

SOME REMARKS ON THE SOBOLEV INEQUALITY IN RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

DANIELE ANDREUCCI AND ANATOLI F. TEDEEV

ABSTRACT. We investigate Sobolev and Hardy inequalities, specifically weighted Minerbe's type estimates, in noncompact complete connected Riemannian manifolds whose geometry is described by an isoperimetric profile. In particular, we assume that the manifold satisfies the p -hyperbolicity property, stated in terms of a necessary integral Dini condition on the isoperimetric profile. Our method seems to us to combine sharply the knowledge of the isoperimetric profile and the optimal Bliss type Hardy inequality depending on the geometry of the manifold. We recover the well known best Sobolev constant in the Euclidean case.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well known Sobolev inequality in the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^N reads

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \leq S(N, p) \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $p^* = Np/(N - p)$, for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$; we always assume here $1 < p < N$. The best constant S was found in [4, 30] as

$$S(N, p) = \frac{1}{\omega_N^{1/N} N} \left[\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} \right]^{(p-1)/p} \left[\frac{\Gamma(N+1)}{N\Gamma(N/p)\Gamma(1+N-N/p)} \right]^{1/N}, \quad (1.2)$$

where Γ is the standard Gamma function and ω_N denotes the volume of the unit ball in \mathbf{R}^N .

The literature on the subject of Sobolev inequalities and the choice of constants therein is very large; we refer to [5, 20].

Let us make clear what the difficulty is in the case we have in mind, that is the lack of homogeneity, which is instead guaranteed in the Euclidean case. Consider a product manifold given by $M = M_0 \times \mathbf{R}^k$,

Date: December 15, 2021.

The first author is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica (GNFM) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

AMS Classification: 46E35, 53C21.

The first author is member of Italian G.N.F.M.-I.N.d.A.M..

where M_0 is a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension m and \mathbf{R}^k the Euclidean space of dimension k . Clearly its topological or local dimension is $N = m + k$, but its dimension at infinity is lower, and in fact equals k . By this we mean, more exactly, that if $\Omega \subset M$ is a smooth set with volume $|\Omega|_N = v$, its boundary has area satisfying $|\partial\Omega|_{N-1} \geq cv^{(N-1)/N}$ if v is small, but $|\partial\Omega|_{N-1} \geq cv^{(k-1)/k}$ if v is large (for a suitable $c > 0$). The dimension at infinity k is related to the range of p for which a Sobolev-like inequality is valid; this amounts essentially to $p < k$ in simple cases and is strictly connected to the property of p -hyperbolicity of the manifold; see (1.5) below.

Here we introduce a streamlined method of proof of Sobolev-like inequalities in Riemannian manifolds which seems to tackle optimally this setting, in terms of the isoperimetric information just exemplified (Theorem 1.2); it yields the constant $S(N, p)$ in the Euclidean case; see Subsection 1.1 for examples of other manifolds where the needed information is completely available. The inequality is of the type obtained in [22].

The connections between the validity of Sobolev-like inequalities and isoperimetric profiles (defined as the optimal h in (1.3) below) is well known; let us briefly recall that it appeared in [14, 20]. The method of [20] allows one to reduce the proof of multidimensional Sobolev inequalities to one-dimensional Hardy type inequalities, and was also applied to derive Hardy inequalities in Riemannian manifolds by [21]. The symmetrization approach has also been used extensively in this field; we refer for example to [4, 11, 19, 30, 32]; the optimality of the constant in Sobolev-like inequalities has been analyzed also, with alternative approaches, in [6, 12, 16, 17, 23].

In what follows (M, g) is a complete, connected Riemannian N -dimensional manifold with infinite volume, $d\mu$ is the volume form associated to the metric g , ∇u denotes the gradient of a function u with respect to the metric g . Denote by $d(x)$ for $x \in M$ the distance from a fixed point $x_0 \in M$, and by $V(R)$ the volume of the geodesic ball $B_R(x_0)$, $R > 0$.

