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#### Abstract

For a positive integer $n$, let $\mathcal{T}(n)$ be the set of all integers greater than or equal to $n$. An integral quadratic form $f$ is called tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal if the set of nonzero integers that are represented by $f$ is exactly $\mathcal{T}(n)$. The smallest possible rank over all tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal quadratic forms is defined by $t(n)$. In this article, we find all tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal diagonal quadratic forms. We also prove that $t(n) \in \Omega\left(\log _{2}(n)\right) \cap O(\sqrt{n})$. Explicit lower and upper bounds for $t(n)$ will be provided for some small integer $n$.


## 1. Introduction

For a positive integer $k$, an integral quadratic form $f$ of rank $k$ is a homogeneous quadratic polynomial

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{k} f_{i j} x_{i} x_{j} \quad\left(f_{i j}=f_{j i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

with $k$ variables such that the discriminant $\operatorname{det}\left(f_{i j}\right)$ is nonzero. We say an integer $a$ is represented by $f$ if there is an integer solution of the diophantine equation $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=a$. The set of "nonzero" integers that are represented by $f$ is denoted by $Q(f)$. It is quite an old problem in number theory to determine the set $Q(f)$ explicitly for any given quadratic form $f$. If $f$ is indefinite, that is, the corresponding symmetric matrix $\left(f_{i j}\right)$ is indefinite, and if the rank of $f$ is greater than 2 , then so called, the spinor genus theory works to determine the set $Q(f)$, effectively (for this, see [8]). From now on, we always assume that $f$ is positive definite. If $k$ is greater than 3 , then there is a general method to determine $Q(f)$ under the assumption that the minimum representation number $\min (f)$ is not too big (for this, see [6] and [7]). If $k=3$ or $\min (f)$ is large, then, as far as the authors know, only sufficiently large integers in $Q(f)$ can effectively be determined.

Let $n$ be a positive integer, and let $\mathcal{T}(n)$ be the set of all integers greater than or equal to $n$. We say an integral quadratic form $f$ is $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal if $f$ represents all integers greater than or equal to $n$, that is, $\mathcal{T}(n) \subseteq Q(f)$. We say a $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal quadratic form $f$ is tight if $\mathcal{T}(n)=Q(f)$. A tight $\mathcal{T}(1)$-universal quadratic form

[^0]is simply called universal. The smallest possible rank over all tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal quadratic forms is defined by $t(n)$. The famous Lagrange's four square theorem says that $t(1)=4$, that is, any positive integer is a sum of four squares, but no less. Note that the sum of four squares $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}$ is $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal for any $n \geqslant 1$, whereas it is not tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal for any $n \geqslant 2$. One may easily show that there does not exist a ternary $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal quadratic form for any positive integer $n$. Conway and Schneeberger proved that there are exactly 204 quaternary universal quadratic forms up to isometry (for this, see [2]). Halmos proved in [5] that the quaternary quadratic form $2 x^{2}+2 y^{2}+3 z^{2}+4 t^{2}$ represents all integers greater than 1. Hence it is a tight $\mathcal{T}(2)$-universal quadratic form, and therefore we have $t(2)=4$. Barowsky and his/her collaborators proved in [1] that the quaternary quadratic form
$$
3 x^{2}-2 x y-2 x z+2 x t+3 y^{2}-2 y t+4 z^{2}-4 z t+5 t^{2}
$$
represents all integers except for 1 and 2. Hence it is tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universal and therefore $t(3)=4$. As far as the authors know, there is no known tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$ universal quadratic form with rank $t(n)$ for any integer $n \geqslant 4$.

In this article, we find all tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal quadratic forms which are "diagonal". This will be done in Section 2. In Section 3, We provide an explicit upper bound for $t(n)$ for any integer $n \leqslant 36$. In Section 4, we prove that $t(n) \in$ $\Omega\left(\log _{2}(n)\right) \cap O(\sqrt{n})$. In particular, the minimum rank of tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal quadratic forms goes to infinity as $n$ increases. Recall that for two arithmetic functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, we say $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$ if and only if there is a constant $C>0$ such that $C \cdot g(n) \leqslant f(n)$ for any sufficiently large integer $n$.

The subsequent discussion will be conducted in the language of quadratic spaces and lattices. The readers are referred to [9] and [10] for any unexplained notations and terminologies. For simplicity, the quadratic map and its associated bilinear form on any quadratic space will be denoted by $Q$ and $B$, respectively. The term lattice always means a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-module on a finite dimensional positive definite quadratic space over $\mathbb{Q}$. The scale of a lattice $L$, denoted $\mathfrak{s}(L)$, is the ideal generated by $\{B(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}): \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in L\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. We call $L$ an integral lattice if $\mathfrak{s}(L) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. Throughout this article, we always assume that a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice is positive definite and integral.

Let $L=\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank $n$. Note that the quadratic form $f_{L}$ corresponding to $L$ is defined by $f_{L}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} B\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) x_{i} x_{j}$. The symmetric matrix $\left(B\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right)\right)$ is called the Gram matrix of the lattice $L$. Let $p$ be a prime and let $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be the $p$-adic integer ring. We define $L_{p}=L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, which is considered as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-lattice.

A $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $M$ is said to be represented by $L$ if there is a linear map $\sigma: M \longrightarrow L$ such that $Q(\sigma(\boldsymbol{x}))=Q(\boldsymbol{x})$ for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$. Such a map is called a representation from $M$ into $L$, which is necessarily injective because the bilinear map defined on $M$ is assumed to be nondegenerate. If there is a linear map $\sigma_{p}: M_{p} \longrightarrow L_{p}$ satisfying the above property for some prime $p$, then we say $M$ is represented by $L$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. We say $M$ is locally represented by $L$ if $M$ is represented by $L$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for any
prime $p$. If $M$ is represented by $L$, then we simply write $M \longrightarrow L$. In particular, if $M=\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}$ with $Q(\boldsymbol{x})=m$ is a unary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice, then we simply write $m \longrightarrow L$ as well as $M \longrightarrow L$. We also define

$$
Q(L)=\left\{a \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: a \longrightarrow L\right\} .
$$

We say $M$ is isometric to $L$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ if there exists a representation sending $L$ onto $M$. In this case we will write $L \cong M$. If $M$ is isometric to $L$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for any prime $p$, then we say $M$ is contained in the genus of $L$, and we write $M \in \operatorname{gen}(L)$. The number of isometry classes in the genus of $L$ is called the class number of $L$, and is denoted by $h(L)$. It is well known that the class number of any $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice is always finite. It is also well known that a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $K$ is locally represented by $L$ if and only if there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $M \in \operatorname{gen}(L)$ such that $K \longrightarrow M$. The set of all integers that are locally represented by $L$ is denoted by $Q(\operatorname{gen}(L))$.

