

Poisson cohomology of plane Poisson structures with isolated singularities revisitedZihao Qi^{1, a)} and Guodong Zhou^{1, b)}*School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Key laboratory of PMMP, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, People's Republic of China*

(Dated: Revised version of 6 January 2022)

Continuing a work of Ph. Monnier, we determine the Gerstenhaber algebra structure over the Poisson cohomology groups for a large class of Poisson structures with isolated singularities over the plane. It reveals that there exists a GAGA type phenomenon. We give an explicit description via generators and relations for the case of simple singularities.

Keywords: GAGA type results, Gerstenhaber algebra structure, isolated singularities, Poisson cohomology, simple singularities

Mathematics Subject Classification(2020): 17B63, 14H20, 53D17.

^{a)}Electronic mail: qizihao@foxmail.com

^{b)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: gdzhou@math.ecnu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

The Poisson cohomology of Poisson manifolds (and of Poisson algebras) was introduced by A. Lichnerowicz⁹. J. Huebschmann studied this cohomology theory from the viewpoint of Lie-Rinehart algebras⁴⁻⁶. While Poisson cohomology is ubiquitous in Poisson geometry, their computation is in general very difficult. It has been shown that for symplectic manifolds, Poisson cohomology is naturally isomorphic to de Rham cohomology⁹. Cohomology of regular Poisson manifolds was investigated by P. Xu¹⁶, and V. Ginzburg and A. Weinstein studied cohomology of Poisson-Lie groups³.

In this paper, we are interested into cohomology of Poisson structures over the plane. C. Roger and P. Vanhaecke¹³ computed the Poisson cohomology of plane homogeneous Poisson structures. Inspired by an idea of I. Vaisman¹⁵, N. Nakanishi¹² computed the cohomology of plane quadratic Poisson structures classified by Z.-J. Liu and P. Xu¹⁰. V. I. Arnold¹ classified all plane Poisson structures with simple singularities in 1987 and Ph. Monnier¹¹ computed the (germfied) Poisson cohomology of a large class of plane Poisson structures with isolated singularities including Arnold's list. This work carries further Ph. Monnier's work¹¹ by computing the Gerstenhaber algebra structure over the Poisson cohomology groups for these plane Poisson structures with isolated singularities.

Let us give the setup of this paper. Throughout, $\mathbb{K}$ will denote the field $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We will consider the Poisson cohomology of a Poisson algebra $\mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$, unless otherwise stated, will always denote one of the following algebras of function germs:

- $\mathbb{K}\{x, y\}$ the algebra of analytic functions near the origin,
- $\mathbb{K}[[x, y]]$ the algebra of formal power series,
- (only when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$) $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the algebra of smooth function germs at the origin.

Let ω_1, ω_2 be two positive integers, $W = \omega_1 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \omega_2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ the Euler vector field. If a polynomial f satisfies $Wf = df$, with $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, then f is *weight-homogeneous* of degree d with respect to (ω_1, ω_2) . Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}$ the space of weight-homogenous polynomials of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ together with the zero polynomial, and write $H_f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ for the Hamiltonian derivation associated to $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Recall that a polynomial f is of finite codimension if the Milnor algebra $\mathcal{M}_f := \mathcal{F}/I_f$ with $I_f = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y})$ is finite-dimensional. Note that this implies that the origin is an isolated singularity.

From now on, we fix (ω_1, ω_2) and all weight-homogenous stuff will be taken with respect to (ω_1, ω_2) .

Let f be a weight-homogenous polynomial of degree d (with respect to (ω_1, ω_2)). Let h be a weight-homogenous polynomial of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ or the zero polynomial. Suppose, moreover, that f has finite codimension.

We shall consider the Poisson structure

$$\Pi = f(1+h) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

and if $h = 0$, write $\Pi_0 := \Pi = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Our goal is to study the Poisson cohomology of this Poisson structure Π for the algebras in the above list. For $i \geq 0$, let us denote the i -th Poisson cohomology group by $\text{HP}_\Pi^i(\mathcal{F})$ or just HP_Π^i . Ph. Monnier computed these Poisson cohomology groups.

Theorem I.1 (Ph. Monnier¹¹). *We have*

$$\begin{cases} \text{HP}_\Pi^0 = \mathbb{K}1, \\ \text{HP}_\Pi^1 = \mathbb{K}(1+h)H_f \oplus \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(1+h)W, \\ \text{HP}_\Pi^2 = \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ \text{HP}_\Pi^i = 0, \text{ for } i \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, he actually showed that the dimensions of Poisson cohomology groups do not depend on the underlying algebras of function germs. This is a kind of GAGA type results¹⁴.

Poisson cohomology groups are not just abelian groups, in fact they have a much nicer structure: the so-called Gerstenhaber algebra structure^{7,8,17}; that is, it is a graded commutative algebra via the wedge product, it has the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket so that it becomes a graded Lie algebra, and these structures are compatible.

A natural question is whether Ph. Monnier's GAGA type result actually gives isomorphisms of Gerstenhaber algebras. The answer is Yes, which is the content of the following result:

Theorem I.2 (Theorem IV.10). *There exist isomorphisms of Gerstenhaber algebras*

$$\text{HP}_\Pi^*(\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}) \cong \text{HP}_\Pi^*(\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]) \text{ and } \text{HP}_\Pi^*(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cong \text{HP}_\Pi^*(\mathbb{R}\{x, y\}) \cong \text{HP}_\Pi^*(\mathbb{R}[[x, y]]).$$

If $h = 0$, one can also add the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$ into the statement.

We prove the above result by checking the difficult computation of Ph. Monnier¹¹ carefully. Moreover, we are able to describe almost completely the Gerstenhaber algebra structure over the Poisson cohomology ring HP_Π^* .

Theorem I.3. (a) (Proposition IV.1) The wedge products $\wedge : \text{HP}_\Pi^0 \times \text{HP}_\Pi^i \rightarrow \text{HP}_\Pi^i, i = 0, 1, 2$ are just the scalar product of $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces; the map $\wedge : \text{HP}_\Pi^1 \times \text{HP}_\Pi^1 \rightarrow \text{HP}_\Pi^2$ can be nonzero, but its only nonzero component is given by

$$\mathbb{K}(1+h)H_f \times \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(1+h)W \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad ((1+h)H_f, g(1+h)W) \mapsto d \cdot g f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

for $g \in \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}$; all other wedge products vanish.

(b) (Theorem IV.2) We have an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\text{HP}_\Pi^* \cong \mathbb{K}\langle u, v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle / (u^2, v_1^2, \dots, v_r^2, uv_i + v_i u, v_i v_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq r) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1] / (w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \dots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_c] / (w_c^2),$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = \dots = |v_r| = 1, |w_1| = \dots = |w_c| = 2$, where $r = \dim(\mathcal{M}_f), c = \dim(\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2})$.

(c) (Subsection IV.B) If $h = 0$, then all brackets vanish, except possibly the component

$$[-, -]_{\text{SN}} : \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}W \times \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

$$\text{of } [-, -]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1 \times \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2 \rightarrow \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2.$$

(d) (Remark IV.9) If $h \neq 0$, then all brackets vanish, except possibly the component of $[-, -]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_\Pi^1 \times \text{HP}_\Pi^2 \rightarrow \text{HP}_\Pi^2$ given by

$$[-, -]_{\text{SN}} : \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(1+h)W \times \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

When the isolated singularity is a simple singularity, we succeed in determining completely the Gerstenhaber algebra structure over the Poisson cohomology ring HP_Π^* in terms of generators and relations; see Table III in Section V.H. Our calculation relies heavily on the classification of plane Poisson structures with simple singularities due to V. I. Arnold¹

This paper is organised as follows. The second section contains some basic definitions and facts about Poisson algebras and Poisson cohomology and we also remind the classification of plane Poisson structures with simple singularities due to V. I. Arnold. The result of Ph. Monnier about Poisson cohomology groups is recalled in the third section. We consider the Gerstenhaber algebra structure continuing the computation of Ph. Monnier in the fourth section. The last section contains explicit results for simple singularities.

II. PRELIMINARIES

For basic definitions and facts about Poisson cohomology, the reader is referred to Ref. 8.

A Poisson algebra is an associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra R endowed with a Lie bracket $\pi = \{, \}$ satisfying a compatibility condition. For $p \geq 0$, a skew-symmetric multilinear map $P \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\wedge_p^p R, R)$ is a p -polyvector field if it is a derivation in each argument. Denote by $\mathfrak{X}^p(R)$ the space of p -polyvector fields.

Let us introduce the wedge product and the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket over polyvector fields.

Definition II.1. Let $F \in \mathfrak{X}^p(R)$ and $G \in \mathfrak{X}^q(R)$ with $p, q \geq 0$. Define the wedge product $F \wedge G \in \mathfrak{X}^{p+q}(R)$ as follows:

$$F \wedge G(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{p+q}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p,q}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) F(a_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{\sigma(p)}) G(a_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{\sigma(p+q)}),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_{p+q} \in R$ and $S_{p,q}$ is the set of (p, q) -shuffles, that is,

$$S_{p,q} = \{\sigma \in S_{p+q} \mid \sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(p), \sigma(p+1) < \dots < \sigma(p+q)\}.$$

Define the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket $[F, G]_{\text{SN}} \in \mathfrak{X}^{p+q-1}(R)$ as follows: for $a_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1} \in R$,

$$[F, G]_{\text{SN}}(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{p+q-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{q,p-1}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) F(G(a_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{\sigma(q)}) \wedge a_{\sigma(q+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{\sigma(p+q)}) \\ - (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p,q-1}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) G(F(a_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{\sigma(p)}) \wedge a_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{\sigma(p+q)}).$$

Since π is a Lie bracket, $\delta^p = [-, \pi] : \mathfrak{X}^p \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{p+1}$, $p \geq 0$ squares to zero, so $(\mathfrak{X}^*(R), \delta^*)$ becomes a cochain complex, called the Poisson cochain complex of R . For $p \geq 0$, the p -th Poisson cohomology group of the Poisson algebra R is defined to be

$$\text{HP}^p(R) := \text{Ker}(\delta^p) / \text{Im}(\delta^{p-1}).$$

We write $\text{HP}^*(R) := \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \text{HP}^p(R)$. It is easy to see that the wedge product and the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket descend to cohomology groups. The following result is folklore; see for example, Ref. 8 Proposition 4.9.

Theorem II.2. *Let (R, π) be a Poisson algebra. Then $(\text{HP}^*(R), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}})$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra, that is,*

- (a) $(\text{HP}^*(R), \wedge)$ is a graded commutative graded algebra,
- (b) $(\text{HP}^*(R)[1], [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}})$ is a graded Lie algebra,
- (c) the above two structures are compatible, that is,

$$[F \wedge G, H]_{\text{SN}} = [F, H]_{\text{SN}} \wedge G + (-1)^{(r-1)p} F \wedge [G, H]_{\text{SN}},$$

for $F \in \text{HP}^p(R)$ and $H \in \text{HP}^r(R)$.

Next we recall the classification of plane Poisson structures with simple singularities due to V.I. Arnold¹. For unexplained notations and results about singularity theory, we refer the reader to Ref. 2.

For plane Poisson structures with simple singularities at the origin, V.I. Arnold proved the following classification result.

Theorem II.3 (V.I. Arnold¹). *Let f be a simple function germ at the origin of the plane. The Poisson structure germ $\Pi = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ is equivalent to, up to a multiplicative constant, $g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, where g is given in the second column of Table I:*

type	polynomial	d	ω_1	ω_2
$A_{2p} \quad p \geq 1$	$x^2 + y^{2p+1}$	$4p+2$	$2p+1$	2
$A_{2p-1}^\pm \quad p \geq 1$	$(x^2 \pm y^{2p})(1 + \lambda y^{p-1})$	$2p$	p	1
$D_{2p}^\pm \quad p \geq 2$	$(x^2 y \pm y^{2p-1})(1 + \lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})$	$2p-1$	$p-1$	1
$D_{2p+1} \quad p \geq 2$	$(x^2 y + y^{2p})(1 + \lambda x)$	$4p$	$2p-1$	2
E_6	$x^3 + y^4$	12	4	3
E_7	$(x^3 + xy^3)(1 + \lambda y^2)$	9	3	2
E_8	$x^3 + y^5$	15	5	3

TABLE I. Arnold's classification for plane Poisson structures with simple singularities

Note that in this table, when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, the symbol $\pm$ disappears.

