

# Isospectrality and matrices with concentric circular higher rank numerical ranges

Edward Poon\*, and Hugo J. Woerdeman†

## Abstract

We characterize under what conditions  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  have the property that the spectrum of  $\cos tA_1 + \sin tA_2$  is independent of  $t$  (thus, the trigonometric pencil  $\cos tA_1 + \sin tA_2$  is isospectral). One of the characterizations requires the first  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  higher rank numerical ranges of the matrix  $A_1 + iA_2$  to be circular disks with center 0. Finding the unitary similarity between  $\cos tA_1 + \sin tA_2$  and, say,  $A_1$  involves finding a solution to Lax's equation.

**Keywords:** Isospectral, trigonometric pencil, higher rank numerical range, Lax pair.

**AMS subject classifications:** 15A22, 15A60

## 1 Introduction

Questions regarding rotational symmetry of the classical numerical range as well as the  $C$ -numerical range have been studied in [1, 4, 6, 7, 8]; there is a natural connection with isospectral properties. In this paper we study the one parameter pencil  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B) = \cos tA_1 + \sin tA_2$ , where  $A_1 = \operatorname{Re}B = \frac{1}{2}(B + B^*)$  and  $A_2 = \frac{1}{2i}(B - B^*)$ . We say that the pencil is *isospectral* when the spectrum  $\sigma(\operatorname{Re}(e^{it}B))$  of  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{it}B)$  is independent of  $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ ; recall that the spectrum of a square matrix is the multiset of its eigenvalues, counting algebraic multiplicity. As our main result (Theorem 1.1) shows there is a natural connection between isospectrality and the rotational symmetry of the higher rank numerical ranges of  $B$ .

Recall that the *rank- $k$  numerical range* of a square matrix  $B$  is defined by

$$\Lambda_k(B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : PBP = \lambda P \text{ for some rank } k \text{ orthogonal projection } P\}.$$

This notion, which generalizes the classical numerical range when  $k = 1$  and is motivated by the study of quantum error correction, was introduced in [2]. In [3, 10] it was shown that  $\Lambda_k(B)$  is convex. Subsequently, in [7] a different proof of convexity was given by showing the equivalence

$$z \in \Lambda_k(B) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}z) \leq \lambda_k(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 2\pi). \quad (1)$$

Here  $\lambda_k(A)$  denotes the  $k$ th largest eigenvalue of a Hermitian matrix  $A$ .

In order to state our main result, we consider words  $w$  in two letters. For instance,  $PPQ$ ,  $PQPQPP$  are words in the letters  $P$  and  $Q$ . The length of a word  $w$  is denoted by  $|w|$ . When we write  $\operatorname{na}(w, P) = l$  we mean that  $P$  appears  $l$  times in the word  $w$  (na=number of appearances). The trace of a square matrix  $A$  is denoted by  $\operatorname{Tr} A$ .

\*Department of Mathematics, Embry–Riddle Aeronautical University, 3700 Willow Creed Road, Prescott, AZ 86301, USA; edward.poon@erau.edu

†Department of Mathematics, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; hjw27@drexel.edu.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The pencil  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B) = \cos t \operatorname{Re}B + \sin t \operatorname{Im}B$  is isospectral.*
- (ii)  $\sum_{|w|=k, \operatorname{na}(w, B^*)=l} \operatorname{Tr} w(B, B^*) = 0, 0 \leq l < \frac{k}{2}, 1 \leq k \leq n.$
- (iii) *For  $1 \leq k \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  the rank- $k$  numerical range of  $B$  is a circular disk with center 0, and  $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)$  is independent of  $t$ .*
- (iv)  *$\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)$  is unitarily similar to  $\operatorname{Re}(B)$  for all  $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ .*

*Any of the conditions (i)-(iv) imply that  $B$  is nilpotent.*

Note that for a given matrix  $B$  it is easy to check whether Theorem 1.1(ii) holds. For instance, when  $n = 5$  one needs to check that  $B$  is nilpotent (or, equivalently,  $\operatorname{Tr} B^k = 0, k = 1, \dots, 5$ ) and satisfies

$$\operatorname{Tr} B^2 B^* = \operatorname{Tr} B^3 B^* = \operatorname{Tr} B^4 B^* = \operatorname{Tr} B^3 B^{2*} + \operatorname{Tr} B^2 B^* B B^* = 0.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove our main result. In Section 3 we discuss the connection with Lax pairs.

