

# A strong Schottky lemma on $n$ generators for CAT(0) spaces

Matthew J. Conder and Jeroen Schillewaert

## Abstract

We give a criterion for a set of  $n$  hyperbolic isometries of a CAT(0) metric space  $X$  to generate a free group on  $n$  generators. This extends a result by Alperin, Farb and Noskov who proved this for 2 generators under the additional assumption that  $X$  is complete and has no fake zero angles. Moreover, when  $X$  is locally compact, the group we obtain is also discrete. We then apply these results to Euclidean buildings.

## 1 Introduction

We generalise the main theorem of [1] as follows:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $X$  be a CAT(0) metric space. Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be hyperbolic isometries of  $X$  with axes  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , where  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that for each distinct pair of distinct axes  $A_i, A_j$  either:*

- (I)  $S_{ij} = A_i \cap A_j$  is a bounded segment, and the two angles  $\theta_{ij}^-, \theta_{ij}^+$  between  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  measured from the two endpoints of  $S_{ij}$  are both equal to  $\pi$  (as in the left-hand diagram of Figure 1); or
- (II)  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  are disjoint, and there is a geodesic  $B_{ij}$  between  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  such that all four angles between  $B_{ij}$  and  $A_i, A_j$  are equal to  $\pi$  (as in the right-hand diagram of Figure 1).

*Additionally, suppose that for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  there is an open segment  $D_i \subseteq A_i$  of length equal to the translation length of  $g_i$  such that*

$$\bigcup_{j \neq i} p_i(A_j) \subseteq D_i,$$

---

<sup>0</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Auckland, 38 Princes Street, 1010 Auckland, New Zealand. Both authors are supported by a University of Auckland FRDF grant. The first author is also supported by the Woolf Fisher Trust.

where  $p_i: X \rightarrow A_i$  is the geodesic projection map. Then the subgroup of  $\text{Isom}(X)$  generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  is free of rank  $n$  and, when  $X$  is locally compact, it is also discrete.




Figure 1: Cases (I) and (II) of Theorem A.

**Remark 1.1.** By the angle between two geodesic paths, we mean the upper (or Alexandrov) angle, as defined in [3, I.1.12].

**Remark 1.2.** We only ever consider a topology on  $\text{Isom}(X)$  when  $X$  is locally compact. The topology we use is the compact-open topology, which is equivalent to the topology of pointwise convergence in this setting and this gives  $\text{Isom}(X)$  the structure of a topological group which acts continuously on  $X$ ; see §2.4 Theorem 1 and §3.4 Corollary 1 of [2, Chapter X].

Theorem A generalises the theorem stated in [1] as we allow for an arbitrary finite number of generators and we no longer require that  $X$  is complete and has no fake zero angles. Moreover, we also prove discreteness when  $X$  is locally compact, and this generalises a result by Lubotzky for isometries of trees [9, Prop 1.6].

In fact, the main theorem of [1] follows directly from Theorem A when  $n = 2$ : in case (I), the projection condition implies that  $S_{ij}$  has length strictly less than the translation length of both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  and, in case (II), the projection condition always holds since the projection onto each axis is the unique corresponding endpoint of the geodesic  $B_{12}$ .

**Remark 1.3.** Karlsson remarked without proof [6, end of Section 6] that “the condition of no-fake angles in [1] can be removed and the translation lengths do not necessarily have to be strictly greater than the length of  $S$ ”. This is part of what we do here, and our proof is similar to the one in [1].

Without the requirement for completeness, Theorem A may be applied to CAT(0) spaces which are not necessarily complete, such as certain non-discrete Euclidean buildings; see [11] for some background material.

By [7, 4.6.1-4.6.2] isometries of Euclidean buildings map apartments to apartments, and if the building at infinity is thick, they also map Weyl chambers to Weyl chambers [11, 2.25 and 2.27]. As in [1], we call an isometry  $f$  *generic* if none of its parallel axes is contained in any wall of any apartment of  $X$ . An isometry  $f$  is generic if and only if it has a unique invariant apartment  $\mathcal{A}_f$  [11, 2.26]. A generic isometry  $f$  determines, for any fixed choice of basepoint  $x \in \mathcal{A}_f$ , a pair of chambers in  $\text{link}(x)$ . We say that generic isometries  $f$  and  $g$  are *opposite* if  $\mathcal{A}_f \cap \mathcal{A}_g = \{x\}$  and each of the chambers determined by  $f$  is opposite in  $\text{link}(x)$  to each of the chambers determined by  $g$ .

