

Fixed Point Theorems in M-distance Spaces

Vladyslav Babenko, Vira Babenko, Oleg Kovalenko

Department of Mathematical Analysis and Theory of Functions, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine, babenko.vladislav@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Drake University, Des Moines, USA, vira.babenko@drake.edu

Department of Mathematical Analysis and Theory of Functions, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine, olegkovalenko90@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of fixed point theorems is a well developed domain of Analysis and Topology (see books [9, 1, 15] and references therein). It has a numerous amount of applications, in particular in Numerical Analysis, the Theory of ODEs and PDEs, Integral Equations, Mathematical Economics and others.

One of the most well known fixed point theorems is the contraction mapping theorem in metric spaces, which goes back to Picard, Banach and Caccioppoli. The contraction mapping principle was generalized in various directions, see, e.g. mentioned above books and surveys [26, 11]. A majority of the contraction mapping theorems use the following scheme of the proof. Consider an appropriate sequence

$$(1) \quad x_0, x_1 = f(x_0), \dots, x_n = f(x_{n-1}), \dots$$

and establish that it is a Cauchy sequence. Using completeness of the space, obtain a point x , such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Continuity (in some sense) of f gives $f(x) = x$.

This scheme can be implemented not only in a metric space, but also in a space with agreeing notions of convergent and Cauchy sequences, and that satisfies some properties that allow us to prove that (1) is a Cauchy sequence. One example of such spaces are uniform spaces, see e.g. [8, Ch. 8] [14, Ch. 6]. It seems that the first contraction mapping type result in uniform spaces was obtained in [23]. Another important class of spaces that satisfies the stated above requirements is the class of so-called distance spaces, or symmetries. Some results regarding the fixed point theorems in real-valued distance spaces can be found in [15, 6, 7] (see also references therein).

We consider distance spaces with distances that take values in a partially ordered monoid, so that there might be no linear structure in the range of the distance function.

Note, that spaces with metric that takes values in partially ordered vector spaces were introduced by Kurepa [16] in 1934. Later Kantorovich developed a theory of normed spaces with norms that take values in complete vector lattices, see [12]. These objects appeared to be fruitful in the study of functional equations using iterative methods, and in related questions of Analysis, see [13].

Results on the fixed point theorems for the metric spaces with metric that takes values in a partially ordered vector spaces can be found in the surveys [26, 11].

In particular, in these articles the question whether results on cone metric or K -metric spaces can be reduced to results on ordinary metric spaces, is discussed. In [20] fixed point theorems in cone distance spaces were considered.

Note, that each uniform space with separating axiom can be metrized with a metric that takes values in a partially ordered monoid, see Section 4. As it is well known, metrization of a uniform space with a real-valued metric is possible only for the uniform spaces with countable base. Questions of metrization of a uniform spaces with K -space valued metrics were considered in [17].

In the case of functions acting in partially ordered spaces, the continuity property of the functions can be substituted by their monotonicity. One of the first fixed point theorems of this kind was proved by Tarski [22]. For more results in this area we refer the reader to [10, 5, 11, 21] and references therein. A related area of research is the study of multiple fixed points, see [7] and references therein.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we adduce necessary definitions of a partially ordered monoid M , M -valued distance functions, the notions of a series and their convergence in M . In order to introduce convergence in an M -distance space, we define a family $N(M)$ of null sequences in the monoid M . We also consider an example of a special family of null sequences $N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$ and examples of M -distance spaces.

In Section 3 we prove fixed point theorems for the mappings defined on M -distance spaces and partially ordered M -distance spaces. We also prove a multiple fixed point theorem.

In Section 4 we consider several applications of the obtained fixed point theorems. In particular, we consider the questions of existence of solutions of the Fredholm integral equation in L -spaces (i. e. semi-linear metric spaces with two additional axioms, which connect the metric with the algebraic operations), see [24, 2, 3]. We obtain significantly more general than in [2] conditions for existence and uniqueness of a solution of the Fredholm integral equation.

Finally, the Appendix contains proofs of two lemmas, which are not needed for the main results of the article but seem to be illustrative.

2. M-DISTANCE SPACES

2.1. Partially ordered monoids. Null sequences. Convergent and Cauchy series.

Definition 1. (see [18, Chapter 1,§1]) *A set M with associative binary operation $+$ is called a monoid, if there exists $\theta_M \in M$ such that for all $x \in M$*

$$\theta_M + x = x = x + \theta_M.$$

Definition 2. *A set X is called partially ordered if for some pairs of elements $x, y \in X$ the relation $x \leq y$ is defined and the following properties are satisfied:*

- (1) *For all $x \in X$ one has $x \leq x$;*
- (2) *If $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$, then $x \leq z$;*
- (3) *If $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, then $x = y$.*

Definition 3. A monoid M is called a partially ordered monoid, if it is a partially ordered set,

$$M_+ := \{x \in M : \theta_M \leq x\} \neq \{\theta_M\},$$

and the following condition holds:

$$\text{If } x_1 \leq y_1 \text{ and } x_2 \leq y_2 \text{ then } x_1 + x_2 \leq y_1 + y_2.$$

Definition 4. We say that the upper Riesz property holds in a partially ordered space X , if for each pair of elements $x, y \in X$ there exists an element $x \vee y \in X$ (which is called the supremum of x and y) that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $x \leq x \vee y$ and $y \leq x \vee y$;
- (2) If $z \in X$ is such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$, then $x \vee y \leq z$.

