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We propose a 'Floquet engineering' formalism to systematically design a periodic driving protocol in order to stroboscopically realize the desired system starting from a given static Hamiltonian. The formalism is applicable to quantum systems which have an underlying closed Lie-algebraic structure, for example, solid-state systems with noninteracting particles moving on a lattice or its variant described by the ultra-cold atoms moving on an optical lattice. Unlike previous attempts at Floquet engineering, our method produces the desired Floquet Hamiltonian at any driving frequency and is not restricted to the fast or slow driving regimes. The approach is based on Wei-Norman ansatz, which was originally proposed to construct a time-evolution operator for any arbitrary driving. Here, we apply this ansatz to the micro-motion dynamics, defined within one period of the driving, and obtain the driving protocol by fixing the gauge of the micro-motion. To illustrate our idea, we use a two-band system or the systems consisting of two sub-lattices as a testbed. Particularly, we focus on engineering the cross-stitched lattice model that has been a paradigmatic flat-band model.

Introduction.— Floquet formalism \cite{1} has been instrumental to study the dynamic evolution of a system subjected to a periodic driving. The dynamics is decomposed into two parts a time-periodic part describing the micro-motion of the system within a period, and an effective stroboscopic part governed by a static 'Floquet Hamiltonian'. The problem of reverse engineering the driving protocol in order to obtain a desired Floquet Hamiltonian is known as Floquet engineering. It has garnered a lot of attention over the past several years and has been applied in different experimental paradigms \cite{2,3,4,5,6,7}. Floquet engineered solid-state materials have been discussed extensively to develop “quantum matter on demand” by controlling post-semiconductor materials \cite{8,9} and several exotic properties like unconventional superconductivity \cite{10,11}, topologically nontrivial band structures \cite{12}, etc. have been realized. Moreover, the effect of periodic driving has been studied on a variety of timely solid-state systems such as Luttinger liquid \cite{13}, superconducting circuit \cite{14}, bilayer graphene \cite{15}, and strongly correlated electrons (Mott materials) \cite{16}.

Most of these studies investigated the effect of periodic driving on a given system in some particular driving frequency regime, namely, high and low frequency. In the high-frequency limit, the effect of driving is considered perturbatively by creating a series in inverse frequency \cite{17,18,19,20,21}, whereas a perturbative series in the frequency is used to treat the low frequency regime \cite{22,23}. However, a systematic theory of designing a driving protocol such that the desired Floquet Hamiltonian is obtained exactly at any driving frequency is still missing in the literature.

In this Letter, we propose to bridge this gap by formulating a theory of Floquet engineering for a class of systems whose Hamiltonians have underlying closed Lie-algebraic structure. Our formalism is based on the Wei-Norman ansatz, which was originally proposed to obtain the dynamics for any time-dependent system \cite{21,25}. Since the form of the long-time evolution part is already known from the Floquet theory, we massage the Wei-Norman ansatz to the micro-motion part of the dynamics. We focus on systems having an underlying SU(2) algebra that covers a wide range of noninteracting solid state systems on a lattice with multiple sub-lattices or ultra-cold atoms hopping on an optical lattice. We analytically design the driving protocol for two-band systems based only on the Wei-Norman ansatz and the desired Floquet Hamiltonian. Unfortunately, this does not fix the gauge of the micro-motion and hence we provide physically motivated guiding principles to fix the gauge. Finally, we apply our formulation to design a driving protocol to realize cross-stitched lattice, given an autonomous site Hamiltonian, an interesting two-band system with one band dispersive and the other one a flat \cite{26,27}.

Formalism.— The Hamiltonian of a generic periodically driven quantum system reads

\begin{equation}
H(t) = H_0 + V(t), \quad V(t + T) = V(t),
\end{equation}

where $H_0$ and $V(t)$ are the static Hamiltonian and the driving potential with time-period $T$, respectively. The corresponding time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) is

\begin{equation}
\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{dU(t)}{dt} = H(t) U(t), \quad (\hbar = 1).
\end{equation}

The operator $U(t)$ is the unitary time-evolution operator. According to the Floquet theorem, the solution of the TDSE can always be expressed as

\begin{equation}
U(t) = P(t) e^{-iH_{\text{eff}} t},
\end{equation}

where the micro-motion operator $P(t + T) = P(t)$ describes the dynamics of the system within one period.
and any integer $\nu$ to choose any time-dependent functional form of $P$. \(H\) is a static Hamiltonian that governs the long-time dynamics of the system. The initial condition $U(0) = 1$ imposes the condition $P(0) = 1$, whereas the time-periodicity gives $P(nT) = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (positive integers). Consequently, we have $U(nT) = e^{-iH_{\text{eff}}nT} = [e^{-iH_{\text{eff}}T}]^n = [U(T)]^n$. If the dynamics of the system is observed stroboscopically at $t = nT$, then it is governed by the effective static Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$.

Obtaining the analytic quantum evolution for any Hamiltonian is highly nontrivial and hence we restrict ourselves to those Hamiltonians which can be written as a linear combination of operators which form a closed Lie-algebra, i.e.,

$$H_0 = h_0 \mathbb{1} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} h_\alpha A_\alpha = h_0 \mathbb{1} + h \cdot A,$$

$$V(t) = f_0(t) \mathbb{1} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} f_\beta(t) A_\beta = f_0(t) \mathbb{1} + f(t) \cdot A,$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the standard scalar product. Above, $h_0$ and $h$ (column vector with elements $h_\alpha$ and dimension $N$) are time-independent parameters, whereas $f_0(t)$ and $f(t)$ are time-dependent functions due to the external field. The column vector of the linear operators $A = \{A_\alpha\}$ forms a finite $N$-dimensional simple Lie-algebra $\mathcal{L}_N$, which satisfies

$$[A_\alpha, A_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma A_\gamma,$$

where $\Lambda$’s are the structure constants of the algebra $\mathcal{L}_N$. From the Floquet engineering perspective, the underlying Lie-algebraic structure will be exploited to design a driving scheme \(\{f_0(t), f(t)\}\) to achieve a desired effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ for a given initial static Hamiltonian $H_0$.

