

ON NON-BAIRE SETS IN CATEGORY BASES

Sanjib Basu, Atasi Deb Ray, and Abhit Chandra Pramanik

ABSTRACT. In this paper , we prove some results related to non-Baire sets in Category bases.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2] the following two theorems were proved

THEOREM 1.1. *There corresponds to every non-measurable subset M of the real line , a subclass $\langle E \rangle$ of the class of all measurable sets of positive lebesgue measure (having the same cardinality as that of the later) such that $M \cap N$ is non-measurable for every $E \in \langle E \rangle$.*

THEOREM 1.2. *There corresponds to every non-Baire subset B of the real line , a subclass $\langle E \rangle$ of the class of all second category sets having Baire property(having the same cardinality as that of the later) such that $B \cap E$ is non-Baire for every $E \in \langle E \rangle$.*

The real line with its usual topology is second countable and this fact plays an effective role in proving the above two theorems. But topological spaces, let alone metric spaces in general are not second countable , so in order to extend the above two results in higher settings we need to develop some appropriate generalizations of second countability. Now second countability can be generalized by the aid of ‘measure zero cardinal’ in measure theoretic situations or by the use of σ -locally finite base in topological situations and these were used in [1] to give extensions of the above two theorems. But no extension which could unify the above two theorems was given in [1]. Here in this paper , we first give an unification of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in the setting of category bases. We then apply this unification and the Grzegorek’s unification [3], [9] of two theorems of Sierpiński [4], [5], [9] to give modified versions of two decomposition theorems of Ulam [9].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E20, 54E52, 54H99, 28A05.

Key words and phrases. Category base, non-Baire sets, $(\star)$ -property, point-meager base, Baire base, countable chain condition (CCC), test region, uniform non-Baire family.

The third author thanks the CSIR, New Delhi – 110001, India, for financial support.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND RESULTS

The idea of category base which is a generalization of both measure and topology and whose main objective is to present measure and category(topology) and also some other aspects of point set classification within a common framework was introduced by J.C.Morgan in the mid seventies of the last century and has developed since then through a series of papers [6], [7], [8] etc. We recall some of the basic definitions and results in this subject which may be found in the above references and also in [9].

DEFINITION 2.1. A category base is a pair $(X, \mathcal{C})$ where X is a set and $\mathcal{C}$ is a family of subsets of X , called regions satisfying the following set of axioms:

- (1) Every point of X belongs to some region; i.e., $X = \bigcup \mathcal{C}$
- (2) Let A be a region and $\mathcal{D}$ be a non-empty family of regions having cardinality less than the cardinality of $\mathcal{C}$
 - i) If $A \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D})$ contains a region, then there is a region $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $A \cap D$ contains a region.
 - ii) If $A \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D})$ contains no region, then there is a region $B \subseteq A$ that is disjoint from every region in $\mathcal{D}$.

DEFINITION 2.2. In a category base $(X, \mathcal{C})$, a set is called singular if every region contains a subregion which is disjoint from the set. Any set which can be expressed as countable union of singular sets is called meager. Otherwise, it is called abundant.

DEFINITION 2.3. In a category base $(X, \mathcal{C})$, a set S is called Baire if in every region, there is a subregion in which either S or its complement $X - S$ is meager.

Thus a set is non-Baire if there is a region in every subregion of which both the set and its complement are abundant. In this paper, we call this region a test region for the set, for it determines the non-Baireness of the set.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *If $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is a category base, $\mathcal{N}$ is a subfamily of $\mathcal{C}$ with the property that each region in $\mathcal{C}$ contains a region in $\mathcal{N}$ and $Y = \bigcup \mathcal{N}$, then $(Y, \mathcal{N})$ is also a category base and the $\mathcal{N}$ -singular sets coincide with $\mathcal{C}$ -singular subsets of Y . In addition, if U is a subset of Y and $Y - U$ is $\mathcal{N}$ -singular, then $X - U$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -singular.*

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If $(Y, \mathcal{N})$ is a category base, then there exists a disjoint subfamily $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ such that $Y - \bigcup \mathcal{M}$ is a singular set. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}$ may be so selected that for every region N , there exists a region $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $N \cap M$ contains a region.*

THEOREM 2.6. *Every abundant set in a category base $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is abundant in every subregion of some region.*

The above theorem is known as the "Fundamental Theorem".