**Corollary B.** *Let  $X$  be a Euclidean building (where  $X^\infty$  is thick) and let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be hyperbolic isometries of  $X$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are pairwise opposite and the pairwise intersection points of their axes are contained in an open ball of radius at most half the minimum of the translation lengths of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ , then  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  generate a subgroup of  $\text{Isom}(X)$  which is free of rank  $n$ . If  $X$  is locally compact, then this subgroup is also discrete.*

*Proof.* By [11, Prop 1.12], two halfrays of  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  emanating from  $x$  are contained in an apartment. Thus the projection of  $A_j$  onto  $A_i$  is equal to their intersection point. By our assumption on the intersection points, and the fact that projection does not increase distances [3, II.2.4(4)], the proof is completed using Theorem A.  $\square$

Note that the geometric realisation of a simplicial complex (in particular, of a simplicial building) is locally compact if and only if it is locally finite. When  $G$  is a linear semi-simple algebraic group defined over a non-archimedean field  $k$ , then the Bruhat-Tits building associated to  $G$  [14] is locally compact if and only if  $k$  is a local field [12, p.464].

**Remark 1.4.** *Although all simplicial buildings have a metrically complete CAT(0) Davis realisation [5, 11.1], a Euclidean building is not necessarily metrically complete, even if it is a Bruhat-Tits building [10]. Moreover, the Cauchy completion of a Euclidean building is not necessarily a Euclidean building [8, 6.9]. One can instead use the theory of ultralimits to embed a Euclidean building into a metrically complete Euclidean building [7]. However, to prove Corollary B we did not need this.*

## 2 Proof of Theorem A

We will use the following statement of the Ping Pong Lemma. This generalises the version in [4, 3.3] to an arbitrary finite number of elements. For the discreteness part, we also remove the condition that the topological group  $G$  is metrisable.

**Lemma 2.1** (The Ping Pong Lemma). *Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ , and let  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G \setminus \{e\}$ . Suppose that  $X_1^+, X_1^-, \dots, X_n^+, X_n^-$  are non-empty, pairwise disjoint subsets of  $X$ , which do not cover  $X$  and for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  satisfy*

$$g_i(X \setminus X_i^-) \subseteq X_i^+ \quad \text{and} \quad g_i^{-1}(X \setminus X_i^+) \subseteq X_i^-.$$

*Then the subgroup  $H = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle \leq G$  is free of rank  $n$ . In the case that  $X$  is a topological space and  $G$  is a topological group which acts continuously on  $X$ , if each of the subsets  $X_1^+, X_1^-, \dots, X_n^+, X_n^-$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $H$  is also discrete.*

*Proof.* Set  $Y = X_1^+ \cup X_1^- \cup \dots \cup X_n^+ \cup X_n^-$  and choose  $x \in X \setminus Y$ . If  $w$  is a non-trivial word in  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ , then  $w(x) \in Y$ , therefore  $w \neq e$  in  $G$ . Hence  $H$  is free of rank  $n$ .

For the second part, note that  $H$  acts continuously on  $X$ , that is, the map  $H \times X \rightarrow X$  is continuous with respect to the product topology. It follows that the inverse image of the open set  $X \setminus Y$  is open in  $H \times X$ . But the intersection of this inverse image with the open set  $H \times X \setminus Y$  is  $\{e\} \times X \setminus Y$ , thus  $\{e\}$  is open in  $H$  and hence  $H$  is discrete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $[x, y]$  and  $[y, z]$  be geodesics in a CAT(0) space. If  $\angle_y(x, z) = \pi$ , then the concatenation  $[x, z] = [x, y] \cup [y, z]$  is a geodesic.*

*Proof.* By [3, II.1.7(4)] the corresponding angle in the relevant comparison triangle is also  $\pi$  and thus  $d(x, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A.* Since geodesics are complete convex subsets in CAT(0) spaces, the projection maps  $p_i$  we use are well-defined [3, II.2.4].

Note that for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the open segment  $D_i$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $g_i$  on  $A_i$ . Let  $A_i^+$  denote the union of all translates of  $\overline{D_i}$  under positive powers of  $g_i$ . Similarly, let  $A_i^-$  denote the union of all translates of  $\overline{D_i}$  under negative powers of  $g_i$ . Then  $A_i^+$  and  $A_i^-$  are disjoint geodesic rays with  $A_i \setminus D_i = A_i^+ \sqcup A_i^-$ . Set  $X_i^+ = p_i^{-1}(A_i^+)$  and  $X_i^- = p_i^{-1}(A_i^-)$  for each  $i$ . We will show that these subsets satisfy the hypotheses of the first part of Lemma 2.1.