The Wei-Norman ansatz [21][23], i.e., expressing the full evolution operator as a product of exponentials, has been successfully applied to solve the TDSE for a driven quantum system. In our case, since we are particularly interested in Floquet engineering wherein $H_{\text{eff}}$ is known, the natural choice is to apply the ansatz to the micro-motion operator,

$$P(t) = e^{-im_\alpha(t)} \left( \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-im_\alpha(t)A_\alpha} \right).$$

The initial condition and the time-periodic property of $P(t)$ imposes following conditions: $m_\alpha(nT) = 2\pi n \pi$ and $e^{-im_\alpha(nT)A_\alpha} = \mathbb{1}$ for all $\alpha = 1, \cdots, N$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$ and any integer $\nu$. Besides, we have a gauge freedom to choose any time-dependent functional form of $m_\alpha(t)$. Using the above form of $P(t)$, if we substitute $U(t)$ in the TDSE [Eq. (21)], we get the relations between the driving protocols \(\{f_0(t), f(t)\}\) and the functions \(\{m_\alpha(t), m(t)\}\) as

$$[h_0 + f_0(t)] + [h + f(t)] \cdot A$$

$$= \hat{m}_0(t) + \zeta(m, \hvec{m}) A + P(t) H_{\text{eff}} P^\dagger(t).$$

Here, the components of the column vector $\zeta$ are linear functions of $\vhat{m}(t) = \{dm_\alpha(t)/dt\}$ and nonlinear functions of $m(t)$. Therefore, we can always express $\zeta(m, \hvec{m}) = \mathcal{M}_1(t) \cdot \vhat{m}(t), \mathcal{M}_1(t)$ is a $N \times N$ matrix whose elements are nonlinear functions of $m$. This nonlinearity is decided by the underlying Lie-algebra. Consider the general form $H_{\text{eff}} = h_{0}\mathbb{1} + h_{\text{eff}} \cdot A$, the last term on the right hand side of Eq. (8) can also be represented in terms of the operators $A$ as

$$P(t) H_{\text{eff}} P^\dagger(t) = h_{0}\mathbb{1} + \zeta(m, h_{\text{eff}}) \cdot A.$$

The vector $\zeta(m, h_{\text{eff}})$ is a linear function of $h_{\text{eff}}$, but a nonlinear function of $m(t)$. Therefore, we also write $\zeta(m, h_{\text{eff}}) = \mathcal{M}_2(t) \cdot h_{\text{eff}}$. From Eqs. (8) and (9), equating the coefficients of the identity operator $\mathbb{1}$ and the linear operators $A = \{A_\alpha\}$, we get

$$h_0 + f_0(t) = \hat{m}_0(t) + h_{\text{eff}}^0,$$

$$h + f(t) = \mathcal{M}_1(t) \cdot \vhat{m}(t) + \mathcal{M}_2(t) \cdot h_{\text{eff}}.$$

The gauge freedom in the micro-motion operator makes $\mathcal{M}_1(nT) = \mathcal{M}_2(nT) = \mathbb{1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. The gauge freedom in the micro-motion operator makes $\mathcal{M}_1(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(t)$ non-unique, but it can be fixed at any arbitrary time $t \neq nT$ by choosing an appropriate gauge. According to Wei-Norman, if $\mathcal{L}_N$ is not a solvable algebra, the transformation matrices $\mathcal{M}_1(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(t)$ could be ill-defined for an arbitrary representation. Therefore, unless we find a representation which is globally well-defined, we cannot apply the Wei-Norman ansatz to design the driving protocol.

Our Lie-algebraic Floquet engineering protocol can be applied to any system having an underlying finite dimensional closed algebra. We now apply this formalism to an arbitrary 2-bands systems. In principle, this formalism can also be applied to multi-bands systems, but the complexity of the problem increases with the number of bands. In the supplementary material [28], we shed light on the three-band case.

**Two-bands systems:** In the momentum space $(k$-space), the Hamiltonian of the periodically driven 2-band systems can be written in terms of the Nambu’s spinors $\Psi_k = (a_k, b_k)^T$ as

$$H(t) = \sum_k \Psi_k^\dagger H_k(t) \Psi_k,$$

where $H_k(t) = H_{k0} + V_k(t)$ and $V_k(t + T) = V_k(t)$. The components of the Nambu spinors $a_k(a_k^\dagger)$ and $b_k(b_k^\dagger)$ are respectively representing the annihilation (creation) operators corresponding to the valence band and the conduction band. The Hamiltonian kernel $H_k(t)$ for each
mode $k$ has a time-independent part $H_{k0}$ and a time-periodic part $V_k(t)$ with periodicity $T$, which can be expressed as,

$$H_{k0} = h_{k0}I + h_k \cdot S$$

$$V_k(t) = f_{k0}(t)I + f_k(t) \cdot S.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The operators $2S = \sigma$ follow $SU(2)$ algebra, where the components of $\sigma$ are the Pauli matrices. This finite dimensional algebra facilitates the application of the Wei-Norman formalism to study the dynamics of two-band systems.

The non-solvable $SU(2)$ algebra has two well-known representations: $XYZ$ representation with $S_{XYZ} = (S_x, S_y, S_z)^T$ and $\pm Z$ representation with $S_{\pm Z} = (S_x \pm iS_y)$, where $S_z = (S_z \pm iS_y)$. For an arbitrary choice of $A$, e.g., $A = S_{XYZ}$, it is not guaranteed that the time-dependent functions $m_\alpha(t)$ appearing in the micro-motion operator, Eq. (7), are smooth continuous functions for all time $t$. However, following Ref. [29], we later show that the $(\pm Z)$ representation gives a globally well-defined $\mathcal{M}_1(t)$ matrix. Therefore, for the two-bands case, Wei-Norman ansatz along with a proper choice of representation $S \equiv S_{\pm Z}$ can be applied to design a driving scheme, with arbitrary driving frequency, to achieve the desired effective Hamiltonian from a static Hamiltonian.