Definition 1.1. We say that M satisfies the h -isoperimetric inequality if:

i) for any measurable subset $U \subset M$ with Lipschitz continuous boundary ∂U

$$|\partial U|_{N-1} \geq \frac{h(\mu(U))}{2}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $h(s)$ is a given increasing function for $s \geq 0$, $h(0) = 0$;
ii) the function w defined by

$$w(s) = \frac{C_N s^{\frac{N-1}{N}}}{h(s)}, \quad s > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

is nondecreasing, where $C_N > 0$ is a given arbitrary constant. \square

The constant C_N in (1.4) is essentially introduced for the sake of comparison with examples. Roughly speaking it is selected so that $h(s) \sim C_N s^{(N-1)/N}$ for small s ; in the Euclidean case $C_N = N\omega_N^{1/N}$.

One of the main technical difficulties in investigating sharp Sobolev constants in Riemannian manifolds is the already remarked inhomogeneous character of the isoperimetric function h , which makes difficult the explicit determination of isoperimetric regions (see [8, 28] for classical references). A property which certainly is necessary to us is p -hyperbolicity. This essentially amounts to the existence of a symmetric positive Green function G_x for the p -Laplacian with pole at x , for every $x \in M$. For other definitions of p -hyperbolicity and comments on its necessity we refer to [13] (see also [21]). Here we need

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{h(t)^{p/(p-1)}} < +\infty, \quad (1.5)$$

which is a geometrical version of the p -hyperbolicity assumption (see [15, 31]).

Next, we state some assumptions connected with the validity of Sobolev and Hardy inequalities, i.e.,

$$B_1 = \sup_{s>0} \left(\int_0^s \left[\frac{h(\tau)}{C_N \tau^{\frac{N-1}{N}}} \right]^{p^*} d\tau \right)^{1/p^*} \left(\int_s^\infty \frac{1}{h(\tau)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} d\tau \right)^{(p-1)/p} < +\infty, \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$B_2 = \sup_{s>0} \left(\int_0^s \frac{1}{(V^{(-1)}(\tau))^p} d\tau \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_s^\infty \frac{1}{h(\tau)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} d\tau \right)^{(p-1)/p} < +\infty. \quad (1.7)$$

Define also $k_{p,p} = p/(p-1)^{(p-1)/p}$, and

$$k_{q,p} = \left(\frac{r}{\text{Beta}(1/r, (q-1)/r)} \right)^{1/p-1/q}, \quad \text{for } q > p, \quad (1.8)$$

where $r = q/p - 1$; here the Beta function is defined by

$$\text{Beta}(a, b) = \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx.$$

Since $\text{Beta}(a, b) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)/\Gamma(a+b)$, $a\Gamma(a) = \Gamma(a+1)$, we have

$$k_{p^*, p} = \frac{1}{N^{1/N}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(N+1)}{\Gamma(N/p)\Gamma(1+N-N/p)} \right\}^{1/N}.$$

Next we state our main result, in the spirit of [22]; however our set of assumptions is different from the one there.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that M satisfies the h -isoperimetric inequality and (1.5) holds true; let $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$.*

i) If (1.6) holds, then

$$\left(\int_M |u|^{p^*} w(V(d(x)))^{-p^*} d\mu \right)^{1/p^*} \leq C_1 \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}. \quad (1.9)$$

ii) If (1.7) holds, then

$$\int_M \frac{|u|^p}{d(x)^p} d\mu \leq C_2 \int_M |\nabla u|^p d\mu, \quad (1.10)$$

Here $C_1 = B_1 k_{p^*, p}$, and $C_2 = B_2^p p^p / (p-1)^{p-1}$.

Corollary 1.3 (Hardy-Sobolev inequality). *Assume that M satisfies the h -isoperimetric inequality and (1.5)–(1.7) hold. Let*

$$q < p, \quad p^*(q) := \frac{N-q}{N-p} p.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \frac{|u|^{p^*(q)}}{d(x)^q} w(V(d(x)))^{-(p^*(q)-q)} d\mu \\ & \leq C_1^{N(p-q)/(N-p)} C_2^{q/p} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^p d\mu \right)^{(N-q)/(N-p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Remark 1.4. The constant in (1.9) is sharp, at least in \mathbf{R}^N with the Euclidean metric. In this case indeed $h(t) = C_N t^{(N-1)/N}$, where $C_N =$

