

CONNES INTEGRATION FORMULA WITHOUT SINGULAR TRACES

F. SUKOCHEV AND D. ZANIN

ABSTRACT. A version of Connes Integration Formula which provides concrete asymptotics of the eigenvalues is given. This radically extending the class of quantum-integrable functions on compact Riemannian manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditional noncommutative integration theory is based on normal linear functionals on von Neumann algebras, see [26] and the monographs [5], [23], [32] (among many). So it is somewhat surprising, and a disparity, that the formula (for some trace φ on the ideal $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ and for some fixed $T \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$)

$$(1) \quad \varpi(A) = \varphi(AT), \quad A \in B(H),$$

with its obscured normality, and not the formula (for some fixed $T \in \mathcal{L}_1$)

$$\varpi(A) = \text{Tr}(AT), \quad A \in B(H),$$

appears as the analogue of integration in noncommutative geometry. That it does is due to numerous results of A. Connes achieved with the Dixmier trace, see [7], §IV in [6] and [8] (as a sample). In Connes' noncommutative geometry the formula (1) has been termed the noncommutative integral, see e.g. p.297 in [13] or p.478 in [14], due to the link to noncommutative residues in differential geometry described by the theorem of Connes, see Theorem 1 in [7] or Theorem 7.18 on p.293 in [13]. Below, we describe the special case of Connes theorem, known as Connes Integration Formula.

Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold (see e.g. p.11 in [24]) and let vol_g be the Riemannian volume (see e.g. p.15 in [24]). Let Δ_g be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on X (see Section 2.4 in [33]). An integration formula due to Connes¹ reads as follows.

$$\varphi(M_f(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d(2\pi)^d} \int_X f d\text{vol}_g, \quad f \in C^\infty(X),$$

where vol_g is the volume form corresponding to the Riemannian metric g and where φ is a positive normalised trace on the ideal $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$. By the tracial property, one can rewrite the preceding formula as

$$\varphi((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d(2\pi)^d} \int_X f d\text{vol}_g, \quad f \in C^\infty(X).$$

The following question was asked by Connes during his 70-th anniversary conference.

¹Connes used a special class of traces known as Dixmier traces. The formula, as stated, appears in Theorem 11.7.10 in [21].

Question 1.1. *Is it possible to prove directly an asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues of the operator*

$$M_f(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \text{ or } (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$$

which allows to deduce the Integration Formula without involving ultrafilters (extended limits, Banach limits or similar tools)?

In this paper, we answer the Question 1.1 in the affirmative. In fact, the following result radically extends the class of functions which admit a non-commutative integral. It allows to write Connes Integration Formula without using singular traces.

Consider the finite measure space (X, vol_g) . Let $M(t) = t \log(e + t)$, $t > 0$, and let $L_M(X, \text{vol}_g)$ be the Orlicz space associated with this function.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let Δ_g be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. For every real-valued $f \in L_M(X, \text{vol}_g)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(t, \left((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}\right)_+\right) &= \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d(2\pi)^d} \int_X f_+ d\text{vol}_g, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(t, \left((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}\right)_-\right) &= \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d(2\pi)^d} \int_X f_- d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $t \rightarrow \mu(t, T)$ is the singular value function of the operator T (see the definition in Subsection 2.1).

Theorem 1.2 strenghtens/complements a number of earlier results in the literature (e.g. Theorems 2.8 and 5.9 in [19], Theorem 1.7 in [17], Theorem 11.7.10 in [21], Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [20]).

We caution the reader that the symmetric form of Connes Integration Formula is necessary if one wants to integrate the functions which do not belong to $L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$. For functions from $L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$, the (asymmetric) integration formula appeared in Corollary 7.24 in [17] (see also Theorem 11.7.10 in [21]). It is established in Theorem 2.5 in [19] that, for $f \notin L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$, the operator $M_f(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$ cannot belong to \mathcal{L}_2 (and, therefore, to $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$). Thus, Theorem 1.2 yields a non-trivial extension of integration formulae from [19] and [17] to the realm where the latter formulae are false.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on a number of recent advances and discovery of a connection of old Birman and Solomyak results with our theme.

In [29], Solomyak proved the following specific Cwikel-type estimate in even dimension:

$$(2) \quad \left\| M_f(1 - \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^d})^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{2,\infty} \leq cd \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}}, \quad f \in L_M^{(2)}(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}$ is the weak Hilbert-Schmidt class and $L_M^{(2)}$ is the 2-convexification of the Orlicz space L_M . This result was extended to an arbitrary dimension in [31].

In this paper, we extend the estimate (2) to an arbitrary compact Riemannian manifold.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let Δ_g be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. For every $f \in L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)$, we have*

$$\left\| M_f(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{2,\infty} \leq C_{X,g} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}}.$$

In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.2 and compare it with the work of Birman and Solomyak [2].

For Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , the following asymptotic formula was established by Birman and Solomyak [2]. If $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > 2q$, $q > 1$, is a positive compactly supported function, then Theorem 1 in [2] (taken with $\Phi = 1$ and $\alpha = -\frac{d}{q'}$) yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{q}} \mu \left(n, M_{f^{\frac{1}{2}}} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d})^{-\frac{d}{2q}} M_{f^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) = c_q \|f\|_q.$$

Should such a formula hold for $q = 1$, it would imply a version of Theorem 1.2 for compactly supported functions on Euclidean spaces.

However, we are working on a compact manifold, not on Euclidean space and we do not have the Birman-Solomyak asymptotic formula for $p = 1$. For these reasons, our approach below is very different to that in [2].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Trace ideals. The following material is standard; for more details we refer the reader to [21, 28]. Let H be a complex separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and let $B(H)$ denote the set of all bounded operators on H , and let $K(H)$ denote the ideal of compact operators on H . Given $T \in K(H)$, the sequence of singular values $\mu(T) = \{\mu(k, T)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is defined as:

$$\mu(k, T) = \inf \{ \|T - R\|_\infty : \text{rank}(R) \leq k \}.$$

It is often convenient to identify the sequence $(\mu(k, T))_{k \geq 0}$ with a step function $\sum_{k \geq 0} \mu(k, T) \chi_{(k, k+1]}$.

