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#### Abstract

We prove the linearity and injectivity of two maps $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ on certain subsets of $M_{n}$ that satisfy $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$. We apply it to characterize maps $\phi_{i}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ ( $i=1, \ldots, m$ ) satisfying $$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right)
$$ in which $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of $n$-by- $n$ general, Hermitian, or symmetric matrices for $m \geq 3$, or positive definite or diagonal matrices for $m \geq 2$. The real versions are also given.


## 1. Introduction

Preserver problem is one of the most active research area in matrix theory (e.g. [9, 11, 15, 16]). Researchers would like to characterize the maps on a given space of matrices preserving certain subsets, functions or relations. In this paper, we investigate maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ on several types of matrix spaces that have the trace-preserving property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M_{m, n}$ denote the set of $m \times n$ complex matrices. Let $M_{n}, H_{n}, S_{n}, P_{n}, \overline{P_{n}}$, and $D_{n}$ denote the set of $n \times n$ complex general, Hermitian, symmetric, positive definite, positive semi-definite, and diagonal matrices respectively. We add $(\mathbb{R})$ after the above sets to denote their counterparts in real field. Let $B(X)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space $X$.

The classical Wigner's unitary-antiunitary theorem [15, p.12] says that if a bijective map $\phi$ defined on the set of all rank one projections acting on a Hilbert space $H$ with the property

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(P) \phi(Q))=\operatorname{tr}(P Q),
$$

that is, $\phi$ preserves the transition probability $\langle\phi(P), \phi(Q)\rangle=\langle P, Q\rangle$ between the pure states $P$ and $Q$ (or the angle between the ranges of $P$ and $Q$ ), then there is an either unitary or antiunitary operator $U$ on $H$ such that $\phi(P)=U^{*} P U$ or $\phi(P)=U^{*} P^{t} U$ for all rank one projections $P$. There is a nice generalization of Wigner's theorem due to Uhlhorn. He shows that the same conclusion holds if the property $\operatorname{tr}(\phi(P) \phi(Q))=\operatorname{tr}(P Q)$ is replaced by $\operatorname{tr}(\phi(P) \phi(Q))=0 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(P Q)=0$ (see [17]).

[^0]In 2002, linearity of maps $\phi$ on $B(X)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \phi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $A \in B(X)$, rank one operator $B \in B(X)$ are explored by Molnár in the proof of [13, Theorem 1] to characterize surjective maps preserving the point spectrum of product of operators: $\sigma_{p}(\phi(A) \phi(B))=\sigma_{p}(A B)$ for $A, B \in B(X)$. Later, Molnár removes the surjectivity assumption and proves the bijectivity of $\phi$ on the finite dimensional case $M_{n}$ in the proof of [14, Theorem 1].

In 2012, Li, Plevnik, and Šemrl [10] characterize bijective maps $\phi: S \rightarrow S$ such that for a given real number $c$,

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \phi(B))=c \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(A B)=c,
$$

where $S$ is $H_{n}$, the set $S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ of real symmetric matrices, or the set of rank one projections. In [6, Lemma 3.6], Huang et al show that the following statements are equivalent for a unital operator $\phi$ on $P_{n}$ :
(1) $\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \phi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for $A, B \in P_{n}$;
(2) $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi(A) \phi(B)^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A B^{-1}\right)$ for $A, B \in P_{n}$;
(3) $\operatorname{det}(\phi(A)+\phi(B))=\operatorname{det}(A+B)$ for $A, B \in P_{n}$;
(4) $\phi(A)=U^{*} A U$ or $U^{*} A^{t} U$ for a unitary matrix $U$.

The authors also consider the cases if $\phi$ is not assuming unital, the set $P_{n}$ is replaced by another set like $M_{n}, S_{n}$, or the set of upper triangular matrices in $M_{n}$. In [8, Theorem 3.8], Leung, Ng , and Wong consider the relation (1.2) in terms of Raggio transition probability between the spaces of all normal states on two von Neumann algebras.

Recently, some researchers consider similar relations of two maps preserving certain spectra on some spaces. See Miura in [12] between two standard operator algebras. See Bourhim and Lee in [2] between $B(X)$ and $B(Y)$ for some Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$. See Abdelali and Aharmim in [1] between two rectangular matrices.

Let $I_{n}$ (or simply $I$ ) denote the identity matrix in $M_{n}$. Given a subset $S$ of a vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$, let $\langle S\rangle$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace spanned by elements of $S$.

In Section 2, we prove the linearity and injectivity of two maps $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ on some matrix sets $\mathcal{S}$ that satisfy (1.1) for $m=2$ (Theorems 2.1 and 2.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad \forall A, B \in \mathcal{S} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

These preliminary results are widely used in our characterizations of maps preserving trace of products. The matrix sets discussed in this paper are closed under Hermitian conjugate. The relation (1.3) in these sets can also be interpreted as inner product preserver:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi_{1}(A), \phi_{2}(B)\right\rangle=\langle A, B\rangle, \quad \forall A, B \in \mathcal{S} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our discussions and results on trace preserving maps will be helpful to characterize inner product preservers in related matrix spaces.

The maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ that satisfy (1.1) are characterized as follows:
$m \geq 3:$

- $\mathcal{S}=M_{n}: \phi_{i}(A)=N_{i} A N_{i+1}^{-1}$ where $N_{m+1}:=N_{1}$ (Theorem 3.1).
- $\mathcal{S}=H_{n}\left(\right.$ resp., $P_{n}$ or $\left.\overline{P_{n}}\right)$ :
(1) when $m$ is odd, $\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} U^{*} A U$ where $U$ is unitary;
(2) when $m$ is even, $\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} M^{*} A M$ if $i$ is odd, and $\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-*}$ if $i$ is even.
Here $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ (resp., $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$) with $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$, as stated in Theorem 4.1 (resp. Theorem 4.4).
- $\mathcal{S}=S_{n}$ :
(1) when $m$ is odd, $\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} O^{t} A O$ where $O$ is orthogonal;
(2) when $m$ is even, $\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} M^{t} A M$ if $i$ is odd, and $\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-t}$ if $i$ is even.
Here $c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$, as stated in Theorem 5.1.
- $\mathcal{S}=D_{n}: \phi_{i}(A)=C_{i} P^{t} A P$ where $P$ is a permutation matrix, and $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$ are diagonal matrices with $C_{1} \cdots C_{m}=I$ (Theorem 6.2).
$m=2$ :
- $\mathcal{S}=P_{n}$ or $\overline{P_{n}}: \phi_{1}(A)=M^{*} A M, \phi_{2}(A)=M^{-1} A M^{-*}$; or $\phi_{1}(A)=M^{*} A^{t} M, \phi_{2}(A)=$ $M^{-1} A^{t} M^{-*}$ (Theorem 4.3).
- $\mathcal{S}=D_{n}: \phi_{1}(A)=\operatorname{diag}\left(N \operatorname{diag}^{-1}(A)\right), \phi_{2}(A)=\operatorname{diag}\left(N^{-t} \operatorname{diag}^{-1}(A)\right)$ (Theorem 6.1).

Corollary 4.5 describes maps $\phi_{i}: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}(i=1, \ldots, m, m \geq 2)$ that satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)^{\alpha_{m}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots A_{m}^{\beta_{m}}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in P_{n} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for given nonzero real numbers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$.
All above results have the corresponding real versions. We discuss them after the complex versions in each section.

## 2. Preliminary

2.1. Two maps preserving trace of product. We first give a general result about two maps preserving trace of product. The theorem is a powerful tool to prove linear bijectivity of various maps preserving trace of product in our later discussions. Recall that if $S$ is a subset of a vector space, then $\langle S\rangle$ denotes the subspace spanned by $S$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi: V_{1} \rightarrow W_{1}$ and $\psi: V_{2} \rightarrow W_{2}$ be two maps between subsets of matrix spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$ such that:
(1) $\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle \geq \max \left\{\operatorname{dim}\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle, \operatorname{dim}\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle\right\}$.
(2) $A B$ are well-defined square matrices for $(A, B) \in\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right) \cup\left(W_{1} \times W_{2}\right)$.
(3) If $A \in\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle$ satisfies that $\operatorname{tr}(A B)=0$ for all $B \in\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle$, then $A=0$.
(4) $\phi$ and $\psi$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad A \in V_{1}, B \in V_{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\phi$ and $\psi$ can be extended to bijective linear map $\widetilde{\phi}:\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\widetilde{\psi}:\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{\phi}(A) \widetilde{\psi}(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad A \in\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle, B \in\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right\} \subseteq V_{1}$ be a basis of $\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle$, and $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right\} \subseteq V_{2}$ a basis of $\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle$, respectively. By assumptions (3) and (4), the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi\left(A_{i}\right) \psi\left(B_{j}\right)\right)\right]_{m \times m}=\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{i} B_{j}\right)\right]_{m \times m} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invertible. So both sets $\left\{\phi\left(A_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(A_{m}\right)\right\} \subseteq W_{1}$ and $\left\{\psi\left(B_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(B_{m}\right)\right\} \subseteq W_{2}$ are linearly independent. By assumption (1), $\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle$, and $\left\{\phi\left(A_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(A_{m}\right)\right\}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left\{\psi\left(B_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(B_{m}\right)\right\}\right)$ is a basis of $W_{1}$ (resp. $W_{2}$.)