**Floquet engineering protocol.** We now provide the basic steps to Floquet engineer a two-band system, where the desired stroboscopic Hamiltonian is $H_{k0}^{\text{eff}} = \hbar \cdot h_{k0}^{\text{eff}} + h_k \cdot S$.

1. **Wei-Norman Ansatz:** Use $U_k(t) = P_k(t)e^{-ih_{k0}^{\text{eff}}t}$ via Floquet theorem, and apply the Wei-Norman ansatz to construct the micro-motion operator

$$P_k(t) = e^{-im_{k0}t}e^{-im_k+S}e^{-im_k-S}e^{-im_{kZ}S}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The function $m_{k0}$ is real, but the other functions $(m_k, m_k, m_{kZ})$ are complex. The explicit time-dependence of the functions $m$ has been suppressed for notational simplicity. Also note that, the last three terms of the above expression are not individually unitary, but their product is unitary which imposes 

$$\text{Im}[m_{kZ}] = \ln \left(1 + |m_k|^2 \right)$$

$$m_k^- = \frac{m_k^+}{1 + |m_k|^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The above condition reduces the seven independent parameters (real $m_{k0}$ and real and imaginary parts of $m_{kZ} \pm z$) to four. We choose $(m_{k0}, m_k^+, m_k^-, m_{kZ}^R)$, where $m_{kZ}^R = \text{Re}[m_{kZ}]$, as the independent variables and the micro-motion operator reads

$$P_k(t) = \frac{e^{-im_{k0}t}}{\sqrt{1 + |m_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-z/m_{k0}} & e^{-i/m_{kZ}^R} & e^{-z/m_{kZ}^R} & e^{-i/m_{kZ}^R} \\ e^{z/m_{k0}} & e^{i/m_{kZ}^R} & e^{z/m_{kZ}^R} & e^{i/m_{kZ}^R} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

2. **Transformation matrices:** Consider $h_{k0}^{\text{eff}} = \{h_{k0}^{\text{eff}}, h_{k+}^{\text{eff}}, h_{k-Z}^{\text{eff}}\}$ in $(\pm Z)$ representation. Substituting $U_k(t)$ in the TDSE and using Eq. (10), we obtain $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ for a given $k$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \frac{1}{1 + |m_k|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - |m_k|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \frac{1}{1 + |m_k|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The transformation matrices are well-defined at all times $t$ (implied dependence in $m_k$) and not just stroboscopically [see from Eq. (15)]. Moreover, the globally well-defined $\mathcal{M}_k \forall k$ ensures the driving protocol is well-defined for all times. It is worth emphasizing our Floquet engineering protocol is *exact* in the driving frequency $\omega$ and does not require frequency-based perturbative expansions that lead to non-convergent series [17, 18, 20, 21].

**Guiding principle to fix the gauge of the micro-motion operator.**— The gauge for the micro-motion is fixed by choosing $(m_{k0}, m_k)$ satisfying the boundary conditions at $t = nT$: $e^{-im_{k0}t} = e^{-im_k+S_{\pm Z}} = e^{-im_{kZ}S}$, $\forall n$. This can be achieved in various ways and here we illustrate a physically motivated gauge choice. The approach outlined below can be used as a guiding principle to fix gauge for any other systems. First, a natural choice to consider that each $m_k(t)$ has a separable form as a product of momentum and time-dependent functions, such that $\{m_{k0}(t), m_k(t)\} = \{\phi_{k0}(t), \phi_{k+}(t), \phi_{k-Z}^{R}(t), \phi_{k+}^{R}(t)\}$. Furthermore, we set $\phi_{k-Z} = 1$ and $\phi_{k+} = e^{i\epsilon k}$. Physically, these assumptions respectively suggests that during the micro-motion intra-sub-lattice hopping is suppressed and only inter-sub-lattices hopping is allowed. Consequently, Eq. (16) becomes

$$f_{k0}(t) = \phi_{k0}(t) \mu_0 + h_{k0}^{\text{eff}}$$

$$f_k(t) = \mathcal{M}_1 \cdot \mu_k(t) + \mathcal{M}_2 \cdot h_{k0}^{\text{eff}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \frac{1}{1 + |\mu_+|^2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik} & 0 & i\mu_+(t) e^{ik} \\ 0 & e^{-ik} & i\mu_+(t) e^{-ik} \\ i\mu_+(t) e^{-ik} & i\mu_+(t) e^{ik} & 1 - |\mu_+|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \frac{1}{1 + |\mu_+|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)
and $\mathcal{M}_{k_2} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{k_2}$, defined in Eq. \([15]\), with $m_{k_z} \rightarrow \mu^R_z(t)$ and $m_{k_+} \rightarrow \mu_+(t)e^{i\theta}$. We now set $\mu_0(t) = a_0 \sin \omega t$, $\mu_+(t) = a_+ e^{i\theta} \sin \omega t$, and $\mu^R_z(t) = p\omega t$ where $p$ is any integer. This choice respects the boundary conditions and ensures the frequency of all the time-dependent functions equals $\omega = 2\pi/T$. The real amplitudes $\{a_0, a_+\}$, the phase factor $e^{i\theta}$, and the integer $p$ are arbitrary that depend on the physical system as shown below with a specific example.

**Application.** We now apply our Floquet engineering protocol to realize a desired Hamiltonian $H^\text{eff}_k$ of two-bands system whose one band is flat or dispersionless and the other band is dispersive. This property is observed in a *cross-stitch lattice structure* and later, observed in some other variants of this model \([26, 27]\). In the momentum-space, the Hamiltonian of this system is $H^\text{eff}_k = h^\text{eff}_{k_0} \mathbb{1} + h^\text{eff}_{k}(S_+ + S_-)$, where $h^\text{eff}_{k_0} = -2\alpha \cos k$ and $h^\text{eff}_{k} = -(2\alpha \cos k + \Delta)$. The energy of the flat band is $\Delta$ and the dispersive band is given as $-4\alpha \cos k - \Delta$ [see Fig. 1(a)].