Analogously one can define the infimum $x \wedge y$ and the lower Riesz property.

Definition 5. Let M be a partially ordered monoid. Denote by $N(M)$ a family of sequences $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots\} \subset M_+$ such that the following properties hold:

- (1) $\{\theta_M, \dots, \theta_M, \dots\} \in N(M)$ and if $x \in M_+$, $\{x, \dots, x, \dots\} \in N(M)$, then $x = \theta_M$.
- (2) If $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \in N(M)$, then $\{x_n + y_n\} \in N(M)$.
- (3) If $\{x_n\} \in N(M)$ and $\theta_M \leq y_n \leq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{y_n\} \in N(M)$.
- (4) Substitution, addition or removal of a finite number of elements of a sequence from $N(M)$ preserves inclusion into $N(M)$.
- (5) Each subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of a sequence $\{x_n\} \in N(M)$ belongs to $N(M)$.

$N(M)$ will be called a family of null sequences of M .

We also need the notion of a series in a partially ordered monoid.

Definition 6. For a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset M_+$ the symbol

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$$

is called a series. The sum $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is called a partial sum of the series.

We say that series (2) converges, if there exist an element $s \in M_+$ and a null sequence $\{r_n\}$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$s = s_n + r_n.$$

We say that series (2) is a Cauchy series, if for all increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{n_k\}$ and $\{m_k\}$ such that $m_k \geq n_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\left\{ \sum_{s=n_k}^{m_k} x_s \right\} \in N(M).$$

Remark 1. The notion of a Cauchy series is enough for our purposes. However, since in many situations the question of convergence of a series is well studied, we defined the notion of convergent series in a partially ordered monoid as well.

Assume that a partially ordered monoid M is commutative and satisfies the following additional property: if $x, y, z \in M$ are such that $x \neq y$, then $x+z \neq y+z$.

The difference of the elements x and y of the monoid M is called the element $z \in M$ such that $x = y + z$, if it exists. The difference of the elements x and y is denoted by $x \ominus y$. The above additional property implies that the difference of two elements x and y is unique, provided it exists.

From the definition the following property follows immediately: if the differences $x \ominus y$ and $y \ominus z$ exist, then the difference $x \ominus z$ exists and

$$(3) \quad x \ominus z = (x \ominus y) + (y \ominus z).$$

Using the notion of difference, the definition of a convergent series can be restated as follows. Series (2) converges, if there exists an element $s \in M$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the difference $s \ominus s_n \in M_+$ exists and $\{s \ominus s_n\} \in N(M)$. Note, that for series (2), the difference $s_m \ominus s_n$ of its partial sums exists for all $m \geq n$ and is equal to $\sum_{k=n+1}^m x_k$.

Lemma 1. *If a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset M_+$ is such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges, then this series is a Cauchy series.*

Proof. For a convergent series, due to (3), for all $m \geq n$ one has

$$s_m \ominus s_n = (s \ominus s_n) \ominus (s \ominus s_m),$$

where s is the sum of the series. For arbitrary increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{n_k\}$ and $\{m_k\}$ such that $m_k \geq n_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$s_{m_k} \ominus s_{n_k} \leq (s \ominus s_{m_k}) + (s_{m_k} \ominus s_{n_k}) = s \ominus s_{n_k} \in N(M).$$

The lemma is proved. □

2.2. M-valued distance. Let X be a set and M be a partially ordered monoid.

Definition 7. *A mapping $d_{X,M}(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow M_+$ is called an M -valued distance in X , if $d_{X,M}(x, y) = d_{X,M}(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and*

$$d_{X,M}(x, y) = \theta_M \text{ if and only if } x = y.$$

The pair $(X, d_{X,M})$ is called an M -distance space.

Definition 8. *We say that a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges to $x \in X$, and write $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if $\{d_{X,M}(x_n, x)\} \in N(M)$.*

Definition 9. *A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is called a Cauchy sequence, if for each increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{n_k\}$ and $\{m_k\}$ such that $m_k > n_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $\{d_{X,M}(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k})\} \in N(M)$.*

We need the following generalization of the Fréchet-Wilson property (see [25, pp. 363-364])

Definition 10. *An M -distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ is said to satisfy the Fréchet-Wilson property, if for any sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\} \subset X$,*

$$\{d_{X,M}(x_n, z_n) + d_{X,M}(z_n, y_n)\} \in N(M) \implies \{d_{X,M}(x_n, y_n)\} \in N(M).$$

Lemma 2. *If an M -distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ satisfies the Fréchet-Wilson property, then the following properties hold.*

- (1) *Each converging sequence has a unique limit.*
- (2) *Each subsequence of a converging sequence converges to the same limit.*
- (3) *Each converging sequence is a Cauchy sequence.*

Proof. Assume that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\{d_{X,M}(x, x_n) + d_{X,M}(x_n, y)\} \in N(M).$$

Due to the Fréchet-Wilson property, $\{d_{X,M}(x, y)\} \in N(M)$, hence $d_{X,M}(x, y) = \theta_M$, and $x = y$.