If $L$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice and $A$ is one of its Gram matrix, we will write $L \cong A$. We will often address a positive definite symmetric matrix as a lattice. The diagonal matrix with entries $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ on its main diagonal will be denoted by $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$.

For two vectors $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ and $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s}$, we write

$$
\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right) \leq\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)
$$

if $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant s}$ and we write

$$
\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right)<\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)
$$

if $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r}$ is a proper subsequence of $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant s}$. For two diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices $\ell=\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right\rangle$ and $L=\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\rangle$, we define $\ell \leq L(\ell<L)$ if and only if $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right) \leq\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right)<\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)\right.$, respectively $)$.

## 2. Diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-Lattices

In this section, we find all diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices for any positive integer $n$. Let $L=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$ be a diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$ lattice. We say that $L$ is new if $\left\langle a_{j_{1}}, a_{j_{2}}, \ldots, a_{j_{l}}\right\rangle$ is not $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal whenever $\left\langle a_{j_{1}}, a_{j_{2}}, \ldots, a_{j_{l}}\right\rangle<\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$. From the definition, to find all diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, it suffices to find all "new" diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices. Ramanujan proved in 12 that there are exactly 54 diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}$ (1)universal quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, which are all new (see also [4]). One may easily show by using, so called, the 15 -theorem (see [2]) that all new diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}$ (1)universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices with rank greater than 4 are

$$
\langle 1,2,5,5, a\rangle, \quad \text { where } a=5,11,12,13,14, \text { and } 15 .
$$

From now on, we always assume that $n \geqslant 2$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $L=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$ be a diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice with $2 \leqslant a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant$ $\cdots \leqslant a_{k}$. Assume that there are positive integers $m$ and $i$ such that the following conditions hold;
(1) $m \longrightarrow L$;
(2) $m \hookrightarrow\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right\rangle$;
(3) $m<a_{i}+a_{1}$.

Then there is an integer $j$ with $i+1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$ such that $a_{j}=m$.
Proof. Note that there is a vector $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ such that

$$
a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+a_{2} x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+a_{k} x_{k}^{2}=m
$$

by condition (1). Furthermore, by condition (2), there is an index $j$ with $i+1 \leqslant$ $j \leqslant k$ such that $x_{j} \neq 0$. Now, by condition (3), we have

$$
m<a_{i}+a_{1} \leqslant a_{j}+a_{1}
$$

and thus $x_{j}= \pm 1$ and $x_{s}=0$ for any $s \neq j$. This completes the proof.
Lemma 2.2. Let $L=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$ be a diagonal $\mathcal{T}\left(a_{1}\right)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice with $2 \leqslant a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_{k}$. Let $r$ and $s$ be positive integers with $r<s$ such that $r \leqslant a_{1}-1$ and $s \leqslant k-1$. Assume further that there are integers $a_{s}<m_{1}<m_{2}<$ $\cdots<m_{r}<a_{s}+a_{1}$ and $1 \leqslant l_{1}<l_{2}<\cdots<l_{s-r} \leqslant s$ such that the following conditions hold;
(1) $m_{i} \rightarrow\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}\right\rangle$ for any $i=1,2, \ldots, r$;
(2) $\left\langle a_{l_{1}}, a_{l_{2}}, \ldots, a_{l_{s-r}}, m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right\rangle$ is $\mathcal{T}\left(a_{1}\right)$-universal.

Then $L$ is not new.
Proof. For each $\nu=1,2, \ldots, r$, there is an integer $j_{\nu}$ with $s+1 \leqslant j_{\nu} \leqslant k$ such that $a_{j_{\nu}}=m_{\nu}$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus it follows from the condition (2) that $L$ is not new.

For any integer $n$ with $n \geqslant 2$, we define two diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices

$$
X_{n}:=\langle n, n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2 n\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{n}:=\langle n, n, n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2 n-1\rangle .
$$

Proposition 2.3. Let $n$ be an integer greater than 1 and let $L$ be a diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice. Then we have $X_{n} \leq L$ or $Y_{n} \leq L$.

Proof. Assume that $L=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$, where $a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_{k}$. Clearly $a_{1}=n$. Now, we apply Lemma 2.1 on the case when $i=\nu$ and $m=n+\nu$ for each $\nu=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ to prove that

$$
\langle n, n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2 n-1\rangle<L
$$

Since $2 n \longrightarrow L$ and $2 n \longrightarrow\langle n, n+1, \ldots, 2 n-1\rangle$, one may easily show that
$X_{n}=\langle n, n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2 n-1,2 n\rangle \leq L$ or $Y_{n}=\langle n, n, n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2 n-1\rangle \leq L$.
This completes the proof.
Proposition 2.4. For any positive integer $n$ greater than 3 , the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $X_{n}=\langle n, n+1, \ldots, 2 n\rangle$ is tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal. In particular, we have $t(n) \leqslant n+1$ for any $n \geqslant 4$.

Proof. Note that any positive integer $k$ greater than or equal to $n$ is of the form $n u+a$ for some nonnegative integer $u$ and some integer $a$ with $n \leqslant a \leqslant 2 n-1$.

First, assume that $n$ is greater than or equal to 7 . Let $a$ be any integer with $n \leqslant a \leqslant 2 n-1$. One may choose two integers $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ with $0<n_{1}<n_{2} \leqslant\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]$
such that $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\} \cap\{a-n, 2 n-a\}=\varnothing$. Since $\langle 1,2,3,3\rangle$ is universal, the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice

$$
\left\langle n, 2 n, n+n_{1}, 2 n-n_{1}, n+n_{2}, 2 n-n_{2}\right\rangle
$$

represents all nonnegative integers which are multiples of $n$. Furthermore, the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\left\langle n, 2 n, n+n_{1}, 2 n-n_{1}, n+n_{2}, 2 n-n_{2}, a\right\rangle$ represents all positive integers of the form $n u+a$, where $n+1 \leqslant a \leqslant 2 n-1$. Therefore $\langle n, n+1, \ldots, 2 n\rangle$ represents all integers greater than or equal to $n$.