Let $p \in (0, \infty)$. The weak Schatten class $\mathcal{L}_{p, \infty}$ is the set of operators T such that $\mu(T)$ is in the weak L_p -space $l_{p, \infty}$, with the quasi-norm:

$$\|T\|_{p, \infty} = \sup_{k \geq 0} (k+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(k, T) < \infty.$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{L}_{p, \infty}$ is an ideal in $B(H)$. We also have the following form of Hölder's inequality,

$$(3) \quad \|TS\|_{r, \infty} \leq c_{p, q} \|T\|_{p, \infty} \|S\|_{q, \infty}$$

where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$, for some constant $c_{p, q}$. Indeed, this follows from the definition of these quasi-norms and the inequality (see e.g. [11, Proposition 1.6], [12, Corollary 2.2])

$$\mu(2n, TS) \leq \mu(n, T) \mu(n, S), \quad n \geq 0.$$

The closure of the set of all finite rank operators in $\mathcal{L}_{p, \infty}$ is called the separable part of $\mathcal{L}_{p, \infty}$ and is denoted by $(\mathcal{L}_{p, \infty})_0$.

The ideal of particular interest is $\mathcal{L}_{1, \infty}$, and we are concerned with traces on this ideal. For more details, see [21, Section 5.7] and [27]. A linear functional $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{1, \infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a trace if it is unitarily invariant. That is, for all unitary operators U and for all $T \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \infty}$ we have that $\varphi(U^*TU) = \varphi(T)$. It follows that for all bounded operators B we have $\varphi(BT) = \varphi(TB)$.

Every trace $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{1, \infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ vanishes on the ideal of finite rank operators (such traces are called singular). In fact, φ vanishes on the ideal \mathcal{L}_1 (see [10] or [21]). For the state of the art in the theory of singular traces and their applications in Non-commutative Geometry, we refer the reader to the survey [22].

2.2. Sobolev spaces on compact manifolds. In our definition of Sobolev space on compact manifolds, we follow [33].

Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let vol_g be the Riemannian volume. If $u \in L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$, we say $u \in W^{s,2}(X, \text{vol}_g)$ provided that, on each chart $U \subset X$, every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$, the element ϕu belongs to $W^{s,2}(U)$ (if U is identified with its image in \mathbb{R}^d). By the invariance under coordinate changes derived in Section 4.2 in [33], it suffices to work with any single coordinate cover of X . If $s = m$, a nonnegative integer, then $W^{m,2}(X, \text{vol}_g)$ is equal to the set of all $u \in L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$ such that, for any smooth vector fields $(X_l)_{l=1}^m$, we have $X_1 \cdots X_l u \in L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$.

The following theorem is stated in Section 4.3 in [33]. For the details of complex interpolation, we refer to the book [18].

Theorem 2.1. *For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, for every $0 < \theta < 1$, we have*

$$[L_2(X, \text{vol}_g), W^{m,2}(X, \text{vol}_g)]_\theta = W^{m\theta,2}(X, \text{vol}_g).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Having Theorem 1.1 in [31] at hands, we derive Theorem 1.3. This part is based on and simultaneously improves upon the similar argument in [20].

We begin with the simplest case of Theorem 1.3 when the manifold X is given by \mathbb{T}^d with the flat metric.

Lemma 3.1. *If $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then*

$$\|M_f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d))} \leq c_d \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}}.$$

Proof. We view the operator

$$(M_f)_{W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)}$$

as a combination

$$\left(M_f (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \circ (1 - \Delta)^{\frac{d}{4}}_{W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

By definition, the operator

$$(1 - \Delta)^{\frac{d}{4}}_{W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)}$$

is an isometry between Hilbert spaces, and therefore

$$\|M_f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d))} = \left\| M_f (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d))}.$$

Obviously,

$$\left\| M_f (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{2,\infty}^2 = \left\| \left\| M_f (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{1,\infty}^2 \right\| = \left\| (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_{|f|^2} (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{1,\infty}.$$

Appealing now to Theorem 1.1 in [31], we obtain

$$\left\| M_f (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{2,\infty}^2 \leq c_d \| |f|^2 \|_{L_M} = c_d \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}}^2.$$

Combining three preceding displays, we complete the proof. \square

Since X is a compact manifold, we may assume without loss of generality that our atlas consists of finitely many charts. Furthermore, we assume that, for each chart (U, γ) in our atlas, the set $\gamma(U)$ is bounded. Thus, $\gamma(U)$ is compactly supported in a sufficiently large open box $(-N, N)^d$. By applying a dilation if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $\gamma(U)$ is compactly supported in $(-\pi, \pi)^d$. By identifying the edges of $(-\pi, \pi)^d$, we may view γ as a continuous function $\gamma : U \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$.