The second property follows from corresponding property of the family $N(M)$ of null sequences.

Let $\{u_k\}, \{v_k\}$ be increasing sequences of natural numbers, $u_k < v_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$\{d_{X,M}(x, x_{u_k}) + d_{X,M}(x_{v_k}, y)\} \in N(M).$$

Therefore $\{d_{X,M}(x_{u_k}, x_{v_k})\} \in N(M)$. \square

Definition 11. *We say that an M -distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ satisfies the strong Fréchet-Wilson property, if for arbitrary sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$, and increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{n_k\}$ and $\{m_k\}$ such that $m_k > n_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\left\{ \sum_{s=n_k}^{m_k-1} d_{X,M}(x_s, x_{s+1}) \right\} \in N(M) \implies \{d_{X,M}(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k})\} \in N(M).$$

M -distance spaces $(X, d_{X,M})$ that satisfy the strong Fréchet-Wilson property will be called *FM-spaces*.

Remark 2. *It is easy to see, that the strong Fréchet-Wilson property implies the Fréchet-Wilson property. However, as Example 3 from Section 2.4 shows, these properties are not equivalent.*

The following statement is obvious.

Lemma 3. *If in an FM-distance space a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{X,M}(x_k, x_{k+1})$$

is a Cauchy series, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

2.3. An example of a family of null sequences. E-convergence.

Definition 12. *Assume that the set M_+ of a partially ordered monoid M contains a non-empty set $\mathcal{E} \subset M_+^0 := M_+ \setminus \{\theta_M\}$ that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) *If $x \in M_+$ is such that for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ one has $x \leq \varepsilon$, then $x = \theta_M$.*
- (2) *For each $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $\delta \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\delta + \delta \leq \varepsilon$.*

Define a family of null sequences $N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$ as the family of sequences $\{x_n\} \subset M_+$ with the following property: for any $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$.

The verification of all properties from Definition 5 can be done analogously to the real limit properties.

If the family of null sequences is chosen to be $N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$, then some notions introduced in previous subsections can be written in a slightly simpler way. Lemma 4 is obvious. Proofs of Lemmas 5 and 6 will be given in Appendix A.

Lemma 4. *If $N(M) = N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$, then for a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ and $x \in X$ one has that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ iff for any $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq N$ one has $d_{X,M}(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$.*

Remark 3. *Such a convergence is called \mathcal{E} -convergence (cf. [26]).*

Lemma 5. *If $N(M) = N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$, then a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$, $\{x_n\} \subset M_+$, is a Cauchy series if and only if for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq n \geq N$ one has*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=n}^m x_k < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 6. *Let $N(M) = N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$. For an M -distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ to be an FM-distance space it is sufficient, and if there exists a null sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset \mathcal{E}$, then it is necessary, that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $\delta \in \mathcal{E}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ the inequality*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{X,M}(x_k, x_{k+1}) < \delta$$

implies $d_{X,M}(x_1, x_n) < \varepsilon$.

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 7. *Let $N(M) = N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in an FM-distance space is a Cauchy sequence if and only if for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_{X,M}(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $m \geq n \geq N$.*

2.4. Examples of FM-distance spaces. Below we adduce several examples of FM-distance spaces.

1. M -metric spaces. Let X be a set, M be a partially ordered monoid. A mapping $h_{X,M}(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow M_+$ is called an M -valued metric (or M -metric), if the following conditions hold:

- (1) For all $x, y \in X$, $x = y$ if and only if $h_{X,M}(x, y) = \theta_M$;
- (2) For all $x, y \in X$, $h_{X,M}(x, y) = h_{X,M}(y, x)$;
- (3) For all $x, y, z \in X$, $h_{X,M}(x, y) \leq h_{X,M}(x, z) + h_{X,M}(z, y)$.

The pair $(X, h_{X,M})$ is called an M -metric space. Each M -metric space is an FM -distance space.

2. K -metric, K -normed, and Cone metric spaces are partial cases of FM -distance spaces (see for details [26, 11] and references therein).

3. FM -distance spaces that are not metric spaces. The pair $(\mathbb{R}, d_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}})$, where

$$d_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}}(x, y) = \begin{cases} |x - y|, & |x - y| \leq 1, \\ (x - y)^2, & |x - y| > 1 \end{cases}$$

is an example of an FM -distance space that is not a metric space.

The pair $(\mathbb{R}, (x, y) \rightarrow (x - y)^2)$, is an example of an M -distance space that satisfies the Fréchet-Wilson property, but does not satisfy the strong Fréchet-Wilson property.

Let $C(T, X)$ be the space of continuous functions defined on a metric compact T with values in an L -space X . Definitions and necessary facts from the theory of L -spaces, in particular the definition of the Lebesgue integral for an L -spaces valued functions that will be needed in Section 4.3, can be found in [24, 3].