III. POISSON COHOMOLOGY GROUPS

This section contains a summary of the paper of Ph. Monnier¹¹ and we also explain how to compute cohomology classes concretely.

We want to investigate the Poisson cohomology groups of $\Pi = f(1+h) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\Pi_0 = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ where f is a weight-homogeneous polynomial of degree $d > 0$ which is a germ at the origin of finite codimension c and h is a weight-homogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (only when $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 > 0$) or the zero polynomial. By Arnold's classification Theorem II.3, we see that the "most interesting" Poisson structures are of this type.

We choose a priori a monomial basis of $\mathcal{M}_f = \mathcal{F}/I_f$, say, $u_1, \dots, u_c$ with $c = \dim(\mathcal{M}_f)$. For the existence of such a monomial basis, we refer the reader to Ref. 2. Note $\max(\deg(u_i), 1 \leq i \leq c) = 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$. The choice of a monomial basis of $\mathcal{M}_f$ gives in fact a direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{M}_f \oplus I_f$. We choose a basis $e_1, \dots, e_r$ of $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}$ with $r = \dim(\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2})$.

It is easy to see that the space $\text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^0$ and HP_{Π}^0 are always equal to $\mathbb{K} \cdot 1$.

The following useful lemma is implicit in Ref. 11, whose easy proof is left to the reader.

Lemma III.1. *Let X be a weight-homogeneous vector field in the sense that $[W, X]_{\text{SN}} = rX$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(a) *Let $Z = \frac{1}{d}(X(h) - \text{div}(X)h)W + hX$. Then $\text{div}(Z) = \frac{\deg(X)}{d}(X(h) - \text{div}(X)) + 2X(h)$ and $X(fh) - \text{div}(X)fh = Z(f)$.*

(b) *If $\deg(X) \neq d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, denote $Y = X + \frac{\text{div}(X)}{d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg(X)}W$, then $X(f) = Y(f) - \text{div}(Y)f$.*

(c) If $\deg(X) \neq 0$, write $Y = hX - \frac{2}{\deg(X)}X(h)W$, then $\deg(Y) = \deg(X) + d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and

$$X(fh) - \operatorname{div}(X)fh = Y(f) - \operatorname{div}(Y)f.$$

With the same proof, Theorems 4.5 and 4.9 of Ref. 11 hold even for $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$, the polynomial ring in two variables.

Theorem III.2 (Ref. 11 Theorems 4.5 and 4.9). *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be either $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$ or one of the algebras listed in the Introduction. We have*

$$\operatorname{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1 = \mathbb{K}H_f \oplus \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}W \text{ and } \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2 = \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \oplus \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Let us include some details about how to compute the class of $g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ in $\operatorname{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$ for a weight-homogeneous polynomial $g \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proposition III.3. *Let $g \in \mathcal{F}$ be a weight-homogeneous polynomial. Write $g = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i + \xi \in \mathcal{M}_f \oplus I_f = \mathcal{F}$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$ where $\xi = X(f) \in I_f$ for a vector field X .*

(a) If $\deg(g) \neq 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, then $g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$.

(b) If $\deg(\xi) = 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, then $g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \operatorname{div}(X)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$.

Proof Observe three facts: if $\deg(g) > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = \max(u_i, 1 \leq i \leq c)$, then all λ_i vanish; if $\deg(g) \leq 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, those u_i with nonzero λ_i are of degree equal to that of g ; the degree of ξ is equal to $\deg(g)$.

If $\deg(\xi) \neq 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, by Lemma 4.4 of Ref. 11, $\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ is necessarily a 2-coboundary. By Lemma III.1(b), let $Y = X + \frac{\operatorname{div}(X)}{2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg(g)}W$, then $\xi = X(f) = Y(f) - \operatorname{div}(Y)f$. Hence

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (Y(f) - \operatorname{div}(Y)f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \delta_{\Pi_0}^1(f) = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2.$$

If $\deg(g) = \deg(\xi) = 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, then all $\lambda_i = 0$. So $g = \xi = \operatorname{div}(X)f + (X(f) - \operatorname{div}(X)f)$ and

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \operatorname{div}(X)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2.$$

□

Compared with the result for the Poisson structure Π_0 , computation of Ph. Monnier for Π cannot carry over $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$.

Theorem III.4 (Ref. 11 Theorems 4.6 and 4.11). *We have*

$$\operatorname{HP}_{\Pi}^1 = \mathbb{K}(1+h)H_f \oplus \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(1+h)W \text{ and } \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi}^2 = \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \oplus \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We will explain how to compute the class of $g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ in $\operatorname{HP}_{\Pi}^2$ for g a weight-homogeneous polynomial. We need a small lemma which refines Lemma 4.10 of Ref. 11.

Lemma III.5. *Let Z be a weight-homogeneous vector field.*

(a) If $\deg(Z) > 0$, then $(Z(fh) - \operatorname{div}(Z)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

(b) If $\deg(Z) = 0$, then $(Z(fh) - \operatorname{div}(Z)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2Z(h)f \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Proof First denote $g' = Z(fh) - \operatorname{div}(Z)fh$. By Theorem III.2, write

$$\frac{g'}{1+h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^c \mu_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + Qf \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$$

with $\mu_i \in \mathbb{K}, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}$. In fact, it is shown in the proof of Lemma 4.10 of Ref. 11 that all μ_i vanish.

Let g'' be the component of $\frac{g'}{1+h}$ of degree $2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, then following Proposition III.3, $Q = \operatorname{div}(Z')$ with $g'' = Z'(f)$, where by Lemma III.1(a), $Z' = \frac{1}{h}(Z(h) - \operatorname{div}(Z)h)W + hZ$.

Express $\frac{g'}{1+h} = g' - g'h + g'h^2 + \dots$. If $\deg(Z) > 0$, then $\deg(g') > 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and $\frac{g'}{1+h}$ has all its components of degree strictly bigger than $2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, and we obtain that $g'' = 0$ and $Q = 0$. Hence, $g' \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi}^2$. If $\deg(Z) = 0$, then $\deg(g') = 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and $\frac{g'}{1+h}$ has all its components of degree strictly bigger than $2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ except g' , and we obtain that $g'' = g'$ and $Q = \operatorname{div}(Z') = 2Z(h)$. Hence, $g' \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2Z(h)f \in \operatorname{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

□

The following result is very useful in practical computation.

Proposition III.6. *Let $g \in \mathcal{F}$ be a weight-homogeneous polynomial. Write $g = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i + \xi \in \mathcal{M}_f \oplus I_f = \mathcal{F}$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\xi = X(f) \in I_f$ for a vector field X .*

If $\deg(g)$ is not in the form of $d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ with $k \geq -1$, then

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Suppose that there exists an integer $k \geq -1$ such that $\deg(g) = d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$.

- *If $k = -1$, i.e. $\deg(g) = 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, then*

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \text{div}(X)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

- *If $k > -1$, define vector fields $X_i, 0 \leq i \leq k$ as follows: put $X_0 = X + \frac{\text{div}(X)}{d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg(X)}W$ and for $i = 1, \dots, k$, define recursively $X_i = hX_{i-1} - \frac{2}{\deg(X_{i-1})}X_{i-1}(h)W$. Then*

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2X_k(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Proof Suppose that $\deg(g) = \deg(\xi) = 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ with $k = -1$. As

$$\delta_{\Pi}^1(X) = (X(f) - \text{div}(X)f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (X(fh) - \text{div}(X)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

we have

$$\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \text{div}(X)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - (X(fh) - \text{div}(X)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Since $\deg(X) = d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 > 0$, by Lemma III.5 (a), $(X(fh) - \text{div}(X)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Suppose that $\deg(g) = \deg(\xi) \neq 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. Let $X_0 = X + \frac{\text{div}(X)}{2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg(g)}W$. Then by Lemma III.1(b), $\xi = X(f) = X_0(f) - \text{div}(X_0)f$ and as in the previous paragraph, we need to consider the class of $(X_0(fh) - \text{div}(X_0)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ in HP_{Π}^2 .

By Lemma III.5 (a), if $\deg(X_0) > 0$ or $\deg(g) = \deg(\xi) > 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = d - (-1) \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, we have

$$(X_0(fh) - \text{div}(X_0)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2 \text{ and } \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $\deg(X_0) = 0$, that is $\deg(g) = \deg(\xi) = d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, then by Lemma III.5 (b),

$$(X_0(fh) - \text{div}(X_0)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2X_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Hence, $\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2X_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

If $\deg(X_0) < 0$, that is, $\deg(g) = \deg(\xi) < d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Lemma III.1 (c), define $X_1 = hX_0 - \frac{2}{\deg(X_0)}X_0(h)W$, then $\deg(X_1) = \deg(X_0) + d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and $X_0(fh) - \text{div}(X_0)fh = X_1(f) - \text{div}(X_1)f$. As above, by Lemma III.5 (a), if $\deg(X_1) > 0$, that is, $d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) > \deg(g) > d - 1 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, we obtain that

$$(X_1(fh) - \text{div}(X_1)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2, \text{ and } \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $\deg(X_1) = 0$, by Lemma III.5 (b), $(X_1(fh) - \text{div}(X_1)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2X_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2X_1(h)f \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$. If $\deg(X_1) < 0$, i.e. $\deg(g) < d - 1 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Lemma III.1 (c), define $X_2 = hX_1 - \frac{2}{\deg(X_1)}X_1(h)W$ and we can continue as above.

Let k be the first integer such that $\deg(X_k) \geq 0$. Then for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $\deg(X_i) < 0$, we see that

$$\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -(X_k(fh) - \operatorname{div}(X_k)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

We distinguish two cases. When $\deg(X_k) > 0$, by Lemma III.5 (a), $\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -(X_k(fh) - \operatorname{div}(X_k)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^2$. If $\deg(X_k) = 0$, i.e. $\deg(g) = d - k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Lemma III.5 (b), $(X_k(fh) - \operatorname{div}(X_k)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2X_k(h) \in \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^2$, and

$$\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -(X_k(fh) - \operatorname{div}(X_k)fh) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2X_k(h) f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

□

IV. WEDGE PRODUCTS AND SCHOUTEN-NIJENHUIS BRACKETS ON POISSON COHOMOLOGY

A. Wedge products

Proposition IV.1. *The wedge products $\wedge : \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^0 \times \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^i, i = 0, 1, 2$ are just the scalar product of $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces; the map $\wedge : \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^1 \times \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^2$ can be nonzero, but its only nonzero component is given by*

$$\mathbb{K}(1+h)H_f \times \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(1+h)W \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad ((1+h)H_f, g(1+h)W) \mapsto d \cdot g f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

for $g \in \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}$; all other wedge products vanish.

Proof Since $\mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^0 = \mathbb{K}1$, the maps $\wedge : \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^0 \times \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^i, i = 0, 1, 2$ are just the scalar product of $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces.

It is easy to see that $(1+h)H_f \wedge (1+h)gW = d(1+h)^2 g f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Since $\deg(hgf) = 3d - 2\omega_1 - 2\omega_2$, $\deg(h^2gf) = 4d - 3\omega_1 - 3\omega_2$ can not be written as $d - k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ with $k \geq -1$ and are both greater than $2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6, $d(2h+h^2)gf \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^2$. We obtain $d(1+h)^2 g f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = dg f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

The other statements are easy to show.

□

We can determine the algebra structure over $\mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^*$ in terms of generators and relations. To this end, we need a notation. Given two augmented $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra A and B , i.e. $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras equipped with a $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra homomorphism to $\mathbb{K}$, the algebra $A \times_{\mathbb{K}} B$ is the fiber product in the category of augmented $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_{\mathbb{K}} B & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K} \end{array}$$

Theorem IV.2. *We have an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\mathcal{HP}_{\Pi}^* \cong \mathbb{K}\langle u, v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle / (u^2, v_1^2, \dots, v_r^2, uv_i + v_i u, v_i v_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq r) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1] / (w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \dots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_c] / (w_c^2),$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = \dots = |v_r| = 1, |w_1| = \dots = |w_c| = 2$. Here $|u|$ denotes the cohomological degree of u .