Further, we assume that, in every chart (U, γ) from our atlas, the metric tensor is bounded from above and from below. Hence, the measure $\text{vol}_g \circ \gamma^{-1}$ is equivalent to the Haar measure in the following sense: those measures are mutually absolutely continuous and Radon-Nikodym derivatives are bounded. These considerations yield the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (U, γ) be a chart.*

- (i) *A linear mapping $U_\gamma : L_2(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ defined by the formula*

$$U_\gamma \xi = \chi_{\gamma(U)} \cdot (\xi \circ \gamma^{-1}), \quad \xi \in L_2(X, g),$$

is bounded;

- (ii) *A linear mapping $V_\gamma : L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$ defined by the formula*

$$V_\gamma \xi = \chi_U \cdot (\xi \circ \gamma), \quad \xi \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d),$$

is bounded;

Lemma 3.3. *Let (U, γ) be a chart and let U_γ be as in Lemma 3.2. For every $f \in L_\infty(X, g)$, we have*

$$\|U_\gamma f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C_{U, \gamma} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

Our next lemma is a variant of Lemma 3.2 for Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (U, γ) be a chart and let U_γ be as in Lemma 3.2. If $\phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$, then*

$$U_\gamma M_\phi : W^{\frac{d}{2}, 2}(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow W^{\frac{d}{2}, 2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$$

is everywhere defined bounded mapping.

Proof. This is, essentially, a definition of Sobolev space $W^{\frac{d}{2}, 2}(X, \text{vol}_g)$ (see Subsection 2.2 above). \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let (U, γ) be a chart and let $K \subset U$ be compact. For every $f \in L_\infty(X, \text{vol}_g)$ supported in K , we have*

$$\left\| M_f \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2, \infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2}, 2}(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X, \text{vol}_g))} \leq C_{K, U, \gamma, X, g} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

Proof. Let U_γ and V_γ be as in Lemma 3.2. Note that

$$V_\gamma U_\gamma = M_{\chi_U}.$$

Choose $\phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$ such that $\phi = 1$ on K . We write

$$M_f = M_f M_\phi, \quad M_f = M_f V_\gamma U_\gamma, \quad M_f = V_\gamma U_\gamma M_f.$$

Thus,

$$M_f = V_\gamma U_\gamma M_f V_\gamma U_\gamma M_\phi = V_\gamma \cdot U_\gamma M_f V_\gamma \cdot U_\gamma M_\phi = V_\gamma \cdot M_{U_\gamma f} \cdot U_\gamma M_\phi.$$

Hence,

$$\|M_f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X,\text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X,\text{vol}_g))} \leq ABC,$$

where

$$A = \|V_\gamma\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(X,\text{vol}_g)}, \quad B = \|M_{U_\gamma f}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d))}, \quad \text{and} \\ C = \|U_\gamma M_\phi\|_{W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X,\text{vol}_g) \rightarrow W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

The first factor A is finite by Lemma 3.2 (it depends on X , U and γ). The third factor C is finite by Lemma 3.4 (it depends not only on X , U and γ , but also on ϕ and, hence, on K). It follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 that

$$B = \|M_{U_\gamma f}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^d))} \leq c_d \|U_\gamma f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq c_d C_{U,\gamma} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X,\text{vol}_g)}.$$

Combining these estimates, we complete the proof. \square

The next lemma extends the result of Lemma 3.5 by removing the assumption that f is supported in a chart.

Lemma 3.6. *If $f \in L_\infty(X, g)$, then*

$$\|M_f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X,\text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X,\text{vol}_g))} \leq C_{X,g} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X,\text{vol}_g)}.$$

Proof. Let $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^N$ be a partition of unity subordinate to an atlas of bounded charts (U_k, γ_k) . We write

$$M_f = \sum_{i=k}^N M_{f\phi_k}.$$

Recall the triangle inequality in $\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}$ (it can be found e.g. in [21]):

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \right\|_{2,\infty} \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k\|_{2,\infty}.$$

Thus,

$$\|M_f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X,\text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X,\text{vol}_g))} \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \|M_{f\phi_k}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X,\text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X,\text{vol}_g))}.$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\|M_{f\phi_k}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X,\text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X,\text{vol}_g))} \leq C_{\text{supp}(\phi_k), U_k, \gamma_k, X, g} \|f\phi_k\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X,\text{vol}_g)}.$$

Thus,

$$\|M_f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X,\text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X,\text{vol}_g))} \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^N C_{\text{supp}(\phi_k), U_k, \gamma_k, X, g} \|f\phi_k\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X,\text{vol}_g)} \\ \leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^N C_{E, \text{supp}(\phi_k), U_k, \gamma_k, X, g} \|\phi_k\|_\infty \right) \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X,\text{vol}_g)}.$$

\square

Not every author defines Sobolev space on compact manifolds as in [33]. An equally important definition is via powers of Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g . Those definitions are known to be equivalent. We only need one side of this equivalence as established in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *For every $s > 0$, the operator $(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{s}{2}}$ is a well defined and bounded mapping from $L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$ to $W^{s,2}(X, \text{vol}_g)$.*

Proof. Let H be a Hilbert space and let $P : \text{dom}(P) \rightarrow H$ be self-adjoint operator. Suppose, in addition, that $P \geq 1$. The space $H_s = \text{dom}(P^s)$ becomes a Hilbert space when equipped with the norm $\xi \rightarrow \|P^s \xi\|$, $\xi \in H^s$. It is immediate from this definition that $P^{-s} : H \rightarrow H_s$. Note the complex interpolation²

$$[H_{s_1}, H_{s_2}]_\theta = H_{(1-\theta)s_1 + \theta s_2}, \quad s_1 \neq s_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

In particular,

$$[H_0, H_m]_\theta = H_{\theta m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Now, let $H = L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$ and $P = (1 - \Delta_g)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Obviously, $H_{2m} = \text{dom}((1 - \Delta_g)^m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By elliptic regularity, $\text{dom}((1 - \Delta_g)^m) \subset W^{2m,2}(X, \text{vol}_g)$ (see e.g. Theorem 19.5.1 in [15]). By Gårding inequality (see e.g. Theorem 2.44 in [24]), we have

$$\|(1 - \Delta_g)^m u\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} \approx_{m,g} \|u\|_{W^{2m,2}(X, \text{vol}_g)}, \quad u \in W^{2m,2}(X, \text{vol}_g).$$