Set $d(x, y) = d_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}}(h_X(x(\cdot), y(\cdot)), 0)$. We obtain a $C(T, \mathbb{R})$ -valued distance in $C(T, X)$. With such distance function, the pair $(C(T, X), d)$ becomes an FM -distance space.

4. Cartesian product of FM -distance spaces. Let $(X, d_{X,M})$ be an FM -distance space. On X^m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, one can define different M -distances to make X^m an FM -distance space. For example one can set

$$d_{X^m, M}^{\Sigma}((x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m)) = \sum_{k=1}^m d_{X, M}(x_k, y_k).$$

Instead of the distance $d_{X^m, M}^{\Sigma}$ one can consider (if M satisfies the upper Riesz property and from $\{x^n\}, \{y^n\} \in N(M)$ it follows $\{x^n \vee y^n\} \in N(M)$) the distance

$$d_{X^m, M}^{\vee}((x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m)) = \bigvee_{k=1}^m d_{X, M}(x_k, y_k).$$

Another distance function can be defined as

$$d_{X^m, M^m}^m((x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m)) = (d_{X, M}(x_1, y_1), \dots, d_{X, M}(x_m, y_m)).$$

Here M^m is a monoid with coordinate-wise addition and order.

3. CONTRACTION MAPPING THEOREMS

3.1. A contraction mapping theorem in FM -distance spaces.

Definition 13. An FM -distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ will be called complete, if each Cauchy sequence converges to an element from the space X .

Definition 14. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(N(M))$ the class of operators $\lambda: M_+ \rightarrow M_+$ that satisfy the following properties:

- (1) λ is non-decreasing, i. e. if $x \leq y$, then $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(y)$;

- (2) If $\{x_n\} \in N(M)$, then $\{\lambda(x_n)\} \in N(M)$;
(3) For arbitrary $\alpha \in M_+$,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n(\alpha)$$

is a Cauchy series.

Theorem 1. Let a complete FM-distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be given. Assume that there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(N(M))$ such that

$$(6) \quad d_{X,M}(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda(d_{X,M}(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point, which is the limit of the sequence $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with arbitrarily chosen $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, due to monotonicity of λ , one has

$$(7) \quad d_{X,M}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda(d_{X,M}(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \leq \dots \leq \lambda^n(d_{X,M}(x_0, x_1)).$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n(d_{X,M}(x_0, x_1))$ is a Cauchy series, due to (7), we obtain that the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{X,M}(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

is also a Cauchy series. Lemma 3 implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and hence has a limit

$$x_n = f(x_{n-1}) \rightarrow \bar{x}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next we show that $f(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$. Since $\{d_{X,M}(x_n, \bar{x})\} \in N(M)$,

$$d_{X,M}(x_n, f(\bar{x})) = d_{X,M}(f(x_{n-1}), f(\bar{x})) \leq \lambda(d_{X,M}(x_{n-1}, \bar{x})),$$

and by assumptions on λ , $\{\lambda(d_{X,M}(x_{n-1}, \bar{x}))\} \in N(M)$, then due to the Fréchet-Wilson property, we obtain that

$$\{d_{X,M}(f(\bar{x}), \bar{x})\} \in N(M)$$

and hence $f(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$.

Assume that there are two points $\bar{x}, \underline{x} \in X$ such that $f(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$ and $f(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(8) \quad d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = d_{X,M}(f^n(\bar{x}), f^n(\underline{x})) \leq \lambda^n(d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, \underline{x})).$$

From the definition of a Cauchy series, one obtains

$$\{\lambda^n(d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}))\} \in N(M).$$

Hence, due to arbitrariness of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in (8), we obtain $\{d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, \underline{x})\} \in N(M)$, thus $d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = \theta_M$ and $\bar{x} = \underline{x}$. The theorem is proved. \square

Using the same arguments one can relax the requirement on λ , if instead impose the following additional restriction on f :

$$(9) \quad \text{If } x \in X, f^n(x) \rightarrow a \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then } f(a) = a.$$

Such continuity requirement, in particular, follows from orbital continuity (see e.g. [19, Definition 2.3]) and uniqueness of the limit.

Theorem 2. *Let a complete FM-distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies (9) be given. Assume that a mapping $\lambda: M_+ \rightarrow M_+$ is non-decreasing and $x_0 \in X$ is such that for the sequence $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has*

$$(10) \quad d_{X,M}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda(d_{X,M}(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n(d_{X,M}(x_0, x_1))$$

is a Cauchy series. Then the mapping f has a fixed point, which is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$. If instead of conditions (10) and (11), stronger conditions (6) and (5) hold, then f has a unique fixed point.

3.2. A contraction mapping theorem in partially ordered FM-distance spaces.

Definition 15. *An FM-distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ is called partially ordered, if X is a partially ordered set.*

Definition 16. *The $N(M)$ -convergence in a partially ordered FM-distance space is called upper regular, if for each non-decreasing sequence*

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots$$

such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, one has $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case we also say that the convergence in the monoid M is upper regular.

Similarly one can introduce the notion of lower regularity. If the convergence is both upper and lower regular, then we say that it is regular.