Proof Denote $u = (1+h)H_f, v_i = (1+h)e_i W, 1 \leq i \leq r, w_j = u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, 1 \leq j \leq c$. By the preceding proposition, we obtain the result.

□

B. Schouten-Nijenhuis brackets on $\text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^*$

By dimension reason and as $\text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^0 = \mathbb{K}$, we only need to compute $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1 \times \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1 \rightarrow \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1 \times \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2 \rightarrow \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$. We need two formulae in the sequel: for all $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$[f \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = (f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - g \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad [f \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = (f \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - g \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \quad (1)$$

Lemma IV.3. *The map $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1 \times \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1 \rightarrow \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1$ vanishes.*

Proof We will deal with the most difficult case $[H_f, e_i W]_{\text{SN}}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, the other cases being easy.

We have $[H_f, e_i W]_{\text{SN}} = -dfH_{e_i}$. Since $\deg(dfH_{e_i}) = 2d - 2\omega_1 - 2\omega_2 > d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, by [11](#) Lemma 4.4, $dfH_{e_i} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1$. $\square$

Proposition IV.4. *All brackets in $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1 \times \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2 \rightarrow \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$ vanish except possibly the component*

$$[-, -]_{\text{SN}} : \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} W \times \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Proof We need to show the following statements:

- (a) For $1 \leq i \leq c$, $[u, w_i]_{\text{SN}} = [H_f, u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$;
- (b) For $1 \leq i \leq r$, $[H_f, e_i f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$;
- (c) For $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $[e_i W, e_j f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$.

In fact, as $\text{div}(H_{u_i}) = 0$,

$$[u, w_i]_{\text{SN}} = [H_f, u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = -H_{u_i}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -(H_{u_i}(f) - \text{div}(H_{u_i})f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -\delta^1(H_{u_i}),$$

so $[H_f, u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$. The other two statements follow from $w_i = e_i f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{d} u \wedge v_i$ and Theorem [II.2](#) (c).

Using (1), it is not difficult to see that $[e_i W, u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = (\deg(u_j) - d) e_i u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We can write $(\deg(u_j) - d) e_i u_j = \sum_k \lambda_k u_k + \xi \in M_f \oplus I_f = \mathcal{F}$ with $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\xi \in I_f$. If $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 + \deg(u_j) = \deg(\xi) = 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and thus $\deg(u_j) = d$, but then $(\deg(u_j) - d) e_i u_j = 0$ and so $\xi = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2$. If $\deg(u_j) \neq d$, by Proposition [III.3](#),

$$[e_i W, u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = \sum_k \lambda_k u_k \in \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^2.$$

Of course only those u_k with $\deg(u_k) = \deg(u_j) + d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ could occur. $\square$

C. Schouten-Nijenhuis brackets on HP_{Π}^*

Remember that in this case, we always assume $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 > 0$.

By dimension reason and as $\text{HP}_{\Pi}^0 = \mathbb{K}$, we only need to consider $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_{\Pi}^1 \times \text{HP}_{\Pi}^1 \rightarrow \text{HP}_{\Pi}^1$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_{\Pi}^1 \times \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2 \rightarrow \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Lemma IV.5. *The map $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{SN}} : \text{HP}_{\Pi}^1 \times \text{HP}_{\Pi}^1 \rightarrow \text{HP}_{\Pi}^1$ vanishes.*

Proof We will deal with the most difficult case $[u, v_i]_{\text{SN}}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, the other cases being easy.

A direct computation shows that

$$[u, v_i]_{\text{SN}} = [(1+h)H_f, (1+h)e_i W]_{\text{SN}} = -d(1+h)fH_{e_i(1+h)}.$$

Since the degrees of dfH_{e_i} and $dfH_{e_i h}$ are all strictly larger than $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, by Ref. [11](#) Lemma 4.4, $dfH_{e_i(1+h)} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^1$. By (the proof of) Theorem 4.6 of Ref. [11](#),

$$d(1+h)fH_{e_i(1+h)} \in B_{\Pi}^1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{d(1+h)f}{1+h} H_{e_i(1+h)} = dfH_{e_i(1+h)} \in B_{\Pi_0}^1.$$

Hence $[(1+h)H_f, (1+h)e_i W]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^1$.

□

Lemma IV.6. *We have*

$$(a) \text{ For } 1 \leq i \leq r, [u, e_i f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = [(1+h)H_f, e_i f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

$$(b) \text{ For } 1 \leq i, j \leq r, [v_i, e_j f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = [(1+h)e_i W, e_j f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Proof The statements follow from Theorem II.2 (c) and the previous lemma, as $e_i f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{d} u \wedge v_i$.

□

Lemma IV.7. *For all $1 \leq i \leq c$, $[u, w_i]_{\text{SN}} = [(1+h)H_f, u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.*

Proof Using (1), it is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned} [(1+h)H_f, u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} &= (-(1+h)H_{u_i}(f) + u_i H_h(f)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= -\delta^1(H_{u_i}) + (-f H_h(u_i) + u_i H_h(f)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= X(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \bmod B_{\Pi}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $X = -\frac{1}{d} H_h(u_i)W + u_i H_h$. Notice that $\text{div}(X) = \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \deg(u_i)}{d} H_h(u_i)$.

As in Proposition III.6, denote $g = X(f) \in I_f$. Then $\deg(g) = 2d - 2\omega_1 - 2\omega_2 + \deg(u_i)$. It is equal to $d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ with $k \geq -1$ if and only if $\deg(u_i) = d - (k+2)(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$.

If $\deg(g)$ is not of the form $d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ with $k \geq -1$. By Proposition III.6, $g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Now suppose that $\deg(g) = d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ with $k \geq -1$.

Let $k = -1$, i.e. $\deg u_i = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ and $\deg(g) = 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. Then $\text{div}(X) = 0$ and

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = X(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \text{div}(X) f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Let $k = 0$. Then $\deg(u_i) = 2\omega_1 + 2\omega_2 - d$. Let $X_0 = X + \frac{\text{div}(X)}{d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg(X)} W = u_i H_h$. Then $X(f) = X_0(f) - \text{div}(X_0)f$. Remark that $X_0(h) = 0$ and $X_0(fh) - \text{div}(X_0)fh = hX(f)$. Hence,

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2X_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Suppose that $k \geq 1$. Let $X_1 = hX_0 - \frac{2}{\deg(X_0)} X_0(h)W = hX_0$.

If $k = 1$, then $\deg(u_i) = -2d + 3\omega_1 + 3\omega_2$ and

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2X_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2hX_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

By induction, $X_i = h^i X_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and

$$g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2X_k(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2h^k X_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

□

Lemma IV.8. *For $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq c$, we have*

$$[v_i, w_j] = [(1+h)e_i W, u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = ((\deg u_j - d)e_i u_j + (\deg u_j - 2d + \omega_1 + \omega_2)h e_i u_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

By Proposition III.6, there exists an algorithm to compute its class in HP_{Π}^2 .

Proof Using (1), we have

$$[(1+h)e_i W, u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} = ((\deg u_j - d)e_i u_j + (\deg u_j - 2d + \omega_1 + \omega_2)he_i u_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We will use Proposition III.6 to compute its cohomology class in HP_{Π}^2 .

Let $g_1 = (\deg u_j - d)e_i u_j$ and $g_2 = (\deg u_j - 2d + \omega_1 + \omega_2)he_i u_j$. Notice that $\deg(g_1) = d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 + \deg(u_j)$, $\deg(g_2) = 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) + \deg(u_j)$, and $\deg(u_j) = d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ if and only if $\deg(g_1) = d - (k-1)(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ if and only if $\deg(g_2) = d - (k-2)(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$.

Write $g_1 = \sum_{s=1}^c \lambda_s u_s + Y(f)$ and $g_2 = \sum_{s=1}^c \mu_s u_s + Z(f)$ for $\lambda_s, \mu_s \in \mathbb{K}$ and two vector fields Y, Z .

If there does not exist $k \geq 0$ such that $\deg(u_j) = d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, then

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \sum_{s=1}^c (\lambda_s + \mu_s) w_s \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Suppose there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $\deg(u_j) = d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$. Then $\deg(g_1) = d - (k-1)(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ and $\deg(g_2) = d - (k-2)(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$. We distinguish three cases: $k = 0, k = 1, k \geq 2$.

Let $k = 0$. Then $k-1 = -1, k-2 = -2 < -1$. We see

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \sum_{s=1}^c \mu_s w_s + \text{div}(Y)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Let $k = 1$. Then $k-1 = 0, k-2 = -1$. Define as in Proposition III.6, $Y_0 = Y + \frac{\text{div}(Y)}{d - \deg(u_j)}W$. We have

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \sum_{s=1}^c \lambda_s w_s + (-2Y_0(h) + \text{div}(Z))f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Let $k \geq 2$. Then $k-1 \geq 1, k-2 \geq 0$. Define

$$Y_t = hY_{t-1} - \frac{2}{\deg(Y_{t-1})}Y_{t-1}(h)W, t = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

$$Z_0 = Z + \frac{\text{div}(Z)}{d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg(Z)}W = Z + \frac{\text{div}(Z)}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \deg(u_j)}W$$

and

$$Z_t = hZ_{t-1} - \frac{2}{\deg(Z_{t-1})}Z_{t-1}(h)W, t = 1, \dots, k-2.$$

We obtain

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \sum_{s=1}^c (\lambda_s + \mu_s) w_s - 2(Y_{k-1}(h) + Z_{k-2}(h))f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

□

Remark IV.9. All brackets vanish except

$$[-, -]_{\text{SN}} : \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(1+h)W \times \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

which is given by (the proof of) Lemma IV.8.

Since our computation in this section does not depend on the function algebra $\mathcal{F}$, we have obtained the following GAGA type result:

Theorem IV.10. There exist isomorphisms of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi}^*(\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}) \cong \text{HP}_{\Pi}^*(\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]) \text{ and } \text{HP}_{\Pi}^*(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cong \text{HP}_{\Pi}^*(\mathbb{R}\{x, y\}) \cong \text{HP}_{\Pi}^*(\mathbb{R}[[x, y]]).$$

If $h = 0$, one can also add the polynomial algebra in two variables into the statement.

V. SIMPLE SINGULARITIES

For simple singularities, following the classification of V.I. Arnold¹, we can determine the Gerstenhaber algebra structure over the Poisson cohomology of plane Poisson structures with simple singularities.

A. Type $A_{2p}: x^2 + y^{2p+1}, p \geq 1$

In this type A_{2p} , $\Pi_0 = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $f = x^2 + y^{2p+1}$, $\omega_1 = 2p+1$, $\omega_2 = 2$, $d = 4p$, $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 2p$. We have $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} = \mathcal{P}_{2p} = \mathbb{K}y^{2p}$, $r = 1$, $e_1 = y^{2p}$. We also have $\mathcal{M}_f \cong \mathbb{K}[y]/(y^{2p})$, so $c = 2p$ and choose $u_i = y^{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2p$. Let

$$u = H_f = (2p+1)y^{2p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, v_1 = e_1 W = y^{2p}((2p+1)x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

which spans $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} W$, and $w_i = u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = y^{i-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $1 \leq i \leq 2p$, which form a basis of $\mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

By Theorem IV.2 and Proposition IV.4, we have the following result:

Proposition V.1. *Let $\Pi_0 = (x^2 + y^{2p+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ be Type A_{2p} , $p \geq 1$. We have an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras*

$$\mathbf{HP}_{\Pi_0}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p}]/(w_{2p}^2),$$

with $|u| = 1, |w_1| = \cdots = |w_{2p}| = 2$, where the brackets are all zero.