Let $\widetilde{\phi}:\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\widetilde{\psi}:\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle$ be the linear maps defined in the way that for all $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{F}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} A_{i}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \phi\left(A_{i}\right), \quad \widetilde{\psi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} B_{i}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \psi\left(B_{i}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If a linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} A_{i} \in V_{1}$, then for all $j=1, \ldots, m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left[\widetilde{\phi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} A_{i}\right) \psi\left(B_{j}\right)\right] & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi\left(A_{i}\right) \psi\left(B_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{i} B_{j}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} A_{i}\right) B_{j}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} A_{i}\right) \psi\left(B_{j}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

So by assumption (3) and (2.3), $\widetilde{\phi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} A_{i}\right)=\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} A_{i}\right)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\phi}:\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle$ is a linear bijection and an extension of $\phi: V_{1} \rightarrow W_{1}$. Similarly, $\widetilde{\psi}:\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle$ is a linear bijection and an extension of $\psi: V_{2} \rightarrow W_{2}$.

Theorem 2.1 can be applied to [1, Lemma 3.5] which says that: if $U$ and $V$ are nonempty open subsets of $M_{m, n}$ and $M_{n, m}$ respectively, $\phi: U \rightarrow M_{p, q}$ and $\psi: V \rightarrow M_{q, p}$ satisfy that $\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for all $A \in U$ and $B \in V$, and $m n \geq p q$, then $\phi$ and $\psi$ can be extended to linear bijections $M_{m, n} \rightarrow M_{p, q}$ and $M_{n, m} \rightarrow M_{q, p}$ respectively. Theorem 2.1 on maps $M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ also generalizes the result: if a map $\phi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ satisfies $\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \phi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for all $A, B \in M_{n}$, then $\phi$ must be a linear bijection in Molnár [14, proof of Theorem 1] and in J. T. Chan, C. K. Li, and N. S. Sze [4, Prop. 1.1].

A subset $V$ of $M_{n}$ is closed under Hermitian conjugate if $\left\{A^{*}: A \in V\right\} \subseteq V$. The following are some examples:
(1) Each of $M_{n}, H_{n}, P_{n}, \overline{P_{n}}, D_{n}$, and the sets of real/complex symmetric/skew-symmetric matrices, is closed under Hermitian conjugate.
(2) A combination of intersections, unions, sums, direct sums, Kronecker products and compounds of matrices in some sets closed under Hermitian conjugate will generate a set closed under Hermitian conjugate.

A real or complex matrix space $V$ is closed under Hermitian conjugate if and only if $V$ equals the direct sum of subspace of Hermitian matrices and subspace of skew-Hermitian matrices.

Corollary 2.2. Let $V$ be a subset of $M_{n}$ closed under Hermitian conjugate. Suppose two maps $\phi, \psi: V \rightarrow V$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad A, B \in V . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\phi$ and $\psi$ can be extended to linear bijections on $\langle V\rangle$. Moreover, when $V$ is a vector space, every linear bijection $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ corresponds to a unique linear bijection $\psi: V \rightarrow V$ such that (2.5) holds. Explicitly, given an orthonormal basis $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{\ell}\right\}$ of $V$ with respect to the inner product $\langle A, B\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} B\right)$, $\psi$ is defined by $\psi\left(A_{i}\right)=B_{i}$ in which $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}\right\}$ is a basis of $V$ with $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi\left(A_{i}^{*}\right) B_{j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}$ for all $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$.

A similar result of Theorem 2.1 is obtained by assuming linearity of $\phi$ and $\psi$ but dropping the dimension restriction on their codomains, in which $\phi$ and $\psi$ can be extended to injective linear maps preserving trace of product. A map $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ between subsets of vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$ is called linear if $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, c_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+c_{k} v_{k} \in V$ in which $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} \in \mathbb{F}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(c_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+c_{k} v_{k}\right)=c_{1} \phi\left(v_{1}\right)+\cdots+c_{k} \phi\left(v_{k}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $\phi: V_{1} \rightarrow W_{1}$ and $\psi: V_{2} \rightarrow W_{2}$ be two linear maps between subsets of matrix spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$ such that:
(1) $\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle$.
(2) $A B$ are well-defined square matrices for $(A, B) \in\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right) \cup\left(W_{1} \times W_{2}\right)$.
(3) If $A \in\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle$ satisfies that $\operatorname{tr}(A B)=0$ for all $B \in\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle$, then $A=0$.
(4) $\phi$ and $\psi$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad A \in V_{1}, B \in V_{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle \leq \min \left\{\operatorname{dim}\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle, \operatorname{dim}\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle\right\}$ and $\phi$ and $\psi$ can be extended to two injective linear maps $\widetilde{\phi}:\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\widetilde{\psi}:\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{\phi}(A) \widetilde{\psi}(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad A \in\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle, B \in\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have $\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle V_{2}\right\rangle \geq \max \{\operatorname{dim}\langle\operatorname{Im} \phi\rangle, \operatorname{dim}\langle\operatorname{Im} \psi\rangle\} . V$ View $\phi$ and $\psi$ as $\phi$ : $V_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \phi$ and $\psi: V_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \psi$ and apply Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4. Let $V$ and $W$ be subsets of matrix spaces in which $V$ is closed under Hermitian conjugate. Suppose two linear maps $\phi, \psi: V \rightarrow W$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad A, B \in V . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\phi$ and $\psi$ can be extended to injective linear maps $\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\psi}:\langle V\rangle \rightarrow\langle W\rangle$ preserving trace of product. In particular, $\operatorname{dim}\langle V\rangle \leq \operatorname{dim}\langle W\rangle$.

## 3. MAPS ON $M_{n}$

Corollary 2.2 shows that every linear bijection $\phi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ corresponds to another linear bijection $\psi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for all $A, B \in M_{n}$. If there are three or more maps $M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ preserve trace of products, they can be explicitly described as follow.

Theorem 3.1. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m \geq 3$. Maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in M_{n}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if there are invertible matrices $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{m} \in M_{n}$ and $N_{m+1}:=N_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=N_{i} A N_{i+1}^{-1}, \quad \forall A \in M_{n} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that (3.1) implies (3.2). Let $\psi_{1}(B):=\phi_{2}(B) \phi_{3}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I)$. Then $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \psi_{1}(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for $A, B \in M_{n}$. By Corollary 2.2, $\phi_{1}$ and $\psi_{1}$ are linear bijections. So $\phi_{3}(I), \cdots, \phi_{m}(I)$ are invertible. Similarly, all $\phi_{i}$ 's are linear bijections and all $\phi_{i}(I)$ 's are invertible.