Theorem 3. *Let $(X, d_{X,M})$ be a partially ordered complete FM-distance space with upper regular family of $N(M)$ -convergent sequences. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(N(M))$ and an operator $f: X \rightarrow X$ be non-decreasing and such that for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \leq y$ condition (6) holds. Assume that there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq f(x_0)$. Then the operator f has at least one fixed point, which is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n = f(x_{n-1})\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

It is unique inside the set of points $x \in X$ that are comparable with x_0 . In the case, when X satisfies the upper Reisz property, the fixed point is unique in the whole space X .

Proof. From the conditions of the theorem, we obtain that $x_0 \leq f(x_0) = x_1$, $x_1 = f(x_0) \leq f(x_1) = x_2$, and so on. Hence $x_n \leq x_m$ for arbitrary $m \geq n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Therefore inequalities (7) hold, the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{X,M}(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

is a Cauchy series, and due to Lemma 3, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Completeness of $(X, d_{X,M})$ implies that there exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Next we show that $f(x) = x$.

Since the convergence in the partially ordered set X is upper regular by the assumptions of the theorem, we obtain $x \geq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence, due to monotonicity of f , $x_n \leq f(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus,

$$d_{X,M}(x_n, f(x)) = d_{X,M}(f(x_{n-1}), f(x)) \leq \lambda(d_{X,M}(x_{n-1}, x)).$$

Since $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(N(M))$, we obtain

$$\{d_{X,M}(x_n, x)\} \in N(M) \text{ and } \{d_{X,M}(x_n, f(x))\} \in N(M).$$

The Fréchet-Wilson condition implies that $\{d_{X,M}(x, f(x))\} \in N(M)$. Hence $d_{X,M}(x, f(x)) = \theta_M$ and $x = f(x)$.

If \bar{x} is a fixed point of f that is comparable to x_0 , then, due to monotonicity of f , it is comparable to each x_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence

$$d_{X,M}(x_n, \bar{x}) = d_{X,M}(f(x_{n-1}), f^n(\bar{x})) \leq \lambda^n(d_{X,M}(x_0, \bar{x})).$$

Thus $\{d_{X,M}(x_n, \bar{x})\} \in N(M)$. Analogously, if \underline{x} is also a fixed point of f that is comparable to x_0 , then $\{d_{X,M}(x_n, \underline{x})\} \in N(M)$. Due to the Fréchet-Wilson property, we obtain $\{d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, \underline{x})\} \in N(M)$, which implies $\bar{x} = \underline{x}$.

Finally, assume that there are two fixed points \bar{x}, \underline{x} of the mapping f and X satisfies the upper Riesz property.

Since $d_{X,M}(f^n(\bar{x}), f^n(x_0 \vee \bar{x})) \leq \lambda^n(d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, x_0 \vee \bar{x}))$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k(d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, x_0 \vee \bar{x}))$$

is a Cauchy series, we obtain that $\{d_{X,M}(f^n(\bar{x}), f^n(x_0 \vee \bar{x}))\} \in N(M)$. Analogously $\{d_{X,M}(f^n(x_0 \vee \bar{x}), f^n(x_0))\} \in N(M)$. Applying the Fréchet-Wilson property we obtain

$$\{d_{X,M}(\bar{x}, f^n(x_0))\} = \{d_{X,M}(f^n(\bar{x}), f^n(x_0))\} \in N(M).$$

Analogously

$$\{d_{X,M}(f^n(x_0), \underline{x})\} = \{d_{X,M}(f^n(x_0), f^n(\underline{x}))\} \in N(M).$$

Finally, applying the Fréchet-Wilson property once again, we obtain

$$\{d_{X,M}(\underline{x}, \bar{x})\} \in N(M),$$

and hence $\bar{x} = \underline{x}$. The theorem is proved. \square

Analogously to Theorem 2, we can relax conditions on λ by requiring continuity of f .

Theorem 4. Let a complete FM-distance space $(X, d_{X,M})$ and a non-decreasing mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies (9) be given. Assume that there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq f(x_0)$ and for the sequence $\{x_n = f(x_{n-1})\}$ conditions (10) and (11) hold. Then the mapping f has a fixed point, which is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

3.3. Multiple fixed point theorems. Let $(X, d_{X,M})$ be a partially ordered FM-space and $P \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. Define a partial order in the set X^m , setting for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$

$$x \preceq_{X,P} y \text{ if and only if } x_i \leq y_i \text{ for } i \in P, \text{ and } y_i \leq x_i \text{ for } i \notin P.$$

Let a set of mappings

$$\sigma_i: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

be given, $\bar{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ and $f: X^m \rightarrow X^m$. The mappings $\bar{\sigma}$ and f generate the mapping $\bar{\sigma}f: X^m \rightarrow X^m$ in the following way:

$$(\bar{\sigma}f)(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (y_1, \dots, y_m),$$

where

$$y_i = f(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(m)}).$$

Definition 17. An element $x \in X^m$ is called a $\bar{\sigma}$ -multiple fixed point of the mapping $f: X^m \rightarrow X^m$, if $x = \bar{\sigma}f(x)$.