Secondly, assume that $n=6$. Note that by the 15 -Theorem, the quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$ lattices $\langle 1,2,3,3\rangle$ and $\langle 1,2,3,4\rangle$ are universal. Since $\langle 6,12,18,36\rangle$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-sublattice of $\left\langle 6,6+n^{\prime}, 9,12-n^{\prime}, 12\right\rangle$ for any $n^{\prime}=1,2$, the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle 6,7,8,9,10,11,12\rangle$ represents all integers of the form $6 u+a$ with $a=7,8,10,11$. On the other hand, $\langle 6,12,18,18\rangle$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-sublattice of $\langle 6,7,8,10,11,12\rangle$. Therefore $\langle 6,7,8,9,10,11,12\rangle$ represents all integers of the form $6 u+6$ or $6 u+9$ for any integer $u$ with $u \geqslant 0$.

Now, we consider the case when $n=5$. Since all the other cases can be proved in a similar manner, we only prove that any integer of the form $5 k+6$ for some nonnegative integer $k$ is represented by $\langle 5,6,7,8,9,10\rangle$. To prove this, we use the well-known fact that $\langle 1,2,3\rangle$ represents all nonnegative integers except for integers of the form $4^{r}(16 s+10)$ with some nonnegative integers $r$ and $s$. Note that $\langle 5,10,15\rangle$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-sublattice of $\langle 5,7,8,10\rangle$. For any integer $k$ with $k \geqslant 15$, since either $k$ or $k-15$ is not of the form $4^{r}(16 s+10), 5 k+6=5(k-15)+9 \cdot 3^{2}$ is represented by $\langle 5,6,7,8,9,10\rangle$. One may directly check that $\langle 5,6,7,8,9,10\rangle$ also represents all integers of the form $5 k+6$ for any integer $k$ with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant 14$.

Finally, assume that $n=4$. Note that $\langle 1,2,5,6\rangle$ and $\langle 1,2,5,7\rangle$ are, in fact, universal. Since $\langle 4,8,20,24\rangle$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-sublattice of $\langle 4,5,6,8\rangle$ and $\langle 4,8,20,28\rangle$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-sublattice of $\langle 4,5,7,8\rangle$, the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle 4,5,6,7,8\rangle$ represents all integers of the form $4 u+4,4 u+6$ and $4 u+7$. Hence it suffices to show that any integer of the form $4 u+5$ is represented by $\langle 4,5,6,7,8\rangle$. To prove this, we use the fact that that $\langle 1,2,6\rangle$ represents all nonnegative integers except for integers of the form $4^{r}(8 s+5)$ with some nonnegative integers $r$ and $s$. Since $\langle 4,8,24\rangle$ is a sublattice of $\langle 4,6,8\rangle$, the ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle 4,6,8\rangle$ represents all integers of the form $4 u$, where $u$ is an integer which is represented by $\langle 1,2,6\rangle$. For any integer $k$ with $k \geqslant 10$, since at least one of $k, k-7$, or $k-10$ is not of the form $4^{r}(8 s+5)$, the integer

$$
4 k+5=4(k-7)+7 \cdot 2^{2}+5=4(k-10)+5 \cdot 3^{2}
$$

is represented by $\langle 4,5,6,7,8\rangle$. Finally, one may directly check that $\langle 4,5,6,7,8\rangle$ also represents all integers of the form $4 k+5$ for any integer $k$ with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant 9$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.5. The ternary diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle 2,3,4\rangle$ does not represent 10 , whereas the quaternary diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle 2,3,4,5\rangle$ is, in fact, tight $\mathcal{T}(2)$-universal. The quaternary diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle 3,4,5,6\rangle$ does not represent 35 , whereas $\langle 3,4,5,6,7\rangle$ is tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universal. These will be proved in Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 2.10, respectively.

Proposition 2.6. For any positive integer $n$ greater than 3, the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $Y_{n}=\langle n, n, n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2 n-1\rangle$ is tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal.

Proof. Note that the quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle 1,1,3,3\rangle$ is universal and the ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle 1,1,3\rangle$ represents all positive integers except for those integers which are of the form $3^{2 a+1}(3 b+2)$ for some nonnegative integers $a$ and $b$. If $n \geqslant 5$, the proof of the tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universality of $Y_{n}$ is quite similar to that of Proposition 2.4. So, the proof is left as an exercise to the reader. Now, we show that $Y_{4}=\langle 4,4,5,6,7\rangle$ is tight $\mathcal{T}$ (4)-universal. Let $u$ be an integer greater than or equal to 4 . One may directly check that $u \longrightarrow Y_{4}$ for any integer $u$ less than or equal to 112 . Hence we always assume that $u \geqslant 113$. Let $K=\langle 4,4,6\rangle$. Since $h(K)=1$, one may easily check by using [11] that every integer in the set

$$
A=\{u \in \mathbb{N}: u \equiv 0(\bmod 2), u \not \equiv 2(\bmod 16), u \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)\}
$$

is represented by $K$. One may easily check that there is a pair

$$
\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \in\{(0,1),(0,2),(0,3),(0,4),(1,0),(2,0),(3,0),(4,0)\}
$$

such that $u-5 d_{1}^{2}-7 d_{2}^{2} \in A$. It follows that $u-5 d_{1}^{2}-7 d_{2}^{2} \longrightarrow K$ and thus we have $u \longrightarrow Y_{4}$. This completes the proof.

Now, by Propositions 2.3, 2.4, and 2.6, we have
Theorem 2.7. For any positive integer $n$ greater than 3, there are exactly two new diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, which are, in fact, $X_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$.