For all $A, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{m} \in M_{n}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}\left(B_{2}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(B_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A B_{2} \cdots B_{m}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \psi_{1}\left(B_{2} \cdots B_{m}\right)\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} \phi_{1}=M_{n}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}\left(B_{2}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(B_{m}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(B_{2} \cdots B_{m}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(B_{2} \cdots B_{m}\right) \phi_{3}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B_{4}=\cdots=B_{m}=I$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}\left(B_{2}\right) \phi_{3}\left(B_{3}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(B_{2} B_{3}\right) \phi_{3}(I) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B_{2}=I$ in (3.4), then $\phi_{2}(I) \phi_{3}\left(B_{3}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(B_{3}\right) \phi_{3}(I)$ so that $\phi_{3}\left(B_{3}\right)=\phi_{2}(I)^{-1} \phi_{2}\left(B_{3}\right) \phi_{3}(I)$. So (3.4) becomes $\phi_{2}\left(B_{2}\right) \phi_{2}(I)^{-1} \phi_{2}\left(B_{3}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(B_{2} B_{3}\right)$. Let $\widehat{\phi_{2}}(A):=\phi_{2}(A) \phi_{2}(I)^{-1}$ then $\widehat{\phi_{2}}(I)=$ $I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\phi_{2}}(A) \widehat{\phi_{2}}(B)=\widehat{\phi_{2}}(A B), \quad \forall A, B \in M_{n} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\widehat{\phi_{2}}$ is an algebra automorphism of $M_{n}$, which must be of the form $\widehat{\phi_{2}}(A)=N_{2} A N_{2}^{-1}$ for an invertible $N_{2} \in M_{n}$. Let $N_{3}:=\phi_{2}(I)^{-1} N_{2}$ and $N_{4}:=\phi_{3}(I)^{-1} N_{3}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{2}(A)=\widehat{\phi_{2}}(A) \phi_{2}(I)=N_{2} A N_{3}^{-1},  \tag{3.6}\\
& \phi_{3}(A)=\phi_{2}(I)^{-1} \phi_{2}(A) \phi_{3}(I)=\phi_{2}(I)^{-1} N_{2} A N_{3}^{-1} \phi_{3}(I)=N_{3} A N_{4}^{-1} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, there are invertible $N_{5}, \ldots, N_{m}, N_{m+1}$ and $N_{1}:=N_{m+1}$ such that $\phi_{i}(A)=N_{i} A N_{i+1}^{-1}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, m$.

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 also holds if all $M_{n}$ are replaced by $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, and the proof is analogous.

The following two examples show that two maps $M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ preserving trace of product may not be of the form (3.2).

Example 3.3. Suppose that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are two maps on $M_{n}$ which are defined by $\phi(A)=$ $A \circ C, \quad \psi(A)=A \circ \hat{C}$, where $C=\left(c_{i j}\right) \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{C}=\left(\frac{1}{c_{i j}}\right)$ with $c_{i j} \neq 0$ for all $i, j$. Here, $A \circ C$ denotes the Hadamard product of $A$ and $C$. Then the maps $\phi$ and $\psi$ send from $H_{n}$ to $H_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ to $S_{n}$. Moreover, one can show that $\phi$ and $\psi$ satisfy the equality

$$
\operatorname{tr} \phi(A) \psi(B)=\operatorname{tr} A B, \quad \forall A, B \in M_{n}
$$

Example 3.4. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n} \in M_{n}$ be invertible matrices with $A_{i} B_{i}=I_{n}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\left\{P_{i j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{i j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\}$ be the subsets of $M_{n}$, where $P_{i j}=E_{i j} A_{i}$ and $Q_{i j}=B_{j} E_{i j}$. Define two maps $\phi$ and $\psi$ on $M_{n}$ by

$$
\phi\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i j} E_{i j}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i j} P_{i j}, \quad \psi\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} d_{i j} E_{i j}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} d_{i j} Q_{i j}
$$

Then one can show that

$$
\operatorname{tr} \phi(A) \psi(B)=\operatorname{tr} A B, \quad \forall A, B \in M_{n}
$$

3.1. Linear Maps $M_{n} \rightarrow M_{k}$. Now let $m \geq 2$ and we further consider linear maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ : $M_{n} \rightarrow M_{k}$ preserving trace of products:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{m} \in M_{n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.5. When $n>k$ and $m \geq 2$, there are no linear maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{k}$ that satisfy (3.8).

Proof. Suppose on the contrary, there exist linear maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{k}$ that satisfy $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right)$ for all $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in M_{n}$. Let

$$
\psi(B):=\phi_{2}(B) \phi_{3}\left(I_{n}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(I_{n}\right)
$$

Then both $\phi_{1}$ and $\psi$ are linear maps and $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \psi(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for $A, B \in M_{n}$. Let $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n^{2}}\right\}$ be a basis of $M_{n}$. The matrix $\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(B_{i} B_{j}\right)\right)_{n^{2} \times n^{2}}$ has full rank $n^{2}$, but the matrix $\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(B_{i}\right) \psi\left(B_{j}\right)\right)\right)_{n^{2} \times n^{2}}$ has rank no more than $k^{2}<n^{2}$. This is a contradiction.

Let $0_{n}$ denote the zero matrix of size $n \times n$.

Theorem 3.6. Suppose $n \leq k$ and linear maps $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{k}$ satisfy (3.8) for $m=2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad A, B \in M_{n} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there are linear bijections $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}, \widetilde{\phi}_{2}: M_{k} \rightarrow M_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{1}(\widetilde{A}) \widetilde{\phi}_{2}(\widetilde{B})\right) & =\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{A} \widetilde{B}), \quad \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B} \in M_{k}  \tag{3.10}\\
\widetilde{\phi}_{i}\left(A \oplus 0_{k-n}\right) & =\phi_{i}(A), \quad A \in M_{n}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ both preserve Hermitian matrices, then $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_{2}$ can be chosen to preserve Hermitian matrices.

Proof. Denote

$$
\begin{align*}
V & :=\left\{A \oplus 0_{k-n}: A \in M_{n}\right\},  \tag{3.12}\\
W & :=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0_{n} & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)_{k \times k}: B \in M_{n, k-n}, C \in M_{k-n, n}, D \in M_{k-n}\right\} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $M_{k}=V \oplus W$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{A} \widetilde{B})=0$ for all $\widetilde{A} \in V$ and $\widetilde{B} \in W$. Let $\left\{\widetilde{C_{1}}, \ldots, \widetilde{C_{k^{2}-n^{2}}}\right\}$ be a basis of $W$. The matrix $\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{C_{p}} \widetilde{C_{q}}\right)\right)_{\left(k^{2}-n^{2}\right) \times\left(k^{2}-n^{2}\right)}$ is invertible.

For $i=1,2$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}:=\left\{\widetilde{B} \in M_{k}: \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{i}(A) \widetilde{B}\right)=0 \text { for all } A \in M_{n}\right\} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (3.9) implies that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cap X_{2}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \cap X_{1}=\left\{0_{k}\right\}$. Corollary 2.4 shows that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are injections. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \oplus X_{2}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \oplus X_{1} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a basis $\left\{\widetilde{E_{1}}, \ldots, \widetilde{E_{k^{2}-n^{2}}}\right\}$ of $X_{1}$. There is a unique basis $\left\{\widetilde{F_{1}}, \ldots, \widetilde{F_{k^{2}-n^{2}}}\right\}$ of $X_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{E_{i}} \widetilde{F_{j}}\right)\right)_{\left(k^{2}-n^{2}\right) \times\left(k^{2}-n^{2}\right)}=\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{C}_{i} \widetilde{C}_{j}\right)\right)_{\left(k^{2}-n^{2}\right) \times\left(k^{2}-n^{2}\right)} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}, \widetilde{\phi}_{2}: M_{k} \rightarrow M_{k}$ be the linear maps defined by

- $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}\left(A \oplus 0_{k-n}\right)=\phi_{i}(A)$ for $A \in M_{n}$ and $i=1,2$;
- $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}\left(\widetilde{C_{j}}\right)=\widetilde{E_{j}}$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_{2}\left(\widetilde{C_{j}}\right)=\widetilde{F_{j}}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k^{2}-n^{2}$.

Then $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_{2}$ are linear bijections, $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}\left(A \oplus 0_{k-n}\right)=\phi_{i}(A)$ for $A \in M_{n}$ and $i=1,2$, and (3.10) holds.

Finally, suppose $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ both preserve Hermitian matrices. Since $M_{n}$ has a basis consisting of Hermitian matrices, so do $\operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{2}\right)$. We can let the sets $\left\{\widetilde{C_{1}}, \ldots, \widetilde{C_{k^{2}-n^{2}}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\widetilde{E_{1}}, \ldots, \widetilde{E_{k^{2}-n^{2}}}\right\}$ consist entirely of Hermitian matrices. Then each $\widetilde{F_{j}}$ in the set $\left\{\widetilde{F_{1}}, \ldots, \widetilde{F_{k^{2}-n^{2}}}\right\}$ satisfies that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{2}(A) \widetilde{F_{j}}\right)=0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{E_{i}} \widetilde{F_{j}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{C_{i}} \widetilde{C_{j}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

which is possible only when each $\widetilde{F_{j}}$ is a Hermitian matrix. Therefore, the maps $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_{2}$ constructed above preserve Hermitian matrices.