B. Type $A_{2p-1}^\pm: (x^2 \pm y^{2p})(1 + \lambda y^{p-1}), p \geq 1$

In this type $A_{2p-1}^\pm$, $\Pi = f(1+h) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $f = x^2 \pm y^{2p}$, $h = \lambda y^{p-1}$, $\omega_1 = p$, $\omega_2 = 1$, $d = 2p$, $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = p - 1$. We have $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} = \mathcal{P}_{p-1} = \mathbb{K}y^{p-1}$, $r = 1$, $e_1 = y^{p-1}$. We also have $\mathcal{M}_f \cong \mathbb{K}[y]/(y^{2p-1})$, so $c = 2p - 1$ and choose $u_i = y^{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2p - 1$. As in Theorem IV.2, let

$$u = (1+h)H_f = (1+\lambda y^{p-1})(\pm 2py^{2p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}), v_1 = e_1(1+h)W = y^{p-1}(1+\lambda y^{p-1})(px \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

and $w_i = u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = y^{i-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2p - 1$. We have an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\mathbf{HP}_{\Pi}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p-1}]/(w_{2p-1}^2).$$

Notice that by Proposition IV.1, $u \wedge v_1 = 2pe_1 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \mathbf{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Let us first consider the case $p = 1$. In this case, $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 0$ and we are in the situation of $\Pi_0 = (x^2 \pm y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. By Subsection IV B, the only nonzero bracket is $[v_1, w_1]_{\mathbf{SN}} = [W, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}] = -2w_1$.

Suppose now that $p > 1$. By Subsection IV C, we need to consider $[v_1, w_j]_{\mathbf{SN}}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2p - 1$. By Lemma IV.8, for $1 \leq j \leq 2p - 1$, $[v_1, w_j]_{\mathbf{SN}} = ((j - 2p - 1)y^{p+j-2} + (j - 3p)\lambda y^{2p+j-3}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. As in Lemma IV.8, let $g_1 = (j - 2p - 1)y^{p+j-2}$, $g_2 = (j - 3p)\lambda y^{2p+j-3}$. Then $\deg(g_1) = p + j - 2$ and $\deg(g_2) = 2p + j - 3$. We consider $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\deg(g_1) = d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - k(p - 1)$, then $\deg(g_2) = d - (k - 1)(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - (k - 1)(p - 1)$ and $\deg(u_j) = d - (k + 1)(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - (k + 1)(p - 1)$. The restrictions are $0 \leq \deg(u_j) \leq 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 2$ and the degrees of g_1, g_2 are all nonnegative. It is easy to see that all the possibilities are listed in Table II:

Case $k = 1, p = 2$. In this case, $j = 3, u_3 = y^2$, $g_1 = -2y^3$, $g_2 = -3\lambda y^4$. We have $g_2 = Z(f) \in I_f$ with $Z = \mp \frac{3}{4}\lambda y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\text{div}(Z) = \mp \frac{3}{4}\lambda$. Since $\deg(g_2) = 2p = d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6, put

$$Z_0 = Z + \frac{\text{div}(Z)}{d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg(Z)} W = \mp \frac{3}{2}\lambda (x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

and we get

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Z_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 3\lambda^2 y f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 3\lambda^2 e_1 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{3}{4}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_1 \in \mathbf{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

k	deg(g_1)	deg(g_2)	deg(u_j)	j	p
1	4	5	3	4	2
2	2	3	1	2	2
3	1	2	0	1	2
0	6	8	4	5	3
1	4	6	2	3	3
2	2	4	0	1	3
0	$2p$	$3p-1$	$p+1$	$p+2$	>3
1	$p+1$	$2p$	2	3	>3

TABLE II. All possibilities in the computation

As $p = 2$, $\deg(g_1) = p + 1 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 2$, then $g_1 = -2y^3 = Y(f) \in I_f$ with $Y = \mp \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Then $\text{div}(Y) = 0$. Since $\deg(g_1) = d - 1 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6, write $Y_0 = Y + \frac{\text{div}(Y)}{d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg(Y)} W = Y = \mp \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $Y_1 = hY_0 - \frac{2}{\deg(Y_0)} Y_0(h)W = \mp \lambda(2x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3}{2}y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$. Then $g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Y_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{3}{4} \lambda^2 u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

We have shown that if $k = 1$ and $p = 2$, $[v_1, w_3]_{\text{SN}} = \pm \frac{3}{2} \lambda^2 u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Case $k = 2, p = 2$. In this case, $j = 2, u_2 = y, g_1 = -3y^2 = -3u_3, g_2 = -4\lambda y^3$. Then $g_2 = Z(f) \in I_f$ with $Z = \mp \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\text{div}(Z) = 0$. Since $\deg(g_2) = d - 1 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6, put $Z_0 = \mp \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $Z_1 = \mp \lambda^2(4x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 3y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$. We have

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Z_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{3}{2} \lambda^3 u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

We obtain $[v_1, w_2]_{\text{SN}} = -3w_3 \pm \frac{3}{2} \lambda^3 u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Case $k = 3, p = 2$. In this case, $j = 1, g_1 = -4y = -4u_2, g_2 = -5\lambda y^2 = -5\lambda u_3$. We obtain $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = -4w_2 - 5\lambda w_3 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Case $k = 0, p = 3$. In this case, $j = 5, u_j = y^4, g_1 = -2y^6, g_2 = -4\lambda y^8$. Then $g_1 = Y(f), g_2 = Z(f) \in I_f$ with $Y = \mp \frac{1}{3}y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $Z = \mp \frac{2}{3}\lambda y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\text{div}(Y) = \mp \frac{1}{3}, \text{div}(Z) = \mp 2\lambda y^2$. Let $Y_0 = \mp \frac{1}{2}(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$. Since $\deg(g_1) = 2p = d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ and $\deg(g_2) = 3p - 1 = d - (-1) \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6,

$$[g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, w_5]_{\text{SN}} = (-2Y_0(h)f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 2\lambda y^2 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2,$$

and

$$[g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, w_5]_{\text{SN}} = \text{div}(Z)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \mp 2\lambda y^2 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

So $[v_1, w_5]_{\text{SN}} = (-2Y_0(h)f + \text{div}(Z)f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Case $k = 1, p = 3$. In this case, $j = 3, u_3 = y^2, g_1 = -4y^4, g_2 = -6\lambda y^6$.

We have $g_2 = Z(f) \in I_f$ with $Z = \mp \lambda y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\text{div}(Z) = \mp \lambda$. Since $\deg(g_2) = 2p = d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6, put $Z_0 = \mp \frac{3}{2}\lambda(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ and $g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Z_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 6\lambda^2 y^2 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 6\lambda^2 y^2 e_1 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \lambda^2 u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$. Since $p = 3$, $\deg(g_1) = p + 1 \leq 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 2$, then $g_1 = -4y^4 = -4u_5 \in \mathcal{M}_f$. So $g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -4u_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -4w_5 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

We have shown that if $k = 1$ and $p = 3$, $[v_1, w_3]_{\text{SN}} = -4w_5 \pm \lambda^2 u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Case $k = 2, p = 3$. In this case, $j = 1, g_1 = -6y^2 = -6u_3, g_2 = -8\lambda y^4 = -8\lambda u_5$. We obtain $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = -6w_3 - 8\lambda w_5 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Case $k = 0, p > 3$. In this case, $j = p + 2, u_j = y^{p+1}, g_1 = (1 - p)y^{2p}, g_2 = 2(1 - p)\lambda y^{3p-1}$. Then $g_1 = Y(f), g_2 = Z(f) \in I_f$ with $Y = \pm \frac{1-p}{2p}y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $Z = \pm \frac{1-p}{p}\lambda y^p \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\text{div}(Y) = \pm \frac{1-p}{2p}, \text{div}(Z) = \pm \lambda(1 - p)y^{p-1}$. Let $Y_0 = \mp \frac{1}{2}(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$. Since $\deg(g_1) = 2p = d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$ and $\deg(g_2) = 3p - 1 = d - (-1) \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6,

$$[g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, w_{p+2}]_{\text{SN}} = (-2Y_0(h)f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \lambda(p - 1)y^{p-1} f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2,$$

and

$$[g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, w_{p+2}]_{\text{SN}} = \text{div}(Z)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \lambda (1-p)y^{p-1}f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

So

$$[v_1, w_{p+2}]_{\text{SN}} = (-2Y_0(h)f + \text{div}(Z)f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Case $k = 1, p > 3$. In this case, $j = 3, u_j = y^2, g_1 = 2(1-p)y^{p+1}, g_2 = 3(1-p)\lambda y^{2p}$.

We have $g_2 = Z(f) \in I_f$. with $Z = \pm \frac{3(1-p)}{2p}\lambda y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\text{div}(Z) = \pm \frac{3(1-p)}{2p}\lambda$. Since $\deg(g_2) = 2p = d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6, put $Z_0 = \mp \frac{3}{2}\lambda(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ and

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Z_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 3\lambda^2(p-1)y^{p-1}f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 3\lambda^2(p-1)e_1f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 3\lambda^2 \frac{p-1}{2p}u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

As $p > 3$, $\deg(g_1) = p+1 < 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p-2$, then $g_1 = 2(1-p)y^{p+1} = 2(1-p)u_{p+2} \in \mathcal{M}_f$. So

$$g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2(1-p)u_{p+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2(1-p)w_{p+2} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

We have shown that if $k = 1$ and $p > 3$, $[v_1, w_3]_{\text{SN}} = 2(1-p)w_{p+2} \pm 3\lambda^2 \frac{p-1}{2p}u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Proposition V.2. Let $\Pi = (x^2 \pm y^{2p})(1 + \lambda y^{p-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $p \geq 1$ be of type $A_{2p-1}^{\pm}$.

(a) If $p = 1$, there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras $\text{HP}_{\Pi}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v'_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2)$ with $|u| = |v'_1| = 1, |w_1| = 2$ and the only nonzero bracket on generators is given by $[v'_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_1$.

(b) If $p = 2$ and $\lambda = 0$, then $\Pi = \Pi_0$ and there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_2]/(w_2'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_3]/(w_3'^2)$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = |w'_2| = |w'_3| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets are given as follows:

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_2, [v_1, w'_2]_{\text{SN}} = w'_3.$$

If $p = 2$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u', v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_2]/(w_2'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_3]/(w_3'^2)$$

with $|u'| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = |w'_2| = |w'_3| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets are given by:

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_2, [v_1, w'_2]_{\text{SN}} = w'_3, [v_1, w'_3]_{\text{SN}} = u'v_1.$$

(c) If $p = 3$ and $\lambda = 0$, then $\Pi = \Pi_0$ and there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_2]/(w_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_3]/(w_3'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_4]/(w_4'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_5]/(w_5'^2)$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = |w_2| = |w'_3| = |w'_4| = |w'_5| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets are given by

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_3, [v_1, w'_3]_{\text{SN}} = w'_5, [v_1, w_2]_{\text{SN}} = w'_4.$$

If $p = 3$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, then there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_2]/(w_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_3]/(w_3'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_4]/(w_4'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_5]/(w_5'^2)$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = |w_2| = |w'_3| = |w'_4| = |w'_5| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets are given by

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_3, [v_1, w'_3]_{\text{SN}} = w'_5, [v_1, w_2]_{\text{SN}} = w'_4.$$

(d) If $p \geq 4$ and $\lambda = 0$, then $\Pi = \Pi_0$ and there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\mathrm{HP}_{\Pi_0}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{p-1}]/(w_{p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_p]/(w_p'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_{2p-1}]/(w_{2p-1}'^2)$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = \cdots = |w_{p-1}| = |w'_p| = \cdots = |w'_{2p-1}| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets are given by

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\mathrm{SN}} = w'_p, [v_1, w'_p]_{\mathrm{SN}} = w'_{2p-1}, [v_1, w_j]_{\mathrm{SN}} = w'_{p+j-1}, j = 2, \dots, p-1.$$

If $p \geq 4$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, then there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{p-1}]/(w_{p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_p]/(w_p'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_{2p-1}]/(w_{2p-1}'^2)$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = \cdots = |w_{p-1}| = |w'_p| = \cdots = |w'_{2p-1}| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets are given by

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\mathrm{SN}} = w'_p, [v_1, w'_p]_{\mathrm{SN}} = w'_{2p-1}, [v_1, w_j]_{\mathrm{SN}} = w'_{p+j-1}, j = 2, \dots, p-1.$$

Proof (a) It suffices to replace v_1 by $v'_1 := -\frac{1}{2}v_1$.