TABLE 1. New diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$

| $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{6}$ | Conditions on $a_{k}(5 \leqslant k \leqslant 6)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | $a_{6}$ | $5 \leqslant a_{6} \leqslant 18, a_{6} \neq 6,8,9,10,16,17$ |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | $a_{6}$ | $5 \leqslant a_{6} \leqslant 18, a_{6} \neq 6,8,9,10,16,17$ |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $a_{5}$ |  | $6 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 10, a_{5} \neq 7$ |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | $a_{6}$ | $7 \leqslant a_{6} \leqslant 25, a_{6} \neq 8,9,10,23,24$ |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 13 |  |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 |  | $6 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 35, a_{5} \neq 11,33,34$ |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $a_{5}$ |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 11 | $a_{6}$ | $a_{6}=11$ or $33 \leqslant a_{6} \leqslant 46, a_{6} \neq 35,44,45$ |

Proposition 2.8. Every diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice listed in Table 1 is tight $\mathcal{T}$ (3)-universal.
Proof. Let $L$ be any $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice in Table 1 . One may directly check that $L$ represents all integers $m$ with $3 \leqslant m \leqslant 2205$. Furthermore, since $X_{3}$ or $Y_{3}$ is represented by $L$, it suffices to show that both $X_{3}$ and $Y_{3}$ represent all integers greater than 2205 . Let $u$ be an integer greater than 2205.

First, assume that $X_{3} \longrightarrow L$. Let $K_{1}=\langle 3,4,6\rangle$. Note that $h\left(K_{1}\right)=2$ and the other $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice in the genus of $K_{1}$ is $K_{2}=\langle 1,6,12\rangle$. If an even positive integer $w$
is represented by $K_{2}$, then $w \longrightarrow\langle 4,6,12\rangle$ and thus $w \longrightarrow K_{1}$. Hence any even integer that is locally represented by $K_{1}$ is represented by $K_{1}$ globally. Now, one may easily verify that there is an integer

$$
d \in\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,11,15,21\}
$$

such that $u-5 d^{2}$ is an even positive integer that is locally represented by $K_{1}$. It follows that $u-5 d^{2} \longrightarrow K_{1}$ and thus we have $u \longrightarrow X_{3}$.

Now, assume that $Y_{3} \longrightarrow L$. Let $K=\langle 3,3,4\rangle$. Note that $h(K)=1$. One may easily verify that there is an integer $d \in\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ such that $u-5 d^{2}$ is locally represented by $K$. Thus $u-5 d^{2} \longrightarrow K$ and we have $u \longrightarrow Y_{3}$. This completes the proof.

Let $n$ be a positive integer. For any positive integers $b_{1} \leqslant b_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant b_{k}$, we define a set $\Psi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ by

$$
\Psi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{T}(n): u \nrightarrow\left\langle b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

and we also define $\psi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ as

$$
\psi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}\infty & \text { if } \Psi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=\varnothing \\ \min \left(\Psi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $l=1,2, \ldots$, we define sets $A(l), B(l), B^{\prime}(l)$, and $C(l)$ recursively as follow;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(1)=\{(n)\} \\
& B(l)=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \in A(l): \psi\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)=\infty\right\} \\
& B^{\prime}(l)=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \in B(l):\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) \notin B(m) \text { if }\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right)<\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)\right\} \\
& C(l)=A(l)-B(l) \\
& A(l+1)=\bigcup_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \in C(l)}\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}, a_{l+1}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{l+1}: a_{l} \leqslant a_{l+1} \leqslant \psi\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

From now to the end of this section, we always assume that $a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_{k}$ whenever we consider a diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}\right\rangle$. Let $L=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$ be a diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice. Clearly, $a_{1}=n$. From the definition, one may easily see that $L$ is new if and only if $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in B^{\prime}(k)$. Hence the set of all new diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices corresponds exactly to $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B^{\prime}(k)$. Therefore, to find all "new" diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, it suffices to compute the above sets recursively.

Proposition 2.9. There are exactly 79 new diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, which are listed in Table 1.

Proof. From the above observation, we have to compute the sets $A(l), B(l), B^{\prime}(l)$, and $C(l)$ on the case when $n=3$. One may easily compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(2) & =\{(3,3),(3,4)\} \\
A(3) & =\{(3,3,3),(3,3,4),(3,4,4),(3,4,5)\} \\
A(4) & =\left\{\begin{array}{r}
(3,3,3,3),(3,3,3,4),(3,3,4,4),(3,3,4,5) \\
(3,4,4,4),(3,4,4,5),(3,4,5,5),(3,4,5,6)
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right) \in A(4)$, one may easily check that

$$
\psi\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}a_{4}+1 & \text { if }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right) \neq(3,3,4,5),(3,4,5,6) \\ 13 & \text { if }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(3,3,4,5) \\ 35 & \text { if }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(3,4,5,6)\end{cases}
$$

Hence we have $A(5)=G_{1} \cup G_{2} \cup G_{3}$, where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
G_{1} & =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
(3,3,3,3,3),(3,3,3,3,4),(3,3,3,4,4),(3,3,3,4,5) \\
(3,3,4,4,4),(3,3,4,4,5),(3,4,4,4,4),(3,4,4,4,5), \\
(3,4,4,5,5),(3,4,4,5,6),(3,4,5,5,5),(3,4,5,5,6)
\end{array}\right\}
\end{array}\right\},
$$

Among 51 quinary diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices in $A(5)$, there are exactly 34 tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$ universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, which are listed in Table 1 Note that $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universalities of those 34 lattices were proved in Proposition 2.8. For each of the remaining 17 lattices, one may easily find the smallest integer that is not represented by it. From this, one may compute that $\# A(6)=111$. Among 111 senary diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices in $A(6)$, there are exactly 85 tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices. Among those tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$ universal lattices, only 45 lattices are new, which are listed in Table 1 . Summing up all, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# A(4)=8, \quad \# B(4)=0, \quad \# B^{\prime}(4)=0, \quad \# C(4)=8, \\
& \# A(5)=51, \quad \# B(5)=34, \quad \# B^{\prime}(5)=34, \quad \# C(5)=17, \\
& \# A(6)=111, \quad \# B(6)=85, \quad \# B^{\prime}(6)=45, \quad \# C(6)=26 .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the proposition, it suffices to show that there is no new diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank greater than 6 . Suppose that there is a new diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$ of rank $k \geqslant 7$. One may easily deduce $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{6}\right) \in C(6)$ from the definition of new tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universality. Note that the set $C(6)$ is as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
(3,3,3,3,3,3), & (3,3,3,3,3,4), & (3,3,3,3,4,4), & (3,3,3,4,4,4), \\
(3,3,4,4,4,4), & (3,3,4,4,5,16), & (3,3,4,4,5,17), & (3,3,4,5,5,16), \\
(3,3,4,5,5,17), & (3,3,4,5,7,23), & (3,3,4,5,7,24), & (3,3,4,5,11,11), \\
(3,3,4,5,11,12), & (3,3,4,5,12,12), & (3,3,4,5,12,13), & (3,3,4,5,13,13), \\
(3,4,4,4,4,4), & (3,4,4,4,4,5), & (3,4,4,4,5,5), & (3,4,4,5,5,5), \\
(3,4,5,5,5,5), & (3,4,5,6,11,44), & (3,4,5,6,11,45), & (3,4,5,6,33,33), \\
(3,4,5,6,33,34), & (3,4,5,6,34,34) &
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Since all the other cases can be proved in a similar manner, we only provide the proof of the first case when $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{6}\right)=(3,3,3,3,3,3)$. Suppose that $\ell=$ $\left\langle 3,3,3,3,3,3, a_{7}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$ is new tight $\mathcal{T}(3)$-universal for some integers $a_{7}, \ldots, a_{k}$ with $3 \leqslant a_{7} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_{k}$. Since $4,5 \longrightarrow \ell$, there are integers $i, j$ with $7 \leqslant i, j \leqslant$ $k$ such that $a_{i}=4$ and $a_{j}=5$. Since $\langle 3,3,3,4,5\rangle$ is tight $\mathcal{T}$ (3)-universal by Proposition 2.8 $\ell$ is not new. This completes the proof.