## 2 Isospectral paths

We will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $M(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  for  $t$  ranging in some domain. Then the spectrum  $\sigma(M(t))$  is independent of  $t$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Tr} M(t)^k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , are independent of  $t$ .*

*Proof.* The forward direction is trivial. For the other direction, use Newton's identities to see that the first  $n$  moments of the zeros of a degree  $n$  monic polynomial uniquely determine the coefficients of the polynomial, and thus the zeros of the polynomial. This implies that  $\operatorname{Tr} M(t)^k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , uniquely determine the eigenvalues of the  $n \times n$  matrix. Thus, if  $\operatorname{Tr} M(t)^k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , are independent of  $t$ , then the spectrum of  $M(t)$  is independent of  $t$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Consider the trigonometric polynomials  $f_k(t) = 2^k \operatorname{Tr} [\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)]^k, k = 1, \dots, n$ . The coefficient of  $e^{i(2l-k)t}$  in  $f_k(t)$  is given by  $\sum_{|w|=k, \operatorname{na}(w, B^*)=l} \operatorname{Tr} w(B, B^*)$ . By Lemma 2.1 the spectrum of  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)$  is independent of  $t$  if and only for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $2l \neq k$  the coefficient of  $e^{i(2l-k)t}$  in  $f_k(t)$  is 0. Due to symmetry, when they are 0 for  $2l < k$  they will be 0 for  $2l > k$ . This gives the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

In particular note that when  $l = 0$ , we find that  $\operatorname{Tr} B^k = 0, k = 1, \dots, n$ , and thus  $B$  is nilpotent.

Next, let us prove the equivalence of (i) and (iii). Assuming (i) we have that  $\operatorname{Re}B$  and  $-\operatorname{Re}B$  have the same spectrum, so  $\operatorname{Re}B$  has  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  nonnegative eigenvalues. As the spectrum of  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)$  is independent of  $t$ , we have that  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)$  has  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  nonnegative eigenvalues for all  $t$ , guaranteeing the rank- $k$  numerical range is nonempty for  $k \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$ . Next, since  $\lambda_k(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B))$  is independent of  $t$ , it immediately follows from the characterization (1) that  $\Lambda_k(B), 1 \leq k \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$ , is a circular disk with center 0. Also, (i) clearly implies that  $\operatorname{rank}(e^{-it}B)$  is independent of  $t$ .

Conversely, let us assume (iii). If the rank  $k$ -numerical range of  $B$  is  $\{z : |z| \leq r\}$  for some  $r > 0$  then  $\lambda_k(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B))$  is constant. This also yields that  $\lambda_{n+1-k}(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)) = -\lambda_k(-\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B))$ . When for  $1 \leq k \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  we have that  $\Lambda_k(B)$  has a positive radius, we obtain that (i) holds. Next, let us suppose  $\Lambda_\ell(B)$  has radius zero, and  $\ell$  is the least integer with this property. Then, as before, we may conclude that  $\lambda_k(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B))$  is a positive constant for  $1 \leq k < \ell$ . We also

have, for  $\ell \leq k \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$ , that  $\lambda_k(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)) = 0$  for some  $t$ . As we require  $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)$  to be independent of  $t$ , we find that for  $\ell \leq k \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$ ,  $\lambda_k(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)) = 0$  for all  $t$ . Again using  $\lambda_{n+1-k}(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)) = -\lambda_k(-\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B))$ , we arrive at (i).  $\square$

The equivalence of (i) and (iv) is obvious.  $\square$

**Remark.** The condition that  $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)$  is independent of  $t$  in Theorem 1.1(iii) is there to handle the case when  $\Lambda_k(B)$  has a zero radius. Indeed, it can happen that  $\Lambda_k(B) = \{0\}$  without  $\lambda_k(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B))$  being independent of  $t$ ; one such example is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues  $1, 0, -1, i$ . It is unclear whether this can happen for a matrix whose higher rank numerical ranges are disks centered at 0.

For sizes 2, 3, and 4, the conditions in Theorem 1.1 are equivalent to  $B$  being nilpotent and the numerical range of  $B$  being rotationally symmetric.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $n \leq 4$ . Then the spectrum of  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B) = \cos t \operatorname{Re} B + \sin t \operatorname{Im} B$  is independent of  $t$  if and only if  $B$  is nilpotent and the numerical range is a disk centered at 0.*

*Proof.* When  $n = 2$ , condition (ii) in Theorem 1.1 comes down to  $\operatorname{Tr} B = \operatorname{Tr} B^2 = 0$ . When  $n = 3$  we get the added conditions that  $\operatorname{Tr} B^3 = \operatorname{Tr} B^2 B^* = 0$ . When  $n = 4$ , we also need to add the conditions  $\operatorname{Tr} B^4 = \operatorname{Tr} B^3 B^* = 0$ . The condition that  $\operatorname{Tr} B^k = 0$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , is equivalent to  $B$  being nilpotent. The corollary now easily follows by invoking Remarks 1-3 in [6].  $\square$

To show that Corollary 2.2 does not hold for  $n \geq 5$ , note that the following example from [6],

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

is nilpotent, has the unit disk as its numerical range, but  $\operatorname{Tr} B^2 B^* = 1 \neq 0$ .