Example 3.7. Analogous linear map extension result of Theorem 3.6 for $m>2$ does not hold. Consider $k=2 n$ and $m=3$. Let $X \in M_{n}$ be an arbitrary nonscalar matrix. Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{2 n}$ such that

$$
\phi_{1}(A)=A \oplus 0_{n}, \quad \phi_{2}(B)=B \oplus B, \quad \phi_{3}(C)=C \oplus X C X^{*}, \quad A, B, C \in M_{n} .
$$

Obviously,

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(B) \phi_{3}(C)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B C), \quad A, B, C \in M_{n} .
$$

Suppose there are linear bijections $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}, \widetilde{\phi}_{2}, \widetilde{\phi}_{3}: M_{2 n} \rightarrow M_{2 n}$ such that $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}\left(A \oplus 0_{n}\right)=\phi_{i}(A)$ for $A \in M_{n}$ and $i=1,2,3$, and

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{1}(\widetilde{A}) \widetilde{\phi}_{2}(\widetilde{B}) \widetilde{\phi}_{3}(\widetilde{C})\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{A} \widetilde{B} \widetilde{C}), \quad \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{C} \in M_{2 n}
$$

Then by (3.4), it is necessary that for all $B, C \in M_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{2}\left(B \oplus 0_{n}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{3}\left(C \oplus 0_{n}\right) & =\widetilde{\phi}_{2}\left(B C \oplus 0_{n}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{3}\left(I_{2 n}\right), \quad \text { that } i s, \\
(B \oplus B)\left(C \oplus X C X^{*}\right)=B C \oplus B X C X^{*} & =(B C \oplus B C) \widetilde{\phi}_{3}\left(I_{2 n}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

However, since $X$ is selected from nonscalar matrices, no matrix $\widetilde{\phi}_{3}\left(I_{2 n}\right) \in M_{2 n}$ can satisfy (3.17) for all $B, C \in M_{n}$. So the statement in Theorem 3.6 is not true if $m>2$. This example also indicates that analogous statement on maps $H_{n} \rightarrow H_{k}$ is not true for $m>2$ either.

## 4. Maps on $H_{n}$ and $P_{n}$

In this section, we investigate maps $H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$ and $P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ that preserve a trace of products property. These two types of maps lead to different results, especially in the trace of products of two maps.
4.1. Maps $H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$ preserving trace of products. By Corollary 2.2, every linear bijection $\phi: H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$ corresponds to another linear bijection $\psi: H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for all $A, B \in H_{n}$. Some examples can be analogously developed from Example 3.3.

We investigate the problem of three or more maps $H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$ preserving trace of products.
Theorem 4.1. Suppose $m \geq 3$. Maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}: H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in H_{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if they can be described as follow:
(1) When $m$ is odd, there exist a unitary matrix $U \in M_{n}$ and nonzero real scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} U^{*} A U, \quad \forall A \in H_{n}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) When $m$ is even, there exist an invertible $M \in M_{n}$ and nonzero real scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\phi_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} M^{*} A M, & i \text { is odd, }  \tag{4.3}\\
c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-*}, & i \text { is even },
\end{array} \quad \forall A \in H_{n} .\right.
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that (4.1) implies (4.2) (when $m$ is odd) or (4.3) (when $m$ is even).
Let $\psi_{1}: H_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ be defined by

$$
\psi_{1}(B)=\phi_{2}(B) \phi_{3}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I), \quad B \in H_{n}
$$

The (4.1) implies that $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \psi_{1}(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for $A, B \in H_{n}$. By Theorem 2.1 and the fact that the complex span of $H_{n}$ is $M_{n}, \phi_{1}$ can be extended to a linear bijection $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ on $M_{n}$. Similarly, each $\phi_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$ can be extended to a linear bijection $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}$ on $M_{n}$.

Now both sides of (4.1) can be viewed as multilinear functions on $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right) \in H_{n}{ }^{\times m}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\phi}_{m}$ satisfy equality (3.1) after replacing each $\phi_{i}$ with $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}$. Theorem 3.1 says that there are invertible $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{m} \in M_{n}$ and $N_{m+1}:=N_{1}$ such that each $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}(A)=N_{i} A N_{i+1}^{-1}$ for $A \in M_{n}$. So for all $A \in H_{n}, \phi_{i}(A)=N_{i} A N_{i+1}^{-1} \in H_{n}$. This could happen if and only if $N_{i+1}^{-1}=c_{i}^{\prime} N_{i}^{*}$ for a nonzero real scalar $c_{i}^{\prime}$, in which $\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i}^{\prime} N_{i} A N_{i}^{*}$. Let $M:=N_{1}^{*}$. Then $\phi_{1}(A)=c_{1} M^{*} A M$ for a nonzero real number $c_{1}$, and $\phi_{2}(A)=c_{2} M^{-1} A M^{-*}, \phi_{3}(A)=$ $c_{3} M^{*} A M$, and so on.
(1) When $m$ is odd, $\phi_{m}(A)=c_{m} M^{*} A M=N_{m} A N_{m+1}^{-1}=N_{m} A N_{1}^{-1}=N_{m} A M^{-*}$. So $M=c M^{-*}$ or $M M^{*}=c I$ for a scalar $c$. By adjusting the scalar, we may assume that $U:=M$ is unitary, and $\phi_{i}$ are given by (4.2). Then necessarily $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$.
(2) When $m$ is even, we get the form (4.3). Clearly $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$.

Remark 4.2. Real Hermitian matrices are real symmetric matrices. The real version of Theorem 4.1 also holds. See Theorem 5.2 on $S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$.
4.2. Maps $P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ preserving trace of products. Now consider the maps $P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ that preserve trace of products. Many properties of these maps can be generalized to their counterparts for the maps $P_{n} \rightarrow P_{n}$ and $\overline{P_{n}} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$. Note that linear maps $\overline{P_{n}} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ are positive maps, which are popular and useful in quantum information theory.

Unlike the situations in $M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ and $H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$, two maps $\phi, \psi: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ (similarly for $P_{n} \rightarrow P_{n}$ and $\overline{P_{n}} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ ) preserving trace of products have the following special forms.

Theorem 4.3. Two maps $\phi: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ and $\psi: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad \forall A, B \in P_{n} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if the following claims hold:
(1) If $\phi(I)=I$, then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in M_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(A)=\psi(A)=U^{*} A U \quad \text { or } \quad \phi(A)=\psi(A)=U^{*} A^{t} U, \quad \forall A \in P_{n} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) In general, there exists an invertible $M \in M_{n}$ such that for all $A \in P_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi(A)=M^{*} A M, \psi(A)=M^{-1} A M^{-*} ; & \text { or } \\
\phi(A)=M^{*} A^{t} M, \psi(A)=M^{-1} A^{t} M^{-*} . & \tag{4.6b}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The maps defined by (4.5) and (4.6) obviously satisfy (4.4). We shall prove the converse. By Theorem 2.1, $\phi$ and $\psi$ can be extended to linear bijections $H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$, still denoted as $\phi$ and $\psi$ here, that satisfy (4.4).
(1) If $\phi(I)=I$, then (4.4) implies that $\operatorname{tr} \psi(A)=\operatorname{tr} A$ for $A \in P_{n}$. Given a rank one projection $P$, we have $\phi(P), \psi(P) \in \overline{P_{n}}$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\operatorname{tr} P=\operatorname{tr}\left(P^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\phi(P) \psi(P))  \tag{4.7}\\
& \leq\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi(P)^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(\psi(P)^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \leq \operatorname{tr} \phi(P) \operatorname{tr} \psi(P)=\operatorname{tr} \phi(P) \operatorname{tr} P=\operatorname{tr} \phi(P) . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $E_{i j} \in M_{n}$ be the matrix with a sole nonzero value 1 in the $(i, j)$ entry. Write $P=W E_{11} W^{*}$ for a unitary $W$. Then $I$ is a sum of $n$ rank one projections $I=$ $P+P^{(2)}+\cdots+P^{(n)}$ in which $P^{(i)}:=W E_{i i} W^{*}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\operatorname{tr} \phi(I)=\operatorname{tr} \phi(P)+\operatorname{tr} \phi\left(P^{(2)}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{tr} \phi\left(P^{(n)}\right) \geq n . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{tr} \phi(P)=1$. The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (4.8) becomes equality only if $\psi(P)=c \phi(P)$ for some $c \geq 0$; the inequality in (4.9) becomes equality only if both $\phi(P)$ and $\psi(P)$ are rank one. Then $\operatorname{tr} \phi(P)=1$ and $\operatorname{tr} \psi(P)=\operatorname{tr} P=1$ imply that $\psi(P)=\phi(P)$ are rank one projections.