Definition 18. A mapping $f: X^m \rightarrow X^m$ is called P -monotone, if

$$x \preceq_{X,P} y \text{ implies } f(x) \preceq_{X,P} f(y).$$

Let M be a partially ordered monoid and $N(M)$ be a family of null sequences. In M^m define the coordinate-wise order and the family $N(M^m)$ of null sequences, setting

$$N(M^m) = \{(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n): \{x_i^n\} \in N(M), i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

In X^m define a distance function, setting

$$d_{X^m, M^m}^m(x, y) = (d_{X,M}(x_1, y_1), \dots, d_{X,M}(x_m, y_m)).$$

The set X with the above distance and partial order $\preceq_{X,P}$ will be denoted by $(X, \preceq_{X,P}, d_{X^m, M^m}^m)$.

It is clear, that if the space $(X, d_{X,M})$ is $N(M)$ -complete, then the space $(X^m, \preceq_{X,P}, d_{X^m, M^m}^m)$ is $N(M^m)$ -complete. It is also easy to see, that if the $N(M)$ -convergence in $(X, d_{X,M})$ is regular, then the $N(M^m)$ -convergence in $(X^m, \preceq_{X,P}, d_{X^m, M^m}^m)$ is also regular.

Taking into account the above definitions and facts, Theorem 3 implies the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Assume that an FM-space $(X, d_{X,M})$ is $N(M)$ -complete and the $N(M)$ -convergence in it is regular. Let also a set $P \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and mappings

$$\sigma_i: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

be given. Assume that a mapping $\bar{\sigma}f: X^m \rightarrow X$ is P -monotone and there exists an operator $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(N(M^m))$ such that if $x \preceq_{X,P} y$, then

$$d_{X^m, M^m}^m(\bar{\sigma}f(x), \bar{\sigma}f(y)) \leq \lambda(d_{X^m, M^m}^m(x, y)).$$

If there exists $x^0 \in X^m$ such that $x^0 \preceq_{X,P} f(x^0)$, then there exists $x \in X^m$ such that $x = \bar{\sigma}f(x)$, i. e. the mapping f has a $\bar{\sigma}$ -multiple fixed point, which is the limit of sequence $\{x^n = f(x^{n-1})\}$.

It is unique inside the set of points $x \in X^m$ that are P -comparable with x^0 . In the case, when X^m satisfies the upper Reisz property, the fixed point is unique in the whole space X^m .

Remark 4. Instead of the distance d_{X^m, M^m}^m one can consider the distances $d_{X^m, M}^\Sigma$ or (if M satisfies the upper Riesz property and from $\{x^n\}, \{y^n\} \in N(M)$ it follows $\{x^n \vee y^n\} \in N(M)$) $d_{X^m, M}^\vee$ (see Example 4 in Section 2.4).

It is easy to see that analogues of Theorem 5 hold for the spaces

$$(X^m, \preceq_{X,P}, d_{X^m, M}^\Sigma) \text{ and } (X^m, \preceq_{X,P}, d_{X^m, M}^\vee).$$

4. APPLICATIONS

4.1. Uniform space as a partial case of an M -metric space. We use the terminology from [14, Chapter 6], see also [8, Chapter 8]. Let X be a set and Φ be a separating uniform structure on it. Denote by M the set of all subsets of X that contain the set $\Delta(X) := \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$. It becomes a partially ordered monoid, if we set $\theta_M = \Delta(X)$,

$$A + B = A \circ B := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \text{there exists } z \in X \text{ such that } (x, z) \in A \text{ and } (z, y) \in B\},$$

and define the order by inclusion. Obviously, $M_+ = M$.

Denote by \mathcal{E} a symmetric base of entourages of the uniformity Φ and set

$$d_{X, M}(x, y) = \bigcap \{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} : (x, y) \in \varepsilon\}.$$

Next we show that $(X, d_{X, M})$ is an M -metric space (see Example 1 in Section 2.4 for the definition).

If $x = y$, then $(x, y) \in \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and hence $d_{X, M}(x, y) = \Delta(X)$ due to the separating axiom. Conversely, if $d_{X, M}(x, y) = \Delta(X)$, then $(x, y) \in \Delta(X)$ and hence $x = y$.

From the definition of the function $d_{X, M}$, due to symmetricity of entourages from \mathcal{E} , it follows that $d_{X, M}(x, y) = d_{X, M}(y, x)$.

Finally, let $x, y, z \in X$. Then $(x, z) \in d_{X, M}(x, y) \circ d_{X, M}(y, z)$, and hence $d_{X, M}(x, z) \leq d_{X, M}(x, y) + d_{X, M}(y, z)$.

The family \mathcal{E} satisfies all properties of Definition 12, and hence the convergence in the space $(X, d_{X, M})$ coincides with the convergence in the topology induced by the uniform structure.