TABLE 2. New diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(2)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle$

| $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | Conditions on $a_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | $a_{5}$ | $3 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 17, a_{5} \neq 4,16$ |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | $a_{5}$ | $3 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 9, a_{5} \neq 4,8$ |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | $a_{5}$ | $6 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 15, a_{5} \neq 14$ |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | $a_{5}$ | $4 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 13, a_{5} \neq 5,8,12$ |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | $a_{5}$ | $4 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 17, a_{5} \neq 5,8,16$ |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | $a_{5}$ | $6 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 23, a_{5} \neq 8,22$ |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | $a_{5}$ | $7 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 17, a_{5} \neq 8,16$ |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 10 |  |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 10 | $a_{5}$ | $10 \leqslant a_{5} \leqslant 23, a_{5} \neq 22$ |

Proposition 2.10. Every diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice listed in Table 园 is tight $\mathcal{T}(2)$-universal.
Proof. Let $L$ be any $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice listed in Table 2. One may directly check that $L$ represents all integers $m$ with $2 \leqslant m \leqslant 575$. Hence it suffices to show that any integer $u$ greater than 575 is represented by $L$.
(i) When $\langle 2,3,4, t\rangle \longrightarrow L$ for some positive odd integer $t$, we put $K_{1}=\langle 2,3,4\rangle$. Note that $h\left(K_{1}\right)=2$ and the other $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice in the genus of $K_{1}$ is $K_{2}=\langle 1,2,12\rangle$. If an even positive integer is represented by $K_{2}$, then it is represented by $\langle 4,2,12\rangle$ and thus by $K_{1}$ too. Therefore we have

$$
Q\left(\operatorname{gen}\left(K_{1}\right)\right) \cap 2 \mathbb{Z} \subset Q\left(K_{1}\right)
$$

One may also verify that there is an integer $d \in\{0,1,2,3,5\}$ such that

$$
u-t d^{2} \in Q\left(\operatorname{gen}\left(K_{1}\right)\right) \cap 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

and thus we have $u-t d^{2} \longrightarrow K_{1}$. Note that $u-t d^{2} \geqslant u-23 \cdot 5^{2}>0$. Therefore we have $u \longrightarrow\langle 2,3,4, t\rangle \longrightarrow L$. Since the proofs of all the remaining cases are quite similar to this, we only provide some data that are needed for each case. We first provide a ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $K$ with $h(K)=1$ and a positive integer $b$ or positive integers $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ such that

$$
K \perp\langle b\rangle \longrightarrow L \quad \text { or } \quad K \perp\left\langle b_{1}, b_{2}\right\rangle \longrightarrow L
$$

Finally, we provide a finite set $H$ in the former case or finite sets $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ in the latter case such that there is an integer $h \in H$ such that $u-b h^{2} \longrightarrow K$ and hence $u \longrightarrow L$, or there are integers $h_{1} \in H_{1}$ and $h_{2} \in H_{2}$ such that $u-b_{1} h_{1}^{2}-b_{2} h_{2}^{2} \longrightarrow K$.

Since we always choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $K$ with class number 1 , the existence of a global representation is equivalent to the existence of a local representation.
(ii) When $\langle 2,2,3, t\rangle \longrightarrow L$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \not \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $t \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, we take $K=\langle 2,2,3\rangle, b=t$, and $H=\{0,1,3,4\}$.
(iii) When $L=\langle 2,3,4,8\rangle$, we take $K=\langle 2,4,8\rangle, b=3$, and $H=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$.
(iv) When $L=\left\langle 2,2,3, a_{4}, a_{5}\right\rangle$ for some

$$
\left(a_{4}, a_{5}\right) \in\{(3,3),(3,6),(3,9),(6,6),(6,9),(6,12),(6,15)\}
$$

we take $K=\langle 2,2,3\rangle, b_{1}=a_{4}, b_{2}=a_{5}$, and $H_{1}=\{0,1\}, H_{2}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$.
(v) When $L=\left\langle 2,3,4,4, a_{5}\right\rangle$ for some $a_{5} \in\{4,6,10,12,14\}$, we take $K=\langle 2,4,4\rangle$, $b_{1}=3, b_{2}=a_{5}$, and $H_{1}=\{0,1,2,3\}, H_{2}=\{0,1,2\}$.
(vi) When $L=\left\langle 2,3,4,6, a_{5}\right\rangle$ for some $a_{5} \in\{6,10,12,14,16,18,20\}$, we take $K=$ $\langle 2,4,6\rangle, b_{1}=3, b_{2}=a_{5}$, and $H_{1}=\{0,1,2,3\}, H_{2}=\{0,1,2\}$.
(vii) When $L=\left\langle 2,3,4,10, a_{5}\right\rangle$ for some $a_{5} \in\{10,12,14,16,18,20\}$, we take $K=$ $\langle 2,4,10\rangle, b=3$, and $H=\{1,2,3,4\}$.

Since one of the above cases holds for any $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L$ listed in Table 2 this completes the proof.

Proposition 2.11. There are exactly 90 new diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}(2)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$ lattices which are listed in Table 园.