Thus, $H_{2m} = W^{2m,2}(X, \text{vol}_g)$. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$[L_2(X, \text{vol}_g), W^{2m,2}(X, \text{vol}_g)]_\theta = W^{2\theta m,2}(X, \text{vol}_g), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Take $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, choose integer $2m > s$ and let $\theta = \frac{s}{2m}$. We have

$$H_s = W^{s,2}(X, \text{vol}_g), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

It follows immediately that

$$(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{s}{2}} = P^{-s} : L_2(X, \text{vol}_g) = H \rightarrow H_s = W^{s,2}(X, \text{vol}_g), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Step 1: Suppose first that $f \in L_\infty(X, g)$. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right)_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} &= (M_f)_{W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} \\ &\circ \left((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right)_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X, \text{vol}_g)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.7,

$$\left((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right)_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X, \text{vol}_g)}$$

is bounded. It follows that

$$\left\| M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_g))} \leq AB, \quad \text{where}$$

$$A = \left\| M_f \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(X, \text{vol}_g))}, \quad B = \left\| (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow W^{\frac{d}{2},2}(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

The assertion follows now from Lemma 3.6.

Step 2: Suppose now that $f \in L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)$. We claim that the operator

$$M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$$

²By the spectral theorem, it suffices to check it when $H = L_2(\Omega, \nu)$ and when P is a multiplication operator. In this case, it is a standard result about complex interpolation of weighted L_2 -spaces (see Theorem 5.4.1 in [1]).

is everywhere defined and bounded on $L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$. Moreover, we claim that

$$\left\| M_f(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_\infty \leq C_{X,g} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

For every $\xi \in L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$, the function

$$f \cdot (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \xi$$

is well-defined and measurable (as a product of two measurable functions). We show that this function belongs to $L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$ (and also establish the estimate for its L_2 -norm from the above). Set

$$f_n = \min\{|f|, n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows from the Fatou Theorem that

$$\|f \cdot (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n \cdot (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Step 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n \cdot (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} &\leq \|M_{f_n}(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}\|_\infty \|\xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} \leq \\ &\leq \|M_{f_n}(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}\|_{2,\infty} \|\xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} \leq \\ &\leq C_{X,g} \|f_n\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)} \|\xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} \leq C_{X,g} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)} \|\xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|f \cdot (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)} \leq C_{X,g} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)} \|\xi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

This proves the claim.

Step 3: It follows from Step 1 that

$$\left\| M_{f_n}(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_g))} \leq C_{X,g} \|f_n\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)} \leq C_{X,g} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

Using Step 2, we obtain

$$\left\| M_{f_n}(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} - M_{|f|}(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_\infty \leq C_{E,X,g} \|f_n - |f|\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

Thus,

$$M_{f_n}(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \rightarrow M_{|f|}(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$$

in the uniform norm as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the Fatou property (see [28] and [21]) for the space $\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}$, it follows that

$$\left\| M_{|f|}(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_g))} \leq C_{X,g} \|f\|_{L_M^{(2)}(X, \text{vol}_g)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

4. ABSTRACT LEMMAS ON THE ASYMPTOTICS OF EIGENVALUES

The starting point is the assertion known (in various forms) since at least 1940's.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(T_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ be such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T_n) = \alpha_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

If $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, then $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) = \alpha.$$

Proof. To lighten the notations, we assume without loss of generality that $\|T_n\|_{1,\infty} \leq 1$ for $n \geq 0$. This also means that $\|T\|_{1,\infty} \leq 1$.

Step 1: We show that $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges (its limit will be denoted by α).

Fix $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and choose N such that $\|T_n - T_m\|_{1,\infty} \leq \epsilon^2$ for $n, m \geq N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t, T_n) &= \mu(t, T_m + (T_n - T_m)) \leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T_m\right) + \mu\left(\frac{t\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}, T_n - T_m\right) \leq \\ &\leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T_m\right) + \frac{1+\epsilon}{t\epsilon} \cdot \|T_m - T_n\|_{1,\infty} \leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T_m\right) + \frac{2\epsilon}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T_n) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T_m\right) + 2\epsilon.$$

In other words,

$$\alpha_n \leq (1+\epsilon)\alpha_m + 2\epsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\alpha_n - \alpha_m \leq 2\epsilon + \epsilon\alpha_m \leq \epsilon + \epsilon\|T_m\|_{1,\infty} \leq 3\epsilon.$$

Similarly,

$$\alpha_m - \alpha_n \leq 3\epsilon.$$

Finally,

$$|\alpha_m - \alpha_n| \leq 3\epsilon, \quad m, n \geq N.$$

Thus, $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence and the claim in Step 1 follows.

Step 2: We show that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) \leq \alpha.$$

Fix $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and choose N such that $\|T_n - T\|_{1,\infty} \leq \epsilon^2$ for $n \geq N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t, T) &= \mu(t, T + (T - T_n)) \leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T_n\right) + \mu\left(\frac{t\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}, T - T_n\right) \leq \\ &\leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T_n\right) + \frac{1+\epsilon}{\epsilon t} \cdot \|T_n - T\|_{1,\infty} \leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T_n\right) + 2\epsilon t^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T_n\right) + 2\epsilon = (1+\epsilon)\alpha_n + 2\epsilon \leq \alpha_n + 3\epsilon.$$

Passing $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) \leq \alpha + 3\epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrarily small, the claim in Step 2 follows.

Step 3: We show that

$$\alpha \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T).$$

Fix $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and choose N such that $\|T_n - T\|_{1,\infty} \leq \epsilon^2$ for $n \geq N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t, T_n) &= \mu(t, T_n + (T_n - T)) \leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T\right) + \mu\left(\frac{t\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}, T_n - T\right) \leq \\ &\leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T\right) + \frac{1+\epsilon}{\epsilon t} \cdot \|T_n - T\|_{1,\infty} \leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T\right) + 2\epsilon t^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T_n) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T\right) + 2\epsilon = \\ &= (1+\epsilon) \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) + 2\epsilon \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) + 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Passing $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\alpha \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) + 3\epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrarily small, the claim in Step 3 follows.

