, -0.3, -0.6,)$, $\alpha = 1.75, T = 1000, h = 1, x_0 = 1$, max value for noise jump is 1. Table 6 shows statistics for estimators. Here RMSE and MAD are calculated as maximum absolute value between estimated and true value.

Estimator	Mean a	Mean b	Mean c	MAD	RMSE
L^1	-0,2246	-0,27703	-0,5967	0,0606	0,0889
L^2	-0,2015	-0,2987	-0,6018	0,0666	0,1036
L^∞	-0.1901	-0.2916	-0,6003	0.0241	0.0436

Table 6: Statistics for model with polynomial drift.

In general bias does not significantly depends on number of observations. Increasing this number by 100 gives the difference in fifth digit.

6 Conclusion

It has been determined that for parameter estimation in models with alpha-stable noise numerical hybrid method give higher precision. A combination of such methods, which has not been used before, shows good results as shown in Table 1. The experiments have shown that one step and Rao estimators improve the accuracy of estimators. The efficiency algorithm is implemented and programmed and with the help of it a comparative analysis of such estimators as L^p, one-step and Rao. In practice, quite expected effects have been confirmed. One step and Rao estimators improve value, the growth of

trajectories leads to an increase in efficiency, an increase in observations with a fixed step leads to the efficiency decreasing.

References

[1] Tankov, Peter *Financial modelling with jump processes. CRC press, 2003.*

[2] Basegmez, Hulya, and Elif Cekici *Financial applications of stable distributions: Implications on Turkish stock market." Journal of Business Economics and Finance 6.4 (2017): 364-374*

[3] Oksendal, Bernt Karsten, and Agnes Sulem. *Applied stochastic control of jump diffusions. Vol. 498. Berlin: Springer, 2007*

[4] Kyprianou, Andreas E *Introductory lectures on fluctuations of Levy processes with applications. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.*

[5] Barbachan, José Fajardo. *"Optimal consumption and investment with Lévy processes." Revista Brasileira de Economia 57.4 (2003): 825-848.*

[6] Wang, Xueyun, et al *"Research on parameter estimation methods for alpha stable noise in a laser gyroscope's random error." Sensors 15.8 (2015): 18550-18564.*

[7] Jha, R., et al. *"Evidence of Lévy stable process in tokamak edge turbulence." Physics of Plasmas 10.3 (2003): 699-704.*

[8] PD Ditlevsen *Observation of α stable noise induced millennial climate changes from an icecore record - Geophysical Research Letters, 1999*

[9] Bodnarchuk, S., and D. Ivanenko *"A method for checking efficiency of estimators in statistical models driven by L?vy's noise." Theory of Probability and Mathematical Statistics 92 (2016): 1-15.*

[10] Ivanenko, D. O *"Second derivative of the log-likelihood in the model given by a Levy driven stochastic differential equations." arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.2880 (2014)*

[11] Ivanenko, D. O., and A. M. Kulik *"Malliavin calculus approach to statistical inference for L?vy driven SDE's." Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability 17.1 (2015): 107-123 .*

[12] Ivanenko, Dmytro, Alexey M. Kulik, and Hiroki Masuda *"Uniform LAN property of locally stable Lévy process observed at high frequency."* *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.1516 (2014)* .

[13] Ivanenko, Dmytro, and Alexey Kulik *"LAN property for families of distributions of solutions to Levy driven SDE's."* *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.3089 (2013).*

[14] Hájek, Jaroslav *"Local asymptotic minimax and admissibility in estimation."* *Proceedings of the sixth Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability. Vol. 1. 1972.*.

[15] Huber, Peter J. *Robust statistics. Vol. 523. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.*.

[16] Пантелеев, Андрей Владимирович, and Татьяна Александровна Летова *Методы оптимизации в примерах и задачах. Высшая школа, 2008.* .

[17] Аристова, Е. Н., Н. А. Завьялова, and А. И. Лобанов *"Практические занятия по вычислительной математике. Часть 1."* *М.: МФТИ (2014).*

[18] Parlett, Beresford N. *The symmetric eigenvalue problem. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1998.*.

[19] Box, George EP, and Kenneth B. Wilson *"On the experimental attainment of optimum conditions."* *Journal of the royal statistical society: Series b (Methodological) 13.1 (1951): 1-38.*.

[20] Bazaraa, Mokhtar S., Hanif D. Sherali, and Chitharanjan M. Shetty *Nonlinear programming: theory and algorithms. John Wiley and Sons, 2013.* .

[21] Jian, Jin-bao, Ran Quan, and Qing-jie Hu. *"A new superlinearly convergent SQP algorithm for nonlinear minimax problems."* *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series 23.3 (2007): 395-410* .

[22] He, Suxiang, and Yunyun Nie *"A class of nonlinear Lagrangian algorithms for minimax problems."* *Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization 9.1 (2013): 75-97.* .

[23] Charalambous, Conn, and A. R. Conn. *"An efficient method to solve the minimax problem directly." SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 15.1 (1978): 162-187.* .

[24] Bagirov, A. M., A. Al Nuaimat, and N. Sultanova *"Hyperbolic smoothing function method for minimax problems." Optimization 62.6 (2013): 759-782* .

[25] Zhu, Zhibin, Xiang Cai, and Jinbao Jian *"An improved SQP algorithm for solving minimax problems." Applied Mathematics Letters 22.4 (2009): 464-469* .

[26] Polak, E., R. S. Womersley, and H. X. Yin *"An algorithm based on active sets and smoothing for discretized semi-infinite minimax problems." Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 138.2 (2008): 311-328* .

[27] Polak, E., J. O. Royset, and R. S. Womersley. *"Algorithms with adaptive smoothing for finite minimax problems." Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 119.3 (2003): 459-484.*

[28] Vogel, Curtis R. *Computational methods for inverse problems. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2002.*.