Since all rank one projections span $H_{n}$, we have $\phi(A)=\psi(A)$ for all $A \in P_{n}$. Then (4.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \phi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B), \quad \forall A, B \in P_{n} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [6, Lemma 3.6], there is a unitary $U$ such that $\phi=\psi$ are given by (4.5):

$$
\phi(A)=\psi(A)=U^{*} A U \quad \text { or } \quad \phi(A)=\psi(A)=U^{*} A^{t} U
$$

(2) In general, we first show that $\phi(I)$ is invertible. Suppose on the contrary, $\phi(I)$ is not invertible. Then $\phi(I) v=0$ for certain nonzero $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. By the linear bijectivity of $\psi$ on $H_{n}$, there exists a nonzero $B \in H_{n}$ such that $\psi(B)=v v^{*}$. If $B \in \overline{P_{n}}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi(I) v v^{*}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\phi(I) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(B) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts $B \neq 0$. So $B \notin \overline{P_{n}}$ and $B$ has a negative eigenvalue. Using spectral decomposition, there exists $A \in P_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(A B)<0$. Then $\phi(A) \in \overline{P_{n}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0>\operatorname{tr}(A B)=\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B)) \geq 0, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also a contradiction. Therefore, $\phi(I) \in \overline{P_{n}}$ must be invertible.
Define $\phi_{1}(A):=\phi(I)^{-1 / 2} \phi(A) \phi(I)^{-1 / 2}$ and $\psi_{1}(B):=\phi(I)^{1 / 2} \psi(B) \phi(I)^{1 / 2}$. Then $\phi_{1}: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ and $\psi_{1}: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ satisfy (4.4) and $\phi_{1}(I)=I$. By preceding argument,
there is a unitary $U \in M_{n}$ such that $\phi_{1}(A)=\psi_{1}(A)=U^{*} A U$ or $\phi_{1}(A)=\psi_{1}(A)=$ $U^{*} A^{t} U$. Therefore, let $M:=U \phi(I)^{1 / 2}$, then either $\phi(A)=M^{*} A M$ and $\psi(A)=$ $M^{-1} A M^{-*}$, or $\phi(A)=M^{*} A^{t} M$ and $\psi(A)=M^{-1} A^{t} M^{-*}$.

Next consider $m \geq 3$ maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ from $P_{n}$ to $\overline{P_{n}}$ that preserve trace of products. The following result can be proved by Theorem 4.1. We will give another proof by Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. Let $m \geq 3$. Maps $\phi_{i}: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in P_{n} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if they are described as follow:
(1) When $m$ is odd, there exist a unitary matrix $U \in M_{n}$ and scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} U^{*} A U, \quad \forall A \in P_{n}, i=1, \ldots, m . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) When $m$ is even, there exist an invertible $M \in M_{n}$ and scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\phi_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} M^{*} A M, & i \text { is odd, }  \tag{4.16}\\
c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-*}, & i \text { is even },
\end{array} \quad \forall A \in P_{n}\right.
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that (4.14) implies (4.15) when $m$ is odd and (4.16) when $m$ is even. Let $C:=\phi_{3}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I)$. In Theorem 2.1, let $\phi: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ such that $\phi(A):=\phi_{1}(A)$, and $\psi: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}} C$ such that $\psi(A):=\phi_{2}(A) C$. The complex spanning space $\left\langle P_{n}\right\rangle=M_{n}$. So $\phi$ and $\psi$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. It implies that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ can be extended to linear bijections $\widetilde{\phi_{1}}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\phi_{2}}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ respectively, which necessarily map $H_{n}$ to $H_{n}$ bijectively.

Let $A_{1}, A_{2} \in P_{n}$ and $B_{1} \in H_{n}$. There exists $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $A_{1}+t B_{1} \in P_{n}$. (4.14) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)+t \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{\phi_{1}}\left(B_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C\right) \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C\right)+t \operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\phi_{1}}\left(B_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C\right) \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}+t B_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C\right) \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(A_{1}+t B_{1}\right) A_{2}\right] \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left.\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{\left(\phi_{1}\right.}\left(B_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ for any Hermitian matrix $B_{1}$. The bijectivity of $\left.\widetilde{\phi_{1}}\right|_{H_{n}}$ : $H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$ implies that $\operatorname{tr}\left(B \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $B \in H_{n}$.

Write $\phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C=A^{\prime}+\mathbf{i} A^{\prime \prime}$ for $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime} \in H_{n}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\prime \prime} A^{\prime}\right)+\mathbf{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\prime \prime} A^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\prime \prime} \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C\right) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

So $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\prime \prime} A^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ and $A^{\prime \prime}=0$. Hence $\phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) C \in H_{n}$ for all $A_{2} \in P_{n}$.

Every Hermitian matrix is the difference of two positive definite matrices. Thus for all $B \in H_{n}$ we have $\widetilde{\phi_{2}}(B) C \in H_{n}$. The bijectivity of $\left.\widetilde{\phi_{2}}\right|_{H_{n}}: H_{n} \rightarrow H_{n}$ implies that $A C \in H_{n}$ for all $A \in H_{n}$. This is true only when $\phi_{3}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I)=C=c I$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$; and (4.14) implies that $c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Let $c_{1,2}:=\frac{1}{c}$. Then $c_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and (4.14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)\right)=c_{1,2} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, A_{2} \in P_{n} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, the identity (4.14) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right) \phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{i-1}\left(A_{i-1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{i} \cdots A_{m} A_{1} \cdots A_{i-1}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in P_{n}$. Similarly, we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i+2}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I) \phi_{1}(I) \cdots \phi_{i-1}(I)=\frac{1}{c_{i, i+1}} I \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{i, i+1} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. So (4.18) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) \phi_{i+1}\left(A_{i+1}\right)\right)=c_{i, i+1} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{i} A_{i+1}\right), \quad \forall A_{i}, A_{i+1} \in P_{n} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.3 and (4.17) indicate that there exist an invertible $M \in M_{n}$ and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}(A)=c_{1} M^{*} A M, \phi_{2}(A)=c_{2} M^{-1} A M^{-*} ; \text { or }  \tag{4.21}\\
& \phi_{1}(A)=c_{1} M^{*} A^{t} M, \phi_{2}(A)=c_{2} M^{-1} A^{t} M^{-*} \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

(1) If (4.21) holds, then (4.20) for $i=2$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{2} M^{-*} \phi_{3}\left(A_{3}\right) M^{-1}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(M^{-1} A_{2} M^{-*} \phi_{3}\left(A_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{c_{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) \phi_{3}\left(A_{3}\right)\right)=\frac{c_{2,3}}{c_{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $A_{2}, A_{3} \in P_{n}$. So $\phi_{3}(A)=c_{3} M^{*} A M$ for some $c_{3} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Similarly,

$$
\phi_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} M^{*} A M & \text { if } 2 \nmid i,  \tag{4.23}\\
c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-*} & \text { if } 2 \mid i,
\end{array} \text { for } i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}\right.
$$

If $m$ is even, then (4.14) implies that $c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{m}=1$; so (4.16) holds. If $m$ is odd, then (4.20) for $i=1$ and $i=m$ imply that there are $c_{1}, c_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $c_{1} M^{*} A M=c_{m+1} M^{-1} A M^{-*}$ and thus

$$
c_{1} M M^{*} A M M^{*}=c_{m+1} A, \quad \forall A \in P_{n}
$$

Hence $M M^{*}$ is a scalar matrix. We may adjust the matrix $M$ and the scalars $c_{i}$ 's such that $U:=M$ is unitary, and $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$. So (4.15) holds.
(2) If (4.22) holds, then similarly we have

$$
\phi_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} M^{*} A^{t} M & \text { if } 2 \nmid i,  \tag{4.24}\\
c_{i} M^{-1} A^{t} M^{-*} & \text { if } 2 \mid i,
\end{array} \quad \text { for } \quad i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}\right.
$$

Moreover, $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$, and $M$ is unitary when $m$ is odd. However, when $m \geq 3$, none of the expressions (4.24) satisfies (4.14).

Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 can be generalized to a result involving powers.
Corollary 4.5. Let an integer $m \geq 2$ and nonzero real numbers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ be given. Maps $\phi_{i}: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)^{\alpha_{m}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots A_{m}^{\beta_{m}}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in P_{n} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if they are described as follow:
(1) When $m$ is odd, there exists a unitary matrix $U \in M_{n}$ and scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i}^{1 / \alpha_{i}} U^{*} A^{\beta_{i} / \alpha_{i}} U, \quad \forall A \in P_{n}, i=1, \ldots, m . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) When $m$ is even, there exists an invertible $M \in M_{n}$ and scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\phi_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i}^{1 / \alpha_{i}}\left(M^{*} A^{\beta_{i}} M\right)^{1 / \alpha_{i}}, & i \text { is odd, }  \tag{4.27}\\
c_{i}^{1 / \alpha_{i}}\left(M^{-1} A^{\beta_{i}} M^{-*}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{i}}, & i \text { is even, }
\end{array} \quad \forall A \in P_{n} .\right.
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that (4.25) implies (4.26) (when $m$ is odd) or (4.27) (when $m$ is even). Define $\psi_{i}: P_{n} \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}}$ such that $\psi_{i}(A):=\phi_{i}\left(A^{1 / \beta_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Then

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\psi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \psi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in P_{n}
$$

Apply Theorem 4.3 for $m=2$ and Theorem 4.4 for $m \geq 3$ to complete the proof.
All theorems in this subsection have counterparts in the maps $P_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}(\mathbb{R})}$. The real versions of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 are as follow.

Theorem 4.6. Let $m \geq 2$. Maps $\phi_{i}: P_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \overline{P_{n}(\mathbb{R})}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in P_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, if and only if they are described as follow:
(1) When $m$ is odd, there exist an orthogonal matrix $O \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} O^{t} A O, \quad \forall A \in P_{n}(\mathbb{R}), i=1, \ldots, m \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) When $m$ is even, there exist an invertible $M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\phi_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} M^{t} A M, & i \text { is odd, }  \tag{4.30}\\
c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-t}, & i \text { is even, }
\end{array} \quad \forall A \in P_{n}(\mathbb{R}) .\right.
$$

Proof. We extend each $\phi_{i}$ to a map $S_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and still denote it $\phi_{i}$ by abuse of terminologies. For each $A \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, choose arbitrary $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A+c I_{n} \in P_{n}(\mathbb{R})$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=\phi_{i}\left(A+c I_{n}\right)-c \phi_{i}\left(I_{n}\right) . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality (4.28) guarantees that each $\phi_{i}: S_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is well-defined, and they satisfy (4.28) for all $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$.

When $m \geq 3$, the statement can be proved by Theorem 5.2 in the next section.
When $m=2$, we have $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)$ for all $A_{1}, A_{2} \in P_{n}(\mathbb{R})$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3 (2), we see that $\phi_{1}(I)$ is invertible. Replacing $\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)\right)$ by $\left(\phi_{1}(I)^{-1 / 2} \phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \phi_{1}(I)^{-1 / 2}, \phi_{1}(I)^{1 / 2} \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) \phi_{1}(I)^{1 / 2}\right)$ if necessary, we may assume that $\phi_{1}(I)=$ I. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3 (1), we get $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A)$ for $A \in P_{n}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A)^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(A)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A^{2}\right)\right), \quad \forall A \in P_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Kadison's inequality [7, p. 495], $\phi_{1}(A)^{2} \leq \phi_{1}\left(A^{2}\right)$. The equality (4.32) forces $\phi_{1}(A)^{2}=$ $\phi_{1}\left(A^{2}\right)$ for all $A \in P_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and thus for all $A \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$. Now extend $\phi_{1}$ to a linear map $\widetilde{\phi_{1}}: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ such that for all $A, B \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi_{1}}(A+\mathbf{i} B)=\phi_{1}(A)+\mathbf{i} \phi_{1}(B) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\phi_{1}}\left((A+\mathbf{i} B)^{2}\right) & =\phi_{1}\left(A^{2}-B^{2}\right)+\mathbf{i} \phi_{1}\left((A+B)^{2}-A^{2}-B^{2}\right)  \tag{4.34}\\
& =\phi_{1}(A)^{2}-\phi_{1}(B)^{2}+\mathbf{i}\left(\phi_{1}(A+B)^{2}-\phi_{1}(A)^{2}-\phi_{1}(B)^{2}\right)  \tag{4.35}\\
& =\phi_{1}(A)^{2}-\phi_{1}(B)^{2}+\mathbf{i}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{1}(B)+\phi_{1}(B) \phi_{1}(A)\right)  \tag{4.36}\\
& =\widetilde{\phi_{1}}(A+\mathbf{i} B)^{2} . \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

By [3, Theorem 2], there is an orthogonal matrix $O=\left[o_{i j}\right] \in M_{n}$ such that $\phi_{1}(A)=\phi_{2}(A)=$ $O^{t} A O$ for $A \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that every $B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a product of two real symmetric matrices, say $B=A_{1} A_{2}$ for $A_{1}, A_{2} \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, so that

$$
O^{t} B O=O^{t} A_{1} O O^{t} A_{2} O=\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right) \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R}) .
$$

The $(p, q)$ entry of $O^{t} E_{i j} O$ is $o_{i p} o_{j q} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i, j, p, q \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Hence the entries of $O$ are either all real or all pure imaginary. Since $O$ is orthogonal, it must be real orthogonal. The statement for $m=2$ has been proved.

## 5. MAPS ON $S_{n}$

By Corollary 2.2, every linear bijection $\phi: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ corresponds to another linear bijection $\psi: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \psi(B))=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for all $A, B \in S_{n}$. Some examples can be analogously developed from Example 3.3.

We now study the problem of three or more maps $S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ preserving trace of products.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose $m \geq 3$. Maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if they are described as follow:
(1) When $m$ is odd, there exist an orthogonal matrix $O \in M_{n}$ and nonzero complex scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} O^{t} A O, \quad \forall A \in S_{n}, i=1, \ldots, m . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) When $m$ is even, there exist an invertible $M \in M_{n}$ and nonzero complex scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\phi_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} M^{t} A M, & i \text { is odd },  \tag{5.3}\\
c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-t}, & i \text { is even },
\end{array} \quad \forall A \in S_{n} .\right.
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that (5.1) implies (5.2) (when $m$ is odd) or (5.3) (when $m$ is even). Let $C_{1}:=\phi_{3}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I)$, and $\psi_{1}: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n} C_{1}$ such that $\psi_{1}(B):=\phi_{2}(B) C_{1}$ for $B \in S_{n}$. Then $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \psi_{1}(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for all $A, B \in S_{n}$. Theorem 2.1 implies that $\phi_{1}$ and $\psi_{1}$ are linear bijections. Similarly, each $\phi_{i}$ 's for $i=1, \ldots, m$ is a linear bijection. If $C_{1}$ is singular, then $v^{t} C_{1}=0$ for some $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, so that $v v^{t} \in S_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ but

$$
\psi_{1}\left(\phi_{2}^{-1}\left(v v^{t}\right)\right)=v v^{t} C_{1}=0 .
$$

This contradicts the bijectivity of $\psi_{1}$. Hence $C_{1}$ is invertible. So each of $\phi_{3}(I), \ldots, \phi_{m}(I)$, as well as $\phi_{1}(I)$ and $\phi_{2}(I)$, is invertible.