We now formulate an unification of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 .To start with, we introduce

DEFINITION 2.7. A set A in a category base $(X, \mathcal{C})$ has the property $(\star)$ if there exists a region C such that for every subregion D of C and every set E which essentially contains D , $A \cap E$ is non-Baire.
(A set E contains D essentially means that $D - E$ is meager in the category base $(X, \mathcal{C})$)

THEOREM 2.8. *In any category base, every non-Baire set satisfies the $(\star)$ -property.*

PROOF. Let us assume that there is a non-Baire set A which does not have the $(\star)$ -property .This means that for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists $D \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $D \subseteq C$ and a set E such that D is essentially contained in E and $A \cap E$ is Baire. We may assume that $E \subseteq D$.

The collection of all such regions D taken together constitutes a subcollection $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ such that every region in $\mathcal{C}$ contains a region in $\mathcal{N}$. Let $Y = \bigcup \mathcal{N}$ and by Proposition 2.5 we can extract out a subfamily $\mathcal{M}$ (of $\mathcal{N}$) of mutually disjoint regions having the property stated therein. Consider enumerations $\{D_\alpha: \alpha < \Theta\}$ (of $\mathcal{M}$) , $\{E_\alpha: \alpha < \Theta\}$ of the above collections where Θ is the smallest ordinal whose cardinality is same as the cardinality of $\mathcal{M} - \{\emptyset\}$. Let $D^* = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Theta} D_\alpha$, $E^* = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Theta} E_\alpha$.

Clearly, $E^* \subseteq D^*$. By construction, $Y - D^*$ is $\mathcal{N}$ -singular and so by Proposition 2.4, $X - D^*$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -singular.

The set $A \cap E^*$ is Baire. Take any region $D \in \mathcal{N}$. Then there exists a region $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $D \cap D_\alpha$ contains a region $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}$. Since $A \cap E_\alpha$ is Baire, G_α contains a subregion $H_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ such that either $A \cap E_\alpha$ or its complement in H_α is meager. Since $A \cap E^* = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Theta} (A \cap E_\alpha)$ where the sets $A \cap E_\alpha$ are mutually disjoint, this implies that either $A \cap E^*$ or its complement in H_α is meager. But every region in $\mathcal{C}$ contains a region in $\mathcal{N}$. So $A \cap E^*$ is Baire.

The set $D^* - E^*$ is meager. Since $D_\alpha - E_\alpha$ is meager , we may write $D_\alpha - E_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^{(\alpha)}$ ($\alpha < \Theta$) where each $P_n^{(\alpha)}$ is singular. We choose n arbitrarily and fix it and using a procedure similar as above, find that every region contains a subregion $H_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ which is disjoint from $P_n^{(\alpha)}$ and hence from $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^{(\alpha)}$. As every region in $\mathcal{C}$ contains a subregion in $\mathcal{N}$, the set

$P_n = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Theta} P_n^{(\alpha)}$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -singular. Hence $D^* - E^* = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Theta} (D_\alpha - E_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Theta} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^{(\alpha)}$
 $= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\alpha < \Theta} P_n^{(\alpha)} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -singular. Therefore A is a Baire set in $(X, \mathcal{C})$ which is a contradiction . $\square$

DEFINITION 2.9. A category base $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is called point-meager if every singleton set in it is meager. It is a Baire base if every region is abundant.

The following two theorems were proved by Sierpiński [4], [5], [9]. Here $\aleph_0$ and $\aleph_1$ represent the first infinite and the first uncountable cardinals.

THEOREM 2.10. *If $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$, then every subset of $\mathbb{R}$ which is of second category in every interval contains an uncountable family of disjoint sets each of which is of second category in every interval.*

THEOREM 2.11. *If $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$, then every subset of $\mathbb{R}$ with positive lebesgue outer measure contains an uncountable family of disjoint sets each of which has the same measure as the given set.*

Grzegorek [3], [9] unified the above two theorems in point-meager, Baire bases.

THEOREM 2.12. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C})$ be a point-meager, Baire base. If $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies CCC (countable chain condition) and every region has cardinality $\aleph_1$, then every abundant set S can be decomposed into an uncountable family of disjoint sets each of which is abundant in every region in which S is abundant.*

Using Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.12, we can give some modified versions of the following two decomposition theorems of Ulam [9].

THEOREM 2.13. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C})$ be a point-meager base. Then every abundant set of cardinality $\aleph_1$ can be decomposed into an uncountable family of disjoint abundant sets.*

THEOREM 2.14. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C})$ be a point-meager base. Then every set of cardinality $\aleph_1$ which is not a Baire set can be decomposed into an uncountable family of sets none of which is a Baire set.*

From Theorem 2.12, it follows that for every non-Baire set S in $(X, \mathcal{C})$, there corresponds a family $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Omega}$ (Ω is the first ordinal of cardinality $\aleph_1$) consisting of mutually disjoint sets S_α each of which is abundant in every region in which S is abundant. But S satisfies property $(\star)$ by Theorem 2.8. Hence there exists a region in every subregion of which every S_α and its complement are abundant proving that