It is straightforward to check that the subsets  $X_1^\pm, \dots, X_n^\pm$  are non-empty, closed and that they do not cover  $X$ . Each  $X_i^+$  is also disjoint from  $X_i^-$ , so to apply Lemma 2.1 we must show that the sets  $X_i^\pm$  are disjoint from  $X_j^\pm$  for  $i \neq j$ . Since we can replace  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  by their inverses, if necessary, it suffices to show that  $X_i^+$  and  $X_j^+$  are disjoint.

To this end, suppose that  $x \in X_i^+ \cap X_j^+$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Then  $p_i(x) \in A_i^+$  and  $p_j(x) \in A_j^+$ . Note that  $p_i(x) \neq p_j(x)$ , as otherwise  $p_i(x) \in D_i \subseteq A_i \setminus A_i^+$ . A similar argument shows that  $x \notin A_i \cup A_j$ .

In case (I), let  $y_i$  and  $y_j$  be the (not necessarily distinct) endpoints of  $S_{ij}$  which are closest to  $p_i(x)$  and  $p_j(x)$  respectively. In case (II), let  $A_i \cap B_{ij} = \{y_i\}$  and  $A_j \cap B_{ij} = \{y_j\}$ . By Lemma 2.2, the geodesic  $[p_i(x), p_j(x)]$  is the concatenation of geodesics  $[p_i(x), y_i] \cup [y_i, y_j] \cup [y_j, p_j(x)]$ . In particular,  $\angle_{p_i(x)}(x, p_j(x)) = \angle_{p_i(x)}(x, y_i) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\angle_{p_j(x)}(x, p_i(x)) = \angle_{p_j(x)}(x, y_j) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$  by [3, II.2.4(3)]. But the triangle with distinct vertices  $x, p_i(x), p_j(x)$  has a Euclidean comparison triangle with corresponding angles which are also at least  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  by [3, II.1.7(4)], and this is a contradiction.

It remains to prove that  $g_i(X \setminus X_i^-) \subseteq X_i^+$  and  $g_i^{-1}(X \setminus X_i^+) \subseteq X_i^-$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . As in [1], we first note that  $p_i$  commutes with  $g_i$ . Indeed, for  $x \in X$ ,  $p_i(g_i(x))$  is the unique point on  $A_i$  which realises the distance  $d(g_i(x), A_i)$ . It follows that  $p_i(g_i(x)) = g_i(p_i(x))$ , since

$$d(g_i(x), A_i) = d(g_i(x), g_i(A_i)) = d(x, A_i) = d(x, p_i(x)) = d(g_i(x), g_i(p_i(x))).$$

Hence if  $x \in X \setminus X_i^-$ , then  $p_i(g_i(x)) = g_i(p_i(x)) \in A_i^+$  i.e.  $g_i(x) \in X_i^+$ . Similarly,  $p_i$  commutes with  $g_i^{-1}$  and, if  $x \in X \setminus X_i^+$ , then  $p_i(g_i^{-1}(x)) = g_i^{-1}(p_i(x)) \in A_i^-$  i.e.  $g_i^{-1}(x) \in X_i^-$ . Thus  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  generate a free group of rank  $n$  by the first part of Lemma 2.1.

Finally, we prove discreteness when  $X$  is locally compact. The action of  $\text{Isom}(X)$  on  $X$  is continuous by Remark 1.2 and each of the subsets  $X_i^\pm$  is closed in  $X$  by [3, II.2.4(4)]. Hence the second part of Lemma 2.1 completes the proof of Theorem A.  $\square$

### 3 Two examples

There are isometries of locally compact CAT(0) metric spaces which generate groups which are free but not discrete.

**Example 3.1.** The matrices  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  generate a free group of rank two (the *Sanov subgroup* [13]). However, viewing them as

matrices over the  $p$ -adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , both  $A$  and  $B$  are infinite order elliptic isometries of the Bruhat-Tits tree  $T_p$  corresponding to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Hence this subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \leq \mathrm{Isom}(T_p)$  is not discrete.