$N\omega_N^{1/N}$ is the constant selected in the definition of w so that $w(s) = 1$ for all s . Then

$$C_1 = C_N^{-1} \left[\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} \right]^{(p-1)/p} k_{p^*,p} = S(N,p),$$

the best constant in the Sobolev inequality (1.1). A similar result holds for C_2 , see Subsection 1.1.3. \square

The function w is an important geometrical characteristic of the manifold and has been employed in the authors' papers [2, 3] when studying embedding theorems of Gagliardo-Nirenberg type and in the qualitative analysis of solutions to nonlinear parabolic equations in both Euclidean and Riemannian setting. In the form of (1.9) the Sobolev inequality firstly was proven in [22]. A short proof of (1.9) under assumptions stronger than (1.6) was given in [1]. See for example [26] for other reasons of interest of isoperimetric profiles.

The plan of the paper is the following: below we give some examples of manifolds where our results can be applied. In Section 2 we recall a known Hardy-like inequality, extracting from it the consequences that we need. In Section 3 we give the proofs of our results.

1.1. Examples.

1.1.1. *The case of product-like isoperimetric profiles.* We discuss here the case when one can assume

$$h(s) = \min(as^\lambda, bs^\mu), \quad s > 0, \quad (1.12)$$

where $\lambda > \mu > 0$ and $a, b > 0$. This is for example the case of subsets of \mathbf{R}^N shaped like paraboloids

$$\Omega_\beta = \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbf{R}^N \mid |x'| < x_N^\beta\},$$

where $\beta \in (0, 1)$; in this case one has $\lambda = (N-1)/N$ and $\mu = \beta(N-1)/(1 + \beta(N-1))$. However, we pursue here a different class of examples, that is the one arising from product manifolds in which a factor is an Euclidean space and the other one is compact. The problem of determining the isoperimetric profile even in this specific class of Riemannian manifolds is difficult (see [29] and references therein). In our examples, if N is the topological dimension of the product manifold and $k < N$ its dimension at infinity, one has (1.12) with $\lambda = (N-1)/N$ and $\mu = (k-1)/k$; a lengthy but elementary explicit computation yields

in this case that the sup defining B_1 in (1.6) is attained as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ and

$$B_1 = C_N^{-1} \left[\frac{N-p}{N} \right]^{(N-p)/(Np)} \left[\frac{k}{k-p} \right]^{(N-1)/N} (p-1)^{(p-1)/p}. \quad (1.13)$$

However, note that in the embedding (1.9) the constants a and b enter the estimate through w ; see (1.15) below.

In [25] it is considered the case of the product $S_r^1 \times \mathbf{R}^k$, where S_r^1 is the circle of radius $r > 0$; here $N = k + 1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 7$; the isoperimetric profile, that is the best possible h in (1.3), is determined exactly in the form (1.12). In [29] the authors investigate quantitatively the case of $T^m \times \mathbf{R}^k$, $2 \leq k \leq 7 - m$, where T^m is the m -dimensional flat torus; here of course $N = m + k$; in the case $m = 2$ they obtain the exact profile (1.12) for, respectively, small enough and large enough s ; however the profile is quantitatively estimated for all s .

In [27] it is considered the more general product manifold given by $M_m \times \mathbf{R}^k$, where M_m is an m -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary and $k \geq 1$; let here $N = m + k$. It is proved that the isoperimetric profile h is given as in (1.12), but only the constant b is determined exactly, as

$$b = k(\omega_k H^m(M_m))^{1/k}, \quad (1.14)$$

where H^m denotes the m -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

In all the cases just discussed, we may select $C_N = a$ in the definition of w , so that the constant B_1 is given by (1.13) and the (Minerbe type) inequality (1.9) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{M_m \times \mathbf{R}^k} |u|^{p^*} \min \left\{ 1, \frac{b}{a} V(d(x))^{-\frac{m}{k(m+k)}} \right\}^{p^*} d\mu \right)^{1/p^*} \\ & \leq C_1 \left(\int_{M_m \times \mathbf{R}^k} |\nabla u|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}, \quad p < k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

The last assumption $p < k$ is needed to guarantee the p -hyperbolicity (1.5).