4.2. Equations of the form $x = \Lambda(f, x)$. Let X be an FM -distance space, Y be a set. Consider an operator $\Lambda: Y \times X \rightarrow X$ and assuming that $z \in Y$ is known, we are interested in the question of existence and uniqueness of a solution of the equation

$$(12) \quad x = \Lambda(z, x).$$

Assume that there exists a non-decreasing mapping $\lambda: M_+ \rightarrow M_+$ such that for all $z \in Y$ and $x, y \in X$

$$d_{X,M}(\Lambda(z, x), \Lambda(z, y)) \leq \lambda(d_{X,M}(x, y))$$

and the series

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n(d_{X,M}(x, y))$$

is a Cauchy series. Applying Theorem 1 to the function $x \rightarrow \Lambda(z, x)$, we obtain that it has a unique fixed point, which is the limit of the sequence

$$x_0, x_1 = \Lambda(z, x_0), \dots, x_n = \Lambda(z, x_{n-1}), \dots$$

where x_0 is arbitrary point from X . Hence equation (12) has a unique solution.

As an illustration, consider the case, when $M = \mathbb{R}$, instead of X we consider the Cartesian product $(X^2, d_{X^2, \mathbb{R}^2})$ of FM -spaces (see the example in Subsection 2.4) and assume that $\lambda = \lambda_z$ is given by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_z & b_z \\ c_z & d_z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then series (13) is a Cauchy series, provided $\rho(\lambda_z) < 1$, where $\rho(\lambda_z)$ is the spectral radius of the operator λ_z . The condition $\rho(\lambda_z) < 1$ is equivalent (see [26, §7.1]) to the following numeric inequalities:

$$a_z < 1, d_z < 1, \text{ and } b_z c_z < (1 - a_z)(1 - d_z),$$

which allows b_z to be large.

4.3. Fredholm integral equations. Let T be a metric compact and μ be a measure defined on the σ -algebra of Borel sets of T . Let also an L -space (the definition can be found in [24, 2, 3, 4]) (X, h_X) be given, and $C(T, X)$ be the space of continuous functions $x: T \rightarrow X$. In the space $C(T, X)$ consider a $C(T, \mathbb{R})$ -valued metric, setting

$$h_{C(T, X)}(x, y) = h_X(x(\cdot), y(\cdot)),$$

where $C(T, \mathbb{R})$ is considered as a partially ordered monoid with pointwise addition and partial order. It is easy to see that the obtained space $C(T, X)$ is complete whenever X is complete.

We are interested, whether a solution of the equation

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_T g(t, s, x(s)) d\mu(s)$$

exists and is unique, where $g \in C(T \times T \times X, X)$ and $f \in C(T, X)$ are given and x is to be found.

Assume that for arbitrary $x, y \in C(T, X)$ and $t, s \in T$,

$$h_X(g(t, s, x(s)), g(t, s, y(s))) \leq Q(t, s)h_X(x(s), y(s)),$$

where $Q \in C(T \times T, \mathbb{R}_+)$. If, for example, $g(t, s, x(s)) = K(t, s)x(s)$, where $K \in C(T \times T, \mathbb{R}_+)$, i. e. the considered equation is linear with non-negative kernel, then $Q(t, s) = K(t, s)$.

For the operator $A: C(T, X) \rightarrow C(T, X)$

$$Ax(\cdot) = f(\cdot) + \int_T g(\cdot, s, x(s))d\mu(s)$$

we have

$$h_{C(T, X)}(Ax, Ay) \leq \lambda(h_{C(T, X)}(x, y)),$$

where $\lambda: C(T, \mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow C(T, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the linear integral operator with the kernel $Q(t, s)$:

$$\lambda(x(\cdot))(t) = \int_T Q(t, s)x(s)d\mu(s).$$

For arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lambda^n(x(\cdot))(t) = \int_T Q_n(t, s)x(s)d\mu(s),$$

where $Q_1(t, s) = Q(t, s)$ and $Q_n(t, s) = \int_T Q_{n-1}(t, u)Q_1(u, s)d\mu(u)$.

Theorem 1 is applicable to the operator A if for each function $x \in C(T, X_+)$ the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_T Q_n(t, s)x(s)d\mu(s)$$

converges in the space $(C(T, X), h_{C(T, X)})$. It is easy to see, that it is sufficient to require that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_T Q_n(t, s)d\mu(s)$$

converges in the space $(C(T, X), h_{C(T, X)})$. Thus, if the latter series converges, then the considered Fredholm equation has a unique solution in the space $C(T, X)$. It is easy to see that this condition is significantly more general than the one from [2].

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF LEMMAS 5 AND 6.

A.1. Proof of Lemma 5.

Proof. Assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is a Cauchy series but there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ such that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m \geq n \geq N$ such that inequality (4) does not hold. Then one can build increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$, $n_k \leq m_k$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the inequality $\sum_{s=n_k}^{m_k} x_s < \varepsilon$ does not hold for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, this contradicts to the assumption

$$(14) \quad \left\{ \sum_{s=n_k}^{m_k} x_s \right\} \in N_{\mathcal{E}}(M).$$

If inequality (4) holds, then for arbitrary $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and arbitrary increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{m_k\}$, $\{n_k\}$ one has $\sum_{s=n_k}^{m_k} x_s < \varepsilon$ whenever k is such that $n_k > N$, i. e. (14) holds. \square

A.2. Proof of Lemma 6.