First we prove the theorem for the case $m=3$.
For all $A, B, C \in S_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left[\phi_{1}(A)\left(\phi_{2}(B) \phi_{3}(C)-\phi_{3}(B) \phi_{2}(C)\right)\right] \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(B) \phi_{3}(C)\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{2}(C) \phi_{3}(B) \phi_{1}(A)\right)^{t} \\
= & \operatorname{tr}(A B C)-\operatorname{tr}(A C B)=\operatorname{tr}(A B C)-\operatorname{tr}(A B C)^{t}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi_{1}: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ is bijective, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}(B) \phi_{3}(C)-\phi_{3}(B) \phi_{2}(C) \in K_{n} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $B=\phi_{2}^{-1}(I)$ and denote $J:=\phi_{3} \circ \phi_{2}^{-1}(I) \in S_{n}$ in (5.4). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}(C)-J \phi_{2}(C) \in K_{n}, \quad \forall C \in S_{n} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}(C)=\frac{1}{2}\left(J \phi_{2}(C)+\phi_{2}(C) J\right), \quad \forall C \in S_{n} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply the expression of $\phi_{3}$ in (5.6) to (5.4):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \phi_{2}(B)\left(J \phi_{2}(C)+\phi_{2}(C) J\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(J \phi_{2}(B)+\phi_{2}(B) J\right) \phi_{2}(C) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \phi_{2}(B) \phi_{2}(C) J-\frac{1}{2} J \phi_{2}(B) \phi_{2}(C) \in K_{n} . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By surjectivity of $\phi_{2}$, the product $\frac{\phi_{2}(B)}{2} \phi_{2}(C)$ of two symmetric matrices can be any matrix in $M_{n}$ (cf. [5, Theorem 3.2.3.2]). So $J A-A J \in K_{n}$ for all $A \in M_{n}$, which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(A-A^{t}\right) \in K_{n}, \quad \forall A \in M_{n} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $A-A^{t}$ in (5.8) goes through all matrices in $K_{n}$. So (5.8) holds if and only if $J=c I$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Then (5.6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}(C)=c \phi_{2}(C), \quad \forall C \in S_{n} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\phi_{1}$ is a nonzero constant multiple of $\phi_{2}$. So there exists a linear bijection $\phi: S_{n} \rightarrow$ $S_{n}$ such that $\phi_{i}=c_{i}^{\prime} \phi$ for $i=1,2,3, c_{1}^{\prime} c_{2}^{\prime} c_{3}^{\prime}=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \phi(B) \phi(C))=\operatorname{tr}(A B C), \quad \forall A, B, C \in S_{n} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A \in S_{n}$ satisfies $A^{2}=A$, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi(A)^{2} \phi(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\phi(A) \phi(I) \phi(B))$ for all $B \in S_{n}$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(A)^{2}-\phi(A) \phi(I) & \in K_{n}, \quad \text { which implies that }  \tag{5.11}\\
2 \phi(A)^{2} & =\phi(A) \phi(I)+\phi(I) \phi(A),  \tag{5.12}\\
\phi(A)^{2} \phi(I)+\phi(A) \phi(I) \phi(A) & =2 \phi(A)^{3}=\phi(A) \phi(I) \phi(A)+\phi(I) \phi(A)^{2},  \tag{5.13}\\
\phi(A)^{2} \phi(I) & =\phi(I) \phi(A)^{2} . \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Fix a basis $\left\{A_{i}: i=1, \ldots,\binom{n+1}{2}, A_{i}^{2}=A_{i}\right\}$ of $S_{n}$. We claim that $\left\{\phi\left(A_{i}\right)^{2}: i=\right.$ $\left.1, \ldots,\binom{n+1}{2}\right\}$ is also a basis of $S_{n}$. Suppose $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\binom{n+1}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} \phi\left(A_{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+a_{\binom{n+1}{2}} \phi\left(A_{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^{2}=0 . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $C \in S_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\operatorname{tr}\left(a_{1} \phi\left(A_{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+a_{\binom{n+1}{2}} \phi\left(A_{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^{2}\right) \phi(C) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(a_{1} A_{1}^{2}+\cdots+a_{\binom{n+1}{2}} A_{\binom{n+1}{2}}^{2}\right) C \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(a_{1} A_{1}+\cdots+a_{\binom{n+1}{2}} A_{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) C . \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $a_{1} A_{1}+\cdots+a_{\binom{n+1}{2}} A_{\binom{n+1}{2}}=0$, so that $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{\binom{n+1}{2}}=0$.
By (5.14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(A_{i}\right)^{2} \phi(I)=\phi(I) \phi\left(A_{i}\right)^{2}, \quad i=1, \ldots,\binom{n+1}{2} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\phi(I)$ commutes with all matrices in $S_{n}$. Therefore, $\phi(I)=c_{0} I$ for some $c_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$. By

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}^{3} n=\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi(I)^{3}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(I^{3}\right)=n \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get $c_{0}^{3}=1$.
Denote the linear bijection $\widetilde{\phi}=\frac{1}{c_{0}} \phi$. Then $\widetilde{\phi}(I)=I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{\phi}(A) \widetilde{\phi}(B) \widetilde{\phi}(C))=\operatorname{tr}(A B C), \quad \forall A, B, C \in S_{n} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $B \in S_{n}$, for all $A \in S_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\phi}(A) \widetilde{\phi}\left(B^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\phi}(A) \widetilde{\phi}\left(B^{2}\right) \widetilde{\phi}(I)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A B^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\phi}(A) \widetilde{\phi}(B)^{2}\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the symmetric matrices $\widetilde{\phi}\left(B^{2}\right)=\widetilde{\phi}(B)^{2}$. By [3, Theorem 2], there exists an orthogonal matrix $O \in M_{n}$ such that $\widetilde{\phi}(A)=O^{t} A O$ for $A \in S_{n}$. Let $c_{i}=c_{0} c_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2,3$. Then we get (5.2) for $m=3$.

From now on, we assume that $m \geq 4$.
The matrix $\phi_{3}\left(A_{3}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)$ for $A_{3}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n}$ can be any matrix in $M_{n}$ (cf. [5, Theorem 3.2.3.2]). For all $A, A_{3}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(I)-\phi_{1}(I) \phi_{2}(A)\right) \phi_{3}\left(A_{3}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(I)=\phi_{1}(I) \phi_{2}(A)$ for all $A \in S_{n}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}(A)=\phi_{1}(I)^{-1} \phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(I) . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi_{1}(A)$ and $\phi_{2}(A)$ are symmetric and $\phi_{1}$ is surjective, there exists $c_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{2}(I)=c_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{1}(I)^{-t}=c_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{1}(I)^{-1}, \quad \text { so that by }(5.22),  \tag{5.23}\\
& \phi_{2}(A)=c_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{1}(I)^{-1} \phi_{1}(A) \phi_{1}(I)^{-1}, \quad \forall A \in S_{n} . \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, there is $c_{3}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}(A)=c_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{2}(I)^{-1} \phi_{2}(A) \phi_{2}(I)^{-1}=\frac{c_{3}^{\prime}}{c_{2}^{\prime}} \phi_{1}(A), \quad \forall A \in S_{n} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the maps $\phi_{1}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{5}, \ldots$ (resp. $\phi_{2}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{6}, \ldots$ ) differ only by nonzero scalar multiples.
(1) If $m$ is odd, then $\phi_{1}=a_{m} \phi_{m}$ for some $a_{m} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\phi_{m}=a_{2} \phi_{2}$ for some $a_{2} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Therefore, $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ differ only by nonzero scalar multiples. (5.22) implies that $\phi_{1}(A)=\phi_{1}(I)^{-1} \phi_{1}(A) \phi_{1}(I)$ for all $A \in S_{n}$, so that $\phi_{1}(I)=c_{1} I$ for some $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Let $\phi(A):=\frac{1}{c_{1}} \phi_{1}(A)$. Then $\phi(I)=I$ and $\phi_{1}=c_{1} \phi$. There exist $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\phi_{i}=c_{i} \phi$ for $i=2, \ldots, m$. We have

$$
c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{m} \operatorname{tr}\left(I^{m}\right)=c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{m} \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi(I)^{m}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(I^{m}\right)
$$

Therefore, $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $m$ is even, write $\phi_{1}(I)=M^{t} M$ for an invertible $M \in M_{n}$, then (5.24) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \phi_{2}(A) M^{t}=c_{2}^{\prime} M^{-t} \phi_{1}(A) M^{-1}, \quad \forall A \in S_{n} . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist a map $\phi(A)=M^{-t} \phi_{1}(A) M^{-1}$ and scalars $c_{1}=1, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that
(a) If $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ is odd, then $M^{-t} \phi_{i}(A) M^{-1}=c_{i} \phi(A)$ for $A \in S_{n}$.
(b) If $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ is even, then $M \phi_{i}(A) M^{t}=c_{i} \phi(A)$ for $A \in S_{n}$.
(c) $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1, \phi(I)=I$, and (5.26) holds:

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n}
$$

In both $m$ even and $m$ odd cases, we successfully find a linear bijection $\phi: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ that satisfies $\phi(I)=I$ and (5.26). For all $A, A_{3}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\phi(A) \phi\left(A^{k-1}\right)-\phi(I) \phi\left(A^{k}\right)\right) \phi\left(A_{3}\right) \cdots \phi\left(A_{m}\right)\right]=0 \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\phi(A) \phi\left(A^{k-1}\right)=\phi(I) \phi\left(A^{k}\right)=\phi\left(A^{k}\right)$ and $\phi(A)^{k}=\phi\left(A^{k}\right)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Therefore, the following identities hold for every $A, B \in S_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi(A) \phi(B)^{k}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi(A) \phi\left(B^{k}\right) \phi(I) \cdots \phi(I)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A B^{k}\right), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the proof of $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ in $[6$, Lemma 4.2] or by [3, Theorem 2], there exists an orthogonal matrix $O \in M_{n}$ such that $\phi(A)=O^{t} A O$ for $A \in S_{n}$. When $m$ is odd, we get (5.2). When $m$ is even, we get (5.3).