Step 4: Combining the results of Steps 2 and 3, we write

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) \leq \alpha \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T).$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) = \alpha = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T).$$

The assertion follows immediately. \square

The following lemma is a by-product of recent studies of the authors jointly with J. Huang of operator θ -Holder functions for quasi-norms [16].

Lemma 4.2. *If $T, S \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ are self-adjoint operators, then*

$$\|T_+ - S_+\|_{1,\infty} \leq c_{\text{abs}} \|T - S\|_{1,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|T\|_{1,\infty} + \|S\|_{1,\infty})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Equation (7) in [16] taken with $f(t) = t_+^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and with $p = \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ reads as

$$|T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \prec\prec c_{\text{abs}} |T - S|^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

In particular,

$$\|T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{2,\infty} \leq c_{\text{abs}} \|T - S\|_{1,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is clear that

$$T_+ - S_+ = T_+^{\frac{1}{2}}(T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}})S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The assertion follows now from Hölder inequality. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $(T_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ be self-adjoint operators such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k\mu(k, (T_n)_+) = \alpha_n, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k\mu(k, (T_n)_-) = \beta_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

If $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, then $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$, $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k\mu(k, T_+) = \alpha, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k\mu(k, T_-) = \beta.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we have $(T_n)_+ \rightarrow T_+$ and $(T_n)_- \rightarrow T_-$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The assertion follows from Lemma 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ be self-adjoint elements such that $T - S \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0$. We have that $T_+ - S_+ \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0$.*

Proof. Equation (7) in [16] taken with $f(t) = t_+^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and with $p = \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ reads as

$$|T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \prec\prec c_{\text{abs}} |T - S|^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

That is,

$$(n+1)\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(n, T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(k, T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq c_{\text{abs}} \sum_{k=0}^n \mu^{\frac{1}{4}}(k, T - S) = o(n^{\frac{3}{4}})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In other words,

$$T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty})_0.$$

It is clear that

$$T_+ - S_+ = T_+^{\frac{1}{2}}(T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (T_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - S_+^{\frac{1}{2}})S_+^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The assertion follows now from Hölder inequality. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ be self-adjoint elements such that $T - S \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0$. If*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T) = \alpha, \text{ then } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, S) = \alpha.$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. We have

$$\mu(t, S) \leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, T\right) + \mu\left(\frac{\epsilon t}{1+\epsilon}, T - S\right) \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)\alpha}{t} + o(t^{-1}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, S) \leq (1+\epsilon)\alpha.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mu(t, T) \leq \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, S\right) + \mu\left(\frac{\epsilon t}{1+\epsilon}, T - S\right) = \mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, S\right) + o(t^{-1}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\alpha \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(\frac{t}{1+\epsilon}, S\right) = (1+\epsilon) \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, S).$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, S) \leq \alpha \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, S).$$

In other words,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, S) = \alpha = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, S).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Below, tensor product of sequences α and β is a double sequence given by the formula

$$(\alpha \otimes \beta)(k, l) = \alpha(k)\beta(l), \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Tensor product of a sequence and a function (on $(0, \infty)$) is defined similarly

$$(\alpha \otimes f)(k, s) = \alpha(k)f(s), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad s \in (0, \infty).$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $z(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$. For every finite sequence α , there exists a limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, z \otimes \alpha) = \|\alpha\|_1.$$

Proof. Let $Z(t) = t^{-1}$, $t > 0$. Note the key fact

$$\mu(Z \otimes \alpha) = \|\alpha\|_1 Z.$$

Clearly, $z \leq Z$. Thus,

$$(4) \quad t\mu(t, z \otimes \alpha) \leq t\mu(t, Z \otimes \alpha) = \|\alpha\|_1.$$

Suppose α is a sequence of length n . We have

$$\mu(t, Z \otimes \alpha) \leq \mu(n, Z\chi_{(0,1)} \otimes \alpha) + \mu(t - n, Z\chi_{(1,\infty)} \otimes \alpha), \quad t > n.$$

Obviously, $Z\chi_{(0,1)} \otimes \alpha$ is supported on a set of measure n . Thus,

$$\mu(n, Z\chi_{(0,1)} \otimes \alpha) = 0.$$

Obviously, $\mu(Z\chi_{(1,\infty)}) \leq z$. Thus,

$$\mu(t - n, Z\chi_{(1,\infty)} \otimes \alpha) \leq \mu(t - n, z \otimes \alpha).$$

Consequently,

$$(5) \quad \|\alpha\|_1 = t\mu(t, Z \otimes \alpha) \leq t\mu(t - n, z \otimes \alpha), \quad t > n.$$

The assertion follows by combining (4) and (5). \square

In the following lemma (and further below), the notation $\oplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} T_k$ is a shorthand for an element $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} T_k \otimes e_k$ in the von Neumann algebra $B(H) \bar{\otimes} l_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. Here, e_k is the unit vector having the only non-zero component on the k -th position.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $(T_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K} \subset \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ be such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, T_k) = \alpha_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(t, \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq K} T_k\right) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \alpha_k.$$

Proof. Let $z(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$. For every $1 \leq k \leq K$, choose $S_k \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ such that

$$\mu(S_k) = \alpha_k z,$$

and such that $S_k - T_k \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0$. We have

$$\mu\left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq K} S_k\right) = \mu\left(z \otimes \{\alpha_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq K}\right).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.6 that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(t, \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq K} S_k\right) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \alpha_k.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq K} S_k - \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq K} T_k \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0.$$

The assertion follows now from Lemma 4.5. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove an asymptotic formula for singular values in Theorem 1.2. It is modeled after the proof of Lemma 1 in [4].