### 3 Connection with Lax pairs

A *Lax pair* is a pair  $L(t), P(t)$  of Hilbert space operator valued functions satisfying Lax's equation:

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = [P, L],$$

where  $[X, Y] = XY - YX$ . The notion of Lax pairs goes back to [5]. If we start with  $P(t)$ , and one solves the initial value differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}U(t) = P(t)U(t), \quad U(0) = I, \quad (2)$$

then  $L(t) := U(t)L(0)U(t)^{-1}$  is a solution to Lax's equation. Indeed,

$$L'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}[U(t)L(0)U(t)^{-1}] =$$

$$P(t)U(t)L(0)U(t)^{-1} - U(t)L(0)U(t)^{-1}P(t)U(t)U(t)^{-1} = P(t)L(t) - L(t)P(t).$$

This now yields that  $L(t)$  is isospectral. When  $P(t)$  is skew-adjoint, then  $U(t)$  is unitary.

In our case we have that  $L(t) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}B)$ , and our  $U(t)$  will be unitary. This corresponds to  $P(t)$  being skew-adjoint. When we are interested in the case when  $P(t) \equiv K$  is constant, we have that  $U(t) = e^{tK}$ . Thus, we are interested in finding  $K$  so that  $e^{-tK}L(t)e^{tK} = L(0)$ , where  $L(t) = A_1 \cos t + A_2 \sin t$ . If we now differentiate both sides, we find

$$-e^{-tK}KL(t)e^{tK} + e^{-tK}L'(t)e^{tK} + e^{-tK}L(t)Ke^{tK} = 0.$$

Multiplying on the left by  $e^{tK}$  and on the right by  $e^{-tK}$ , we obtain

$$-A_1 \sin t + A_2 \cos t = L'(t) = [K, L(t)] = [K, A_1 \cos t + A_2 \sin t].$$

This corresponds to  $[K, A_1] = A_2$  and  $[K, A_2] = -A_1$ , which is equivalent to  $[K, B] = -iB$ . We address this case in the following result, which is partially due to [8].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $e^{it}B$  is unitarily similar to  $B$  for all  $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ .
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Tr} w(B, B^*) = 0$  for all words  $w$  with  $\operatorname{na}(w, B) \neq \operatorname{na}(w, B^*)$ .
- (iii) There exists a skew-adjoint matrix  $K$  satisfying  $[K, B] = -iB$ .
- (iv) There exists a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $UBU^* = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_r$  is block diagonal and each submatrix  $B_j$  is a partitioned matrix (with square matrices on the block diagonal) whose only nonzero blocks are on the block superdiagonal.

Recall that Specht's theorem [9] says that  $A$  is unitarily similar to  $B$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Tr} w(A, A^*) = \operatorname{Tr} w(B, B^*)$  for all words  $w$ .

*Proof.* By Specht's theorem  $e^{it}B$  is unitarily similar to  $B$  for all  $t$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Tr} w(e^{it}B, e^{-it}B^*) = \operatorname{Tr} w(B, B^*)$  for all  $t$  and all words. When  $\operatorname{na}(w, B) \neq \operatorname{na}(w, B^*)$  this can only happen when  $\operatorname{Tr} w(B, B^*) = 0$ . When  $\operatorname{na}(w, B) = \operatorname{na}(w, B^*)$ , we have that  $\operatorname{Tr} w(e^{it}B, e^{-it}B^*)$  is automatically independent of  $t$ . This proves the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

The equivalence of (i) and (iv) is proven in [8, Theorem 2.1]. We will finish the proof by proving (iv)  $\rightarrow$  (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i).

Assuming (iv), let  $K_j$  be a block diagonal matrix partitioned in the same manner as  $B_j$  and whose  $m$ th diagonal block equals  $imI$ . Then  $[K_j, B_j] = -iB_j$ . Let  $K = U^*(K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_r)U$ . Then  $[K, B] = -iB$ , proving (iii).