This effective Hamiltonian is obtained via a suitable driving protocol designed for the initial bare static Hamiltonian $H_{k_0} = h_{k_0} \mathbb{1}$, where $h_{k_0} = -2\alpha \cos k$. This Hamiltonian describes two uncoupled sub-lattices, where each sub-lattice is a 1D chain with zero onsite energy. The parameter $\alpha$ determines the nearest neighbor hopping strength in each of the sub-lattices. The choice of the static Hamiltonian reduces the complexity of the expressions and can be easily realized experimentally. Consequently, we obtain $h^\text{eff}_{k_0} = 0$ implying $f_{k_0}(t) = \phi_{k_0}(t)\mu_0(t)$ using Eq. \([17]\). As mentioned earlier, the gauge can be fully set with a physical model and hence in this case we have a freedom to set $\phi_0(k) = 0$ and $\theta = 0$. Thus, we have $f_{k_0}(t) = 0$ and the function $\mu_+(t)$ becomes real.

Using Eq. \([17]\), and from the relations $f_{k_z}(t) = 2\text{Re}[f_{k_+}(t)]$ and $f_{k_+}(t) = -2\text{Im}[f_{k_-}(t)]$, we obtain the driving functions in the XYZ representation as

$$
\begin{align*}
    f_{k_x}(t) &= f_e(t) \left[ a_+ \alpha C_x C_k + h^\text{eff}_{k} C_{p\omega t} - a_+ \alpha \omega S_{w\omega t} S_k \\
    &\quad + a^2 h^\text{eff}_{k} C_{2k+p\omega t S_{w\omega t}} \right], \\
    f_{k_y}(t) &= -f_e(t) \left[ a_+ \alpha \omega C_y S_k - h^\text{eff}_{k} S_{p\omega t} + a_+ \alpha \omega S_{w\omega t} C_k \\
    &\quad + a^2 h^\text{eff}_{k} S_{2k+p\omega t S_{w\omega t}} \right], \\
    f_{k_z}(t) &= f_e(t) \left[ p\omega \left( 1 - \frac{a_+^2}{2} \right) + \frac{p\omega a_+^2}{2} C_{2\omega t} \\
    &\quad + 4a_+ h^\text{eff}_{k} S_{k+p\omega t S_{w\omega t}} \right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_e(t) = \left( 1 + a_+^2 S_{w\omega t}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $C_w = \cos w$ and $S_w = \sin w$. In the above expression, we have two free parameters: a real parameter $a_+$ and an integer $p$. We shall set these two parameters in such a way that each of the driving function should not have any static (DC) part. First, we set $a_+^2 = 2$. This removes the first term of the driving function $f_{k_x}(t)$. Next we set $p = 3$, this is the minimal integer that ensures absence of any static term in either driving protocol. For $p = 1$, $f_{k_z}$ develops a static contribution; whereas for $p = 2$, $f_{k_x}$ and $f_{k_y}$ have static parts.

This time-periodic envelope function is an even function. Therefore, its Fourier expansion will be a cosine series with one constant coefficient $c_0$. We consider two moderate (same order of the band gap) cases of the driving frequency: $\omega = 4 + 2\Delta = 8$ and $\omega = 2\Delta = 4$. For these two frequencies, the Fourier coefficients are shown in Fig. 1(b) as a function of the coefficient indices. For both frequencies, the odd coefficients $c_{2n+1}$ are zero and the even coefficients $c_{2n}$ fall exponentially with $n$. Therefore, the envelope function can be realized with high accuracy considering only a few even harmonics. In Fig. 2.

![FIG. 1. (a) Band diagram of the cross-stitch lattice for $\alpha = 1.0$ and $\Delta = 2.0$. (b) Fourier coefficients of the envelope function for $a_+^2 = 2.0$.](image)

![FIG. 2. Density plot of the driving functions $f_{k_x}(t)$ (a,d), $f_{k_y}(t)$ (b,e), $f_{k_z}(t)$ (c,f) are plotted as a function of momentum $k$ and time $t$ for $\omega = 4 + 2\Delta = 8$ (a-c) and $\omega = 2\Delta = 4$ (d-f).](image)
the density plot of all the driving functions are plotted on the plane of time $t$ and momentum $k$ that stroboscopically give us $H_{\text{eff}}$ with band diagram given in Fig. 1(a). Figures 2(a)-(c) show the driving functions $f_{kx}$, $f_{ky}$ and $f_{kz}$, respectively for $\omega = 4 + 2\Delta = 8$, whereas Figs. 2(d)-(f) show the same for $\omega = 2\Delta = 4$.

Conclusion.— We have introduced a Floquet engineering protocol applicable to systems whose Hamiltonians have an underlying Lie-algebraic structure. A large number of physically relevant systems, like noninteracting particles moving on a lattice that show multiple energy bands, are prominent examples of this class of systems. In this formalism, we have applied the Wei-Norman ansatz \[24\] to the micro-motion part of the Floquet dynamics, and from that, we have prescribed how to design a driving protocol to reach the desired system starting from a given static Hamiltonian. The underlying Lie-algebra of two-bands systems is $SU(2)$, which is not solvable. Therefore, to ensure that the driving protocol is well defined we used the representation studied in Ref. \[29\]. Importantly, our formulation does not rely on any perturbative expansions and is exact.

We have particularly applied the formalism to study systems having two energy bands, which can be easily adapted for any two-state system ($k = \text{constant}$) with a generalized driving protocol than what was studied in Ref. \[30\], \[31\] and \[32\]. For the two-band system, we have also described in detail a guiding principle that fixes the gauge of the micro-motion and hence fixes the driving protocol. An inappropriate gauge fixing can lead to a complicated driving protocol, which may not be easy to implement experimentally. We have applied our formalism to realize the cross-stitched model’s band diagram \[26\] \[27\] from a static Hamiltonian with only nearest-neighbor hopping in each sub-lattice. In other words, we showed how Floquet engineering on a simple nearest-neighbour lattice with a complex driving protocol could help replicate the cross-stitch model, which requires a complicated nearest and next-nearest neighbour couplings that could be hard to engineer.