Proof. Let a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$, increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{n_k\}$ and $\{m_k\}$ such that $m_k > n_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\left\{ \sum_{s=n_k}^{m_k-1} d_{X,M}(x_s, x_{s+1}) \right\} \in N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$$

be given. For each $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ choose $\delta \in \mathcal{E}$ according to the formulated condition, and for the found δ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq N$ one has $\sum_{s=n_k}^{m_k-1} d_{X,M}(x_s, x_{s+1}) < \delta$. Then for all $k \geq N$, $d_{X,M}(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) < \varepsilon$ and hence

$$\{d_{X,M}(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k})\} \in N(M),$$

thus $(X, d_{X,M})$ is an FM -space and sufficiency is proved.

Assume that for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and arbitrary $\delta \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $n_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1^\delta, \dots, x_{n_\delta}^\delta \in X$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_\delta-1} d_{X,M}(x_k^\delta, x_{k+1}^\delta) < \delta,$$

but the inequality

$$(15) \quad d_{X,M}(x_1^\delta, x_{n_\delta}^\delta) < \varepsilon$$

does not hold. Consider a sequence $\{\delta_k\} \in N_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$, $\delta_k \subset \mathcal{E}$, and the sequence

$$\{y_s\} = \left\{ x_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, x_{n_{\delta_1}}^{\delta_1}, x_1^{\delta_2}, \dots, x_{n_{\delta_2}}^{\delta_2}, \dots \right\}.$$

Applying the strong Fréchet-Wilson property to the sequences $u_1 = 1$, $u_k = u_{k-1} + n_{\delta_{k-1}}$, and $v_1 = n_{\delta_1}$, $v_k = v_{k-1} + n_{\delta_{k-1}}$, $k \geq 2$, we obtain that

$$\{d_{X,M}(y_{u_k}, y_{v_k})\} = \left\{ d_{X,M}(x_1^{\delta_k}, x_{n_{\delta_k}}^{\delta_k}) \right\} \in N_{\mathcal{E}}(M),$$

which contradicts to the fact that inequality (15) does not hold. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511543005>.
- [2] V. Babenko, Calculus and nonlinear integral equations for functions with values in L-spaces, *Anal Math*, **45**:727–755, 2019.
- [3] V. Babenko, V. Babenko, O. Kovalenko, Optimal recovery of monotone operators in partially ordered L-spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, pp. 1–25, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2020.1775251>.
- [4] V. Babenko, V. Babenko, O. Kovalenko, M. Polishchuk, Optimal recovery of operators in function L-spaces, *Anal Math*, **47**:13–32, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10476-021-0065-y>.
- [5] S. Carl, S. Heikkilä, *Fixed Point Theory in Ordered Sets and Applications: From Differential and Integral Equations to Game Theory*, Springer New York, 2014.
- [6] M. Choban, Fixed points of mappings defined on spaces with distance, *Carpathian J. Math*, **32**(2):173 – 188, 2016.
- [7] M. Choban, V. Berinde, A general concept of multiple fixed point for mappings defined on spaces with distance, *Carpathian J. Math*, **33**(3):275 – 286, 2017.
- [8] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1977.
- [9] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
- [10] D. Guo, Y.J. Cho, J. Zhu, *Partial Ordering Methods in Nonlinear Problems*, Nova Science Publishers, 2004.
- [11] S. Janković, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, On cone metric spaces: A survey, *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl*, **74**(7):2591 – 2601, 2011, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2010.12.014>.
- [12] L. V. Kantorovich, To the general theory of operations in semiordered spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **1**(7):271–274, 1936.
- [13] L. V. Kantorovich, B. Z. Vulikh, A. G. Pinsker, *Functional analysis in semiordered spaces*, Gostekhizdat, Moscow, 1950.
- [14] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 1975.
- [15] W. Kirk, N. Shahzad, *Fixed Point Theory in Distance Spaces*, Springer New York, 2014.
- [16] G. Kurepa, Tableaux ramifiés d'ensembles. espaces pseudodistances, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A*, **198**:1563–1565, 1934.
- [17] A. G Kusraev, Kantorovich spaces and the metrization problem, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, **34**(4):108–116, 1993.
- [18] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 2005.
- [19] J. Nieto, R. L. Pouso, R. Rodrigues-Lopes, Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces, *Proc. of the American Math. Soc.*, **135**(8):2505–2517, August 2007.
- [20] S. Radenovic, Z. Kadelburg, Quasi-contractions on symmetric and cone symmetric spaces, *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, **5**(1):38–50, 2011.
- [21] D. Reem, S. Reich, Zone and double zone diagrams in abstract spaces, *Colloquium Math.*, **115**:129–145, 2009.
- [22] A. Tarski, A lattice-theoretical fixpoint theorem and its applications, *Pac J Math*, **5**(2):285–309, 1955.
- [23] W. W. Taylor, Fixed-point theorems for nonexpansive mappings in linear topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **40**(1):164 – 173, 1972, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(72\)90040-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(72)90040-6).
- [24] S. A. Vahrameev, *Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Software of Computers*, M.: MSU Publisher, 1980. (in Russian)
- [25] W. A. Wilson, On semi-metric spaces, *Am. J. Math.*, **53**(2):361–373, 1931.

- [26] P. P. Zabrejko, K-metric and K-normed linear spaces: survey, *Collect. Math.*, **48**(4-5-6):825–859, 1997.