1.1.2. Manifolds with bounded geometry. Passing to a more general setting, following [9, p. 136-137], let M_N be an N -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with bounded geometry, that is such that its Ricci curvature is bounded below by a negative constant, and its

injectivity radius is bounded below by a positive constant. For any $N \geq \nu > 1$, $\rho > 0$ define the isoperimetric function

$$J_{\nu,\rho}(M_N) := \inf_{\Omega} \frac{|\partial\Omega|_{N-1}}{V(\Omega)^{1-1/\nu}},$$

where Ω varies over the open submanifolds of M_N with compact closure, C^∞ boundary and containing a closed metric disk of radius ρ . Then $J_{\nu,\rho}(M_N) > 0$ if and only if there exists $v_0 > 0$ and $\theta > 0$ such that

$$|\partial\Omega|_{N-1} \geq \theta \begin{cases} V(\Omega)^{1-1/N}, & V(\Omega) \leq v_0, \\ V(\Omega)^{1-1/\nu}, & V(\Omega) \geq v_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

Select next $C_N = \theta$ in the definition of w . Then in (1.17) we can choose $\alpha = (\nu - 1)/\nu$ and we have from (1.18)

$$C_1 \leq \theta^{-1} \left[\frac{N-p}{N} \right]^{1/p^*} \frac{(p-1)^{(p-1)/p}}{(\alpha p - p + 1)^{(N-1)/N}} k_{p^*,p},$$

where $p^* = Np/(N-p)$.

1.1.3. *The case of power-like h .* Let us consider a case where the finiteness of B_1 in (1.6) can be proved easily, but still with a majorization which is sharp in the Euclidean case. That is we assume that

$$\frac{t^\alpha}{h(t)} \quad \text{is nonincreasing for } t > 0, \quad (1.17)$$

where $(p-1)/p < \alpha \leq (N-1)/N$; for example (1.17) holds for $h(s) = \gamma s^k [\ln(e+s)]^z$ for suitable k, z for large s . Then we may estimate B_1 and B_2 from above as follows.

According to definition (1.6), with an obvious definition of $J_1(s)$, $J_2(s)$,

$$B_1 = \sup_{s>0} J_1(s)^{1/p^*} J_2(s)^{(p-1)/p}.$$

Let us estimate J_1 and J_2 ; by means of the change of variable $\tau = sy$, using also (1.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= C_N^{-p^*} s \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(sy)^\alpha h(sy)}{(sy)^\alpha (sy)^{(N-1)/N}} \right)^{p^*} dy \leq \\ &C_N^{-p^*} s \left(\frac{h(s)}{s^{(N-1)/N}} \right)^{p^*} \int_0^1 y^{-(\frac{N-1}{N}-\alpha)p^*} dy = \frac{C_N^{-p^*} (N-p)}{N(\alpha p - p + 1)} s \left(\frac{h(s)}{s^{(N-1)/N}} \right)^{p^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$J_2 = s \int_1^\infty \frac{(sy)^{\alpha p/(p-1)}}{(sy)^{\alpha p/(p-1)} h(sy)^{p/(p-1)}} dy \leq s \frac{1}{h(s)^{p/(p-1)}} \int_1^\infty \frac{dy}{y^{\alpha p/(p-1)}} = \frac{p-1}{(\alpha p - p + 1)} \frac{s}{h(s)^{p/(p-1)}}.$$

Finally, we have

$$B_1 \leq C_N^{-1} \left[\frac{N-p}{N} \right]^{1/p^*} \frac{(p-1)^{(p-1)/p}}{(\alpha p - p + 1)^{(N-1)/N}}, \quad (1.18)$$

provided (1.17) holds. In particular, if $\alpha = (N-1)/N$, then

$$B_1 \leq C_N^{-1} \left[\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} \right]^{(p-1)/p},$$

leading to an estimate for the constant C_1 in (1.9) which is in fact is the well known best constant in Sobolev inequality.