(b) By the above discussion, we obtain that

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\mathrm{SN}} = -4w_2 - 5\lambda w_3, [v_1, w_2]_{\mathrm{SN}} = -3w_3 \pm \frac{3}{2}\lambda^3 u \wedge v_1, [v_1, w_3]_{\mathrm{SN}} = \pm \frac{3}{2}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_1, [v_1, w_4]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 0 \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Define $w'_2 = -4w_2 - 5\lambda w_3, w'_3 = [v_1, w'_2]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 12w_3 \mp \frac{27}{2}\lambda^3 u \wedge v_1$. Then $[v_1, w'_3]_{\mathrm{SN}} = \pm 18\lambda^2 u \wedge v_1$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, put $u' = \pm 18\lambda^2 u$, otherwise, do not change u , then we have the desired result.

(c) By the above discussion, we obtain that $[v_1, w_1]_{\mathrm{SN}} = -6w_3 - 8\lambda w_5, [v_1, w_3]_{\mathrm{SN}} = -4w_5 \pm \lambda^2 u \wedge v_1, [v_1, w_5]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 0 \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

It remains to compute $[v_1, w_2]_{\mathrm{SN}}$ and $[v_1, w_4]_{\mathrm{SN}}$. For $j = 2, g_1 = -5y^3 = -5u_4$ and $g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -5w_4 \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2$. $g_2 = -7\lambda y^5 = Z(f) \in I_f$, so $g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2$. We obtain $[v_1, w_2]_{\mathrm{SN}} = -5w_4 \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2$. For $j = 4, g_1 = -3y^6, g_2 = -5\lambda y^7 \in I_f$, so $[v_1, w_4]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 0 \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Put $w'_3 = -6w_3 - 8\lambda w_5, w'_4 = -5w_4, w'_5 = 24w_5 \mp 6\lambda^2 u \wedge v_1$, then the result follows.

(d) By the above discussion, we obtain that $[v_1, w_{p+2}]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 0$ and that

$$[v_1, w_3]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 2(1-p)w_{p+2} \pm 3\lambda^2 \frac{p-1}{2p} u \wedge v_1 \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

It remains to compute $[v_1, w_j]_{\mathrm{SN}}$ for $j \neq 3, p+2$.

For $j = 1, g_1 = -2py^{p-1} = -2pu_p, g_2 = (1-3p)\lambda y^{2p-2} = (1-3p)\lambda u_{2p-1}$. So by Proposition III.6,

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\mathrm{SN}} = -2pw_p + (1-3p)\lambda w_{2p-1} \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

For $j = 2$ or $4 \leq j \leq p, g_1 = (j-2p-1)y^{p+j-2} = (j-2p-1)u_{p+j-1}, g_2 = (j-3p)\lambda y^{2p+j-3} \in I_f$. So by Proposition III.6,

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\mathrm{SN}} = (j-2p-1)w_{p+j-1} \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

For $j = p+1$ or $p+3 \leq j \leq 2p-1, g_1 = (j-2p-1)y^{p+j-2}, g_2 = (j-3p)\lambda y^{2p+j-3} \in I_f$. So by Proposition III.6,

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 0 \in \mathrm{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Put $w'_p = -2pw_p + (1-3p)\lambda w_{2p-1}, w'_{p+1} = (1-2p)w_{p+1}, w'_{p+2} = 2(1-p)w_{p+2} \pm \frac{3(p-1)}{2p}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_1$ and $w'_j = (j-3p)w_j, j = p+3, \dots, 2p-2$ and $w'_{2p-1} = 2p(2p+1)w_{2p-1}$, then we obtain the desired result.

□

C. Type $D_{2p}^\pm$: $(x^2y \pm y^{2p-1})(1 + \lambda x + \mu y^{p-1}), p \geq 2$

In this case, $f = x^2y \pm y^{2p-1}, h = \lambda x + \mu y^{p-1}, \omega_1 = p-1, \omega_2 = 1, d = 2p-1$ and $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = p-1$. A basis of $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} = \mathcal{P}_{p-1}$ is given by $\{e_1 := y^{p-1}, e_2 := x\}$ and $r = 2$. Since $I_f = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) = (2xy, x^2 \pm (2p-1)y^{2p-2})$, then $c = 2p$ and a monomial basis of $\mathcal{M}_f = \mathcal{F}/I_f$ can be chosen as

$$u_1 := 1, u_2 := y, u_3 := y^2, \dots, u_{2p-2} := y^{2p-3}, u_{2p-1} := y^{2p-2}, u_{2p} = x$$

whose degrees are respectively $0, 1, \dots, 2p-2, p-1$.

Let $u = (1+h)H_f = (1+\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})(x^2 \pm (2p-1)y^{2p-2})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2xy\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $v_1 = (1+h)e_1W = (1+\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p-1}((p-1)x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ and $v_2 = (1+h)e_2W = (1+\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})x((p-1)x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$. A basis of $\mathcal{M}_f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ is given by $u_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \dots, u_{2p}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, and $e_1f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, e_2f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Denote $w_j = u_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, j = 1, \dots, 2p$.

By Theorem IV.2, we have an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\text{HP}_\Pi^* \cong \mathbb{K}\langle u, v_1, v_2 \rangle / (u^2, v_1^2, v_2^2, uv_1 + v_1u, uv_2 + v_2u, v_1v_2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \dots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p}]/(w_{2p}^2).$$

By Subsection IV C, to compute the bracket, we need to consider $[v_i, w_j]_{\text{SN}}, i = 1, 2, j = 1, \dots, 2p$.

I. $[v_1, w_j]$

By Lemma IV.8, for $1 \leq j \leq 2p$,

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} &= [(1+h)e_1W, u_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} \\ &= ((\deg(u_j) - 2p + 1)y^{p-1}u_j + (\deg(u_j) - 3p + 2)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p-1}u_j)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

As in Lemma IV.8, let $g_1 = (\deg(u_j) - 2p + 1)y^{p-1}u_j$ and $g_2 = (\deg(u_j) - 3p + 2)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p-1}u_j$.

It is easy to see that $\deg(g_1) = p-1 + \deg(u_j) = d - k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p-1 - k(p-1)$ with $k \geq -1$ if and only if $k = 0, 1$ or $(k = 2 \text{ and } p = 2)$.

Let $k = 0$. Then $\deg(u_j) = p, u_j = y^p, j = p+1, g_1 = (1-p)y^{2p-1}$. Since $\deg(g_1) = 2p-1 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p-2$, $g_1 \in I_f$ and $g_1 = Y(f)$ with $Y = \pm \frac{p-1}{2(2p-1)}(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2y\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$. Let $Y_0 = \mp \frac{1}{2}y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. By Proposition III.6, we obtain that

$$g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Y_0(h)f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \mu(p-1)y^{p-1}f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{p-1}{2p-1}\mu u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_\Pi^2.$$

Let $k = 1$. Then $\deg(u_j) = 1$. If $p > 2$, then $u_j = y, j = 2, g_1 = 2(1-p)y^p = 2(1-p)u_{p+1}$. By Proposition III.6, we see that

$$g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2(1-p)w_{p+1} \in \text{HP}_\Pi^2.$$

If $p = 2$, then either $u_j = y, j = 2, g_1 = -2y^2 = -2u_3$, or $u_j = x, j = 4, g_1 = -2xy$. In the first case, by Proposition III.6, we see

$$g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2u_3 \in \text{HP}_\Pi^2;$$

in the second case, $g_1 = Y(f)$ with $Y = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Let $Y_0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $Y_1 = -(3\lambda x + \mu y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\lambda y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. By Proposition III.6,

$$g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Y_1(h)f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 6\lambda(\lambda x + \mu y)f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2\lambda(\mu u \wedge v_1 + \lambda u \wedge v_2) \in \text{HP}_\Pi^2.$$

Let $k = 2$. Then $p = 2, \deg(u_j) = 0, u_j = 1, j = 1, g_1 = -3y = -3u_2$. By Proposition III.6, we see that

$$g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -3w_2 \in \text{HP}_\Pi^2.$$

Suppose that there is no $k \geq -1$ such that $\deg(g_1) = p - 1 + \deg(u_j) = d - k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 1 - k(p - 1)$. Then $p > 2$ and $j \neq p + 1, 2$. If $j = 1$ or $3 \leq j \leq p$, then $g_1 = (j - 2p)y^{p+j-2} = (j - 2p)u_{p+j-1}$ and by Proposition III.6,

$$g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (j - 2p)w_{p+j-1} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2;$$

if $p + 2 \leq j \leq 2p - 1$, then $g_1 = (j - 2p)y^{p+j-2} \in I_f$, by Proposition III.6,

$$g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2,$$

because $p + j - 2 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 2$ and $g_1 \in I_f$; if $j = 2p$, then $g_1 = -pxy^{p-1} \in I_f$ and by Proposition III.6,

$$g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

We have proved the following: If $p = 2$,

$$g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} -3w_2, & j = 1, \\ -2w_3, & j = 2, \\ \pm \frac{1}{3}\mu u \wedge v_1, & j = 3, \\ 2\lambda(\mu u \wedge v_1 + \lambda u \wedge v_2), & j = 4; \end{cases}$$

if $p > 2$,

$$g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} (1 - 2p)w_p, & j = 1, \\ 2(1 - p)w_{p+1}, & j = 2, \\ (j - 2p)w_{p+j-1}, & 3 \leq j \leq p, \\ \pm \frac{p-1}{2p-1}\mu u \wedge v_1, & j = p + 1, \\ 0 & p + 1 \leq j \leq 2p. \end{cases}$$

Now consider $g_2 = (\deg(u_j) - 3p + 2)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p-1}u_j$. It is easy to see that $\deg(g_2) = 2(p - 1) + \deg(u_j) = d - \ell \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 1 - \ell(p - 1)$ with $\ell \geq -1$ if and only if $\ell = -1, 0, 1$ and $\ell = 1$ only when $p = 2$.

Let $\ell = 1$. Then $p = 2$ and $\deg(u_j) = 0, u_j = 1, j = 1$. We see $g_2 = -4(\lambda x + \mu y)y = -4\mu u_3 + Z(f)$ with $Z = -2\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Let $Z_0 = -2\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $Z_1 = (-6\lambda^2 x - 2\lambda \mu y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 4\lambda^2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Then

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -4\mu w_3 - 2Z_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -4\mu w_3 + 4\lambda^2 \mu u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda^3 u \wedge v_2 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Let $\ell = 0$. Then $\deg(u_j) = 1$. There are two cases: either $u_j = y, j = 2, g_2 = 3(1 - p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^p$, or $p = 2, j = 4, u_j = x, g_2 = 3(1 - p)(\lambda x + \mu y)xy$.

In the first case, since $\deg(g_2) = 2p - 1 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 2$, $g_2 \in I_f$ and $g_2 = Z(f)$ with

$$Z = \left(\frac{3}{2}(1 - p)\lambda y^{p-1} \pm \frac{3(p-1)}{2(2p-1)}\mu x\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mp \frac{3(p-1)}{2p-1}\mu y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Let $Z_0 = \frac{3}{2}(1 - p)\lambda y^{p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mp \frac{3}{2}\mu y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We obtain that

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Z_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{3(p-1)}{2p-1}(\lambda^2 \pm \mu^2)u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

In the second case, $p = 2, j = 4, u_j = x, g_2 = -3(\lambda x + \mu y)xy$. Since $\deg(g_2) = 3 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2$, $g_2 \in I_f$ and $g_2 = Z(f)$ with $Z = -\frac{3}{2}(\lambda x + \mu y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Let $Z_0 = (-3\lambda x - \frac{3}{2}\mu y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We obtain that

$$[g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, w_4]_{\text{SN}} = -2Z_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (6\lambda^2 x + 6\lambda \mu y)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + 2\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Let $\ell = -1$. Then $\deg(u_j) = p, u_j = y^p, j = p+1, g_2 = 2(1-p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{2p-1}$. Since $\deg(g_2) = 3p-2 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p-2, g_2 \in I_f$ and $g_2 = Z(f)$ with $Z = (1-p)y^{p-1}(\lambda y^{p-1} \mp \frac{1}{2p-1}\mu x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \pm \frac{2(1-p)}{2p-1}\mu y^p \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We obtain that

$$[g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, w_{p+1}]_{\text{SN}} = \text{div}(Z)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm(1-p)\mu e_1 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm \frac{1-p}{2p-1} \mu u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Suppose that there is no $\ell \geq -1$ such that $g_2 = (\deg(u_j) - 3p + 2)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p-1}u_j = d - k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 1 - k(p - 1)$. Then $p > 2$ and $j \neq p+1, 2$. As $g_2 = (\deg(u_j) - 3p + 2)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p-1}u_j$, If $j = 1$, then $g_2 = (2 - 3p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p-1} = (2 - 3p)\mu u_{2p-1} + Z(f)$ with Z a vector field, and