We refer the reader to [25] for the theory of pseudo-differential operators.

Definition 5.1. *Pseudo-differential operator $Q : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called compactly supported if there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $M_\phi Q M_\phi = Q$.*

The definition below should be compared with the Definition 10.2.24 in [21].

Definition 5.2. *Pseudo-differential operator $Q : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the symbol q of order $\text{ord}(Q)$ is called classical if there exists a sequence $(q_n)_{n \leq \text{ord}(Q)}$ of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and sequence $(m_n)_{n \leq \text{ord}(Q)}$ of real numbers such that*

- (i) *sequence $(m_n)_{n \leq \text{ord}(Q)}$ is strictly increasing, $m_{\text{ord}(Q)} = \text{ord}(Q)$ and $m_n \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow -\infty$;*
- (ii) *for every $n \leq \text{ord}(Q)$, q_n is homogeneous of degree m_n in the second variable;*
- (iii) *for every $n \leq \text{ord}(Q)$, $q_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ (i.e., function and all its derivatives are bounded);*
- (iv) *for every $k \leq \text{ord}(Q)$, we have*

$$q - \sum_{n=k}^{\text{ord}(Q)} q_n \cdot (1 - \phi)$$

is a symbol of order m_{k-1} ;

Here, $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ does not depend on the first variable, is compactly supported in the second variable and equals 1 near 0.

The key ingredient is a re-statement of Theorems 1 and 2 in [3]. In the notation of [3], $m = d$, $\mu = 1$; a and c are smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^d compactly supported in the cube; b smooth (except at 0) function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ compactly supported in the first argument and homogeneous of degree $-d$ in the second argument (so that $\gamma = 1$ and $\tau_1 = \dots = \tau_d = 1$). The fact that a_γ is a Schur multiplier on $\mathcal{L}_{\beta, \infty}$ follows by writing $a|_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$ as Fourier series in spherical functions (see a similar argument in Lemma 8.1 in [30]). That is, we are in the conditions of the third part of Theorem 1 in [3]. That theorem together with Theorem 2 in [3] yields the assertion below.

Theorem 5.3. *Let Q be a classical compactly supported pseudo-differential operator of order $-d$ on \mathbb{R}^d . If Q is self-adjoint, then there exists a limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, Q).$$

Proof. By Definition 5.2, we can find a smooth (except at 0) homogeneous of degree $-d$ (in the second variable) function $q_{-d} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, a smooth compactly supported function ϕ on \mathbb{R}^d such that $\phi = 1$ near 0 and a pseudo-differential operator R of order $-d - \epsilon$ such that

$$Q = T_{q_{-d}} \cdot (1 - \phi)(\nabla) + R.$$

Since Q is compactly supported, it follows that there exists smooth compactly supported function ψ on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$Q = M_\psi Q M_\psi = M_\psi T_{q_{-d}} (1 - \phi)(\nabla) M_\psi + M_\psi R M_\psi.$$

Since Q is self-adjoint, it follows that

$$Q = \Re(M_\psi T_{q-d}(1 - \phi)(\nabla)M_\psi) + \Re(M_\psi R M_\psi).$$

Note that $P = R(1 - \Delta)^{\frac{d+\epsilon}{2}}$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order 0. Hence, $M_\psi P$ is bounded.

$$M_\psi R M_\psi = M_\psi P \cdot (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{d+\epsilon}{2}} M_\psi \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{d+\epsilon}, \infty} \subset (\mathcal{L}_{1, \infty})_0.$$

Theorem 2 in [3] asserts the existence of the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, \Re(M_\psi T_{q-d}(1 - \phi)(\nabla)M_\psi)).$$

The assertion follows now from Lemma 4.5. \square

Now, we want a similar result for compact manifolds.

Definition 5.4. *Pseudo-differential operator $P : \mathcal{S}(X, g) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, g)$ is called compactly supported in the chart (U, γ) if there exists a smooth function ϕ compactly supported in this chart such that $M_\phi P M_\phi = P$.*

Definition 5.5. *Pseudo-differential operator $P : \mathcal{S}(X, g) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, g)$ is called classical if, for every chart (U, γ) and for every smooth function ϕ compactly supported in this chart, the operator $M_\phi P M_\phi$ becomes classical when expressed in local coordinates.*

Let (U, γ) be a chart. A linear mapping $W_\gamma : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$ defined by the formula

$$W_\gamma \xi = \chi_U \cdot ((\xi \cdot \det(g^{-\frac{1}{2}})) \circ \gamma), \quad \xi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

is an isometry.

Lemma 5.6. *Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let P be a classical pseudo-differential operator of order $-d$ on (X, g) . If P is self-adjoint and compactly supported in the chart (U, γ) , then there exists a limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, P).$$

Proof. It is immediate that $\mu(P) = \mu(Q)$, where $Q = W_\gamma^* P W_\gamma$. Since P is a pseudo-differential operator of order $-d$ compactly supported in the chart (U, γ) , it follows that Q is a compactly supported pseudo-differential operator of order $-d$ on \mathbb{R}^d . P is classical, hence so is Q . The assertion follows now from Theorem 5.3. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let P be a classical pseudo-differential operator of order $-d$ on (X, g) . If P is self-adjoint, then there exists a limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, P).$$

Proof. Fix a finite atlas on (X, g) . Choose a sequence $(\phi_{n,k})_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq K \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}}} \subset C^\infty(X)$ such that

(1) we have

$$\phi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \phi_{n,k} \rightarrow 1$$

in $L_2(X, \text{vol}_g)$.

(2) for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for every $1 \leq k \leq K$, $\phi_{n,k}$ is compactly supported in some chart.