In principle, the formalism presented here can be applied to multi-band systems (see supplementary material \[25\] for a discussion on three-bands systems) and could be applied to Floquet engineer technologically relevant materials like higher-order topological insulator (HOTI) \[33-35\] or reproduce $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory in cold atom setup \[36\].
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**Supplementary Information**

**General formalism**

In this section we present the details of the general formalism presented in the main text. We begin with a general form of a time-dependent Hamiltonian having a period $T$ that reads,

$$H(t) = H_0 + V(t), \quad V(t + T) = V(t),$$

where $H_0$ is the time-independent static part and $V(t)$ is the periodic driving. Utilizing operators $A_\alpha$ that form a closed Lie algebra of dimension $N$, we can express the Hamiltonian as

$$H_0 = h_0 \mathbb{1} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} h_\alpha A_\alpha = h_0 \mathbb{1} + \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{A} \quad \text{and} \quad V(t) = f_0(t) \mathbb{1} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} f_\alpha(t) A_\alpha = f_0(t) \mathbb{1} + \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{A},$$

where $h$’s are functions of the system parameters, the driving functions $f(t)$’s are functions of both time $t$ and system parameters. The algebraic structure of $A$’s is governed by the structure constants $A_{\alpha \beta}^\gamma$, which are defined in the main text via Eq. (6). The time-periodic condition of $V(t)$ implies that all the driving functions are also time-periodic. If $U(t)$ is the corresponding time-evolution operator, then this operator will satisfy the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) with $\hbar = 1$,

$$i \frac{dU(t)}{dt} = H(t) U(t), \quad (21)$$

whose solution is

$$U(t) = \mathcal{T} \exp \left\{ -i \int_0^t H(t') dt' \right\}, \quad (22)$$
where $\mathcal{T}$ is the time-ordering operator. The Floquet theorem suggests that the above time-evolution operator can always be written as a product two unitary operators: operator $P(t)$ that describes the short-time dynamics within one period with $P(t+T) = P(t)$; the other operator describes the long time dynamics governed by an effective static Hamiltonian $H_F$ called the ‘Floquet Hamiltonian’. Therefore, we have

$$U(t) = P(t) e^{-iH_F t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Following the initial condition $U(0) = 1$, we get $P(0) = 1$. Moreover, the time-periodic property of $P(t)$ suggests that $P(nT) = 1$ for any arbitrary positive integer $n$. If one observes the dynamics of a particle stroboscopically at every time interval $nT$, then that dynamics is effectively governed by the static Hamiltonian $H_F$. From the Floquet engineering perspective, this effective static Hamiltonian is also the desired one which Floquet engineers want to obtain by designing a driving protocol. Therefore, in the remaining part of this supplementary information, we denote the Floquet Hamiltonian $H_F$ by the effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$. Since this Hamiltonian is obtained from a time-dependent Hamiltonian with underlying Lie algebraic structure, the general form of $H_{\text{eff}}$ will also be a linear combination of $\{1, A_\alpha\}$ of the form

$$H_{\text{eff}} = h_{0,\text{eff}} 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} h_{\alpha,\text{eff}} A_\alpha = h_{0,\text{eff}} 1 + h_{\text{eff}} \cdot A.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Substituting the Floquet form of the time-evolution operator in the TDSE, given by Eq. \ref{eq:TDSE}, we obtain

$$[i \frac{dP(t)}{dt} + P(t) H_{\text{eff}}] e^{-iH_{\text{eff}} t} = H(t) U(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

The idea of Floquet engineering implies that the form of the evolution operator corresponding to the long time dynamics is known, but the micro-motion part is unknown. Since the Hamiltonian has an underlying Lie algebraic structure, we can apply the Wei-Norman ansatz \cite{Wei1947, Norman1949} to the micro-motion operator. According to this ansatz, we can write

$$P(t) = e^{-i\mu_0(t)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}(t) A_\alpha}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

From the condition $P(nT) = 1$, we get the following conditions

$$e^{-i\mu_\alpha(nT)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-i\mu_\alpha(nT) A_\alpha} = 1 \quad \forall \alpha \text{ and } n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Substituting the above Wei-Norman form of $P(t)$ in Eq. \ref{eq:TDSE}, then the first term at the left hand side will become

$$i \frac{dP(t)}{dt} e^{-iH_{\text{eff}} t} = \left[ \hat{\mu}_0(t) P(t) + \hat{\mu}_1(t) e^{-i\mu_0(t)} A_1 \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}(t) A_\alpha} \right]$$

$$+ \hat{\mu}_2(t) e^{-i\mu_0(t)} e^{-i\mu_1(t) A_1} \prod_{\alpha=2}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}(t) A_\alpha} + \cdots \hspace{1cm} \text{applying BCH}$$

$$+ \hat{\mu}_\beta(t) e^{-i\mu_0(t)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\beta-1} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}(t) A_\alpha} A_\beta \prod_{\alpha'=\beta}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha'}(t) A_{\alpha'}} + \cdots \hspace{1cm} \text{applying BCH}$$

$$+ \hat{\mu}_N(t) e^{-i\mu_0(t)} \left\{ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}(t) A_\alpha} A_N e^{-i\mu_N(t) A_N} \right\} e^{-iH_{\text{eff}} t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)
Therefore, Eq. (25) becomes

\[ M = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} \mathcal{M}_{1\gamma}^{(3)}(m_1)A_{\gamma} \] (3)

where the coefficients \( \mathcal{M}_{1\gamma}^{(3)}(m_1) \) are in general nonlinear functions of \( m_1(t) \). The term is a linear function of the operators \( A_\gamma \)'s because these operators form a closed Lie algebra. Similarly, for the general \((\beta + 1)\)th term of the above expression, we can push all the exponential operators in the following expression

\[
\left\{ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\beta-1} e^{-im_\alpha(t)A_{\alpha}} \right\} A_{\beta}
\]

to the right by applying the BCH formula \((\beta - 1)\) times. Then the general \((\beta + 1)\)th term will be of the following form

\[
(\beta + 1) \text{ term} = \hat{m}_\beta(t) \left\{ \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} \mathcal{M}_{1\gamma}^{(\beta+1)}(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{\beta-1}) A_{\gamma} \right\} U(t).
\]