Let us calculate next B_2 in the Euclidean case where $h(\tau) = C_N \tau^{(N-1)/N}$, and $V(\tau) = \omega_N \tau^N$; an elementary calculation of the two integrals in the definition (1.7) of B_2 gives in this case $B_2 = (p-1)^{(p-1)/p}/(N-p)$. Therefore, in (1.10) we get $C_2 = [p/(N-p)]^p$ which is the well known sharp constant in the Euclidean Hardy inequality.

Let us continue with a more general case. Let us assume, besides (1.17), that

$$h(s) \geq c_0 \frac{s}{V^{(-1)}(s)}, \quad \text{for all } s > A, \quad (1.19)$$

for some given constants $A, c_0 > 0$. Since the metric is locally (i.e., for small τ) Euclidean, we have that for a suitable constant c_1

$$\frac{1}{V^{(-1)}(\tau)} \leq c_1 \frac{h(\tau)}{\tau}, \quad 0 < \tau \leq A. \quad (1.20)$$

For $\tau > A$ from (1.19) we have

$$\frac{1}{V^{(-1)}(\tau)^p} \leq c_0^{-p} \frac{h(\tau)^p}{\tau^p}. \quad (1.21)$$

Therefore integrating and exploiting as above (1.17), we have for all $s > 0$

$$\int_0^s \frac{1}{V^{(-1)}(\tau)^p} d\tau \leq \max(c_1^p, c_0^{-p}) \int_0^s \frac{h(\tau)^p}{\tau^p} d\tau \leq \frac{\max(c_1^p, c_0^{-p})}{\alpha p - p + 1} \frac{h(s)^p}{s^{p-1}},$$

where we have applied in the first inequality (1.20) and (1.21). Then, on using again the estimate for the second factor below which we have proved above, we find

$$\left(\int_0^s \frac{1}{V^{(-1)}(\tau)^p} d\tau \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_s^\infty \frac{1}{h(\tau)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} d\tau \right)^{p-1} \leq \max(c_1, c_0^{-1}) \frac{(p-1)^{(p-1)/p}}{\alpha p - p + 1}.$$

Thus the constant in (1.10) is estimated under the present assumptions by

$$C_2 \leq \left[\frac{p \max(c_1, c_0^{-1})}{\alpha p - p + 1} \right]^p. \quad (1.22)$$

2. THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL HARDY TYPE INEQUALITY.

The next statement, which is a generalized Bliss [7] inequality, is an important tool in our proof of Theorem 1.2 and was proven in [18] (see also [10])

Theorem 2.1 ([18]). *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, μ and ν be two σ -finite Borel measures on \mathbf{R} . Set*

$$B = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \nu((-\infty, x])^{(p-1)/p} \mu([x, +\infty))^{1/q}.$$

If $B < +\infty$ for all $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x f(y) d\nu_y \right|^q d\mu_x \right]^{1/q} \leq A \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^p d\nu_x \right]^{1/p}, \quad (2.1)$$

for an optimal constant A such that

$$B \leq A \leq k_{q,p} B, \quad (2.2)$$

with $k_{q,p}$ defined in (1.8).

Note that (2.1) can be seen as a generalized Hardy type inequality (see [20, 24]). It was shown in [10], see also [18], that the estimate of A in (2.2) is sharp.

We draw from Theorem 2.1 the following consequences, by means of standard changes of variables.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, μ and ν be two σ -finite Borel measures on $[0, +\infty)$. Set*

$$\tilde{B} = \sup_{x \geq 0} \nu([x, \infty))^{(p-1)/p} \mu([0, x])^{1/q}. \quad (2.3)$$

If $\tilde{B} < +\infty$ for all $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$\left[\int_0^\infty \left| \int_x^\infty f(y) d\nu_y \right|^q d\mu_x \right]^{1/q} \leq A \left[\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p d\nu_x \right]^{1/p}, \quad (2.4)$$

for an optimal constant A such that $\tilde{B} \leq A \leq k_{q,p} \tilde{B}$.
In particular, choosing

$$q = p^*, \quad d\nu_y = \frac{dy}{h(y)^{p/(p-1)}} \quad d\mu_x = \left(\frac{h(x)}{C_N x^{(N-1)/N}} \right)^{p^*} dx,$$