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (2 - 3p)\mu w_{2p-1} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2;$$

if $3 \leq j \leq p$, then $g_2 = (j - 3p + 1)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p+j-2} = Z(f)$ for a vector field Z and

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2;$$

if $p+2 \leq j \leq 2p-1$, then $g_2 = (j - 3p + 1)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p+j-2} = Z(f)$ for a vector field Z and

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2;$$

if $j = 2p$, $g_2 = (1 - 2p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})xy^{p-1} = Z(f)$ for a vector field Z and

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

We have proved the following: If $p = 2$,

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} -4\mu w_3 + 4\lambda^2 \mu u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda^3 u \wedge v_2, & j = 1, \\ (\lambda^2 \pm \mu^2)u \wedge v_1, & j = 2, \\ \mp \frac{1}{3}\mu u \wedge v_1, & j = 3, \\ 2\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + 2\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2, & j = 4. \end{cases}$$

If $p > 2$,

$$g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} (2 - 3p)\mu w_{2p-1}, & j = 1, \\ \frac{3(p-1)}{2p-1}(\lambda^2 \pm \mu^2)u \wedge v_1, & j = 2, \\ 0, & 3 \leq j \leq p, \\ \pm \frac{1-p}{2p-1}\mu u \wedge v_1, & j = p+1, \\ 0 & p+1 \leq j \leq 2p. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain $[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}}$: If $p = 2$,

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \begin{cases} -3w_2 - 4\mu w_3 + 4\lambda^2 \mu u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda^3 u \wedge v_2, & j = 1, \\ -2w_3 + (\lambda^2 \pm \mu^2)u \wedge v_1, & j = 2, \\ 0, & j = 3, \\ 4\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2, & j = 4. \end{cases}$$

if $p > 2$,

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \begin{cases} (1 - 2p)w_p + (2 - 3p)\mu w_{2p-1}, & j = 1, \\ 2(1 - p)w_{p+1} + \frac{3(p-1)}{2p-1}(\lambda^2 \pm \mu^2)u \wedge v_1, & j = 2, \\ (j - 2p)w_{p+j-1}, & 3 \leq j \leq p, \\ 0 & p+1 \leq j \leq 2p. \end{cases}$$

2. $[v_2, w_j]$

By Lemma IV.8, for $1 \leq j \leq 2p-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [v_2, w_j]_{\text{SN}} &= [(1+h)e_2W, u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}]_{\text{SN}} \\ &= ((\deg(u_j) - 2p + 1)xu_j + (\deg(u_j) - 3p + 2)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})xu_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

As in Lemma IV.8, let $g'_1 = (\deg(u_j) - 2p + 1)xu_j$ and $g'_2 = (\deg(u_j) - 3p + 2)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})xu_j$.

It is easy to see that $\deg(g'_1) = p-1 + \deg(u_j) = d-k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p-1-k(p-1)$ with $k \geq -1$ if and only if $k = 0, 1$, or 2 (and $p = 2$).

Let $k = 0$. Then $\deg(u_j) = p, u_j = y^p, j = p+1, g'_1 = (1-p)xy^p$. Since $\deg(g'_1) = 2p-1 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p-2, g'_1 \in I_f$ and $g'_1 = Y(f)$ with $Y = \frac{1-p}{2}y^{p-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Let $Y_0 = \frac{1-p}{2}y^{p-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. We obtain that

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Y_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (p-1)\lambda y^{p-1}f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{p-1}{2p-1}\lambda u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Let $k = 1$. Then $\deg(u_j) = 1$.

If $p > 2$, then $u_j = y, j = 2, g'_1 = 2(1-p)xy = Y(f)$ with $Y = (1-p)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Let $Y_0 = (1-p)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $Y_1 = (3(1-p)\lambda x + (1-p)\mu y^{p-1})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\lambda y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We obtain that

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Y_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{6(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + \frac{6(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $p = 2$, then either $u_j = y, j = 2, g'_1 = -2xy = Y(f)$ with $Y = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, or $u_j = x, j = 4, g'_1 = -2x^2 = \pm 6y^2 - 2(x^2 \pm 3y^2) = \pm 6u_3 + Y(f)$ with $Y = -2\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

In the first case, let $Y_0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $Y_1 = (-3\lambda x - \mu y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\lambda y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We obtain that

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Y_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + 2\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

In the second case, let $Y_0 = -2\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $Y_1 = (-4\mu x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (-2\lambda x - 6\mu y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Then

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 6w_3 - 2Y_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm 6w_3 + 4\mu^2 u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda \mu u \wedge v_2 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Let $k = 2$. Then $p = 2, \deg(u_j) = 0, u_j = 1, j = 1, g'_1 = -3x = -3u_4$. We see that

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -3w_4 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Suppose that there is no $k \geq -1$ such that $\deg(g'_1) = p-1 + \deg(u_j) = d-k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p-1-k(p-1)$. Then $p > 2$ and $j \neq p+1, 2$.

If $j = 1$, then $g'_1 = (1-2p)x = (1-2p)u_{2p}$ and

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (1-2p)w_{2p} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $3 \leq j \leq p$, then $g'_1 = (j-2p)xy^{j-1} \in I_f$ and

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $p+2 \leq j \leq 2p-1$, then $g'_1 = (j-2p)xy^{j-1} \in I_f$,

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $j = 2p$, then $g'_1 = -px^2 = \pm p(2p-1)u_{2p-1} \bmod I_f$ and

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm p(2p-1)w_{2p-1} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

We have proved the following: If $p = 2$,

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} -3w_4, & j = 1, \\ 2\lambda\mu u \wedge v_1 + 2\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2, & j = 2, \\ \frac{1}{3}\lambda u \wedge v_1, & j = 3, \\ \pm 6w_3 + 4\mu^2 u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda\mu u \wedge v_2, & j = 4; \end{cases}$$

if $p > 2$,

$$g'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} (1-2p)w_{2p}, & j = 1, \\ \frac{6(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda\mu u \wedge v_1 + \frac{6(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2, & j = 2, \\ 0, & 3 \leq j \leq p, \\ \frac{p-1}{2p-1}\lambda u \wedge v_1, & j = p+1, \\ 0, & p+1 \leq j \leq 2p-1, \\ \pm p(2p-1)w_{2p-1}, & j = 2p. \end{cases}$$

Now consider $g'_2 = (\deg(u_j) - 3p + 2)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})xu_j$. It is easy to see that $\deg(g'_2) = 2(p-1) + \deg(u_j) = d - \ell \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 1 - \ell(p-1)$ with $\ell \geq -1$ if and only if $\ell = -1, 0, 1$ and $\ell = 1$ only when $p = 2$.

Let $\ell = 1$. Then $p = 2$ and $\deg(u_j) = 0, u_j = 1, j = 1$. We see $g'_2 = -4\lambda x^2 - 4\mu xy = \pm 12\lambda u_3 + Z(f)$ with $Z = -2\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 4\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Let $Z_0 = -2\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 4\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $Z_1 = (-14\lambda\mu x - 2\mu^2 y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (-4\lambda^2 x - 16\lambda\mu y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= \pm 12\lambda w_{2p-1} - 2Z_1(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= \pm 12\lambda w_3 + 12\lambda\mu^2 u \wedge v_1 + 12\lambda^2 \mu \wedge v_2 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\ell = 0$. Then $\deg(u_j) = 1$. There are two cases: either $u_j = y, j = 2, g'_2 = 3(1-p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})xy$, or $p = 2, j = 4, u_j = x, g'_2 = -3(\lambda x + \mu y)x^2$.

In the first case, since $\deg(g'_2) = 2p - 1 > 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 2$, $g'_2 \in I_f$ and $g'_2 = Z(f)$ with $Z = \frac{3}{2}(1-p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Let $Z_0 = (3(1-p)\lambda x + \frac{3}{2}(1-p)\mu y^{p-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= -2Z_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= (6(p-1)\lambda^2 x + 6\lambda\mu(p-1)y^{p-1})f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= \frac{6(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda\mu u \wedge v_1 + \frac{6(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, $p = 2, j = 4, u_j = x$ and $g'_2 = -3(\lambda x + \mu y)x^2 = Z(f)$ with $Z = (-\frac{3}{2}\mu x \pm \frac{9}{2}\lambda y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 3\lambda x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Let $Z_0 = (-3\mu x \pm \frac{9}{2}\lambda y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - (3\lambda x + \frac{3}{2}\mu y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We obtain that

$$g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Z_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (\mp 3\lambda^2 + \mu^2)u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda\mu u \wedge v_2 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Let $\ell = -1$. Then $\deg(u_j) = p, u_j = y^p, j = p+1, g'_2 = 2(1-p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})xy^p = Z(f)$ with $Z = (1-p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})y^{p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. We obtain that

$$g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \text{div}(Z)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (1-p)\lambda e_1 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{1-p}{2p-1}\lambda u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Suppose that there is no $\ell \geq -1$ such that $\deg(g'_2) = d - \ell \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p - 1 - \ell(p-1)$. Then $p > 2$ and $j \neq p+1, 2$.

If $j = 1$, then $g'_2 = (2-3p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})x = \pm(2-3p)(1-2p)\lambda u_{2p-1} + Z(f)$ for a vector field Z , and we have

$$g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \pm(2-3p)(1-2p)\lambda w_{2p-1} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $3 \leq j \leq p$, then $g'_2 = (j - 3p + 1)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})xy^{j-1} = Z(f)$ for a vector field Z and

$$g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $p + 2 \leq j \leq 2p - 1$, then $g'_2 = (j - 3p + 1)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})xy^{j-1} = Z(f)$ for a vector field Z and

$$g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

If $j = 2p$, $g'_2 = (1 - 2p)(\lambda x + \mu y^{p-1})x^2 = Z(f)$ for a vector field Z and

$$g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

We have proved the following: If $p = 2$,

$$g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} \pm 12\lambda w_3 + 12\lambda \mu^2 u \wedge v_1 + 12\lambda^2 \mu \wedge v_2, & j = 1, \\ 2\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + 2\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2, & j = 2, \\ -\frac{1}{3}\lambda u \wedge v_1, & j = 3, \\ (\mp 3\lambda^2 + \mu^2)u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda \mu u \wedge v_2, & j = 4; \end{cases}$$

if $p > 2$,

$$g'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} \pm(2 - 3p)(1 - 2p)\lambda w_{2p-1}, & j = 1, \\ \frac{6(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + \frac{6(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2, & j = 2, \\ 0, & 3 \leq j \leq p, \\ \frac{1-p}{2p-1}\lambda u \wedge v_1, & j = p + 1, \\ 0 & p + 2 \leq j \leq 2p. \end{cases}$$

We have proved the following: If $p = 2$,

$$[v_2, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \begin{cases} \pm 12\lambda w_3 - 3w_4 + 12\lambda \mu^2 u \wedge v_1 + 12\lambda^2 \mu \wedge v_2, & j = 1, \\ 4\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2, & j = 2, \\ 0, & j = 3, \\ \pm 6w_3 + (\mp 3\lambda^2 + 5\mu^2)u \wedge v_1 + 8\lambda \mu u \wedge v_2, & j = 4; \end{cases}$$

if $p > 2$,

$$[v_2, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \begin{cases} \pm(2 - 3p)(1 - 2p)\lambda w_{2p-1} + (1 - 2p)w_{2p}, & j = 1, \\ \frac{12(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda \mu u \wedge v_1 + \frac{12(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_2, & j = 2, \\ 0, & 3 \leq j \leq 2p - 1, \\ \pm p(2p - 1)w_{2p-1}, & j = 2p. \end{cases}$$

3. The final result of type $D_{2p}^{\pm}$

We have proved the following result:

Proposition V.3. Let $\Pi = (x^2 y \pm y^{2p-1})(1 + \lambda x + \mu y^{p-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $p \geq 2$ be of type $D_{2p}^{\pm}$.