Proof. Since the proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 2.9, we only provide some data that are needed for the case when $n=2$. One may easily check by direct computations that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\# A(3)=4, & \# B(3)=0, & \# B^{\prime}(3)=0, & \# C(3)=4 \\
\# A(4)=15, & \# B(4)=3, & \# B^{\prime}(4)=3, & \# C(4)=12 \\
\# A(5)=107, & \# B(5)=95, & \# B^{\prime}(5)=87, & \# C(5)=12
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
C(5)=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
(2,2,2,2,2), & (2,2,2,3,16), & (2,2,3,3,8), & (2,2,3,5,5), \\
(2,2,3,6,14), & (2,3,3,3,3), & (2,3,3,4,12), & (2,3,4,4,16) \\
(2,3,4,6,22), & (2,3,4,7,16), & (2,3,4,9,9), & (2,3,4,10,22)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For any $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{5}\right) \in C(5)$, one may directly show that there is no new diagonal tight $\mathcal{T}$ (2)-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $K$ such that $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{5}\right\rangle<K$ by using Lemma 2.2. This completes the proof.

## 3. The minimum rank of tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal lattices

In this section, we give some explicit lower and upper bounds for $t(n)$ for any integer $n$ less than or equal to 36 . As explained in the introduction, we know that

$$
t(1)=t(2)=t(3)=4
$$

Furthermore, it is known that there are exactly 204 tight $\mathcal{T}$ (1)-universal quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices (see [2]).

Let $n$ be a positive integer greater than 1 . To find all tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$ lattices, one may use, so called, the escalation method (for this, see [2]). Let $L$ be a tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice. Since $n, n+1 \longrightarrow L$,

$$
\ell\left(1, a_{1}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n & a_{1} \\
a_{1} & n
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow L \quad \text { or } \quad \ell\left(2, a_{2}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & n+1
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow L
$$

for some integers $a_{1}, a_{2}$ with $0 \leqslant a_{1} \leqslant \frac{n}{2}, 0 \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant \frac{n+1}{2}$. One may easily check that both binary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices $\ell\left(1, a_{1}\right)$ and $\ell\left(2, a_{2}\right)$ do not represent some integer $k$ with $n+1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n$. Furthermore, since $L$ does not represent any positive integer less than $n$, there are integers $b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n & a_{1} & b_{1} \\
a_{1} & n & c_{1} \\
b_{1} & c_{1} & k
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow L \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n & a_{2} & b_{2} \\
a_{2} & n+1 & c_{2} \\
b_{2} & c_{2} & k
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow L
$$

where $-n \leqslant b_{1}, c_{1} \leqslant n$ and $-\frac{2 n+1}{2} \leqslant b_{2}, c_{2} \leqslant \frac{2 n+1}{2}$. If one of ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices given above represents an integer less than $n$, then we remove it. Now, by continuing this process, we may find all candidates of tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices of given rank. We provide some experimental results on some candidates of tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices obtained from the escalation method:
(1) For any integer $n$ with $2 \leqslant n \leqslant 7$, there are exactly $m_{2}$ isometry classes of quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices with minimum $n$ which represent all integers from $n$ to $m_{1}$, and in fact, all of those $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices represent all integers from $n$ to $10^{4}$.

| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}$ | 41 | 61 | 82 | 91 | 105 | 131 |
| $m_{2}$ | 308 | 1294 | 1222 | 1826 | 490 | 116 |

(2) There are exactly two candidates of tight $\mathcal{T}$ (8)-universal quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, which are, in fact,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
8 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\
1 & 9 & 1 & 4 \\
4 & 1 & 9 & 0 \\
3 & 4 & 0 & 9
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
8 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 9 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 9 & 3 \\
3 & 4 & 3 & 9
\end{array}\right)
$$

(3) There is only one candidate of tight $\mathcal{T}$ (9)-universal quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, which is, in fact,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
9 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
3 & 9 & 1 & 3 \\
-3 & 1 & 10 & 3 \\
1 & 3 & 3 & 11
\end{array}\right)
$$

(4) There is no tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice for any $n$ with $10 \leqslant n \leqslant$ 12 , and thus $t(n)$ is greater than 4 for those integer $n$.

Remark 3.1. Let $L$ be the quaternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice which is given as a candidate of a tight $\mathcal{T}(9)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice. Then one may easily check that $d L=2^{3} \cdot 701$ and $L_{p}$ is isotropic over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for any prime $p$. Hence, by Theorem 1.6 of [[3], Chapter 11], there is an integer $N$ such that $L$ represents all integers greater than $N$. Hanke developed in [6] a method to compute the constant $N$ explicitly. However, the constant $N$ in this case seems to be too large to check the representability of all integers less than $N$ by the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $L$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice and let $n$ be a positive integer. If $\min (L) \in$ $\{n, n+1\}$ and $L$ represents all integers from $n+1$ to $2 n-1$, then the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle n, n, n, n\rangle \perp L$ is tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal, and thus $t(n) \leqslant \operatorname{rank}(L)+4$.

Proof. This is quite straightforward.
Lemma 3.3. Let $L$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice and let $n$ be a positive integer. Assume that $\min (L) \in\{n, n+1\}$ and $L$ represents all integers from $n+1$ to $2 n-1$. Assume further that there is an integer $s$ with $n \leqslant s \leqslant 14 n$ such that $L$ represents all integers from $s$ to $s+2 n-1$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle n, n, 2 n\rangle \perp L$ is tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal, and thus $t(n) \leqslant \operatorname{rank}(L)+3$.