(3) for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sets $(\text{supp}(\phi_{n,k}))_{1 \leq k \leq K}$ are separated.

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write

$$M_{\phi_n} P M_{\phi_n} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} M_{\phi_n, k} P M_{\phi_n, k} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K \\ k_1 \neq k_2}} M_{\phi_n, k_1} P M_{\phi_n, k_2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_n + B_n.$$

If $k_1 \neq k_2$, then

$$M_{\phi_n, k_1} P M_{\phi_n, k_2} = [M_{\phi_n, k_1}, P] M_{\phi_n, k_2}$$

is a pseudo-differential operator of order $-d-1$. In particular, it belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{d+1}, \infty}$.

Thus, $B_n \in (\mathcal{L}_{1, \infty})_0$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\mu(A_n) = \mu\left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq K} M_{\phi_n, k} P M_{\phi_n, k}\right).$$

Each operator $M_{\phi_n, k} P M_{\phi_n, k}$ is self-adjoint, classical and compactly supported in some chart. By Lemma 5.6, there exists a limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, M_{\phi_n, k} P M_{\phi_n, k}).$$

By Fact 4.7, there exists a limit

$$(6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, A_n).$$

Recall that $B_n \in (\mathcal{L}_{1, \infty})_0$. Combining (6) and Corollary 4.5, we infer the existence of the limit

$$(7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu(t, M_{\phi_n} P M_{\phi_n}).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P - M_{\phi_n} P M_{\phi_n}\|_{1, \infty} &\leq 2\|M_{1-\phi_n} P\|_{1, \infty} + 2\|P M_{1-\phi_n}\|_{1, \infty} \\ &\leq 2\left\|M_{1-\phi_n} (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}\right\|_{1, \infty} \cdot \left(\left\|(1 - \Delta_g)^{\frac{d}{2}} P\right\|_{\infty} + \left\|P (1 - \Delta_g)^{\frac{d}{2}}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\ &\leq c_{X, g} \|1 - \phi_n\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $M_{\phi_n} P M_{\phi_n} \rightarrow P$ in $\mathcal{L}_{1, \infty}$. The assertion follows now by combining (7) and Corollary 4.3. \square

The proof of the next lemma is based on a deep result from [10].

Lemma 5.8. *Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let Δ_g be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. For every $f \in L_{\infty}(X, \text{vol}_g)$ and for every normalised continuous trace on $\mathcal{L}_{1, \infty}$, we have*

$$\varphi((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d(2\pi)^d} \int_X f d\text{vol}_g.$$

Proof. Let

$$A = (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}, \quad B = M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}.$$

By Theorem 1.3, we have $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_{2, \infty}$. In particular, $AB, BA \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \infty}$ and

$$[A, B] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} AB - BA \in [\mathcal{L}_{2, \infty}, \mathcal{L}_{2, \infty}].$$

Here, the notation $[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}]$ stands for the linear span of all commutators $[X, Y]$, $X \in \mathcal{I}$, $Y \in \mathcal{J}$. It is a deep result, proved in [10] (see e.g. p.3 there) that

$$[\mathcal{L}_{2, \infty}, \mathcal{L}_{2, \infty}] = [\mathcal{L}_{1, \infty}, \mathcal{L}_{\infty}].$$

Since φ vanishes on $[\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}, \mathcal{L}_\infty]$, it follows that φ vanishes on $[\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}, \mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}]$. In particular, $\varphi([A, B]) = 0$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}) &= \varphi(AB) = \\ &= \varphi(BA) = \varphi(M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}).\end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows now from Theorem 11.7.10 in [21]. \square

Based on Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8, we are able to prove Theorem 1.2 for positive bounded functions.

Lemma 5.9. *Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let $0 \leq f \in L_\infty(X, \text{vol}_g)$. We have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(t, (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}\right) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d(2\pi)^d} \int_X f d\text{vol}_g.$$

Proof. Choose a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L_M(X, \text{vol}_g)$. By Theorem 1.3, we have

$$(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_{f_n} (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \rightarrow (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$$

in $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Operators

$$(1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_{f_n} (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

are self-adjoint and pseudo-differential. By Lemma 5.7, there exists a limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(t, (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_{f_n} (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}\right) = \alpha_n.$$

By Lemma 4.1, there exists a limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu\left(t, (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}\right) = \alpha.$$

Hence, for every continuous normalised trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, we have

$$\varphi\left((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}\right) = \alpha.$$

The assertion follows now from Lemma 5.8. \square

The proof of the next lemma exploits recent advances in Birman-Koplienko-Solomyak inequality for quasi-Banach ideals.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ be self-adjoint elements. If $[A, B] \in (\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty})_0$, then*

$$(ABA)_+ - AB_+A \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}|ABA|^2 - (A|B|A)^2 &= (ABA)^2 - (A|B|A)^2 \\ &= A \cdot BA \cdot AB \cdot A - A \cdot |B|A \cdot A|B| \cdot A \\ &= A \cdot [B, A] \cdot AB \cdot A + A \cdot AB \cdot [A, B] \cdot A \\ &\quad - A \cdot [|B|, A] \cdot A|B| \cdot A - A \cdot A|B| \cdot [A, |B|] \cdot A.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the assumption $[A, B] \in (\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty})_0$ and from Theorem 3.4 in [9] that $[A, |B|] \in (\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty})_0$. By Hölder inequality, we have

$$|ABA|^2 - (A|B|A)^2 \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2},\infty})_0.$$