(a) If $p = 2$, there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HP}_{\Pi}^* &\cong \mathbb{K}\langle u', v_1, v_2 \rangle / (u'^2, v_1^2, v_2^2, u'v_1 + v_1u', u'v_2 + v_2u', v_1v_2) \\ &\quad \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1] / (w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_2] / (w_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_3] / (w_3^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_4] / (w_4^2). \end{aligned}$$

with $|u'| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = |w_2| = |w_3| = |w_4| = 2$ and the only nontrivial brackets are given by

$$[v_1, w'_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_2, [v_1, w'_2]_{\text{SN}} = w'_3, [v_1, w'_4]_{\text{SN}} = [v_2, w'_2]_{\text{SN}} = \lambda(\mu u'v_1 + \lambda u'v_2),$$

$$[v_2, w'_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_4, [v_2, w'_4]_{\text{SN}} = 3w'_3 + 2\mu(\mu u'v_1 + \lambda u'v_2).$$

(b) If $p \geq 3$, there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HP}_{\Pi}^* &\cong \mathbb{K}\langle u', v_1, v_2 \rangle / (u'^2, v_1^2, v_2^2, u'v_1 + v_1u', u'v_2 + v_2u', v_1v_2) \\ &\quad \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{p-1}]/(w_{p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_p]/(w_p'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_{2p}]/(w_{2p}'^2). \end{aligned}$$

with $|u'| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = \cdots = |w_{p-1}| = |w'_p| = \cdots = |w'_{2p}| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets are given by

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{p+j-1}, 1 \leq j \leq p-1, [v_1, w'_p]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{2p-1}, [v_2, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{2p},$$

$$[v_2, w_2] = \mu u' v_1 + \lambda u' v_2, [v_2, w'_{2p}]_{\text{SN}} = \pm(1-2p)w'_{2p-1}.$$

Proof (a) Let

$$\begin{aligned} w'_2 &= -3w_2 - 4\mu w_3 + 4\lambda^2 \mu u \wedge v_1 + 4\lambda^3 u \wedge v_2, \\ w'_3 &= -3[v_1, w_2]_{\text{SN}} = \pm(6w_3 - 3(\lambda^2 \pm \mu^2)u \wedge v_1, \\ w'_4 &= \pm 12\lambda w_3 - 3w_4 + 12\lambda \mu^2 u \wedge v_1 + 12\lambda^2 \mu u \wedge v_2, \end{aligned}$$

and we need to put moreover $u' = -12u$.

(b) Let

$$\begin{aligned} w'_p &= (1-2p)w_p + (2-3p)\mu w_{2p-1}, \\ w'_{p+1} &= 2(1-p)w_{p+1} + \frac{3(p-1)}{2p-1}(\lambda^2 \pm \mu^2)u \wedge v_1, \\ w'_{p+i} &= (i+1-2p)w_{p+i}, 2 \leq i \leq p-2, \\ w'_{2p-1} &= p(2p-1)w_{2p-1}, \\ w'_{2p} &= \pm(2-3p)(1-2p)\lambda w_{2p-1} + (1-2p)w_{2p}, \end{aligned}$$

and we need to put moreover $u' = \frac{12(p-1)}{2p-1}\lambda u$.

□

D. Type D_{2p+1} : $(x^2y + y^{2p})(1 + \lambda x)$, $p \geq 2$

In this case, $\Pi = f(1+h)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $f = x^2y + y^{2p}, h = \lambda x, \omega_1 = 2p-1, \omega_2 = 2, d = 4p, d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 2p-1$. The space $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} = \mathcal{P}_{2p-1}$ is one-dimensional with $e_1 = x$ as a basis. Since $I_f = \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \rangle = \langle 2xy, x^2 + 2py^{2p-1} \rangle$, we can take $\{u_1 = 1, \dots, u_{2p} = y^{2p-1}, u_{2p+1} = x, \}$ as a monomial basis of $\mathcal{M}_f = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)/I_f$, whose degrees are respectively $0, 2, \dots, 2(2p-1), 2p-1$. Let

$$u = (1+h)H_f = (1+\lambda x)((x^2 + 2py^{2p-1})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2xy\frac{\partial}{\partial y}), v_1 = (1+h)e_1W = (1+\lambda x)x((2p-1)x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}),$$

which is a basis of HP_{Π}^1 , and $w_i = u_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2p+1$ which form a basis of $\mathcal{M}_f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We have an isomorphism of graded algebras $\text{HP}_{\Pi}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p+1}]/(w_{2p+1}^2)$. Notice that by Proposition IV.1, $u \wedge v_1 = 4pe_1f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

By Subsection IV C, to compute the bracket, we need to consider $[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}}, j = 1, \dots, 2p+1$.

By Lemma IV.8, for $1 \leq j \leq 2p-1$, $[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = ((\deg(u_j) - 4p)xu_j + (\deg(u_j) - 6p + 1)\lambda x^2u_j)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Let $g_1 = (\deg(u_j) - 4p)xu_j$ and $g_2 = (\deg(u_j) - 6p + 1)\lambda x^2u_j$. It is easy to see that $\deg(g_1) = 2p-1 + \deg(u_j) = d - k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 4p - k(2p-1)$ with $k \geq -1$ if and only if $k = 0$ or 1 .

Let $k = 0$. Then $\deg(u_j) = 2p+1$, but there is no u_j such that $\deg(u_j) = 2p+1$. So this case is also impossible.

Let $k = 1$. Then $\deg(u_j) = 2, u_j = y, j = 2, g_1 = (2-4p)xy$. We see that $g_1 = Y(f)$ with $Y = (1-2p)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Let $Y_0 = (1-2p)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, and $Y_1 = 3\lambda(1-2p)x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 4\lambda y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We obtain that $g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Y_1(h)f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{3(2p-1)}{2p}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Suppose that there is no $k \geq -1$ such that $\deg(g_1) = d - k \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2p-1 - k(p-1)$. Then $j \neq 2$. If $j = 1$, $g_1 = -4px = -4pu_{2p+1}$, so $g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -4pw_{2p+1} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$; if $3 \leq j \leq 2p$, $g_1 = (2j-2-4p)xy^{j-1} \in I_f$, so $g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$; if $j = 2p+1$, then $g_1 = -(1+2p)x^2 = 2p(1+2p)u_{2p} \bmod I_f$, so $g_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2p(1+2p)w_{2p} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

It is easy to see that $\deg(g_2) = 4p - 2 + \deg(u_j) = d - \ell \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 4p - \ell(2p - 1)$ with $\ell \geq -1$ if and only if $\ell = 0$ or -1 .

Let $\ell = -1$. Then $\deg(u_j) = 2p + 1$, but there is no u_j such that $\deg(u_j) = 2p + 1$. So this case is impossible.

Let $\ell = 0$. Then $\deg(u_j) = 2, u_j = y, j = 2, g_2 = 3(1 - 2p)\lambda x^2 y$. We see that $g_2 = Z(f)$ with $Z = \frac{3}{2}\lambda(1 - 2p)x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Let $Z_0 = -3\lambda((2p - 1)x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$, and we obtain that $g_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2Z_0(h)f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{3(2p-1)}{2p}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Suppose that there is no $\ell \geq -1$ such that $\deg(g_2) = d - \ell \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 4p - \ell(2p - 1)$. Then $j \neq 2$. If $j = 1$, $g_2 = (1 - 6p)\lambda x^2 = 2p(6p - 1)\lambda u_{2p} \bmod I_f$, so $g_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2\lambda p(6p - 1)w_{2p} \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$; if $3 \leq j \leq 2p$, $g_2 = (2j - 6p - 1)xy^{j-1} \in I_f$, so $g_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$; if $j = 2p + 1$, then $g_1 = -4p\lambda x^3 \in I_f$, so $g_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

We obtain

$$[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = \begin{cases} 2\lambda p(6p - 1)w_{2p} - 4pw_{2p+1}, & j = 1, \\ \frac{3(2p-1)}{p}\lambda^2 u \wedge v_1, & j = 2, \\ 0, & 3 \leq j \leq 2p, \\ 2p(1 + 2p)w_{2p}, & j = 2p + 1. \end{cases}$$

We have proved the following result:

Proposition V.4. *For type D_{2p+1} , when $\lambda = 0$, then $\Pi = \Pi_0$ and there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras*

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^* = \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p-1}]/(w_{2p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_{2p}]/(w'_{2p})^2 \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_{2p+1}]/(w'_{2p+1})^2$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = \cdots = |w_{2p-1}| = |w'_{2p}| = |w'_{2p+1}| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets on generators are given by

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{2p+1}, [v_1, w'_{2p+1}]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{2p}.$$

When $\lambda \neq 0$, there exists an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi}^* = \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u', v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p-1}]/(w_{2p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_{2p}]/(w'_{2p})^2 \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w'_{2p+1}]/(w'_{2p+1})^2$$

with $|u| = |v_1| = 1, |w_1| = \cdots = |w_{2p-1}| = |w'_{2p}| = |w'_{2p+1}| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets on generators are given by

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{2p+1}, [v_1, w'_{2p+1}]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{2p}, [v_1, w_2] = u' \wedge v_1.$$

Proof When $\lambda = 0$, let $w'_{2p+1} = -4pw_{2p+1}$ and $w'_{2p} = 2p(1 + 2p)w_{2p}$, then $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{2p+1}, [v_1, w'_{2p+1}]_{\text{SN}} = w'_{2p}$. When $\lambda \neq 0$, let $w'_{2p+1} = 2\lambda p(6p - 1)w_{2p} - 4pw_{2p+1}$, $w'_{2p} = 2p(1 + 2p)w_{2p}$, and we need to put moreover $u' = \frac{3(2p-1)}{p}\lambda^2 u$, then $[v_1, w_2] = u' \wedge v_1$. □

E. Type $E_6: x^3 + y^4$

In this type E_6 , $\Pi = \Pi_0 = f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $f = x^3 + y^4, \omega_1 = 4, \omega_2 = 3, d = 12, d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 5$. We have $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} = \mathcal{P}_5 = 0$ and $\mathcal{M}_f \cong \mathbb{K}[x, y]/(x^2, y^3)$, so $c = 6$ and choose a basis of $\mathcal{M}_f$ as $\{u_1 = 1, u_2 = y, u_3 = x, u_4 = y^2, u_5 = xy, u_6 = xy^2\}$, whose degrees are respectively 0, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10. Let $u = H_f = 4y^3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 3x^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, and $w_i = u_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $1 \leq i \leq 6$, which form a basis of $\mathcal{M}_f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

By Theorem IV.2 and Subsection IV B, we obtain the following result:

Proposition V.5. *Let $\Pi_0 = (x^3 + y^4)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ be Type E_6 . We have an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras*

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^* \cong \mathbb{K}[u]/(u^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_6]/(w_6^2),$$

with $|u| = 1, |w_1| = \cdots = |w_6| = 2$, where the brackets are all zero.

F. Type E_7 : $(x^3 + xy^3)(1 + \lambda y^2)$

In this type E_7 , $f = x^3 + xy^3$, $h = \lambda y^2$, $\omega_1 = 3$, $\omega_2 = 2$, $d = 9$, $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 4$. We have $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} = \mathcal{P}_4 = \mathbb{K}y^2$, and $r = 1$, $e_1 = y^2$. We also have $\mathcal{M}_f \cong \mathbb{K}[x, y]/(3x^2 + y^3, xy^2)$, so $c = 7$ and choose a monomial basis of $\mathcal{M}_f$: $u_1 = 1, u_2 = y, u_3 = x, u_4 = y^2, u_5 = xy, u_6 = y^3, u_7 = y^4$ whose degrees are respectively 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8.

As in Theorem IV.2, denote $u = (1 + h)H_f = (1 + \lambda y^2)(3xy^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - (3x^2 + y^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$, and $v_1 = e_1(1 + h)W = y^2(1 + \lambda y^2)(3x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ which spans $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(1 + h)W$, and $w_i = u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $1 \leq i \leq 7$, which form a basis of $\mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We have an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi}^* \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_7]/(w_7^2).$$

By Lemma IV.8, for $1 \leq j \leq 7$, $[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = ((\deg(u_j) - 9)y^2 u_j + (\deg(u_j) - 13)\lambda y^4 u_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. As in Lemma IV.8, let $g_1 = (\deg(u_j) - 9)y^2 u_j$, $g_2 = (\deg(u_j) - 13)\lambda y^4 u_j$. Then $\deg(g_1) = \deg(u_j) + 4$, $\deg(g_2) = \deg(u_j) + 8$.