we obtain from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\int_0^\infty \left| \int_x^\infty f(y) \frac{dy}{h(y)^{p/(p-1)}} \right|^{p^*} \left(\frac{h(x)}{C_N x^{(N-1)/N}} \right)^{p^*} dx \right]^{1/p^*} \\ \leq A \left[\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p \frac{dx}{h(x)^{p/(p-1)}} \right]^{1/p}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

provided (1.6) holds true and we select $\tilde{B} = B_1$. Next, choosing

$$q = p, \quad d\nu_y = \frac{dy}{h(y)^{p/(p-1)}}, \quad d\mu_x = \frac{dx}{(V^{(-1)}(x))^p},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_x^\infty f(y) \frac{dy}{h(y)^{p/(p-1)}} \right|^p \left(\frac{1}{V^{(-1)}(x)} \right)^p dx \\ \leq A^p \int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p \frac{dx}{h(x)^{p/(p-1)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

provided (1.7) holds true and we select $\tilde{B} = B_2$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 AND OF COROLLARY 1.3.

3.1. Preliminaries. In what follows we will use some notions from geometrical measure theory. Let u be a measurable function defined on M . Denote

$$\nu(t) := |\{x \in M \mid |u| > t\}|.$$

and let $u^*(s)$ be the decreasing rearrangement of u defined on $[0, \infty]$ as, roughly speaking, the generalized inverse to its distributional function, and more exactly as

$$u^*(0) = \sup|u|, \quad u^*(s) = \inf\{t \mid \nu(t) < s\}.$$

Let us recall some basic facts that we are going to use.

i) Cavalieri's principle, which is consequence of equimeasurability of sets $\{|u| > t\}$ and $\{u^*(s) > t\}$:

$$\int_M |u|^p d\mu = \int_0^\infty u^*(s)^p ds. \quad (3.1)$$

ii) Hardy-Littlewood inequality

$$\int_M uv d\mu \leq \int_0^\infty u^*(s)v^*(s) ds. \quad (3.2)$$

iii) Federer co-area formula (we refer the reader to [20] in the Euclidean setting and [5] for manifolds). For any smooth enough functions v and u defined on M we have

$$\int_M v |\nabla u| d\mu = \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_{|u|=\tau} v(x) ds_{N-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

iv) Polya-Szegö inequality. Assume that M satisfies the h isoperimetric inequality (1.3). Then

$$\int_0^\infty h(s)^p \left(-\frac{du^*}{ds}(s) \right)^p ds \leq \int_M |\nabla u|^p d\mu. \quad (3.4)$$

We give a short proof of (3.4), for the readers's convenience. First note that setting in (3.3) $v = 1$, we get

$$P(t) := |\{|u| > t\}|_{N-1} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{|u|>t} |\nabla u| d\mu. \quad (3.5)$$

Next, on applying Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t < |u| \leq t+\varepsilon} |\nabla u| \, d\mu \leq \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t < |u| \leq t+\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\{t < |u| \leq t+\varepsilon\}| \right)^{(p-1)/p}.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in this inequality and noting that for $q \geq 1$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t < |u| \leq t+\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^q \, d\mu = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{t < |u|} |\nabla u|^q \, d\mu,$$

and by using also (3.5) we have that

$$P(t) \leq \left(-\frac{d}{dt} \int_{t < |u|} |\nabla u|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \left(-\frac{d}{dt} \nu(t) \right)^{(p-1)/p}. \quad (3.6)$$

Using now (1.3), we get from (3.6)

$$h(\nu(t))^p \left(-\frac{d}{dt} \nu(t) \right)^{-(p-1)} \leq -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{t < |u|} |\nabla u|^p \, d\mu. \quad (3.7)$$

Set $\nu(t) = s$, then $t = u^*(s)$, $\nu_t(t) = (u_s^*(s))^{-1}$ a.e., and therefore from (3.7) we get

$$h(s)^p \left(-\frac{d}{ds} u^*(s) \right)^p \leq \frac{d}{ds} \int_{|u| > u^*(s)} |\nabla u|^p \, d\mu.$$

Integrating the last inequality between 0 and ∞ , we arrive at (3.4).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By definition of decreasing rearrangement we have

$$\left(\int_M u^*(s)^{p^*} (w(V(d(x)))^{-p^*})^* \, ds \right)^{1/p^*} = \left(\int_M u^*(s)^{p^*} w(s)^{-p^*} \, ds \right)^{1/p^*}.$$