Here again the coefficients \( \mathcal{M}_{1\gamma}^{(\beta+1)}(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{\beta-1}) \) are nonlinear functions of \( (m_1(t), m_2(t), \ldots, m_{\beta-1}(t)) \) and the linearity of the operators \( A_\gamma \)'s is due to the closed Lie algebraic structure as mentioned above. Therefore, using all above relations in Eq. (28), we obtain,

\[
\dot{P}(t) e^{-iH_{\text{eff}} t} = \left[ \dot{P}(t) e^{-iH_{\text{eff}} t} \right] U(t) = \left[ h_0^{\text{eff}} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} h_\alpha^{\text{eff}} \left\{ P(t) A_\alpha \right\} \right] U(t).
\]

Again due to the closed Lie algebra of \( \{ A_{\alpha} \} \), applying the BCH formula, we have

\[
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} h_\alpha^{\text{eff}} \left\{ P(t) A_\alpha \right\} = h_0^{\text{eff}} \cdot \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_2(t) \cdot A}_{=\xi(h^{\text{eff}}, m)}.
\]

Therefore, Eq. (28) becomes

\[
\left[ \dot{m}_0(t) + \dot{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathcal{M}_1(t)^T \cdot A + h_0^{\text{eff}} \mathbf{1} + h^{\text{eff}} \cdot \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_2(t) \cdot A}_{=\xi(h^{\text{eff}}, m)} \right] U(t) = H(t)U(t).
\]

Using the Hamiltonian \( H(t) \) given by Eqs. (19)-(20) and equating the coefficients of \( \mathbf{1} \) and \( A_\alpha \)'s from both sides, we obtain

\[
h_0 + f_0(t) = \dot{m}_0(t) + h_0^{\text{eff}} \quad \text{and} \quad h + f(t) = \mathcal{M}_1(t) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{m}} + \mathcal{M}_2(t) \cdot h^{\text{eff}}.
\]

which matches Eq. (16) from the main text.
Formalism for the two-bands case: Floquet Engineering Protocol

The two-band Hamiltonians are represented by the operators that follow SU(2) algebra. Here we represent the Hamiltonian in terms of the operators $(1, S_z, S_z^2)$, where $S_z = S_z \pm iS_y$ and $(0 \times 0)$ is a 2 x 2 identity matrix. Here, $2S = \sigma$ where $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ are spin-1/2 Pauli matrices. Following the Wei-Norman ansatz, the corresponding micro-motion operator for each $k$ can be written as

$$P_k(t) = e^{-im_\text{eff}(t)\hat{\mathbf{S}}} e^{-im_k^+ (t) S_+} e^{-im_k^-(t) S_-} e^{-im_k(t) S_z}.$$  

(34)

Since, $S_z$ are not Hermitian, then $m_{k\pm}(t)$ are complex and $e^{-im_{k\pm}(t)S_z}$ is not unitary, but over all the operator $P(t)$ is unitary. Moreover, even though $S_z$ is a Hermitian operator, we still have to consider $m_{k\pm}(t)$ to be complex. This is because, for the real $m_{k\pm}(t)$, we cannot find any pair of complex numbers $m_{k+}(t)$ except zero, such that $P(t)$ will be unitary. From the unitary property of $P(t)$, we have shown in the main text that $m_{k\pm}$ and $\text{Im}[m_{k\pm}]$ are not independent functions and both of these can be expressed in terms of $m_{k\mp}$ (see Eq. (14) of the main text).

We now have to construct $\mathbf{M}_{1}(t)$ and $\mathbf{M}_{2}(t)$ matrices for each momentum value $k$ to determine the driving protocol. We denote these matrices as $\mathbf{M}_{k1}(t)$ and $\mathbf{M}_{k2}(t)$, respectively. The matrix $\mathbf{M}_{k1}(t)$ will be constructed from the time derivative of $P_k(t)$, Eq. (29), using the Wei-Norman form given in Eq. (34). Therefore, we obtain

$$i\frac{dP_k(t)}{dt} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{m}_{k+} + m_{k+}^2 + m_{k-} - m_{k+}m_{k-} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_+ \\
\dot{m}_{k-} + m_{k-}^2 + m_{k+} - m_{k-}m_{k+} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_- \end{bmatrix} = e^{i\mathbf{M}_{k1}(t)} P_k(t).$$

(35)

Above, just like the main text we suppress the explicit time dependence in the functions $m$ for notational simplicity. We now express above equation in terms of two independent variables $m_{k+}$ and the real part of $m_{k\mp}$, i.e., $m_{k\mp}^R$. Therefore, we replace $m_{k\mp}$ and the imaginary part of $m_{k\pm}$, i.e., $m_{k\pm}^I$, by the following expression given in the main text (see Eq. (14) in the main text),

$$m_{k\pm}^* = \frac{m_{k\pm}^*}{1 + |m_{k\pm}|^2}$$

(36)

and

$$m_{k\pm} = \ln(1 + |m_{k\pm}|^2),$$

from the both sides of the TDSE, we get $\mathbf{M}_{k1}$ given by Eq. (15) of the main text.