We consider $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\deg(g_1) = d - k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = 9 - 4k$, and in this case, $\deg(u_j) = 9 - 4(k + 1)$ and $\deg(g_2) = 9 - 4(k + 1)$. The restrictions are $\deg(u_j) \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$, and the degrees of g_1, g_2 are all nonnegative. It is easy to see that $k = 0$ and in this case, $\deg(u_j) = 5$, $j = 5$, $u_j = xy$, $g_1 = -4xy^3$, $g_2 = -8\lambda xy^5$.

We see that $g_1 = Y(f) \in I_f$ with $Y = -\frac{4}{3}y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\text{div}(Y) = -\frac{4}{3}$. Since $\deg(g_1) = 9 = d - 0 \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, by Proposition III.6, let $Y_0 = -x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Then

$$[g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, w_5]_{\text{SN}} = -2Y_0(h)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 8\lambda e_1 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{8}{9}\lambda u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

Since $\deg(g_2) = 13 = d - (-1) \cdot (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)$, and $g_2 = Z(f) \in I_f$ with $Z = -\frac{8}{3}\lambda y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\text{div}(Z) = -8\lambda y^2$, by Proposition III.6,

$$[g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, w_5]_{\text{SN}} = \text{div}(Z)f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -8\lambda e_1 f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -\frac{8}{9}\lambda u \wedge v_1 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2.$$

We obtain that $[v_1, w_5]_{\text{SN}} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2$.

Now for $j \neq 5$, using Proposition III.6, we obtain easily that

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} &= (-9y^2 - 13\lambda y^4) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -9w_4 - 13\lambda w_7 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2, \\ [v_1, w_2]_{\text{SN}} &= (-7y^3 - 11\lambda y^5) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -7w_6 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2, \\ [v_1, w_3]_{\text{SN}} &= (-6xy^2 - 10\lambda xy^4) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2, \\ [v_1, w_4]_{\text{SN}} &= (-5y^4 - 9\lambda y^6) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -5w_7 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2, \\ [v_1, w_6]_{\text{SN}} &= (-3y^5 - 7\lambda y^7) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2, \\ [v_1, w_7]_{\text{SN}} &= (-y^6 - 5\lambda y^8) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \in \text{HP}_{\Pi}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition V.6. For type E_7 , as Gerstenhaber algebras, HP_{Π}^* is isomorphic to

$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_2]/(w_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_3]/(w_3^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_4]/(w_4^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_5]/(w_5^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_6]/(w_6^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_7]/(w_7^2)$ with $|u| = |v_1| = 1$, $|w_1| = |w_2| = |w_3| = |w_4| = |w_5| = |w_6| = |w_7| = 2$ and the nontrivial brackets on generators are given by

$$[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_4', [v_1, w_2]_{\text{SN}} = w_6', [v_1, w_4]_{\text{SN}} = w_7'.$$

Proof It suffices to define $w_4' = -9w_4 - 13\lambda w_7$, $w_6' = -7w_6$ and $w_7' = 45w_7$. □

G. Type E_8 : $x^3 + y^5$

In this type E_8 , $\Pi = \Pi_0 = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, with $f = x^3 + y^5$, $\omega_1 = 5$, $\omega_2 = 3$, $d = 15$, $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 7$. We have $\mathcal{P}_{d-\omega_1-\omega_2} = \mathcal{P}_7 = 0$ and $\mathcal{M}_f \cong \mathbb{K}[x, y]/(x^2, y^4)$, so $c = 8$ and choose a basis of $\mathcal{M}_f$ as $u_1 = 1, u_2 = y, u_3 = x, u_4 = y^2, u_5 = xy, u_6 = y^3, u_7 = xy^2, u_8 = xy^3$. Let $u = H_f = 5y^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 3x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, and $w_i = u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $1 \leq i \leq 8$, which form a basis of $\mathcal{M}_f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We have the following result:

Proposition V.7. Let $\Pi_0 = (x^3 + y^5) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ be Type E_8 . We have an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\text{HP}_{\Pi_0}^* \cong \mathbb{K}[u]/(u^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_8]/(w_8^2),$$

where the brackets are all zero.

H. Final result for simple singularities

We summarize the computation for simple singularities in Table III.

type	HP*
A_{2p} $p \geq 1$	$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p}]/(w_{2p}^2)$ $ u = v_1 = 1, w_1 = w_2 = \cdots = w_{2p} = 2$
$A_1^{\pm}$	$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1') \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2)$ $ u = v_1' = 1, w_1 = 2$ $[v_1', w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_1$
$A_3^{\pm}$ $\lambda = 0$	$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_2']/(w_2'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_3']/(w_3'^2)$ $ u = v_1 = 1, w_1 = w_2' = w_3' = 2$ $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_2', [v_1, w_2']_{\text{SN}} = w_3'$
$A_3^{\pm}$ $\lambda \neq 0$	$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u', v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_2']/(w_2'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_3']/(w_3'^2)$ $ u' = v_1 = 1, w_1 = w_2' = w_3' = 2$ $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_2', [v_1, w_2']_{\text{SN}} = w_3', [v_1, w_3'] = u'v_1$
$A_{2p-1}^{\pm}$ $p \geq 3$	$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{p-1}]/(w_{p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}}$ $\mathbb{K}[w_p']/(w_p'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p-1}']/(w_{2p-1}'^2)$ $ u = v_1 = 1, w_1 = \cdots = w_{p-1} = w_p' = \cdots = w_{2p-1}' = 2,$ $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_p', [v_1, w_p']_{\text{SN}} = w_{2p-1}', [v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = w_{p+j-1}', j = 2, \dots, p-1$
$D_4^{\pm}$	$\mathbb{K}\langle u', v_1, v_2 \rangle / (u'^2, v_1^2, v_2^2, u'v_1 + v_1u', u'v_2 + v_2u', v_1v_2) \times_{\mathbb{K}}$ $\mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_2']/(w_2'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_3']/(w_3'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_4']/(w_4'^2)$ $ u' = v_1 = v_2 = 1, w_1 = w_2' = w_3' = w_4' = 2$ $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_2', [v_1, w_2']_{\text{SN}} = w_3', [v_1, w_4']_{\text{SN}} = [v_2, w_2']_{\text{SN}} = \lambda(\mu u'v_1 + \lambda u'v_2),$ $[v_2, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_4', [v_2, w_4']_{\text{SN}} = \mp 3w_3' + 2\mu(\mu u'v_1 + \lambda u'v_2)$
$D_{2p}^{\pm}$ $p \geq 3$	$\mathbb{K}\langle u', v_1, v_2 \rangle / (u'^2, v_1^2, v_2^2, u'v_1 + v_1u', u'v_2 + v_2u', v_1v_2) \times_{\mathbb{K}}$ $\mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{p-1}]/(w_{p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_p']/(w_p'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p}']/(w_{2p}'^2)$ $ u' = v_1 = 1, w_1 = \cdots = w_{p-1} = w_p' = \cdots = w_{2p}' = 2$ $[v_1, w_j]_{\text{SN}} = w_{p+j-1}', 1 \leq j \leq p-1, [v_1, w_p']_{\text{SN}} = w_{2p-1}', [v_2, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_{2p}',$ $[v_2, w_2] = \mu u'v_1 + \lambda u'v_2, [v_2, w_{2p}']_{\text{SN}} = \pm(1-2p)w_{2p-1}'$
D_{2p+1} $p \geq 2$ $\lambda = 0$	$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p-1}]/(w_{2p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}}$ $\mathbb{K}[w_{2p}']/(w_{2p}'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p+1}']/(w_{2p+1}'^2)$ $ u = v_1 = 1, w_1 = \cdots = w_{2p-1} = w_{2p}' = w_{2p+1}' = 2$ $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_{2p+1}', [v_1, w_{2p+1}']_{\text{SN}} = w_{2p}'$
D_{2p+1} $p \geq 2$ $\lambda \neq 0$	$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u', v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p-1}]/(w_{2p-1}^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}}$ $\mathbb{K}[w_{2p}']/(w_{2p}'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_{2p+1}']/(w_{2p+1}'^2)$ $ u = v_1 = 1, w_1 = \cdots = w_{2p-1} = w_{2p}' = w_{2p+1}' = 2$ $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_{2p+1}', [v_1, w_{2p+1}']_{\text{SN}} = w_{2p}', [v_1, w_2] = u'v_1$
E_6	$\mathbb{K}[u]/(u^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_6]/(w_6^2),$ $ u = 1, w_1 = \cdots = w_6 = 2$
E_7	$\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}(u, v_1) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_3]/(w_3^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_4']/(w_4'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}}$ $\mathbb{K}[w_5]/(w_5^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_6']/(w_6'^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_7']/(w_7'^2)$ $ u = v_1 = 1, w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = w_4' = w_5 = w_6' = w_7' = 2$ $[v_1, w_1]_{\text{SN}} = w_4', [v_1, w_2]_{\text{SN}} = w_6', [v_1, w_4']_{\text{SN}} = w_7'$
E_8	$\mathbb{K}[u]/(u^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_1]/(w_1^2) \times_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[w_8]/(w_8^2)$ $ u = 1, w_1 = \cdots = w_8 = 2$

TABLE III. Gerstenhaber algebra structure for simple singularities

Acknowledgements The authors were supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11671139, 11971460) and by Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (No. 18dz2271000).

We are very grateful to the comments of the referee(s) and the editor which led to a substantial revision of the text.

Data availability Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

REFERENCES

- ¹V. I. Arnold, *Remarks on Poisson structures on a plane and on other powers of volume elements*. Trudy Sem. Petrovsk. No. 12 (1987), 37-46, 242; translation in J. Soviet Math. **47** (1989), no. 3, 2509-2516 [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [11](#)
- ²V.I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, A. N. Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps*. Volume 1. Classification of critical points, caustics and wave fronts. Translated from the Russian by Ian Porteous based on a previous translation by Mark Reynolds. Reprint of the 1985 edition. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012. xii+382 pp. [4](#)
- ³V. Ginzburg, A. Weinstein, *Lie-Poisson structures on some Poisson Lie groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **5** (1992), 445-453. [2](#)
- ⁴J. Huebschmann, *Poisson cohomology and quantization*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **408** (1990), 57-113. [2](#)
- ⁵J. Huebschmann, *Lie-Rinehart algebras, Gerstenhaber algebras and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **48** (1998), no. 2, 425-440.
- ⁶J. Huebschmann, *Duality for Lie-Rinehart algebras and the modular class*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **510** (1999), 103-159. [2](#)
- ⁷J.-L. Koszul, *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie*. Astérisque, numéro hors série, 257-271 (1985). [2](#)
- ⁸C. Laurent-Gengoux, A. Pichereau, P. Vanhaecke, *Poisson structures*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften Vol. 347, (2013). [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- ⁹A. Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*. J. Diff. Geometry, **12** (1977), 253-300. [2](#)
- ¹⁰Z.-J. Liu, P. Xu, *On quadratic Poisson Structures*. Lett.in Math.Phys.,**26** (1992),33-42. [2](#)
- ¹¹P. Monnier, *Poisson cohomology in dimension two*, Israel J. Math. **129** (2002), 189-207. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [8](#)
- ¹²N. Nakanishi, *Poisson cohomology of Plane Quadratic Poisson Structures*. Publ RIMS, Kyoto Univ,**33** (1997),73-89. [2](#)
- ¹³C. Roger, P. Vanhaecke, *Poisson cohomology of the affine plane*. J. Algebra **251** (2002), no. 1, 448-460. [2](#)
- ¹⁴J.-P. Serre, *Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **6** (1955/56), 1-42. [2](#)
- ¹⁵I. Vaisman, *Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds*. Birkhauser,(1994) [2](#)
- ¹⁶P. Xu, *Poisson cohomology of regular Poisson manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **42** (1992), 967-988. [2](#)
- ¹⁷P. Xu, *Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry*. Comm. Math. Phys. **200** (1999), no. 3, 545-560. [2](#)