Therefore, by the Hardy-Littlewood inequality we obtain

$$\left(\int_M |u|^{p^*} w(V(d(x)))^{-p^*} \, d\mu \right)^{1/p^*} \leq \left(\int_0^\infty [u^*(s)]^{p^*} w(s)^{-p^*} \, ds \right)^{1/p^*}. \quad (3.8)$$

Next, by the Polya-Szegö principle

$$\left(\int_M |\nabla u|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \geq \left(\int_0^\infty [-u_s^*(s)]^{p^*} h(s)^p ds \right)^{1/p}. \quad (3.9)$$

Combining now (3.8) and (3.9), we deduce

$$\frac{(\int_M |\nabla u|^p d\mu)^{1/p}}{(\int_M |u|^{p^*} w(V(d(x)))^{-p^*} d\mu)^{1/p^*}} \geq \frac{(\int_0^\infty [-u_s^*(s)]^p h(s)^p ds)^{1/p}}{(\int_0^\infty [u^*(s)]^{p^*} w(s)^{-p^*} ds)^{1/p^*}}.$$

In order to apply (2.5) let

$$q = p^*, \quad u^*(s) = \int_s^{+\infty} f(y) \frac{dy}{h(y)^{p/(p-1)}}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\text{i.e., } f(s) = -u_s^*(s) h(s)^{p/(p-1)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Then (2.5) implies the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty [u^*(s)]^{p^*} w(s)^{-p^*} ds \right)^{1/p^*} \leq A \left(\int_0^\infty (-u_s^*(s))^p h(s)^p ds \right)^{1/p},$$

that is the desired result (1.9), when we replace the best constant A with its sharp estimate as in Section 2.

Let us prove (1.10) proceeding in the same way. Note that $(1/d(\cdot)^p)^*(s) = (V^{(-1)}(s))^{-p}$; then we have by the Hardy-Littlewood inequality that

$$\int_M \frac{|u|^p}{d(x)^p} d\mu \leq \int_0^\infty (u^*(\tau))^p (1/d(\cdot)^p)^*(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^\infty \frac{(u^*(\tau))^p d\tau}{(V^{(-1)}(\tau))^p}.$$

On selecting f as in (3.10)–(3.11), we have from (2.6) that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(u^*(\tau))^p d\tau}{(V^{(-1)}(\tau))^p} \leq A^p \int_0^\infty h(\tau)^p (-u_\tau^*(\tau))^p d\tau.$$

Finally, by making use of the Polya-Szegö inequality (3.4) we arrive at (1.10). \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Indeed, on applying Hölder inequality (splitting the exponent of $|u|$ as $q + p^*(q) - q$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M \frac{|u|^{p^*(q)}}{d(x)^q} w(V(d(x)))^{-(p^*(q)-q)} d\mu \\
& \leq \left(\int_M \frac{|u|^p}{d(x)^p} d\mu \right)^{q/p} \left(\int_M |u|^{p^*} w(V(d(x)))^{-p^*} d\mu \right)^{(p-q)/p} \\
& \leq C_1^{N(p-q)/(N-p)} C_2^{q/p} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^p d\mu \right)^{(N-q)/(N-p)},
\end{aligned}$$