In order to derive the matrix $\mathbf{M}_{k2}$ we have to calculate $P_k(t)H_k^\text{eff} P_k^\dagger(t)$, Eq. (30), where $H_k^\text{eff} = P_k(t)H_k^\text{eff} P_k^\dagger(t) + h_{k\pm}^\text{eff} \cdot \mathbf{S}$. In the $(S_x, S_y, S_z)$ and $(S_z, S_x, S_y)$ representation, $H_k^\text{eff}$ is

$$H_k^\text{eff} = h_{k\text{eff}+}^+ + h_{k\text{eff}+} S_x + h_{k\text{eff}+} S_y + h_{k\text{eff}+} S_z = h_{k\text{eff}+}^+ + h_{k\text{eff}+} S_x + h_{k\text{eff}+} S_y + h_{k\text{eff}+} S_z,$$

(39)

where $2h_{k\pm} = h_{k\pm} \pm ih_{k\text{eff}}$. Here we consider the ±Z representation to obtain,

$$P_k(t)H_k^\text{eff} P_k^\dagger(t) = h_{k\text{eff}+}^+ + P_k(t) (h_{k\text{eff}+} S_x + h_{k\text{eff}+} S_y + h_{k\text{eff}+} S_z) P_k^\dagger(t).$$

(40)
We have found that
\[
P_k(t) S_+ P_k^\dagger(t) = \frac{e^{-im_{k+}^R}}{1 + |m_{k+}|^2} \left( S_+ + m_{k+}^2 S_- + 2im_{k+}^R S_z \right),
\]
\[
P_k(t) S_- P_k^\dagger(t) = \frac{e^{im_{k+}^R}}{1 + |m_{k+}|^2} \left( m_{k+}^2 S_+ + S_- - 2im_{k+}^R S_z \right),
\]
\[
P_k(t) S_z P_k^\dagger(t) = \frac{1}{2(1 + |m_{k+}|^2)} \left[ 2im_{k+}^R S_+ - 2im_{k+}^R S_- + 2(1 - |m_{k+}|^2) S_z \right].
\]

The above relations can be derived in two ways: (1) Applying the BCH formula multiple times. This is a cumbersome approach. However, it is a very general method that can be applied for any closed algebra irrespective of its representation in any dimension. (2) A straightforward way is to explicitly write down the matrix representation of \( P_k(t) \) and \( S_- \) matrices, then calculate matrix multiplication of three matrices to obtaining each of the three relations. Substituting the results obtained in Eqs. (35) and (41) in the TDSE \( idU_k(t)/dt = H_k(t)U_k(t) \), and equating the coefficients of \((I, S_\pm, S_z)\) from the both sides, we get the relations given by Eqs. (16) and (17) of the main text.

Three bands case

In order to represent any generic three bands tight-binding Hamiltonian, one needs the \( 3 \times 3 \) identity matrix \( I \) and \( eight \) linearly independent matrices. A natural choice for these is to consider the eight trace-less Hermitian Gell-Mann matrices used in the standard description of \( SU(3) \) algebra \[37,38\]. The Gell-Mann matrices are generalizations of the Pauli matrices for the \( 3 \times 3 \) case. In the standard basis, the Gell-Mann matrices are of the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\lambda_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_8 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

In terms of the above matrices, one can write the Hamiltonian of any three-bands models such as \[39\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_k &= h_{k1}\lambda_1 + h_{k4}\lambda_4 + h_{k6}\lambda_6, & \text{(Kagome Lattice)} \\
\mathcal{H}_k &= h_{k4}'\lambda_4 + h_{k6}'\lambda_6 & \text{(Lieb Lattice)}.
\end{align*}
\]

If one wants to study Floquet version of the Kagome or Lieb lattice under the Wei-Norman formalism, then one has to consider all the \( \lambda \)-matrices. Following the Wei-Norman ansatz, the micro-motion operator will take the form

\[
P_k(t) = e^{-im_{k\alpha}(t)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{8} e^{-im_{ka}(t)\lambda_\alpha}.
\]

Alternatively, one can construct the micro-motion operator using the following representation \[40\]:

\[
a_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_6 \pm i\lambda_7), \quad b_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 \pm i\lambda_2), \quad c_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_4 \pm i\lambda_5), \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\lambda_8 - \lambda_3), \quad \text{and} \quad c_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\lambda_8 + \lambda_3).
\]

In principle, one can follow our Floquet engineering protocol to realize any three-bands model using one of the above (or any other) representations of the \( SU(3) \) algebra. However, the matrices \( \mathcal{M}_{k1}(t) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{k2}(t) \) which are crucial for designing the driving protocol will now be \( 8 \times 8 \) matrices. The large dimension of these matrices makes the Floquet engineering protocol for the three-bands case complicated.

Interestingly, a careful observation reveals that \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \) and \( \lambda_3 \) can be represented in terms of the Pauli matrices as

\[
\lambda_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \text{ or } x, y, z \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

These three matrices form an \( SU(2) \) sub-algebra: \( [\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \lambda_\gamma \). Therefore, if one wants to design a Floquet engineering protocol for a system having three energy bands whose \( H_k^\text{eff} \) can be expressed as a linear combination of
these three matrices and the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix, then the undriven Hamiltonian $H_{k0}$ and the periodic driving $V_{k}(t)$ can also be expanded as a linear combination of the same. Instead of this representation, one can also use another representation, which is equivalent to the $\pm Z$ representation of the main text, with

$$b_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{+} \pm i \sigma_{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} S_{\pm} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \Lambda_{\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} S_{z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \Lambda_{z}.$$  

(48)

to express the Hamiltonian. Moreover, like $S_{\pm}^{2} = 0$, we now have $\Lambda_{\pm}^{2} = 0$. Following the Wei-Norman ansatz, we can write down the form of the micro-motion operator for this case as

$$P_{k}(t) = e^{-i m_{k}a_{\pm}(t)} e^{-i m_{k}a_{+}(t)} \Lambda_{\pm} e^{-i m_{k}a_{+}(t)} \Lambda_{+} e^{-i m_{k}a_{+}(t)} \Lambda_{+} e^{-i m_{k}a_{+}(t)} \Lambda_{+}.$$  

(49)

Here again, the operators $\Lambda_{\pm}$ are not Hermitian, and consequently the second and the third term in the above expression are not unitary. The unitary property of the micro-motion operator $P_{k}(t)$ once again gives the same relation as given in Eq. (36). As a consequence, from the time-derivative of the above $P_{k}(t)$, we construct $\mathcal{M}_{k1}(t)$ matrix for the SU(2) sub-algebra of the SU(3) algebra. This $\mathcal{M}_{k1}(t)$ will be exactly identical to the expression given in Eq. (16) of the main text. The form of the $\mathcal{M}_{k2}(t)$ matrix is determined by the desired Hamiltonian $H_{k}^{\text{eff}}$.