The real version of Theorem 5.1 holds. We state and prove it below.
Theorem 5.2. Suppose $m \geq 3$. Maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}: S_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if the following are true:
(1) When $m$ is odd, there exist a real orthogonal matrix $O \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and nonzero real scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=c_{i} O^{t} A O, \quad \forall A \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R}), i=1, \ldots, m \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) When $m$ is even, there exist an invertible $M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and nonzero real scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\phi_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} M^{t} A M, & i \text { is odd, }  \tag{5.32}\\
c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-t}, & i \text { is even, }
\end{array} \quad \forall A \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})\right.
$$

Proof. For every $A \in S_{n}$, denote $\operatorname{Re} A, \operatorname{Im} A \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $A=\operatorname{Re} A+\mathbf{i} \operatorname{Im} A$. For each $i=1, \ldots, m$, define $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ in the way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{i}(A)=\phi_{i}(\operatorname{Re} A)+\mathbf{i} \phi_{i}(\operatorname{Im} A), \quad \forall A \in S_{n} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\phi_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \widetilde{\phi_{m}}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{n} \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 5.1:
(1) When $m$ is odd, there exist an orthogonal matrix $O \in M_{n}$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{i}(A)=c_{i} O^{t} A O, \quad \forall A \in S_{n}, i=1, \ldots, m . \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}(I)=c_{i} I \in S_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ implies that each $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. Write $O=\left[o_{i j}\right]_{n \times n}$. The $(p, q)$ entry of $\widetilde{\phi_{1}}\left(E_{i j}\right)$ is $c_{1} o_{i p} o_{j q}$, which must be real for all $i, j, p, q \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Hence the entries of $O$ are either all real or all pure imaginary. Since $O$ is orthogonal, it has to be real orthogonal.
(2) When $m$ is even, there exist an invertible $M \in M_{n}$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_{1} \cdots c_{m}=1$ and

$$
\widetilde{\phi}_{i}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} M^{t} A M, & i \text { is odd, }  \tag{5.36}\\
c_{i} M^{-1} A M^{-t}, & i \text { is even, }
\end{array} \quad \forall A \in S_{n}\right.
$$

We may assume that $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ by adjusting $M$ with a scalar factor if necessary. Then $\widetilde{\phi_{1}}(I) \widetilde{\phi_{2}}(I)=c_{1} c_{2} I$ is real, which implies $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Using the fact that $\widetilde{\phi_{1}}\left(E_{j j}\right)$ is real for all $j=1, \ldots, n$, we see that the entries of $M$ are either all real or all pure imaginary. We can assure that $M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ by adjusting the signs of all $c_{i}$ 's if necessary.

Overall, the theorem is proved.

## 6. Maps on $D_{n}$

The results about maps $D_{n} \rightarrow D_{n}$ in this section can be applied to maps between the spaces of upper (resp. lower) triangular matrices with respective properties. We view

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diag}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow D_{n} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the linear bijection that sends $\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right)^{t}$ to the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diag}^{-1}: D_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the inverse map of diag.
Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}: D_{n} \rightarrow D_{n}$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, A_{2} \in D_{n} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 imply that $\phi_{1}$ (resp. $\phi_{2}$ ) can be any linear automorphism, and $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ uniquely determine each other. The following theorem gives the explicit description of these ( $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ ) pairs.

Theorem 6.1. Two maps $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}: D_{n} \rightarrow D_{n}$ satisfy (6.3) if and only if there is an invertible matrix $N \in M_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(A)=\operatorname{diag}\left(N \operatorname{diag}^{-1}(A)\right), \quad \phi_{2}(A)=\operatorname{diag}\left(N^{-t} \operatorname{diag}^{-1}(A)\right), \quad \forall A \in D_{n} . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For two vector $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{diag}(u) \operatorname{diag}(v))=u^{t} v \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the linear automorphisms $\widetilde{\phi}_{i}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{i}:=\operatorname{diag}^{-1} \circ \phi_{i} \circ \operatorname{diag}, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist invertible matrices $N, M \in M_{n}$ such that $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}(v)=N v$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_{2}(v)=M v$ for $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Express elements of $D_{n}$ as $\operatorname{diag}(v)$ for $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then (6.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{1}(u)^{t} \widetilde{\phi}_{2}(v)=u^{t} v, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies that $M=N^{-t}$. Therefore, for $A \in D_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(A)=\operatorname{diag} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{1} \circ \operatorname{diag}^{-1}(A)=\operatorname{diag}\left(N \operatorname{diag}^{-1}(A)\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly $\phi_{2}(A)=\operatorname{diag}\left(N^{-t} \operatorname{diag}^{-1}(A)\right)$.
Theorem 6.2. Suppose $m \geq 3$ and $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}: D_{n} \rightarrow D_{n}$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right), \quad \forall A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in D_{n} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist a permutation matrix $P \in M_{n}$ and invertible diagonal matrices $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m} \in$ $D_{n}$ with $C_{1} \cdots C_{m}=I$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=C_{i} P^{t} A P, \quad \forall A \in D_{n}, i=1, \ldots, m \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $C=\phi_{3}(I) \cdots \phi_{m}(I)$ and $\psi_{1}: D_{n} \rightarrow D_{n}$ such that $\psi_{1}(A)=\phi_{2}(A) C$. Then $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \psi_{1}(B)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A B)$ for all $A, B \in D_{n}$, which implies that both $\phi_{1}$ and $\psi_{1}$ are linear bijections. So each of $\phi_{3}(I), \ldots, \phi_{m}(I)$ is invertible. Similarly, each of $\phi_{1}(I)$ and $\phi_{2}(I)$ is invertible, and each of $\phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ is a linear bijection.

If $A B=A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ for $A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} \in D_{n}$, then for all $A_{3}, \ldots, A_{m} \in D_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(B) \phi_{3}\left(A_{3}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right) \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left(A B A_{3} \cdots A_{m}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\prime} B^{\prime} A_{3} \cdots A_{m}\right)  \tag{6.11}\\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \phi_{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right) \phi_{3}\left(A_{3}\right) \cdots \phi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)\right) \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The bijectivities of $\phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ imply that $\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(B)=\phi_{1}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \phi_{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}(B)=\phi_{1}(I)^{-1} \phi_{1}(B) \phi_{2}(I), \quad \forall B \in D_{n} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $A, B \in D_{n}$ we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(A B) \phi_{2}(I)=\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{2}(B)=\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{1}(I)^{-1} \phi_{1}(B) \phi_{2}(I) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}(A):=\phi_{1}(A) \phi_{1}(I)^{-1}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{1}(A) \widetilde{\phi}_{1}(B)=\widetilde{\phi}_{1}(A B), \quad \forall A, B \in D_{n} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ is an algebra automorphism of $D_{n}$, and the restriction of $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ on the group of invertible diagonal matrices in $D_{n}$ gives a group automorphism. Hence there is a permutation matrix $P \in M_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{1}(A)=P^{t} A P, \quad \forall A \in D_{n} . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{i}:=\phi_{i}(I)$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Then $\phi_{1}(A)=C_{1} P^{t} A P$, and by (6.13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}(A)=\left(P^{t} A P\right) C_{2}=C_{2} P^{t} A P . \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(A)=C_{i} P^{t} A P, \quad \forall A \in D_{n}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m, \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $C_{1} \cdots C_{m}=I$ by (6.9).

Both Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2 have counterparts in maps on the set of real diagonal matrices and the proofs are analogeous.
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