We conclude with an example satisfying the conditions of Corollary B.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $x$  be a vertex in a locally finite Euclidean building  $X$  of type  $\tilde{A}_2$ . The link of  $x$  is a (not necessarily classical) projective plane  $\pi$  of order  $n$ . Chambers in  $\pi$  correspond to incident point-line pairs. Two chambers  $(p_1, L_1)$  and  $(p_2, L_2)$  are opposite in  $\pi$  if and only if  $p_1 \notin L_2$  and  $p_2 \notin L_1$ .

Consider the set of  $n + 1$  lines  $L_1, \dots, L_{n+1}$ , which each pass through a fixed point  $p$  of  $\pi$  and points  $p \neq p_i \in L_i$ . The corresponding chambers  $C_i = (p_i, L_i)$  are pairwise opposite in the link of  $x$ . For any  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ , we can choose  $k$  pairs of chambers  $P_1, \dots, P_k$ . For each pair  $P_i = (C, C')$ , select interior points  $q \in C$  and  $q' \in C'$  which are opposite in the geometric realisation. By [11, 1.12], the Weyl chambers corresponding to the members of  $P_i$  are contained in a unique apartment and the halfrays corresponding to  $q$  and  $q'$  form a geodesic  $A_i$ . If these  $A_i$  are the axes of hyperbolic isometries  $f_i$  then, by construction, these isometries are generic and pairwise opposite and hence, by Corollary B, they generate a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$  which is both discrete and free of rank  $k$ .

For example, consider the Bruhat-Tits building  $\Delta$  associated to  $G = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . If  $f$  is a generic isometry with unique invariant apartment  $\mathcal{A}_f$  then  $sfs^{-1}$  has  $s\mathcal{A}_f$  as its unique invariant apartment. Choose Weyl chambers and apartments as above. Since  $G$  acts strongly transitively on  $\Delta$  [14] it suffices to find one generic isometry  $f$  and then the appropriate conjugates of  $f$  will generate a free group, which moreover is discrete since  $\Delta$  is locally finite. We can take  $f$  to be, for instance, the diagonal matrix with entries  $1, p$  and  $p^{-1}$ . A more explicit description of the appropriate conjugates of  $f$  could then be obtained using similar techniques to [1, 15].

**Acknowledgement** We are very grateful for the referee's remarks which improved the paper both stylistically and mathematically.

## References

- [1] R. C. Alperin, B. Farb and G. A. Noskov, A strong Schottky lemma for nonpositively curved singular spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **92** (2002), 235–243.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of Mathematics. General Topology. Part 2*, Hermann, Paris, 1966.

- [3] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [4] M. J. Conder, Discrete and free two-generated subgroups of  $SL_2$  over non-archimedean local fields, *J. Algebra* **553** (2020), 248–267.
- [5] M. W. Davis, Buildings are  $CAT(0)$ , in *Geometry and cohomology in group theory (Durham, 1994)*, 108–123, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 252, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [6] A. Karlsson, On the dynamics of isometries, *Geom. Topol.* **9** (2005), 2359–2394.
- [7] B. Kleiner and B. Leeb, Rigidity of quasi-isometries for symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 86 (1997), 115–197.
- [8] L. Kramer, On the local structure and the homology of  $CAT(\kappa)$  spaces and Euclidean buildings, *Adv. Geom.* **11** (2011), no. 2, 347–369.
- [9] A. Lubotzky, Lattices in rank one Lie groups over local fields, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **1** (1991), no. 4, 406–431.
- [10] B. Martin, J. Schillewaert, K. Struyve and G. Steinke, On metrically complete Bruhat-Tits buildings, *Adv. Geom.* **13** (2013), no. 3, 497–510.
- [11] A. Parreau, Immeubles affines: construction par les normes et étude des isométries, in *Crystallographic groups and their generalizations (Kortrijk, 1999)*, 263–302, Contemp. Math., 262, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [12] B. Rémy, A. Thuillier, and A. Werner, Bruhat-Tits theory from Berkovich’s point of view. I. Realizations and compactifications of buildings, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **43** (2010), no. 3, 461–554.
- [13] I. N. Sanov, A property of a representation of a free group (Russian), *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.)* **57** (1947), 657–659.
- [14] J. Tits, Reductive groups over local fields, in *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, 29–69, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [15] S. Witzel and M. Zaremsky, A free subgroup in the image of the 4-strand Burau representation, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **24** (2015), no. 12, 1550065, 16 pp.