We may consider one interesting case for this SU(2) sub-algebra. Consider a desired 3-bands Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{k}^{\text{eff}} = \eta_{kx} \Lambda_{x} + \eta_{ky} \Lambda_{y} + \eta_{kz} \Lambda_{z} = \eta_{k-} \Lambda_{-} + \eta_{k+} \Lambda_{+} + \eta_{kz} \Lambda_{z} \equiv h_{k}^{\text{eff}} \cdot \Lambda,$$

(50)

where

$$\Lambda_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = x, y, z \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{k\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{kx} \pm i \eta_{ky})$$

and in $\pm Z$ representation $h_{k}^{\text{eff}} = (\eta_{k-}, \eta_{k+}, \eta_{kz})$. For the above Hamiltonian, two bands will be dispersive

$$E_{k} = \pm \sqrt{\eta_{kx}^{2} + \eta_{ky}^{2}} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\eta_{kx}^{2} + \eta_{ky}^{2} + \eta_{kz}^{2}} = \pm \frac{1}{2} |\eta_{k}|$$

and the third band will be a flat-band at $E_{k} = 0$. If we add a term $\eta_{0} \mathbb{1}$ to the above Hamiltonian, then the flat-band will be at energy $E_{k} = \eta_{0}$ and the dispersive bands will be $E_{k} = \eta_{0} \pm \frac{1}{2} |\eta_{k}|$.

We now design the driving protocol to achieve the desired/effective Hamiltonian $H_{k}^{\text{eff}}$. For simplicity, we are assuming the case when $\eta_{0} = 0$, that is the energy of the flat-band is zero. Therefore, for this case, we can assume that there is no initial static Hamiltonian. We only need a pure time-dependent Hamiltonian for any momentum $k$ as

$$H_{k}(t) = F_{kx}(t) \Lambda_{x} + F_{ky}(t) \Lambda_{y} + F_{kz}(t) \Lambda_{z} = F_{k-}(t) \Lambda_{-} + F_{k+}(t) \Lambda_{+} + F_{kz}(t) \Lambda_{z}.$$  

(51)

The driving functions $\{F_{kx}(t), F_{ky}(t), F_{kz}(t)\}$ and $\{F_{k-}(t), F_{k+}(t)\}$, where $F_{k\pm}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [F_{k}(t) \pm i F_{ky}(t)]$, are all time-periodic functions with period $T$.

The next is to derive $\mathcal{M}_{k1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k2}(t)$ matrices for this case. For simplicity, we are considering only SU(2) sub-group of the SU(3) group, here also we get the identical $\mathcal{M}_{k1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k2}(t)$ matrices as given by Eq. (15) of the main text. Moreover, if you consider the same gauge, then we shall also get identical $\mathcal{M}_{k1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k2}(t)$ matrices as obtained in the main text [just below Eq. (17)]. In order to respect the boundary condition, we also set $\mu_{+}(t) = a_{+} \sin \omega t = \mu_{+}^{0}(t)$ and $\mu_{R}(t) = \mu_{R}^{0} t$ where $p$ is any integer. We then obtain the driving functions in the XYZ representation, using the relations $F_{kx} = 2 \text{Re}[F_{k-}(t)]$ and $F_{ky} = -2 \text{Im}[F_{k-}(t)]$, as:

$$F_{kx}(t) = 2 F_{r}(t) \left[ a_{+} \omega C_{w} C_{h} - a_{+} \omega C_{w} S_{h} + \eta_{kz} C_{w} C_{h} - \eta_{kz} S_{w} C_{h} + \frac{a_{+}^{2} S_{w}^{2}}{2} (C_{2k+0w} \eta_{k} - S_{2k+0w} \eta_{k}) - a_{+} S_{w} C_{k} \eta_{k} \right],$$

$$F_{ky}(t) = -2 F_{r}(t) \left[ a_{+} \omega C_{w} C_{h} + a_{+} \omega C_{w} C_{h} - C_{w} \eta_{k} S_{w} C_{h} + a_{+} S_{w} C_{k} \eta_{k} \right] - a_{+} S_{w} C_{k} \eta_{k}],$$

$$F_{kz}(t) = F_{r}(t) \left[ 2 a_{+} S_{w} \eta_{k} \eta_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{a_{+}^{2}}{2} \right) \eta_{k} \omega + \frac{1}{2} \rho \omega a_{+}^{2} C_{2\omega t} \right] + \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{a_{+}^{2}}{2} \right) \right\} \eta_{k} \omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C_{2\omega t} \right\} \eta_{k},$$

(52)

where $F_{r}(t) = (1 + a_{+}^{2} S_{w}^{2})^{-1}$, $C_{w} = \cos w$, and $S_{w} = \sin w$. Here again we set $a_{+}^{2} = 2$ and $p = 3$ to remove the static part from the driving. We have shown the driving functions for the one dimensional case $k \rightarrow k$ with $\eta_{kz} = 0$ for all $k$ and $\eta_{k} = -\eta_{k} = 2 \cos k + \Delta$. Here we also consider two values of the frequency, $\omega = 4 + 2 \Delta = 8$ and $\omega = 2 \Delta = 4$.

In Fig. 3 we have shown the density plot of all the driving functions $f_{kx}, f_{ky}$ and $f_{kz}$ on the plane of time $t$ and momentum $k$. 

\footnote{\text{jythingna@ibs.re.kr}}
FIG. 3. Density plot of the driving functions $f_{k_x}(t)$ (a,d), $f_{k_y}(t)$ (b,e), $f_{k_z}(t)$ (c,f) are plotted as a function of the time $t$ and the momentum $k$. Panels (a)-(c) represent $\omega = 4 + 2\Delta = 8$ and panels (d)-(f) are for $\omega = 2\Delta = 4$.
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