Now recall the Birman-Koplienko-Solomyak inequality for quasi-Banach ideals (see Theorem 6.3 in [16]). Applying the latter theorem with $E = (\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty})_0$, $f(t) = |t|^\theta$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $p = \theta = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$|ABA| - A|B|A \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (ABA)_+ - AB_+A &= \frac{ABA + |ABA|}{2} - \frac{ABA + A|B|A}{2} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|ABA| - A|B|A) \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.11. *Let (X, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let $f \in L_\infty(X, \text{vol}_g)$. We have*

$$[M_f, (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}] \in (\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty})_0.$$

Proof. Choose a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L_M(X, \text{vol}_g)$. By Theorem 1.3, we have

$$[M_{f_n}, (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}] \rightarrow [M_f, (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}]$$

in $\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The operators

$$[M_{f_n}, (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}}], \quad n \geq 0,$$

are pseudo-differential of order $-\frac{d}{2} - 1$. In particular, they belong to $(\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty})_0$. The assertion follows immediately. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose first f is bounded. By Lemma 5.11 and Lemma 5.10, we have

$$\left((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right)_+ - (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_{f_+} (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0.$$

By Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu \left(t, \left((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right)_+ \right) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d(2\pi)^d} \int_X f_+ d\text{vol}_g.$$

Applying the latter formula to $-f$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\mu \left(t, \left((1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} M_f (1 - \Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right)_- \right) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d(2\pi)^d} \int_X f_- d\text{vol}_g.$$

This proves the assertion for bounded f .

Suppose now $f \in L_M(X, \text{vol}_g)$. Choose a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset L_\infty(X, \text{vol}_g)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L_M(X, \text{vol}_g)$. The assertion follows from the preceding paragraph (applied to f_n) and Corollary 4.3.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Bergh J., Löfström J. *Interpolation spaces. An introduction.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [2] Birman M., Solomyak M. *Asymptotics of the spectrum of weakly polar integral operators.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **34** 1970 1142–1158.
- [3] Birman M., Solomyak M. *Asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of pseudodifferential operators with anisotropically homogeneous symbols.* (Russian) Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. 1977, no. 13 Mat. Meh. Astronom. vyp. 3, 13–21.
- [4] Birman M., Solomyak M. *Asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of variational problems on solutions of elliptic equations.* (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **20** (1979), no. 1, 3–22.
- [5] Bratteli O., Robinson D. *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 1.* 2nd Edition, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1987.
- [6] Connes A. *Noncommutative geometry.* Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [7] Connes A. *The action functional in Non-commutative Geometry.* Comm. Math. Phys. **117** (1988) 673–683.
- [8] Connes A., Moscovici H. *The local index formula in Noncommutative Geometry.* Geometric and Functional Analysis **5** (1995) 174–243.
- [9] P. Dodds, T. Dodds, B. de Pagter, F. Sukochev, *Lipschitz continuity of the absolute value and Riesz projections in symmetric operator spaces,* J. Funct. Anal. **148** (1997), 28–69.
- [10] Dykema K., Figiel T., Weiss G., Wodzicki M. *Commutator structure of operator ideals.* Adv. Math. **185** (2004), no.1, 1–79.
- [11] Fack T. *Sur la notion de valeur caractéristique.* J. Operator Theory, **7** (1982), no. 2, 307–333.
- [12] Gohberg I., Krein. M. *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969.
- [13] Gracia-Bondia J., Varilly J., Figueroa H. *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry.* Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [14] Hawkins E. *Hamiltonian gravity and noncommutative geometry.* Comm. Math. Phys. **187** (2) (1997) 471–489.
- [15] Hörmander L. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III. Pseudo-differential operators.* Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [16] Huang J., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Operator θ -Hölder functions with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$, $0 < p \leq \infty$,* preprint.
- [17] Kalton N., Lord S., Potapov D., Sukochev F. *Traces of compact operators and the noncommutative residue.* Adv. Math. **235** (2013), 1–55.
- [18] Krein S., Petunin Yu., Semenov E. *Interpolation of linear operators.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **54.** American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [19] Lord S., Potapov D., Sukochev F. *Measures from Dixmier traces and zeta functions.* J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), no. 8, 1915–1949.
- [20] Lord S., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *A last theorem of Kalton and finiteness of Connes’ integral.* Journal of Functional Analysis, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108664>
- [21] Lord S., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Singular traces. Theory and applications.* De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **46.** De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013.
- [22] Lord S., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Advances in Dixmier traces and applications.* in Advances in Noncommutative Geometry On the Occasion of Alain Connes’ 70th Birthday, 2020, Springer Nature, pp. 491–593.
- [23] Pederson G. *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups.* LMS Monographs **14**, Academic Press, London, 1979.
- [24] Rosenberg S. *The Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold. An introduction to analysis on manifolds.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts, **31.** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [25] Ruzhansky M., Turunen V. *Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries.* Background analysis and advanced topics. Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications, **2.** Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [26] Segal I. *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration.* Ann. Math. **57** (1953) 401–457.
- [27] Semenov E., Sukochev F., Usachev A., Zanin D. *Banach limits and traces on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$.* Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 568–628.

- [28] Simon B. *Trace ideals and their applications*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **120**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [29] Solomyak M. *Spectral problems related to the critical exponent in the Sobolev embedding theorem*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **71** (1995), no. 1, 53–75.
- [30] Sukochev F., Zanin D. *A C^* -algebraic approach to the principal symbol. I*. J. Operator Theory **80** (2018), no. 2, 481–522.
- [31] Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Cwikel-Solomyak estimates on tori and Euclidean spaces*. submitted manuscript, arXiv:2008.04494
- [32] Takesaki M. *Theory of Operator Algebras I*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **124**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [33] Taylor M. *Partial differential equations I. Basic theory*. Second edition. Applied Mathematical Sciences, **115**. Springer, New York, 2011.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, KENSINGTON,
2052, AUSTRALIA

Email address: `f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, KENSINGTON,
2052, AUSTRALIA

Email address: `d.zanin@unsw.edu.au`