When (iii) holds, let  $U(t) = e^{-tK}$ . Denote  $\operatorname{ad}_X Y = [X, Y]$ . Then  $e^X Y e^{-X} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \operatorname{ad}_X^m Y$ , and (iii) yields that

$$U(t)BU(t)^* = e^{-tK}Be^{tK} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \operatorname{ad}_{-tK}^m B = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^m}{m!} B = e^{it}B,$$

yielding (i). □

It is clear that if  $B$  satisfies Theorem 3.1(i) it certainly satisfies Theorem 1.1(i). In general the converse will not be true, and the size of such a counterexample must be at least 4; indeed, if  $B$  is a strictly upper triangular  $3 \times 3$  matrix with  $\operatorname{Tr} B^2 B^* = 0$  at least one of the entries above the

diagonal is zero, making  $B$  satisfy Theorem 3.1(iv). An example that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1 but does not satisfy those of Theorem 3.1 is

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Indeed, it is easy to check that  $\text{Tr} B^2 B^* = \text{Tr} B^3 B^* = 0$ , but  $\text{Tr} B^3 B^* B B^* = -1 \neq 0$ . A  $5 \times 5$  example satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1 but not those of Theorem 3.1 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When  $B$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, the  $K$  from Theorem 3.1(iii) will yield the unitary similarity  $\text{Re}(e^{it} B) = e^{-tK} (\text{Re} B) e^{tK}$ . It is easy to find  $K = -K^*$  satisfying  $[K, B] = -iB$  as it amounts to solving a system of linear equations (with the unknowns the entries in the lower triangular part of  $K$ ).

When  $B$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1, but not those of Theorem 3.1, finding a unitary similarity  $U(t)$  so that  $\text{Re}(e^{-it} B) = U(t)(\text{Re} B)U(t)^*$  becomes much more involved. To go about this one could first find a solution  $P(t)$  to Lax's equation

$$-A_1 \sin t + A_2 \cos t = L'(t) = [P(t), L(t)] = [P(t), A_1 \cos t + A_2 \sin t],$$

which now will not be constant. Next, one would solve the initial value ordinary differential matrix equation (2).

To illustrate what a solution  $P(t), U(t)$  may look like, we used Matlab to produce the following solution when  $A_1 = \text{Re } B$  and  $A_2 = \text{Im } B$  (and thus  $L(t) = \text{Re}(e^{-it} B)$ ) with  $B$  as in (3):

$$P(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{ie^{-2it}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ \frac{ie^{2it}}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{3i}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - e^{-it} & -1 - e^{-it} & 1 - e^{-it} & 1 + e^{-it} \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ -2e^{it} & e^{it} & e^{it} & 2e^{it} \\ e^{2it} + e^{it} & -e^{2it} + e^{it} & e^{2it} + e^{it} & e^{2it} - e^{it} \end{pmatrix}, U(t) = V(t)V(0)^{-1}.$$

Note that the columns of  $V(t)$  are the eigenvectors of  $L(t)$ ; indeed, we have

$$L(t) = V(t) \text{diag}(-1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1) V(t)^{-1}.$$

## 4 Acknowledgments

The research of Hugo J. Woerdeman was supported by Simons Foundation grant 355645 and National Science Foundation grant DMS 2000037.

## References

- [1] M. T. Chien and B. S. Tam, Circularity of the numerical range, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 201 (1994), 113–133.
- [2] M. D. Choi, D. W. Kribs, and K. Życzkowski, Higher-rank numerical ranges and compression problems, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 418 (2006), 828–839.
- [3] M. D. Choi, M. Giesinger, J. A. Holbrook, and D. W. Kribs, Geometry of higher-rank numerical ranges, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 56 (2008), 53–64.
- [4] G. Dirr, U. Helmke, M. Kleinstember, T. Schulte-Herbrüggen, Relative  $C$ -numerical ranges for applications in quantum control and quantum information, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 56 (2008) 27–51.
- [5] P. D. Lax. Differential equations, difference equations and matrix theory, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 6 (1958), 175–194.
- [6] V. Matache and M. T. Matache, When is the numerical range of a nilpotent matrix circular?, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 216 (2010), 269–275.
- [7] C.-K. Li and N.-S. Sze, Canonical forms, higher rank numerical ranges, totally isotropic subspaces, and matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), no. 9, 3013–3023.
- [8] C.-K. Li and N.-K. Tsing, Matrices with circular symmetry on their unitary orbits and  $C$ -numerical ranges, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 111 (1991), no. 1, 19–28.
- [9] W. Specht, Zur Theorie der Matrizen. II, *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung* 50 (1940), 19–23.
- [10] H. J. Woerdeman, The higher rank numerical range is convex, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 56 (2008), 65–67.