Proof. Let $K=\langle n, n, 2 n\rangle \perp L$. From the fact that $\langle 1,1,2\rangle$ represents all integers from 0 to 13 , and the assumption that $L$ represents all integers from $n+1$ to $2 n-1$, one may easily deduce that all integers from $n$ to $14 n-1$ are represented by $K$. Let $m$ be any integer greater than or equal to $s$. Let $a$ be any integer with $s \leqslant a \leqslant s+n-1$ such that $m \equiv a(\bmod n)$. Then there is a nonnegative integer $u$ such that $m=n u+a$. If $u=0$, then clearly, $m=a \longrightarrow K$. Now, assume that $u \geqslant 1$. Since either $u$ or $u-1$ is odd and any odd integer is represented by $\langle 1,1,2\rangle$, either $n u$ or $n(u-1)$ is represented by $\langle n, n, 2 n\rangle$. Furthermore, since both $a$ and $a+n$ are represented by $L$ from the assumption, the integer

$$
m=n u+a=n(u-1)+a+n
$$

is represented by $K$. Now, the lemma follows from the assumption that $s \leqslant 14 n$.
Proposition 3.4. For any integer $n$ with $4 \leqslant n \leqslant 14$, we have

$$
t(n) \leqslant \begin{cases}n+1 & \text { if } 4 \leqslant n \leqslant 6 \\ 7 & \text { if } 7 \leqslant n \leqslant 14\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Note that $t(n) \leqslant n+1$ for any $n \geqslant 4$ by Proposition 2.4. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(7) & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
7 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & 7 & 3 & 1 \\
3 & 3 & 7 & 1 \\
3 & 1 & 1 & 7
\end{array}\right), & L(8)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
8 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\
1 & 9 & 1 & 4 \\
4 & 1 & 9 & 0 \\
3 & 4 & 0 & 9
\end{array}\right), \\
L(9) & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
9 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
3 & 9 & 1 & 3 \\
-3 & 1 & 10 & 3 \\
1 & 3 & 3 & 11
\end{array}\right), & L(10)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
10 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 10 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 3 & 11 & -3 \\
3 & 4 & -3 & 11
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
L(11)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
11 & -1 & 1 & 3 \\
-1 & 11 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 3 & 12 & -4 \\
3 & 4 & -4 & 12
\end{array}\right), & L(12)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
12 & 1 & 4 \\
0 \\
1 & 13 & -3 \\
3 \\
4 & -3 & 13
\end{array}\right) 6 \\
0 & 3
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
14
\end{array}\right), ~\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
13 & 0 & 3 & 4 \\
0 & 14 & 5 & 5 \\
3 & 5 & 14 & -3 \\
4 & 5 & -3 & 15
\end{array}\right), \quad L(14)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
14 & 2 & 2 & 6 \\
2 & 15 & 2 & 7 \\
2 & 2 & 16 & -5 \\
6 & 7 & -5 & 17
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If we take $s=7,8,9,98,124,112,80,156$, when $n=7,8, \cdots, 14$, respectively, then one may easily check by direct computations that for each $n$, the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L(n)$ and the integer $s$ satisfy all conditions given in Lemma 3.3. This completes the proof.

For a positive integer $u$ and an integer $v$, we define a quinary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice

$$
K(u, v)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 u+v & u & u & u & u-4 \\
u & 2 u+1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
u & 0 & 2 u+2 & 0 & 0 \\
u & 0 & 0 & 2 u+4 & 0 \\
u-4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 u
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.5. For any integer $n$ with $15 \leqslant n \leqslant 21$, we have $t(n) \leqslant 8$.
Proof. One may directly check that for each integer $n$, the corresponding quinary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $K(u, v)$ and the integer $s$ satisfy all conditions given in Lemma 3.3 in the following table:

| $n$ | 15,16 | 17,18 | 19,20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(u, v)$ | $(8,0)$ | $(9,2)$ | $(10,3)$ | $(11,3)$ |
| $s$ | 49 | 57 | 96 | 106 |

Hence the proposition follows immediately from Lemma 3.3.
For a positive integer $u$ and an integer $v$, we define a senary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L(u, v)$ as

$$
L(u, v)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 u+v & u & u & u-2 & u-4 & u-8 \\
u & 2 u+1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
u & 0 & 2 u+2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
u-2 & 0 & 0 & 2 u & 0 & 0 \\
u-4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 u & 0 \\
u-8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 u
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.6. For any integer $n$ with $22 \leqslant n \leqslant 36$, we have $t(n) \leqslant 9$.
Proof. One may directly check that for each integer $n$, the corresponding senary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L(u, v)$ and the integer $s$ satisfy all conditions given in Lemma 3.3 in the following table:

| $n$ | 22 | 23,24 | 25,26 | 27,28 | 29,30 | 31,32 | 33,34 | 35,36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(u, v)$ | $(11,0)$ | $(12,0)$ | $(13,0)$ | $(14,1)$ | $(15,1)$ | $(16,2)$ | $(17,3)$ | $(18,4)$ |
| $s$ | 22 | 24 | 61 | 65 | 71 | 81 | 86 | 93 |

Hence the proposition follows immediately from Lemma 3.3 ,

## 4. LOWER AND UPPER BOUNDS FOR $t(n)$

In this section, we find some explicit lower and upper bounds for the minimum rank $t(n)$ of tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices.

Theorem 4.1. For any positive integer n, we have

$$
\max \left(4, \log _{2}(n+4)\right) \leqslant t(n)
$$

In particular, the minimum rank of tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices goes to infinity as $n$ increases.

Proof. Let $L$ be a tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank $k$. Since $L$ represents all integers over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for any prime $p, k$ is greater than or equal to 4 . Recall that any ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\ell$ has always an anisotropic prime, say $q$, and $\ell$ does not represent some integer over $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$.

For each coset $\gamma \in(L / 2 L)^{\times}$, the minimum of $\gamma$ is defined by

$$
\min (\gamma)=\min \{Q(\boldsymbol{x}): x \in \gamma\}
$$

Let $\alpha \in(L / 2 L)^{\times}$be a coset and let $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \alpha$ be vectors such that $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \pm \boldsymbol{y}$. Since $\boldsymbol{x} \pm \boldsymbol{y} \in(2 L)^{\times}$, we have

$$
Q(\boldsymbol{x} \pm \boldsymbol{y})=Q(\boldsymbol{x}) \pm 2 B(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})+Q(\boldsymbol{y}) \geqslant 4 n
$$

Therefore we have $Q(\boldsymbol{x})+Q(\boldsymbol{y}) \geqslant 4 n$. For each positive integer $m$ greater than or equal to $n$, we fix a vector $\boldsymbol{x}_{m} \in L$ such that $Q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{m}\right)=m$. Let $\alpha_{m}$ be the coset in $(L / 2 L)^{\times}$containing the vector $\boldsymbol{x}_{m}$. Then for any different integers $r$ and $s$ with $n \leqslant r, s \leqslant 2 n$, one may easily check that $\alpha_{r} \neq \alpha_{s}$ from the above observation.