THEOREM 2.15. *If $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is a point-meager, Baire base where $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies CCC and every region has cardinality $\aleph_1$, then every non-Baire set of cardinality $\aleph_1$ can be decomposed into an uncountable family of non-Baire sets which is a uniformly non-Baire family in the sense that there is a common test region for all the members in the family.*

But by Ulam's matrix [10], [9], every abundant set contains a non-Baire set. So from the above theorem it follows that

THEOREM 2.16. *If $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is a point-meager, Baire base where $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies CCC and every region has cardinality $\aleph_1$, then every abundant set of cardinality $\aleph_1$ can be decomposed into an uncountable family of non-Baire sets which is a uniformly non-Baire family in the sense that there is a common test region for all the members in the family.*

Now depending on the following definition and result, Theorem 2.15 and Theorem 2.16 may be expressed in another equivalent form.

DEFINITION 2.17. In a category base $(X, \mathcal{C})$, a set A is called completely non-Baire in a region D if for every Baire set B such that $B \cap D$ is abundant, both $A \cap B$ and $(D - A) \cap B$ are abundant.

THEOREM 2.18. *In any Baire base, a set is non-Baire if and only if it is completely non-Baire in some region.*

PROOF. Let A be a non-Baire set in a Baire base $(X, \mathcal{C})$. Then according to the definition, there is a region D in $\mathcal{C}$ in every subregion of which both A and its complement $(X - A)$ are abundant. Let B be any Baire set such that $B \cap D$ is abundant. Since every region is here a Baire set by hypothesis, we may assume that $B \subseteq D$. Again B being Baire, from the Fundamental theorem, it follows that there is a subregion C of D which is essentially contained in B . Consequently, both $B \cap A$ and $B \cap (D - A)$ are abundant.

Conversely, suppose A is completely non-Baire in a region D . Since by hypothesis every region is an abundant Baire set, both A and its complement are abundant in every subregion of D . Hence A is non-Baire. $\square$

THEOREM 2.19. *If $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is a point-meager, Baire base where $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies CCC and every region has cardinality $\aleph_1$, then every non-Baire set of cardinality $\aleph_1$ can be decomposed into an uncountable family of non-Baire set each of which is completely non-Baire in a region which can be chosen independently of the choice of members in the family.*

THEOREM 2.20. *If $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is a point-meager, Baire base where $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies CCC and every region has cardinality $\aleph_1$, then every abundant set of cardinality $\aleph_1$ can be decomposed into an uncountable family of non-Baire sets each of which is completely non-Baire in a region which can be chosen independently of the choice of the members in the family.*

NOTE: From Theorem 2.8 it follows that in any Baire base $(X, \mathcal{C})$ there corresponds to every non-Baire set A , a subclass $\langle E \rangle$ of the class of abundant Baire sets such that $A \cap E$ is non-Baire for every $E \in \langle E \rangle$. An explicit description of this subclass is also given. But in this general situation, it is not possible to give an indication as to what the cardinality of this subclass should be. However, if the category base is a perfect base[9], then since there exists in it a singular set having the

cardinality of continuum (Th 36, Ch 5, [9]), the cardinality of the subclass will be same as the cardinality of the class of all abundant Baire sets.

References

- [1] S.Basu, *A property related to non-measurable and non-Baire sets*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol3, 2009, no 43, 2113-2118.
- [2] D.K.Ganguly and S.Basu, *A note on non-measurable sets*, Soochow J.Math, Vol 20, No1, 1994, 57-59.
- [3] E.Grzegorek, *On a paper of Karel Prikry concerning Ulam's problem on families of measures*, Collaq. Math. 42, 1979, 197-208.
- [4] W.Sierpiński, *Sur les ensembles partout de deuxième catégorie*, Fund.Math.22, 1934a, 1-3.
- [5], *Sur une propriété des ensembles linéaires quelconques*, Fund. Math.23, 1934c, 125-134.
- [6] J.C.MorganII, *Baire category from an abstract viewpoint*, Fund. Math. 94(1976).
- [7], *Infinite games and singular sets*, Collaq. Math. 29, 1974, 7-17.
- [8], *On zero-one law*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, Vol 62, No 2, Feb 1977, 353-358.
- [9], *Point set theory*, Marcel Dekker, Inc, 1990.
- [10] J.C.Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BETHUNE COLLEGE, 181 BIDHAN SARANI

Email address: sanjibbasu08@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA,
35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOLKATA 700019, WEST BENGAL,
INDIA

Email address: debrayatasi@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA,
35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOLKATA 700019, WEST BENGAL,
INDIA

Email address: abhit.pramanik@gmail.com