where in last inequality we used (1.9), (1.10). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Andreucci and A. Tedeev. Extinction in a finite time for parabolic equations of fast diffusion type on manifolds. In A. G. Kusraev and Z. D. Totieva, editors, *Operator Theory and Differential Equations*, Trends in Mathematics, pages 1–6. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2021.
- [2] D. Andreucci and A. F. Tedeev. Sharp estimates and finite speed of propagation for a Neumann problem in domains narrowing at infinity. *Advances Diff. Eqs.*, 5:833–860, 2000. Khayyam Publ., Athens Ohio (U.S.A.).
- [3] D. Andreucci and A. F. Tedeev. Optimal decay rate for degenerate parabolic equations on noncompact manifolds. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 22(4):359–376, 2015.
- [4] T. Aubin. Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. *J. Differential Geometry*, 11(4):573–598, 1976.
- [5] T. Aubin. *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [6] E. Berchio, D. Ganguly, and G. Grillo. Sharp Poincaré-Hardy and Poincaré-Rellich inequalities on the hyperbolic space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 272(4):1661–1703, 2017.
- [7] G. A. Bliss. An Integral Inequality. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 5(1):40–46, 1930.
- [8] Y. D. Burago and V. A. Zalgaller. *Geometric inequalities*, volume 285 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. Translated from the Russian by A. B. Sosinskiĭ, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics.
- [9] I. Chavel. *Isoperimetric inequalities*, volume 145 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. Differential geometric and analytic perspectives.
- [10] M.-F. Chen. The optimal constant in Hardy-type inequalities. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 31(5):731–754, 2015.
- [11] A. Cianchi. Symmetrization in anisotropic elliptic problems. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(4-6):693–717, 2007.

- [12] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, and C. Villani. A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. *Adv. Math.*, 182(2):307–332, 2004.
- [13] L. D’Ambrosio and S. Dipierro. Hardy inequalities on Riemannian manifolds and applications. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 31(3):449–475, 2014.
- [14] H. Federer and W. H. Fleming. Normal and integral currents. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 72:458–520, 1960.
- [15] A. Grigor’yan. Isoperimetric inequalities and capacities on Riemannian manifolds. In *The Maz’ya anniversary collection, Vol. 1 (Rostock, 1998)*, volume 109 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 139–153. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [16] E. Hebey and M. Vaugon. The best constant problem in the Sobolev embedding theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 79(1):235–279, 1995.
- [17] M. Ledoux. On manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature and Sobolev inequalities. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 7(2):347–353, 1999.
- [18] Y. Li and Y.-H. Mao. The optimal constant in generalized Hardy’s inequality. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 23(1):257–266, 2020.
- [19] J. Martín and M. Milman. Towards a unified theory of Sobolev inequalities. In *Special functions, partial differential equations, and harmonic analysis*, volume 108 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 163–201. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [20] V. G. Maz’ya. *Sobolev Spaces*. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1985.
- [21] V. M. Miklyukov and M. K. Vuorinen. Hardy’s inequality for $W_0^{1,p}$ -functions on Riemannian manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(9):2745–2754, 1999.
- [22] V. Minerbe. Weighted Sobolev inequalities and Ricci flat manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 18(5):1696–1749, 2009.
- [23] A. I. Nazarov. The Hardy-Sobolev inequalities in a cone. *Algebra i Analiz*, 22(6):200–213, 2010.
- [24] B. Opic and A. Kufner. *Hardy-type inequalities*, volume 219 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1990.
- [25] R. H. L. Pedrosa and M. Ritoré. Isoperimetric domains in the Riemannian product of a circle with a simply connected space form and applications to free boundary problems. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 48(4):1357–1394, 1999.
- [26] J. Petean and J. M. Ruiz. Isoperimetric profile comparisons and Yamabe constants. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 40(2):177–189, 2011.
- [27] M. Ritoré and E. Vernadakis. Large isoperimetric regions in the product of a compact manifold with Euclidean space. *Adv. Math.*, 306:958–972, 2017.
- [28] A. Ros. The isoperimetric problem. In *Global theory of minimal surfaces*, volume 2 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 175–209. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [29] J. M. Ruiz and A. V. Juárez. Isoperimetric estimates in low dimensional riemannian products, 2020.
- [30] G. Talenti. Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 110:353–372, 1976.
- [31] M. Troyanov. Parabolicity of manifolds. *Siberian Adv. Math.*, 9(4):125–150, 1999.

- [32] C. Xia. Complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and almost best Sobolev constant. *Illinois J. Math.*, 45(4):1253–1259, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENCES FOR ENGINEERING, SAPIENZA
UNIVERSITY OF ROME, VIA A. SCARPA 16 00161 ROME, ITALY
Email address: `daniele.andreucci@sbai.uniroma1.it`

SOUTH MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF VSC RAS, VLADIKAVKAZ, RUSSIAN
FEDERATION
Email address: `a_tedeev@yahoo.com`