Now, suppose that there is an integer $u$ with $n \leqslant u \leqslant 2 n$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+1} \in \alpha_{u}$. Then since there is a vector $\boldsymbol{y} \in L$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+1}=\boldsymbol{x}_{u}+2 \boldsymbol{y}$, we have $2 n+1 \equiv$ $u(\bmod 4)$. Furthermore, since $2 n+1+u \geqslant 4 n$, such an integer $u$ does not exist. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+2} \in \bigcup_{u=n}^{2 n+1} \alpha_{u}$. Then by using a similar argument given above, one may easily show that the only coset which could possibly contain $\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+2}$ is $\alpha_{2 n-2}$. Since $\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+2} \pm \boldsymbol{x}_{2 n-2} \in(2 L)^{\times}$, we have

$$
Q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+2}+\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n-2}\right)=2 n+2+2 n-2 \pm 2 B\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2 n-2}\right) \geqslant 4 n
$$

This implies that $B\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2 n-2}\right)=0$. Therefore we have

$$
Q\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+2}+\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n-2}}{2}\right)=Q\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n+2}-\boldsymbol{x}_{2 n-2}}{2}\right)=n
$$

Consequently, there are at least two different cosets whose minimum is $n$. Summing up all, there are at least $n+3$ different cosets in $(L / 2 L)^{\times}$, and hence $n+3 \leqslant 2^{k}-1$. This completes the proof.

Remark 4.2. Note that $t(n) \geqslant 5$ for any $n \geqslant 13$ from the above theorem. As mentioned in Section 3, we have checked by using the escalation method that there is no quaternary tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice for any integer $n$ with $10 \leqslant n \leqslant 12$. Therefore $t(n) \geqslant 5$ for any integer $n$ greater than or equal to 10 .

As we have shown in Proposition 2.4, $t(n) \leqslant n+1$. Now, we find some explicit upper bound for $t(n)$, which is better than this.

Theorem 4.3. For any positive integer n, we have

$$
t(n) \leqslant\left[\frac{[\sqrt{n}]-1}{2}\right]+[\sqrt{n}]+7
$$

Proof. Since $t(n)=4$ for any integer $n$ with $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 3$, we always assume that $n \geqslant 4$. For simplicity, we define

$$
s_{n}=\left[\frac{[\sqrt{n}]-1}{2}\right]
$$

Put $m=s_{n}+[\sqrt{n}]+3$ and let $M_{1}=\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{m}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank $m$ such that

$$
B\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] & \text { if } i=j \\ -\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] & \text { if }|i-j|=1 \text { or } m-1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Note that $M_{1}$ is a positive semi-definite even $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice with

$$
Q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\boldsymbol{x}_{2}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{x}_{m}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
Q(\boldsymbol{x}) \geqslant 2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \text { for any } \boldsymbol{x} \in M_{1}-\mathbb{Z}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\boldsymbol{x}_{2}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{x}_{m}\right)
$$

In fact, one may easily check that

$$
\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{i+1}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{x}_{i+m-2} \simeq A_{m-1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}
$$

for any $i$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Here we are assuming that $\boldsymbol{x}_{\nu}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\nu-m}$ when $\nu>m$. Note that $A_{m-1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank $m-1$ obtained from the root lattice $A_{m-1}$ by scaling by $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Let $M_{2}=\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{y}_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{y}_{2}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{y}_{m}$ be the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank $m$ such that

$$
Q\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=1 \\ 2 & \text { if } 2 \leqslant i \leqslant s_{n}+1 \\ {[\sqrt{n}]} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Finally, let $L=\mathbb{Z}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right)+\mathbb{Z}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}+\boldsymbol{y}_{2}\right)+\cdots+\mathbb{Z}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{m}+\boldsymbol{y}_{m}\right) \subset M_{1} \perp M_{2}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank $m$. From the definition, one may easily show that

$$
\min (L)=\min \left(2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1,1+2 s_{n}+[\sqrt{n}] \cdot([\sqrt{n}]+2)\right)=2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1 \geqslant n
$$

Now, we show that $L$ represents all integers $k$ with $n+1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n-1$. Let $u$ be an integer with $1 \leqslant u \leqslant n$ such that $k=2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+u$. One may easily show that there is an integer $q_{0}$ with $0 \leqslant q_{0} \leqslant[\sqrt{n}]+2$ and an integer $t_{0}$ with $0 \leqslant t_{0} \leqslant s_{n}$
such that either $u=[\sqrt{n}] \cdot q_{0}+2 t_{0}$ or $u=[\sqrt{n}] \cdot q_{0}+2 t_{0}+1$. For the former case, one may easily check that $2 \leqslant s_{n}-t_{0}+2 \leqslant s_{n}+q_{0}+1 \leqslant m$ and thus we have

$$
2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+u=2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+[\sqrt{n}] \cdot q_{0}+2 t_{0}=Q\left(\sum_{u=s_{n}-t_{0}+2}^{s_{n}+q_{0}+1}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{u}+\boldsymbol{y}_{u}\right)\right)
$$

For the latter case, since

$$
u=[\sqrt{n}] \cdot q_{0}+2 t_{0}+1 \leqslant n<([\sqrt{n}]+1)^{2} \leqslant[\sqrt{n}] \cdot([\sqrt{n}]+2)+2 t_{0}+1
$$

we have $q_{0} \leqslant[\sqrt{n}]+1$. This implies that

$$
3+s_{n} \leqslant m+1-q_{0} \leqslant m+1+t_{0} \leqslant m+1+s_{n} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+u=2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+[\sqrt{n}] \cdot q_{0}+2 t_{0}+1=Q\left(\sum_{u=m+1-q_{0}}^{m+1+t_{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{u}+\boldsymbol{y}_{u}\right)\right)
$$

where we are assuming that $\boldsymbol{x}_{\nu}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\nu-m}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}_{\nu}=\boldsymbol{y}_{\nu-m}$ when $m+1 \leqslant \nu \leqslant m+1+t_{0}$.
Now, since the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L$ represents all integers $k$ with $n+1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n-1$, the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\langle n, n, n, n\rangle \perp L$ is tight $\mathcal{T}(n)$-universal by Lemma 3.2. Therefore we have

$$
t(n) \leqslant \operatorname{rank}(L)+4 \leqslant s_{n}+[\sqrt{n}]+7
$$

This completes the proof.
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